

PARABOLIC VECTOR BUNDLES AND LIE ALGEBROID CONNECTIONS

DAVID ALFAYA, INDRANIL BISWAS, PRADIP KUMAR, AND ANOOP SINGH

ABSTRACT. Given a holomorphic Lie algebroid on an m -pointed Riemann surface, we define parabolic Lie algebroid connections on any parabolic vector bundle equipped with parabolic structure over the marked points. An analogue of the Atiyah exact sequence for parabolic Lie algebroids is constructed. For any Lie algebroid whose underlying holomorphic vector bundle is stable, we give a complete characterization of all the parabolic vector bundles that admit a parabolic Lie algebroid connection.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface and E a holomorphic vector bundle over X . A Lie algebroid on X is a locally free coherent analytic sheaf V on X equipped with a Lie algebra structure together with a holomorphic homomorphism of vector bundles $\phi : V \rightarrow TX$ that intertwines the Lie algebra structure with the Lie bracket operation on TX . In [BKS], a criterion for the existence of Lie algebroid connection on E was given under the assumption that the vector bundle V is stable.

Parabolic vector bundles are generalizations of holomorphic vector bundles. They were introduced by Mehta and Seshadri in [MS] and their definition was further developed by Maruyama and Yokogawa in [MY]. Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$ be a nonempty finite subset, whose elements will be called the parabolic points. Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle over X with parabolic structure over S (see Section 2.2 for the definition). A connection on a parabolic vector bundle E_* is a logarithmic connection on the underlying holomorphic vector bundle E which is singular over S such that the residue of the connection over any $x \in D$ is compatible with the parabolic structure of E_* over x .

Every parabolic vector bundle can be expressed as a direct sum of indecomposable parabolic vector bundles. A parabolic vector bundle E_* admits a connection if and only if the parabolic degree of every parabolic vector bundle which is a direct summand of E_* is zero [BL, Theorem 1.1].

Given a Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) and a parabolic vector bundle E_* , we define parabolic Lie algebroid connections on E_* (see Definition 3.5). If $V = TX \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-S)$ and ϕ is the natural inclusion map of $TX \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-S)$ in TX , then a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* is a usual connection on the parabolic vector bundle. After having the definition

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H60, 53B15, 70G45.

Key words and phrases. Parabolic bundle, Lie algebroid connection, Atiyah exact sequence, stable bundle.

of a parabolic Lie algebroid connection we address the question of giving a criterion for the existence of a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on a parabolic vector bundle.

As mentioned before, the definition of a connection on a parabolic vector bundle E_* uses the residue, over the points of S , of a logarithmic connection. Given a Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) , for any Lie algebroid connection D on a holomorphic vector bundle F on X , we construct a \mathbb{C} -linear homomorphism

$$\mathcal{S}_x : F_x \longrightarrow F_x \otimes \text{coker}(\phi)_x = F_x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$$

for every $x \in X$ (see (2.7)). This homomorphism is a generalization of the notion of residue of a logarithmic connection.

Before defining a parabolic Lie algebroid connection, we introduce the weaker notion of a quasiparabolic Lie algebroid connection. We prove the following (see Proposition 3.3):

Proposition 1.1. *Let (V, ϕ) be a Lie algebroid and E_* a parabolic vector bundle. A Lie algebroid connection $D : E \longrightarrow E \otimes V^*$ on the vector bundle E underlying E_* gives a quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on the parabolic vector bundle E_* if and only if the following two statements hold:*

- (1) *For every $x \in S$, the homomorphism \mathcal{S}_x preserves the quasiparabolic filtration of E_* over x .*
- (2) *For all $x \in S$, the homomorphism of fibers $\phi_x^* : (K_X)_x \longrightarrow V_x^*$ is the zero map.*

A parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* is a quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* such that the action of \mathcal{S}_x on each graded piece of the quasiparabolic filtration of E_* over x is given by the parabolic weight of that graded piece; see Definition 3.5.

Next, we develop a parabolic analog of the Atiyah exact sequence in the set up of Lie algebroids. First we introduced the notion of generalized parabolic Lie algebroid connections, and the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{E_*, V}$ of generalized parabolic Lie algebroid connections on E_* , which is a holomorphic vector bundle over X , fits into a short exact sequence (see (3.18)). A parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* is precisely a holomorphic splitting of (3.18).

Let (V, ϕ) be a Lie algebroid such that the homomorphism ϕ vanishes over S . Then the dual homomorphism $\phi^* : K_X \longrightarrow V^*$ also vanishes over S , and hence it produces a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\phi}^* : K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S) \longrightarrow V^*.$$

In Section 4, we give a criterion for the existence of parabolic Lie algebroid connections on a parabolic vector bundle. The following is proved (see Theorem 4.3):

Theorem 1.2. *Let (V, ϕ) be a Lie algebroid such that V is stable and the homomorphism ϕ vanishes over S . Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on X . Then the following two statements hold:*

- (1) *If the above homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}^*$ is not an isomorphism, then E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection.*
- (2) *If $\tilde{\phi}^*$ is an isomorphism, then E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection if and only if the parabolic degree of every parabolic direct summand of E_* is zero.*

Finally, in the last section, we study integrable Lie algebroid connections and their moduli by analyzing them in the framework of parabolic Λ -modules in the sense of [Si] and [Al]. It is shown that the integrable quasiparabolic Lie algebroid connections are equivalent to the parabolic Λ -module structures in the sense of Definition 5.1 ([Al, Definition 2.5]). Defining the semistable parabolic Lie algebroid connections in this context, we prove the following theorem (see Theorem 5.4).

Theorem 1.3. *Let (V, ϕ) be any Lie algebroid such that $\phi|_S = 0$. For every system of weights $\alpha = \{\{\alpha_i^x\}_{i=1}^{l_x}\}_{x \in S}$, every parabolic type $\bar{r} = \{\{r_i^x\}_{i=1}^{l_x}\}_{x \in S}$ and every degree d , there exists a quasi-projective coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{(V, \phi)}(\alpha, \bar{r}, d)$ of semistable integrable parabolic (V, ϕ) -connections (E_*, D) on (X, S) , with $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$, $\deg(E) = d$, $\dim(E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}) = r_x^i$ and system of weights α .*

If V is a line bundle, then this moduli space is nonempty if and only if either

- $(V, \phi) \neq (TX(-S), i : TX(-S) \hookrightarrow TX)$, or
- $(V, \phi) = (T(-S), i : TX(-S) \hookrightarrow TX)$ and

$$d + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i^x r_i^x = 0.$$

If V is a stable bundle, then for the moduli space to be nonempty it is sufficient to have either

- $\text{im } \phi \neq TX(-S)$, or
- $\text{im } \phi = TX(-S)$ and

$$d + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i^x r_i^x = 0.$$

2. LIE ALGEBROID CONNECTIONS AND PARABOLIC BUNDLES

2.1. Lie algebroid connection. Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface. The holomorphic cotangent and tangent bundles of X will be denoted by K_X and TX respectively. The first jet bundle of a holomorphic vector bundle W on X will be denoted by $J^1(W)$; so $J^1(W)$ is a holomorphic vector bundle on X that fits in the following short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X :

$$0 \rightarrow W \otimes K_X \rightarrow J^1(W) \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0.$$

A \mathbb{C} -Lie algebra structure on a holomorphic vector bundle V on X is a \mathbb{C} -bilinear pairing defined by a sheaf homomorphism

$$[-, -] : V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \rightarrow V,$$

which is given by a holomorphic homomorphism $J^1(V) \otimes J^1(V) \rightarrow V$ of vector bundles, such that

$$[s, t] = -[t, s] \quad \text{and} \quad [[s, t], u] + [[t, u], s] + [[u, s], t] = 0$$

for all locally defined holomorphic sections s, t, u of V . The Lie bracket operation on TX gives the structure of a Lie algebra on it. A Lie algebroid on X is a pair (V, ϕ) , where

- (1) V is a holomorphic vector bundle on X equipped with the structure of a \mathbb{C} -Lie algebra,
(2) $\phi : V \rightarrow TX$ is an \mathcal{O}_X -linear homomorphism such that

$$\phi([s, t]) = [\phi(s), \phi(t)]$$

for all locally defined holomorphic sections s, t of V , and

- (3) $[s, f \cdot t] = f \cdot [s, t] + \phi(s)(f) \cdot t$ for all locally defined holomorphic sections s, t of V and all locally defined holomorphic functions f on X .

