Scintillation velocity and arc observations of FRB 20201124A

ZIWEI WU (b,¹ WEIWEI ZHU (b,^{1,2} BING ZHANG (b,^{3,4} YI FENG (b,⁵ JINLIN HAN (b,^{1,6} DI LI (b,^{1,7,8} DONGZI LI (b,⁹ RUI LUO (b,¹⁰ CHENHUI NIU (b,¹¹ JIARUI NIU (b,^{6,1} BOJUN WANG (b,¹ FAYIN WANG (b,^{12,13} PEI WANG (b,¹ WEIYANG WANG (b,¹⁴ HENG XU (b,¹ YUANPEI YANG (b,¹⁵ YONGKUN ZHANG (b,^{1,6} DEJIANG ZHOU (b,^{1,6} YUHAO ZHU (b,^{1,6} CAN-MIN DENG (b,¹⁶ YONGHUA XU (b,¹⁷)

(FAST FRB KEY SCIENCE PROJECT)

¹National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

²Institute for Frontier in Astronomy and Astrophysics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 102206, China

³Nevada Center for Astrophysics, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA

⁵Research Center for Astronomical Computing, Zhejiang Laboratory, Hangzhou 311100, China

⁶School of Astronomy, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

⁷NAOC-UKZN Computational Astrophysics Centre, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban 4000, South Africa

⁸Research Center for Intelligent Computing Platforms, Zhejiang Laboratory, Hangzhou 311100, China

⁹Cahill Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, MC 249-17 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena CA 91125, USA

¹⁰ Department of Astronomy, School of Physics and Materials Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China

¹¹Institute of Astrophysics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China

¹²School of Astronomy and Space Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

¹³Key Laboratory of Modern Astronomy and Astrophysics (Nanjing University), Ministry of Education, China

¹⁴School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

¹⁵South-Western Institute For Astronomy Research, Yunnan University, Yunnan 650504, China

¹⁶Guangxi Key Laboratory for Relativistic Astrophysics, Department of Physics, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

¹⁷ Yunnan Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650011, China

ABSTRACT

We present the scintillation velocity measurements of FRB 20201124A from the FAST observations, which reveal an annual variation. This annual variation is further supported by changes detected in the scintillation arc as observed from the secondary spectrum. We attribute the annual velocity variation to the presence of a moderately anisotropic scattering screen located at a distance of 0.4 ± 0.1 kpc from Earth. Our results prove that the scintillation of this FRB is mainly caused by material close to Earth on a Galactic scale. However, scintillation observations of other FRBs may expose their surrounding environment or uncover possible orbital motion if scintillation is caused by materials in their host galaxy.

Keywords: Fast Radio Bursts: general — FRB: individual

1. INTRODUCTION

Fast radio bursts (FRBs) discovered by Lorimer et al. (2007) are a new class of astronomical source characterized by their short duration (approximately milliseconds) and highly energetic emission in the radio wave-

Corresponding author: Ziwei Wu, Weiwei Zhu wuzw@bao.ac.cn, zhuww@nao.cas.cn

lengths (i.e., $10^{37} - 10^{40}$ erg reported by Li et al. 2021). Observations across various frequency bands and theoretical investigations have been conducted to understand FRBs. Notable achievements in recent years include the identification of periodic activity in FRB active window (Chime/Frb Collaboration et al. 2020), the association of FRBs with galactic magnetars (Bochenek et al. 2020; Zhu et al. 2023), the detection of short-term variations in Faraday rotation measure (Xu et al. 2022), and the identification of FRBs associated with persistent radio

sources (Niu et al. 2022a), among others. Despite these advancements, FRBs' radiation mechanisms and physical origins remain enigmatic (Zhang 2023).

Ionized interstellar medium with varying refractive indices focuses and scatters the phase of radio waves from compact objects, e.g. pulsars and FRBs. The interference between these scattered rays leads to modulation of the signal intensity as a function of frequency and time. This phenomenon is known as interstellar scintillation (ISS, Scheuer 1968). ISS is typically categorized into two types: diffractive ISS (DISS, Rickett 1969) and refractive ISS (RISS, Sieber 1982; Rickett et al. 1984). DISS results in significant modulations on short timescales, while RISS arises due to large-scale re-focusing and defocusing of the rays, causing small modulations on long timescales (Rickett 1990). Small-scale enhanced signals, known as scintles, can be identified in the dynamic spectra, i.e., the two-dimensional (2D) matrices of signal intensity as a function of time (t) and frequency (f). In some cases, arcs could be identified in the secondary spectra, i.e. the 2D power spectra of dynamic spectra (Stinebring et al. 2001; Walker et al. 2004; Cordes et al. 2006). Scintillation velocity V_{eff} related parameters, such as the scintillation timescale $\tau_{\rm d}$ (characteristic size of scintles in the time domain) and arc curvature η , exhibit periodic variations due to the Earth and source orbital motions (i.e., Lyne 1984; Reardon et al. 2020). This motivates the intriguing question of whether it is possible to search for putative orbital motion of FRBs through scintillation observations.

