

CATEGORIFICATION OF QUANTUM BORCHERDS-BOZEC ALGEBRAS

SEOK-JIN KANG, YOUNG ROCK KIM*, AND BOLUN TONG

ABSTRACT. We categorify the quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras by constructing their associated Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras.

Introduction

The Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras (quiver Hecke algebras) were independently discovered by Khovanov-Lauda [9, 10] and Rouquier [13]. In Kac-Moody type, the category of finitely generated graded projective modules over Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras provides a categorification of the corresponding quantum groups, and for symmetric Cartan datum, the indecomposable projective modules correspond to Lusztig’s canonical basis [14, 16]. The cyclotomic quotients of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras provides a categorification of the irreducible highest weight representations of quantum groups and their crystals [8, 11, 17].

The quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras were introduced by T. Bozec [1] in his study of perverse sheaves on arbitrary quiver representation varieties, possibly with loops. He showed that the Grothendieck group arising from Lusztig sheaves is generated by the elementary simple perverse sheaves, answering a question posed by Lusztig in [12].

In this paper, we apply Khovanov-Lauda’s categorification theory to quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras. By construction, a quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is determined by a Borchers-Cartan datum, consisting of an index set I and a symmetrizable Borchers-Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ where diagonal elements can be 0 and negative. For an index i with $a_{ii} \leq 0$, there are infinitely many generators $E_{i\ell}, F_{i\ell}$ ($\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) associated with it. The comultiplications of these generators are of ‘higher orders’, but sometimes simpler comultiplication generators are needed, known as primitive generators denoted by $\mathbf{a}_{i\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}$. Using these primitive generators, we show that the half part U^- of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic as bialgebras to a quantum Borchers algebra introduced in [7], considering each pair (i, ℓ) as a simple root. The categorification of quantum Borchers algebras has been studied in [15] and [6], which we

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 17B67, 16G20.

Key words and phrases. Categorification, quiver Hecke algebra, quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra.

* Corresponding author.

directly apply here. The Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra defined in this view is denoted by \mathcal{R} .

In the Jordan quiver case, it is more natural to connect the quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra (which coincides with the classical Hall algebra) with the representations of symmetric groups. We provide another construction of the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebra R , such that for each i with $a_{ii} = 0$, the degenerate algebra $R(ni)$ is a deformation of the symmetric group algebra. Let $K_0(R)$ be the category of finitely generated graded projective modules. We prove there is a bialgebra isomorphism Γ of U^- with $K_0(R)$. Composing with an automorphism Ψ of U^- , we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\Phi = \Psi\Gamma^{-1} : K_0(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}U^-,$$

where $\mathcal{A}U^-$ is the \mathcal{A} -form of U^- . We conjecture that:

Conjecture. Under Φ , the indecomposable projective modules of $K_0(R)$ coincides with the canonical basis of $\mathcal{A}U^-$ in [1].

As an application, we show in the Jordan quiver case that the cyclotomic algebra R^Λ ($\Lambda \in P^+$) provides a categorification of the irreducible highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$. Indeed, we categorify the commutation relations of the generators $E_{i\ell}$ and F_{it} for $a_{ii} = 0$.

Acknowledgements.

Young Rock Kim and Bolun Tong were supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2021R1A2C1011467).

1. Quantum Borchers-Bozec algebras

1.1. Borchers-Cartan datum and U^- .

Let I be an index set possibly countably infinite. A symmetrizable Borchers-Cartan matrix is an integer-valued matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ satisfying

- (i) $a_{ii} = 2, 0, -2, -4, \dots$,
- (ii) $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ for $i \neq j$,
- (iii) there is a diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$ such that DA is symmetric.

We set $I^+ = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} = 2\}$, $I^0 = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} = 0\}$, $I^- = \{i \in I \mid a_{ii} < 0\}$ and $I^{\leq 0} = I^0 \cup I^-$. The elements in I^+ (resp. $I^{\leq 0}$) are called real indices (resp. imaginary indices).

A Borchers-Cartan datum (I, A, P, P^\vee) is given by

- a symmetrizable Borchers-Cartan matrix A
- a free abelian group P , called the weight lattice,
- $P^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z})$, called the dual weight lattice,
- $\alpha_i \in P$ for $i \in I$, called the simple roots,
- $h_i \in P^\vee$ for $i \in I$, called the simple coroots,
- a symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \) : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

such that $\alpha_j(h_i) = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$, and $(\lambda, \alpha_i) = r_i \lambda(h_i)$ for all $\lambda \in P, i \in I$. In particular,

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = r_i a_{ij} = r_j a_{ji} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in I.$$

Let $P^+ = \{\Lambda \in P \mid \Lambda(h_i) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ be the set of dominant integral weights. The free abelian group $Q = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ is called the root lattice. We identify the positive root lattice $Q_+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{N}\alpha_i$ with $\mathbb{N}[I]$.

Let q be an indeterminate. For each $i \in I$, we set

$$q_i = q^{r_i}, \quad q_{(i)} = q^{\frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}}.$$

For each $i \in I^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$[n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad [n]_i! = [n]_i [n-1]_i \cdots [1]_i.$$

Definition 1.1. Let $I^\infty = I^+ \sqcup (I^{\leq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. The negative part U^- ($U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$) of the quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra associate with a given Borchers-Cartan datum (I, A, P, P^\vee) is the associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ generated by $F_{i,\ell}$ ($(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$), satisfying the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r+s=1-\ell a_{ij}} (-1)^r F_i^{(r)} F_{j,\ell} F_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^+, (j, \ell) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j, \ell), \\ F_{i,\ell} F_{j,k} - F_{j,k} F_{i,\ell} &= 0 \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we denote $F_i^{(n)} = F_i^n / [n]_i!$ for $i \in I^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebra U^- is Q_- -graded by assigning $|F_{i,\ell}| = -\ell \alpha_i$.

Define a twisted multiplication on $U^- \otimes U^-$ by

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2) = q^{-(|x_2|, |y_1|)} x_1 y_1 \otimes x_2 y_2,$$

for homogeneous x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 . By [1, Proposition 14], we have an algebra homomorphism $\rho : U^- \rightarrow U^- \otimes U^-$ (with respect to the twisted multiplication on $U^- \otimes U^-$) given by

$$\rho(F_{i\ell}) = \sum_{m+n=\ell} q_{(i)}^{-mn} F_{im} \otimes F_{in} \text{ for } (i, \ell) \in I^\infty,$$

and a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\{ , \} : U^- \times U^- \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$ determined by

- (i) $\{x, y\} = 0$ if $|x| \neq |y|$,
- (ii) $\{1, 1\} = 1$,
- (iii) $\{F_{i\ell}, F_{i\ell}\} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ for all $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$,
- (iv) $\{x, yz\} = \{\rho(x), y \otimes z\}$ for $x, y, z \in U^-$.

There exists a set of primitive generators $\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}$ ($(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$) of U^- such that $\mathbf{b}_{i\ell} \in U_{-\ell\alpha_i}^-$, $\mathbf{b}_{i\ell} - F_{i\ell} \in \mathbb{Q}(q)\langle F_{ik} \mid k < \ell \rangle$ and $\{\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}, z\} = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Q}(q)\langle F_{i1}, \dots, F_{i, \ell-1} \rangle$.

For any $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_t) \in \mathbb{N}^t$, we set $\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} = \mathbf{b}_{ic_1} \cdots \mathbf{b}_{ic_t}$.

Let $\bar{\cdot}$ be the \mathbb{Q} -algebra involution of U^- given by $\overline{F_{i\ell}} = F_{i\ell}$ for all $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$ and $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$. Let $*$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra anti-involution of U^- given by $F_{i\ell}^* = F_{i\ell}$ for all $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$.

For each $\ell \geq 0$, we denote by \mathcal{C}_ℓ (resp. \mathcal{P}_ℓ) the set of compositions (resp. partitions) of ℓ .

Proposition 1.2. [1, 2] *The primitive generators satisfy the following properties:*

- (1) $\rho(\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}) = \mathbf{b}_{i\ell} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}$, $\overline{\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}} = \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}^* = \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}$ for all $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$.
- (2) $\{\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}\} \equiv 1/l \pmod{q}$ for $i \in I^0$, $\{\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}\} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ for $i \in I^-$.
- (3) If $i \in I^0$, the set $\{\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{P}_\ell\}$ forms a basis of $U_{-\ell\alpha_i}^-$ and $\{\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}'}\} = 0$ when $\mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{c}'$. If $i \in I^-$, the set $\{\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} \mid \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}_\ell\}$ forms a basis of $U_{-\ell\alpha_i}^-$ and $\{\mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}'}\} = 0$ when \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{c}' determine different partitions.
- (4) Let $i \in I^0$. If we write $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_\ell$ into the form $\lambda = 1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \cdots \ell^{\lambda_\ell}$, where λ_k are non-negative integers such that $\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \cdots + \ell\lambda_\ell = \ell$, then

$$\mathbf{b}_{i\ell} = F_{i\ell} - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_\ell \setminus \{\ell\}} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k!} \mathbf{b}_{i,\lambda}.$$

Let $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$ and $x \in U^-$ be homogeneous. We define $e'_{i\ell}(x)$ by the decomposition

$$\rho(x) = \mathbf{b}_{i\ell} \otimes e'_{i\ell}(x) + \sum_{\mathbf{c} \neq \{\ell\}} \mathbf{b}_{i,\mathbf{c}} \otimes y_{\mathbf{c}} + \text{terms of bidegree not in } -\ell\alpha_i \times Q_-.$$

The operator $e'_{i\ell} : U^- \rightarrow U^-$ is uniquely determined by the following

$$e'_{i\ell}(1) = 0, \quad e'_{i\ell}(\mathbf{b}_{jk}) = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\ell k} \text{ and } e'_{i\ell}(xy) = e'_{i\ell}(x)y + q^{\ell(|x|, \alpha_i)} x e'_{i\ell}(y).$$

The property (3) above implies that $\{\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}x, y\} = \{\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}\}\{x, e'_{i\ell}(y)\}$ for any $x, y \in U^-$.

For any family $(t_{i\ell})_{(i,\ell) \in I^\infty}$ of non-zero elements in $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, there exists a unique nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \)_K : U^- \times U^- \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad (1, 1)_K = 1, \ (\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}x, y)_K = t_{i\ell}(x, e'_{i\ell}(y))_K \text{ for all } (i, \ell) \in I^\infty \text{ and } x, y \in U^-.$$

Note that $(x, yz)_K = (\rho(x), y \otimes z)_K$ for all $x, y, z \in U^-$.

