

---

# TrustUQA: A Trustful Framework for Unified Structured Data Question Answering

---

**Wen Zhang** Zhejiang University    **Long Jin** Zhejiang University    **Yushan Zhu** Zhejiang University    **Jiaoyan Chen** University of Manchester

**Zhiwei Huang** Zhejiang University    **Junjie Wang** Zhejiang University    **Yin Hua** Zhejiang University    **Lei Liang** Ant Group

**Huajun Chen**  
Zhejiang University

## Abstract

Natural language question answering (QA) over structured data sources such as tables and knowledge graphs (KGs) have been widely investigated, for example with Large Language Models (LLMs). The main solutions include question to formal query parsing and retrieval-based answer generation. However, current methods of the former often suffer from weak generalization, failing to dealing with multiple sources simultaneously, while the later is limited in trustfulness. In this paper, we propose UnifiedTQA, a trustful QA framework that can simultaneously support multiple types of structured data in a unified way. To this end, it adopts an LLM-friendly and unified knowledge representation method called *Condition Graph* (CG), and uses an LLM and demonstration-based two-level method for CG querying. For enhancement, it is also equipped with dynamic demonstration retrieval. We have evaluated UnifiedTQA with 5 benchmarks covering 3 types of structured data. It outperforms 2 existing unified structured data QA methods and in comparison with the baselines that are specific to a data type, it achieves state-of-the-art on 2 of them. Further more, we demonstrates potential of our method for more general QA tasks, QA over mixed structured data and QA across structured data.

## 1 Introduction

Question answering (QA) seeking answers for a natural language question from structured data has attracted increasing attention in the past decade [51, 9], leading to a few directions including QA over tables [50], QA over knowledge graph which represents graph structured data composed of relation facts (i.e., KGQA) [40], QA over temporal KG [25] and so on. A straightforward and widely studied solution is parsing questions to formal queries that can be executed on data storage and reasoning engines (a.k.a. NL2Query). According to the data source types, works are divided into NL2SQL [18] for relational databases, NL2SPARQL [15] for KGs, etc. However, current NL2Query methods are specifically developed for one query language (data type) and cannot be applied to others. This significantly limits their generality and usage in real-world scenarios, especially when it is unknown in which data resource the answer lies in, or the answers rely on data sources of multiple types.

With the development of LLMs and Retrieval-augmented Generation (RAG), another solution, which first retrieves relevant evidences of the question from data sources and then generates the answer, has become more and more popular [11, 1]. By utilizing some (existing) retrieval techniques for different structured data, this solution can lead to more general methods. For example, StructGPT

[11] iteratively retrieves evidences and feeds them into a LLM for answer generation, supporting KG, table and relational database. However, this retrieval and generation-based solution will suffer from some trustfulness issues: (i) the generated answer may be inconsistent with the original structured data due to the hallucination of LLMs [52], the impact of its parametric knowledge [44] and the irrelevant evidences that are retrieved; (ii) a list of evidences will be exposed to the LLM, potentially leaking private data if a third-party LLM is used; (iii) the evidences can provide some plausible citations to the answer but it is hard to provide high quality explanations. In contrast, NL2Query with LLM can mostly avoid the issue of (ii) as only some meta data and prototypes need to be exposed to the LLM to generate the query instead of the answer, and the issue of (iii) since the query and its reasoning steps can provide logically rigorous explanation to the answer. Therefore, in this work, we aim at **unified NL2Query that can support different types of structured data simultaneously**.

There are two desiderata for such a framework. (1) This first desiderata is a general method that can represent diverse structured data. Thus we propose **Condition Graph (CG)** and corresponding techniques for translating tables, KGs and temporal KGs into a CG. (2) The second desiderata is an effective querying method over the CG. Thus we propose a method called **Lwo-layer Function-based CG Query**, which first uses LLMs to write basic query based on the question, and then uses pre-defined rules to transform these LLM queries to execution queries which eventually returns the answer from the CG. The LLM query functions are designed with simple vocabularies that are more understandable by LLMs, and thus this method can get higher accuracy using no fine-tuning but few-shot prompting. We also propose a **dynamic demonstration retrieving** method to further improve the quality of the prompts for higher accuracy.

To evaluate the effectiveness of TrustUQA, we experiment it on 5 benchmarks covering 3 kinds of structured data, including WikiSQL [54] and WTQ [31] for table QA, WebQSP [48] and MetaQA [53] for KG, and CronQuestion [37] for temporal KG. We compare its results with existing RAG-based unified QA methods [11, 1]. Results show that TrustUQA performs better than these RAG-based methods on WikiSQL and WebQSP. It also achieves state-of-the-art on WebQSP and CronQuestions compared to the ad hoc models for one type structured data.

We further demonstrate the generality of TrustUQA by questions whose answers potentially rely on different data sources — tables, KG and temporal KG. It includes two tasks: *QA over mixed structured data* where each answer relies on one of the given data sources but which source it relies on is not specified, and *QA across structured data* where answering the question relies on data from more than one sources. These tasks are close to real-world scenarios, but have not been explored.

In summary, our contributions lie in three aspects: (a) We propose a trustful framework TrustUQA for unified QA over multiple kinds of structured data. (b) We conduct comprehensive experiments over 5 benchmarks covering 3 types of structured data, proving the effectiveness of TrustUQA. (c) We demonstrate the generality of TrustUQA on two practical tasks that have never been explored before — QA over mixed structured data and QA across structured data.

## 2 Related Works

**NL2Query.** NL2Query methods translate questions to queries in formal languages and executes the queries for the answers. Since the query and the query execution procedure are accessible, they are relatively trustful.

For table QA, the formal query language is SQL, and the task is also known as NL2SQL. NL2SQL methods include schema-based approaches which build the query based on the schema of the database and the data indexes [49, 26], parsing-based approaches which parse the question by understanding them through grammatical structures [42, 46], and neural-machine-translation-based approaches which models the NL2SQL as a language translation problem [6, 41]. Pre-trained language models (PLMs) based methods [33, 19] fine-tune PLMs on annotated training sets for generating accurate SQL queries. ZeroNL2SQL [5] uses a fine-tuned PLM to generate a SQL query sketch and then applies LLMs to complete the query. DIN-SQL [32] breaks down the SQL generation problem into sub-problems and uses LLMs to address each of them. We refer [17] for a of NL2SQL methods.

For KGQA, the formal query language is SPARQL, and the task is also called NL2SPARQL. The methods usually include three steps: question understanding, linking and filtering [29]. In question understanding, some works [10, 16] use natural language parsers such as dependency parsing while the other works [29, 16] use sequence to sequence generation to get the graph patterns. The linking step maps the mentions in questions to the entities and relations in the KG. Mapping dictionary [39],




Figure 1: Overview of the TrustUQA framework

indexing system [35, 3], embedding generation system [43] are usually applied. In filtering step, the type constraint is applied to filter answers. KB-BINDER [20] uses a LLM to generate a draft of the question with few-shot prompting, and then binds the mentions to entities and relations in the KG.

These NL2Query methods are developed towards one specific query language and sometimes even for one specific dataset. They lack generality for supporting different types of structured data.

**NL2Answer.** NL2Answer methods directly generate the answer, skipping generating and executing structured queries. To achieve this, some works [40] regard the KGQA task as multi-hop reasoning along with the relations until reaching the answer. Some of them [13, 40] train a text encoder to encode the question and a reasoning module such as a graph neural network to perform multi-hop reasoning. Some [12] use a unified model for both text encoder and reasoning. Since these models need to be trained, they are trained for specific datasets. Some works propose more general pre-training solutions that work across datasets. For example, TableGPT [50] is a unified fine-tuned framework to enable LLMs to understand and operate on tables following natural languages. It pre-trains a universal table encoder and prompt-tuning the LLM with table embedding as input over a vast corpus. These methods also only work for specific types of structured data.

**Unified QA.** With LLMs, some unified QA frameworks that can support different types of structured data have been proposed. StructGPT [11] is an iterative reading-then-reasoning framework. In the reading step, it collects evidence from the structured data through specialized functions for different kinds of structured data. In the reasoning step, it uses LLMs to generate the answer or the next reasoning step based on the evidence from the reading step. Readi [1] is a reasoning-path-editing framework. Given a question, it first generates a reasoning path, and edits the path according to the feedback from instantiating the path over the structured data. It collects the KG evidence based on the edited reasoning paths and uses LLMs to generate the answer based on the evidence and the question. Though these two methods could be applied to different types of structured data, they are not unified enough, because the definition of functions in StructGPT and reasoning paths in Readi are specific to structured data types. More importantly, due to the necessary of inputting evidence data to the LLMs and the hallucination problem of LLMs, they are not as trustful as NL2Query methods.

### 3 Methodology

Our approach to achieve unified and trustful structured data QA relies on a general structured data representation whose queries can be accurately generated by LLMs according to the questions. We propose Condition Graph (CG), a general and expressive representation that can represent simple relationships, complex temporal facts, and rules. With CG, we designed execution query functions, for example *search\_node()* and *search\_condition()*, as compositions of an execution query over CG. As directly writing execution queries by LLMs is challenging due to the complexity of CG, we propose a querying method called *Two-layer Function-based CG Query*. In the first layer, it uses LLMs to write simplified functions like *get\_information()*, whose vocabularies (head entity, tail entity, relation, key and value) are general and more understandable to LLMs, and applies such LLM functions for composing LLM queries. In the second layer, it translates each LLM query into an execution query according to predefined rules. To augment LLMs for writing LLM queries, we use few-shot prompting with a few demonstrations of query and LLM queries pairs, and propose a dynamic demonstration retriever, since the optimal demonstration varies with each question.

Our framework TrustUQA consists of 3 main modules, as shown in Figure 1: (1) Condition Graph Translator ( $T_{cg}$ ) transforming input structured data into a CG, (2) Query Translator ( $T_q$ ) translating LLM queries to execution queries over the CG, (3) Dynamic Demonstration Retriever ( $R$ ) selecting the most similar examples from the training data as demonstrations for writing LLM queries.

### 3.1 Condition Graph Translator

Given structured data  $\mathcal{D}_s$ ,  $T_{cg}$  translates it into a condition graph which is formally defined below.

**Definition 1. Condition Graph** is a labeled directed graph represented as  $\mathcal{CG} = \{\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{T}\}$ .  $\mathcal{N}$  is the set of nodes in CG. Each node is combined with a string to represent the semantic meaning, which could be entities such as *Earth*, relationships such as *has friends*, properties such as *time*, or numerical values such as *2024*.  $\mathcal{T} = \{(node_1, node_2, condition) | node_1 \in \mathcal{N}, node_2 \in \mathcal{N}\}$  is a collection of condition triples representing an edge that  $node_1$  is connected to  $node_2$  under the condition, where  $condition = [node_a, node_b, \dots]$  is a list of nodes in  $\mathcal{N}$ . The condition can be empty ( $[]$ ) indicating that  $node_1$  is connected to  $node_2$  without any conditions.