Previous FRB scintillation studies (i.e., Zhou et al. 2022) focused on investigating the scintillation bandwidth $\Delta \nu_{\rm d}$. Measuring the scintillation timescale $\tau_{\rm d}$ is challenging due to the lack of a sufficient sample of bursts from active repeating FRBs that show bursts with correlated bandpasses. Nimmo et al. (2022) found evidence of correlated scintillation frequency structures between two bursts from FRB 20200120E separated by 4.3 minutes, indicating the presence of scintillation timescales $\tau_d \geq 4$ minutes. Main et al. (2022) reported the first measurement of scintillation timescale τ_d for FRB 20201124A using Effelsberg and the upgraded Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope. Moreover, the annual variation in scintillation velocity was detected based on ten scintillation velocity values, suggesting a Milky Way origin for the ISS of FRB 20201124A (Main et al. 2023). Additionally, Wu et al. (2024) identified the first detection of FRB scintillation arc from FRB 20220912A, indicating the presence of a thin scattering screen in the line of sight dominating the observed scintillation phenomena. Moreover, there is no significant difference in scintillation arc curvature of FRB 20220912A detected

within the time span of 20 days. Readers can refer to Cordes & Chatterjee (2019) for more details regarding FRB scintillation.

In this Letter, we present the annual variations in scintillation velocity and arc curvature of FRB 20201124A using the Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope (FAST). Section 2 describes our observations and data processing procedures. The results are presented in Section 3. Finally, Section 4 contains the discussions and conclusions.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

FRB 20201124A was initially discovered by CHIME (Chime/FRB Collabortion 2021) and was subsequently localized to its host galaxy (Day et al. 2021). Subsequent FAST observations detected three active episodes, including thousands of bursts Xu et al. (2022). Zhou et al. (2022) studied its burst morphology. Zhang et al. (2022) studied its energy distribution. Jiang et al. (2022) did its polarimetry studies, and Niu et al. (2022b) tried to find spin period and reported fine structures.

For our analysis, we utilize data obtained with FAST between April 01, 2021, and July 11, 2023 (Nan et al. 2011; Jiang et al. 2019; Li et al. 2018), in the frequency range of 1.0–1.5 GHz. The pointing direction of FAST was $R.A. = 05^{h}08^{m}03.51^{s}$, and $Dec = +26^{\circ}03'38.5''$, corresponding to Galactic longitude: 177.76° and Galactic latitude: -8.52°.

We use search-mode filter bank data with a digital backend based on the ROACH2 board (Jiang et al. 2019, 2020) without coherent dedispersion. The recorded data are in the 8-bit PSRFITS format (Hotan et al. 2004) with four-channel Stokes format (XX, YY, XY, YX), the time resolution of 49.152 μ s, and 4096 frequency channels corresponding to a frequency resolution of approximately 0.122 MHz. The 19-beam receiver of FAST utilizes orthogonal linear polarization feeds. At the beginning of the observation, a periodic square wave with a 100% linear polarization calibrator signal is injected, using a folded noise diode for polarization calibration. The cross-coupling of the two feeds is negligible ($\leq 0.5\%$, Luo et al. 2020). The PSR/IEEE convention (van Straten et al. 2010) is employed to define Stokes parameters. We then do offline incoherent dedispersion and search for the FRB signal, using a single fixed dispersion measure (DM) value of 411 pc $\rm cm^{-3}$ and a peak signal-tonoise ratio (S/N^1) threshold of 10.0. It is worth noting that previous FAST FRB analyses (i.e., Zhou et al.

 $^{^1}$ The peak S/N is given by the ratio of the maximum intensity of pulse profile to the standard deviation of the off-pulse region. The time resolution of pulse profile is 49.152 $\mu \rm s$