Proposition 1.3. [5, Theorem 2.4] *The algebra U^- is freely generated by $\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}$ $((i, \ell) \in I^\infty)$ with the same relations as $F_{i\ell}$'s.*

Therefore, we have an algebra automorphism $\Psi : U^- \rightarrow U^-$ given by

$$(1.2) \quad \Psi(F_i) = F_i \text{ for } i \in I^+; \ \Psi(F_{i\ell}) = F_{i\ell} \text{ for } i \in I^0, \ell \geq 1; \ \Psi(\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}) = F_{i\ell} \text{ for } i \in I^-, \ell \geq 1.$$

1.2. Quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Assume we are given a Borchers-Cartan datum (I, A, P, P^\vee) . We denote by \widehat{U} the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra generated by the elements q^h ($h \in P^\vee$) and $E_{i\ell}, F_{i\ell}$ $((i, \ell) \in I^\infty)$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} q^0 &= 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} \quad \text{for } h, h' \in P^\vee \\ q^h E_{j\ell} q^{-h} &= q^{\ell\alpha_j(h)} E_{j\ell}, \quad q^h F_{j\ell} q^{-h} = q^{-\ell\alpha_j(h)} F_{j\ell} \quad \text{for } h \in P^\vee, (j, \ell) \in I^\infty, \\ \sum_{r+s=1-\ell a_{ij}} (-1)^r E_i^{(r)} E_{j\ell} E_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^+, (j, \ell) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j, \ell), \\ \sum_{r+s=1-\ell a_{ij}} (-1)^r F_i^{(r)} F_{j\ell} F_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^+, (j, \ell) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j, \ell), \\ E_{ik} E_{j\ell} - E_{j\ell} E_{ik} &= F_{ik} F_{j\ell} - F_{j\ell} F_{ik} = 0 \quad \text{for } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We extend the grading by setting $|q^h| = 0$ and $|E_{i\ell}| = \ell\alpha_i$.

The algebra \widehat{U} is endowed with a co-multiplication $\Delta : \widehat{U} \rightarrow \widehat{U} \otimes \widehat{U}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(q^h) &= q^h \otimes q^h, \\ \Delta(E_{i\ell}) &= \sum_{m+n=\ell} q_{(i)}^{mn} E_{im} K_i^n \otimes E_{in}, \\ \Delta(F_{i\ell}) &= \sum_{m+n=\ell} q_{(i)}^{-mn} F_{im} \otimes K_i^{-m} F_{in}, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_i = q_i^{h_i}$ ($i \in I$).

Let $\omega : \widehat{U} \rightarrow \widehat{U}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -algebra involution given by

$$\omega(q^h) = q^{-h}, \ \omega(E_{i\ell}) = F_{i\ell}, \ \omega(F_{i\ell}) = E_{i\ell} \quad \text{for } h \in P^\vee, (i, \ell) \in I^\infty,$$

and let \widehat{U}^+ (resp. \widehat{U}^- , resp. $\widehat{U}^{\geq 0}$) be the subalgebra of \widehat{U} generated by $E_{i\ell}$ ($(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$) (resp. $F_{i\ell}$ ($(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$), resp. $E_{i\ell}$ ($(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$) and q^h ($h \in P^\vee$)). We identify \widehat{U}^- with the U^- defined in Section 1.1, and define a symmetric bilinear form $\{ , \}$ on $\widehat{U}^{\geq 0}$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \{x, y\} &= \{\omega(x), \omega(y)\} \quad \text{for } x, y \in \widehat{U}^+, \\ \{q^h, 1\} &= 1, \quad \{q^h, E_{i\ell}\} = 0, \quad \{q^h, K_j\} = q^{\alpha_j(h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4. By the Drinfeld double process, we define the quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebra U ($U_q(\mathfrak{g})$) as the quotient of \widehat{U} by the relations

$$(1.3) \quad \sum \{a_{(1)}, b_{(2)}\} \omega(b_{(1)}) a_{(2)} = \sum \{a_{(2)}, b_{(1)}\} a_{(1)} \omega(b_{(2)}) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \widehat{U}^{\geq 0}.$$

Here we use the Sweedler's notation and write $\Delta(x) = \sum x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}$.

Let U^+ (resp. U^-) be the subalgebra of U generated by $E_{i\ell}$ (resp. $F_{i\ell}$) for $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$. The subalgebra U^- is coincide with Definition 1.1. Set $\mathbf{a}_{i\ell} = \omega(\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}) \in U_{\ell\alpha_i}^+$ for each $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$. The equation (1.3) yields

$$\mathbf{a}_{i\ell} \mathbf{b}_{jk} - \mathbf{b}_{jk} \mathbf{a}_{i\ell} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{\ell k} \{\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}\} (K_i^{-\ell} - K_i^\ell).$$

Let $\Lambda \in P^+$. The irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $V(\Lambda)$ is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} V(\Lambda) &\cong U \left/ \left(\sum_{(i, \ell) \in I^\infty} U E_{i\ell} + \sum_{h \in P^\vee} U (q^h - q^{\Lambda(h)}) + \sum_{i \in I^+} U F_i^{\Lambda(h_i)+1} + \sum_{i \in I^{\leq 0} \text{ with } \Lambda(h_i)=0; \ell \geq 1} U F_{i\ell} \right) \right. \\ &\cong U^- \left/ \left(\sum_{i \in I^+} U^- F_i^{\Lambda(h_i)+1} + \sum_{i \in I^{\leq 0} \text{ with } \Lambda(h_i)=0; \ell \geq 1} U^- F_{i\ell} \right) \right. \end{aligned}$$

2. Categorification of U^- via primitive generators

2.1. Identify U^- with a quantum Borcherds algebra.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ be a Borcherds-Cartan matrix that can be symmetrized by $D = \text{diag}(r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$. Denote by $\widetilde{A} = (a_{(i, \ell)(j, k)})_{(i, \ell), (j, k) \in I^\infty}$ the matrix indexed by I^∞ with each $a_{(i, \ell)(j, k)} = k\ell a_{ij}$. It is also a Borcherds-Cartan matrix with symmetrizer $\widetilde{D} = \text{diag}(r_{i\ell} \mid (i, \ell) \in I^\infty)$ such that $r_{i\ell} = r_i$ for all $\ell \geq 1$. Assign a symmetric bilinear form $\alpha, \alpha' \mapsto \alpha \cdot \alpha'$ on $\mathbb{Z}[I^\infty]$ such that

$$(i, \ell) \cdot (j, k) = r_i k \ell a_{ij} = r_j k \ell a_{ji} \quad \text{for all } (i, \ell), (j, k) \in I^\infty.$$

For such a Borcherds-Cartan datum $(I^\infty, \tilde{A}, \cdot)$, we can define a quantum Borcherds algebra \tilde{U}^- which is generated by $\vartheta_{i,\ell}$ ($(i,\ell) \in I^\infty$), satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r+s=1-\ell a_{ij}} (-1)^r \vartheta_i^{(r)} \vartheta_{j\ell} \vartheta_i^{(s)} &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I^+, (j,\ell) \in I^\infty \text{ and } i \neq (j,\ell), \\ \vartheta_{i\ell} \vartheta_{jk} - \vartheta_{jk} \vartheta_{i\ell} &= 0 \quad \text{for } a_{(i,\ell)(j,k)} = 0 \text{ (equivalently for } a_{ij} = 0). \end{aligned}$$

The algebra \tilde{U}^- is $\mathbb{N}[I^\infty]$ -graded by assigning $|\vartheta_{i\ell}| = (i,\ell)$.

Define a twisted multiplication on $\tilde{U}^- \otimes \tilde{U}^-$ by

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2) = q^{-|x_2||y_1|} x_1 y_1 \otimes x_2 y_2,$$

for homogeneous x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 in \tilde{U}^- . We have an algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}: \tilde{U}^- \rightarrow \tilde{U}^- \otimes \tilde{U}^-$ given by $\tilde{\rho}(\vartheta_{i\ell}) = \vartheta_{i\ell} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \vartheta_{i\ell}$ ($(i,\ell) \in I^\infty$) and a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \tilde{U}^- \times \tilde{U}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)$ satisfying the following properties

- (i) $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ if $|x| \neq |y|$,
- (ii) $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1$,
- (iii) $\langle \vartheta_{i\ell}, \vartheta_{i\ell} \rangle = (1 - q_i^2)^{-1}$ for all $(i,\ell) \in I^\infty$,
- (iv) $\langle x, yz \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}(x), y \otimes z \rangle$ for $x, y, z \in \tilde{U}^-$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials. The \mathcal{A} -form ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{U}^-$ is the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of \tilde{U}^- generated by the divided powers $\vartheta_i^{(n)}$ for $i \in I^+, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\vartheta_{i\ell}$ for $i \in I^{\leq 0}, \ell \geq 1$.

Denote by $\bar{\cdot}$ the \mathbb{Q} -algebra involution of \tilde{U}^- given by $\bar{q} = q^{-1}$ and $\bar{\vartheta}_{i\ell} = \vartheta_{i\ell}$ for all $(i,\ell) \in I^\infty$. By Proposition 1.2 and Proposition 1.3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a twisted bialgebras isomorphism from U^- to \tilde{U}^- which sends $\mathfrak{b}_{i\ell}$ to $\vartheta_{i\ell}$ for all $(i,\ell) \in I^\infty$. Under this isomorphism, the comultiplication ρ of U^- corresponds to $\tilde{\rho}$ of \tilde{U}^- . If we set $t_{i\ell} = (1 - q_i^2)^{-1}$ in (1.1) for all $(i,\ell) \in I^\infty$, then the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_K$ on U^- corresponds to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \tilde{U}^- . Moreover, this map preserves the bar-involutions and send the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of U^- generated by $\mathfrak{b}_i^{(n)}$ for $i \in I^+$ and $\mathfrak{b}_{i\ell}$ for $i \in I^-$ to ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{U}^-$.*

2.2. Categorification of ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{U}^-$.

From now on, we fix an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} with $\text{char}\mathbb{K} = 0$. Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -graded \mathbb{K} -algebra. For a graded A -module $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$, its graded dimension is defined to be

$$\mathbf{Dim} M = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim_{\mathbb{K}} M_n) q^n,$$

where q is a formal variable. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the degree shift $M\{m\}$ is the graded A -module obtained from M by putting $(M\{m\})_n = M_{n-m}$. More generally, for $f(q) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m q^m \in \mathbb{N}[q, q^{-1}]$, we set $M^f = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (M\{m\})^{\oplus a_m}$.