Condition graph is expressive. The triple in the condition graph could represent (1) simple relationship such as (*Born In*, *Ulm*, [*Albert Einstein*]), (2) complex facts such as (*date*, *14 March 1879*, [*Albert Einstein*, *Born In*, *Ulm*]), (3) common rules such as (*Person*, *Has Parents*,  $[]$ ), meaning that each person has parents.

Condition graph is a unified representation. Next, we introduce how to translate three typical structured data — tables, KGs, and temporal KGs, into a condition graph following different rules.

**Translating Tables.** A table as  $\mathcal{D}_s$  is represented as  $Table = \{R, C, V\}$  which contains  $m$  rows  $R = \{row_i\}_{i=1}^m$  and  $n$  columns  $C = \{col_j\}_{j=1}^n$ . The table header  $row_1$  records the semantic meaning of each column. Starting from the second row, each row is a record.  $V = \{v_{i,j} | i \in [1, m], j \in [1, n]\}$  represents the cell values of the table. For example, a table with *name | born in city | Time* as the header and *Albert Einstein | Ulm | 14 March 1879* as the row. During transformation, the translator  $T_{cg}$  first inserts a column  $c_0$  in front of the first column that  $C := \{c_0\} \cup C$ . The values of the inserted column cells are the order of the corresponding row except the header, i.e. for the  $i$ th row, the inserted value is  $[line\_i - 1]$ . For example, after insertion, the previous example table becomes *name | born in city | Time* as the header and  $[line\_1] | Albert Einstein | Ulm | 14 March 1879$  as the row. Starting from the second row and the second column, the translator will generate two condition triples for each cell. For example, for  $v_{2,2} = Albert Einstein$  in the example table, there will be ( $[line\_1]$ , *name*,  $[]$ ) represents the entity  $[line\_1]$  has name, and (*name*, *Albert Einstein*,  $[line\_1]$ ) represents the name of entity  $[line\_1]$  is Albert Einstein. Formally, after translation,  $\mathcal{D}_{cg}^{table} = \{\mathcal{N}_{table}, \mathcal{T}_{table}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_{table} = V \cup \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{table} = \{([line\_i - 1], v_{1,j}, []), (v_{1,j}, v_{i,j}, [line\_i - 1]) | i \in [2, m], j \in [2, n + 1]\}$ .

**Translating Knowledge Graph.** A KG as  $\mathcal{D}_s$  is represented as  $\mathcal{KG} = \{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{F}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are the set of entities, relations and facts.  $\mathcal{F} = \{(h, r, t) | \{h, t\} \in \mathcal{E}, r \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is a set of triples representing the relations between entities. Translator  $T_{cg}$  transforms a  $\mathcal{KG}$  by generating two condition triples for each knowledge graph fact. For example, given a triple (*Albert Einstein*, *born in city*, *Ulm*), one condition triple (*Albert Einstein*, *born in*,  $[]$ ) denotes Albert Einstein has the property born in no matter what is the value, another condition triple (*born In*, *Ulm*, [*Albert Einstein*]) means if it is for Albert Einstein, the value of *born in* is Ulm. Thus after translation,  $\mathcal{D}_{cg}^{kg} = \{\mathcal{N}_{kg}, \mathcal{T}_{kg}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_{kg} = \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{R}$ , and  $\mathcal{T}_{kg} = \{(h, r, []), (r, t, [h]) | (h, r, t) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

**Translating Temporal Knowledge Graph.** A temporal KG as the  $\mathcal{D}_s$  is represented as  $\mathcal{TKG} = \{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}, \tau, \mathcal{Q}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\tau$  are the entity, relation, and time sets, and  $\mathcal{Q} = \{(h, r, t, \tau_s, \tau_e)\}$  is the quintuple set where  $h, t \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , and  $\tau_s, \tau_e \in \tau$  denote the start time and end time of the fact  $(h, r, t)$ , respectively. After translation,  $\mathcal{T}_{tkg}$  collects all condition triples translated from each TKG triple. Specifically, for each  $(h, r, t, \tau_s, \tau_e)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , following condition triples are generated  $(h, r, [])$ ,  $(r, t, [h])$ , (*start time*,  $\tau_s$ ,  $[h, r, t]$ ), (*end time*,  $\tau_e$ ,  $[h, r, t]$ ),  $\{(time, \tau', [h, r, t]) | \tau' \in \{\tau_s, \tau_s + 1, \tau_s + 2, \dots, \tau_e\}\}$ , where *start time*, *end time* and *time* are three built-in elements introduced during translation and  $\tau'$  are the integer time stamps between the start time and the end time. Thus after translation,  $\mathcal{D}_{cg}^{tkg} = \{\mathcal{N}_{tkg}, \mathcal{T}_{tkg}\}$ .  $\mathcal{N}_{tkg} = \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{R} \cup \tau \cup \{\text{start time}, \text{end time}, \text{time}\} \cup \{\tau'\}$ .

### 3.2 Query Translator

The query translator  $T_Q$  translates the LLM query  $Q_{llm}$  into the execution query  $Q_{exe}$  that can be executed over the condition graph. Next, we introduce the LLM query  $Q_{llm}$ , the execution query  $Q_{exe}$ , and how to translate  $Q_{llm}$  to  $Q_{exe}$ .

**LLM Query  $Q_{llm}$ .** The LLM query is the query to be generated by an LLM corresponding to the question. LLMs know the vocabularies of head entity, relation, tail entity from KGs, as well as key, value used in tables and property graphs well, because they have been trained on such data. Thus we design the following *searching function* to search information from the graph:

$$get\_information(head\_entity, relation, tail\_entity, key, value) \quad (1)$$

where the variables  $head\_entity$ ,  $relation$ ,  $tail\_entity$ ,  $key$  and  $value$  are set to  $None$  by default. This function is able to represent complex queries. For example,  $get\_information(head\_entity = None, relation = Won, tail\_entity = Nobel\ Prize, key = Year, value > 2000)$  means searching entities that won Nobel Prize after 2000.  $get\_information(head\_entity = Albert\ Einstein, relation = Won, tail\_entity = Nobel\ Prize, key = Year, value = None)$  means searching the year that Albert Einstein won the Nobel Prize.

Apart from  $get\_information()$ , we also design a set of *reasoning functions* as follows:

- Set operations:  $set\_union(set_1, set_2)$ ,  $set\_intersection(set_1, set_2)$ ,  $set\_difference(set_1, set_2)$ ,  $set\_negation(set_1, set_2)$ , and  $keep(set, value)$ <sup>1</sup>
- Simple calculations:  $mean()$ ,  $max()$ ,  $min()$ ,  $count()$ .

With these functions, multiple (nested) searching and reasoning steps can be expressed by LLM queries.

**Execution Query  $Q_{exe}$ .** The execution query is the query executed over the CG data, and is composed of a set of *execution query functions* which include *searching functions* and *reasoning functions*. The reasoning functions are the same as the LLM reasoning functions. The search functions are used to get node or condition information from the CG following some constrains:

- $search\_node(node_1, condition, scope)$ : return  $node_2$  of the condition triple  $(node_1, ?, [condition])$  from the condition triple set denoted as  $scope$ .  $scope = all$  by default means all the condition triples in  $\mathcal{D}_{cg}$ ;  $condition = None$  means no conditions. For example  $search\_node(node_1 = Born\ In, condition = Albert\ Einstein, scope = all)$  means getting the born-in information of Albert Einstein based on all condition triples.
- $search\_condition(node_1, node_2\_value, op)$ : return  $condition$  in the condition triples  $(node_1, node_2, ?)$  such that  $node_2$  satisfies the operation  $op$  with respect to  $node_2\_value$ . For example, if  $op$  is '>', the function return  $condition$  where  $node_2 > node_2\_value$ . Specifically,  $search\_condition(node_1 = Born, node_2\_value = 2020, op = '>')$  means searching entities borning after 2020. To determine if the  $op$  constrain meets,  $compare(op, val_1, val_2)$  will be called.
- $compare(op, val_1, val_2)$ : return  $true$  if  $val_1 \{op\} val_2$ , otherwise return  $false$ , where  $op$  is one of the comparison symbols  $\{>, <, =, \geq, \leq\}$ . For example,  $compare(op = '>', val_1 = 2024, val_2 = 2020)$  will return  $true$  because  $2024 > 2020$ .

Based on these functions, complex execution queries can be expressed. Given  $\mathcal{D}_{cg}$  and  $Q_{exe}$ , the query executor  $Exe$  executes  $Q_{exe}$  over  $\mathcal{D}_{cg}$  to get the answer. If the execution query follows the definition in Sec 3.2, it can be automatically executed. However, there are cases that the execution functions are illegal. For such cases,  $Exe$  calls an *LLM function* to use LLM to infer the output. Specifically, we include the function name and the function parameters in the prompt input to the LLM to generate the output. The LLM function prompt is shown in Appendix D.

**Translating  $Q_{llm}$  to  $Q_{exe}$ .** Given the  $Q_{llm}$ , there are two steps for  $T_Q$  to translate it to  $Q_{exe}$ . (1) Mapping the variable values in the  $Q_{llm}$  to the nodes in the  $\mathcal{D}_{cg}$ . To achieve this, we use a dense text encoder  $E$ , such as SentenceBert [34], to encode the nodes and variable values into vectors and map each value to the most similar node. We replace the text of value with the text of the

<sup>1</sup>An example is  $keep(set = \{1, 2, 3\}, value < 2)$

Table 1: Rules for translating LLM query functions to execution query functions, where  $gi$ ,  $h$ ,  $r$ ,  $t$ ,  $k$ ,  $v$  denote *get\_information*, *head\_entity*, *relation*, *tail\_entity*, *key*, and *value*.  $sn$ ,  $sc$ ,  $n1$ ,  $n2$ ,  $c$ , and  $s$  denote *search\_node*, *search\_condition*,  $node_1$ ,  $node_2$ , *condition* and *scope*. For the parameters  $t$  and  $v$  of *get\_information*,  $op$  is one of  $\{>, <, =, \geq, \leq\}$  and is “=” in the table as an example.

