

# Heuristics for Influence Maximization with Tiered Influence and Activation thresholds

Rahul Kumar Gautam<sup>0009-0009-7693-3863</sup>, Anjeneya Swami Kare<sup>0000-0003-3644-4802</sup>, and Durga Bhavani S.<sup>0000-0003-4413-0328</sup>

School of Computer and Information Sciences,  
University of Hyderabad,  
Hyderabad, India  
19mcpc06@uohyd.ac.in, askcs@uohyd.ac.in, sdbcs@uohyd.ac.in

**Abstract.** The information flows among the people while they communicate through social media websites. Due to the dependency on digital media, a person shares important information or regular updates with friends and family. The set of persons on social media forms a social network. Influence Maximization (IM) is a known problem in social networks. In social networks, information flows from one person to another using an underlying diffusion model. There are two fundamental diffusion models: the Independent Cascade Model (ICM) and the Linear Threshold Model (LTM). In this paper, we study a variant of the IM problem called Minimum Influential Seeds (MINFS) problem proposed by Qiang et al.[16]. It generalizes the classical IM problem with LTM as the diffusion model. Compared to IM, this variant has additional parameters: the influence threshold for each node and the propagation range. The propagation range is a positive integer that specifies how far the information can propagate from a node. A node on the network is not immediately influenced until it receives the same information from enough number of neighbors (influence threshold). Similarly, any node does not forward information until it receives the same information from a sufficient number of neighbors (activation threshold). Once a node becomes activated, it tries to activate or influence its neighbors. The MINFS problem aims to select the minimum number of initial spreader nodes such that all nodes of the graph are influenced.

In this paper, we extend the study of the MINFS problem. We propose heuristics that construct seed sets based on the average degree of non-activated nodes, closest first, and backbone-based heaviest path. We have also proposed a pruning technique that further reduces the size of the seed sets. We have implemented the existing heuristics and the proposed heuristics. We have done extensive experimentation on 18 real-world data sets. The proposed heuristics give improved seed sets compared to the existing heuristics.

## 1 Introduction

In this digital world, people get news or information digitally on their gadgets. Due to the advantages of social media, human beings are rapidly adopting social media in their daily life. However, there are some pros and cons of social media. Some disadvantages are rumor-spreading, privacy-related issues, data theft, etc. Nevertheless, getting important information about what is happening in society becomes essential. As we have seen during the COVID-19 pandemic, the government has to make people aware of the pandemic and related safety measures. Almost all countries' governments use social media to run awareness campaigns as it saves the time and effort of the government.

On account of the enormous applications of social media, the discussion on how information propagates on social media networks becomes very important. The influence maximization problem

is related to information propagation and maximizing the influenced people in social networks. A node in the social network is said to be influenced by a message when it starts believing the message. On the other hand, a node is said to be activated when it starts forwarding (spreading) the message to its neighbors.

Kempe et al.[10] introduced the Influence Maximization (IM) problem. The IM problem is also called the Target Set Selection (TSS) problem. Using the Linear Threshold Model (LTM) of diffusion, there are primarily two variants of the TSS problem: the maximization version and the minimization version. For the maximization version, input is a graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a positive integer  $k$ , and the problem asks to compute a target set (seed set)  $S \subseteq V$  of size at most  $k$  that activates the maximum number of vertices. For the minimization version, input is a graph  $G$  and an integer input  $\ell$ , and the problem asks to compute a target set (seed set)  $S \subseteq V$  of the minimum size that activates at least  $\ell$  vertices. If  $\ell = |V|$ , then the problem asks to compute a target set  $S \subseteq V$  of the minimum size that activates all the vertices of the graph.

Cordasco et al.[5] studied a variant of the TSS problem, which they called the Perfect Evangelizing Set (PES) problem. For the PES problem, input is a graph  $G$ , influence and activation (evangelizing ) thresholds  $t_I, t_A : V \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \}$  and the problem asks to compute a target set (seed set)  $S \subseteq V$  of minimum size that influences all the vertices of the graph. Cordasco et al.[6] also introduced a problem called the Perfect Awareness (PA) problem, which is a specialization of the PES problem. In the PA problem  $t_I(v) = 1, \forall v \in V$ .