Given two graded A -modules M and N , we denote by $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-gr}}(M, N)$ the \mathbb{K} -vector space of grading-preserving homomorphisms and form the \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space

$$\text{HOM}_A(M, N) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{A\text{-gr}}(M\{n\}, N) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{A\text{-gr}}(M, N\{-n\}).$$

A categorification of quantum Borcherds algebra associated with an arbitrary Borcherds Cartan datum has been built in [15]. We can apply the construction there directly to the Borcherds Cartan datum $(I^\infty, \tilde{A}, \cdot)$ to categorify ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\tilde{U}^-$, and then U^- immediately.

Denote by \mathcal{X} the set of equivalence classes of sequences in I^∞ , two sequences are equivalent if they permute each others. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$. If $(i_1, \ell_1) \dots (i_n, \ell_n) \in \nu$, then we set $|\nu| = (i_1, \ell_1) + \dots + (i_n, \ell_n) \in \mathbb{N}[I^\infty]$. We could identify ν with $|\nu|$ since ν is uniquely determined by it.

For $\nu, \nu' \in \mathcal{X}$, we set $\nu + \nu'$ (or $\nu \cup \nu'$) to be the concatenation of ν and ν' . If ν' is a part of ν , we set $\nu - \nu'$ (or $\nu \setminus \nu'$) to be the equivalence class obtained from ν by removing ν' .

Fix an $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$ of length n . We define the algebra $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$ to be the \mathbb{K} -algebra with the homogeneous generators given by diagrams (see [9] for a detailed explanation of the braid-like planar diagrams):

$$1_i = \begin{array}{c} \left| \dots \right| \left| \dots \right| \\ (i_1, \ell_1) \quad (i_k, \ell_k) \quad (i_n, \ell_n) \end{array} \quad \text{for } i = (i_1, \ell_1) \dots (i_n, \ell_n) \in \nu, \deg(1_i) = 0,$$

$$x_{k,i} = \begin{array}{c} \left| \dots \right| \bullet \left| \dots \right| \\ (i_1, \ell_1) \quad (i_k, \ell_k) \quad (i_n, \ell_n) \end{array} \quad \text{for } i \in \nu, 1 \leq k \leq n, \deg(x_{k,i}) = 2r_{i_k},$$

$$\tau_{k,i} = \begin{array}{c} \left| \dots \right| \times \left| \dots \right| \\ (i_1, \ell_1) \quad (i_k, \ell_k) \quad (i_{k+1}, \ell_{k+1}) \quad (i_n, \ell_n) \end{array} \quad \text{for } i \in \nu, 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \deg(\tau_{k,i}) = -(i_k, \ell_k) \cdot (i_{k+1}, \ell_{k+1}).$$

Subject to the following local relations:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing twice, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k) \end{array} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (i, \ell) = (j, k), \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k) \end{array} & \text{if } (i, \ell) \neq (j, k) \text{ and } a_{ij} = 0, \\ -kla_{ij} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k), \text{ with a dot on the } (i, \ell) \text{ strand} \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k), \text{ with a dot on the } (j, k) \text{ strand} \end{array} & \text{if } (i, \ell) \neq (j, k) \text{ and } a_{ij} \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing, labeled } i \text{ and } i, \text{ with a dot on the top-left strand} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing, labeled } i \text{ and } i, \text{ with a dot on the bottom-right strand} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands, labeled } i \text{ and } i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing, labeled } i \text{ and } i, \text{ with a dot on the top-right strand} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing, labeled } i \text{ and } i, \text{ with a dot on the bottom-left strand} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands, labeled } i \text{ and } i \end{array} \quad \text{if } i \in I^+,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k), \text{ with a dot on the } (i, \ell) \text{ strand} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k), \text{ with a dot on the } (j, k) \text{ strand} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k), \text{ with a dot on the } (i, \ell) \text{ strand} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k), \text{ with a dot on the } (j, k) \text{ strand} \end{array} \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing twice, labeled } i \text{ and } i, \text{ with a dot on the top-left strand} \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing twice, labeled } i \text{ and } i, \text{ with a dot on the top-right strand} \end{array} = \sum_{c=0}^{-la_{ij}-1} c \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands, labeled } i \text{ and } i, \text{ with a dot on the left strand} \end{array} - la_{ij} - 1 - c \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two parallel vertical strands, labeled } i \text{ and } i, \text{ with a dot on the right strand} \end{array} \quad \text{if } i \in I^+, i \neq j \text{ and } a_{ij} \neq 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing twice, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k), \text{ with a dot on the } (i, \ell) \text{ strand} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: two strands crossing twice, labeled } (i, \ell) \text{ and } (j, k), \text{ with a dot on the } (j, k) \text{ strand} \end{array} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

For $i, j \in \nu$, we set ${}_i\mathcal{R}(\nu)_j = 1_i\mathcal{R}(\nu)1_j$. Then ${}_i\mathcal{R}(\nu)_j$ has a basis

$$\{x_{1,i}^{u_1} \cdots x_{n,i}^{u_n} \cdot \widehat{\omega}_j \mid u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega \in S_n \text{ such that } \omega(j) = i\}$$

where $\widehat{\omega}_j \in {}_i\mathcal{R}(\nu)_j$ is uniquely determined by a fixed reduced expression of ω .

Denote by

- $\mathcal{R}(\nu)\text{-Mod}$: the category of finitely generated graded $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$ -modules,
- $\mathcal{R}(\nu)\text{-fMod}$: the category of finite-dimensional graded $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$ -modules,
- $\mathcal{R}(\nu)\text{-pMod}$: the category of projective objects in $\mathcal{R}(\nu)\text{-Mod}$.

Let $K_0(\mathcal{R}(\nu))$ (resp. $G_0(\mathcal{R}(\nu))$) be the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$ -pMod (resp. $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$ -fMod). They are free $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -module with $q[M] = [M\{1\}]$. Set $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{R}(\nu)$ and form

$$G_0(\mathcal{R}) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{X}} G_0(\mathcal{R}(\nu)), \quad K_0(\mathcal{R}) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{X}} K_0(\mathcal{R}(\nu)).$$

The $K_0(\mathcal{R})$ and $G_0(\mathcal{R})$ are equipped with twisted bialgebras structure induced by the induction and restriction functors:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu+\nu'} : \mathcal{R}(\nu) \otimes \mathcal{R}(\nu')\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\nu + \nu')\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto \mathcal{R}(\nu + \nu')1_{\nu, \nu'} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(\nu) \otimes \mathcal{R}(\nu')} M, \\ \text{Res}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu+\nu'} : \mathcal{R}(\nu + \nu')\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\nu) \otimes \mathcal{R}(\nu')\text{-Mod}, \quad N \mapsto 1_{\nu, \nu'} N, \end{aligned}$$

where $1_{\nu, \nu'} = 1_\nu \otimes 1_{\nu'}$. More precisely, we set $|x| = |\nu| \in \mathbb{N}[I^\infty]$ for $x \in \mathcal{R}(\nu)$ -Mod and equip $K_0(\mathcal{R}) \otimes K_0(\mathcal{R})$ (resp. $G_0(\mathcal{R}) \otimes G_0(\mathcal{R})$) with a twisted algebra structure via

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2) = q^{-|x_2| \cdot |y_1|} x_1 y_1 \otimes x_2 y_2,$$

then Res is a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra homomorphism by Mackey's Theorem [9, Proposition 2.18].

The $K_0(\mathcal{R})$ and $G_0(\mathcal{R})$ are dual to each other with respect to the bilinear pairing $(\ , \) : K_0(\mathcal{R}) \times G_0(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ given by

$$(2.6) \quad ([P], [M]) = \mathbf{Dim}(P^\psi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}(\nu)} M) = \mathbf{Dim}(\text{HOM}_{\mathcal{R}(\nu)}(\overline{P}, M)),$$

where ψ is the anti-involution of $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$ obtained by flipping the diagrams about horizontal axis and it turns a left $\mathcal{R}(\nu)$ -module into right, $\overline{P} = \text{HOM}(P, \mathcal{R}(\nu))^\psi$. There is also a symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \) : K_0(\mathcal{R}) \times K_0(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}((q))$ defined in the same way.

For $\mathbf{i} \in \nu$, we set $P_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathcal{R}(\nu)1_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathcal{R}(\nu)$ -pMod. For $i \in I^+$ and $n \geq 0$, we set

$$(2.7) \quad P_{i(n)} = \mathcal{R}(ni)\psi(e_{i,n}) \left\{ -\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \cdot r_i \right\} \cong \mathcal{R}(ni)e_{i,n} \left\{ \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \cdot r_i \right\},$$

where $e_{i,n}$ is the primitive idempotent $x_{1,ni}^{n-1} x_{2,ni}^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1,ni} \cdot \tau_{\omega_0}$ of $\mathcal{R}(ni)$ with ω_0 being the longest element in S_n .

Theorem 2.2. [15] *There is a \mathcal{A} -bialgebras isomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{A}\widetilde{U}^- \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{R})$ which sends $\mathcal{A}\widetilde{U}_{|\nu}^-$ onto $K_0(\mathcal{R}(\nu))$ for each $\nu \in \mathcal{X}$, given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_i^{(n)} &\mapsto [P_{i(n)}] \quad \text{for } i \in I^+, n \geq 0, \\ \vartheta_{i\ell} &\mapsto [P_{(i,\ell)}] \quad \text{for } i \in I^-, \ell \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Under this isomorphism, the form $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ on $\mathcal{A}\widetilde{U}^-$ corresponds to the form $(\ , \)$ on $K_0(\mathcal{R})$, the bar-involution of $\mathcal{A}\widetilde{U}^-$ corresponds to the involution $P \mapsto \overline{P}$ of $K_0(\mathcal{R}(\nu))$.

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that the quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra U^- is isomorphic to $K_0(\mathcal{R})_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} K_0(\mathcal{R})$ as bialgebras, which sends the primitive generators $\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}$ to $[P_{(i,\ell)}]$ for all $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$. If we take the values $t_{i\ell} = (1 - q_i^2)^{-1}$ for all $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$ in (1.1), then the form $(\ , \)_K$ on U^- corresponds to the Khovanov-Lauda's form on $K_0(\mathcal{R}(\nu))_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$.

3. Categorification of U^- via $F_{i\ell}$ for $i \in I^0$

We give another way to categorify the U^- .

3.1. Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras $R(\nu)$.

