
Enhancing supply chain security with automated machine learning

^aHaibo Wang, ^{b*}Lutfu S.Sua, and ^cBahram Alidaee

^aDivision of International Business and Technology Studies, Texas A&M International University, Laredo, Texas, USA

^{b*}Department of Management and Marketing, Southern University and A&M College, Baton Rouge, LA, USA

^cDepartment of Marketing, School of Business Administration, University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS, USA

Abstract

This study tackles the complexities of global supply chains, which are increasingly vulnerable to disruptions caused by port congestion, material shortages, and inflation. To address these challenges, we explore the application of machine learning methods, which excel in predicting and optimizing solutions based on large datasets. Our focus is on enhancing supply chain security through fraud detection, maintenance prediction, and material backorder forecasting. We introduce an automated machine learning framework that streamlines data analysis, model construction, and hyperparameter optimization for these tasks. By automating these processes, our framework improves the efficiency and effectiveness of supply chain security measures. Our research identifies key factors that influence machine learning performance, including sampling methods, categorical encoding, feature selection, and hyperparameter optimization. We demonstrate the importance of considering these factors when applying machine learning to supply chain challenges. Traditional mathematical programming models often struggle to cope with the complexity of large-scale supply chain problems. Our study shows that machine learning methods can provide a viable alternative, particularly when dealing with extensive datasets and complex patterns. The automated machine learning framework presented in this study offers a novel approach to supply chain security, contributing to the existing body of knowledge in the field. Its comprehensive automation of machine learning processes makes it a valuable contribution to the domain of supply chain management.

Keywords: Machine learning, supply chain management, fraud detection, material backlog, preventive maintenance
Paper type Research paper

1. Introduction

In today's global business landscape, supply chains serve as the backbone of commerce, involving a network of interconnected companies striving to meet customer demands while optimizing resource utilization. With the rise of global competition, these supply chains have expanded, leading to increased complexity. Although advancements in communication and transportation technologies have enhanced supply chain efficiency, they have also introduced vulnerabilities, especially regarding fraudulent activities. Electronic data interchange among business partners, while facilitating transactions, has made supply chains susceptible to fraud.

The risks faced by modern supply chains are numerous, including the extensive supplier network, financial activities, transaction volume, and complex information technologies. Each transaction, layer, and link in the chain adds to this vulnerability. Despite these challenges, awareness of the issue remains inadequate. According to the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE) reports, a significant portion of fraud incidents is only discovered through coincidence or tips, indicating the need for more proactive detection methods (ACFE, 2016).

Machine learning (ML) models have emerged as a powerful tool to address these challenges. ML models possess the capability to learn from normal behavior patterns within supply chains and swiftly adapt to deviations, thereby identifying fraudulent transaction patterns. This paper not only focuses on implementing various ML methods for fraud detection but also tackles other prevalent issues in supply chains. One such issue is the prediction of material backorders, a common challenge due to shorter product lifecycles, the dynamic nature of global supply chains, and increased competition.

The ability to predict materials likely to face shortages offers a strategic advantage by enhancing customer service levels and overall company performance (De Santis et al., 2017). By leveraging ML algorithms, supply chain managers can make informed decisions about inventory levels and mitigate the impact of backorders on customer satisfaction.

In summary, this paper explores the application of ML methods to combat fraud within supply chains and addresses the complexities of predicting material backorders. By leveraging these advanced techniques, companies can bolster

Corresponding author: Lutfu S. Sua (e-mail: lutfu.saqbansua@subr.edu).

their supply chain security and enhance customer service, thereby ensuring a seamless and efficient supply chain operation.

Indeed, backorder prediction and preventive maintenance are vital aspects of modern supply chain management. Traditionally, backorder prediction has been approached using stochastic approximation methods, which rely on statistical models to estimate probabilities of backorders occurring. However, with the advent of machine learning and the availability of extensive historical inventory data, ML methods have proven to be highly effective in optimizing backorder decisions, leading to increased profitability.

Similarly, preventive maintenance is crucial for avoiding supply chain disruptions caused by unexpected breakdowns of machinery and equipment. Preventive maintenance involves conducting necessary maintenance tasks on machines before they fail, ensuring the continuous operation of the supply chain. The challenge lies in scheduling these maintenance activities to strike a balance between minimizing downtime and managing maintenance costs.

The rise of the Internet of Things (IoT) has revolutionized the way companies approach preventive maintenance. IoT technology allows for the installation of interconnected sensors on machines and equipment. These sensors collect vast amounts of real-time data, providing operators and decision-makers with valuable insights. Machine learning algorithms can then analyze this data, identifying patterns and trends that humans might overlook. By predicting potential issues and outcomes, ML methods optimize operations, reduce downtime, and enhance decision-making processes, ultimately augmenting human intelligence and improving the efficiency of supply chain operations.

This research aims to address critical issues in supply chains by leveraging machine learning algorithms. The proposed study poses three fundamental research questions, each focusing on a specific aspect of supply chain optimization:

RQ1: What are the accuracy levels of ML algorithms in detecting fraudulent transactions?

RQ2: Can ML algorithms improve the prediction of machine failures in supply chains?

RQ3: Can ML algorithms predict material backorders and inventory problems in supply chains?

The proposed research introduces a comprehensive data analytics framework that integrates a variety of machine learning algorithms. Notably, the study encompasses not only supervised learning techniques but also incorporates unsupervised and semi-supervised methods. This inclusivity is essential for processing unlabeled data, and crucial for real-time system control in supply chains.

Furthermore, the study pioneers the comparison of different encoding methods' impact on ML algorithm performance concerning categorical values, a common occurrence in supply chain data. Additionally, the research addresses the trustworthiness of ML algorithms by implementing Shapley values. These values evaluate the features' impact on prediction outcomes, ensuring the reliability and interpretability of the models.