Qiang et al.[16] proposed a variant of the TSS problem, which they call the Minimum Influential Seeds (MINFS) problem, which is a generalization of the PES problem. Compared to the PES problem, the MINFS problem has an additional input parameter called the propagation range  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The propagation range indicates how far the information propagates from one node to another node. The MINFS problem with  $p = Diameter(G)$  is equivalent to the PES problem. For the experimentation Qiang et al.[16] used two input parameters  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $0 < \theta \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . For each vertex  $u \in V$ , they set the influence threshold  $t_I(u) = \theta.deg(u)$  and the activation threshold  $t_A(u) = \alpha.deg(u)$ . In the MINFS problem, the information can flow from the sources (initial spreaders) up to  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  distance. If a vertex  $u$  receives information from at least  $t_I(u)$  neighbors,  $u$  becomes influenced. Likewise, If a vertex  $u$  receives information from at least  $t_A(u)$  neighbors,  $u$  becomes activated and forwards information to the neighbors. An initial spreader can not activate or contribute to activating vertices at more than  $p$  distance. The set of initial spreaders is called a seed set. The objective is to find the set of initial spreaders (seed set) of minimum size, which influences all the vertices of the graph.

Qiang et al.[16] proposed minimization and maximization variants of the Influential Seeds problem. They have proposed heuristics for the MINFS problem. In this paper, we extend the study of the MINFS problem. We propose three heuristics and a pruning strategy to improve the solutions obtained by the heuristics. We have the following results:

- The first heuristic picks the average number of highly influential inactive vertices for the seed set in each iteration. It improves the quality of the result and running time compared to existing heuristics for the problem.
- The second heuristic finds the closest highly influential seed vertex from the seed set.
- The third heuristic, a backbone-based heuristic, finds the dominant path and selects vertices from the dominant path for the seed set.
- The proposed pruning technique is applied to solutions returned by the existing and as well as the proposed heuristics. The pruning technique improves the quality of the solutions.

The whole paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present related and recent studies on the MINFS problem, such as influence maximization, perfect awareness problem, and the target set selection problem. Section 4 covers our proposed heuristics. In section 5, we analyze the performance of algorithms and present results on the real datasets. The final section of the article concludes the paper.

## 2 Related Work

Influence Maximization (IM) in social networks is an essential area of research due to its applications in business advertisements, viral marketing, and campaigning. The IM problem is also known as the Target Set Selection (TSS) problem. Kempe et al.[10] introduced the IM problem. They proved that the IM problem is NP-hard and proposed experimental algorithms for the problem. The greedy algorithm by Kempe et al.[10] guarantees approximation  $1 - (1/e)$  ( $e$  is logarithmic base). For the TSS problem based on the decreasing cascade model, the  $1 - (1/e) - \epsilon$ -approximation algorithm was studied by Kempe et al.[10]. Chen[4] studied the hardness of the TSS problem and proved that the problem is hard to approximate within a poly-logarithmic factor. There are two fundamental diffusion models: the Independent Cascade Model (ICM) and the Linear Threshold Model (LTM). A vertex influences its neighbors with some probability in an independent cascade model. In the linear threshold model, each vertex is activated or influenced if the vertex has a number of active neighbor spreaders greater than or equal to the threshold value of the vertex. Cordasco et al.[5] studies evangelism in social networks based on the linear threshold model, in which each vertex has an influence threshold and activation threshold. When a vertex receives information from an influence threshold number of neighbors, it becomes influenced. For a vertex to become activated, the vertex should have at least an activation threshold number of activated neighbors. Later, Cordasco et al.[6] presented a Perfect Awareness (PA) problem on the linear threshold model in which the influential threshold for each vertex is considered one. The heuristics [8, 15] of the PA problem are proposed.

The  $k$ -center problem [2], PA problem [6], evangelism in social networks [5], graph burning problem [3], opinion maximization [1], target influence maximization in competitive social networks[12] under the independent cascade model, and rumor minimization [19] are related problems to the MINFS problem. The experimental works on the problems PA, graph burning number, and opinion maximization are proposed in [8, 15, 9, 7, 13, 1, 20].

In the real-life scenario, the information does not flow continuously in the social media networks. Over time, the propagation of information or advertisements in social media networks gets exhausted due to people’s waning interest. So, the distance traversed by the information in social media networks needs to be addressed. Qiang et al.[16] study the issue in the diffusion process and introduce a significant constraint as propagation range (information can traverse distance up to the propagation range from the initial spreaders). Due to the importance of propagation range in real scenarios, we study the MINFS problem and propose three heuristics for the MINFS problem.