Given a Borchers-Cartan datum (I, A, P, P^\vee) . Let U^- be the associated quantum Borchers-Bozec algebra. We use the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\{ \ , \ }$ on U^- which takes some specific values for $F_{i\ell}$ ($(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$):

$$\{F_{i\ell}, F_{i\ell}\} = \begin{cases} 1/(1 - q_i^2) & \text{for } i \in I^+, \\ 1/(1 - q_i^2)(1 - q_i^4) \cdots (1 - q_i^{2\ell}) & \text{for } i \in I^0 \text{ and } \ell \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and if $i \in I^-$, the value $\{F_{i\ell}, F_{i\ell}\}$ for each $\ell \geq 1$ leads to $\{\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}, \mathbf{b}_{i\ell}\} = 1/(1 - q_i^2)$.

Note that this setting satisfy the requirement $\{F_{i\ell}, F_{i\ell}\} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ for all $(i, \ell) \in I^\infty$.

Let $\mathbb{I} = I^+ \cup I^0 \cup (I^- \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$. We assign a graph Υ with vertices set \mathbb{I} and an edge between $(i, \ell) \neq (j, k)$ when $a_{ij} \neq 0$. Denote by \mathcal{Y} the set of equivalence classes of sequences in \mathbb{I} , two sequences are equivalent if they permute each others. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{Y}$. If $(i_1, \ell_1) \dots (i_n, \ell_n) \in \nu$, then we set $\ell(\nu) = n$ and $|\nu| = \ell_1 \alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \ell_n \alpha_{i_n} \in Q_+$.

Fix an $\nu \in \mathcal{Y}$ of length n . We define the algebra $R(\nu)$ to be the \mathbb{K} -algebra with the homogeneous generators given by diagrams:

$$1_{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{array}{c} \left| \cdots \left| \cdots \left| \right. \right. \right. \\ (i_1, \ell_1) \quad (i_k, \ell_k) \quad (i_n, \ell_n) \end{array} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i}_1 \dots \mathbf{i}_n = (i_1, \ell_1) \dots (i_n, \ell_n) \in \nu, \deg(1_{\mathbf{i}}) = 0,$$

$$x_{k, \mathbf{i}} = \begin{array}{c} \left| \cdots \bullet \cdots \left| \right. \right. \\ (i_1, \ell_1) \quad (i_k, \ell_k) \quad (i_n, \ell_n) \end{array} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{i} \in \nu, 1 \leq k \leq n, \deg(x_{k, \mathbf{i}}) = 2r_{i_k},$$

$$\tau_{k, \mathbf{i}} = \begin{array}{c} \left| \cdots \begin{array}{c} \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \cdots \left| \right. \right. \\ (i_1, \ell_1) \quad (i_k, \ell_k) \quad (i_{k+1}, \ell_{k+1}) \quad (i_n, \ell_n) \end{array} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{i} \in \nu, 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \deg(\tau_{k, \mathbf{i}}) = -\ell_k \ell_{k+1} r_{i_k} a_{i_k i_{k+1}}.$$

Subject to the following local relations:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ (i, \ell) \quad (j, k) \end{array} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \in I^+, \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{two parallel lines} \\ (i, \ell) \quad (j, k) \end{array} & \text{if } a_{ij} = 0, \\ \left(-\ell^2 \frac{a_{ii}}{2} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{line} \\ (i, \ell) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{line} \\ (i, \ell) \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{line} \\ (i, \ell) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{line} \\ (i, \ell) \end{array} - \ell^2 \frac{a_{ii}}{2} \right)^2 & \text{if } i = j \in I^- \text{ and } \ell = k, \\ -kla_{ij} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{line} \\ (i, \ell) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{line} \\ (j, k) \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{line} \\ (i, \ell) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{line} \\ (j, k) \end{array} - kla_{ji} & \text{if } (i, \ell) \neq (j, k) \text{ and } a_{ij} \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with dots} \\ i \quad i \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with dots} \\ i \quad i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{two parallel lines} \\ i \quad i \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with dots} \\ i \quad i \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with dots} \\ i \quad i \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{two parallel lines} \\ i \quad i \end{array} \quad \text{if } i \in I^+,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with dots} \\ (i, \ell) \quad (j, k) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with dots} \\ (i, \ell) \quad (j, k) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with dots} \\ (i, \ell) \quad (j, k) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing with dots} \\ (i, \ell) \quad (j, k) \end{array} \quad \text{otherwise,}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ i \quad (j, \ell) \quad i \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ i \quad (j, \ell) \quad i \end{array} = \sum_{c=0}^{-la_{ij}-1} c \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{line} \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{line} \\ (j, \ell) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \text{line} \\ i \end{array} - la_{ij} - 1 - c \quad \text{if } i \in I^+, i \neq j \text{ and } a_{ij} \neq 0,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ (i, \ell) \quad (j, k) \quad (h, m) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{crossing} \\ (i, \ell) \quad (j, k) \quad (h, m) \end{array} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

For $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \nu$, we set ${}_j R(\nu)_i = 1_j R(\nu) 1_i$, then $R(\nu) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} {}_j R(\nu)_i$. Denote by $P_i = R(\nu) 1_i$ (resp. ${}_j P = 1_j R(\nu)$) the gr-projective left (resp. right) $R(\nu)$ -module.

For $\mathbf{i} \in \nu$, set $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathbb{K}[x_1(\mathbf{i}), \dots, x_n(\mathbf{i})]$ and form the \mathbb{K} -vector space $\mathcal{P}_\nu = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{i} \in \nu} \mathcal{P}_i$. Each $\omega \in S_n$ acts on \mathcal{P}_ν by sending $x_a(\mathbf{i})$ to $x_{\omega(a)}(\omega(\mathbf{i}))$.

Choose an orientation for each edge of Υ . We define an action of $R(\nu)$ on \mathcal{P}_ν as follows. ${}_j R(\nu)_i$ acts by 0 on \mathcal{P}_k if $\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{k}$. For $f \in \mathcal{P}_i$, we set $1_i \cdot f = f$, $x_{k,i} \cdot f = x_k(\mathbf{i})f$ and then

suppose $\mathbf{i}_k = (i, \ell)$, $\mathbf{i}_{k+1} = (j, t)$, we set

$$\tau_{k, \mathbf{i}} \cdot f = \begin{cases} \frac{f - s_k f}{x_k(\mathbf{i}) - x_{k+1}(\mathbf{i})} & \text{if } i = j \in I^+, \\ s_k f & \text{if } a_{ij} = 0 \text{ or if } \mathbf{i}_k \leftarrow \mathbf{i}_{k+1}, \\ (x_k(\mathbf{i})^{-\ell^2 \frac{a_{ii}}{2}} + x_{k+1}(\mathbf{i})^{-\ell^2 \frac{a_{ii}}{2}}) s_k f & \text{if } i = j \in I^- \text{ and } \ell = t, \\ (x_k(s_k \mathbf{i})^{-\ell t a_{ji}} + x_{k+1}(s_k \mathbf{i})^{-\ell t a_{ij}}) s_k f & \text{if } \mathbf{i}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{i}_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

It's easy to check \mathcal{P}_ν is an $R(\nu)$ -module with the action defined above.

3.2. Algebras $R(n(i, \ell))$ and their gr-irreducible modules.

Fix $(i, \ell) \in \mathbb{I}$ and $n \geq 0$. Let $\nu = n(i, \ell) \in \mathcal{Y}$ that has only one sequence $\mathbf{i} = \underbrace{(i, \ell) \dots (i, \ell)}_n$.

The algebra $R(n(i, \ell))$ is generated by $x_{1, \mathbf{i}}, \dots, x_{n, \mathbf{i}}$ of degree $2r_i$ and $\tau_{1, \mathbf{i}}, \dots, \tau_{n-1, \mathbf{i}}$ of degree $-\ell^2 r_i a_{ii}$, subject to the following local relations:

if $i \in I^+$.

if $i \in I^0$.

if $i \in I^-$.

We will abbreviate $x_{k, \mathbf{i}}$ (resp. $\tau_{k, \mathbf{i}}$) to x_k (resp. τ_k). In all cases, $R(n(i, \ell))$ has a basis $\{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n} \tau_\omega \mid \omega \in S_n, r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0\}$. Indeed, for instance, if $i \in I^0$ or I^- , we just need consider the actions of these elements on $x_1^N x_2^N \cdots x_n^N$ for $N \gg 0$.

Therefore, we could identify the polynomial algebra $P_n = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with the subalgebra of $R(n(i, \ell))$ generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Then the center of $R(n(i, \ell))$ is Z_n , the algebra of symmetric polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_n .

Let $i \in I^+$. By the representation theory of the nil-Hecke algebras, $R(ni)$ has a unique gr-irreducible module $V(i^n)$ of graded dimension $[n]_i!$, which is isomorphic to $R(ni) \otimes_{P_n} \mathbf{1}_n \left\{ \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \cdot r_i \right\}$, where $\mathbf{1}_n$ is the one-dimensional trivial module over P_n with each x_k acts by 0. The gr-projective cover of $V(i^n)$ is $P_{i(n)}$ (see (2.7)).

Let $i \in I^-$, since $R(n(i, \ell))$ has only trivial idempotents, it has a unique gr-irreducible module $V((i, \ell)^n)$, which is the one-dimensional trivial module with the gr-projective cover $R(n(i, \ell))$.

Now let $i \in I^0$. Note that $R(ni)_0$ is just the symmetric group algebra $\mathbb{K}S_n$. Since $\text{char} \mathbb{K} = 0$, it's well known that $\mathbb{K}S_n$ has $|\mathcal{P}_n|$ many irreducible modules that can be labelled by the partitions of n .

Let V be an irreducible $\mathbb{K}S_n$ -module (also an indecomposable projective module). Then

$$\tilde{V} := R(ni) \otimes_{R(ni)_0} V / R(ni)_{>0} \otimes_{R(ni)_0} V$$

is a gr-irreducible $R(ni)$ -module. In other words, \tilde{V} is obtained from V with the actions of x_1, \dots, x_n by 0. All gr-irreducible $R(ni)$ -modules obtained in this way. When no confusion arises, we still write V for \tilde{V} .