Γ

| М | D-50/85 | |
|-----|---------|--|
| 141 | D=29402 | |

| 60 | - | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|--|
| | mallin hun how mound in home | man | Annound the second second | - Marine | har | hand the second | man | |
| | | | Murand | And the second | hinter | Makanah Asinta Mananggah | Annan | u Ani |
| | and the second of the second se | | and the former of | | | | | |
| 50 | and the following the for the second second | | | NUSCESSION . | | | ***** | deleta Arrenta |
| | - MUARAAN ASSIST | teritari en antra desta | and the manual | Sum and and and | hassan fill blan | dentes a la la | | |
| | examilia harden har harden h | han han water | - Andrewski - A | | and the second | Annon Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Anno Ann | | |
| | | | - Martin All | and and and | La contraction | Amman | man | an Anno |
| | - All Annabel Contraction | h Annah | 机头放心 | | La Maria | Anna | AWAM | المتحاكية (المحمد الحيطة |
| 40 | | the per Annu Arnut | yydd hafrydd yn gallan yw | Martin and and and | how we want | hannen | | |
| 40 | minima Manana Manana Andre | Hickory Control Concerns | ut him mine | | mann | Anna | | |
| | Mandalan Manakanan and | | | | | | | |
| | the standard makes | the hard and hard hard hard | Autoritan | unawan | and the second | huhmhan | | Hiptory |
| (uic | Martin Martin Martin Martin | and the former of the second o | | N Service and the second | and the state | Anstraini Nara- | | Westerner |
| <u>د</u> 30 | - Maria Maria Maria Angelana | a mandera | ALA | - man | and the second | he han han | Authan | |
| , Ĕ | | and Marine Provider | enderer and | | | Am Am | ANNA-K | hard |
| F | with the Marin Man Man have been been | Second Second Second | | | | | | |
| | hiddelithaetheethethethethethethethethethethetheth | | And a second s | | | hard and a series | Man M | hin |
| | | ware and the second second | | | cit winds to | mana | Manal Mark | nii tiin |
| 20 | | - Audine | Minima | Christian Maria | | mm | Mamur | n Natural Salari Natura Salari |
| | with Mammulud And the | - Auto | Man And | | | | | in the second |
| | | Manager A. | A data should be for | diriya Arakaka di mendiri me | anto-Anto-Anto- | Ant Annah Annani Marthana dha ana | halan ana dalah | mun Suntan |
| | Additional and the second and the se | | - Andrew Ar | - Ward and a second | | man and a second | Margares - | Colorador C |
| 10 | weeter and the second of the s | www.weatherner. | K-ANDERSAN | | | | | 1444-244 1 |
| 10 | and in the first states | All the Annula | i Anim AA | - Anna Al | ÂÂĂ | | | A MARINE AND |
| | Murmelilum and a second and a second price | and the Articles | Martin Martin | - Marian | | nin Asia Annad | hannen f | Jasoff Land |
| | Mart Martine | | the state of the s | ha aktion | | | | a |
| | | | Marine And | handhan | - north | | | 1 Sanda |
| 0 | reserviterentertegnen utsich Vilutich lassatianst Nasatia - | eneeriettistikettistettesiy | ann an the state of the state o | iller, is any , et authore | unun mentunga 660 | nanda dalanga seringing | -weglow | And the second s |
| 1 / | | | 1250 | | 1250 | | 1 / 5 | 0 |
| Τ(| 1150 | Fre | equency (I | MHz) | 1320 | | 145 | U |

Figure 1. The dynamic spectrum of Stokes I of FRB 20201124A at MJD 59485. High-frequency noises are injected for calibration during the observation's first and last minutes. The same printed contrast is applied to each frequency spectrum, and the lines have transparency.

2022) employed a detection threshold of S/N = 7, enabling the detection of more bursts. We use a higher detection threshold since studies of scintillation properties require a high signal-to-noise ratio, often from deflected ray paths with $\lesssim 1\%$ of the FRB's mean flux.

To mitigate radio frequency interference, we identify according to the mean and the standard deviation of the time-averaged series and then mask. The methodologies for generating dynamic and secondary spectra are detailed in previous work by Main et al. (2022) and Wu et al. (2024). We then derive the scintillation bandwidth $(\Delta \nu_{\rm d})$ and scintillation timescale $(\tau_{\rm d})$ from the 2D autocorrelation function (ACF) of the dynamic spectrum from each observation (see Wu et al. 2024, for more details), but only if we have more than ten blocks of data² containing brighter bursts detected (see Figure 1). This ensures the reliability of the derived scintillation parameters. The uncertainty in the scintillation parameters is the quadrature sum of the uncertainty arising from the fitting procedure and the statistical error σ_{est} resulting from the limited number of scintles (Bhat et al. 1999):

$$\sigma_{\rm est} = (f_{\rm d} \times \frac{BW_{\rm dyn} T_{\rm dyn}}{\Delta \nu_{\rm d} \tau_{\rm d}})^{-0.5}.$$
 (1)

Here, BW_{dyn} and T_{dyn} are the observing bandwidth and length, respectively, and f_d (= 0.4) is the filling factor. $\sigma_{\rm est}$ is 2–10% mainly depending on the observing length.

3. RESULTS

We analyze all the datasets of FRB 20201124A obtained from FAST and identify a total of 51 observations with more than ten selected blocks of data. In Table 1, we provide the corresponding Modified Julian Dates (MJDs), observing lengths, the number of blocks of data, scintillation bandwidth $\Delta \nu_{\rm d}$, and scintillation timescale $\tau_{\rm d}$ of the selected observations.

We present the 2D ACFs and the secondary spectra of MJD 59485 and 59612 in Figure 2 as examples. Both of these examples exhibit tilts caused by refraction in their 2D ACFs. The presence of asymmetrical scintillation arcs provides further evidence for the existence of a larger-scale gradient in phase (Cordes et al. 2006).

3.1. Scintillation Velocity

The predominant scattering model employed is the thin screen model (Williamson 1972, 1973), which postulates that scattering occurs within a narrow screen



Figure 2. (Upper panels) The 2D ACFs of FRB 20201124A with FAST on MJDs 59485 and 59612. In the two smaller side plots, the gray points are the 1D ACFs at zero frequency and time lag, the red dashed curves are the best fits from which the scintillation timescale $\tau_{\rm d}$ and scintillation bandwidth $\Delta \nu_{\rm d}$ are derived, respectively. (Bottom Panels) The corresponding secondary spectra.

oriented along the direction of propagation. The validity of the thin screen model was corroborated by the detection of very sharp scintillation arcs (i.e., Stinebring et al. 2001; Cordes et al. 2006; Pen & Levin 2014). In our observations, the secondary spectra of FRB 20201124A shown in Figure 2 are of the fuzzy type and are more suggestive of a non-thin screen geometry. For simplicity, the thin screen model is adopted.