LLM Query Function	Execution Query Function // Interpretation
$gi(h = H)$	$sn(n1 = H)$ // return the relations and keys that the head entity $H$ has
$gi(r = R)$	$sn(n1 = R)$ // return the tail entities under relation $R$
$gi(k = K)$	$sn(n1 = K)$ // return the values under key $K$
$gi(h = H, r = R)$	$sn(n1 = R, c = H)$ // return the tail entities of head entity $H$ under relation $R$
$gi(h = H, k = K)$	$sn(n1 = K, c = H)$ // return the values of head entity $H$ under key $K$
$gi(r = R, t = "T")$	$sc(n1 = R, n2 = T, op = "=")$ // return the head entities whose tail entity is $T$ under relation $R$
$gi(k = K, v = "V")$	$sc(n1 = K, n2 = V, op = "=")$ // return the head entities whose value is $V$ under key $K$
$gi(r = R, t = "T, k = K, v = "V)$	$output\_of\_query_1 = sc(n1 = R, n2 = T, op = "=")$ // return the head entities whose tail entity is $T$ under relation $R$ and value is $V$ $set\_interaction(set1 = output\_of\_query_1, set2 = output\_of\_query_2)$ // is $T$ under relation $R$ and value is $V$ $set\_interaction(set1 = output\_of\_query_1, set2 = output\_of\_query_2)$ // under key $K$
$gi(r = R, t = "T, k = K)$	$output\_of\_query_1 = sc(n1 = R, n2 = T, op = "=")$ // return the value of the key $K$ $sn(n1 = K, s = output\_of\_query_1)$ // of the head entity whose tail entity is $T$ under relation $R$
$gi(r = R, k = K, v = "V)$	$output\_of\_query_1 = sc(n1 = K, n2 = V, op = "=")$ // return the tail entity of the relation $R$ $sn(n1 = R, s = output\_of\_query_1)$ // of the head entity whose value is $V$ under key $K$

mapped node in the function, resulting  $Q'_{llm}$ . (2) Translating the  $Q'_{llm}$  to  $Q_{exe}$  following a fixed set of rules. We summarize the translation rules in Table 1. There is a chance that the generated LLM queries are composed of undefined LLM query functions. For example, LLM might generate *compare(A's score, B's score)* for question: *For A and B, who has a larger score?*. For such LLM query, the translator  $T_Q$  keeps the query as it is during translation.

### 3.3 Dynamic Demonstration Retriever

Given a question  $q$ , we use an LLM to generate the LLM query, denoted as  $Q_{llm} = LLM(q, p_q)$  where  $p_q$  is another input acting as the question-specific prompt.  $p_q$  includes a few question-query examples, called demonstrations. The initial demonstrations are manually crafted with a focus on representativeness and diversity, shown in Appendix C.

However, the optimal demonstrations for different questions are different. For example, for question “What is the most common language in Norway?”, the LLM query of the question “What is the major language spoken in Canada?” is more informative than “Where the queen of Denmark lives?”. Thus we propose a dynamic demonstration retriever to retrieve  $k$  most similar questions of  $q$  from the training dataset  $D_{train} = \{(q_{train}, a_{train})\}$  for the demonstrations. Specifically, given a question  $q$ , we use a dense text encoder  $E$  to encode  $q$  and each training question  $q_{train}$  into a vector. Then we calculate the similarity of the question vectors and select the  $m$  most similar training questions (denoted as  $\mathcal{S}$ ) where  $m > k$ . Then we iteratively generate the LLM query  $Q_{llm}^{q_i} = LLM(q_i, p_{q_i})$  from the most to the least similar  $q_i \in \mathcal{S}$ . If the result from  $Exe(T_Q(Q_{llm}^{q_i}), \mathcal{D}_{cg})$  is equal to the labeled answer  $a_{train}$ , we regard  $(q_i, Q_{llm}^{q_i})$  as a question-query pair demonstration source. We repeat this step until we have collected  $k$  demonstrations. If  $k$  demonstrations are not collected, we supplement the remaining ones from the initial demonstrations.

## 4 Experiments

We adopt 3 types of structured data: table with the WikiSQL [54] and WTQ [31] datasets, KG with the WebQuestionsSP(WebQSP) [48] and MetaQA [53] datasets, and temporal KG with the Cron-Questions [37] dataset. The statistics of these datasets are shown in Table 7 in the Appendix B.

We use GPT-3.5 (gpt-3.5-turbo-0613) as the LLM with self-consistency strategy of 5 times, and SentenceBert [34] as the dense text encoder. If the answer is “None” due to mismatched entity-relation pairs and key-value inconsistencies etc., we implement the retry mechanism with 3 times trials. We set the number of retrieves  $m = 15$  and the number of demonstrations  $k = 8$ .

### 4.1 Table QA Experiment

**Experiment Setting** We adopt denotation accuracy [11] to assess whether the predicted answer matches the labeled answer based on set-level equivalence. We write 8 initial demonstrations for both WTQ and WikiSQL. We add the table’s column names and one randomly selected record under each

Table 2: Denotation accuracy of Table QA.

Method	WikiSQL	WTQ
Data Type Specific Models		
MAPO [21]	72.6	43.8
TAPAS [8]	83.6	48.8
UnifiedSKG [45]	86.0	49.3
TAPEX [22]	<b>89.5</b>	57.5
DATER [47]	-	<b>65.9</b>
Unified Models (with GPT3.5 as the LLM)		
StructGPT [11]	65.6	52.2
Readi [1]	66.2	<b>66.7</b>
<b>TrustUQA(ours)</b>	<b>85.9</b>	44.2

column in a linearized format behind the question. The initial demonstrations and prompts are shown in Appendix C.1 and Appendix C.2.

**Result Analysis** As shown in Table 2, on WikiSQL, compared to models specifically developed for table QA, TrustUQA surpasses MAPO and TAPAS and approaches UnifiedSKG with an accuracy of 85.9%. Compared to unified models, it achieves a nearly 20% improvement.

On WTQ dataset, TrustUQA achieves an accuracy of 44.2%, surpassing MAPO. However, there remains a gap compared to the other methods. We analyze the cases and summarise three reasons for this. Firstly, some questions have more than one correct answer, like “name a player that had more than 5 league goals but no other goals”, but only one is included in the labeled answer. Secondly, some tables are presented in a non-standard format, like table for “how many countries won a gold medal” where the last row of the table is the total statistics for all previous rows. Thirdly, there are limitations of TrustUQA: *Number of Retrieved Alignments*: For the question “What are the number of times a race was held in August?” the term “August” could refer to either “August 1st” or “August 4th”, but the aligner only retrieves one instance. *Understanding Complex Data*: For instance, determining the winning team under the score of 2-10.

## 4.2 Knowledge Graph QA

**Experiment Setting** For MetaQA, we construct 13, 15, and 11 demonstrations for 1-hop, 2-hop, and 3-hop, respectively. The instruction of the prompt includes a description of CG data and a list of relations for the LLM to choose when generating queries. The initial demonstrations and prompts are shown in Appendix C.4.

For WebQSP, we utilize a processed version of the official WebQSP Dataset release<sup>2</sup>. For each question, a relevant subset of the KG (a set of triples) is retrieved from Freebase. Some relations and entities in WebQSP represented by IDs without entity names lack clear semantic meaning. Thus we divide the questions in WebQSP into two types, questions regarding semantic-clear and semantic-unclear relations. A relation is regarded as semantic-clear if there are head entity and the tail entity in some of its associated triples that have names in natural language. Otherwise, it is deemed a semantic-unclear relation. We conduct separate experiments for each type and provide the overall results. The initial demonstrations include topic entity, first step relations (relations have topic entity) and second step relations for WebQSP. More details are shown in Appendix C.3.




Figure 2: The frequency of numbers of answers labeled and answers predicted by our method on MetaQA.

comparison between predicted and labeled answers (last row in Table 3), where predicted answers are considered correct if they include all labeled answers. TrustUQA achieves over 99.9% accuracy across all three types of questions. Figure 2 shows the frequency of numbers of answers predicted by TrustUQA and answers labeled. It shows the two frequency distributions are nearly identical, and

Table 3: Hit@1 results of KG QA. Digits with \* are set comparison accuracy.

Methods	MetaQA			WebQSP
	1 hop	2 hops	3 hops	
Data Type Specific Models				
KV-Mem [28]	96.2	82.7	48.9	46.7
GraftNet [40]	97.0	94.8	77.7	66.4
EmbedKGQA [36]	<b>97.5</b>	98.8	94.8	66.6
NSM [7]	97.1	<b>99.9</b>	98.9	68.7
UniKGQA [13]	<b>97.5</b>	99.0	99.1	<b>75.1</b>
Davinvi-003 [30]	52.1	25.3	42.5	48.3
KB-BINDER [20]	93.5	99.6	96.4	-
KB-BINDER-R [20]	92.9	<b>99.9</b>	<b>99.5</b>	-
Unified Models (with GPT3.5 as the LLM)				
StructGPT [11]	97.1	97.3	87.0	69.6
Readi [1]	<b>98.4</b>	<b>99.9</b>	<b>99.4</b>	74.3
<b>TrustUQA(ours)</b>	97.1	97.9	98.4	<b>81.8</b>
	99.94*	99.99*	99.9*	

**Result Analysis** We report the Hit@1 results for KG QA in Table 3. For MetaQA, TrustUQA performs competitively in comparison with existing unified methods.

During our experiments, we discover name ambiguity issues in MetaQA. For instance, two movies might share the same name, making it unclear which one is referenced in a question. Consequently, the gold answer might only represent a subset of the true answers.

Based on this analysis, higher Hit@1 results may not indicate better QA performance on MetaQA, especially when the Hit@1 results exceed 97%, due to the incompleteness of labeled answers. Therefore, we report the accuracy of set

<sup>2</sup><https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/kgqa/webqsp.zip>

thus we can conclude the TrustUQA performs perfectly on MetaQA and this is not due to giving a large number of answers.

For WebQSP, TrustUQA achieves a Hit@1 score of 81.8%, which surpasses all the data type specific and unified methods. Notably, we observe a significant difference between the results of questions with semantic-clear and -unclear relations, which are 90.6% and 79.6% respectively. Thus proper relation and entity naming in the question is important for understanding its meaning by the LLM.

### 4.3 Temporal Knowledge Graph QA

**Experiment Setting** We use Hits@1 for evaluation. We design 9 demonstrations, each including the question, relation, and annotation. Entities that are not originally presented in the annotation but exist in the entity list are appended at the end. We use the question and answer type of each question as metadata during retrieving. The initial demonstrations and prompts are shown in Appendix C.5.

**Result Analysis** As Table 4 shows, TrustUQA is the only unified model for this task and achieves better performance than all the baselines. During the experiments, some questions are annotated by negative gold answers. For example, for the question ‘‘Who was the president before *Gaspard*?’’ where *Gaspard* was president from 1857-1868, *Alfred* be the president for 1852-1870 is included in the gold answer but *Charles* for 1848-1869 is not. We think both *Alfred* and *Charles* should be the answer. Since TrustUQA and LGQA could achieve high performance on CronQuestion, more challenging QA datasets about temporal knowledge are expected to be created.

Table 4: Hits@1 of temporal KG QA on CronQuestion.

Methods	All	Question Type		Answer Type	
		Com	Sim	Ent	Tim
Data Type Specific Models					
BERT [2]	24.3	23.9	24.9	27.7	17.9
RoBERTa [23]	22.5	21.7	23.7	25.1	17.7
EmbedKGQA [36]	28.8	28.6	29.0	41.1	05.7
EaE [4]	28.8	25.7	32.9	31.8	23.1
CronKGQA [37]	64.7	39.2	98.7	69.9	54.9
TempoQR-Soft [27]	79.9	65.5	99.0	87.6	65.3
TempoQR-Hard [27]	91.8	86.4	99.0	92.6	90.3
TSQA [38]	83.1	71.3	98.7	82.9	83.6
TMA [24]	78.4	63.2	98.7	79.2	74.3
CTRN [14]	92.0	86.9	99.0	92.1	91.7
LGQA [25]	96.9	94.5	99.2	96.2	96.6
Unified Models (with GPT3.5 as the LLM)					
<b>TrustUQA(ours)</b>	<b>97.2</b>	<b>95.4</b>	<b>99.5</b>	<b>96.1</b>	<b>99.1</b>

### 4.4 Model Analysis




Figure 3: Error cases of TrustUQA.

