# Smart Contracts in the Real World: A Statistical Exploration of External Data Dependencies

Yishun Wang Hainan University HaiKou, China yishun3300@gmail.com

Wenkai Li Hainan University HaiKou, China liwenkai871@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Smart contracts are pivotal for implementing various functions due to their interactivity with external data. However, this interactivity also presents challenges in terms of security and reliability. There is a lack of statistical and quantitative research on the interaction between smart contracts and external data. To fill this gap, we thoroughly examine 10,500 actual smart contracts to select 9,356 valid samples, excluding those that are outdated or have compilation errors. Utilizing code parsing techniques, the study transformed contract code into Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs) and extracted keywords related to external data dependency through code analysis. By comparing the ASTs with the keyword list, we conduct a quantitative analysis of the number and proportion of contracts involving external data interaction. Furthermore, we collect over 3,600 security audit reports and manually filter 249 (approximately 9%) reports related to external data interaction, categorizing the external data dependency in these contracts. We also explore the relationship between the complexity of smart contracts and their dependence on external data.

## **KEYWORDS**

Smart Contract, Data Dependency, Ethereum, Oracle Services

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The decentralized nature of blockchain allows for the trustworthy execution of smart contracts without relying on a single entity[\[15\]](#page-4-0). This key feature has significantly contributed to the widespread adoption of blockchain technology, especially on the Ethereum platform. As a result, this ecosystem has led to the development of numerous decentralized applications (DApps) in response to the growing demand for their functionality. The importance of external data for smart contracts is undeniable, as they often depend on real-world information to improve their functionality[\[16\]](#page-4-1). Interactions with real-time data, such as prices or weather conditions, are common to execute specific logic.

In this paper, we analyze 9,356 successfully compiled real-world smart contracts out of an initial set of 10,500, gathered from Etherscan using a web crawler. We exclude contracts with outdated versions and syntax errors. Specifically, through reviewing 240

Xiaoqi Li<sup>∗</sup> Hainan University HaiKou, China csxqli@gmail.com

Xin Wang Hainan University HaiKou, China wxin98767@gmail.com

smart contract source codes interacting with external data, we create a keyword list named "oracle\_services," which includes relevant contract and function names. Moreover, utilizing Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs) [\[1\]](#page-4-2), a tree-like data structure widely used in compilers and interpreters to represent the abstract syntax structure of programming language code. we compare the 9,356 contracts with the "oracle\_services" list, calculating the number and proportion involving external data interaction.

Additionally, we curate 249 smart contract security audit reports from 3 security teams, assessing interactions with external data. The degree of external data dependency is categorized into low, medium, and high based on frequency. Higher dependence implies a greater risk of security issues stemming from external data. The main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, this paper presents the first empirical study on the dependence of smart contracts on external data. The aim is to assist in reducing security issues caused by smart contract developers when interacting with external data.
- We analyze real-world smart contracts, quantify the proportion of their interaction with external data, and classify the degree of smart contract dependency on external data. Researchers and developers can understand the proportions of external data dependency at different levels, enabling them to take appropriate measures for better practices.
- We open-source our experimental data and codes on [https:](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25144934) [//doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25144934](https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.25144934)

## 2 BACKGROUND

#### 2.1 Smart Contracts and Audit Reports

Smart contracts[\[11\]](#page-4-3) are Turing-complete programs running on the blockchain. They are typically implemented using programming languages like Solidity, offering various functionalities applicable in real-world scenarios such as finance, cryptography, and healthcare[\[13\]](#page-4-4). The extensive use of smart contracts in finance makes them frequent targets for hacking attempts[\[14\]](#page-4-5). Due to their immutable nature upon deployment, security companies offer audit services to mitigate risks. Smart contract security audits involve manual analysis by specialized security teams, identifying and evaluating potential vulnerabilities[\[17\]](#page-4-6). After running on virtual chains, audit reports are published, containing information like contract

<sup>∗</sup>The corresponding author

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1: Investigative Process of Collecting and Analyzing Smart Contract Cases

address, user permissions, detailed vulnerabilities, and recommendations for fixing them. These reports are usually presented in English to cater to a global audience.

#### 2.2 External Data Dependencies

Smart contract external data dependency[\[10\]](#page-4-7) refers to the situation where a smart contract, during its execution, needs to interact with external data sources outside the blockchain. Typically, smart contracts require fetching data from external sources. This external data retrieval is crucial for the execution of smart contracts as it allows contracts to make decisions based on real-time or dynamic external conditions. Contracts interact with off-chain data through Oracle services[\[2\]](#page-4-8). Smart contract Oracle services act as providers of external data sources to blockchain smart contracts, serving as a bridge enabling smart contracts to communicate with realworld data. Additionally, cross-chain communication[\[12\]](#page-4-9) is also a common method for smart contracts to engage in external data interaction, often involving cross-chain bridge technologies, notary mechanisms, relay chain mechanisms, and more. In this article, we detail some real-world examples where contract interaction with external data has led to security issues.