The research methodology is structured into four main components within the automated ML paradigm:

- **Data Pre-processing:** This component analyzes data characteristics, provides basic information, and cleans the data for the model construction process.
- **Model Construction:** This component focuses on feature extraction and selection. It utilizes low-code strategies to ensemble models and optimize parameter settings, enhancing the model construction process.
- **Model Enhancement:** An optimization process is developed in this component to improve the trained models, ensuring their accuracy and reliability.
- **Deployment:** The best models are saved and deployed to new data with a similar structure, enabling real-time application in supply chain scenarios.

The paper concludes with a summary of related literature, providing background information on the machine learning methods employed. It proceeds to a detailed experimental analysis and results comparison, offering insights into the effectiveness of various ML algorithms in addressing the research questions. Finally, the study concludes by summarizing findings and suggesting potential avenues for future research in the field of supply chain optimization through machine learning technologies.

Place Figure 1 Here

2. Literature review

2.1 Supply Chain Security

In light of the constant threat of supply chain disruptions, businesses and governments must proactively devise strategies to mitigate risks and enhance supply chain resilience. The COVID-19 pandemic has particularly highlighted the vulnerability of global supply chains, underscoring the need for robust risk management and resilience-building efforts.

Owing to many factors such as natural disasters, political confrontations, and even weather conditions, supply chain disruptions are always a potential threat. Companies being aware of this fact emphasize formulating proactive strategies to mitigate the risks by learning from past mistakes which is only possible by analyzing past disruptions as a way of building supply chain resiliency.

Considering the widespread impact of supply chain disruptions on national economies and the global economy at large, governments need to collaborate with businesses in formulating risk management plans and developing solutions to overcome the challenges. As the challenges and risks mutate over time, businesses are forced to embed resiliency into their supply chains and collaborate with the stakeholders in and out of their supply chains including the public and private sectors towards an up-to-date supply chain risk management model. The political, economic, and security implications of supply chain disruptions are also the main motivators for governments to develop collaboration with the private sector in a complex environment (WEF, 2012).

Classification of past disruptions is also important when developing risk management and response plans. Classifying disruptions on the supply side, demand side, and logistics side is one of the various possible frameworks to approach supply chain challenges (Raj et al., 2022). External events causing supply chain disruptions can also be grouped under environmental, geopolitical, economic, and technological disruptions provoking significant effects on supply chain networks (WEF, 2011). According to the most recent survey of senior decision-makers, the top three supply chain disruptions business leaders expect in 2023 are: reduced availability of raw materials in the United States, a slowdown in the construction of new homes, and disruption to public transport due to a lack of drivers (SAP, 2023). Increasing delivery times since the start of the pandemic is the most evident indicator of the strains in the transportation of goods (Attinasi et al., 2021).

Indeed, categorizing past disruptions provides a structured approach to understanding the diverse challenges faced by supply chains. Here's a breakdown of the key points mentioned:

1. Importance of Classification:

Structured Approach: Categorizing disruptions on different dimensions (supply, demand, logistics) provides a structured framework for analysis.

Comprehensive Understanding: Helps in gaining a comprehensive understanding of the various aspects of disruptions.

2. Different Dimensions of Disruptions:

Supply, Demand, and Logistics: Disruptions are categorized into supply-side issues (such as raw material shortages), demand-side challenges (like changes in consumer behavior), and logistics-related problems (e.g., transportation delays).

External Event Categories: Disruptions are grouped under broader categories like environmental, geopolitical, economic, and technological factors, reflecting the diverse sources of disruptions.

3. Real-World Examples:

Survey Insights: Surveys of senior decision-makers highlight specific disruptions anticipated shortly, such as reduced raw material availability, construction slowdown, and transportation disruptions.

Impact of Pandemic: The prolonged pandemic has led to increased delivery times, underscoring the strain on transportation networks.

In summary, categorizing disruptions based on different dimensions and external event types provides a nuanced understanding of the challenges faced by supply chains. This categorization not only aids in developing effective risk management plans but also helps businesses prepare for specific disruptions based on historical patterns and real-time insights. Understanding these categories allows for tailored strategies and agile responses to different types of disruptions.

2.2 Machine learning on supply chain security research

Machine learning techniques have successfully been utilized in various aspects of supply chain management such as demand forecasting, preventative maintenance scheduling, production scheduling, backorder prediction, cost optimization, inventory management, route optimization, and supply chain risk assessment. Studies like Akbari and Do (2021) and Wenzel et al. (2019) provide comprehensive reviews of ML applications in supply chain and logistics, offering insights into the evolution of these methods over time. Tirkolaei et al. (2021) developed a conceptual framework to identify and categorize ML applications in areas such as demand forecasting, supplier segmentation, and supply chain prediction, enhancing the understanding of ML's role in these domains. Although earlier applications have not shown a significant difference between the performances of machine learning and other forecasting methods, promising results have been reported in the literature in terms of the accuracy of the results due to the advance of new ML algorithms.

Data breaches in supply chains result in significant financial for companies all over the world. Thus, supply chain security has led many researchers to develop various methods to tackle supply chain fraud (Yang et al., 2020; Owczarek, 2021; Fox et al., 2018). Yeboah-Ofori et al. (2022) applied ML techniques to several classification algorithms to predict threats to cyber supply chain systems and improve cyber resilience. Bao et al. (2020) used ensemble learning which is one of the machine learning techniques in predicting accounting frauds. Srinath and Gururaja (2022) compared various machine learning methods such as Support Vector Machine, Random Forest, XGBoost, Neural Networks, and DALEX based on their performance metrics in an attempt to identify credit card default. Robson et al. (2020) utilized a trend analysis method to investigate the beef supply chain and its fraud vulnerability. Yan et al. (2019) used the food fraud vulnerability assessment tool to examine the perceived vulnerability to fraud for 28 firms. Schroeder and Lodemann (2021) analyzed the scientific literature on supply chain related fields where ML methods have been implemented within supply chain risk management. These research efforts underline the multifaceted approach researchers are adopting to combat supply chain fraud. By leveraging a variety of ML algorithms and tools, scholars aim to enhance the security and resilience of supply chains in the face of evolving fraudulent activities.