## 3 Problem Definition

In a given graph  $G(V, E)$  and a set  $S \subseteq V$ , initially, only the vertices of the set  $S$  are influenced and activated. The variable  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  denotes the propagation range. Let  $A$  be the set of activated vertices initially  $A = S$ . Initially, each activated vertex  $u \in S$  can send the information up to  $p$

distance. In the diffusion process, If  $|N_A(v)| \geq \alpha * deg(v)$  where  $N_A(v)$  is a set of active neighbors of  $v$ , then  $v$  is added to  $A$  with the condition that  $v$  is activated by a smallest subset  $S' \neq \phi$ . Similarly, if  $|N_A(v)| \geq \theta * deg(v)$ , then  $v$  becomes influenced. We repeat the above steps until all the vertices of the set  $V$  are influenced. The objective is to find the minimum set of seed nodes ( seed set  $S$  of minimum size).

## 4 Proposed Algorithms

In this section, we propose three heuristics for the MINFS problem. The approach constitutes two significant steps. In the first step, the heuristics compute a potential seed set iteratively. In the second step, the potential seed set is pruned to obtain the smallest seed set.

The tricky part of solving the MINFS problem is to find the smallest seed set  $S \subseteq V$ . In this paper, the heuristic algorithms construct the seed set  $S$  with criteria such that the algorithm influences the set of vertices  $V$  through the diffusion process. The diffusion process is implemented using the Breath First Search approach [16].

### 4.1 Average Degree Heuristic


The high-level idea of the proposed **GET-POTENTIAL-SEEDS** method as given in Algorithm 1 is as follows. The **GET-POTENTIAL-SEEDS** takes input parameters of graph  $G$ , propagation range  $p$ , influence threshold  $\theta$ , and activation threshold  $\alpha$ . Initially, all the vertices of  $G(V, E)$  are inactive, so initialize activated set  $A = \phi$ , influenced set  $I = \phi$ , and initial spreader list  $\hat{S} = [ ]$ .  $\hat{S}$  contains the potential seed nodes and is treated as a list since the order in which the nodes are added to  $\hat{S}$  is important for **PRUNING** step. Repeat the following steps (1 and 2) if a non-influenced node exists in the graph  $G$ .

1. Select a potential list of spreaders  $L$  by calling the **GET-MOST-INACTIVE-VERTICES** method as given in Algorithm 2.
2. For all vertices of  $u \in L$ .
  - (a) Append  $u$  to  $\hat{S}$ .
  - (b) Add  $u$  to the activation set  $A$ .
  - (c) **DIFFUSION** function updates activation set  $A$  and influence set  $I$ .

As given in Algorithm 2, **GET-MOST-INACTIVE-VERTICES** method returns  $\lceil \frac{n''}{n'} \rceil$  number of highly influential vertices where  $n'$  is the number of inactive vertices in the graph  $G$ , and  $n''$  is the sum of the inactive-degree of inactive vertices ( inactive-degree  $d_{V \setminus A}(v)$  means the number of inactive neighbors of  $v$ ). The number  $\lceil \frac{n''}{n'} \rceil$  indicates the average degree of the graph  $G(V \setminus A, E)$ . In each iteration (in Algorithm 2, lines 6-10), select a vertex  $w \notin L$  with maximum  $d_{V \setminus A}(w)$  value and append  $w$  to  $L$ .

We use **PRUNING** to refine the spreader list  $\hat{S}$  obtained by the above steps (1 and 2). The **PRUNING** method, as given in algorithm 3, removes the extra spreaders from the list  $\hat{S}$  and returns the final seed set  $S$ . The importance of pruning is illustrated in Figure 1.

The **PRUNING** method reverses the list  $\hat{S}$ . For each vertex  $u \in \hat{S}$ , check whether the diffusion process can influence all vertices of the graph by spreader list  $\hat{S} \setminus \{u\}$ . If yes, remove the vertex  $u$  from  $\hat{S}$ ; otherwise,  $u$  must be present in the spreader list  $\hat{S}$ . The **PRUNING** method removes the extra spreader nodes from  $\hat{S}$  and returns the final seed set  $S$ . The **PRUNING** method gives results



**Fig. 1.** The spreader list of nodes (in light red color) is  $\hat{S} = [5, 1, 4, 9, 8, 10, 6]$  and influenced vertices are in white (shown in the left). The **PRUNING** removes extra spreader node 5 (in red) and returns  $[1, 4, 9, 8, 10, 6]$  because 5 is activated by 4, 8, 9, 10 (shown on the right).

based on the order of vertices selected as the initial spreader. So, if we apply **PRUNING** on two lists of equal sizes but with different sequences of spreader lists having the same elements then **PRUNING** may return two reduced lists of different sizes of initial spreaders in both cases. Therefore, **PRUNING** performance depends on how we construct the potential spreader list  $\hat{S}$ .

