

2nd Place Solution for MOSE Track in CVPR 2024 PVUW workshop: Complex Video Object Segmentation

Zhensong Xu*, Jiangtao Yao*, Chengjing Wu, Ting Liu and Luoqi Liu
 Yao_Xu_MTLab, MT Lab, Meitu Inc
 {xzs1, yjt1, ethan, lt, llq}@meitu.com

Abstract

Complex video object segmentation serves as a fundamental task for a wide range of downstream applications such as video editing and automatic data annotation. Here we present the 2nd place solution in the MOSE track of PVUW 2024. To mitigate problems caused by tiny objects, similar objects and fast movements in MOSE. We use instance segmentation to generate extra pretraining data from the valid and test set of MOSE. The segmented instances are combined with objects extracted from COCO to augment the training data and enhance semantic representation of the baseline model. Besides, motion blur is added during training to increase robustness against image blur induced by motion. Finally, we apply test time augmentation (TTA) and memory strategy to the inference stage. Our method ranked 2nd in the MOSE track of PVUW 2024, with a \mathcal{J} of 0.8007, a \mathcal{F} of 0.8683 and a $\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$ of 0.8345.

1. Introduction

Pixel-level Scene Understanding is one of the fundamental problems in computer vision, which aims at recognizing object classes, masks and semantics of each pixel in the given image. The pixel-level Video Understanding in the Wild Challenge (PVUW) shop challenge advances the segmentation task from images to videos, aiming at enabling challenging and practical realistic applications. The PVUW 2024 workshop challenge includes two new tracks, Complex Video Object Segmentation Track based on MOSE[1] and Motion Expression guided Video Segmentation track based on MeViS[2]. The Complex Video Object Segmentation Track focuses on semi-supervised video object segmentation (VOS) under complex environments. As an important branch of the VOS task, semi-supervised VOS aims at tracking and segmenting agnostic objects given only the first-frame annotations, which has been widely applied in autonomous driving[3], video editing[4], automatic data annotation[5], and universal video segmentation[6].

Recent memory-based approaches have become the main stream data driven VOS methods. Memory-based approaches store past segmented frames in a memory bank, when a new query frame comes, it will read from the memory bank through cross attention, which is more robust to drifting and occlusions. Due to the advantages over other VOS methods[7–10], the memory-based paradigm has been paid much attention by the research community. As one of the most successful early attempts, Space-Time Memory network (STM)[11] stores the past frames with object masks into the memory and performs pixel-level matching between the encoded key of query frame and memory.




Figure 1. Overview of our method.

Space-Time Correspondence Network (STCN)[12] is developed from STM, it encodes the key features from frames without masks and replace dot product with L2 similarity in the affinity for memory reading. STCN achieves better efficiency and effectiveness than STM. XMem[13] introduces three independent memory banks: a sensory memory, a working memory and a long-term memory. The three-level memories are inspired by the Atkinson–Shiffrin memory model of Human. Xmem performs especially well on long-video datasets because of the short-term to long-term memories. To solve the mismatch problem in pixel-level matching, Cutie[14] proposes the object memory and object transformer for bidirectional information interaction. The object memory and object transformer improve robustness in challenging scenes with heavy occlusions and similarity. Due to the state-of-the-art performance of Cutie, we choose Cutie as our baseline model.

However, the challenging nature of MOSE[1] still




Figure 2. Architecture of Cutie[14].

poses several obstacles to overcome. Apart from higher disappearance-reappearance rates and heavier occlusions than previous VOS datasets such as DAVIS[15] and YouTubeVOS[16], MOSE has many tiny and similar objects, which may confuse VOS models. Besides, some videos in MOSE contain objects with fast movements, which are hard to track in consecutive frames.