The above homomorphism ϕ is called the *anchor map* of the Lie algebroid.

Remark 2.1. Observe that the above condition (2) is actually a consequence of conditions (1) and (3), since, for all holomorphic local sections s, t, u of V and each locally defined holomorphic function f in \mathcal{O}_X we have, on one hand,

$$[[s, t], fu] = f[[s, t], u] + \phi([s, t])(f)u$$

and, on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} [[s, t], fu] &= [[s, fu], t] + [s, [t, fu]] = [f[s, u] + \phi(s)(f)u, t] \\ &+ [s, f[t, u] + \phi(t)(f)u] = f[[s, u], t] - \phi(t)(f)[s, u] + \phi(s)(f)[u, t] \\ &- \phi(t)(\phi(s)(f))u + f[s, [t, u]] + \phi(s)(f)[t, u] + \phi(t)(f)[s, u] + \phi(s)(\phi(t)(f))u \\ &= f[[s, t], u] + (\phi(s)(\phi(t)(f)) - \phi(t)(\phi(s)(f)))u \\ &= f[[s, t], u] + [\phi(s), \phi(t)](f)u. \end{aligned}$$

As these coincide for each local holomorphic section u of V and each local holomorphic function f , we must have

$$\phi([s, t]) = [\phi(s), \phi(t)].$$

Let (V, ϕ) be a Lie algebroid on X . We have the dual homomorphism

$$\phi^* : K_X \rightarrow V^* \tag{2.1}$$

of ϕ . Let

$$q : V^* \rightarrow V^*/\phi^*(K_X) =: \mathcal{Q} \tag{2.2}$$

be the corresponding quotient map. Note that the above coherent analytic sheaf \mathcal{Q} may have torsion. For any point $y \in X$, the quotient $\mathcal{Q}/\mathfrak{m}_y\mathcal{Q}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_y \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the maximal ideal associated to y , will be denoted by \mathcal{Q}_y .

The fiber over any point $y \in X$ of any vector bundle W on X will be denoted by W_y .

A Lie algebroid connection on a holomorphic vector bundle E on X is a first order holomorphic differential operator

$$D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$$

such that

$$D(fs) = fD(s) + s \otimes \phi^*(df) \tag{2.3}$$

for all locally defined holomorphic section s of E and all locally defined holomorphic function f on X , where ϕ^* is the homomorphism in (2.1).

Consider a Lie algebroid connection $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$. Fix a point $x \in X$. Take any $v \in E_x$. Choose a holomorphic section s of E , defined on an open neighborhood U of x , such that $s(x) = v$. Consider $D(s) \in H^0(U, (E \otimes V^*)|_U)$. Let

$$\widehat{s} := (\text{Id}_E \times q)(D(s))(x) \in E_x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x \quad (2.4)$$

be the image, where q is the projection in (2.2). If s_1 is another holomorphic section of E , defined on an open neighborhood of x , with $s_1(x) = v$, then

$$s - s_1 = f \cdot t, \quad (2.5)$$

where t is a holomorphic section of E defined on an open neighborhood of x , and f is a holomorphic function defined around x with $f(x) = 0$. From (2.3) and (2.5) it follows that

$$(D(s) - D(s_1))(x) = t(x) \otimes \phi^*(df)(x) + f(x) \cdot (D(t))(x) = t(x) \otimes \phi^*(df)(x), \quad (2.6)$$

because $f(x) = 0$. Since $q(\phi^*(df)) = 0$, where q is the projection in (2.2), from (2.6) it follows that

$$\widehat{s} = (\text{Id}_E \times q)(D(s))(x) = (\text{Id}_E \times q)(D(s_1))(x).$$

Consequently, we get a linear map

$$\mathcal{S}_x : E_x \rightarrow E_x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x \quad (2.7)$$

that sends any $v \in E_x$ to $\widehat{s} := (\text{Id}_E \times q)(D(s))(x)$, where s is any holomorphic section of E , defined around x , with $s(x) = v$.

Notice that this linear map \mathcal{S}_x can be defined for any Lie algebroid connection and over each point of the curve $x \in X$; not necessarily a parabolic point in S . In the special case of logarithmic connections, where $(V, \phi) = (TX(-S), i : TX(-S) \hookrightarrow TX)$, over the parabolic points $x \in S$ we have $\phi|_x = 0$, so $\mathcal{Q}_x = K_X|_x \cong \mathbb{C}$ and the map \mathcal{S}_x becomes the usual notion of residue of a logarithmic connection. Thus, we can understand \mathcal{S}_x as natural a generalization for arbitrary Lie algebroids and arbitrary points of the residue map. In fact, in the next section, we will see that, when $x \in S$ is a parabolic point, the map \mathcal{S}_x plays exactly the same role as the residue in the characterization of parabolic Lie algebroid connections.

2.2. Parabolic bundles. Let $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$ be a nonempty finite subset, whose elements will be called the parabolic points. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over X . A *quasi-parabolic structure* on E over $x \in S$ is a strictly decreasing filtration of subspaces of the fiber E_x

$$E_x = E_x^1 \supsetneq E_x^2 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq E_x^{\ell_x} \supsetneq E_x^{\ell_x+1} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

A parabolic structure on E over $x \in S$ is a quasiparabolic filtration as in (2.8) together with a sequence of real numbers

$$0 \leq \alpha_1^x < \dots < \alpha_{\ell_x}^x < 1. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that $\ell_x \geq 1$ if $E \neq 0$. A parabolic structure on E is parabolic structure on E on every $x \in S$ (see [MS], [MY]). A parabolic vector bundle on X consists of a vector bundle E on X together with a parabolic structure on E . For notational convenience, such a parabolic vector bundle will also be denoted by E_* .

Take a parabolic vector bundle

$$E_* = (E, \{\{E_x^i\}_{i=1}^{\ell_x}\}_{x \in S}, \{\{\alpha_i^x\}_{i=1}^{\ell_x}\}_{x \in S}) \quad (2.10)$$

as above. For any $x \in S$ and any $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x + 1$, let $\mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ be the unique holomorphic vector bundle on X that fits in the following short exact sequence of coherent analytic sheaves on X :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \xrightarrow{\iota_{x,i}} E \longrightarrow E_x/E_x^i \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.11)$$

So $\mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ is identified with E over the complement $X \setminus \{x\}$. These $\{\mathcal{E}_{x,i}\}$ form a decreasing sequence of subsheaves of E for each $x \in S$:

$$E = \mathcal{E}_{x,1} \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{x,1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{x,\ell_x} \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{x,\ell_x+1} = E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-x). \quad (2.12)$$

Consider the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(E) = E \otimes E^*$. It has a coherent analytic subsheaf

$$\text{End}_P(E) \subset \text{End}(E) \quad (2.13)$$

defined by the following condition: For any open subset $U \subset X$, any

$$s \in H^0(U, \text{End}(E)|_U)$$

lies in $H^0(U, \text{End}_P(E)|_U)$ if and only if $s(x)(E_x^i) \subset E_x^i$ for all $x \in S \cap U$ and $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x$. Let

$$\text{End}_n(E) \subset \text{End}_P(E) \quad (2.14)$$

be the subsheaf defined by all s as above such that $s(x)(E_x^i) \subset E_x^{i+1}$ for all $x \in S \cap U$ and $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x$.

The notions of semistable, stable and polystable vector bundles generalize to the parabolic context (see [MS], [MY]). A parabolic vector bundle E_* is stable (respectively, semistable) if for any nontrivial subbundle $F \subset E$ we have the following inequality between the parabolic slopes of E_* and the induced parabolic structure on F by E_* .

$$\frac{\deg(F) + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_x} \alpha_i^x (\dim(E_x^i \cap F_x) - \dim(E_x^{i+1} \cap F_x))}{\text{rk}(F)} < \text{(respectively, } \leq \text{)} \frac{\deg(E) + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_x} \alpha_i^x (\dim(E_x^i) - \dim(E_x^{i+1}))}{\text{rk}(E)}.$$

We call the quotient

$$\text{par-}\mu(E_*) = \frac{\deg(E) + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_x} \alpha_i^x (\dim(E_x^i) - \dim(E_x^{i+1}))}{\text{rk}(E)}$$

the parabolic slope of E_* . A parabolic vector bundle E_* is polystable if it is a direct sum of stable parabolic bundles of same parabolic slope. Also, the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of vector bundles extends to the parabolic context (see [MY]).