## 4.2 Closest First Heuristic

Suppose  $d_{V \setminus A}(u)$  (where  $d_{V \setminus A}(u)$  is the number of inactive neighbors of the vertex  $u$ ) and  $d_{V \setminus A}(v)$  are the top two highest values in the graph  $G(V \setminus A, E)$ , where  $d(u, v) \leq 2$ . Both  $u$  and  $v$  together can activate and influence more vertices. As given in Fig. 2, the vertices 2 and 5 activate and influence 4 immediately. Therefore, the next seed vertex  $w$  is selected within two hops from the  $\hat{S}$  nodes with a maximum  $d_{V \setminus A}(w)$  value.

Initially, the spreader list  $\hat{S}$  is empty. Find a vertex  $w$  with the most inactive neighbors within two hops from all vertices in  $\hat{S}$  and add  $w$  to  $\hat{S}$ . If no inactive vertex exists within two hops from the nodes in  $\hat{S}$  and the whole graph  $G(V, E)$  is not influenced, a vertex with the most inactive neighbors is added to  $\hat{S}$ . On each addition of a seed vertex to list  $\hat{S}$ , the diffusion process diffuses the information in graph  $G$  and updates influenced and activated sets  $I$  and  $A$ . The process stops when all vertices are influenced. After finding list  $\hat{S}$ , the **PRUNING** process removes extra spreaders from the list  $\hat{S}$  and returns the final seed set  $S$ .

---


**Algorithm 1:** Get potential seed nodes.

---

**Input** : Graph  $G(V, E)$ , propagation range  $p$ , influential and activation thresholds ( $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ ).  
**Output**: Potential spreader list  $\hat{S}$

```
1 GET-POTENTIAL-SEEDS ( $G, p, \theta, \alpha$ ) begin
2    $A \leftarrow \phi$ 
3    $I \leftarrow \phi$ 
4    $\hat{S} \leftarrow []$ 
5   while  $|I| \neq |V(G)|$  do
6      $L \leftarrow$  GET-MOST-INACTIVE-VERTICES ( $G, A$ )
7     for  $u \in L$  do
8        $append(\hat{S}, u)$ 
9        $A = A \cup \{u\}$ 
10       $[I, A] \leftarrow$  DIFFUSION ( $G, u, p, A, \alpha, \theta$ )
11      if  $|I| = |V(G)|$  then
12        break
13  return  $\hat{S}$ 
```

---



**Fig. 2.** The first seed vertex is 2 and the second most inactive vertex within two hops is 5. We see here 2 and 5 are activating vertex 4.

### 4.3 Backbone-Based Heuristic

As we saw in the previous algorithm, given in the algorithm 1 where **GET-MOST-INACTIVE-VERTICES** method returns highly influential list  $L$ . We add each vertex of list  $L$  one by one to the spreader list  $\hat{S}$ . Instead of adding all vertices from  $L$  to spreader list  $\hat{S}$ , append-only a vertex  $u$  from list  $L$  with the most inactive surrounding vertices. The reason for selecting a vertex  $u$  is that  $u$  belongs to a dense sub-graph of inactive vertices.

As given in algorithm 4, the **GET-POTENTIAL-NODE-BY-BFS-TREE** method finds a tree simultaneously from the list of roots  $L$  by assuming the weight on the vertices as the number of inactive neighbor vertices in the graph  $G(V \setminus A, E)$ . It returns a root  $w \in L$  associated with the heaviest BFS tree. The vertex  $w$  is included in  $\hat{S}$  and  $A$ , and the **DIFFUSION** process marks vertices as activated