### 3 METHODOLOGY

#### 3.1 Overview

In this paper, we focus on the utilization of external data in realworld smart contracts. As illustrated in Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) we design three steps to calculate and analyze the usage of external data in smart contracts. Firstly, we identify three different security teams providing auditing services on Etherscan. Subsequently, we manually examined their homepage information and bulk-downloaded over 3600 open-source audit reports, some of which were disclosed on the security teams' websites and others on GitHub. A portion of these reports included contract source codes, and we obtained a

total of 240 contract source codes with external data interaction features. After manual analysis, we integrate a keyword list of contract names and function names related to external data dependencies. Utilizing web crawling techniques, we retrieve 10500 real-world smart contracts from Etherscan. Through parsing and comparison with the keyword list, we identified a total of 286 contracts with relevance to external data interaction (statistics were limited to successfully compiled Solidity projects). Below, we elaborate on the details of each step.

#### 3.2 Data collection

To collect real-world smart contracts, we utilized web crawling technology. Due to limitations in the contract query interface provided by Etherscan, which only allows access to the last 500 contract addresses, we respond to this limitation by introducing a tool called SmartContractSpider into the project. This tool enabled us to gather the last 10,000 contract addresses from Etherscan and crawl the contract source code associated with these addresses. The smart contracts obtained include data up to August 2023.

To collect security audit reports for these smart contracts, we identify three different security teams on Etherscan offering contract auditing services. We located their official websites on their Etherscan pages, and two of these teams had open-sourced their audit reports on GitHub. In total, we obtain over 3600 audit reports from both official websites and GitHub repositories. These audit reports cover data from 2018 to August 2023.

#### 3.3 Data filtering

To count the number of contracts depending on external data, it was necessary to filter out contracts using Oracle services or engaging in cross-chain communication. Initially, we manually screened 3600 security audit reports to identify those with external data access functionality, resulting in 249 reports meeting the criteria. Among these 249 reports, we discover 240 open-source contract codes. Subsequently, we conduct manual analysis on these smart

Table 1: Usage Count by Method Name and Category

Categories	Method Name	<b>Usage Count</b>
Oracle Services	oracle	191
crossChain	bridge	39
Oracle Services	chainlink	13
Oracle Services	external	6
crossChain	api	22
Oracle Services	dydx	9
crossChain	crosschain	6

contracts, creating a keyword list "oracle\_services" comprising contract and function names likely associated with external data access functionalities.

From the filtered 249 audit reports containing external data access services, we conduct a manual analysis. We then calculate the number of times each smart contract project accessed external data in each report. Table 1 illustrates the number of contract names or function names containing the keywords that we have statistically compiled.

#### 3.4 Analysis

In the process of our research, we conduct an extensive analysis of over 10,500 smart contracts using ASTs. This process entails a meticulous dissection of the contracts' codebase to discern its underlying structure and syntactic composition. Following this, we meticulously extract discrete nodes from the ASTs that encapsulated pertinent information about the nomenclature of contracts and the functions they encompassed, subsequently depositing these into a comprehensive repository denoted as 'total\_list'.

The subsequent phase of our investigation involved the systematic juxtaposition of this 'total\_list' against a curated collection of 'oracle\_services,' intending to identify any potential correlations or commonalities. To quantify the prevalence of specific keywords within the context of contract and function nomenclature, we employ a rigorous comparative analysis, as graphically represented in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) Our empirical findings reveal that out of the total population of 10,500 smart contracts scrutinized, a subset of 286 contracts, constituting approximately 2.86% of the sample, were identified to facilitate interactions with external data sources.

In parallel with our ASTs analysis, we procured and analyzed 249 security audit reports about smart contracts. These reports provide valuable insights into the frequency with which external data is accessed across various projects. Our statistical analysis yields a spectrum of external data access frequencies, with the maximum number of accesses recorded at an astonishing 11,724 instances. The average frequency of external data access across the projects audited was determined to be 117 occurrences per project. To further clarify the patterns of external data access within smart contracts, we employ a visualization technique to represent the distribution of access frequencies graphically. Based on the observed distribution, we utilize a decision tree classification method to establish two critical thresholds, which facilitates the categorization of access frequencies into three distinct levels: low, medium, and high. This stratification approach not only provided us with a clear overview

of the project distribution but also enabled a nuanced understanding of the reliance on and variability of external data within the smart contract ecosystem.