3. CONNECTIONS ON PARABOLIC BUNDLES

3.1. Quasiparabolic Lie algebroid connections. Let (V, ϕ) be a Lie algebroid on X . Take a parabolic vector bundle E_* as in (2.10).

Definition 3.1. A *quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection* on E_* is a Lie algebroid connection

$$D : E \longrightarrow E \otimes V^*$$

on E (see (2.3)) such that

$$D(\mathcal{E}_{x,i}) \subset \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \otimes V^* \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x \in S$ and every $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x + 1$ (see (2.11)).

Lemma 3.2. Fix $x \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x$. Take a Lie algebroid connection $D : E \longrightarrow E \otimes V^*$ such that the homomorphism \mathcal{S}_x in (2.7) maps $E_x^i \subset E_x$ to $E_x^i \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$. Then D produces a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}_{x,i} : \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \longrightarrow (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes \phi^*((K_X)_x) \subset (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes V_x^*$$

which satisfies the identity $\mathbb{D}_{x,i}(f \cdot s) = f(x) \cdot \mathbb{D}_{x,i}(s)$ for every holomorphic section s of $\mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ defined around $x \in S$ and every holomorphic function f on an open neighborhood of x , where ϕ^* is the homomorphism in (2.1).

Proof. We have the following diagram of homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \mathcal{E}_{x,i} & & & & & \\ & \downarrow \iota_{x,i} & & & & & \\ & E & \xrightarrow{D} & E \otimes V^* & & & \\ & & & \downarrow \gamma & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes \phi^*((K_X)_x) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes V_x^* & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{E_x/E_x^i} \otimes q_x} & (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\iota_{x,i}$ and q_x are the homomorphisms in (2.11) and (2.2) respectively, while γ is the tensor product of the natural projection $V^* \longrightarrow V_x^*$ with the composition of maps $E \longrightarrow E_x \longrightarrow (E_x/E_x^i)$, and β_1 is the tensor product of the identity map of E_x/E_x^i with the natural inclusion map $\phi^*((K_X)_x) \hookrightarrow V_x^*$; the row at the bottom of (3.2) is exact. The given condition that \mathcal{S}_x maps E_x^i to $E_x^i \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$ is equivalent to the condition that the composition of maps in (3.2)

$$(\text{Id}_{E_x/E_x^i} \otimes q_x) \circ \gamma \circ D \circ \iota_{x,i} : \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \longrightarrow (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$$

vanishes. Consequently, $\gamma \circ D \circ \iota_{x,i}$ produces a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}_{x,i} : \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \longrightarrow (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes \phi^*((K_X)_x).$$

From (2.3) we conclude that $\mathbb{D}_{x,i}(f \cdot s) = f(x) \cdot \mathbb{D}_{x,i}(s)$ for every holomorphic section s of $\mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ defined around x and every holomorphic function f defined around $x \in X$ (note that the image of s in E_x/E_x^i vanishes). \square

Proposition 3.3. *Take a Lie algebroid connection $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$. It gives a quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on the parabolic vector bundle E_* if and only if the following two statements hold:*

- (1) *For every $x \in S$, the homomorphism \mathcal{S}_x in (2.7) maps $E_x^i \subset E_x$ to $E_x^i \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x + 1$.*
- (2) *For all $x \in S$, the homomorphism of fibers $\phi_x^* : (K_X)_x \rightarrow V_x^*$ (see (2.1)) is the zero map.*

Proof. First assume that D gives a quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on the parabolic vector bundle E_* . Fix any $x \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x + 1$. Take any $v \in E_x^i \subset E_x$, and choose a holomorphic section s of E , defined on an open neighborhood U of x , such that $s(x) = v$. From (2.11) it follows that $s \in H^0(U, \mathcal{E}_{x,i}|_U)$. From (3.1) we know that

$$D(s) \subset H^0(U, (\mathcal{E}_{x,i} \otimes V^*)|_U).$$

Therefore, \widehat{s} in (2.4) satisfies the condition

$$\widehat{s} \in (\mathcal{E}_{x,i})_x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x = (\mathcal{E}_{x,i} \otimes \mathcal{Q})_x.$$

Now from the construction of map \mathcal{S}_x in (2.7) it follows immediately that $\mathcal{S}_x(v) \in E_x^i \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$.

To prove the second statement in the proposition, take any $x \in S$ and any $w \in E_x$. Since the first statement in the proposition holds, Lemma 3.2 says that

$$\mathbb{D}_{x,i} : \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \rightarrow (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes \phi^*((K_X)_x) \subset (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes V_x^*.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{x,i} = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

because D takes $\mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{x,i} \otimes V^*$. From (3.3) we will deduce the second statement that ϕ_x^* is the zero homomorphism.

For this, take any $v \in E_x \setminus E_x^i$ for some i (note that $E_x \setminus E_x^i$ is nonempty when $i = \ell_x + 1$), and choose a section $s \in H^0(U, E|_U)$ on a small open neighborhood U of x such that $s(x) = v$. Fix a holomorphic function f on U such that $f(x) = 0$ and $(df)(x) \neq 0$. Then from (2.11) it follows that

$$f \cdot s \in H^0(U, \mathcal{E}_{x,i}|_U).$$

Now using (2.3) we have

$$D(f \cdot s)(x) = f(x) \cdot D(s)(x) + s(x) \otimes \phi^*(df)(x) = s(x) \otimes \phi^*(df)(x) \tag{3.4}$$

because $f(x) = 0$. Let $\widehat{\gamma} : E_x \rightarrow E_x/E_x^i$ be the quotient map. Note that $\mathbb{D}_{x,i}$ satisfies the condition

$$\mathbb{D}_{x,i}(f \cdot s) = (\widehat{\gamma} \otimes \text{Id}_{V_x^*})(D(f \cdot s)(x))$$

(see its construction in Lemma 3.2). Hence from (3.4) it follows that

$$\mathbb{D}_{x,i}(f \cdot s) = (\widehat{\gamma} \otimes \text{Id}_{V_x^*})(D(f \cdot s)(x)) = \widehat{\gamma}(s(x)) \otimes \phi^*(df)(x).$$

Now (3.3) implies that

$$\widehat{\gamma}(s(x)) \otimes \phi^*(df)(x) = \mathbb{D}_{x,i}(f \cdot s) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Note that $\widehat{\gamma}(s(x)) \neq 0$ because $s(x) = v \in E_x \setminus E_x^i$. Hence from (3.5) it follows that $\phi^*(df)(x) = 0$. Since $(df)(x) \neq 0$, we conclude that the homomorphism $\phi_x^* : (K_X)_x \rightarrow V_x^*$ is the zero map. This proves the second statement in the proposition.

To prove the converse, assume that the two statements in the proposition hold. We need to show that D is a quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* .

Since the first statement holds, D produces a homomorphism

$$\mathbb{D}_{x,i} : \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \longrightarrow (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes \phi^*((K_X)_x) \subset (E_x/E_x^i) \otimes V_x^*$$

(see Lemma 3.2). Now the second statement implies that $\mathbb{D}_{x,i} = 0$. Therefore, using (3.2) it follows that $\gamma \circ D \circ \iota_{x,i} = 0$. Hence from (2.11) we know that D maps $\mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ to $\mathcal{E}_{x,i} \otimes V^*$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. Notice that condition (2) of Proposition 3.3 only depends on the Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) and not on the Lie algebroid connection nor on the parabolic bundle. It implies that for a Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) to admit parabolic representations in the form of quasiparabolic (V, ϕ) -connections (E_*, D) , it is necessary that $\phi^*|_S = 0$. We will further analyze this fact from the perspective of Simpson's theory of Λ -modules [Si] in Section 5. Observe that, if we assume the necessary condition $\phi_x^* = 0$, then we have $\mathcal{Q}_x = V_x$ for each parabolic point $x \in S$, so the map \mathcal{S}_x becomes a map

$$\mathcal{S}_x : E_x \longrightarrow E_x \otimes V_x.$$

over parabolic points.