To mitigate the above issues, we combine Mask2Former[17] and motion blur as data augmentation to enhance semantic representation during the training process. We exploit images from the valid and test set of MOSE, and use Mask2Former to segment instance masks and generate pretraining data for Cutie. We also introduce COCO[18] to further enrich the pretraining data, with the purpose of enhancing semantic learning in the early stage of training and improve the segmentation accuracy of tiny and similar objects. At inference time, we employ test time augmentation (TTA) and memory strategy to optimize the results. Our solution reaches a \mathcal{J} of 0.8007, a \mathcal{F} of 0.8683 and a $\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$ of 0.8345, which achieved the 2nd place in the MOSE track of the PVUW challenge in CVPR 2024.

2. Method

As illustrated in Fig. 1, our solution takes Cutie as the baseline model. Then, we use instance segmentation and motion blur to augment the training data. Finally, during the inference stage, we employ TTA and memory strategy to

improve the results. Details of the solution are described as follows.

2.1. Baseline model

To ensure good performance under challenges such as frequent disappearance-reappearance, heavy occlusions, small and similar objects, we introduce Cutie as the strong baseline model, as shown in Fig. 2. Cutie stores a high-resolution pixel memory F and a high-level object memory S . The pixel memory is encoded from the memory frames and corresponding segmented masks. The object memory compresses object-level features from the memory frames. When a new query frame comes, it bidirectionally interacts with the object memory in a couple of object transformer blocks. Specifically, given the feature map of the query frame, the pixel readout R_0 is extracted by reading from the pixel memory with a sensory memory[13], then the pixel readout interacts with the object memory and a set of learnable object queries through bottom-up foreground-background masked cross attention. Next, the obtained high-level object query representation communicates back with the pixel readout through top-down cross attention. The output pixel readout R_l and object queries X_l are sent to the next object transformer block. The final pixel readout will be combined with multi-scale features passed from skip connections for computing the output mask in the decoder. Cutie enriches pixel features with object-level semantics in a bidirectional fashion, hence is more robust to distractions



Figure 3. Examples of generated pretraining data and motion blur. Left: binary mask generated from the valid set and test set of MOSE. Middle: binary mask generated from COCO, the masks of different classes are merged into one mask. Right: example of motion blur in the horizontal direction.

such as occlusion and disappearance.

2.2. Data augmentation

Like most state-of-the-art VOS methods, Cutie also adopts a two-stage training paradigm. The first stage pretraining uses short video sequences generated from static images. Then main training is performed using VOS datasets in the second stage. However, the original Cutie fails to perform well when similar objects move in close proximity or suffers from serious motion blur.

To solve the above problems, we conduct data augmentation to enhance the training of Cutie. First, we employ the universal image segmentation model Mask2Former to segment instance targets from the valid set and test set of MOSE. As shown in the left column of Fig. 3, the segmented small objects represent typical object appearances in MOSE, which is helpful for learning the semantics of diverse objects in advance. Meanwhile, as shown in the middle column of Fig. 3, we convert the instance annotations of COCO into independent binary masks. Here we select object classes such as human, animal and vehicle that frequently occur in MOSE to reduce discrepancy between two data distributions. The acquired data is used as extra pretraining data to enable more robust semantics and improve discrimination ability against diverse objects of MOSE. Second, with the observation that motion blur is a significant challenge, we add motion blur with random kernel sizes and angles to both the pretraining and main training stages. An example of motion blur is shown in the right column of Fig. 3. The proposed data augmentation aims at training towards better robustness and generalization.

2.3. Inference time operations

TTA. We use two kinds of TTA: flipping and multi-scale data enhancement. We only conduct horizontal flipping since experiments show flipping in other directions is detrimental to performance. In addition, we inference results on the test set under three maximum shorter side resolutions: 600p, 720p and 800p. The multi-scale results are then averaged to get the final result.

Memory strategy. We find in experiments that larger memory banks and shorter memory intervals lead to better performance. Therefore, we adjust the maximum memory frames T_{\max} to 18 and the memory interval to 1.