Table 5: The calling rate and results of the LLM function.

Datasets	Calling Rate	Result
WikiSQL	4.0%	38.9%
WTQ	30.7%	11.4%
MetaQA	<1%	0.0%
WQSP	<1%	2.2%
CronQuestion	2.0%	20.4%

still generates wrong answers.

**Calling Rate and Result of LLM function.** The results refer to denotation accuracy for WikiSQL and WTQ and Hit@1 for the others. As shown in Table 5, we observe the following: 1) The overall usage is low. Except for WTQ, the usage rates under each dataset are generally low, with most






Figure 4: Case study of TrustUQA for QA across structured data. Above is the TrustUQA framework and below is the logical path in cross structure data.

being less than 1%. The higher call rate in WTQ is mainly because there are questions the designed functions struggle to address, such as questions like ‘who gets more score, A or B?’ and so on. 2) *The overall accuracy is low.* Compared to the overall experimental results on each dataset, the results of the LLM function are consistently lower. Thus the calling the execution functions we design in TrustUQA is more trustful. Analysis with GPT4 as the LLM can be found in Appendix E.

#### 4.5 Potentials of TrustUQA

**QA over Mixed Structured Data** In this task, each question relies on one of the mixed multiple given data sources but which data source it relies on is not specified, which we call *mixed data* ( $D_{mixed}$ ) + *answer source unknown* ( $S_{unknown}$ ) setting.

In contrast, the setting of the evaluation tasks in Section 4.1 - 4.3 is *unmixed data* ( $D_{unmixed}$ ) + *answer source known* ( $S_{known}$ ).

To simulate this scenario, we randomly extract 100 data entries from each of WikiSQL, WTQ, MetaQA-1hop, WebQSP, and CronQuestion, and experiment on these 500 test questions. During experiments, for  $D_{mix}$  setting, we translate data from 5 sources into CG form and store them together, and we store them independently for  $D_{unmixed}$  setting. For  $S_{unknown}$  setting, we use a unified prompt with the demonstration’s format only including the question, and for  $S_{known}$  setting, we use the same prompt for each data source as in the previous experiments. Results are shown in Table 6, in which we report the results using the same evaluation metric on questions from each dataset. The results in the first-row show that TrustUQA could answer questions for different data sources and thus has the potential for QA over mixed structured data. But compared to the original results, i.e. in the  $D_{unmixed} + D_{known}$  setting, the drop occurs because the number of candidates for node-value alignment is significantly larger. Comparing the last two rows, we observe a significant performance drop. This is because the results are positively correlated with the dynamic demonstration retrieval accuracy, whether the dynamic demonstrations are retrieved from the correct data source, as shown in the second row in Table 6. We refer the reader to Appendix F.1 for more details of this experiment.

Table 6: Results of QA over mixed structured data with TrustUQA.

	WikiSQL	WTQ	MetaQA	WebQSP	CronQ.
$D_{mix} + S_{unknown}$	56.0	8.0	93.0	37.0	72.0
dynamic demo. Acc	96%	42%	100%	51%	85%
$D_{unmixed} + S_{unknown}$	79.0	25.0	97.0	46.0	84.0
$D_{unmixed} + S_{known}$	85.0	42.0	99.0	84.0	95.0

**QA across Structured Data** In this task, questions can be answered by relying on more than one data source. We construct a case from 3 types of structure datasets: WikiSQL, MetaQA and CronQuestion. The case is shown in Figure 4. For question *When does a director nominated for the 11th Korea Musical Awards work win the Chlotrudis Award for Best Actor?*,  $Q_{exe}$  first gets *Hedwig and the Angry Inch* from a table in WikiSQL, then gets *John Cameron Mitchell* from the KG in MetaQA, and finally gets the correct answer *2002* from the temporal KG in CronQuestion. This case shows the potential of TrustUQA for QA across different types of structured data. We refer the reader to Appendix F.2 for more details of this experiment.

## 5 Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce a trustful framework for unified structured data QA, called TrustUQA, based on a novel and general data representation method named Condition Graph. Our method is interpretable as the LLM query and execution query are human-readable. We experimentally prove the effectiveness of our method on 3 types of structured data and show the potential of dealing with more general and challenging scenarios. In the future, we will explore QA over mixed structured data and QA across structured data, both of which are more challenging but closer to real applications.

## References

- [1] Sitao Cheng, Ziyuan Zhuang, Yong Xu, Fangkai Yang, Chaoyun Zhang, Xiaoting Qin, Xiang Huang, Ling Chen, Qingwei Lin, Dongmei Zhang, Saravan Rajmohan, and Qi Zhang. Call me when necessary: LLMs can efficiently and faithfully reason over structured environments. *CoRR*, abs/2403.08593, 2024.
- [2] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. BERT: pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *NAACL-HLT (1)*, 2019.
- [3] Mohnish Dubey, Debayan Banerjee, Debanjan Chaudhuri, and Jens Lehmann. EARL: joint entity and relation linking for question answering over knowledge graphs. In *ISWC (1)*, 2018.
- [4] Thibault Févry, Livio Baldini Soares, Nicholas FitzGerald, Eunsol Choi, and Tom Kwiatkowski. Entities as experts: Sparse memory access with entity supervision. In *EMNLP (1)*, 2020.
- [5] Zihui Gu, Ju Fan, Nan Tang, Songyue Zhang, Yuxin Zhang, Zui Chen, Lei Cao, Guoliang Li, Sam Madden, and Xiaoyong Du. Interleaving pre-trained language models and large language models for zero-shot NL2SQL generation. *CoRR*, abs/2306.08891, 2023.
- [6] Jiaqi Guo, Zecheng Zhan, Yan Gao, Yan Xiao, Jian-Guang Lou, Ting Liu, and Dongmei Zhang. Towards complex text-to-sql in cross-domain database with intermediate representation. In *ACL (1)*, 2019.
- [7] Gaole He, Yunshi Lan, Jing Jiang, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Improving multi-hop knowledge base question answering by learning intermediate supervision signals. In *WSDM*, pages 553–561. ACM, 2021.
- [8] Jonathan Herzig, Pawel Krzysztof Nowak, Thomas Müller, Francesco Piccinno, and Julian Martin Eisenschlos. Tapas: Weakly supervised table parsing via pre-training. In *ACL*, 2020.
- [9] Nan Hu, Yike Wu, Guilin Qi, Dehai Min, Jiaoyan Chen, Jeff Z. Pan, and Zafar Ali. An empirical study of pre-trained language models in simple knowledge graph question answering. *World Wide Web (WWW)*, 26(5):2855–2886, 2023.
- [10] Sen Hu, Lei Zou, Jeffrey Xu Yu, Haixun Wang, and Dongyan Zhao. Answering natural language questions by subgraph matching over knowledge graphs. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, 30(5): 824–837, 2018.
- [11] Jinhao Jiang, Kun Zhou, Zican Dong, Keming Ye, Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Structgpt: A general framework for large language model to reason over structured data. In *EMNLP*, 2023.
- [12] Jinhao Jiang, Kun Zhou, Wayne Xin Zhao, Yaliang Li, and Ji-Rong Wen. Reasoninglm: Enabling structural subgraph reasoning in pre-trained language models for question answering over knowledge graph. In *EMNLP*, 2023.
- [13] Jinhao Jiang, Kun Zhou, Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Unikgqa: Unified retrieval and reasoning for solving multi-hop question answering over knowledge graph. In *ICLR*. OpenReview.net, 2023.
- [14] Songlin Jiao, Zhenfang Zhu, Wenqing Wu, Zicheng Zuo, Jiangtao Qi, Wenling Wang, Guangyuan Zhang, and Peiyu Liu. An improving reasoning network for complex question answering over temporal knowledge graphs. *Appl. Intell.*, 53(7):8195–8208, 2023.

- [15] HaeMin Jung and Wooju Kim. Automated conversion from natural language query to SPARQL query. *J. Intell. Inf. Syst.*, 55(3):501–520, 2020.
- [16] Pavan Kapanipathi, Ibrahim Abdelaziz, Srinivas Ravishankar, Salim Roukos, Alexander G. Gray, Ramón Fernández Astudillo, Maria Chang, and et al. Leveraging abstract meaning representation for knowledge base question answering. In *ACL/IJCNLP (Findings)*, 2021.
- [17] George Katsogiannis-Meimarakis and Georgia Koutrika. A survey on deep learning approaches for text-to-sql. *VLDB J.*, 32(4):905–936, 2023.
- [18] Hyeonji Kim, Byeong-Hoon So, Wook-Shin Han, and Hongrae Lee. Natural language to SQL: where are we today? *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, 2020.
- [19] Haoyang Li, Jing Zhang, Cuiping Li, and Hong Chen. RESDSQL: decoupling schema linking and skeleton parsing for text-to-sql. In *AAAI*, 2023.
- [20] Tianle Li, Xueguang Ma, Alex Zhuang, Yu Gu, Yu Su, and Wenhua Chen. Few-shot in-context learning for knowledge base question answering. *CoRR*, abs/2305.01750, 2023.
- [21] Chen Liang, Mohammad Norouzi, Jonathan Berant, Quoc V. Le, and Ni Lao. Memory augmented policy optimization for program synthesis and semantic parsing. In *NeurIPS*, pages 10015–10027, 2018.
- [22] Qian Liu, Bei Chen, Jiaqi Guo, Morteza Ziyadi, Zeqi Lin, Weizhu Chen, and Jian-Guang Lou. TAPEX: table pre-training via learning a neural SQL executor. In *ICLR*. OpenReview.net, 2022.
- [23] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Roberta: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach. *CoRR*, abs/1907.11692, 2019.
- [24] Yonghao Liu, Di Liang, Fang Fang, Sirui Wang, Wei Wu, and Rui Jiang. Time-aware multiway adaptive fusion network for temporal knowledge graph question answering. In *ICASSP*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2023.
- [25] Yonghao Liu, Di Liang, Mengyu Li, Fausto Giunchiglia, Ximing Li, Sirui Wang, Wei Wu, Lan Huang, Xiaoyue Feng, and Renchu Guan. Local and global: Temporal question answering via information fusion. In *IJCAI*, pages 5141–5149. ijcai.org, 2023.
- [26] Yi Luo, Wei Wang, Xuemin Lin, Xiaofang Zhou, Jianmin Wang, and Keqiu Li. SPARK2: top-k keyword query in relational databases. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, 23(12):1763–1780, 2011.
- [27] Costas Mavromatis, Prasanna L. Subramanyam, Vassilis N. Ioannidis, Adesoji Adeshina, Phillip R. Howard, Tetiana Grinberg, Nagib Hakim, and George Karypis. Tempoqr: Temporal question reasoning over knowledge graphs. In *AAAI*, 2022.
- [28] Alexander H. Miller, Adam Fisch, Jesse Dodge, Amir-Hossein Karimi, Antoine Bordes, and Jason Weston. Key-value memory networks for directly reading documents. In *EMNLP*, 2016.
- [29] Reham Omar, Ishika Dhall, Panos Kalnis, and Essam Mansour. A universal question-answering platform for knowledge graphs. *Proc. ACM Manag. Data*, 1(1):57:1–57:25, 2023.
- [30] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll L. Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, and et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. In *NeurIPS*, 2022.
- [31] Panupong Pasupat and Percy Liang. Compositional semantic parsing on semi-structured tables. In *ACL (1)*, 2015.
- [32] Mohammadreza Pourreza and Davood Rafiei. DIN-SQL: decomposed in-context learning of text-to-sql with self-correction. *CoRR*, 2023.
- [33] Jiexing Qi, Jingyao Tang, Ziwei He, Xiangpeng Wan, Yu Cheng, Chenghu Zhou, Xinbing Wang, Quanshi Zhang, and Zhouhan Lin. RASAT: integrating relational structures into pretrained seq2seq model for text-to-sql. In *EMNLP*, 2022.