3.2. Parabolic Lie algebroid connections. Let D be a quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on a parabolic vector bundle E_* . From Proposition (3.3)(2) we have that the homomorphism of fibers $\phi_x^* : (K_X)_x \rightarrow V_x^*$ is the zero map for every $x \in S$. Consequently, the homomorphism $\phi^* : K_X \rightarrow V^*$ factors through $K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S)$, where $S = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$ is the reduced effective divisor; in other words, we have a unique homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\phi}^* : K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S) \longrightarrow V^* \quad (3.6)$$

whose restriction to the subsheaf $K_X \subset K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S)$ coincides with ϕ^* .

Note that for every $x \in S$, we have $(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S))_x = \mathbb{C}$ (Poincaré adjunction formula [GH, p. 146]). Consider the homomorphism $q(x) : V_x^* \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_x$ (see (2.2)). Composing it with $\widetilde{\phi}^*(x)$ in (3.6), we have

$$\psi_x : \mathbb{C} = (K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S))_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_x. \quad (3.7)$$

From (2.11) we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{x,i+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \longrightarrow E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3.8)$$

for all $x \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x$. Since the quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection D preserves $\mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ (see (3.1)), from (3.8) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_{x,i+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_{x,i} & \longrightarrow & E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow D & & \downarrow D & & \downarrow \widehat{D} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_{x,i+1} \otimes V^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_{x,i} \otimes V^* & \longrightarrow & (E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}) \otimes V^* & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad (3.9)$$

where \widehat{D} is induced by D . Since D satisfies (2.3), we have

$$\widehat{D}(fs) = f \cdot \widehat{D}(s) + s \otimes \phi^*(df) \quad (3.10)$$

for any section s of E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} (note that E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} is a torsion sheaf supported at x) and any holomorphic function f defined around $x \in X$. Consider the composition of maps

$$(\text{Id}_{E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}} \times q) \circ \widehat{D} : E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} \longrightarrow (E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x, \quad (3.11)$$

where q is the homomorphism in (2.2). From (3.10) it follows that $(\text{Id}_{E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}} \times q) \circ \widehat{D}$ has the property

$$(\text{Id}_{E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}} \times q) \circ \widehat{D}(fs) = f \cdot (\text{Id}_{E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}} \times q) \circ \widehat{D}(s),$$

and hence $(\text{Id}_{E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}} \times q) \circ \widehat{D}$ produces a \mathbb{C} -linear homomorphism

$$\widehat{D}_{i,x} : E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} \longrightarrow (E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x \quad (3.12)$$

of fibers.

Definition 3.5. The quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection D will be called a *parabolic Lie algebroid connection* if the homomorphism $\widehat{D}_{i,x}$ in (3.12) coincides with the homomorphism $E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} \longrightarrow (E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$ defined by $v \longmapsto v \otimes (\psi_x(\alpha_i^x))$, where ψ_x is the homomorphism in (3.7) and α_i^x is the parabolic weight in (2.9).

3.3. The Atiyah exact sequence. Let (V, ϕ) be a Lie algebroid on X . We first define generalized Lie algebroid connections on a vector bundle over an open subset of X .

Take an open subset $U \subset X$, and denote $S_U := U \cap S$. Fix a holomorphic function w on U . The restriction $(V, \phi)|_U$ of the Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) to the open subset U will be denoted by (V_U, ϕ_U) . A *generalized Lie algebroid connection with weight w* on a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{W} defined over U is a holomorphic differential operator

$$D : \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes V_U^*$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$D(fs) = fD(s) + w \cdot s \otimes \phi_U^*(df), \quad (3.13)$$

where f is any locally defined holomorphic function on U and s is any locally defined holomorphic section of \mathcal{W} . Note that D is a Lie algebroid connection on \mathcal{W} if $w \equiv 1$.

A generalized Lie algebroid connection on a holomorphic vector \mathcal{W} defined over U is a pair (w, D) , where w is a holomorphic function on U and D is a generalized Lie algebroid connection on \mathcal{W} with weight w .

Take a generalized Lie algebroid connection (w, D) on $\mathcal{W} \longrightarrow U$. Consider the homomorphism

$$(\text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes q) \circ D : \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{Q}|_U,$$

where q is the projection in (2.2). From (3.13) it follows immediately that $(\text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes q) \circ D(f \cdot s) = f \cdot q \circ D(s)$, where s is any locally defined holomorphic section of \mathcal{W} and f is any locally defined holomorphic function on U . Therefore, $(\text{Id}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes q) \circ D$ produces a \mathbb{C} -linear homomorphism of fibers

$$\mathcal{S}_x : \mathcal{W}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x \quad (3.14)$$

for every point $x \in U$.

Take a holomorphic vector bundle E over X . Let $\mathcal{C}_{E,V}$ denote the sheaf of generalized Lie algebroid connections on E over X . So the sections of $\mathcal{C}_{E,V}$ over an open set $U \subset X$ are the generalized Lie algebroid connections on $E|_U$. It is evident that $\mathcal{C}_{E,V}$ is a locally free coherent analytic sheaf fitting in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{End}(E) \otimes V^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{E,V} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0; \quad (3.15)$$

the above projection σ sends any locally defined generalized Lie algebroid connection (w, D) on $E|_U$ to the holomorphic function w .

Let $E_* = (E, \{\{E_x^i\}_{i=1}^{\ell_x}\}_{x \in S}, \{\{\alpha_i^x\}_{i=1}^{\ell_x}\}_{x \in S})$ be a parabolic vector bundle on X . Take a Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) on X satisfying the following condition: For every $x \in S$, the homomorphism of fibers $\phi_x^* : (K_X)_x \longrightarrow V_x^*$ (see (2.1)) is the zero map.

Take an open subset $U \subset X$. A *generalized quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection* on E_* over U is a generalized Lie algebroid connection

$$D : E|_U \longrightarrow (E \otimes V^*)|_U$$

on $E|_U$ (see (2.3)) such that

$$D(\mathcal{E}_{x,i}|_U) \subset (\mathcal{E}_{x,i} \otimes V^*)|_U \quad (3.16)$$

for all $x \in S \cap U$ and every $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x + 1$ (see (2.11)).

The following is a generalization of Proposition 3.3.

Lemma 3.6. *A generalized Lie algebroid connection (w, D) on $E|_U$ gives a generalized quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on the parabolic vector bundle $E_*|_U$ if and only if the following holds: For every $x \in S \cap U$, the homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{S}_x : E_x \longrightarrow E_x \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$$

in (3.14) maps $E_x^i \subset E_x$ to $E_x^i \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x + 1$.

Proof. If (w, D) is a generalized quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection, then for any $x \in S \cap U$, from (3.16) it follows immediately that $\mathcal{S}_x(E_x^i) \subset E_x^i \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x + 1$.

The proof of the converse statement is very similar to the proof in Proposition 3.3. The details are omitted. \square

Let (w, D) be a generalized quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on $E_*|_U$. Then using (3.9) it follows that the homomorphisms $\mathcal{S}_x|_{E_x^i}$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell_x$ (see Lemma 3.6), produce homomorphisms

$$\widehat{D}_{i,x} : E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} \longrightarrow (E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x \quad (3.17)$$

(see (3.12)).

A *generalized parabolic Lie algebroid connection* on $E_*|_U$ is a generalized quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection (w, D) on $E_*|_U$ such that for every $x \in S \cap U$, and every

$1 \leq i \leq \ell_x$, the homomorphism $\widehat{D}_{i,x}$ in (3.17) coincides with the homomorphism

$$E_x^i/E_x^{i+1} \longrightarrow (E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_x$$

defined by $v \longmapsto w(x) \cdot v \otimes (\psi_x(\alpha_i^x))$, where ψ_x is the homomorphism in (3.7) and α_i^x is the parabolic weight in (2.9).