We have shown the following:

Proposition 3.1. *If $V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{P}_n|}$ is a complete set of non-isomorphic classes of irreducible $\mathbb{K}S_n$ -modules, then $V_1, \dots, V_{|\mathcal{P}_n|}$ is a complete set of non-isomorphic classes of gr-irreducible $R(ni)$ -modules. In particular, the gr-Jacobson radical $J^{gr}(R(ni)) = R(ni)_{>0}$.*

Let $V_{i,n}$ be the one-dimensional trivial module over $\mathbb{K}S_n$. Then

$$V_{i,n} = \mathbb{K}S_n \cdot e_{i,n} = \mathbb{K} \cdot e_{i,n},$$

where $e_{i,n} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\omega \in S_n} \omega$. If $r + t = n$, then the restriction to $\mathbb{K}S_r \otimes \mathbb{K}S_t$ -modules gives

$$\text{Res}_{r,t}^n V_{i,n} \cong V_{i,r} \otimes V_{i,t} = \mathbb{K}S_n \cdot e_{i,r} \otimes \mathbb{K}S_n \cdot e_{i,t}.$$

The gr-projective cover of $R(ni)$ -module $V_{i,n}$ is $P_{i,n} = R(ni)e_{i,n}$, which has a basis $\{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n} \cdot e_{i,n} \mid r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 0\}$. The restriction of $P_{i,n}$ to $R(ri) \otimes R(ti)$ -modules gives

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Res}_{r,t}^n P_{i,n} \cong P_{i,r} \otimes P_{i,t}.$$

Since $e_{i,n}R(ni)e_{i,n}$ is spanned by $\{f \cdot e_{i,n} \mid f \in Z_n\}$, we see that

$$(3.7) \quad (P_{i,n}, P_{i,n}) = \mathbf{Dim}(e_{i,n}R(ni)e_{i,n}) = \mathbf{Dim} Z_n = 1/(1 - q_i^2)(1 - q_i^4) \cdots (1 - q_i^{2n}).$$

Here, $(,)$ is the Khovanov-Lauda's form defined in (2.6).

3.3. Grothendieck groups $K_0(R)$ and $G_0(R)$.

Let $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \nu$. Using the polynomial representation \mathcal{P}_ν of $R(\nu)$, one can obtain by a similar argument in [9, Theorem 2.5] that \mathcal{P}_ν is a faithful $R(\nu)$ -module and ${}_{\mathbf{i}}R(\nu)_{\mathbf{j}}$ has a basis

$$\{x_{1,\mathbf{i}}^{u_1} \cdots x_{n,\mathbf{i}}^{u_n} \cdot \widehat{\omega}_{\mathbf{j}} \mid u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega \in S_n \text{ such that } \omega(\mathbf{j}) = \mathbf{i}\},$$

where $\widehat{\omega}_{\mathbf{j}} \in {}_{\mathbf{i}}R(\nu)_{\mathbf{j}}$ is uniquely determined by a fixed reduced expression of ω .

Assume ν contains a sequence $(i_1, \ell_1)^{m_1} \cdots (i_t, \ell_t)^{m_t}$ such that $(i_1, \ell_1), \dots, (i_t, \ell_t)$ are all distinct. Similar to [9, Theorem 2.9], the center $Z(R(\nu))$ of $R(\nu)$ can be described as

$$Z(R(\nu)) \cong \bigotimes_{k=1}^t \mathbb{K}[z_1, \dots, z_{m_k}]^{S_{m_k}},$$

the latter is a tensor product of symmetric polynomial algebras such that the generators in $\mathbb{K}[z_1, \dots, z_{m_k}]$ are of degree $2r_{i_k}$. Moreover, $R(\nu)$ is a free $Z(R(\nu))$ -module of rank $((m_1 + \cdots + m_t)!)^2$. It is also a gr-free $Z(R(\nu))$ -module of finite rank. So we have

$$\mathbf{Dim}Z(R(\nu)) = \prod_{k=1}^t \left(\prod_{c=1}^{m_k} \frac{1}{1 - q^{2c}} \right)$$

and $\mathbf{Dim}R(\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}] \cdot \mathbf{Dim}Z(R(\nu))$.

Up to isomorphism and degree shifts, each $R(\nu)$ has only finitely many gr-irreducible modules, all of which are finite-dimensional and are irreducible $R(\nu)$ -modules by forgetting the grading. Let \mathbb{B}_ν be the set of equivalence classes of gr-irreducible $R(\nu)$ -modules. Choose one representative S_b from each equivalence class and denote by P_b the gr-projective cover of S_b . The Grothendieck group $G_0(R(\nu))$ (resp. $K_0(R(\nu))$) of $R(\nu)$ -fMod (resp. $R(\nu)$ -pMod) are free $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -modules with a basis $\{[S_b]\}_{b \in \mathbb{B}_\nu}$ (resp. $\{[P_b]\}_{b \in \mathbb{B}_\nu}$).

Let $R = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{Y}} R(\nu)$ and form

$$G_0(R) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{Y}} G_0(R(\nu)), \quad K_0(R) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{Y}} K_0(R(\nu)).$$

If we set $|x| = |\nu| \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ for $x \in R(\nu)$ -Mod and equip $K_0(R) \otimes K_0(R)$ (resp. $G_0(R) \otimes G_0(R)$) with a twisted algebra structure via

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2)(y_1 \otimes y_2) = q^{-(|x_2|, |y_1|)} x_1 y_1 \otimes x_2 y_2.$$

Then by Mackey's Theorem [9, Proposition 2.18], $G_0(R)$ and $K_0(R)$ are twisted bialgebras induced by the induction and restriction functors:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu + \nu'} : R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow R(\nu + \nu')\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto R(\nu + \nu')1_{\nu, \nu'} \otimes_{R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')} M, \\ \text{Res}_{\nu, \nu'}^{\nu + \nu'} : R(\nu + \nu')\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow R(\nu) \otimes R(\nu')\text{-Mod}, \quad N \mapsto 1_{\nu, \nu'} N. \end{aligned}$$

The $K_0(R)$ and $G_0(R)$ are $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -modules with respect to the bilinear pairing defined in (2.6). By (3.7) and [9, Proposition 3.3], the symmetric bilinear form $(\ , \) : K_0(R) \times K_0(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(q)$ satisfies

- (1) $([M], [N]) = 0$ if $M \in R(\nu)\text{-Mod}$, $N \in R(\mu)\text{-Mod}$ with $\nu \neq \mu$.
- (2) $(1, 1) = 1$, where $1 = \mathbb{K}$ as a module over $R(0) = \mathbb{K}$.
- (3) $([P_i], [P_i]) = 1/(1 - q_i^2)$ for $i \in I^+$ and $P_i = R(i)1_i$;
 $([P_{(i, \ell)}], [P_{(i, \ell)}]) = 1/(1 - q_i^2)$ for $i \in I^-$ and $P_{(i, \ell)} = R((i, \ell))1_{(i, \ell)}$;
 $([P_{i, \ell}], [P_{i, \ell}]) = 1/(1 - q_i^2)(1 - q_i^4) \cdots (1 - q_i^{2\ell})$ for $i \in I^0$ and $P_{i, \ell} = R(\ell i)e_{i, \ell}$.
- (4) $(x, yz) = (\text{Res}(x), y \otimes z)$ for $x, y, z \in K_0(R)$.

A sequence with 'divided powers' for $i \in I^+$ is of the form

$$\mathbf{i} = (j_1, a_1) \cdots (j_{p_0}, a_{p_0}) i_1^{(m_1)} (k_1, b_1) \cdots (k_{p_1}, b_{p_1}) i_2^{(m_2)} \cdots i_t^{(m_t)} (h_1, c_1) \cdots (h_{p_t}, c_{p_t}),$$

where $i_1, \dots, i_t \in I^+$ and the others belong to \mathbb{I} . For such an \mathbf{i} , assign the following idempotent

$$1_{\mathbf{i}} = 1_{(j_1, a_1) \cdots (j_{p_0}, a_{p_0})} \otimes e_{i_1, m_1} \otimes 1_{(k_1, b_1) \cdots (k_{p_1}, b_{p_1})} \otimes e_{i_2, m_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_t, m_t} \otimes 1_{(h_1, c_1) \cdots (h_{p_t}, c_{p_t})},$$

where $e_{i, m} = x_1^{m-1} x_2^{m-2} \cdots x_{m-1} \tau_{\omega_0}$, and set $\langle \mathbf{i} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{m_k(m_k-1)}{2} r_{i_k}$ and

$${}_i P = 1_{\mathbf{i}} R(\nu) \{ -\langle \mathbf{i} \rangle \}, \quad P_{\mathbf{i}} = R(\nu) \psi(1_{\mathbf{i}}) \{ -\langle \mathbf{i} \rangle \}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Assume $i \in I^+$, $j \in I$ and $i \neq j$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $m = 1 - na_{ij}$. We have isomorphisms of graded left $R(\nu)$ -modules*

$$\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} P_{i^{(2c)} j^n i^{(m-2c)}} \cong \bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} P_{i^{(2c+1)} j^n i^{(m-2c-1)}} \quad \text{if } j \in I^+,$$


$$\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} P_{i^{(2c)} (j, n) i^{(m-2c)}} \cong \bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} P_{i^{(2c+1)} (j, n) i^{(m-2c-1)}} \quad \text{if } j \in I^-,$$

$$\bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} R(i^m j^n) \psi(e_{i,2c} \otimes e_{j,n} \otimes e_{i,m-2c}) \langle i^{(2c)} i^{(m-2c)} \rangle \cong \bigoplus_{c=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} R(i^m j^n) \psi(e_{i,2c+1} \otimes e_{j,n} \otimes e_{i,m-2c-1}) \langle i^{(2c+1)} i^{(m-2c-1)} \rangle \quad \text{if } j \in I^0.$$


Moreover, assume $a_{ij} = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(i,\ell)(j,k)} &\cong P_{(i,\ell)(j,k)} && \text{if } (i,\ell), (j,k) \in \mathbb{I}, \\ R(i^\ell(j,k)) \cdot e_{i,\ell} \otimes 1_{(j,k)} &\cong R(i^\ell(j,k)) \cdot 1_{(j,k)} \otimes e_{i,\ell} && \text{if } i \in I^0, (j,k) \in \mathbb{I}, \\ R(i^\ell j^k) \cdot e_{i,\ell} \otimes e_{j,k} &\cong R(i^\ell j^k) \cdot e_{j,k} \otimes e_{i,\ell} && \text{if } i, j \in I^0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is the same as the ‘Box’ calculations in [10]. We only explain the last isomorphism. Let $i, j \in I^0$ with $a_{ij} = 0$. Note that



The right multiplication by



is a map from $R(i^\ell j^k) \cdot e_{i,\ell} \otimes e_{j,k}$ to $R(i^\ell j^k) \cdot e_{j,k} \otimes e_{i,\ell}$, which has the obvious inverse by flipping this diagram. \square

Let $K_0(R)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]} K_0(R)$. By (3.6), Proposition 1.3 and Proposition 3.2, we have a well-defined bialgebra homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} : U^- &\rightarrow K_0(R)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} \\ F_i &\mapsto [P_i] && \text{for } i \in I^+ \\ F_{i\ell} &\mapsto [P_{i,\ell}] && \text{for } i \in I^0, \ell \geq 1 \\ \mathbf{b}_{i\ell} &\mapsto [P_{(i,\ell)}] && \text{for } i \in I^-, \ell \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now, the bilinear form $\{ , \}$ on U^- and the Khovanov-Lauda’s form $(,)$ on $K_0(R)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ coincide under the map $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$, that is

$$(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(x), \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(y)) = \{x, y\} \quad \text{for } x, y \in U^-.$$

Thus $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ is injective by the non-degeneracy of $\{ , \}$. Moreover, we have

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(\bar{x}) = \overline{\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}(x)}.$$

Let ${}_{\mathcal{A}}U_1^-$ be the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of U^- generated by $F_i^{(n)}$ for $i \in I^+$, $F_{i\ell}$ for $i \in I^0, \ell \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{b}_{i\ell}$ for $i \in I^-, \ell \geq 1$. Then $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ induces an injective $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -bialgebra homomorphism $\Gamma : {}_{\mathcal{A}}U_1^- \rightarrow K_0(R)$.