---

**Algorithm 2:** List of most inactive vertices.

---

**Input** : Graph  $G(V, E)$  and activated set  $A$ .

**Output:** List of vertices  $L$  which have highly inactive neighbors.

```

1 GET-MOST-INACTIVE-VERTICES ( $G, A$ ) begin
2    $L \leftarrow []$ 
3    $n' = |\{v : v \in V \setminus A\}|$ 
4    $n'' = \sum_{u \in V \setminus A} d_{V \setminus A}(u)$ 
      //  $d_{V \setminus A}(u)$  number of inactive neighbors of  $u$ 
5   for 1 to  $\lceil \frac{n''}{n'} \rceil$  do
6      $w = \underset{v}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{d_{V \setminus A}(v) : v \in V \setminus L\}$ 
7     if  $w \neq -1$  then
8        $\text{append}(L, w)$ 
9   return  $L$ 

```

---



---

**Algorithm 3:** Final seed set  $S$  after pruning the list  $\hat{S}$ .

---

**Input** : Graph  $G(V, E)$ , propagation step  $p$ , potential spreader list  $\hat{S}$ , influential and activation thresholds ( $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ ).

**Output:** Final seed set  $S$ .

```

1 PRUNING ( $G, p, \alpha, \theta, \hat{S}$ ) begin
2    $S \leftarrow \phi$ 
3    $\hat{S}' \leftarrow \text{reverse}(\hat{S})$ 
4   for  $u \in \hat{S}'$  do
5      $S \leftarrow \hat{S} \setminus \{u\}$ 
6      $[A, I] \leftarrow \text{DIFFUSION}(G, p, \alpha, \theta, S)$ 
7     if  $|I| = |V(G)|$  then
8        $\hat{S} \leftarrow S$ 
9   return  $S$ 

```

---

or influenced. The process stops when all the vertices of  $G(V, E)$  become influenced. The **PRUNING** method removes the extra spreaders from the list  $\hat{S}$  and returns the seed set  $S$ .

As given in algorithm 5, the inputs for the **GET-POTENTIAL-NODE-BY-BFS-TREE** method are  $G(V, E)$ ,  $A$ , and  $L$ . The method uses the queue data structure to find the heaviest BFS tree. The initial step is to en-queue each vertex  $u \in L$  to queue  $Q$  and initialize  $W[v] = 0 \forall v \in V$ . Do de-queue  $u$  from queue  $Q$ . For each unmarked vertex  $v \in N(u) \setminus A$ , update  $W[v]$  by  $W[v] + W[u]$ , enqueue  $v$  to queue  $Q$ ,  $D_b[v] = u$  ( where  $D_b$  array keeps track of the root  $u$  that discovers the vertex  $v$ . ) and mark  $v$  as visited. If  $W[v] > max$ , then update  $w$  by  $D_b[v]$  and  $max = W[v]$ . If  $Q$  becomes empty, stop; otherwise, repeat. In the last step, The **GET-POTENTIAL-NODE-BY-BFS-TREE** method returns the vertex  $w \in L$  associated with the heaviest BFS tree.

---

**Algorithm 4:** Get potential seeds by using **GET-POTENTIAL-NODE-BY-BFS-TREE** method.

---

**Input** : Graph  $G(V, E)$ , propagation step  $p$ , influential and activation thresholds ( $\theta$  and  $\alpha$ ).  
**Output:** Final spreader list  $S$

```

1 BACKBONE-BASED-SEED-NODES( $G, p, \theta, \alpha$ ) begin
2    $S \leftarrow \phi$ 
3    $A \leftarrow \phi$ 
4    $I \leftarrow \phi$ 
5    $\hat{S} \leftarrow []$ 
6   while  $I \neq V(G)$  do
7      $L \leftarrow$  GET-MOST-INACTIVE-VERTICES ( $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}$ )
8      $u \leftarrow$  GET-POTENTIAL-NODE-BY-BFS-TREE ( $G, A, L$ )
9      $append(\hat{S}, u)$ 
10     $A = A \cup \{u\}$ 
11     $[I, A] \leftarrow$  DIFFUSION ( $G, u, p, A, \alpha, \theta$ )
12  return  $S$ 
```

---

#### 4.4 BFS and DFS Greedy Heuristics

Qiang et al. proposed two heuristics, BFS-GREEDY and DFS-GREEDY. We also apply the **PRUNING** technique on the seed set returned by these heuristics to improve the seed set.


## 5 Result and discussion

We implemented our algorithms on the Ubuntu Operating System, and the hardware specifications are the processor Intel Core<sup>TM</sup> i7-8700CPU@3.2Ghz and 16GB RAM.