Shakibaei et al. (2023) used machine learning in designing a post-disaster humanitarian supply chain to minimize both human and financial losses. De Santis et al. (2017) proposed a predictive model using machine learning methods to predict material backorders. Malviya et al. (2021) compared various machine learning methods to identify parts with shortages using historical sales data. Wu and Christofides (2021) developed a predictive control scheme incorporating an ensemble of recurrent neural networks for maintenance prediction. These studies underscore the versatility of ML in addressing different challenges within supply chain management, ranging from humanitarian crises to inventory management and maintenance planning. By harnessing the power of ML algorithms, businesses can optimize their operations, reduce costs, and improve overall supply chain resilience.

Machine learning and artificial intelligence applications in supply chains have been investigated by several other research in recent studies. Younis et al. (2021) provided a review of artificial intelligence and machine learning applications in supply chain management. Azzi and Chamoun (2019) discussed the integration of blockchain technology in supply chains to increase the reliability, transparency, and security of the systems. Tahereh et al. (2020) synthesized the published works on applications of Graph-Based Anomaly Detection techniques on fraud detection. For a distributed fraud detection scheme monitoring the complete network infrastructure, Protogerou et al. (2020) developed a graph neural network approach. Another approach that was reported to significantly reduce the processing time was proposed by Zhou et al. (2020) and involves the implementation of a distributed deep learning model of Convolutional Neural Network on big data infrastructure. These studies collectively demonstrate the evolving landscape of AI and ML applications within supply chains, emphasizing enhanced security, improved efficiency, and innovative approaches to combat fraud and optimize various aspects of supply chain management.

Supervised learning algorithms, particularly, support vector machines (SVM) have gained popularity in credit risk management applications. Liu et al. (2020) developed an ensemble SVM model for supply chain finance risk assessment. Ntakolia et al. (2021) compared several machine learning techniques in backorder prediction to solve binary classification problems. Islam and Amin (2020) discussed the cost-effectiveness of early forecasting of backorders while utilizing a stack-set machine learning methodology for the prediction of product residues.

Matzka (2020) proposed an explanatory interface and an explainable model along with a predictive maintenance dataset. The explanatory performance of the model is evaluated and compared. Wang (2022) used supervised machine learning to detect domain names that are related to Covid-19. Abbasi et al. (2020) proposed a methodology that uses ML in predicting solutions of large stochastic optimization models. These studies demonstrate the versatility of supervised machine learning algorithms in addressing a wide array of challenges, including risk assessment, inventory management, predictive maintenance, and domain-specific detection tasks.

One of the popular techniques in machine learning is feature selection and it impacts a model's performance to a

great extent. Application of feature selection before modeling a dataset improves accuracy, reduces overfitting, and reduces the training time. Overfitting can be controlled by using hyper-parameters. The model for each hyper-parameter setting is evaluated to determine the optimal hyper-parameters. Consequently, hyper-parameters that result in the best model are chosen. The dataset itself also influences the optimal hyperparameters. Shahhosseini et al. (2022) proposed a nested algorithm that involves hyperparameter tuning while exploring the optimal weights to combine ensembles. The algorithm uses a Bayesian search to speed up the optimization process and a heuristic to obtain diverse base learners.

2.3 Challenges of Machine learning on supply chain security

Despite the advances in machine learning and its applicability on a long list of supply chain-related fields ranging from demand forecast, failure prediction, and quality inspection to warehouse optimization and distribution planning, there is a need for structured efforts aiming at improving every facet of supply chains through the efficient use of machine learning algorithms. Due to the wide range of available algorithms, it is also vital to determine the best algorithms that can be applied to each supply chain application. This study aims to present such an approach to not only apply ML to several supply chain problems but also compare the performance of various ML methods on these problems.

3. Data and Research Method

In this section, basic information about the machine learning methods used in the experimental analysis is provided.

3.1. Data collection and analysis

The first dataset used for fraud detection problems includes around 180 thousand observations recorded at supply chains used by DataCo within three years.

The second dataset utilized in the study is related to the material backorders which is directly related to the supply chain effectiveness and service level. Machine learning methods help supply chains identify materials or parts that are likely to have shortages before their occurrences. The dataset is composed of training and testing datasets both of which contain variables with integer and string values. Based on the supply chain, inventory, and sales data, the objective of the algorithm is to determine whether the products would go into backorder. Thus, the problem involves binary classification.

The third supply chain problem investigated is the prediction of preventive maintenance activities. A dataset consisting of real predictive maintenance data from the industry is utilized for machine learning applications on this problem (Islam and Amin, 2020).

Table 1 provides more information such as the size of each dataset and the training/testing ratio on the datasets used in this research.