- [34] Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. In *EMNLP/IJCNLP (1)*, pages 3980–3990. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2019.
- [35] Ahmad Sakor, Isaiah Onando Mulang’, Kuldeep Singh, Saeedeh Shekarpour, Maria-Esther Vidal, Jens Lehmann, and Sören Auer. Old is gold: Linguistic driven approach for entity and relation linking of short text. In *NAACL-HLT (1)*, 2019.
- [36] Apoorv Saxena, Aditay Tripathi, and Partha P. Talukdar. Improving multi-hop question answering over knowledge graphs using knowledge base embeddings. In *ACL*, 2020.
- [37] Apoorv Saxena, Soumen Chakrabarti, and Partha P. Talukdar. Question answering over temporal knowledge graphs. In *ACL/IJCNLP (1)*, 2021.
- [38] Chao Shang, Guangtao Wang, Peng Qi, and Jing Huang. Improving time sensitivity for question answering over temporal knowledge graphs. In *ACL (1)*, 2022.
- [39] Valentin I. Spitzkovsky and Angel X. Chang. A cross-lingual dictionary for english wikipedia concepts. In *LREC*, 2012.
- [40] Haitian Sun, Bhuwan Dhingra, Manzil Zaheer, Kathryn Mazaitis, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and William W. Cohen. Open domain question answering using early fusion of knowledge bases and text. In *EMNLP*, 2018.
- [41] Bailin Wang, Richard Shin, Xiaodong Liu, Oleksandr Polozov, and Matthew Richardson. RAT-SQL: relation-aware schema encoding and linking for text-to-sql parsers. In *ACL*, 2020.
- [42] Chenglong Wang, Alvin Cheung, and Rastislav Bodík. Synthesizing highly expressive SQL queries from input-output examples. In *PLDI*, 2017.
- [43] Ledell Wu, Fabio Petroni, Martin Josifoski, Sebastian Riedel, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Scalable zero-shot entity linking with dense entity retrieval. In *EMNLP (1)*, 2020.
- [44] Jian Xie, Kai Zhang, Jiangjie Chen, Renze Lou, and Yu Su. Adaptive chameleon or stubborn sloth: Revealing the behavior of large language models in knowledge conflicts. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023.
- [45] Tianbao Xie, Chen H. Wu, Peng Shi, Ruiqi Zhong, Torsten Scholak, Michihiro Yasunaga, Chien-Sheng Wu, and et al. Unifedskg: Unifying and multi-tasking structured knowledge grounding with text-to-text language models. In *EMNLP*, 2022.
- [46] Navid Yaghmazadeh, Yuepeng Wang, Isil Dillig, and Thomas Dillig. Sqlizer: query synthesis from natural language. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.*, 1(OOPSLA):63:1–63:26, 2017.
- [47] Yunhu Ye, Binyuan Hui, Min Yang, Binhua Li, Fei Huang, and Yongbin Li. Large language models are versatile decomposers: Decomposing evidence and questions for table-based reasoning. In *SIGIR*, 2023.
- [48] Wen-tau Yih, Matthew Richardson, Christopher Meek, Ming-Wei Chang, and Jina Suh. The value of semantic parse labeling for knowledge base question answering. In *ACL (2)*, 2016.
- [49] Zhong Zeng, Mong-Li Lee, and Tok Wang Ling. Answering keyword queries involving aggregates and GROUPBY on relational databases. In *EDBT*, pages 161–172. OpenProceedings.org, 2016.
- [50] Liangyu Zha, Junlin Zhou, Liyao Li, Rui Wang, Qingyi Huang, Saisai Yang, Jing Yuan, Changbao Su, Xiang Li, Aofeng Su, and et al. Tablegpt: Towards unifying tables, nature language and commands into one GPT. *CoRR*, abs/2307.08674, 2023.
- [51] Lingxi Zhang, Jing Zhang, Xirui Ke, Haoyang Li, Xinmei Huang, Zhonghui Shao, Shulin Cao, and Xin Lv. A survey on complex factual question answering. *AI Open*, 4:1–12, 2023.

- [52] Yue Zhang, Yafu Li, Leyang Cui, Deng Cai, Lemao Liu, Tingchen Fu, Xinting Huang, Enbo Zhao, Yu Zhang, Yulong Chen, Longyue Wang, Anh Tuan Luu, Wei Bi, Freda Shi, and Shuming Shi. Siren’s song in the AI ocean: A survey on hallucination in large language models. *CoRR*, abs/2309.01219, 2023.
- [53] Yuyu Zhang, Hanjun Dai, Zornitsa Kozareva, Alexander J. Smola, and Le Song. Variational reasoning for question answering with knowledge graph. In *AAAI*, pages 6069–6076. AAAI Press, 2018.
- [54] Victor Zhong, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. Seq2sql: Generating structured queries from natural language using reinforcement learning. *CoRR*, abs/1709.00103, 2017.

## A Broader Impact Discussion

Structured knowledge representation and reasoning have always been crucial steps toward achieving artificial general intelligence. This paper introduces TrustUQA, which not only unifies the representation of structured knowledge but also proposes a demonstration-based two-level method for CG querying. This approach further refines reasoning over unified structured knowledge, creating a logical closure. We believe that the research on TrustUQA may have the following societal impacts:

- The proposed unified method Condition Graph for structured knowledge representation may advance knowledge integration and storage, providing substantial inspiration for future researchers.
- The demonstration-based two-level method for CG querying enables reasoning within unified structured data, offering potential applications for unified knowledge in production environments.
- Our experiments, detailed in the final section of the paper, demonstrate the applicability of TrustUQA in mixed structured knowledge scenarios, providing new insights for achieving reliable reasoning on a larger scale.
- Our method is trustful. It would not expose private data of the users to a third-party LLM. Thus it could be applied to privacy-sensitive real applications.

In summary, TrustUQA represents an attempt to step forward in trustful structured knowledge representation and reasoning, with broad implications for both research and practical applications.

## B Dataset Statistics

We conduct experiments on 5 datasets about 3 types of structured data.

We choose 2 table QA benchmarks, WikiSQL [54] and WTQ [31], where WikiSQL is a large table QA dataset containing over 15 thousand test QA pairs, and WTQ demands more complex reasoning specifically related to tables.

We choose 2 KGQA benchmarks, WebQuestionsSP(WebQSP) [48] and MetaQA [53], which are constructed from Freebase and Wikidata. MetaQA includes 1-3 hops questions, thus questions included in MetaQA could be classified into 1 hop, 2 hops, and 3 hops.

For temporal knowledge QA, we choose a recently widely used dataset CronQuestions [37], which is constructed from temporal knowledge graph in Wikidata. According to the question type, the questions are classified into complex and simple questions. According to the answer type, the questions are classified into entity questions and time questions.

The statistics are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Statistics of experimental datasets.

Datasets	#test QA	Others	Datasets	#test QA	Other Information
<b>WTQ</b>	4,344	421 tables	<b>WebQSP</b>	1,639	retrieved version
<b>WikiSQL</b>	15,878	5,230 tables	<b>CronQuestion</b>	30,000	5,000 triples
<b>MetaQA</b>	39,093	134,741 triples	- Simple	17,142	simple time and entity
- 1-hop	9,947	simple 1 hop	- Complex	12,858	three complex type
- 2-hop	14,872	21 types	- Entity	19,524	query for entity
- 3-hop	14,274	15 types	- Time	10,476	query for time

## C Initial Demonstrations and LLM Prompts for Each Dataset

The following presents static demonstrations and prompts on each dataset. The prompts follow the Chat Completions format, where “role” includes “system,” “user,” and “assistant”. In the upcoming prompt displays, we prefix each role with ### to distinguish it from the content. Detailed results are as follows.