3. experiment

3.1. Implementation details

Data. ECSSD[19], DUTS[20], FSS-1000[21], HRSOD[22] and BIG[23] are used as image segmentation datasets for pretraining. Besides, we generate 66823 image-mask pairs from the valid and test set of MOSE using Mask2Former and 89490 image-mask pairs from COCO, and add them into the data for pretraining. For main training, we mix the training sets of DAVIS-2017[15], YouTubeVOS-2019[16], BURST[5], OVIS[24] and MOSE[1].

Training. The parameter is updated using AdamW[25] with a learning rate of 0.0001, a batch size of 16, and a weight decay of 0.001. Pretraining is carried out for 80K iterations with a crop size of 384×384 and no learning rate decay. Main training is carried out for 175K iterations, with



Figure 4. Qualitative results on the test set of MOSE.

Method	\mathcal{J}	\mathcal{F}	$\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$
PCL_MDS	0.8101	0.8789	0.8445
Yao_Xu_MTLab	0.8007	0.8683	0.8345
ISS	0.7879	0.8559	0.8219
xsong2023	0.7873	0.8544	0.8208
yangdonghan50	0.7799	0.8480	0.8139

Table 1. Leaderboard of the 1st MOSE challenge. Our results are marked in blue.

a crop size of 480×480 and the learning rate reduced by 10 times after 140K and 160K iterations.

3.2. Results in the 1st MOSE challenge

Our proposed method ranked the 2nd place in the 1st MOSE challenge. The leaderboard is displayed in Tab. 1. Our method achieved a \mathcal{J} of 0.8007, a \mathcal{F} of 0.8683 and a $\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$ of 0.8345.

3.3. Ablation study

We conduct an ablation study to verify the effectiveness of different components in our method. Specifically, we take the original Cutie as the baseline, then we incorporate the data augmentation, TTA and memory strategy into the baseline and design two ablation variants. From the quantitative results in Tab. 2, data augmentation through instance segmentation and motion blur improves the $\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$ for about 0.0184. Test time augmentation and memory strategy bring the most significant improvement, with about 0.03 increase

in all three metrics. As shown by the qualitative results in Fig. 4, our solution performs better when segmenting tiny and similar objects with movements.

Method	\mathcal{J}	\mathcal{F}	$\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$
Baseline	0.7509	0.8206	0.7857
Baseline+DA	0.7713	0.8373	0.8043
Baseline+DA+TTA+MS	0.8007	0.8683	0.8345

Table 2. Quantitative results of the ablation study. DA indicates data augmentation, TTA indicates test time augmentation and MS indicates memory strategy.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a method for complex video object segmentation. Specifically, we take Cutie as the baseline model, and conduct data augmentation to enhance feature learning through Mask2Former and motion blur. TTA and memory strategy are employed in the inference stage to improve the segmentation results. Our method achieved the 2nd place on the MOSE track of the PVUW Challenge 2024 with 0.8345 $\mathcal{J}\&\mathcal{F}$.

References

- [1] Henghui Ding, Chang Liu, Shuting He, Xudong Jiang, Philip HS Torr, and Song Bai. Mose: A new dataset for video object segmentation in complex scenes. In *Proceedings of*