Place Table 1 Here

3.2.1. Coding of Data

Machine learning methods require numerical variables. Thus, all categorical data need to be encoded to numerical values before utilization in the model. There are supervised and unsupervised encoding methods. For the supervised encoding method, a label will be used as the baseline to convert the categorical variables into numerical variables. For the unsupervised encoding method, there is no label required for conversion. Another approach is to use an existing embedding developed from one domain to another known as transfer learning which is a way of employing data representation (Hancock and Khoshgoftaar, 2020). However, different encoding methods will produce some numerical features after encoding. In this study, we use a leave-one-out encoder for three datasets. Some contrast-based encoding methods use lots of memory space during the process and do not apply to the dataset with a large number of features and a large number of unique categories per feature. In the meantime, some covariance-based ML methods are unable to model the datasets with a large number of features after encoding due to the limit of memory space. Thus, we only choose a set of encoding methods that are appropriate to the ML methods in Table 3 for this study. Table 3 shows the number of features with encoding methods on three datasets.

Place Table 2 Here

Place Table 3 Here

3.2.2. Variables and Measurements

Three datasets in this study are labeled with one variable as a dependent variable or target variable and the rest of the features are independent variables. The dependent variable has binary values; thus, we are solving binary classification problems on all three datasets.

Place Table 4 Here

3.2.3. Handling Imbalanced Data

Imbalanced classes create challenges for machine learning algorithms as they assume a proportionate ratio of observations for each class. Imbalance data can be observed in various fields including fraud detection. Machine learning algorithms are developed to maximize accuracy. On the other hand, accuracy measures alone can be misleading when working with imbalanced data. Confusion matrix, precision, recall, and F1 score are the other metrics that can be used for better insight.

Place Table 5 Here

There are a variety of algorithms that are reported to perform well with imbalanced datasets. Decision trees involve learning through a hierarchy of questions that forces both classes to be addressed. Oversampling is another method that duplicates the examples from the minority class in the training data. The undersampling method removes some observations from the majority class which may result in losing valuable information and resulting in underfitting. The Synthetic Minority Oversampling Technique which is similar to oversampling involves the generation of synthetic samples. The method utilizes the nearest neighbors algorithm to create synthetic data to use for model training. Sun et al. (2022) introduce a new method involving feature reduction for imbalanced data classification. The method utilizes a similarity-based feature clustering with adaptive weighted k-nearest neighbors. Each of these methods has its advantages and limitations. The choice of method depends on the specific dataset and problem context. In this study, SMOTE was chosen, which is a widely used technique known for its effectiveness in generating synthetic samples to address class imbalance and enhance the performance of machine learning algorithms on imbalanced datasets.

3.3. Model Construction

3.3.1. Feature selection and variable transformation

In this study, three feature selection methods are examined: LASSO, Pearson correlation, and chi-squared method. The formulations of these methods for feature selection are given in Table 6. Based on the prediction accuracy of the initial experiment, LASSO is chosen for ML algorithms.

Place Table 6 Here

After selecting a desirable set of features, machine learning is an idea that can tell you a lot about certain data and create general algorithms without the need to write code. If you support the algorithm with certain data, this algorithm creates its logic based on this data. For the machine learning algorithms to perform well, two criteria must be met. A sufficiently large amount of data must be available, and an actual pattern must exist within the data (Malone et al., 2020). Four types of machine learning algorithms are used within the scope of this research: Supervised learning, unsupervised learning, semi-supervised learning, and deep learning.

3.3.2. Supervised Learning

In this type of learning, algorithms use labeled data when making predictions based on what they have learned. Using the information that is known in advance, the system “learns” and interprets the data. Accordingly, the system learns from its mistakes and uses them to learn from them. Supervised learning techniques include support vector machine, logistic regression, random forests, decision trees, and Naïve Bayes classifier algorithms.

3.3.3. Unsupervised Learning

Unlike the supervised learning method, any unlabeled and uncategorized training data is used. Unsupervised learning works by classifying and clustering data that are close to each other by making connections between previously untrained and unknown data. It provides inferences about the data by using the distances of the data samples from each other and the neighborhood relations. Dimension reduction and clustering are two techniques that fall under this category.

Although supervised learning methods are arguably more accurate compared to unsupervised learning counterparts, the data needs to be labeled appropriately with human intervention. These datasets allow supervised learning methods to reduce computational complexity because in this case, they do not require large training sets in producing outcomes. Linear and logistic regression, KNN algorithm, Naïve Bayes, and random forest are among the most popular classification and regression techniques.

3.3.4. Semi-supervised Learning

Semi-supervised learning can be used when the input data has been partially labeled. Since it can be both expensive and time-consuming to rely on domain expertise when labeling the data for supervised learning, semi-supervised and unsupervised learning can be better alternatives. Yang et al. (2022) provided a comprehensive survey on the applications of semi-supervised learning which includes several supply chain applications such as pattern recognition, statistical learning, and natural language processing. Jing et al. (2022) proposed a defect prediction algorithm based on semi-supervised auto-encoder CNN. Zeng et al. (2022) introduced a semi-supervised self-learning to detect fake accounts.

3.3.5. Deep Learning

Deep learning is a type of machine learning technique utilizing artificial neural networks to enable digital systems to learn and make decisions based on unstructured, unlabeled data. Generally, machine learning trains artificial intelligence systems to learn by examining experiences with data, recognizing patterns, making recommendations, and adapting. Especially when it comes to deep learning, digital systems learn from examples rather than just responding to rule sets and consequently use the information to behave in a similar way that humans do.

Table 7 lists the machine learning methods we implemented in this study under each category.

Place Table 7 here

3.3.6. Boosting Algorithms

Boosting algorithms are implemented in machine learning models to strengthen accurate predictions. Boosting, which means boosting, in other words, tends to strengthen weak models. We can detect weak rules by running different algorithms from ML models to detect the weak learning model. If the correlation between two variables (features) is low, the prediction rate is also low. Generally, it may be wise to use a Decision Tree utilizing XGBoost, AdaBoost, and RUSBoost algorithms. The tree structure created minimizes the error of the next tree from the previous one which is what makes such algorithms powerful.