The sheaf of generalized parabolic Lie algebroid connections on E_* is evidently a subsheaf of $\mathcal{C}_{E,V}$ (see (3.15)). In fact, it is a coherent analytic subsheaf of $\mathcal{C}_{E,V}$. The sheaf of generalized parabolic Lie algebroid connections on E_* will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}$.

Lemma 3.7. *The sheaf of generalized parabolic Lie algebroid connections on E_* , namely $\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}$, fits in the following short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X :*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{End}_n(E) \otimes V^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{E_*,V} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\text{End}_n(E)$ is defined in (2.14).

Proof. Restrict the homomorphism σ in (3.15) to the subsheaf $\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V} \subset \mathcal{C}_{E,V}$. The projection σ in the lemma is this restriction. Next note that if D_1 and D_2 are two generalized quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connections on $E_*|_U$ such that $\sigma(D_1) = \sigma(D_2)$, then we have

$$D_1 - D_2 \in H^0(U, (\text{End}_P(E) \otimes V^*)|_U),$$

where $\text{End}_P(E)$ is defined in (2.13). Furthermore, for any $s \in H^0(U, (\text{End}_P(E) \otimes V^*)|_U)$, it is evident that $D_1 + s$ is a generalized quasi-parabolic Lie algebroid connection on $E_*|_U$.

Now, by comparing the residues at the parabolic points, it is straightforward to check that if D_1 is a generalized parabolic Lie algebroid connections on $E_*|_U$, then $D_1 + s$ is a generalized parabolic Lie algebroid connection on $E_*|_U$ if and only if $s \in H^0(U, (\text{End}_n(E) \otimes V^*)|_U)$. \square

From the definition of $\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}$ it follows immediately that a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on $E_*|_U$ is precisely a holomorphic splitting of the short exact sequence in Lemma 3.7 over $U \subset X$. More precisely, if

$$\tau : \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}$$

is a holomorphic splitting of the short exact sequence in Lemma 3.7 over $U \subset X$ (so $\sigma \circ \tau = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_U}$), then $\tau(1)$ is a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on $E_*|_U$. Conversely, if D is a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on $E_*|_U$, then we have a holomorphic splitting

$$\tau' : \mathcal{O}_U \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}$$

of the short exact sequence in Lemma 3.7 over $U \subset X$ which is uniquely determined by the condition that $\tau'(1) = D$.

The short exact sequence in Lemma 3.7 will be called the *Atiyah exact sequence* of E_* for the Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) .

4. A CRITERION FOR PARABOLIC LIE ALGEBROID CONNECTIONS

Let (V, ϕ) be a Lie algebroid on X such that for all $x \in S$, the homomorphism of fibers $\phi_x^* : (K_X)_x \rightarrow V_x^*$ (see (2.1)) is the zero map.

Consider the short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X in Lemma 3.7. The obstruction to its holomorphic splitting is given by a class

$$\zeta \in H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \text{End}_n(E) \otimes V^*)) = H^1(X, \text{End}_n(E) \otimes V^*). \quad (4.1)$$

We have $\text{End}_n(E)^* = \text{End}_P(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S)$, where $\text{End}_P(E)$ is defined in (2.13). Therefore, using Serre duality,

$$\zeta \in H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E) \otimes V \otimes K_X)^* \quad (4.2)$$

(see (4.1)). In other words, for every parabolic vector bundle E_* there is a naturally associated homomorphism

$$\zeta : H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E) \otimes V \otimes K_X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. \quad (4.3)$$

The following proposition is a parabolic analog of [BKS, Proposition 3.1].

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the following two conditions hold:*

- (1) *The vector bundle V is stable, and*
- (2) *$\text{rank}(V) \geq 2$.*

Then any parabolic vector bundle E_ admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection.*

Proof. First assume that

$$\text{degree}(V) \geq \text{rank}(V) \cdot (\text{degree}(TX) - \#S) = \text{rank}(V) \cdot (\text{degree}(TX) - m).$$

Since V is a stable vector bundle of rank at least two, from this we conclude that there is no nonzero homomorphism from V to $TX \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-S)$, or in other words, there is no nonzero homomorphism from V to TX that vanishes over S . In particular, the homomorphism ϕ is the zero map (note that ϕ vanishes over S because ϕ^* vanishes over S). Therefore, a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* is simply a strongly parabolic Higgs field on E_* . In particular, $D \equiv 0$ is a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* . Hence E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection.

Next assume that the following two conditions hold:

- (1) $\text{degree}(V) < \text{rank}(V) \cdot (\text{degree}(TX) - m)$, and
- (2) the parabolic vector bundle E_* is parabolic semistable.

From the above inequality $\text{degree}(V) < \text{rank}(V) \cdot (\text{degree}(TX) - m)$ it follows immediately that

$$\text{degree}(V \otimes K_X) < 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Also, the vector bundle $V \otimes K_X$ is stable because V is stable. Equip $V \otimes K_X$ with the trivial parabolic structure, meaning it has no nonzero parabolic weights. The parabolic vector bundle obtained this way will be denoted by $(V \otimes K_X)_*$. Note that $(V \otimes K_X)_*$

is parabolic stable because $V \otimes K_X$ is stable and $(V \otimes K_X)_*$ has no nonzero parabolic weights.

Since E_* is parabolic semistable, and $(V \otimes K_X)_*$ is parabolic stable, it follows that the parabolic tensor product $E_* \otimes (V \otimes K_X)_*$ is parabolic semistable. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{par-}\mu(E_* \otimes (V \otimes K_X)_*) &= \text{par-}\mu(E_*) + \text{par-}\mu((V \otimes K_X)_*) \\ &= \text{par-}\mu(E_*) + \mu(V \otimes K_X) < \text{par-}\mu(E_*) \end{aligned}$$

(see (4.4)). Since both $E_* \otimes (V \otimes K_X)_*$ and E_* are parabolic semistable, this implies that there is no nonzero parabolic homomorphism from E_* to $E_* \otimes (V \otimes K_X)_*$. In other words, we have

$$H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E) \otimes V \otimes K_X) = H^0(X, \text{Hom}(E_*, E_* \otimes (V \otimes K_X)_*)) = 0.$$

Consequently, the homomorphism ζ in (4.3) is the zero map. So ζ in (4.2) satisfies the condition that $\zeta = 0$, and hence ζ in (4.1) vanishes. This means that the short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X in Lemma 3.7 splits holomorphically. Therefore, E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection.

Finally, assume that the following two conditions hold:

- (1) $\text{degree}(V) < \text{rank}(V) \cdot (\text{degree}(TX) - m)$, and
- (2) the parabolic vector bundle E_* is *not* parabolic semistable.

Let

$$0 = F_*^0 \subsetneq F_*^1 \subsetneq F_*^2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq F_*^{n-1} \subsetneq F_*^n = E_* \quad (4.5)$$

be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of the parabolic vector bundle E_* .

Take any

$$\theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E) \otimes V \otimes K_X). \quad (4.6)$$

We have

$$\theta(F_*^i) \subset F_*^{i-1} \otimes V \otimes K_X \quad (4.7)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ (see (4.5)) which is deduced by simply repeating the argument in the proof of [BKS, Proposition 3.1] and using (4.4).

Now consider $\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}$ in Lemma 3.7. Let

$$\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}^F \subset \mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}$$

be the subsheaf defined by the following condition: A holomorphic section

$$s \in H^0(U, \mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}|_U),$$

defined over an open subset $U \subset X$ is a section of $\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}^F|_U$ if $s(F^i) \subset (F^i \otimes V^*)|_U$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ (see (4.5)). Similarly, let

$$\text{End}_n^F(E) \subset \text{End}_n(E)$$

be the subsheaf defined by the following condition: A holomorphic section

$$s \in H^0(U, \text{End}_n(E)|_U),$$

defined over an open subset $U \subset X$ is a section of $\text{End}_n^F(E)|_U$ if $s(F^i) \subset F^i|_U$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}^F$ (respectively, $\text{End}_n^F(E)$) is a holomorphic subbundle of $\mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}$ (respectively, $\text{End}_n(E)$). Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_n^F(E) \otimes V^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{E_*,V}^F & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{End}_n(E) \otimes V^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{E_*,V} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (4.8)$$

where the vertical maps are the natural inclusion maps, the rows are exact, and the bottom exact sequence is the one in Lemma 3.7.