3.4. Surjectivity of $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ and Γ .

Let $\nu \in \mathcal{Y}$. Define $\underline{\nu}$ to be the set of sequence \mathbf{i} of type ν with ‘parameters’ for $i \in I^0$. Such a sequence is of the form

$$\mathbf{i} = (j_1, a_1) \cdots (j_{p_0}, a_{p_0})(\mathbf{i}_1, \mathbf{n}_1)(k_1, b_1) \cdots (k_{p_1}, b_{p_1})(\mathbf{i}_2, \mathbf{n}_2) \cdots (\mathbf{i}_t, \mathbf{n}_t)(h_1, c_1) \cdots (h_{p_t}, c_{p_t}),$$

with $(i_1, n_1), \dots, (i_t, n_t) \in I^0 \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and such that the expended sequence

$$(j_1, a_1) \cdots (j_{p_0}, a_{p_0}) \underbrace{i_1 \cdots i_1}_{n_1} (k_1, b_1) \cdots (k_{p_1}, b_{p_1}) \underbrace{i_2 \cdots i_2}_{n_2} \cdots \underbrace{i_t \cdots i_t}_{n_t} (h_1, c_1) \cdots (h_{p_t}, c_{p_t})$$

belongs to ν . For each $\mathbf{i} \in \underline{\nu}$, we assign the following idempotent of $R(\nu)$

$$1_{\mathbf{i}} = 1_{(j_1, a_1) \cdots (j_{p_0}, a_{p_0})} \otimes e_{i_1, n_1} \otimes 1_{(k_1, b_1) \cdots (k_{p_1}, b_{p_1})} \otimes e_{i_2, n_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_t, n_t} \otimes 1_{(h_1, c_1) \cdots (h_{p_t}, c_{p_t})}.$$

We define the character of $M \in R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$ as

$$\text{Ch}M = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \underline{\nu}} \mathbf{Dim}(1_{\mathbf{i}}M) \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]_{\underline{\nu}}.$$

Each $\mathbf{i} \in \underline{\nu}$ determines a monomial $\Theta_{\mathbf{i}}$ in U^- under the correspondence

$$i \mapsto F_i \ (i \in I^+), \quad (i, \ell) \mapsto F_{i\ell} \ (i \in I^0), \quad (i, \ell) \mapsto \mathbf{b}_{i\ell} \ (i \in I^-).$$

Let $U_{\underline{\nu}}^-$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -subspace of U^- spanned by $\Theta_{\mathbf{i}}$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \underline{\nu}$. Combine with $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$, we obtain a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear map

$$\mathbb{Q}(q)_{\underline{\nu}} \longrightarrow U_{\underline{\nu}}^- \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}} K_0(R(\nu))_{\mathbb{Q}(q)},$$

which has the dual map

$$G_0(R(\nu))_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}} \mathbb{Q}(q)_{\underline{\nu}}.$$

We next show that the character map Ch is injective.

Let $i \in I^0$ and $\nu = ni$. Then $\underline{\nu} = \{(i, \ell_1) \cdots (i, \ell_s) \mid (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_s) \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$ and $U_{\underline{\nu}}^- = U_{-n\alpha_i}^-$. Since $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} : U_{-n\alpha_i}^- \rightarrow K_0(R(\nu))_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ is injective and they have the same dimension $|\mathcal{P}_n|$, we see that $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ is an isomorphism and therefore $\text{Ch} : G_0(R(ni))_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)_{\underline{\nu}}$ is injective.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $i \in I^0$. The characters of all non-isomorphic gr-irreducible $R(ni)$ -modules are $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -linear independent.*

For instance, the irreducible $\mathbb{K}S_3$ -modules and their characters are

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(3)} &= P_{i,3} = \mathbb{K}S_3 \cdot e_{i,3}, & \text{Ch } S^{(3)} &= e_{i,3} + e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,2} + e_{i,2} \otimes e_{i,1} + e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,1} \\ S^{(21)} &= \mathbb{K}S_3 \cdot 1/3(1 + s_1 - s_2s_1 - s_1s_2s_1), & \text{Ch } S^{(21)} &= e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,2} + e_{i,2} \otimes e_{i,1} + 2e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,1} \\ S^{(111)} &= \mathbb{K}S_3 \cdot 1/6(1 - s_1 - s_2 + s_1s_2 + s_2s_1 - s_1s_2s_1), & \text{Ch } S^{(111)} &= e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,1} \otimes e_{i,1} \end{aligned}$$

For $(i, \ell) \in \mathbb{I}$ and $n \geq 0$, define the functor

$$\Delta_{(i,\ell)^n} : R(\nu)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R(\nu \setminus n(i, \ell)) \otimes R(n(i, \ell))\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto (1_{\nu \setminus n(i,\ell)} \otimes 1_{n(i,\ell)})M.$$

and set $\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}M = \max\{n \geq 0 \mid \Delta_{(i,\ell)^n}M \neq 0\}$ for each $M \in R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$. The following lemma can be proved by the same manner in [9, Section 3.2].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(i, \ell) \in \mathbb{I}$ and $M \in R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$ be a gr-irreducible module with $\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}M = n$. Then $\Delta_{(i,\ell)^n}M$ is isomorphic to $K \otimes V$ for some gr-irreducible $K \in R(\nu \setminus n(i, \ell))\text{-fMod}$ with $\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}K = 0$ and some gr-irreducible $V \in R(n(i, \ell))\text{-fMod}$. Moreover, we have*

$$M \cong \text{hd } \text{Ind}_{\nu \setminus n(i,\ell), n(i,\ell)} K \otimes V.$$

Recall that a gr-irreducible $R(n(i, \ell))$ -module V is as follows: if $i \in I^+$, we have $V = V(i^n)$, the unique gr-irreducible module of the nilHecke algebra; if $i \in I^-$, then $V = V((i, \ell)^n)$ is the one-dimensional trivial module; if $i \in I^0$, then V has $|\mathcal{P}_n|$ many choices.

Theorem 3.5. *The map $\text{Ch} : G_0(R(\nu))_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(q)\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is injective.*

Proof. We show that the characters of elements in \mathbb{B}_ν are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ by induction on $\ell(\nu)$. The case of $\ell(\nu) = 0$ is trivial. Assume for $\ell(\nu) < n$, our assertion is true. Now, suppose $\ell(\nu) = n$ and we are given a non-trivial linear composition

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_M c_M \text{Ch}M = 0$$

for some $M \in \mathbb{B}_\nu$ and some $c_M \in \mathbb{Q}(q)$. Choose $(i, \ell) \in \mathbb{I}$. We prove by a downward induction on $k = n, \dots, 1$ that $c_M = 0$ for all M with $\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}M = k$.

If $k = n$ and $M \in \mathbb{B}_\nu$ with $\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}M = n$, then $\nu = n(i, \ell)$ and M is a gr-irreducible $R(n(i, \ell))$ -module, our assertion is trivial when $i \in I^+ \sqcup I^-$, and when $i \in I^0$, it follows from Lemma 3.3. Assume for $1 \leq k < n$, we have $c_L = 0$ for all L with $\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}L > k$. Taking out the terms with $(i, \ell)^k$ -tail in the rest of (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{M: \varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}M=k} c_M \text{Ch}(\Delta_{(i,\ell)^k}M) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.4, we can assume $\Delta_{(i,\ell)k}M \cong K_M \otimes V_M$ for gr-irreducible $K_M \in R(\nu \setminus k(i, \ell))\text{-fMod}$ with $\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}K_M = 0$ and gr-irreducible $V \in R(k(i, \ell))\text{-fMod}$, then (3.9) becomes

$$\sum_{M:\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}M=k} c_M \text{Ch}K_M \otimes \text{Ch}V_M = 0.$$

Note that if $[M] \neq [M']$ in \mathbb{B}_ν , then we have $[K_M] \neq [K_{M'}]$ or $[V_M] \neq [V_{M'}]$.

By the inductive hypothesis, $\text{Ch}K$ ($K \in \mathbb{B}_{\nu \setminus k(i,\ell)}$) are linearly independent, and by Lemma 3.3 if $i \in I^0$, $\text{Ch}V$ ($V \in \mathbb{B}_{ki}$) are linearly independent. It follows that $c_M = 0$ for all M with $\varepsilon_{i,\ell}M = k$. Since each gr-irreducible $R(\nu)$ -modules M has $\varepsilon_{(i,\ell)}M > 0$ for at least one $(i, \ell) \in \mathbb{I}$, the theorem has been proved. \square

We see from the proof that the map $\text{Ch} : G_0(R(\nu)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ is also injective. If we set $\text{ch} = \text{Ch}|_{q=1}$, then by a similar argument, the ungraded characters of elements in \mathbb{B}_ν are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} .

Corollary 3.6. $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} : U^- \rightarrow K_0(R)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ is an isomorphism.

We next consider the surjectivity of $\Gamma : \mathcal{A}U_1^- \rightarrow K_0(R)$.

For $\lambda \vdash n$, we denote by S^λ the irreducible $\mathbb{K}S_n$ -module corresponding to the Young diagram of shape λ . For $\mu \prec \lambda$, we denote by $S^{\lambda/\mu}$ the skew representation of $\mathbb{K}S_n$ corresponding to the skew diagram λ/μ .

Lemma 3.7. [3, Proposition 3.5.5] *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ and (b_1, \dots, b_ℓ) be a composition of n . Then*

$$\text{Res}_{b_1, \dots, b_\ell}^n = \bigoplus (S^{\lambda^{(1)}} \otimes S^{\lambda^{(2)}/\lambda^{(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes S^{\lambda/\lambda^{(\ell-1)}}),$$

where the sum runs over all sequences $\lambda^{(1)} \prec \lambda^{(2)} \prec \dots \prec \lambda^{(\ell)} = \lambda$ such that $|\lambda^{(j)}/\lambda^{(j-1)}| = b_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, \ell$.