3.3.7. Bagging Algorithms

The bagging algorithm is an ensemble learning method for creating a classifier ensemble by combining basic learning algorithms trained on different samples of the training set (Breiman, 1996). The main idea of the bagging algorithm is based on the principle of providing diversity by training each basic learning algorithm on different training sets. A simple random substitution sampling method is generally applied to create different training sets from the data set. The outputs of the training sets obtained by the sampling method and the trained classification methods are combined through majority voting (Onan, 2018).

3.4. Model enhancement

3.4.1. Hyper-parameter Tuning of Machine Learning Methods

Hyper-parameter tuning is important in machine learning due to its control over model behavior. Failure to tune hyperparameters results in producing suboptimal results. The objective of hyperparameter tuning for an algorithm A_λ is to find a function to minimize the expected loss $\mathcal{L}(x; F)$ over a sample of the dataset $\chi^{(train)}$ from an unknown natural distribution \mathcal{G}_χ , where $F = A_\lambda(\chi^{(train)})$. The search method in practice is to find the good value for hyperparameter λ to minimize error $E_{\chi \sim \mathcal{G}_\chi} [\mathcal{L}(x; A_\lambda(\chi^{(train)}))]$. Thus,

$$\lambda^{(*)} = \arg \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_{\chi \sim \mathcal{G}_\chi} [\mathcal{L}(x; A_\lambda(\chi^{(train)}))] \quad (5)$$

Lavesson and Davidsson (2006) state that hyper-parameter tuning is more important than choosing the ML method. Hyper-parameters of the machine learning models can be set. Values of hyper-parameters can be set before the start of the learning process. One way of performing hyper-parameter tuning is through the randomized search on hyper-parameters. This method chooses the hyper-parameter combinations randomly from the search space instead of evaluating every potential combination. Thus, the search is not guaranteed to find the best results. On the other hand, significantly less computational time required by the method makes it attractive. An alternative method that evaluates every combination of hyper-parameter sets is an exhaustive grid search. Although it requires more computational time and effort, this method can find the best values in the grid space. Bayesian optimization is another method that results in more accurate solutions while requiring less computational time. The method also can track previous evaluation

models used to form a probabilistic model mapping hyper-parameters to a probability of an objective function value. Weets et.al. (2020) have proposed a methodology for empirically determining the significance of tuning hyper-parameters. In this study, implementing both GridSearch and Bayesian Optimization for hyperparameter tuning is a robust approach. GridSearch ensures a thorough exploration of the parameter space, while Bayesian Optimization leverages probabilistic models to refine the search efficiently. Additionally, identifying sensitive parameters through sensitivity analysis enables a targeted and informed tuning process, optimizing computational resources. This combined approach enhances the chances of finding optimal hyperparameter configurations for your machine learning models.

3.4.2 Deployment of inference and practical use

Machine learning methods can be deployed in various industries to solve a wide range of problems. Manufacturing systems are capable of deploying ML algorithms to improve their productivity levels through process improvement. The ability to analyze numerical and categorical data sourced from the production machines enables the ML methods to predict the likelihood of failures before they occur, saving potentially high maintenance and repair costs while avoiding disruptions in the supply chains. The ability of these methods to process large amounts of data makes it possible to monitor the processes for variations and react if necessary, thus avoiding potential quality defects.

Such capabilities of ML algorithms contribute towards the versatility of the overall system that they are deployed in. Industry 4.0 applications which rely on the automation of processes in dynamic environments where variations need to be accounted for, ML methods become an integral part of the processes.

4. Findings

This section provides a comparative analysis of various ML methods using AUROC and Precision-Recall curves. AUROC stands for “Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristics” and high values of the area under the curve indicate better performance of the method. If the curve approximates the 50% diagonal line, it suggests that the model randomly predicts the output variable. The AUROC curve helps visualize how well the ML classifier is performing.

The ratio of precision is calculated by dividing the number of true positives by the total of the false and true positives. Precision provides a measure of the model’s accuracy in predicting the positive class. It is also known as the positive predictive value. On the other hand, dividing the number of true positives by the total number of true positives and false negatives results in a ratio which is known as Recall. It is also known as sensitivity. Precision and recall should be considered together when an imbalance exists in the observations between two classes. A system with both high precision and recall values will return many results, all of which are labeled correctly. On the other hand, a system with low precision and high recall values returns many results, however, most of the predicted labels are not correct compared to the training labels. On the other hand, a system with low recall and high precision returns very few results. Most of the predicted labels are correct compared to the training labels.

4.1. Fraud Detection

Since supply chains must detect fraudulent activities in real-time, computational time is just as important as the accuracy of the algorithms. As an indication of both time and accuracy, a “critical ratio” is used to compare the machine learning methods used in this research.

$$\text{Critical Ratio} = (\text{Overall Accuracy}) / \text{Time} \quad (6)$$

Fig.2 below illustrates the values of the critical ratio for the machine learning methods with and without hyper-parameter tuning where minimum training is 40%.

Place Figure 2 Here

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the AUROC curves for fraud detection problems with 40% minimum training with and without hyper-parameter tuning, respectively. The detailed results of each ML method for fraud detection such as computing time, false positive rate, false negative rate, and precision of 10 folds cross-validation are available at <https://osf.io/ygc9a/>, reader can find the comparative performance analysis of the methods with and without hyper-parameter tuning. Based on the reported results, “Sampling” is the method with the highest Critical Ratio with and without hyper-parameter tuning. This result is mainly due to its much faster computational time compared to the rest of the methods while its average accuracy is around 88%.

Place Figure 3 Here

Place Figure 4 Here

Table 8 shows that hyperparameter tuning can improve the precision of 3 supervised machine learning methods.