For comparison purposes, we set the parameters used in the algorithms as the propagation range  $P = 3$  and  $P = diameter(G)$ , the activation threshold  $\alpha = 0.6$ , and the influence threshold  $\theta = 0.4$ . The proposed algorithms are compared with recently published efficient algorithms by Qiang et al.[16]. The sources of datasets are network repository [18], SNAP dataset[11], social networks [17], and data collected by Newman[14]. The results are shown for propagation range  $p = 3$  in Figure 3 and Table 2. The results for  $p=diameter$  are shown in Figure4 and Table 3. In the tables, the algorithms from [16] are referred to as DFS-GREEDY (DFS-GD) and BFS-GREEDY (BFS-GD) while the proposed heuristics DFS-GREEDY-PRUNNING as DFS-PRUN, BFS-GREEDY-PRUNNING as BFS-PRUN, BACKBONE-BASED as BBH, AVERAGE-DEGREE as ADH and CLOSEST-FIRST as CFH.

Average degree heuristic and backbone heuristics perform well for dense data sets like Karate [14], Reed98 [18], musae-squirrel [11], and Web-pol blogs as both the algorithms find spreader vertices based on the importance of the degree of inactive vertices. Average Degree (ADH) and Closest-First (CFH) heuristics perform well on dense graphs with a high average clustering coefficient and a high average degree. **BFS-GREEDY-PRUNNING** and **BACKBONE-BASED** heuristics work well on sparse graphs. The **PRUNING** works efficiently and effectively if the selected spreaders are in the neighborhood of each other. Our **BACKBONE-BASED** algorithm uses Prim’s algorithm to find the dominated path based on degree, which can activate more vertices. Therefore, all our proposed four heuristics are improving recent results given by Qiang et al.[16].





**Fig. 3.** When propagation range  $p = 3$ , comparison of the results between the proposed algorithms and [16]. Algorithm numbers 1 (DFS-GREEDY [16]) and 2 (BFS-GREEDY [16]) are proposed by [16], and we are proposing algorithm numbers 3 (DFS-GREEDY-PRUNNING), 4 (BFS-GREEDY-PRUNNING), 5 (BACKBONE-BASED), 6 (AVERAGE-DEGREE). The algorithm numbers are denoted on the X-axis of the bars, and the Y-axis denotes the size of the seed set.



**Fig. 4.** When propagation rang  $p = \text{diameter}(G)$ , the comparison of the results between the proposed algorithms and [16]. Algorithm numbers 1 (DFS-GREEDY [16]) and 2 (BFS-GREEDY [16]) are proposed by [16], and we are proposing algorithm numbers 3 (DFS-GREEDY-PRUNNING), 4 (BFS-GREEDY-PRUNNING), 5(BACKBONE-BASED), 6(AVERAGE-DEGREE). The algorithm numbers are denoted on the X-axis of the bars, and the Y-axis denotes the size of the seed set.

---

**Algorithm 5:** Get potential vertex using BFS-tree.

---

**Input** : Graph  $G(V, E)$ , activation set  $A$  and list  $L$ .

**Output:** Highest Influenced Vertex  $w$ .

```
1 GET-POTENTIAL-NODE-BY-BFS-TREE ( $G, A, L$ ) begin
2    $Q \leftarrow \phi$ 
   //  $Q$  is a Queue
3    $A \leftarrow \phi$ 
4    $Q.push(u), D_b[u] = u, VIS[u] = True, \forall u \in L$ 
   //  $VIS$  is an array that will keep track of visited vertices.
5    $W[u] = deg(u), VIS[u] = False, \forall u \in L$ 
6    $max \leftarrow -1$ 
7    $w \leftarrow -1$ 
8   while  $Q$  is not empty do
9      $u \leftarrow Q.dequeue()$ 
10    for  $v \in N(u)$  do
11      if  $VIS[v] = False$  and  $v \notin A$  then
12         $D_b[v] \leftarrow D_b[u]$ 
13         $W[v] \leftarrow W[v] + W[u]$ 
14         $VIS[v] \leftarrow True$ 
15         $Q.enqueue(v)$ 
16      if  $max < W[v]$  then
17         $max \leftarrow W[v]$ 
18         $w \leftarrow D_b[u]$ 
19 return  $w$ 
```