By employing this method, we can systematically document and display the number of projects falling within each frequency category, with the results visually depicted in Fig. [3.](#page-2-0) The distribution of projects across these categories offers an in-depth insight into the prevalence and variability of external data dependence in the landscape of smart contracts.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 2: The Count of Function Name or Contract Name



Figure 3: The Frequency of Access Frequency Levels to External Data

Then, we compile and analyze 74 smart contracts from a total of 249 audit reports, converting them into ASTs and then into CFG. Applying the cyclomatic complexity formula:  $V(G) = E - N + 2$  (where E represents the edges and N represents the nodes in the CFG), the process is shown in Algorithm. [1.](#page-3-0) This approach surmounts the challenge of the absence of existing libraries for calculating the cyclomatic complexity of Solidity contracts. We identify a significant positive correlation (with a correlation coefficient r and a p-value < 0.05) between the number of external data dependencies and the code complexity of smart contracts, as illustrated in Fig. [4.](#page-3-1) Within the range of low dependency counts, the growth of code complexity is relatively slow; however, as the number of dependencies increases, the code complexity shows a trend of accelerating growth. Several factors may drive the positive correlation

Yishun Wang, Xiaoqi Li, Wenkai Li, and Xin Wang

observed[\[9\]](#page-4-10). Firstly, an increased reliance on external data may necessitate the implementation of more complex logical judgments and state management within the contract. Secondly, to process and verify external data, the contract may need to incorporate a greater number of conditional statements and loop structures, thereby increasing the cyclomatic complexity of the code.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Algorithm 1 Calculate Cyclomatic Complexity of Solidity Smart **Contracts** 

- 1: Function build\_cfg\_from\_ast(ast)
- 2: Create directed graph cfg
- 3: Define recursive function parse\_node(node, parent)
- 4: If node is a dictionary then
- 5: Get node type node\_type and generate node\_id
- 6: Add node and edge to cfg
- 7: Recursively parse conditions and bodies of control statements
- 8: Recursively parse block and function bodies, and other child nodes
- 9: If node is a list then recursively parse each item
- 10: Parse AST and return cfg
- 11: Function calculate\_cyclomatic\_complexity(cfg)
- 12: Get num\_edges, num\_nodes, num\_connected\_components
- 13: Calculate cyclomatic complexity as num\_edges num\_nodes + 2 \* num\_connected\_components
- 14: Return cyclomatic complexity

<span id="page-3-1"></span>



#### 4 CHALLENGES AND COUNTERMEASURES

We conduct an extensive review of the current literature and the collected contract audit reports, synthesizing the potential security issues arising from the interaction of smart contracts with external data into two distinct yet interrelated areas: issues related to the credibility of the data used, and security challenges associated with the use of Oracle services, as described in Fig. [5.](#page-3-2)

Data trustworthiness issues encompass both the inherent insecurity of the data itself and the possibility of data tampering, both of which can lead to the contamination of the data source. The execution of smart contracts relies on external data sources[\[18\]](#page-4-11). However,

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

Figure 5: Oracle Services and Cross-Chain Services

an insecure data source may pose safety hazards to the smart contracts that depend on such data. If the Oracle network fetches data from only one trading platform API, it cannot provide effective protection during that platform's downtime, flash crashes, or price manipulation. This situation leads to the risk of smart contracts executing based on incorrect price data, potentially resulting in the loss of user funds. Data auditing is a primary method to ensure the security of data sources, but auditing external data by a third-party auditor (TPA) authorized by public auditing still presents security concerns, as TPA is often considered semi-honest. To address this issue, Kuan et al.[\[8\]](#page-4-12) proposed a decentralized auditing scheme (Dredas) by replacing the TPA with a designed smart contract. In this scheme, anyone can obtain audit results from Ethereum without worrying about a semi-honest TPA. Kuan's article provides a solution to the external data trustworthiness issue by shifting data auditing from off-chain to on-chain. By designing smart contracts with data auditing capabilities, external data is audited on-chain before being utilized.

Oracles serve as a bridge for blockchains to access external data and multiple DeFi projects within the Ethereum network have encountered oracle issues, with some being attacked due to their reliance on a single oracle. Kevin et al.[\[6\]](#page-4-13)have identified a manipulation process where attackers exploit unsecured flash loans to manipulate market prices provided by oracles, thereby illicitly profiting within smart contracts.