In the study of **time** variations in scintillation phenomena, a crucial parameter is the effective transverse line-of-sight velocity $V_{\text{eff}}(s)$ across the scattering medium at fraction position s that is measured from the FRB position at s=0 to s=1 at the observer's position. This velocity is a linear combination of the velocities of the FRB, Earth, and the ionized interstellar medium (IISM) in the form of (Cordes & Rickett 1998):

$$V_{\text{eff}}(s) = (1-s)(V_{\text{FRB},\text{T}} + V_{\text{FRB},\text{O}}) + sV_{\text{E}} - V_{\text{IISM}}(s) \quad (2)$$

where $V_{\text{FRB,T}}$, $V_{\text{FRB,O}}$, V_{E} and V_{IISM} are the velocities of the FRB proper motion, the possible orbital motion of the FRB, earth motion and the IISM motion, respectively. Each velocity component comprises two parts: v_{α} along R.A. and v_{δ} along Dec. In the case of the Milky Way origin of FRB, the fractional distance s~1. Conse-

 $^{^2}$ Each block of data is around 0.2s (4096 sampling intervals). We use the term "block of data" rather than burst here due to the frequent occurrence of multiple bursts within a block of data of FRB 20201124A depending on the definition of a burst.

| MJD | Length (hrs) | $N_{\rm blocks}$ | $\tau_{\rm d} \ ({\rm mins})$ | $\Delta \nu_{\rm d} \ ({\rm MHz})$ | Arc Detection |
|-------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| 59314 | 2.0 | 59 | $17.7{\pm}0.6$ | $0.9{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59315 | 2.0 | 59 | $17.1 {\pm} 0.7$ | $1.2 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59316 | 2.0 | 44 | $15.9 {\pm} 0.6$ | $0.9 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59319 | 1.0 | 19 | $15.8 {\pm} 0.8$ | $0.5 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59320 | 1.9 | 25 | $16.4 {\pm} 0.7$ | $0.5 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59321 | 1.5 | 19 | $15.7 {\pm} 1.0$ | $0.7 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59323 | 2.0 | 46 | $18.4 {\pm} 0.8$ | $0.7 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59324 | 1.7 | 24 | $12.1 {\pm} 0.9$ | $1.4{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59325 | 0.5×4 | 29 | $13.5 {\pm} 0.8$ | $0.9 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59326 | $0.5 \times 3 + 0.8 \times 1$ | 44 | $12.7 {\pm} 0.8$ | $1.2 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59327 | 0.5×4 | 24 | $15.6 {\pm} 0.9$ | $0.5 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59328 | 0.5×4 | 34 | $12.0 {\pm} 0.7$ | $0.5 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59329 | 1.5 | 21 | $14.1 {\pm} 0.9$ | $0.8 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59330 | 0.5×4 | 29 | $14.5 {\pm} 0.9$ | $0.7 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59331 | 0.5×4 | 28 | $10.7 {\pm} 0.8$ | $0.5 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59334 | 0.5×4 | 43 | $12.8 {\pm} 0.8$ | $0.7 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59336 | 0.5×4 | 19 | $13.4{\pm}1.4$ | $0.5 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59337 | 0.5×4 | 37 | 15.2 ± 1.4 | $0.6 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59347 | 0.5×4 | 13 | $13.4{\pm}1.6$ | $0.7{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59348 | 0.5×4 | 21 | 10.7 ± 1.1 | 1.1 ± 0.1 | |
| 59349 | 0.5×4 | 15 | 9.5 ± 1.3 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | |
| 59350 | 0.5×4 | 20 | $10.4{\pm}0.7$ | $1.4{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59351 | 0.5×4 | 23 | 11.1 ± 1.2 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | |
| 59352 | 0.5×4 | 26 | 9.5 ± 1.0 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | |
| 59482 | 1.0 | 15 | 30.1 ± 2.2 | 0.4 ± 0.1 | |
| 59483 | 1.0 | 40 | 34.5 ± 1.8 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | |
| 59484 | 1.0 | 127 | 28.0 ± 1.0 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | Yes |
| 59485 | 1.0 | 283 | 25.8 ± 1.0 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | Yes |
| 59612 | 2.0 | 187 | 20.1 ± 0.8 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | Yes |
| 59613 | 2.0 | 150 | 17.8 ± 0.4 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | Yes |
| 59614 | 2.0 | 61 | 16.4 ± 0.6 | 0.9 ± 0.1 | Yes |
| 59615 | 1.0 | 54 | 17.8 ± 0.6 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | Yes |
| 59616 | 1.0 | 37 | 19.1 ± 0.8 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | |
| 59619 | 1.0 | 34 | 24.9 ± 1.5 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | |
| 59621 | 1.0 | 30 | 21.9 ± 1.5 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | |
| 59622 | 1.0 | 27 | 27.9 ± 2.2 | 2.1 ± 0.2 | |
| 59624 | 1.0 | 18 | 24.9 ± 1.5 | $0.4{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59625 | 1.0 | 24 | 19.5 ± 1.5 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | |
| 59628 | 0.33 | 16 | 21.1 ± 7.9 | $0.7{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59629 | 0.33 | 15 | 22.9 ± 2.6 | 1.5 ± 0.2 | |
| 59630 | 0.33 | 29 | 22.0 ± 1.7 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | |
| 59631 | 0.33 | 32 | 21.6 ± 2.3 | $1.4{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59632 | 1.0 | 66 | 21.7 ± 1.4 | $0.7{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59633 | 0.33 | 29 | 18.5 ± 1.3 | $0.9{\pm}0.1$ | |
| 59634 | 1.0 | 72 | 23.9 ± 1.5 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | |
| 59636 | 1.0 | 46 | 24.2 ± 1.1 | $0.6 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59637 | 0.33 | 14 | 23.2 ± 2.6 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | |
| 59638 | 0.33 | 12 | 22.3 ± 2.9 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | |
| 59642 | 0.33 | 12 | 17.6 ± 4.0 | $0.6 {\pm} 0.1$ | |
| 59657 | 0.33 | 11 | 24.6 ± 2.6 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | |
| 59658 | 0.33 | 15 | $39.0{\pm}7.7$ | $0.9{\pm}0.1$ | |