### C.1 WikiSQL

**Initial Demonstrations** WikiSQL has 8 demonstrations, the following is the detail.

```
### USER:
Schema: Pole Position:Robbie Kerr|Circuit:Oulton Park|Round:5|Fastest Lap:Heikki Kovalainen|
      Winning team:Carlin Motorsport|Winning driver:Robbie Kerr|Date:15 September.
Question: How many pole positions for round 20?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {number}
## Query:
Step1: Find the pole positions for round 20
Query1: "get_information(relation='Pole Position', tail_entity='round 20')"
Step2: Calculate the count of output_of_query1
Query2: "count(set='output_of_query1')"

### USER:
Schema: Competition:2006 FIFA World Cup qualification|Date:October 8, 2005|Result:1-2|Score
      :4-2|Venue:Orange Bowl, Miami, United States|Goal:5.
Question: Which Competition has a Venue of estadio alfonso lastras, san luis potosi,mexico,
      and a Goal larger than 15?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {competition}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity that has venue of "estadio alfonso lastras, san luis potosi, mexico"
Query1: "get_information(relation='Venue', tail_entity='estadio alfonso lastras, san luis
      potosi, mexico')"
Step2: Find the entity that has goal larger than 15
Query2: "get_information(relation='Goal', tail_entity '>15')"
Step3: Get the intersection of output_of_query1 and output_of_query2
Query3: "set_intersection(set1 = 'output_of_query1', set2 = 'output_of_query2')"
Step4: Find the competition of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='Competition', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Schema: College:Harding|Position:Tackle|NFL Team:Buffalo Bills|Player:Brett Miller|Pick #:135.
Question: What is the highest pick number the los angeles raiders got?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {pick number}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity that is the Los Angeles Raiders
Query1: "get_information(relation='NFL Team', tail_entity='Los Angeles Raiders')"
Step2: Find the highest pick number of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='Pick #', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Calculate max of output_of_query2
Query3: "max(set1='output_of_query2')"

### USER:
Schema: Name origin:Susan B.; American suffrage leader(1820-1906).|Diameter (km):169.0|
      Longitude:195.5E|Year named:1991|Name:Garland Patera|Latitude:6.5S.
Question: What is the longitude of the feature named Razia Patera?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {longitude}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity named Razia Patera
Query1: "get_information(relation='Name', tail_entity='Razia Patera')"
Step2: Find the longitude of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='Longitude', head_entity='output_of_query1')"

### USER:
Schema: Winnings:$98,245|Driver:Scott Riggs|Make:Chevrolet|Car #:18|Laps:491|Points:155.
Question: What is the average car number of all the drivers who have won $111,683?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {car number}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity whose won is $111,683
Query1: "get_information(relation='Winnings', tail_entity='111,683')"
Step2: Find the car number of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='Car #', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Calculate the average of output_of_query2
Query3: "mean(set='output_of_query2')"
```

```

### USER:
Schema: Draws:3|Club:Real Jaen CF|Losses:20|Goal Difference:1|Goals for:37|Goals against:30|
Wins:8|Points:15|Position:9|Played:30.
Question: How many Wins have Goals against smaller than 30, and Goals for larger than 25, and
Draws larger than 5?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {number of wins}
## Query:
Step1: Find the Wins with Goals against smaller than 30
Query1: "get_information(relation='Goals against', tail_entity<'30')"
```

```

Step2: Find the Wins with Goals for larger than 25
Query2: "get_information(relation='Goals for', tail_entity>'25')"
```

```

Step3: Find the Wins with Draws larger than 5
Query3: "get_information(relation='Draws', tail_entity>'5')"
```

```

Step4: Get the intersection of output_of_query1, output_of_query2, and output_of_query3
Query4: "set_intersection(set1='output_of_query1', set2='output_of_query2', set3='
output_of_query3')"
```

```

Step5: Get the count of output_of_query4
Query5: "count(set='output_of_query4')"
```

```

### USER:
Schema: Record Set:9 nominations|Year:1967|Actor:Henry Fonda|Notes:Skippy|Superlative:Oldest
Winner.
Question: What year was the the youngest nominee a winner?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {year}
## Query:
Step1: Find the youngest nominee who was a winner
Query1: "get_information(relation='Superlative', tail_entity='youngest nominee')"
```

```

Step2: Get the year of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='Year', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
```

```

### USER:
Schema: Season:1965/66|Name:Luis Arconada|minutes:709|Club:Atletico Madrid|Rank:2.
Question: What are the minutes of the Player from Real Madrid Club with a Rank of 7 or larger?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {minutes}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity that belongs to Real Madrid Club
Query1: "get_information(relation='Club', tail_entity='Real Madrid Club')"
```

```

Step2: Find the entity with a Rank of 7 or larger
Query2: "get_information(relation='Rank', tail_entity>='7')"
```

```

Step3: Get the intersection of output_of_query1 and output_of_query2
Query3: "set_intersection(set1 = 'output_of_query1', set2 = 'output_of_query2')"
```

```

Step4: Get the minutes of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='minutes', head_entity='output_of_query3')"
```

## LLM Prompt LLM Prompt is the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

```

### SYSTEM:
Now you are learning to write conditional graph queries for natural language questions.
And conditional graph query function is:
def get_information(relation, tail_entity, head_entity, key, value):
"""
    This function retrieves information by querying a data source using a given relation and
    tail entity as search criteria.
    Args:
        relation (str): The relation to the query that matches the tail_entity or contains the
            head_entity.
        tail_entity (str): The tail entity associated with the relation.
        head_entity (str): The head entity belongs to the relation.
        key (str): The key to query that matches the tail_entity or head_entity.
        value (str): The value associated with or belongs to the key.
    Returns:
        list: A list of query results.
    """
To finish the question correctly, you can combine the Set and Calculator functions.

Set functions:
- set_union(set1, set2)
- set_intersection(set1, set2)
- set_difference(set1, set2)
- set_negation(set)
...
Your task is to break down the original natural language problem step by step with given all
relation types and a corresponding example as schema with the format of "relation:example
". Following are some examples.
Note: in get_information function, tail_entity and head_entity cannot occur together.
```

## C.2 WTQ

**Initial Demonstrations** WTQ has 8 demonstrations, the following is the detail.

```
### USER:
Schema: Date:14 February 1976|Tie no:Replay|row_number:6|Score:1-2|Home team:Derby County|Away
      team:Crystal Palace.
Question: who was the home team in the game on the top of the table?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {home team}
## Query:
Step1: Find the game on the top of the table
Query1: "get_information(relation='row_number', tail_entity='1')"
Step2: Get the home team of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='Home team', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
```

```
### USER:
Schema: Fastest Lap:Troy Corser|Report:Report|Pole Position:Troy Bayliss|Round:R2|Circuit:
      Losail|row_number:5|Date:3 August|Country:Europe|Winning Team:Yamaha Motor Italia|Winning
      Rider:Noriyuki Haga.
Question: who did the most pole position what is his or her name
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {'Pole Position'}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity in Pole Position that appears the most.
Query1: "get_information(relation='Pole Position', tail_entity>='most')"
Step2: Find the Pole Position of output_of_query1.
Query2: "get_information(relation='Pole Position', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
```

```
### USER:
Schema: Silver:0|Gold:0|Bronze:2|Nation:Venezuela\xa0(VEN)|row_number:5|Rank:7|Total:1.
Question: how many nations scored more total medals than brazil?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {number of nations}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity that is Brazil
Query1: "get_information(relation='Nation', tail_entity='Brazil')"
Step2: Find the total medals of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='Total', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Find the entity whose total medals is larger than output_of_query2
Query3: "get_information(relation='Total', tail_entity>'output_of_query2')"
Step4: Calculate the count of output_of_query3
Query4: "count(set='output_of_query3')"
```

```
### USER:
Schema: Host:University of Texas|Rnd:1|Venue:Thomas Assembly Center|State:Maryland|City:
      Norfolk|row_number:16|Region:East.
Question: total number of venues that hosted first and second round games
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {number}
## Query:
Step1: Find the venues that hosted first round games
Query1: "get_information(relation='Rnd', tail_entity='1')"
Step2: Find the venues that hosted second round games
Query2: "get_information(relation='Rnd', tail_entity='2')"
Step3: Get the union of output_of_query1 and output_of_query2
Query3: "set_union(set1='output_of_query1', set2='output_of_query2')"
Step4: Calculate the count of output_of_query3
Query4: "count(set='output_of_query3')"
```

```
### USER:
Schema: row_number:9|No. in\\nseries:142|Written by:Adam Markowitz & Tom Devaney|Original air
      date:April\xa015,\xa01996|Title:"I, Bowl Buster"|Production\\ncode:60108|Directed by:
      Shelley Jensen|No. in\\nseason:12.
Question: what was the last episode called?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {'Title'}
## Query:
Step1: Find all the row_number
Query1: "get_information(relation='row_number')"
Step2: Find the max row_number
Query2: "max(set='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Get the row with max row_number
Query3: "get_information(relation='row_number', tail_entity='output_of_query2')"
Step4: Get the Title of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='Title', head_entity='output_of_query3')"
```

```
### USER:
Schema: Number of Votes:60,048|Winner:James A. Leach|row_number:46|Party Affiliation:
      Republican|Loser:John R. Schmidhauser|Year:1946|Percentage of Votes:50% - 49%.
Question: what winner received the least number of votes?
```



```

### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {country}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity with the relation of Number of Votes
Query1: "get_information(relation='Number of Votes')"
Step2: Get the min output_of_query1
Query2: "min(set='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Get the entity with the min Votes
Query3: "get_information(relation='Number of Votes', tail_entity='output_of_query2')"
Step4: Find the winner of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='Winner', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Schema: Islam:96.67%|n/a:1.16%|Other:0.04%|None\xa0/ Atheism:6.09%|Christianity:0.39%|Buddhism
:0.01%|Ethnicity:Kyrgyz|Judaism:0.03%|row_number:13.
Question: which ethnicity is previous from dungan'
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {ethnicity}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity that Ethnicity is Dungan
Query1: "get_information(relation='Ethnicity', tail_entity='Dungan')"
Step2: Find the row_number of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='row_number', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Find the previous_row of output_of_query2
Query3: "previous_row(set='output_of_query2')"
Step4: Find the row_number is output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='row_number', tail_entity='output_of_query3')"
Step5: Find the Ethnicity of output_of_query4
Query5: "get_information(relation='Ethnicity', head_entity='output_of_query4')"

### USER:
Schema: Residence:Morris Plains|row_number:68|Name:Jerry Green|Party:Rep|First served:2008|
District:District 31.
Question: angel fuentes and gilbert wilson were both from which residence?
### ASSISTANT:
## Target type: {residence}
## Query:
Step1: Find the entity named Angel Fuentes
Query1: "get_information(relation='Name', tail_entity='Angel Fuentes')"
Step2: Find the residence of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='Residence', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Find the entity named Gilbert Wilson
Query3: "get_information(relation='Name', tail_entity='Gilbert Wilson')"
Step4: Find the residence of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='Residence', head_entity='output_of_query3')"
Step5: Get the intersection of output_of_query2 and output_of_query4
Query5: "set_intersection(set1='output_of_query2', set2='output_of_query4')"

```

**LLM Prompt** LLM Prompt is the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

```

### SYSTEM:
Now you are learning to write conditional graph queries for natural language questions.
And conditional graph query function is:
def get_information(relation, tail_entity, head_entity, key, value):
"""
    This function retrieves information by querying a data source using a given relation and
    tail entity as search criteria.

    Args:
        relation (str): The relation to the query that matches the tail_entity or contains the
            head_entity.
        tail_entity (str): The tail entity associated with the relation.
        head_entity (str): The head entity belongs to.
        key (str): The key to query that matches the tail_entity or head_entity.
        value (str): The value associated with or belongs to the key.

    Returns:
        list: A list of query results.
    """
To finish the question correctly, you can combine the Set and Calculator functions.
Set functions:
- set_union(set1, set2)
- set_intersection(set1, set2)
- set_difference(set1, set2)
- set_negation(set1)
...
You also can use previous_row and next_row two functions to find the previous or next
information.

```

Your task is to break down the original natural language problem step by step with given all relation types and a corresponding example as schema with the format of "relation:example". Following are some examples.  
 Note: in get\_information function, tail\_entity and head\_entity cannot occur together.