From (4.8) it follows that ζ in (4.1) lies in the image of the homomorphism

$$\beta_* : H^1(X, \text{End}_n^F(E) \otimes V^*) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{End}_n(E) \otimes V^*)$$

induced by β in (4.8). More precisely,

$$\beta_*(\zeta^F) = \zeta, \quad (4.9)$$

where $\zeta^F \in H^1(X, \text{End}_n^F(E) \otimes V^*)$ is the extension class for the top short exact sequence in (4.8).

Now using (4.7) and (4.9) it can be deduced that $\zeta(\theta) = 0$, where ζ is the homomorphism in (4.3). Indeed, this follows by simply repeating the argument in the proof of [BKS, Proposition 3.1]. Hence ζ in (4.1) vanishes. This implies that the short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X in Lemma 3.7 splits holomorphically, and consequently E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection. \square

The following proposition is a parabolic analog of [BKS, Lemma 3.2].

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that $\text{rank}(V) = 1$. Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on X . Then the following two statements hold:*

- (1) *If $\tilde{\phi}^* : K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S) \longrightarrow V^*$ in (3.6) is not an isomorphism, then E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection.*
- (2) *If $\tilde{\phi}^*$ in (3.6) is an isomorphism, then E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection if and only if the parabolic degree of every parabolic direct summand of E_* is zero.*

Proof. If $\tilde{\phi}^*$ in (3.6) is an isomorphism, then a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* is a holomorphic connection on the parabolic bundle E_* . Therefore, the main result of [BL] is the second statement of the proposition.

To prove the first statement, assume that $\tilde{\phi}^*$ in (3.6) is not an isomorphism.

First consider the case where $\text{degree}(V) \geq 2(1 - \text{genus}(X)) - m$. Note that

$$H^0(X, \text{Hom}(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S), V^*)) = 0$$

if $\text{degree}(V) > 2(1 - \text{genus}(X)) - m$. Also, any nonzero homomorphism $K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(S) \longrightarrow V^*$ is an isomorphism if $\text{degree}(V) = 2(1 - \text{genus}(X)) - m$. So we conclude that $\tilde{\phi}^* = 0$. Hence any strongly parabolic Higgs field on E_* , in particular the zero Higgs fields, is a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* .

Now assume that

$$\text{degree}(V) < 2(1 - \text{genus}(X)) - m. \quad (4.10)$$

We also assume that $\phi \neq 0$, because if $\phi = 0$, then, as before, E_* has a parabolic Lie algebroid connection given by the zero Higgs field.

Take any

$$\theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E) \otimes V \otimes K_X).$$

Let

$$0 = F_*^0 \subsetneq F_*^1 \subsetneq F_*^2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq F_*^{n-1} \subsetneq F_*^n = E_* \quad (4.11)$$

be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of the parabolic vector bundle E_* . From (4.10) we know that $\text{degree}(V \otimes K_X) < 0$. Hence θ must be nilpotent with respect to the filtration in (4.11), meaning $\theta(F_*^i) \subset F_*^{i-1} \otimes V \otimes K_X$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now, as in the proof of Proposition 4.1, this implies that $\zeta(\theta) = 0$, where ζ is the homomorphism in (4.3). Hence ζ in (4.1) vanishes, and thus the short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X in Lemma 3.7 splits holomorphically. This implies that E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection. \square

Combining Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that the vector bundle V is stable. Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on X . Then the following two statements hold:*

- (1) *If $\tilde{\phi}^*$ in (3.6) is not an isomorphism, then E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection.*
- (2) *If $\tilde{\phi}^*$ is an isomorphism, then E_* admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection if and only if the parabolic degree of every parabolic direct summand of E_* is zero.*

5. INTEGRABLE PARABOLIC CONNECTIONS AND PARABOLIC Λ -MODULES

Any Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) has an associated graded complex $(\bigwedge^\bullet V^*, d_V)$ called the Chevalley–Eilenberg–de Rham complex of (V, ϕ) . In degree 0, the map $d_V^0 : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow V^*$ is $\phi^* \circ d$ and, for any locally defined section $\omega \in \bigwedge^n V^*$ with $n > 0$, the differential $d_V(\omega)$ is constructed as follows: Given local sections v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} of V , define

$$\begin{aligned} d_V(\omega)(v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \phi(v_i)(\omega(v_1, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_{n+1})) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([v_i, v_j], v_1, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, \widehat{v}_j, \dots, v_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of this complex, a (V, ϕ) connection on E can be described as a map $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$ such that

$$D(fs) = fD(s) + s \otimes d_V(f).$$

Any (V, ϕ) -connection D on E extends naturally to a map

$$D : E \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet V^* \rightarrow E \otimes \bigwedge^{\bullet+1} V^*$$

using the rule

$$D(s \otimes \omega) = D(s) \wedge \omega + s \otimes d_V(\omega).$$

We say that the connection D is integrable (or flat) if

$$D \circ D = 0.$$

By [To, § 2.3], this is equivalent to the following. For each $v \in V$, let $D_v \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$ be the \mathbb{C} -linear endomorphism of E induced by contracting the connection D with v . Then D is integrable if and only if for each $v, w \in V$ we have

$$D_{[u,v]} = [D_u, D_v]. \quad (5.1)$$

Given a Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) , let Λ_V denote its universal developing algebra, which is constructed as follows (see, for instance, [To]). Let

$$U =$$

$$\otimes^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus V) / \langle u \otimes v - v \otimes u - [u, v], v \otimes f - f \otimes v - \phi(v)(f) \mid f \in \mathcal{O}_X, u, v \in V \rangle,$$

where $\otimes^{\bullet} W$ denotes the tensor algebra on W . Let $i : \mathcal{O}_X \oplus V \hookrightarrow U$ be the canonical inclusion and let $U^{\dagger} \subset U$ be the subalgebra generated by $i(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus V)$. Then

$$\Lambda_V = U^{\dagger} / \langle i(f, 0) \cdot i(g, v) - i(fg, fv) \mid f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X, v \in V \rangle.$$

Intuitively, Λ_V is the sheaf of associative \mathcal{O}_X -algebras generated by V with the relations $v \cdot w - w \cdot v = [v, w]$ and $v \cdot f - f \cdot v = \phi(v)(f)$ for local holomorphic sections v and w of V and locally defined holomorphic functions f . The natural grading on the tensor algebra $\otimes^{\bullet}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus V)$ induces a filtration on the algebra Λ_V

$$\Lambda_V^0 \subset \Lambda_V^1 \subset \Lambda_V^2 \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda_V$$

such that $\Lambda_V^0 = \mathcal{O}_X$, $\Lambda_V^1 = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus V$ and $\Lambda_V^i \cdot \Lambda_V^j = \Lambda_V^{i+j}$ for all i, j (see [To, § 4] and [Al, Lemma 2.2]). The previous construction through U^{\dagger} ensures that the action of $\Lambda_V^0 = \mathcal{O}_X$ on Λ_V agrees with its \mathcal{O}_X -module structure. This filtration gives the algebra Λ_V the structure of a split quasipolynomial sheaf of rings of differential operators (in the sense of [Si, § 2]) and, by [To, Theorem 1.2], there exists a bijective correspondence between the integrable (V, ϕ) -connections $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$ on a vector bundle E and the Λ_V -module structures

$$\tilde{D} : \Lambda_V \otimes E \rightarrow E$$

on E such that the \mathcal{O}_X -module structure induced by the Λ_V -module structure coincides with the natural \mathcal{O}_X -module structure of E .

In this framework, where Lie algebroid connections are understood as actions of a sheaf of differential operators on the bundle, a general (possibly non-integrable) (V, ϕ) -connection on E is equivalent to a map of \mathcal{O}_X -bimodules

$$\tilde{D} : \Lambda_V^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E \rightarrow E.$$

Notice that, as Λ_V^1 generates Λ_V , any Λ_V -module structure on a vector bundle E is determined by the action of Λ_V^1 on it. However, not any action of Λ_V^1 on a vector bundle extends to an action of Λ_V . The obstruction to this extension is precisely the integrability (5.1) of the associated (V, ϕ) -connection.