Table 1. Summary of 51 FAST observations and scintillation properties of FRB 20201124A

Notes: Given are the Modified Julian Day (MJD), the observation length, $N_{\rm blocks}$: the number of blocks of data (the integration time of each blocks of data is ~0.2s, and some of blocks of data have multiple bright bursts.), the derived scintillation timescale $\tau_{\rm d}$ and bandwidth $\Delta \nu_{\rm d}$, and the note whether scintillation arc is detected.



Figure 3. (Upper panel) Measurements of the re-scaled scintillation velocity W of FRB 20201124A. The dashed curve represents the best-fitting anisotropic scattering model described in section 3.2 using MCMC. The brightness of W depends on the number of blocks of data of each observation. (Lower panel) The predicted scintillation arc using the best-fitting anisotropic scattering model. The black-filled areas represent the scintillation arc curvature range.

quently, the contributions from the FRB velocities can be considered negligible.

In this Letter, we adopt a parameter W instead of effective scintillation velocity V_{eff} . This choice allows us to isolate the unknown variables from the directly measurable parameters (Main et al. 2023):

$$W_{\rm km/s/\sqrt{\rm kpc}} \equiv \frac{V_{\rm ISS}}{\sqrt{D_{\rm s}}} = \frac{V_{\rm eff}}{s\sqrt{D_{\rm s}}} \approx \frac{V_{\rm eff}}{\sqrt{D_{\rm s}}}, (\text{theory})$$
$$\approx 27800 \frac{\sqrt{2\Delta\nu_{\rm d}}}{f\tau_{\rm d}}, (\text{observation})$$
(3)

where V_{ISS} is the scintillation velocity in km/s (Cordes & Rickett 1998), D_s is the distance of scattering screen from Earth in the unit of kpc, the scintillation bandwidth $\Delta \nu_d$ is expressed in the unit of MHz, the observing frequency f is in the unit of GHz, and the scintillation timescale τ_d is represented in the unit of second.

3.2. Anisotropic scattering screen model

Observational phenomena such as extremely scattering events (Fiedler et al. 1987; Coles et al. 2015), the scintillation behavior of B0834+06 (Brisken et al. 2010), and flatter power law index at lower frequencies (Wu et al. 2023) strongly suggest the presence of inhomogeneous and anisotropic scattering screens. We consider the scattered image of a point source produced by the screen that is an ellipse with the axial ratio A_r and ϕ_{A_r} defined clockwise from R.A. (Coles et al. 2005). Rickett et al. (2014) further introduced a parameterization of the quadratic coefficients in terms of $R = (A_r^2 - 1)/(A_r^2 + 1)$, which ranges between 0 (isotropic screen) and 1 (1D screen). The coefficients are

$$a = [1 - R\cos(2\phi_{A_r})] / \sqrt{1 - R^2},$$

$$b = [1 + R\cos(2\phi_{A_r})] / \sqrt{1 - R^2},$$

$$c = -2R\sin(2\phi_{A_r}) / \sqrt{1 - R^2}.$$
(4)

Eventually, the theoretical model of the effective scintillation velocity is then given by (Rickett et al. 2014)

$$V_{\text{eff}}(s) = \sqrt{av_{\alpha}(s)^2 + bv_{\delta}(s)^2 + cv_{\alpha}(s)v_{\delta}(s)}.$$
 (5)

3.3. Interpreting Scintillation Variation

We compute the parameter W with Equation 3 using the mean value of scintillation bandwidth $\Delta \nu_{\rm d}$. We employ this approach for two reasons. Firstly, due to the presence of phase gradient variation manifested in tilted ACFs and the dynamic asymmetry of scintillation arcs, as depicted in Figure 2. Secondly, individual bursts do not cover the full frequency band. These factors can lead to complications in scintillation parameter measurements. Considering that the scintillation bandwidth $\Delta \nu_{\rm d}$ strongly depends on frequency, we tend to use its mean value. The resulting time series of W is illustrated in Figure 3. It is worth noting that the scintillation arc curvature also contains information about W. However, precise arc curvature measurements are hindered by the presence of diffuse arcs with larger curvature. Hence, in this letter, we derive W only from the perspective of $\Delta \nu_{\rm d}$ and $\tau_{\rm d}$.