### C.3 WebQSP

**WebQSP with semantic clear relations:** The prompt for WebQSP with semantic clear relations has 4 demonstrations and the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

**Initial Demonstrations** WebQSP-name has 4 demonstrations, the following is the detail.

```

### USER:
Question: what organization did dr. carter g. woodson found?
TopicEntityName: ['Carter G. Woodson']
First_step: ['Carter G. Woodson', 'organization.organization_founder.organizations_founded', '
  Association for the Study of African American Life and History'] ['Carter G. Woodson', '
  people.person.nationality', 'United States of America']
Second_step: ['Carter G. Woodson', 'organization.organization_founder.organizations_founded', '
  Association for the Study of African American Life and History'] ['Carter G. Woodson', '
  people.person.nationality', 'United States of America'] ['African American', 'book.
  book_subject.works', "Looking at the World through Michael Jackson's Left Eye (Part 2)
  "]] ['Mobile', 'location.location.people_born_here', 'JaMarcus Russell'] ['United Kingdom
  ', 'location.country.second_level_divisions', 'Midlothian'] ['Montgomery Improvement
  Association', 'organization.organization_founders', 'Martin Luther King, Jr.']] ['Austin',
  'base.biblieness.bibs_location.country', 'United States of America'] ['United States of
  America', 'government.governmental_jurisdiction.governing_officials', 'Mark Takano']] ['
  Jamaica Island', 'location.location.contains', 'Cockpit Country']

### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the organizations founded by Carter G. Woodson
Query1: "get_information(relation='organization.organization_founder.organizations_founded',
  head_entity='Carter G. Woodson')

### USER:
Question: what did ronnie radke do?
TopicEntityName: ['Ronnie Radke']
First_step: ['Ronnie Radke', 'people.person.profession', 'Singer-songwriter']
Second_step: ['Ronnie Radke', 'people.person.profession', 'Singer-songwriter'] ['Mobile', '
  location.location.people_born_here', 'JaMarcus Russell'] ['Dance music', 'music.genre.
  albums', 'Number Ones'] ['United States of America', 'government.
  governmental_jurisdiction.governing_officials', 'Mark Takano'] ['Jamaica Island', '
  location.location.contains', 'Cockpit Country']

### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the profession of Ronnie Radke
Query1: "get_information(relation='people.person.profession', head_entity='Ronnie Radke')

### USER:
Question: where is downtown littleton?
TopicEntityName: ['Littleton, Colorado']
First_step: ['Arapahoe County', 'location.location.time_zones', 'Mountain Time Zone'] ['
  Littleton', 'location.location.containedby', 'United States of America']
Second_step: ['Arapahoe County', 'location.location.time_zones', 'Mountain Time Zone'] ['
  Littleton', 'location.location.containedby', 'United States of America'] ['Central Time
  Zone', 'time.time_zone.locations_in_this_time_zone', 'Merritt, Illinois'] ['Denmark', '
  topic_server.population_number', "3835"] ['Bob Marley', 'people.person.gender', 'Male
  ']] ['Australian dollar', 'common.topic.alias', "AUD"] ['United States of America', '
  government.governmental_jurisdiction.governing_officials', 'Mark Takano'] ['Jamaica
  Island', 'location.location.contains', 'Cockpit Country']

### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the containedby location of Littleton, Colorado
Query1: "get_information(relation='location.location.containedby', head_entity='Littleton,
  Colorado')

### USER:
Question: what is south america made up of?
TopicEntityName: ['South America']
First_step: ['South America', 'location.location.contains', 'Loncomilla River']
Second_step: ['South America', 'location.location.contains', 'Loncomilla River'] ['Saint
  Thomas Parish', 'location.location.partially_contains', 'Blue Mountain Peak'] ['Turner
  Field', 'location.location.events', '1999 World Series'] ['Mississippi River', 'location.
  location.partially_containedby', 'Illinois'] ['Roman Empire', 'location.country.
  official_language', 'Latin Language'] ['Jamaica', 'location.country.languages_spoken', '
  Jamaican Creole English Language'] ['France', 'sports.sports_team_location.teams', '
  France national baseball team'] ['Prison Oval', 'common.topic.notable_types', 'Structure
  ']] ['Egypt', 'location.country.form_of_government', 'Semi-presidential system'] ['jm', '
  type.object.name', "jm"] ['Franklin', 'symbols.namesake.named_after', 'Benjamin
  Franklin'] ['United States of America', 'government.governmental_jurisdiction.

```

```

    governing_officials', 'Mark Takano']|['Lucea West River', 'location.location.containedby', 'Jamaica']
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the components that make up South America
Query1: "get_information(relation='location.location.contains', head_entity='South America')"

```

**LLM Prompt** LLM Prompt is the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

```

### SYSTEM:
Now you are learning to write conditional graph queries for natural language questions.
And conditional graph query function is:
def get_information(relation, tail_entity, head_entity):
    """
    This function retrieves information by querying a data source using a given relation and
    tail entity as search criteria.
    Args:
        relation (str): The relation to the query that matches the tail_entity or contains the
            head_entity.
        tail_entity (str): The tail entity associated with the relation in TopicEntityName.
        head_entity (str): The head entity belongs to the relation in TopicEntityName.
    Returns:
        list: A list of query results.
    """
Your task is to use the relation in First_step in the first step and Second_step for second (
    if necessary) in which the format is given with [head, relation, tail].
Note:
1. In get_information function, tail_entity and head_entity cannot occur together.

```

**WebQSP with semantic unclear relations:** The prompt for WebQSP with semantic unclear relations has 6 demonstrations and the description for conditional graph query, the following is the detail.

**LLM Prompt** WebQSP-unnamed has 6 demonstrations, the following is the detail.

```

### USER:
Question: where is the nation of palestine?
TopicEntityName: ['Palestine']
First_step: ['location.location.contains', 'location.location.containedby']
Second_step: ['base.locations.continents.countries_within', 'location.location.contains', '
    location.location.containedby', 'location.location.partially_contains', 'base.bibliioness.
    bibs_location.country', 'location.location.events']
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the nation of Palestine
Query1: "get_information(relation='location.location.containedby', head_entity='Palestine')"

### USER:
Question: who does nikki reed play in the movie twilight?
TopicEntityName: ['Nikki Reed']
First_step: ['film.actor.film']
Second_step: ['government.politician.government_positions_held', 'topic_server.
    population_number', 'film.film.country', 'film.actor.film', 'people.person.place_of_birth',
    'film.film.directed_by', 'sports.pro_athlete.career_start', 'people.person.profession',
    'film.performance.character', 'music.artist.genre', 'people.deceased.person.
    place_of_death', 'film.film.production_companies', 'location.location.time_zones', '
    people.person.religion', 'film.film.starring', 'people.person.nationality', 'travel.
    tourist_attraction.near_travel_destination', 'common.topic.notable_types', 'government.
    government_position_held.governmental_body', 'film.film.language', 'film.performance.film',
    'film.film.genre', 'book.written_work.subjects', 'location.location.containedby']
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the film that Nikki Reed acted in
Query1: "get_information(relation='film.actor.film', head_entity='Nikki Reed')"
Step2: Get the character name of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='film.performance.character', head_entity=output_of_query1)"

### USER:
Question: where did chris cuomo go?
TopicEntityName: ['Andrew Cuomo']
First_step: ['people.person.education']
Second_step: ['government.politician.government_positions_held', 'topic_server.
    population_number', 'film.film.country', 'location.location.containedby', 'people.person.
    profession', 'people.person.education', 'sports.pro_athlete.teams', 'people.
    deceased_person.place_of_death', 'people.person.nationality', 'travel.tourist_attraction.
    near_travel_destination', 'music.artist.track_contributions', 'common.topic.notable_types',
    'government.government_position_held.governmental_body', 'location.location.contains',
    'government.government_office_or_title.office_holders', 'education.education.institution',
    'film.film.starring', 'government.government_position_held.office_holder']
### ASSISTANT:

```

```

Step1: Get the education of Andrew Cuomo
Query1: "get_information(relation='people.person.education', head_entity='Andrew Cuomo')"
Step2: Get the institution of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='education.education.institution', head_entity=
output_of_query1)"

### USER:
Question: who was the leader of the ussr in 1948?
TopicEntityName: ['Soviet Union']
First_step: ['location.location.events', 'organization.organization_founder.
organizations_founded', 'common.topic.notable_types', 'government.
governmental_jurisdiction.governing_officials', 'location.location.contains']
Second_step: ['government.politician.government_positions_held', 'location.location.adjoin_s',
'topic_server.population_number', 'base.locations.countries.continent', 'location.
country.form_of_government', 'base.bibliioness.bibs_location.loc_type', 'organization.
organization_founder.organizations_founded', 'book.author.works_written', 'location.
country.iso_numeric', 'location.location.events', 'common.topic.notable_types', '
government.government_office_category.officeholders', 'location.location.contains', '
government.government_position_held.basic_title', 'base.activism.activist.
area_of_activism', 'government.government_position_held.jurisdiction_of_office', '
government.governmental_jurisdiction.governing_officials', 'location.country.capital', '
government.government_position_held.office_holder']
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the governing_officials of Soviet Union
Query1: "get_information(relation='government.governmental_jurisdiction.governing_officials',
head_entity='Soviet Union')"
Step2: Get the office_holder of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='government.government_position_held.office_holder',
head_entity=output_of_query1)"

### USER:
Question: who does jr smith play for?
TopicEntityName: ['J. R. Smith']
First_step: ['sports.pro_athlete.teams']
Second_step: ['film.film.music', 'topic_server.population_number', 'film.film.country', '
people.person.place_of_birth', 'location.location.containedby', 'music.album.release_date',
'sports.sports_league.teams', 'people.person.profession', 'sports.pro_athlete.teams',
'music.artist.genre', 'government.government_position_held.to', 'sports.
sports_team_roster.team', 'location.location.time_zones', 'people.person.religion', '
people.person.nationality', 'film.writer.film', 'media_common.netflix_title.
netflix_genres', 'common.topic.notable_types', 'film.film.language', 'government.
government_position_held.jurisdiction_of_office', 'fictional_universe.fictional_character.
character_created_by', 'base.culturalevent.event.entity_involved', 'government.
political_district.representatives', 'film.film.starring']
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the teams of J. R. Smith
Query1: "get_information(relation='sports.pro_athlete.teams', head_entity='J. R. Smith')"
Step2: Get roster of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='sports.sports_team_roster.team', head_entity=
output_of_query1)"

### USER:
Question: who did heinrich himmler marry?
First_step: ['people.person.spouse_s', 'base.activism.activist.area_of_activism']
Second_step: ['people.marriage.type_of_union', 'government.politician.
government_positions_held', 'people.person.place_of_birth', 'people.person.spouse_s', '
sports.pro_athlete.career_start', 'music.group_member.instruments_played', 'people.person.
profession', 'symbols.namesake.named_after', 'people.person.languages', 'people.
deceased_person.place_of_death', 'people.person.children', 'people.person.religion', '
influence.influence_node.influenced', 'influence.influence_node.influenced_by', 'people.
person.nationality', 'people.marriage.spouse', 'people.person.date_of_birth', 'sports.
sports_team_owner.teams_owned']
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the Person_Spouse of Heinrich Himmler
Query1: "get_information(relation='people.person.spouse_s', head_entity='Heinrich Himmler')"
Step2: Get name of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='people.marriage.spouse', head_entity=output_of_query1)"

```

## LLM Prompt LLM Prompt is the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

```

### SYSTEM:
Now you are learning to write conditional graph queries for natural language questions.
And conditional graph query function is:
def get_information(relation, tail_entity, head_entity):
"""
This function retrieves information by querying a data source using a given relation and
tail entity as search criteria.
Args:
relation (str): The relation to the query that matches the tail_entity or contains the
head_entity.

```

```

        tail_entity (str): The tail entity.
        head_entity (str): The head entity.
Returns:
    list: A list of query results.
"""
Your task is to use the relation in First_step in the first step and Second_step for second (
    if necessary).
Note:
1. In get_information function, tail_entity and head_entity cannot occur together.