---

**Table 1.** Network properties of the data sets [14, 11, 17, 18] used in this paper.

Network	Nodes	Edges	Density	Avg-triangles	Avg-Degree	Avg-CC
power	4941	6594	0.0005	0.3953	2.669	0.08
BlogCatalog	10312	333983	0.0063	1631.6905	64.776	0.463
lastfm	7624	27806	0.001	15.9102	7.294	0.219
tvshow	3892	17262	0.0023	67.13	8.871	0.374
web-polblogs	643	2280	0.011	14.0156	7.092	0.232
CA-HepTh	9877	25998	0.0005	8.6076	5.264	0.471
polblogs	1224	16718	0.0223	247.6544	27.317	0.32
Karate	34	78	0.139	3.9706	4.588	0.571
hamster	1858	12534	0.0073	27.0452	13.492	0.141
musae-crocodile	11631	170918	0.0025	160.8029	29.39	0.336
facebook	4039	88234	0.0108	1197.3335	43.691	0.606
CA-GrQc	5242	14496	0.0011	27.6192	5.531	0.53
Reed98	962	18812	0.0407	302.922	39.11	0.318
musae-squirrel	5201	198493	0.0147	5534.8639	76.329	0.422
government	7057	89455	0.0036	222.6955	25.352	0.411
musae-chameleon	2277	31421	0.0121	451.9974	27.599	0.481
politician	5908	41729	0.0024	88.6757	14.126	0.385
CA-HepPh	12008	118521	0.0016	839.0654	19.74	0.611

**Table 2.** Comparison of estimated seed set size when the propagation range is  $p = 3$ .

Network	DFS-GD [16]	BFS-GD [16]	DFS-PRUN	BFS-PRUN	BBH	ADH	CFH
BlogCatalog	631	600	613	493	<b>481</b>	486	484
CA-GrQc	1814	1824	1814	1396	1417	1418	<b>1397</b>
CA-HepPh	3700	3702	3700	2755	2770	2766	<b>2746</b>
CA-HepTh	3014	3031	3014	2411	2428	2428	<b>2409</b>
Karate	7	7	6	6	6	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>
Reed98	277	262	228	230	238	<b>228</b>	232
facebook	1227	1237	1227	1046	<b>1037</b>	1042	1038
government	1581	1594	1581	1295	1290	<b>1287</b>	1288
hamster	326	327	318	286	286	284	<b>284</b>
lastfm	1697	1675	1697	<b>1423</b>	1427	1430	1427
musae-chameleon	349	347	349	302	296	<b>296</b>	300
musae-crocodile	1252	1242	1252	1005	1008	1005	<b>1003</b>
musae-squirrel	767	745	736	595	<b>591</b>	595	602
polblogs	176	175	170	154	155	150	<b>149</b>
politician	1258	1251	1258	<b>1064</b>	1075	1074	1071
power	1735	1735	1439	1439	1438	<b>1435</b>	1475
tvshow	1092	1076	1092	<b>943</b>	957	957	947
web-polblogs	104	108	104	94	94	<b>92</b>	93

**Table 3.** Comparison of estimated seed set size when the propagation range equals the graph’s diameter.

Network	DFS-GD [16]	BFS-GD [16]	DFS-PRUN	BFS-PRUN	BBH	ADH	CFH
BlogCatalog	254	254	252	252	267	<b>249</b>	252
CA-GrQc	1727	1727	1312	<b>1312</b>	1326	1326	1318
CA-HepPh	3004	3004	2205	<b>2205</b>	2216	2216	2208
CA-HepTh	2731	2731	2095	<b>2095</b>	2109	2109	2100
Karate	7	7	6	6	6	6	<b>6</b>
Reed98	155	155	139	139	133	138	<b>132</b>
facebook	848	848	768	768	768	769	<b>767</b>
governmen	824	824	723	<b>723</b>	729	724	725
hamster	186	186	167	167	165	<b>164</b>	173
lastfm	1143	1143	934	<b>934</b>	953	952	942
musae-chameleon	262	262	246	246	246	244	<b>244</b>
musae-crocodile	814	814	710	710	713	710	<b>704</b>
musae-squirrel	405	405	362	362	364	<b>360</b>	363
polblogs	107	107	98	98	100	<b>97</b>	100
politician	849	849	752	752	751	752	<b>747</b>
power	1711	1711	1421	1421	1419	<b>1419</b>	1450
tvshow	960	960	819	<b>819</b>	822	822	820
web-polblogs	66	66	59	59	61	60	<b>59</b>

## 6 Conclusion

Due to the importance of social media networks in daily life, this paper studies the influence maximization problem with propagation range. If a vertex receives the same information sufficient times from its neighbors, the vertex in the network becomes influenced. Similarly, an influenced vertex in the network starts spreading information if the vertex receives the same information from enough neighbors. Indeed, information originating from a source does not flow continuously. So, the influence model includes the propagation range of information from the originating vertex. This paper proposes heuristics based on backbone-based heaviest paths and the average degree of non-activated vertices. The proposed heuristics and the pruning techniques give improved seed sets compared to existing heuristics. Applying genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and other metaheuristic techniques to this problem is an interesting future direction.