We model the time series of the parameter W using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) with EMCEE (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). All fitting parameters have uniform priors, and their posterior distributions are presented in Figure 4 using corner.py (Foreman-Mackey 2016). The best-fit parameters for R, ϕ_{A_r} , D_s , $V_{\text{IISM},\alpha}$, and $V_{\text{IISM},\delta}$ are $0.5^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$, $11.8^{+2.0}_{-9.6}$ degrees, 0.4 ± 0.1 kpc, $2.4^{+0.7}_{-4.5}$ km/s, $-6.5^{+2.1}_{-1.2}$ km/s, respectively. The results of our model fitting are depicted in Figure 3. The axial ratio A_r of the spatial diffraction pattern is $1.7^{+0.7}_{-0.2}$, indicating a moderately anisotropic scattering screen responsible for FRB 20201124A ISS. Additionally, our result of $D_s = 0.4\pm0.1$ kpc is consistent with the results of Main et al. (2023).



Figure 4. The posterior probability distribution of all fitted parameters. In the 1-D histograms, the red curves represent the kernel-density estimate smoothed versions of the distributions. From left to right, three black dashed lines denote the 10% fractional percentiles, the most likely values, and the 90% fractional percentiles, respectively. The most likely values and the upper/lower errors are indicated at the top of the 1-D histograms.

Using the best-fitted anisotropic scattering screen model, we also predict the scintillation arc curvature $\eta = \lambda^2/2 \text{cW}^2$ (see Figure 3), where λ , c are the observing wavelength and the speed of light, respectively (i.e., Mall et al. 2022). We also show the range of scintillation arc curvature. The η measurements at MJDs 59484 and 59485 are larger than those during MJDs 59612 to 59615. This variation in scintillation arc curvature also supports the Milky Way origin of FRB 20201124A ISS. In principle, the presence of a scintillation arc should be more apparent during the first active episode (MJD 59314 - 59352) due to the smaller predicted scintillation arc curvature, η . The absence of such an arc during these epochs can be attributed to the limited number of blocks of data, in other words, by the limited event rate of bright bursts.

4. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Using the FAST data set for FRB 20201124A, our results show sustained frequency structure (~ 1 MHz, see Figure 1) across multiple bursts due to diffractive interstellar scintillation and demonstration of a scintillation arc.

There are common features in the frequency spectra from the bursts near each other, as shown in Figure 1. However, it is not a direct signal that there is no variation in the intrinsic emission of FRB involved. Additional frequency structure caused by the intrinsic emission from pulsars, a typical coherent source, was first detected and analyzed by Cordes et al. (2004). The radiation mechanism for FRB emission should be coherent, given the effective brightness temperature needed (i.e., Zhang 2023). Nimmo et al. (2022) showed that the correlation coefficient of Stokes I depends on the degree of polarization of FRB. A more quantitative analysis of the correlation of frequency spectra and the degree of polarization is deferred to a future paper.

We model the annual variation in scintillation velocity of FRB 20201124A with an anisotropic scattering screen model based on the largest sample of FRB scintillation measurements with FAST, up to date. Our findings strongly indicate that the observed ISS phenomena of FRB 20201124A are predominantly influenced by the IISM with a transverse velocity of approximately 7 km/s located at 0.4 ± 0.1 kpc away from Earth. This is further supported by the variation in scintillation arcs detected within the time intervals of MJDs 59484-59485 and 59612-59615. The annual orbital motion of Earth is responsible for the yearly variation in the scintillation velocity.

This phenomenon of annual variation in scintillation has been observed in eight quasars: 0917+642 (Rickett et al. 2001), S4 0954+65 (Marchili et al. 2012), 1156+295 (Liu et al. 2013), B1257-326 (Bignall et al. 2003), B1322-110 (Bignall et al. 2019), B1519-273 (Linsky et al. 2008), J1726+0639 (Bignall et al. 2022), J1819+3845 (Dennett-Thorpe & de Bruyn 2003), nine pulsars: J0437-4715 (Reardon et al. 2020), J0613-0200 (Main et al. 2020), J0636+5128 (Liu et al. 2023), J0835-4510 (Xu et al. 2023), J1136+1551 (McKee et al. 2022), J1141-6545 (Reardon et al. 2019), J1509+5531 (Sprenger et al. 2022), J1643-1224 (Mall et al. 2022), and J1909-3744 (Askew et al. 2023). The annual variation in ISS of FRB 20201124A demonstrates FRB's potential for exploring the material's properties in the vicinity of Earth. By utilizing multi-epoch data, the detection of annual scintillation variations from compact sources can provide valuable insights into the local IISM for many lines of sight and further enable nearby IISM reconstruction in the future (i.e., Ocker et al. 2024).