Taking into account these correspondences, the description and analysis of parabolic Lie algebroid connections carried out in the previous sections can then be studied alternatively in the framework of parabolic Λ -modules. For any sheaf of ring of differential operators Λ , a notion of parabolic Λ -module on a marked curve (X, S) was defined in [Al] as follows:

Definition 5.1 ([Al, Definition 2.5]). A parabolic Λ -module on (X, S) is a locally free Λ -module $\tilde{D} : \Lambda \otimes E \rightarrow E$ over X together with a parabolic structure on E over S

$$E_x = E_x^1 \supsetneq E_x^2 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq E_x^{\ell_x} \supsetneq E_x^{\ell_x+1} = 0,$$

$$0 \leq \alpha_1^x < \cdots < \alpha_{\ell_x}^x < 1,$$

such that its associated decreasing sequences of subsheaves of E (see (2.10) and (2.12))

$$E = \mathcal{E}_{x,1} \supsetneq \mathcal{E}_{x,2} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq \mathcal{E}_{x,\ell_x} \supsetneq \mathcal{E}_{x,\ell_x+1} = E(-x)$$

satisfy the condition that the image of $\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ under the morphism $\tilde{D} : \Lambda \otimes E \rightarrow E$ lies in $\mathcal{E}_{x,i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, \ell_x + 1$ and all $x \in S$.

It is clear, from the construction, that having a quasiparabolic (V, ϕ) -connection $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$ on a parabolic vector bundle E_* in the sense of Definition 3.1 is equivalent to having a map of \mathcal{O}_X -bimodules

$$\tilde{D} : \Lambda_V^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E \rightarrow E$$

such that $\tilde{D}(\Lambda_V^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}_{x,i}) \subset \mathcal{E}_{x,i}$. Thus, an integrable quasiparabolic (V, ϕ) -connection in the sense of Definition 3.1 is equivalent to a parabolic Λ_V -module structure on E_* in the sense of Definition 5.1.

From this new framework we can see that the Lie algebroid (V, ϕ) must satisfy certain conditions for quasiparabolic Lie algebroid connections to exist.

Proposition 5.2. *Let (V, ϕ) be a Lie algebroid. For quasiparabolic (V, ϕ) -connections to exist, it is necessary that $\phi : V \rightarrow TX$ factors through $TX \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-S)$.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a (V, ϕ) -connection $\tilde{D} : \Lambda_V^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E \rightarrow E$ preserving a quasiparabolic structure E_* on E . In particular, it must satisfy, for each $x \in S$,

$$\tilde{D}(\Lambda_V^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E(-x)) \subseteq E(-x).$$

Let v be a local section of V , and let f be a local section of $\mathcal{O}_X(-x)$ while s be any local section of E . Then, as \tilde{D} is a map of \mathcal{O}_X -bimodules, we must have

$$\tilde{D}([v, f] \otimes s) = \tilde{D}((v \cdot f - f \cdot v) \otimes s) = \tilde{D}(v \otimes fs) - f \tilde{D}(v \otimes s).$$

The first summand of the right hand side is the action of v on $fs \in E(-S)$. The homomorphism \tilde{D} preserves $E(-x)$ if and only if the outcome also lies in $E(-x)$. The second summand of the right hand side also belongs to $E(-x)$, since f is a local section of $\mathcal{O}_X(-x)$. Thus, we have $\tilde{D}([v, f] \otimes s) \in E(-x)$. However,

$$\tilde{D}([v, f] \otimes s) = \tilde{D}(\phi(v)(f) \otimes s) = \phi(v)(f)s$$

and the only way for this to belong to $E(-x)$ for each local section $s \in E$ is to have $[v, f] = \phi(v)(f) \in \mathcal{O}_X(-x)$ for each v and f . In other words, $\mathcal{O}_X(-x)$ must be a Lie

ideal in Λ_V^1 . As this holds for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(-x)$, we must have $\phi(v) \in TX(-x)$ and, therefore, ϕ must factor through $TX(-x)$ for each $x \in S$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.3. Observe that ϕ factors through $TX(-S)$ if and only if the homomorphism $\phi^* : K_X \rightarrow V^*$ vanishes at each $x \in S$. This precisely coincides with condition (2) of Proposition 3.3. Also observe that, if this condition is satisfied, then the sheaf \mathcal{Q} defined in (2.1) always satisfies $\mathcal{Q}_x = V_x^*$ for each $x \in S$.

Moreover, the proof of Proposition 5.2 also shows that if the anchor map ϕ factors through $TX(-S)$, then any action $\tilde{D} : \Lambda_V^1 \otimes E \rightarrow E$ on a vector bundle E must preserve the subsheaf $E(-S)$. As a consequence, the construction of the residue of a parabolic Λ -module at a parabolic point from [Al, § 6] extends naturally to any action $\tilde{D} : \Lambda_V^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E \rightarrow E$, even if there only exists an action of Λ_V^1 instead of the entire algebra Λ_V .

Let $x \in S$ be a parabolic point, and let $i_x : \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. Since \tilde{D} preserves $E(-x)$, we have the following commutative diagram of sheaves of $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -bimodules

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E(-x) & \longrightarrow & V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} E & \longrightarrow & V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (i_x)_* E_x & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & E(-x) & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & (i_x)_* E_x \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

inducing a morphism

$$\tilde{D}_x : V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (i_x)_* E_x \rightarrow (i_x)_* E_x.$$

Taking the pullback by i_x yields a residue map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_x : V_x \otimes E_x \rightarrow E_x$$

and \tilde{D} is quasiparabolic if and only if $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_x$ preserves the filtration $\{E_x^i\}$ of E . Under the identification of \mathcal{Q}_x with V_x^* (see Remark 3.4 and Remark 5.3), this agrees with condition (1) of Proposition 3.3.

A quasiparabolic connection is parabolic in the sense of Definition 3.5 if the induced map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_x : V_x \otimes E_x^i / E_x^{i+1} \rightarrow E_x^i / E_x^{i+1}$$

coincides with the map

$$v \otimes s \mapsto \alpha_i^x \tilde{\phi}_x(v) s \tag{5.2}$$

for $v \in V_x$ and $s \in E_x^i / E_x^{i+1}$, where $\tilde{\phi}_x$ is the restriction of ϕ at each point $x \in S$ considered as a map to its image on $TX(-S)_x$ which, by Poncaré adjunction formula [GH, p. 146], is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} :

$$\tilde{\phi}_x : V \xrightarrow{\phi_x} TX(-S)_x \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

The agreement between these two frameworks for studying parabolic Lie algebroid connections allows us to apply [Al, Theorem 5.8] to prove the existence of moduli spaces of semistable parabolic Lie algebroid connections, for the following natural notion of

parabolic stability. A Lie algebroid connection $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$ is called semistable if for each $F \subset E$ preserved by D we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\deg(F) + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i^x (\dim(E_x^i \cap F_x) - \dim(E_x^{i+1} \cap F_x))}{\text{rk}(F)} \\ & \leq \frac{\deg(E) + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i^x (\dim(E_x^i) - \dim(E_x^{i+1}))}{\text{rk}(E)}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if E_* is a semistable parabolic vector bundle, then (E_*, D) is semistable for each parabolic Lie algebroid connection D on E_* .