Lemma 3.8. [3, Proposition 3.5.12] *Assume $|\lambda/\mu| = k$. The multiplicity of the trivial representation $S^{(k)}$ in $S^{\lambda/\mu}$ is equal to 1 if λ/μ is totally disconnected, 0 otherwise.*

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$. Let \mathbf{c} be a composition of n , which determines a partition $\lambda_{\mathbf{c}} \vdash n$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}}(e_{i,\mathbf{c}} \cdot S^\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_{\mathbf{c}} = \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_{\mathbf{c}} > \lambda, \end{cases}$$

where $>$ is the lexicographical order of partitions.

Proof. The proposition follows from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, using the fact that the Kostka number

$$K_{\lambda, \mathbf{c}} = K_{\lambda, \lambda_{\mathbf{c}}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_{\mathbf{c}} = \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_{\mathbf{c}} > \lambda. \end{cases}$$

□

Note that Lemma 3.3 can be derived directly from the proposition above.

For $i \in I^0$ and $\lambda = (c_1, \dots, c_r) \vdash n$, we set

$$P_{i, \lambda} = P_{i, c_1} \cdots P_{i, c_r} = R(ni) \cdot e_{i, \lambda}.$$

Then $(P_{i, \lambda}, S^\mu) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(e_{i, \lambda} \cdot S^\mu)$ and according to Proposition 3.9, the matrix

$$\{(P_{i, \lambda}, S^\mu)\}_{\lambda, \mu \vdash n}$$

is triangular with all 1s on the diagonal. It follows that each $[P] \in K_0(R(ni))$ can be written as a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combination of $[P_{i, \lambda}]$ for $\lambda \vdash n$.

More generally, we write the set \mathbb{I} as

$$\mathbb{I} = \{(i_1, \ell_1), (i_2, \ell_2), \dots, (i_k, \ell_k), \dots\}.$$

For a gr-irreducible $R(\nu)$ -module M , we let $c_1 = \varepsilon_{(i_1, \ell_1)} M$ and assume $\Delta_{(i_1, \ell_1)c_1} M = M_1 \otimes V_M$ for gr-irreducible M_1 with $\varepsilon_{(i_1, \ell_1)} M_1 = 0$ and gr-irreducible $V_M \in R(c_1(i_1, \ell_1))\text{-Mod}$. If $i_1 \in I^0$, then $V_M = S^{\lambda^{(1)}}$ for some $\lambda^{(1)} \vdash c_1$. So we get a pair $(c_1, \lambda^{(1)})$, where we set $\lambda^{(1)} = 0$ when $i_1 \notin I^0$. Inductively, $c_k = \varepsilon_{(i_k, \ell_k)} M_{k-1}$ and $\Delta_{(i_k, \ell_k)c_k} M_{k-1} = M_k \otimes V_{M_{k-1}}$, and we obtain $(c_k, \lambda^{(k)})$. If we do not get $M_k = 0$ after \mathbb{I} exhausted, we can continue the process from (i_1, ℓ_1) . Therefore, each $b \in \mathbb{B}_\nu$ is assigned by a sequence

$$W_b = (c_1, \lambda^{(1)})(c_2, \lambda^{(2)}) \cdots (c_k, \lambda^{(k)}) \cdots,$$

and we see from Lemma 3.4 that b is uniquely determined by W_b . We set

$$P_{(c_k, \lambda^{(k)})} = \begin{cases} P_{i_k(c_k)} & \text{if } i_k \in I^+, \\ P_{(i_k, \ell_k)c_k} & \text{if } i_k \in I^-, \\ P_{i_k, \lambda^{(k)}} & \text{if } i_k \in I^0, \end{cases}$$

and $P_{W_b} = \cdots P_{(c_k, \lambda^{(k)})} \cdots P_{(c_2, \lambda^{(2)})} P_{(c_1, \lambda^{(1)})}$.

Let $b, b' \in \mathbb{B}_\nu$ with $W_b = (c_1, \lambda^{(1)})(c_2, \lambda^{(2)}) \cdots$ and $W_{b'} = (d_1, \mu^{(1)})(d_2, \mu^{(2)}) \cdots$. We denote $W_b > W_{b'}$ if for some t , $(c_1, \lambda^{(1)}) = (d_1, \mu^{(1)}), \dots, (c_{t-1}, \lambda^{(t-1)}) = (d_{t-1}, \mu^{(t-1)})$ but

$$c_t > d_t \text{ or } c_t = d_t, \lambda^{(t)} > \mu^{(t)}.$$

Proposition 3.10. $\text{HOM}(P_{W_b}, S_{b'}) = 0$ if $b > b'$ and $\text{HOM}(P_{W_b}, S_b) \cong \mathbb{K}$, up to a degree shift.

Proof. For $i \in I^+$, we have $\text{HOM}(P_{i^{(n)}}, V(i^n)) \cong \mathbb{K}$ since $P_{i^{(n)}}$ is the graded projective cover of $V(i^n)$. For $i \in I^-$, $\text{HOM}(R(n(i, \ell)), V((i, \ell)^n)) \cong \mathbb{K}$ as graded vector spaces. The results follow immediately from the Frobenius reciprocity and Proposition 3.9, which deals with the case where $i \in I^0$. \square

By proposition above, each $[P] \in K_0(R(\nu))$ can be written as a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear combination of $[P_{W_b}]$ for $b \in \mathbb{B}_\nu$. Therefore, Γ is surjective.

Theorem 3.11. $\Gamma : \mathcal{A}U_1^- \rightarrow K_0(R)$ is an isomorphism.

For $M \in R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$, let $M^* = \text{HOM}_{\mathbb{K}}(M, \mathbb{K})^\psi$ be the dual module in $R(\nu)\text{-fMod}$ with the action given by

$$(zf)(m) := f(\psi(z)m) \text{ for } z \in R(\nu), f \in \text{HOM}_{\mathbb{K}}(M, \mathbb{K}), m \in M.$$

As proved in [9, Section 3.2], for each gr-irreducible $R(\nu)$ -module S , there is a unique $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(L\{r\})^* \cong L\{r\}$, and the graded projective cover of $L\{r\}$ is stable under the bar-involution $\bar{}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}U^-$ be the \mathcal{A} -subalgebra of U^- generated by $F_i^{(n)}$ for $i \in I^+$, $F_{i\ell}$ for $i \in I^{\leq 0}, \ell \geq 1$. Combining Ψ in (1.2), we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\Phi = \Psi\Gamma^{-1} : K_0(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}U^-.$$

Conjecture 3.12. Under Φ , the bar-invariant indecomposable projective modules of $K_0(R)$ coincides with the canonical basis of $\mathcal{A}U^-$ given in [1].

4. Categorification of irreducible highest weight module for Jordan quiver

Throughout this chapter, we assume that $I = I^0 = \{i\}$ and U is the quantum Borcherds-Bozec associated to I . By [4, Appendix], if we define $\{\alpha_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ inductively:

$$\alpha_p = \nu_p(K_i^{-p} - K_i^p) - \nu_1 K_i \alpha_{p-1} - \nu_2 K_i^2 \alpha_{p-2} - \cdots - \nu_{p-1} K_i^{p-1} \alpha_1,$$

where $\alpha_1 = \nu_1(K_i - K_i^{-1})$, then for any $\ell, t \geq 1$, the equation (1.3) yields

$$(4.1) \quad [E_{i\ell}, F_{it}] = \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{\ell, t\}} \alpha_p F_{i, t-p} E_{i, \ell-p}.$$

Define the functors


$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{i\ell} : R(ni)\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow R((n+\ell)i)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto (R((n+\ell)i)1_{ni} \otimes e_{i,\ell}) \otimes_{R(ni)} M, \\ \mathcal{E}_{i\ell} : R(ni)\text{-Mod} &\rightarrow R((n-\ell)i)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto 1_{(n-\ell)i} \otimes e_{i,\ell} M.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\ell, t \geq 1$. We have the following natural isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{E}_{i\ell}\mathcal{F}_{it} \simeq \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\min\{\ell,t\}} \mathcal{F}_{i,t-p}\mathcal{E}_{i,\ell-p} \otimes Z_p,$$

where Z_p is the algebra of symmetric polynomials in p indeterminates, each of degree $2r_i$.

Proof. We prove the case where $t = \ell$ only, the other cases are similar. For simplicity, we omit the symbol “ i ”. Assume first that $n \geq \ell$ and denote by $D_{n,\ell}$ (resp. $D_{n,\ell}^{-1}$) the set of minimal length left (resp. right) $S_n \times S_\ell$ -coset representatives in $S_{n+\ell}$. Then $D_{n,\ell} \cap D_{n,\ell}^{-1} = \{v_0, \dots, v_\ell\}$ is the set of minimal length $S_n \times S_\ell$ -double coset representatives, where v_k can be expressed graphically



Note that

$$(4.2) \quad 1_n \otimes e_\ell \cdot R(n+\ell) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell = \sum_{u \in D_{n,\ell}} 1_n \otimes e_\ell \cdot u \cdot R(n) \otimes R(\ell) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell.$$

Any $u \in D_{n,\ell}$ can be decomposed into $u = v \otimes v' \cdot v_k$ for some $0 \leq k \leq \ell$, $v \in D_{n-k,k}$ and $v' \in D_{k,\ell-k}$. Since $e_\ell \cdot v' = e_\ell$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned}1_n \otimes e_\ell \cdot R(n+\ell) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \sum_{v \in D_{n-k,k}} 1_n \otimes e_\ell \cdot v \cdot v_k \cdot R(n) \otimes R(\ell) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell \\ &= \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\ell} \sum_{v \in D_{n-k,k}} v \otimes e_\ell \cdot v_k \cdot R(n) \otimes R(k) \otimes R(\ell-k) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(R(n) \cdot 1_{n-k} \otimes e_k) \otimes_{R(n-k)} (1_{n-k} \otimes e_k \cdot R(n)) = \sum_{v \in D_{n-k,k}} v \cdot (1_{n-k} \otimes R(k) e_k) \otimes_{R(n-k)} (1_{n-k} \otimes e_k \cdot R(n)).$$