## 5 RELATED WORK

With the development of blockchain technology, the security of smart contracts has increasingly become a focal point. Several insightful studies have been proposed to investigate security issues within blockchain and smart contracts. Wang et al.[\[4\]](#page-4-14) provided a detailed introduction to the concept of Oracle services and elucidated the process through which smart contracts utilize the Oracle mechanism to obtain off-chain data. Dong et al.[\[3\]](#page-4-15) point out that Oracle services always risk providing damaged, malicious, or inaccurate data. They introduce the Distributed Autonomous Oracle Network (DAON), its consensus protocol, and non-interactive schemes for reputation maintenance and payments. Zhang et al.[\[5\]](#page-4-16) propose solving query performance issues and limited query semantics by linking to other databases. They achieve version control functionality by independently designing version control semantics. Beniiche et al.[\[7\]](#page-4-17) researched and described widely used blockchain Oracle services, providing detailed insights into its potential roles, technical architecture, and design patterns.

## 6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigate the reliance of smart contracts on external data, revealing their significance and impact from an empirical perspective. By collecting a substantial amount of real-world smart contract data and conducting detailed analysis, we uncover the ubiquity and necessity of smart contracts interacting with external data, elucidating potential issues arising from this dependency. Our research provides quantified data on the reliance of smart contracts on external data and categorizes the degree of dependence, offering developers clearer guidance and decision-making criteria. Additionally, we present a dataset encompassing security audit reports and relevant cases where smart contracts interact with external data.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[1] M. Huang, J. Chen, Z. Jiang, and Z. Zheng, "Revealing hidden threats: An empirical study of library misuse in smart contracts," in IEEE/ACM 46th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE), 2023, pp. 280–291.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[2] G. Caldarelli, "Overview of blockchain oracle research," Future Internet, vol. 14, no. 6, p. 175, 2022.
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[3] J. Dong, C. Song, Y. Sun, and T. Zhang, "Daon: A decentralized autonomous oracle network to provide secure data for smart contracts," IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, 2023.
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[4] Y. Wang, H. Liu, J. Wang, and S. Wang, "Efficient data interaction of blockchain smart contract with oracle mechanism," in IEEE 9th Joint International Information Technology and Artificial Intelligence Conference (ITAIC), vol. 9, 2020, pp. 1000– 1003.
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[5] Z. Zhang, Y. Zhong, and X. Yu, "Blockchain storage middleware based on external database," in 6th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Signal

Processing (ICSP), 2021, pp. 1301–1304.

- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[6] K. Tjiam, R. Wang, H. Chen, and K. Liang, "Your smart contracts are not secure: investigating arbitrageurs and oracle manipulators in ethereum," in Proceedings of the 3rd Workshop on Cyber-Security Arms Race, 2021, pp. 25–35.
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[7] A. Beniiche, "A study of blockchain oracles," arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.07140, 2020.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[8] K. Fan, Z. Bao, M. Liu, A. V. Vasilakos, and W. Shi, "Dredas: Decentralized, reliable and efficient remote outsourced data auditing scheme with blockchain smart contract for industrial iot," Future Generation Computer Systems, vol. 110, pp. 665–674, 2020.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[9] G. Jahncke, "The influence of code complexity on review efficiency, effectiveness and workload in embedded software development," Master's thesis, University of Twente, 2023.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[10] C. F. Torres, A. K. Iannillo, A. Gervais, and R. State, "Confuzzius: A data dependency-aware hybrid fuzzer for smart contracts," in IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy (EuroS&P). IEEE, 2021, pp. 103–119.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[11] P. Tolmach, Y. Li, S.-W. Lin, Y. Liu, and Z. Li, "A survey of smart contract formal specification and verification," ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), vol. 54, pp. 1-38, 2021.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[12] S. Shao, F. Chen, X. Xiao, W. Gu, Y. Lu, S. Wang, W. Tang, S. Liu, F. Wu, J. He et al., "Ibe-bciot: an ibe based cross-chain communication mechanism of blockchain in iot," World Wide Web, vol. 24, pp. 1665–1690, 2021.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[13] D. Perez and B. Livshits, "Smart contract vulnerabilities: Vulnerable does not imply exploited," in 30th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 21), 2021, pp. 1325–1341.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[14] X. Li, T. Chen, X. Luo, and C. Wang, "Clue: towards discovering locked cryptocurrencies in ethereum," in Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing, 2021, pp. 1584–1587.
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[15] S. Zhang, W. Li, X. Li, and B. Liu, "Authros: Secure data sharing among robot operating systems based on ethereum," in IEEE 22nd International Conference on Software Quality, Reliability and Security (QRS). IEEE, 2022, pp. 147–156.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[16] X. Li, T. Chen, X. Luo, and J. Yu, "Characterizing erasable accounts in ethereum," in In 23rd International Information Security Conference. Springer, 2020, pp. 352–371.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[17] Z. Li, D. Kong, Y. Niu, H. Peng, X. Li, and W. Li, "An overview of ai and blockchain integration for privacy-preserving," arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.03928, 2023. [18] Y. Mao, X. Li, Z. Li, and W. Li, "Automated smart contract summarization via
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>llms," arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04863, 2024.