Theorem 5.4. *Let (V, ϕ) be any Lie algebroid such that $\phi|_S = 0$. For every system of weights $\alpha = \{\{\alpha_i^x\}_{i=1}^{l_x}\}_{x \in S}$, every parabolic type $\bar{r} = \{\{r_i^x\}_{i=1}^{l_x}\}_{x \in S}$ and every degree d , there exists a quasi-projective coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{(V, \phi)}(\alpha, \bar{r}, d)$ of semistable integrable parabolic (V, ϕ) -connections (E_*, D) on (X, S) , with $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$, $\deg(E) = d$, $\dim(E_x^i/E_x^{i+1}) = r_x^i$ and system of weights α .*

If V is a line bundle, then this moduli space is nonempty if and only if either

- $(V, \phi) \neq (TX(-S), i : TX(-S) \hookrightarrow TX)$, or
- $(V, \phi) = (T(-S), i : TX(-S) \hookrightarrow TX)$ and

$$d + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i^x r_i^x = 0.$$

If V is a stable bundle, then for the moduli space to be nonempty it is sufficient to have either

- $\text{im } \phi \neq TX(-S)$, or
- $\text{im } \phi = TX(-S)$ and

$$d + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i^x r_i^x = 0.$$

Proof. The existence of a quasi-projective coarse moduli space \mathcal{M} of quasiparabolic Lie algebroid connections follows directly from the previous discussion on the equivalence between the quasiparabolic (V, ϕ) -connections and the parabolic Λ_V -modules by applying [Al, Theorem 5.8]. The condition (5.2) of being a parabolic connection can be rephrased as a set residual conditions in the sense of [Al, Definition 6.2] as follows. Let $\{v_1^x, \dots, v_r^x\}$ be a basis of V_x for each $x \in S$. For each $j = 1, \dots, r$, let \bar{R}_j be the following set of sections of $\Lambda_V|_x$

$$\bar{R}_j = \left\{ (-\alpha_i^x \tilde{\phi}_x(v_j^x), v_j^x) \in \mathcal{O}_X|_x \oplus V_x \subset \Lambda_V|_x \right\}_{x \in S, i=1, \dots, l_x}$$

Then \bar{R}_j constitutes a residual condition for Λ_V over the parabolic points S and a quasiparabolic connection (E_*, D) is \bar{R}_j -residual in the sense of [Al, Definition 6.2] for each $j = 1, \dots, r$ if and only if (5.2) is satisfied for every $v_j^x \in V_x$. Since (5.2) is linear in V

and $\{v_1^x, \dots, v_r^x\}$ is a basis of V , this is equivalent to have (5.2) for each $v \in V$ and, therefore, to have a parabolic Lie algebroid connection. By [Al, Theorem 6.3], for each j , \overline{R}_j -residual parabolic Λ_V connections form a closed subscheme \mathcal{M}_j of the moduli space \mathcal{M} of quasiparabolic Lie algebroid connections. Thus, the intersection $\mathcal{M}_{(V,\phi)}(\alpha, \bar{r}, d) := \bigcap_j \mathcal{M}_j$ is a closed subscheme of \mathcal{M} corepresenting the moduli of semistable integrable parabolic (V, ϕ) -connections.

Let us analyze the non-emptiness conditions. Assume first that V is a line bundle. First of all, observe that if V is a line bundle, then each parabolic Lie algebroid connection $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$ is automatically integrable, as we have $\wedge^2 V = 0$ and, therefore, the map

$$D \circ D : E \rightarrow E \otimes \wedge^2 V^*$$

is always zero. Thus, the moduli space is nonempty if and only if there exists a semistable parabolic Lie algebroid connection.

For any curve X , the moduli space of semistable parabolic bundles on X with degree d , parabolic system of weights α and parabolic type \bar{r} is nonempty and, therefore, there exists at least one parabolically polystable vector bundle E_\bullet on (X, S) for the system of weights α .

First suppose that $(V, \phi) \neq (TX(-S), i : TX(-S) \hookrightarrow TX)$. Then, by Proposition 4.2, E_\bullet admits a parabolic Lie algebroid connection $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$. As E_\bullet is polystable, it is semistable and, therefore, (E_*, D) is semistable, so it yields a point in $\mathcal{M}_{(V,\phi)}(\alpha, \bar{r}, d)$.

Next assume that $(V, \phi) = (TX(-S), i : TX(-S) \hookrightarrow TX)$ and that

$$d + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i r_i = 0.$$

As E_* was taken to be polystable, any direct factor of E_* must have the same parabolic slope as that of E_* . Let r be the rank of E . Since the latter has parabolic slope

$$\frac{d + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i^x r_i^x}{r} = 0,$$

each direct factor must have parabolic slope 0, and, therefore, parabolic degree 0. Thus, E_* satisfies the conditions of part (2) of Proposition 4.2 and, hence there exists a parabolic Lie algebroid connection on E_* , which is, again due to the semistability of E_* , a semistable parabolic Lie algebroid connection.

To show the converse, let us suppose that (E_*, D) is a semistable parabolic Lie algebroid for the Lie algebroid $(TX(-S), i : TX(-S) \hookrightarrow TX)$. Then by [BL], each direct factor of E_* must have parabolic degree 0, and therefore, the parabolic degree of E_* must be zero, so

$$d + \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{i=1}^{l_x} \alpha_i^x r_i^x = 0.$$

This completes the proof for line bundles. Now, assume that V is stable and $\text{rk}(V) \geq 2$. Let $L = \text{im } \phi \subseteq TX(-S)$. If $L = 0$, then a Lie algebroid connection for (V, ϕ) is simply

an \mathcal{O}_X -linear map $D : E \rightarrow E \otimes V^*$. Thus, the map $D = 0$ then constitutes a parabolic integrable Lie algebroid connection on E_* . Suppose that $L \neq 0$. Then L is a line bundle. The previous argument on the line bundle case and the hypothesis of the theorem imply that the polystable bundle E_* admits a $(L, i : L \hookrightarrow TX(-S))$ -parabolic connection

$$\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes L^*.$$

By composition with $\text{id}_E \otimes \phi^*$, we obtain then a quasiparabolic Lie algebroid connection

$$D : E \rightarrow E \otimes L^* \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \phi^*} E \otimes V^*.$$

If we call $D_v : E \rightarrow E$ the induced map by contracting with $v \in V$, then we have, by construction

$$D_v = \nabla_{\phi(v)}.$$

Observe that D is a Lie algebroid connection for (V, ϕ) , as, for each local sections v in V and s in E and each local holomorphic function f we have

$$D_v(fs) = \nabla_{\phi(v)}(fs) = f\nabla_{\phi(v)}(s) + s \otimes \phi(v)(f) = fD_v(s) + s \otimes \phi(v)(f).$$

As ∇ is parabolic, it satisfies (5.2) and, by construction, D clearly also satisfies (5.2), so it is also parabolic. On the other hand, since $L \subset TX(-S)$ is a line bundle, then ∇ is integrable. Therefore, for each pair of local sections u and v of V we have the following.

$$D_{[u,v]} = \nabla_{\phi([u,v])} = \nabla_{[\phi(u), \phi(v)]} = [\nabla_{\phi(u)}, \nabla_{\phi(v)}] = [D_u, D_v]$$

so D is integrable. As before, since E_* is polystable, then (E_*, D) is semistable and, therefore, it represents a point in the moduli space. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

D.A. was supported by grants PID2022-142024NB-I00 and RED2022-134463-T funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033. A.S. is partially supported by SERB SRG Grant SRG/2023/001006. I.B. is partially supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship (JBR/2023/000003).

REFERENCES

- [Al] D. Alfaya, Moduli space of parabolic Λ -modules over a curve, arXiv:1710.02080.
- [AO] D. Alfaya and A. Oliveira, Lie algebroid connections, twisted Higgs bundles and motives of moduli spaces, *J. Geom. Phys.* **201** (2024), 105195.
- [At] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [BKS] I. Biswas, P. Kumar and A. Singh, A criterion for Lie algebroid connections on a compact Riemann surface, to appear in *Geom. Dedicata* (arXiv:2405.01432).
- [BL] I. Biswas and M. Logares, Connections on parabolic vector bundles over curves, *Internat. J. Math.* **22** (4) (2011) 593–602.
- [GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [MY] M. Maruyama and K. Yokogawa, Moduli of parabolic stable sheaves, *Math. Ann.* **293** (1992) 77–99.
- [MS] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.
- [Si] C. T. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **79** (1994), 47–129.

- [To] P. Tortella, Λ -modules and holomorphic Lie algebroid connections, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **10** (2012), 1422–1441.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN TECHNOLOGY, ICAI SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING, COMILLAS PONTIFICAL UNIVERSITY, C/ALBERTO AGUILERA 25, 28015 MADRID, SPAIN

Email address: `dalfaya@comillas.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, NH91, TEHSIL DADRI, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH 201314, INDIA

Email address: `indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in`, `indranil29@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, NH91, TEHSIL DADRI, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH 201314, INDIA

Email address: `Pradip.Kumar@snu.edu.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (BHU), VARANASI 221005, INDIA

Email address: `anoopsingh.mat@iitbhu.ac.in`