FAST, as the most sensitive single-dish telescope in the world, has the ability to detect a sufficient number of bright bursts to obtain the scintillation timescale $\tau_{\rm d}$, even to resolve the scintillation arc. The annual variation in the scintillation arcs yields robust constraints on the properties of the scattering screen if the arcs are well-defined. However, in the case of FRBs, a high event rate of bright bursts is crucial to search for the scintillation arc effectively. A similar scintillation analysis can be conducted for FRBs exhibiting exceptional activity within the sky coverage of FAST, such as FRB 20121102 (Li et al. 2021). The annual variation of scintillation would improve our understanding of Milky Way IISM distributions at high latitudes. A non-annual periodic variation in scintillation velocity would be even more remarkable, as it could signify the orbital motion of the progenitor of the FRB.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Raw data are published by the FAST data center and can be accessed there.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for the constructive comments and suggestions, which helped us to improve this paper. This work made use of data from the FAST. FAST is a Chinese national mega-science facility built and operated by the National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Ziwei Wu is supported by the Project funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation No. 2023M743517. This work is supported by the National SKA Program of China No. 2020SKA0120200, 2020SKA0120100, CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research YSBR-063, the National Nature Science Foundation grant No. 12041303, 11988101, 11833009, 11873067, 12041304, 12273009, 12203045, 12203013, 12303042, and the National Key R&D Program of China No. 2017YFA0402600, 2021YFA0718500, 2017YFA0402602, 2022YFC2205203, the CAS-MPG LEGACY project, the Max-Planck Partner Group, the Key Research Project of Zhejiang Lab no. 2021PE0AC0 and also the Western Light Youth Project of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

REFERENCES

- Askew, J., Reardon, D. J., & Shannon, R. M. 2023, MNRAS, 519, 5086, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac3095
- Bhat, N. D. R., Rao, A. P., & Gupta, Y. 1999, ApJS, 121, 483
- Bignall, H., Reynolds, C., Stevens, J., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 487, 4372, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stz1559
- Bignall, H. E., Tuntsov, A. V., Stevens, J., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 513, 2770, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac1051
- Bignall, H. E., Jauncey, D. L., Lovell, J. E. J., et al. 2003, ApJ, 585, 653, doi: 10.1086/346180
- Bochenek, C. D., Ravi, V., Belov, K. V., et al. 2020, Nature, 587, 59, doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2872-x
- Brisken, W. F., Macquart, J. P., Gao, J. J., et al. 2010, ApJ, 708, 232, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/708/1/232
- Chime/Frb Collaboration, Amiri, M., Andersen, B. C., et al. 2020, Nature, 582, 351, doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2398-2
- Chime/FRB Collabortion. 2021, The Astronomer's Telegram, 14497, 1
- Coles, W. A., McLaughlin, M. A., Rickett, B. J., Lyne,A. G., & Bhat, N. D. R. 2005, ApJ, 623, 392
- Coles, W. A., Kerr, M., Shannon, R. M., et al. 2015, ApJ, 808, 113, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/808/2/113
- Cordes, J. M., Bhat, N. D. R., Hankins, T. H., McLaughlin, M. A., & Kern, J. 2004, ApJ, 612, 375
- Cordes, J. M., & Chatterjee, S. 2019, ARA&A, 57, 417, doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-091918-104501
- Cordes, J. M., & Rickett, B. J. 1998, ApJ, 507, 846

- Cordes, J. M., Rickett, B. J., Stinebring, D. R., & Coles,
 W. A. 2006, ApJ, 637, 346, doi: 10.1086/498332
- Day, C. K., Bhandari, S., Deller, A. T., Shannon, R. M., & Moss, V. A. 2021, The Astronomer's Telegram, 14515, 1
- Dennett-Thorpe, J., & de Bruyn, A. G. 2003, A&A, 404, 113, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20030329
- Fiedler, R. L., Dennison, B., Johnston, K. J., & Hewish, A. 1987, Nature, 326, 675
- Foreman-Mackey, D. 2016, The Journal of Open Source Software, 1, 24, doi: 10.21105/joss.00024
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, PASP, 125, 306, doi: 10.1086/670067
- Hickish, J., Abdurashidova, Z., Ali, Z., et al. 2016, Journal of Astronomical Instrumentation, 5, 1641001, doi: 10.1142/S2251171716410014
- Hotan, A. W., van Straten, W., & Manchester, R. N. 2004, PASA, 21, 302
- Jiang, J.-C., Wang, W.-Y., Xu, H., et al. 2022, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 22, 124003, doi: 10.1088/1674-4527/ac98f6
- Jiang, P., Yue, Y., Gan, H., et al. 2019, Science China Physics, Mechanics, and Astronomy, 62, 959502, doi: 10.1007/s11433-018-9376-1
- Jiang, P., Tang, N.-Y., Hou, L.-G., et al. 2020, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 20, 064, doi: 10.1088/1674-4527/20/5/64
- Li, D., Wang, P., Qian, L., et al. 2018, IEEE Microwave Magazine, 19, 112, doi: 10.1109/MMM.2018.2802178