Place Table 8 Here

4.2. Machine Failure Detection

This section provides the computational results for the machine failure detection problem. Failure to optimize the schedule of preventive maintenance leads to supply chain disruptions. A dataset that reflects real predictive maintenance encountered in the industry is used to test the ML algorithms. The dataset consists of 10,000 data points with 14 variables. Fig. 5 presents the computational results with hyper-parameter tuning while Fig. 6 shows the results without hyper-parameter tuning. The detailed results of each ML method for machine failure detection such as computing time, false positive rate, false negative rate, and precision of 10-fold cross-validation are available at <https://osf.io/ygc9a/>. The results indicate that “Sampling” outperforms the other methods in computational times with 93.4% accuracy when hyper-parameter tuning is applied. Meanwhile, 6 out of 24 methods are 100% accurate when hyper-parameter tuning is applied, as opposed to only 1 out of 24 when it is not applied.

Place Figure 5 Here

Place Figure 6 Here

Table 9 shows that hyperparameter tuning can improve the precision of two supervised ML methods MLP and CNN.

Place Table 9 Here

4.3. Material Backorder Prediction

This section provides the computational results for the material backorder prediction problem. The test dataset includes the variables that were listed in Table 4. Fig. 7 and 8 present the computational results for material backorder prediction with and without hyper-parameter tuning, respectively. Sampling once again has the fastest computational times in this problem while its accuracy is 89.3% when hyper-parameter tuning is applied. The detailed results of each ML method for material backorder prediction such as computing time, false positive rate, false negative rate, and precision of 10-fold cross-validation, are available at <https://osf.io/ygc9a/>.

Place Figure 7 Here

Place Figure 8 Here

Table 10 shows that hyperparameter tuning can improve the precision of 9 supervised ML methods.

Place Table 10 Here

5. Discussion

Every decision in the supply chain depends on some form of data and while access to data is critical for supply chain visibility, using intelligent software to augment human decision-makers has become a necessity to be able to take advantage of the vast amount of available data. Machine learning methods offer significant potential as a decision support system in various fields of the supply chain.

5.1. Classification measures

This section provides a summary of results and the comparison between different metrics. Tables 5, 6, and 7 report the performance results of the algorithms with hyper-parameter tuning for fraud detection, machine failure detection, and material backorder detection, respectively. The results without the hyper-parameter tuning are also provided as a supplementary document.

Place Table 11 Here

Place Table 12 Here

Place Table 13 Here

5.2. Feature importance

As an attempt to understand which features contribute the most in predicting the target variable, the Shapley Additive Explanations (SHAP) method is used. This method is utilized to add further transparency to the ML methods. SHAP

values indicate how much impact each factor had on the target variable. SHAP methodology enables the prioritization of features that determine the classification and prediction through machine learning models (Perez and Bajorath, 2020). It should be noted that SHAP values are dependent on the specific set of observations. They will change as the observed data changes.

Place Figure 9 Here

Fig. 9 illustrates the SHAP values of each variable. The results show that “Type” with a SHAP value of 0.081 contributed the most towards detecting fraudulent activities.

Another way of showing the contribution of each variable to the prediction of the target variable is the force plot as it is provided in the supplemental file. Negative SHAP values are displayed on the left side of Fig. 6 while the positive values are on the right.

Place Figure 10 Here

5.3 Managerial implication

Certainly, the challenges faced by the supply chain industry in recent times, exacerbated by global events like the pandemic and geopolitical tensions, underscore the critical importance of building resilience and predictability within the supply chain system. Addressing shortages through accurate prediction of backorders is essential, and organizations are increasingly recognizing the significance of proactive measures to mitigate risks and maintain the integrity of their supply chains.

The statistics provided by the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE) and the Economist Intelligence Unit highlight the urgency for robust fraud detection mechanisms within supply chains. Traditional methods like management review and internal audits are essential but often insufficient, as a substantial portion of fraud cases go undetected. Accidental discovery, while valuable, cannot be relied upon as the primary means of uncovering fraud. Therefore, implementing advanced fraud detection tools, including machine learning algorithms, can significantly enhance the proactive identification of fraudulent activities, saving businesses substantial resources and safeguarding their financial integrity.

In essence, addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that combines advanced technologies, proactive risk management, and a deep understanding of the intricate dynamics of global supply chains. The interplay of these factors will be crucial in fortifying supply chains against disruptions, ensuring their resiliency, and paving the way for a more predictable and secure future in the industry.

6. Conclusions

The integration of data analytics and machine learning methods into supply chain management has indeed brought remarkable advantages in combating fraud, improving efficiency, and minimizing disruptions. The ability to analyze large volumes of transactional data in real time has revolutionized the detection of fraudulent activities, enabling organizations to swiftly uncover irregularities such as overcharging, double billing, and theft. This rapid detection translates to significant savings, particularly in complex global supply chains with numerous suppliers. Additionally, the predictive maintenance approach, utilizing sensor data and machine learning algorithms, has become a vital strategy for reducing downtimes caused by maintenance issues. By foreseeing maintenance needs before they occur, organizations can proactively schedule repairs or replacements, ensuring continuous operations and reducing disruptions.

The main contribution of this paper, as mentioned, lies in the comparative analysis of various machine learning methods applied to a comprehensive range of interrelated supply chain challenges. By testing different algorithms on diverse supply chain datasets, this research provides valuable insights into their comparative performances in terms of accuracy and computational efficiency. Additionally, the successful implementation of hyper-parameter tuning enhances the efficacy of these machine learning techniques, making them even more potent tools for addressing supply chain complexities.