Since $(1_{n-k} \otimes R(k)e_k) \cdot v_k = v_k \cdot (1_n \otimes R(k)e_k)$ and $v_k \cdot 1_{n-k} \otimes e_k \cdot R(n) = 1_n \otimes e_k \cdot v_k \cdot R(n)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1_n \otimes e_\ell \cdot \left(v \cdot (1_{n-k} \otimes R(k)e_k) \right) \cdot v_k \cdot 1_{n+k} \otimes e_{\ell-k} R(\ell-k) e_{\ell-k} \cdot \left((1_{n-k} \otimes e_k \cdot R(n)) \right) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell \\ &= 1_n \otimes e_\ell \cdot \left(v \cdot v_k \cdot R(n) \otimes R(k)e_k \otimes e_{\ell-k} R(\ell-k) e_{\ell-k} \right) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell. \\ &= v \otimes e_\ell \cdot v_k \cdot R(n) \otimes R(k) \otimes R(\ell-k) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $z \in e_{\ell-k} R(\ell-k) e_{\ell-k}$, the map

$$(R(n) \cdot 1_{n-k} \otimes e_k) \otimes_{R(n-k)} (1_{n-k} \otimes e_k \cdot R(n)) \rightarrow 1_n \otimes e_\ell \cdot R(n+\ell) \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell$$

$$x \otimes y \mapsto 1_n \otimes e_\ell \cdot x \cdot 1_{n+k} \otimes z \cdot y \cdot 1_n \otimes e_\ell$$

is an injective $(R(n), R(n))$ -bimodule homomorphism. Since $e_{\ell-k} R(\ell-k) e_{\ell-k} \cong Z_{\ell-k}$, we have proved that

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_\ell \mathcal{F}_\ell \simeq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\ell} \mathcal{F}_k \mathcal{E}_k \otimes Z_{\ell-k}$$

on $R(n)$. If $n < \ell$, then the direct sum in (4.3) ranging from $k=0$ to n , while the right hand side of (4.4) only makes sense for $k \leq n$. \square

Choose $\Lambda \in P^+$ and set $a = \Lambda(h_i) \geq 0$. We define the cyclotomic algebra $R^\Lambda(n)$ to be the quotient of $R(n)$ by the two sided ideal generated by x_1^a , and form

$$R^\Lambda = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R^\Lambda(n), \quad K_0(R^\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K_0(R^\Lambda(n)).$$

If $a = 0$, then $R^\Lambda = R^\Lambda(0) = \mathbb{K}$ and $V(\Lambda)$ is the one dimensional trivial module by (1.4). So we assume $a > 0$ in the following.

Note that $R^\Lambda(n)$ has a basis $\{x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n} \tau_\omega \mid \omega \in S_n, 0 \leq r_1, \dots, r_n < a\}$. Define the functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{i\ell}^\Lambda &: R^\Lambda(n)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R^\Lambda(n+\ell)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto (R^\Lambda(n+\ell) 1_n \otimes e_\ell) \otimes_{R^\Lambda(n)} M, \\ \mathcal{E}_{i\ell}^\Lambda &: R^\Lambda(n)\text{-Mod} \rightarrow R^\Lambda(n-\ell)\text{-Mod}, \quad M \mapsto 1_{n-\ell} \otimes e_\ell M. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to Lemma 4.1, for $\ell, t \geq 1$, we have the following natural isomorphisms

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{E}_{i\ell}^\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{it}^\Lambda \simeq \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\min\{\ell, t\}} \mathcal{F}_{i, t-p}^\Lambda \mathcal{E}_{i, \ell-p}^\Lambda \otimes Z_p^\Lambda,$$

where $Z_p^\Lambda = (\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_p]/(x_1^a, \dots, x_p^a))^{S_p}$, i.e., the symmetric polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_p such that no x_k^m ($m \geq a$) appears. Thus Z_p^Λ determined by all partitions λ with $\ell(\lambda) \leq p$ and $\lambda_1 \leq a - 1$. We know that the generating function for such partitions is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a + p - 1 \\ p \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(1 - q^a)(1 - q^{a+1}) \cdots (1 - q^{a+p-1})}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^p)},$$

and therefore

$$\mathbf{Dim} Z_p^\Lambda = \frac{(1 - q_i^{2a})(1 - q_i^{2(a+1)}) \cdots (1 - q_i^{2(a+p-1)})}{(1 - q_i^2)(1 - q_i^4) \cdots (1 - q_i^{2p})} := \beta_p.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\nu_k = 1/(1 - q_i^2)(1 - q_i^4) \cdots (1 - q_i^{2k})$ for any $k \geq 1$. Then for any $p \geq 1$,*

$$(4.6) \quad \beta_p = \nu_p(1 - q_i^{2pa}) - \nu_1 q_i^{2a} \beta_{p-1} - \nu_2 q_i^{4a} \beta_{p-2} - \cdots - \nu_{p-1} q_i^{2(p-1)a} \beta_1.$$

Proof. Using the notations

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 - q^{n-1}) \cdots (1 - q^{n-m+1})}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^m)}$$

for $n \geq m \geq 1$ and $(x; q)_n = (1 - x)(1 - xq) \cdots (1 - xq^{n-1})$ for $n \geq 1$. We have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n + 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} = q^m \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (xq^m; q)_{n-m} = \frac{(x; q)_n}{(x; q)_m}.$$

To show the identity in the lemma, it's enough to show the following

$$(1 - q^{pa}) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} q^{ka} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ k \end{bmatrix} (q^a; q)_{p-k},$$

which can be proved easily by an induction on p and using (4.7). \square

Let $\alpha_k = q_i^{-ka} \beta_k$ for all $k \geq 1$. By (4.6), for $p \geq 1$, we have

$$q_i^{-pa} \beta_p = \nu_p(q_i^{-pa} - q_i^{pa}) - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} q_i^{-(p-k)a} \nu_k q_i^{ka} \beta_{p-k}.$$

Thus,

$$\alpha_p = \nu_p(q_i^{-pa} - q_i^{pa}) - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \nu_k q_i^{ka} \alpha_{p-k}.$$

Define the functors $E_{i\ell}^\Lambda, F_{i\ell}^\Lambda, K_i$ on $K_0(R^\Lambda)$ by

$$E_{i\ell}^\Lambda = \mathcal{E}_{i\ell}^\Lambda, \quad F_{i\ell}^\Lambda = q_i^{-\ell a} \mathcal{F}_{i\ell}^\Lambda, \quad K_i = q_i^a.$$

Then (4.5) gives

$$E_{i\ell}^\Lambda F_{it}^\Lambda = \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{\ell,t\}} q_i^{-pa} \beta_p F_{i,t-p}^\Lambda E_{i,\ell-p}^\Lambda = \sum_{p=0}^{\min\{\ell,t\}} \alpha_p F_{i,t-p}^\Lambda E_{i,\ell-p}^\Lambda,$$

where $\alpha_p = \nu_p(K_i^{-p} - K_i^p) - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \nu_k K_i^k \alpha_{p-k}$.

Let $K_0(R^\Lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} = \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} K_0(R^\Lambda)$. Then by (4.1), the $K_0(R^\Lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ is a weight U -modules (the weight spaces are $K_0(R^\Lambda)_{\Lambda - ni} = K_0(R^\Lambda(n))$) with the action of $E_{i\ell}$ (resp. $F_{i\ell}$) by $E_{i\ell}^\Lambda$ (resp. $F_{i\ell}^\Lambda$). The $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -linear map

$$\varphi : K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(R^\Lambda), \quad [P] \mapsto R^\Lambda(n) \otimes_{R(n)} [P],$$

is an isomorphism. For $[P] \in K_0(R(n))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(F_{i\ell}[P]) &= \varphi(\text{Ind}_{n,\ell}^{n+\ell} P \otimes R(\ell)e_\ell) \\ &= R^\Lambda(n+\ell) \otimes_{R(n+\ell)} R(n+\ell) \otimes_{R(n) \otimes R(\ell)} P \otimes R(\ell)e_\ell \\ &= R^\Lambda(n+\ell) \otimes_{R(n) \otimes R(\ell)} P \otimes R(\ell)e_\ell \\ &= (R^\Lambda(n+\ell)1_n \otimes e_\ell) \otimes_{R(n)} P \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{i\ell}^\Lambda \varphi([P]). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that φ is U^- -linear and $K_0(R^\Lambda)$ is generated by 1_Λ , the trivial module over $R^\Lambda(0)$. Hence $K_0(R^\Lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight module $V(\Lambda)$ given in (1.4), which can be identified with U^- as U^- -modules.

REFERENCES

1. T. Bozec, *Quivers with loops and perverse sheaves*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), 773-797.
2. T. Bozec, *Quivers with loops and generalized crystals*, Compositio Math. **152** (2016), 1999-2040.
3. T. Ceccherini-Silberstein, F. Scarabotti and F. Tolli, *Representation theory of the symmetric groups. The Okounkov-Vershik approach, character formulas, and partition algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
4. Z. Fan, S.-J. Kang, Y. R. Kim and B. Tong, *Classical limit of quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), 106502.
5. Z. Fan, S.-J. Kang, Y. R. Kim and B. Tong, *Global bases for quantum Borcherds-Bozec algebras*, Math. Z **301** (2022), 3727-3753.
6. S.-J. Kang, S.-j. Oh, E. Park, *Categorification of Quantum Generalized Kac-Moody Algebras and Crystal Bases*, Internat. J. Math., **23**(11) (2012) 13-88.
7. S.-J. Kang, *Quantum deformations of generalized Kac-Moody algebras and their modules*, J. Algebra **175**(3) (1995) 1041-1066.
8. S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, *Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, Invent. Math. **190**(3) (2012) 699-742.

9. M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13**(14) (2009) 309-347.
10. M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363**(5) (2011) 2685-2700.
11. A. Lauda and M. Vazirani, *Crystals from categorified quantum groups*, Adv. Math. **228**(2) (2011) 803-861.
12. G. Lusztig, *Tight monomials in quantized enveloping algebras*, Quantum deformations of algebras and their representations, ed. A. Joseph et al., Isr. Math. Conf. Proc. **7**, Amer. Math. Soc. 1993, 117-132.
13. R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023.
14. R. Rouquier, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **19**(2) (2012) 359-410.
15. B. Tong and W. Wu, *Quiver Hecke algebras for Borchers-Cartan datum*, Journal of Algebra **630** (2023) 38-55.
16. M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **659** (2011) 67-100.
17. B. Webster, *Knot invariants and higher dimensional representation theory I: diagrammatic and geometric categorification of tensor products*, arXiv:1001.2020, (2010).

KOREA RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND MATHEMATICS, ASAN-SI, CHUNGCHEONGNAM-DO, 31551, KOREA

Email address: soccerkang@hotmail.com

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, HANKUK UNIVERSITY OF FOREIGN STUDIES, SEOUL, 02450, KOREA

Email address: rocky777@hufs.ac.kr

HANKUK UNIVERSITY OF FOREIGN STUDIES, SEOUL, KOREA

Email address: tbl_2018@hufs.ac.kr