- Li, D., Wang, P., Zhu, W. W., et al. 2021, Nature, 598, 267, doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03878-5
- Linsky, J. L., Rickett, B. J., & Redfield, S. 2008, ApJ, 675, 413, doi: 10.1086/526420
- Liu, B. R., Liu, X., Marchili, N., et al. 2013, A&A, 555, A134, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201220850
- Liu, Y., Main, R. A., Verbiest, J. P. W., et al. 2023, Science China Physics, Mechanics, and Astronomy, 66, 119512, doi: 10.1007/s11433-023-2182-6
- Lorimer, D. R., Bailes, M., McLaughlin, M. A., Narkevic, D. J., & Crawford, F. 2007, Science, 318, 777
- Luo, R., Wang, B. J., Men, Y. P., et al. 2020, Nature, 586, 693, doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2827-2
- Lyne, A. G. 1984, Nature, 310, 300
- Main, R. A., Bethapudi, S., Marthi, V. R., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 522, L36, doi: 10.1093/mnrasl/slad036
- Main, R. A., Hilmarsson, G. H., Marthi, V. R., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 509, 3172, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab3218
- Main, R. A., Sanidas, S. A., Antoniadis, J., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 499, 1468, doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa2955
- Mall, G., Main, R. A., Antoniadis, J., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 511, 1104, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac096
- Marchili, N., Krichbaum, T. P., Liu, X., et al. 2012, A&A, 542, A121, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201218977
- McKee, J. W., Zhu, H., Stinebring, D. R., & Cordes, J. M. 2022, ApJ, 927, 99, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac460b
- Nan, R., Li, D., Jin, C., et al. 2011, Intnl J. of Mod. Phys. D, 20, 989, doi: 10.1142/S0218271811019335
- Nimmo, K., Hessels, J. W. T., Kirsten, F., et al. 2022, Nature Astronomy, 6, 393,
- doi: 10.1038/s41550-021-01569-9
- Niu, C. H., Aggarwal, K., Li, D., et al. 2022a, Nature, 606, 873, doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04755-5
- Niu, J.-R., Zhu, W.-W., Zhang, B., et al. 2022b, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 22, 124004, doi: 10.1088/1674-4527/ac995d
- Ocker, S. K., Cordes, J. M., Chatterjee, S., et al. 2024, MNRAS, 527, 7568, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad3683
- Pelgrims, V., Ferrière, K., Boulanger, F., Lallement, R., & Montier, L. 2020, A&A, 636, A17, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201937157
- Pen, U.-L., & Levin, Y. 2014, MNRAS, 442, 3338, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stu1020
- Reardon, D. J., Coles, W. A., Hobbs, G., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 4389, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stz643
- Reardon, D. J., Coles, W. A., Bailes, M., et al. 2020, ApJ, 904, 104, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/abbd40
- Rickett, B. J. 1969, Nature, 221, 158

- —. 1990, Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap., 28, 561
- Rickett, B. J., Coles, W. A., & Bourgois, G. 1984, A&A, 134, 390
- Rickett, B. J., Witzel, A., Kraus, A., Krichbaum, T. P., & Qian, S. J. 2001, ApJ, 550, L11
- Rickett, B. J., Coles, W. A., Nava, C. F., et al. 2014, ApJ, 787, 161, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/787/2/161
- Scheuer, P. A. G. 1968, Nature, 218, 920
- Sieber, W. 1982, A&A, 113, 311
- Sprenger, T., Main, R., Wucknitz, O., Mall, G., & Wu, J. 2022, MNRAS, 515, 6198, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac2160
- Stinebring, D. R., McLaughlin, M. A., Cordes, J. M., et al. 2001, ApJ, 549, L97
- van Straten, W., & Bailes, M. 2011, PASA, 28, 1, doi: 10.1071/AS10021
- van Straten, W., Demorest, P., & Osłowski, S. 2012, Astronomical Research and Technology, 9, 237
- van Straten, W., Manchester, R. N., Johnston, S., & Reynolds, J. E. 2010, PASA, 27, 104, doi: 10.1071/AS09084
- Walker, M. A., Melrose, D. B., Stinebring, D. R., & Zhang,
 C. M. 2004, MNRAS, 354, 43,
 doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.08159.x
- Williamson, I. P. 1972, MNRAS, 157, 55+
- Williamson, I. P. 1973, MNRAS, 163, 345
- Wu, Z., Coles, W. A., Verbiest, J. P. W., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 520, 5536, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad429
- Wu, Z.-W., Main, R. A., Zhu, W.-W., et al. 2024, Science China Physics, Mechanics, and Astronomy, 67, 219512, doi: 10.1007/s11433-023-2186-2
- Xu, H., Niu, J. R., Chen, P., et al. 2022, Nature, 609, 685, doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05071-8
- Xu, Y., Shi, X., Lee, K., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 526, 1246, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad2837
- Zhang, B. 2023, Reviews of Modern Physics, 95, 035005, doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.95.035005
- Zhang, Y.-K., Wang, P., Feng, Y., et al. 2022, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 22, 124002, doi: 10.1088/1674-4527/ac98f7
- Zhou, D. J., Han, J. L., Zhang, B., et al. 2022, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 22, 124001, doi: 10.1088/1674-4527/ac98f8
- Zhu, W., Xu, H., Zhou, D., et al. 2023, Science Advances, 9, eadf6198, doi: 10.1126/sciadv.adf6198
- Zucker, C., Goodman, A. A., Alves, J., et al. 2022, Nature, 601, 334, doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04286-5