This work not only advances the field of supply chain management but also offers practical solutions for businesses aiming to enhance their supply chain efficiencies, detect fraud, and mitigate disruptions. The findings from this research can guide organizations in selecting the most suitable machine learning algorithms and optimizing their parameters to create robust and resilient supply chain systems.

References

ACFE. (2016), “Report to the Nations on Occupational Fraud and Abuse”, Association of Certified Fraud Examiners.

-
- Abbasi, B. Babaei, T. Hosseini, Z. Miles, K. S. Dehghani, M. (2020), "Predicting solutions of large-scale optimization problems via machine learning: A case study in blood supply chain management". *Computers & Operations Research*, ISSN: 0305-0548, Vol: 119, Page: 104941.
- Akbari, M. and Do, T.N.A. (2021), "A systematic review of machine learning in logistics and supply chain management: current trends and future directions", *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, Vol. 28 No. 10, pp. 2977-3005. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-10-2020-0514>
- Attinasi, M. G. Balatti, M. Mancini, M. and Metelli. L. (2021), "Supply chain disruptions and the effects on the global economy". *European Central Bank Economic Bulletin*, Issue 8/2021.
- Azzi, R., Chamoun, R. K., & Sokhn, M. (2019). "The power of a blockchain-based supply chain". *Computers & industrial engineering*, 135, 582-592.
- Bao, Y., Ke, B., Li, B., Yu, Y.J. and Zhang, J. (2020), "Detecting Accounting Fraud in Publicly Traded U.S. Firms Using a Machine Learning Approach". *Journal of Accounting Research*, 58: 199-235. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.12292>
- Breiman, L. (1996). "Bagging predictors". *Machine learning*, 24(2), 123-140.
- Calderon-Ramirez, S. Yang, S. Moemeni, A. Colreavy-Donnelly, S. Elizondo, D.A. Oala, L. Rodríguez-Capitán, J. Jiménez-Navarro, M. López-Rubio, E. Molina-Cabello, M.A.. (2021), "Improving Uncertainty Estimation with Semi-supervised Deep Learning for COVID-19 Detection Using Chest X-ray Images". *IEEE Access* (2021)
- De Santis, R. B. de Aguiar E. P. and Goliatt, L. (2017), "Predicting material backorders in inventory management using machine learning," *2017 IEEE Latin American Conference on Computational Intelligence (LA-CCI)*, 2017, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/LA-CCI.2017.8285684.
- Fox, M., Mitchell, M., Dean, M. et al. (2018). "The seafood supply chain from a fraudulent perspective. *Food Security* 10, 939–963 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-018-0826-z>
- Hajek, P. Abedin. M. Z. (2020), "Profit Function-Maximizing Inventory Backorder Prediction System Using Big Data Analytics", *IEEE Access*.
- Hancock, J.T., Khoshgoftaar, T.M. (2020), "Survey on categorical data for neural networks". *J Big Data* 7, 28 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-020-00305-w>
- Islam, S. and Amin, S.H. (2020), "Prediction of probable backorder scenarios in the supply chain using Distributed Random Forest and Gradient Boosting Machine learning techniques". *Journal of Big Data* 7, 65 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-020-00345-2>
- Jing, F., Zhang, M., Li, J., Xu, G., & Wang, J. (2022). "Coil shape defects prediction algorithm for hot strip rolling based on Siamese semi-supervised DAE-CNN model". *Assembly Automation*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/aa-07-2022-0179>
- Lavesson N. and Davidsson, P. (2006), "Quantifying the Impact of Learning Algorithm Parameter Tuning". *Proceedings of the 21st National Conference on Artificial Intelligence – Vol. 1 (AAAI'06)*. AAAI Press, 395–400.
- Liu, Y. & Huang, L. (2020). "Supply chain finance credit risk assessment using support vector machine-based ensemble improved with noise elimination". *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 16. 155014772090363. 10.1177/1550147720903631.
- Malone, T.W., Rus, D., and Laubacher. R. (2020), "Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work". *Research Brief* 17, December 2020. MIT.
- Malviya, L. Chittora, P., Chakrabarti, P., Vyas, R.S., and Poddar, S. (2021), "Backorder prediction in the supply chain using machine learning", *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2021.
- Matzka, S. (2020), "Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Predictive Maintenance Applications," *2020 Third International Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Industries (AI4I)*, 2020, pp. 69-74, doi: 10.1109/AI4I49448.2020.00023.
- Ntakolia C, Kokkoti C, Karlsson P, Moustakidis S. (2021), "An Explainable Machine Learning Model for Material Backorder Prediction in Inventory Management". *Sensors*. 2021; 21(23):7926. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21237926>
- Onan, A. (2018). "A Clustering Based Classifier Ensemble Approach to Corporate Bankruptcy Prediction". *The Journal of Operations Research, Statistics, Econometrics and Management Information Systems*. 6(2).