## References

1. Leela Srija Alla and Anjeneya Swami Kare. Opinion maximization in signed social networks using centrality measures and clustering techniques. In *Distributed Computing and Intelligent Technology: 19th International Conference, ICDCIT 2023, Bhubaneswar, India, January 18–22, 2023, Proceedings*, pages 125–140. Springer, 2023.
2. Binay Bhattacharya, Sandip Das, and Subhadeep Ranjan Dev. The weighted k-center problem in trees for fixed k. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 906:64–75, 2022. ISSN 0304-3975. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2022.01.005>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304397522000135>.
3. Anthony Bonato, Jeannette Janssen, and Elham Roshanbin. How to burn a graph. *Internet Mathematics*, 12(1-2):85–100, 2016.
4. Ning Chen. On the approximability of influence in social networks. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 23(3):1400–1415, 2009.
5. Gennaro Cordasco, Luisa Gargano, Adele Anna Rescigno, and Ugo Vaccaro. Evangelism in social networks: Algorithms and complexity. *Networks*, 71(4):346–357, 2018.
6. Gennaro Cordasco, Luisa Gargano, and Adele A Rescigno. Active influence spreading in social networks. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 764:15–29, 2019.
7. Rahul Kumar Gautam, Anjeneya Swami Kare, and S. Durga Bhavani. Faster heuristics for graph burning. *Applied Intelligence*, pages 1–11, 2022.
8. Rahul Kumar Gautam, Anjeneya Swami Kare, and S. Durga Bhavani. Centrality measures based heuristics for perfect awareness problem in social networks. In Raghava Morusupalli, Teja Santosh Dandibhotla, Vani Vathsala Atluri, David Windridge, Pawan Lingras, and Venkateswara Rao Komati, editors, *Multi-disciplinary Trends in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 91–100, Cham, 2023. Springer Nature Switzerland.
9. Farzaneh Kazemzadeh, Ali Asghar Safaei, and Mitra Mirzarezaee. Influence maximization in social networks using effective community detection. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 598:127314, 2022.
10. David Kempe, Jon Kleinberg, and Éva Tardos. Maximizing the spread of influence through a social network. In *Proceedings of the ninth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 137–146, 2003.
11. Jure Leskovec and Andrej Krevl. SNAP Datasets: Stanford large network dataset collection. <http://snap.stanford.edu/data>, June 2014.
12. Ziwei Liang, Qiang He, Hongwei Du, and Wen Xu. Targeted influence maximization in competitive social networks. *Information Sciences*, 619:390–405, 2023.
13. Mahdi Nazeri, Ali Mollahosseini, and Iman Izadi. A centrality based genetic algorithm for the graph burning problem. *Available at SSRN 4224314*, 2022.
14. Mark Newman. Network data. <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~mejn/netdata/>, 2015.
15. Felipe de C Pereira, Pedro J de Rezende, and Cid C de Souza. Effective heuristics for the perfect awareness problem. *Procedia Computer Science*, 195:489–498, 2021.
16. Zhecheng Qiang, Eduardo L Pasiliao, and Qipeng P Zheng. Target set selection in social networks with tiered influence and activation thresholds. *Journal of combinatorial optimization*, 45:117, 2023. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10878-023-01023-8>.
17. Zafarani Reza and Liu Huan. Social computing data repository. <http://datasets.syr.edu/pages/datasets.html>, 2009.
18. Ryan A. Rossi and Nesreen K. Ahmed. The network data repository with interactive graph analytics and visualization. In *AAAI*, 2015. <https://networkrepository.com>.

19. Ahmad Zareie and Rizos Sakellariou. Rumour spread minimization in social networks: A source-ignorant approach. *Online Social Networks and Media*, 29:100206, 2022. ISSN 2468-6964. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.osnem.2022.100206>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2468696422000106>.
20. Marek Šimon, Ladislav Huraj, Iveta Luptáková, and Jiří Pospíchal. Heuristics for spreading alarm throughout a network. *Applied Sciences*, 9:3269, 2019.