- the *IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 20224–20234, 2023. 1, 3
- [2] Henghui Ding, Chang Liu, Shuting He, Xudong Jiang, and Chen Change Loy. Mevis: A large-scale benchmark for video segmentation with motion expressions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2694–2703, 2023. 1
- [3] Ziyu Zhang, Sanja Fidler, and Raquel Urtasun. Instance-level segmentation for autonomous driving with deep densely connected mrfs. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 669–677, 2016. 1
- [4] Ho Kei Cheng, Yu-Wing Tai, and Chi-Keung Tang. Modular interactive video object segmentation: Interaction-to-mask, propagation and difference-aware fusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5559–5568, 2021. 1
- [5] Ali Athar, Jonathon Luiten, Paul Voigtlaender, Tarasha Khurana, Achal Dave, Bastian Leibe, and Deva Ramanan. Burst: A benchmark for unifying object recognition, segmentation and tracking in video. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision*, pages 1674–1683, 2023. 1, 3
- [6] Ho Kei Cheng, Seoung Wug Oh, Brian Price, Alexander Schwing, and Joon-Young Lee. Tracking anything with decoupled video segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1316–1326, 2023. 1
- [7] Sergi Caelles, Kevis-Kokitsi Maninis, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Laura Leal-Taixé, Daniel Cremers, and Luc Van Gool. One-shot video object segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 221–230, 2017. 1
- [8] Tianfei Zhou, Wenguan Wang, Yazhou Yao, and Jianbing Shen. Target-aware adaptive tracking for unsupervised video object segmentation. In *The 2020 DAVIS Challenge on Video Object Segmentation-CVPR Workshops*, volume 3, 2020.
- [9] Federico Perazzi, Anna Khoreva, Rodrigo Benenson, Bernt Schiele, and Alexander Sorkine-Hornung. Learning video object segmentation from static images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2663–2672, 2017.
- [10] Suyog Dutt Jain, Bo Xiong, and Kristen Grauman. Fusionseg: Learning to combine motion and appearance for fully automatic segmentation of generic objects in videos. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3664–3673, 2017. 1
- [11] Seoung Wug Oh, Joon-Young Lee, Ning Xu, and Seon Joo Kim. Video object segmentation using space-time memory networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 9226–9235, 2019. 1
- [12] Ho Kei Cheng, Yu-Wing Tai, and Chi-Keung Tang. Rethinking space-time networks with improved memory coverage for efficient video object segmentation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:11781–11794, 2021. 1
- [13] Ho Kei Cheng and Alexander G Schwing. Xmem: Long-term video object segmentation with an atkinson-shiffrin memory model. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 640–658. Springer, 2022. 1, 2
- [14] Ho Kei Cheng, Seoung Wug Oh, Brian Price, Joon-Young Lee, and Alexander Schwing. Putting the object back into video object segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12982*, 2023. 1, 2
- [15] Federico Perazzi, Jordi Pont-Tuset, Brian McWilliams, Luc Van Gool, Markus Gross, and Alexander Sorkine-Hornung. A benchmark dataset and evaluation methodology for video object segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 724–732, 2016. 2, 3
- [16] Ning Xu, Linjie Yang, Yuchen Fan, Dingcheng Yue, Yuchen Liang, Jianchao Yang, and Thomas Huang. Youtube-vos: A large-scale video object segmentation benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.03327*, 2018. 2, 3
- [17] Bowen Cheng, Ishan Misra, Alexander G Schwing, Alexander Kirillov, and Rohit Girdhar. Masked-attention mask transformer for universal image segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1290–1299, 2022. 2
- [18] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6–12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014. 2
- [19] Jianping Shi, Qiong Yan, Li Xu, and Jiaya Jia. Hierarchical image saliency detection on extended cssd. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 38(4):717–729, 2015. 3
- [20] Lijun Wang, Huchuan Lu, Yifan Wang, Mengyang Feng, Dong Wang, Baocai Yin, and Xiang Ruan. Learning to detect salient objects with image-level supervision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 136–145, 2017. 3
- [21] Xiang Li, Tianhan Wei, Yau Pun Chen, Yu-Wing Tai, and Chi-Keung Tang. Fss-1000: A 1000-class dataset for few-shot segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2869–2878, 2020. 3
- [22] Yi Zeng, Pingping Zhang, Jianming Zhang, Zhe Lin, and Huchuan Lu. Towards high-resolution salient object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 7234–7243, 2019. 3
- [23] Ho Kei Cheng, Jihoon Chung, Yu-Wing Tai, and Chi-Keung Tang. Cascadepsp: Toward class-agnostic and very high-resolution segmentation via global and local refinement. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8890–8899, 2020. 3
- [24] Jiyang Qi, Yan Gao, Yao Hu, Xinggang Wang, Xiaoyu Liu, Xiang Bai, Serge Belongie, Alan Yuille, Philip HS Torr, and Song Bai. Occluded video instance segmentation: A benchmark. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 130(8):2022–2039, 2022. 3
- [25] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017. 3