-
- Owczarek, D. (2021), "Predictive Analytics in Supply Chain Management. Boosting Supply Chain Analytics with AI". *AI in Logistics: Building Industry Excellence with Artificial Intelligence Solutions*. Nexocode.
- Pérez, R., Bajorath, J. (2020), "Interpretation of machine learning models using shapley values: application to compound potency and multi-target activity predictions". *J Comput Aided Mol Des* 34, 1013–1026 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10822-020-00314-0>
- Pourhabibi, T., Ong, K-L, Kam, B.H., and Boo, Y.L., (2020), "Fraud detection: A systematic literature review of graph-based anomaly detection approaches", *Decision Support Systems*, Volume 133, 2020, 113303, ISSN 0167-9236, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2020.113303>.
- Protogerou, A., Papadopoulos, S., Drosou, A. et al. (2021), "A graph neural network method for distributed anomaly detection in IoT". *Evolving Systems* 12, 19–36 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12530-020-09347-0>
- Raj, A. Mukherjee, A.A. de Sousa Jabbour, A.B.L. Srivastava. S.K. (2022), "Supply chain management during and post-COVID-19 pandemic: Mitigation strategies and practical lessons learned". *Journal of Business Research*, Vol.142, Pp. 1125-1139, ISSN 0148-2963, doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.01.037.
- Robson K., Dean M., Brooks S., Haughey S. & Elliott C. (2020), "A 20-year analysis of reported food fraud in the global beef supply chain", *Food Control*, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107310>.
- Schroeder M, Lodemann S. (2021), "A Systematic Investigation of the Integration of Machine Learning into Supply Chain Risk Management". *Logistics*. 5(3):62. <https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics5030062>.
- SAP. (2023), "State of U.S. Supply Chains in 2023". SAP.
- Shahhosseini, M., Hu, G., and Pham, H. (2022), "Optimizing ensemble weights and hyper-parameters of machine learning models for regression problems", *Machine Learning with Applications*, Volume 7.
- Shakibaei, H., Farhadi-Ramin, M.R., Alipour-Vaezi, M., Aghsami, A. and Rabbani, M. (2023), "Designing a post-disaster humanitarian supply chain using machine learning and multi-criteria decision-making techniques", *Kybernetes*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-10-2022-1404>
- Srinath, T. and Gururaja H.S., (2022), "Explainable machine learning in identifying credit card defaulters", *Global Transitions Proceedings*, Volume 3, Issue 1, 2022, Pages 119-126.
- Sun, L. Zhang, J. Ding, W. Xu, J. (2022), "Feature reduction for imbalanced data classification using similarity-based feature clustering with adaptive weighted K-nearest neighbors", *Information Sciences*, Vol. 593, Pages 591-613.
- Tirkolaee, E.B. Sadeghi, S. Mooseloo, F. M. Vandchali, H. R. Aeni, S. (2021), "Application of Machine Learning in Supply Chain Management: A Comprehensive Overview of the Main Areas", *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1476043>.
- Wang, Z. (2022), "Use of supervised machine learning to detect abuse of COVID-19 related domain names". *Computers and Electrical Engineering*, vol. 100, 2022, 107864, ISSN 0045-7906, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compeleceng.2022.107864>.
- Weets, H.J.P. Müller, A.C. and Vanschore, J. (2020). "Importance of Tuning Hyperparameters of Machine Learning Algorithms". arXiv:2007.07588v1 [cs.LG] 15 Jul 2020.
- WEF. (2011), "World Economic Forum Supply Chain and Transport Risk Survey".
- WEF. (2012), "New models of addressing supply chain and transport risk", *World Economic Forum*.
- Wenzel, H. Smit, D. and Sardesai. S. (2019), "A literature review on machine learning in supply chain management". *Proceedings of the Hamburg International Conference of Logistics (HICL)*, Institut für Logistik und Unternehmensführung, Technische Universität Hamburg.
- Wu, Z., and Christofides, P.D. (2021), "Smart manufacturing: Machine learning-based economic MPC and preventive maintenance", *Smart Manufacturing*, Elsevier, 477-497.
- Yan, J., Erasmus, S., Toro, M., Huang, Haixin, and Ruth, S. (2019), "Food fraud: Assessing fraud vulnerability in the extra virgin olive oil supply chain". *Food Control*. 111. 107081. [10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.107081](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.107081).
- Yang, X. Song, Z. King I. and Xu, Z. (2022), "A Survey on Deep Semi-Supervised Learning", *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2022, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2022.3220219.
- Yang, Y., Huirman W., Hettinga K. A., Zhang, L., Van Ruth, S. M. (2020), "The Chinese milk supply chain: A fraud perspective". *Food Control*, Vol. 113, 107211, ISSN:0956-7135, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107211>

-
- Yeboah-Ofori, A., Swart, C., Opoku-Boateng, F.A. and Islam, S. (2022), "Cyber resilience in supply chain system security using machine learning for threat predictions", *Continuity & Resilience Review*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 1-36. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CRR-10-2021-0034>
- Younis, H., Sundarakani, B. and Alsharairi, M. (2021), "Applications of artificial intelligence and machine learning within supply chains: systematic review and future research directions", *Journal of Modelling in Management*, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JM2-12-2020-0322>
- Zeng, Z., Li, T., Sun, S., Sun, J. and Yin, J. (2022), "A novel semi-supervised self-training method based on resampling for Twitter fake account identification", *Data Technologies and Applications*, Vol. 56 No. 3, pp. 409-428. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DTA-07-2021-0196>
- Zhou, H., Sun, G., Fu, S., Fan, X., Jiang, W., Hu, S., and Li, L. (2020). "A Distributed Approach of Big Data Mining for Financial Fraud Detection in a Supply Chain". *Computers, Materials & Continua*. 64. 1091-1105. 10.32604/cmc.2020.09834.




Figure 1. Automated ML paradigm




Figure 2. Critical Ratios of ML methods for fraud detection




FIG. 3. AUROC Curve for fraud detection with hyper-parameter tuning




FIG. 4. AUROC Curve for fraud detection without hyper-parameter tuning




FIG. 5. AUROC Curve for machine failure detection with hyper-parameter tuning




FIG. 6. AUROC Curve for machine failure detection without hyper-parameter tuning




FIG. 7. AUROC Curve for material backorder prediction with hyper-parameter tuning




FIG. 8. AUROC Curve for material backorder prediction without hyper-parameter tuning




FIG. 9. SHAP values on the importance of features in the supply chain fraud dataset




FIG. 10. Force Plot of SHAP values on the importance of features in the supply chain fraud dataset