```

## C.4 MetaQA

**MetaQA 1-hop:** The prompt for MetaQA 1-hop has 13 demonstrations and the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

**Initial Demonstrations** MetaQA 1-hop has 13 demonstrations, the following is the detail.

```

### USER:
Question: what movies did [Temuera Morrison] act in
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Temuera Morrison] acted in
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='Temuera Morrison')"

### USER:
Question: what films did [Charles Bail] direct
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find movies that [Charles Bail] directs
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='Charles Bail')"

### USER:
Question: who acted in [Red River]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find starred_actors in [Red River]
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='Red River')"

### USER:
Question: the director of [Cube Zero] was who
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find director of [Cube Zero]
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='Cube Zero')"

### USER:
Question: what is the genre of the film [The Darjeeling Limited]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find genre of [The Darjeeling Limited]
Query1: "get_information(relation='has_genre', head_entity='The Darjeeling Limited')"

### USER:
Question: how would people rate [Little Women]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find rate of [Little Women]
Query1: "get_information(relation='has_imdb_rating', head_entity='Little Women')"

### USER:
Question: what is the primary language in [The Criminal Life of Archibaldo de la Cruz]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find language of [The Criminal Life of Archibaldo de la Cruz]
Query1: "get_information(relation='in_language', head_entity='The Criminal Life of Archibaldo
de la Cruz')"

### USER:
Question: what are some words that describe movie [The Omega Man]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find tags of [The Omega Man]
Query1: "get_information(relation='has_tags', head_entity='The Omega Man')"

### USER:
Question: who's the writer of [Experiment Perilous]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find tags of [The Omega Man]
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='Experiment Perilous')"

### USER:
Question: when was the movie [BUtterfield 8] released
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find released year of [BUtterfield 8]
Query1: "get_information(relation='release_year', head_entity='BUtterfield 8')"

```

```

### USER:
Question: which films can be described by [romeo and juliet]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find films which has tags of [romeo and juliet]
Query1: "get_information(relation='has_tags', tail_entity='romeo and juliet')"

### USER:
Question: which movies was [Jeff Stanzler] the writer of
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find films whose writer is [Jeff Stanzler]
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='Jeff Stanzler')"

### USER:
Question: what films are about [anthony's mackie]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find films which has tags of [anthony's mackie]
Query1: "get_information(relation='has_tags', tail_entity='anthony's mackie')"

```

## LLM Prompt LLM Prompt is the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

```

### SYSTEM:
Now you are learning to write conditional graph queries for natural language questions.
The conditional graph query function is:
def get_information(relation, tail_entity, head_entity, key, value):
"""
    This function retrieves information by querying a data source using a given relation and
    tail entity as search criteria.
    Args:
        relation (str): The relation to the query that matches the tail_entity or contains the
            head_entity which includes {'directed_by', 'has_imdb_rating', 'release_year', '
            in_language', 'written_by', 'has_tags', 'has_imdb_votes', 'has_genre', '
            starred_actors'}.
        tail_entity (str): The tail entity associated with the relation.
        head_entity (str): The head entity belongs to the relation.
        key (str): The key to query that matches the tail_entity or head_entity.
        value (str): The value associated with or belongs to the key.

    Returns:
        list: A list of query results.
    """

```

To finish the question correctly, you can combine the Set and Calculator functions.

Set functions:

- set\_union(set1, set2)
- set\_intersection(set1, set2)
- set\_difference(set1, set2)
- set\_negation(set1)
- ...

Note:

1. In get\_information function, tail\_entity and head\_entity cannot occur together.

**MetaQA 2-hop:** The prompt for MetaQA 2-hop has 15 demonstrations and the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

**Initial Demonstrations** MetaQA 2-hop has 15 demonstrations, the following is the detail.

```

### USER:
Question: which films share the same actor of [The Don't Amityville Curse]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the actors of [The Don't Amityville Curse]
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='The Don't Amityville Curse')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 acted in
Query2: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [The Don't Amityville Curse] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='The Don't Amityville Curse')"

### USER:
Question: the director of [The Slugger's Wife] is also the director of which movies
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the director of [The Slugger's Wife]
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='The Slugger's Wife')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 directed
Query2: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [The Slugger's Wife] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='The Slugger's Wife')"

### USER:
Question: the screenwriter of [Taxidermia] also wrote which films
### ASSISTANT:

```

```

Step1: Find the writer of [Taxidermia]
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='Taxidermia')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 wrote
Query2: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Taxidermia] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Taxidermia')"

### USER:
Question: who acted together/co-star with [Tania Raymonde]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Tania Raymonde] acted in
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='Tania Raymonde')"
Step2: Find actors of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Tania Raymonde] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Tania Raymonde')"

### USER:
Question: who are the directors of the movies acted by [Khalil Kain]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Khalil Kain] acted in
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='Khalil Kain')"
Step2: Find directors of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Khalil Kain] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Khalil Kain')"

### USER:
Question: what genres do the movies acted by [Ulrich M\u00f6che] fall under
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Ulrich M\u00f6che] acted in
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='Ulrich M\u00f6che')"
Step2: Find genres of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='has_genre', head_entity='output_of_query1')"

### USER:
Question: the films acted by [Guglielmo Barnab\u00f2] were written by who
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Guglielmo Barnab\u00f2] acted in
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='Guglielmo Barnab\u00f2')"
Step2: Find the writer of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Guglielmo Barnab\u00f2] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Guglielmo Barnab\u00f2')"

### USER:
Question: which directors co-directed films with [Graham Baker]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Graham Baker] directed
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='Graham Baker')"
Step2: Find the director of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Graham Baker] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Graham Baker')"

### USER:
Question: what genres do the movies directed by [Clark Gregg] fall under
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Clark Gregg] directed
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='Clark Gregg')"
Step2: Find genres of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='has_genre', head_entity='output_of_query1')"

### USER:
Question: what are the languages spoken in the films directed by [Joel Zwick]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Joel Zwick] directed
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='Joel Zwick')"
Step2: Find language of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='in_language', head_entity='output_of_query1')"

### USER:
Question: when were the films directed by [David Green] released
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [David Green] directed
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='David Green')"
Step2: Find release year of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='release_year', head_entity='output_of_query1')"

### USER:
Question: the movies written by [John Alan Schwartz] starred who

```

```

### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [John Alan Schwartz] written
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='John Alan Schwartz')"
Step2: Find actor of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [John Alan Schwartz] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='John Alan Schwartz')"

### USER:
Question: who are the directors of the films written by [Laura Kerr]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Laura Kerr] written
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='Laura Kerr')"
Step2: Find director of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Laura Kerr] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Laura Kerr')"

### USER:
Question: the screenwriter [Oscar Wilde] wrote films together with who
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Oscar Wilde] has written
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='Oscar Wilde')"
Step2: Find writer of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Oscar Wilde] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Oscar Wilde')"

### USER:
Question: what types are the films written by [Stan Winston]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the movies that [Stan Winston] has written
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='Stan Winston')"
Step2: Find genre of output_of_query1
Query2: "get_information(relation='has_genre', head_entity='output_of_query1')"

```

**LLM Prompt** LLM Prompt is the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

```

### SYSTEM:
Now you are learning to write conditional graph queries for natural language questions.
The conditional graph query function is:
def get_information(relation, tail_entity, head_entity):
    """
    This function retrieves information by querying a data source using a given relation and
    tail entity as search criteria.
    Args:
        relation (str): The relation to the query that matches the tail_entity or contains the
            head_entity which includes {'directed_by', 'has_imdb_rating', 'release_year', '
            in_language', 'written_by', 'has_tags', 'has_imdb_votes', 'has_genre', '
            starred_actors'}.
        tail_entity (str): The tail entity associated with the relation.
        head_entity (str): The head entity belongs to the relation.
    Returns:
        list: A list of query results.
    """

```

To finish the question correctly, you can the Set functions.  
Set functions:  
- set\_difference(set1, set2)  
Note:  
1. In get\_information function, tail\_entity and head\_entity cannot occur together.

**MetaQA 3-hop:** The prompt for MetaQA 3-hop has 11 demonstrations and the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

**Initial Demonstrations** MetaQA 3-hop has 11 demonstrations, the following is the detail.

```

### USER:
Question: who is listed as director of the films starred by [Titanic Town] actors
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the actors of [Titanic Town]
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='Titanic Town')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 acted in
Query2: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Titanic Town] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Titanic Town')"
Step4: Find director of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

```



```

### USER:
Question: the films that share actors with the film [The Harvey Girls] were in which genres
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the actors of [The Harvey Girls]
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='The Harvey Girls')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 acted in
Query2: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [The Harvey Girls] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='The Harvey Girls')"
Step4: Find genre of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='has_genre', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: the movies that share actors with the movie [The Great Santini] were in which
languages
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the actors of [The Great Santini]
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='The Great Santini')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 acted in
Query2: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [The Great Santini] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='The Great Santini')"
Step4: Find language of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='in_language', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: when did the movies release whose actors also appear in the movie [Bigger Than Life]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the actors of [Bigger Than Life]
Query1: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='Bigger Than Life')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 acted in
Query2: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Bigger Than Life] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Bigger Than Life')"
Step4: Find release year of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='release_year', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: who acted in the movies directed by the director of [Some Mother's Son]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the director of [Some Mother's Son]
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='Some Mother's Son')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 directed
Query2: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Some Mother's Son] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Some Mother's Son')"
Step4: Find actor of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: what are the languages spoken in the films whose directors also directed [Police]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the director of [Police]
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='Police')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 directed
Query2: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Police] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Police')"
Step4: Find language of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='in_language', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: the films that share directors with the films [Following] are written by who
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the director of [Following]
Query1: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='Following')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 directed
Query2: "get_information(relation='directed_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Following] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Following')"
Step4: Find writer of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: who starred films for the screenwriter of [Deathtrap]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the writer of [Deathtrap]
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='Deathtrap')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 write
Query2: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"

```

```

Step3: Exclude [Deathtrap] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Deathtrap')"
Step4: Find actor of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='starred_actors', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: who directed the movies written by the writer of [Millennium Actress]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the writer of [Millennium Actress]
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='Millennium Actress')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 write
Query2: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Millennium Actress] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Millennium Actress')"
Step4: Find director of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: what types are the movies written by the writer of [Broadway Serenade]
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the writer of [Broadway Serenade]
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='Broadway Serenade')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 write
Query2: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [Broadway Serenade] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='Broadway Serenade')"
Step4: Find genre of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='has_genre', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

### USER:
Question: the movies that share writers with the movie [The Wedding Party] were released in
which years
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Find the writer of [The Wedding Party]
Query1: "get_information(relation='written_by', head_entity='The Wedding Party')"
Step2: Find movies that output_of_query1 write
Query2: "get_information(relation='written_by', tail_entity='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Exclude [The Wedding Party] from output_of_query2
Query3: "set_difference(set1='output_of_query2', set2='The Wedding Party')"
Step4: Find release year of output_of_query3
Query4: "get_information(relation='release_year', head_entity='output_of_query3')"

```

**LLM Prompt** LLM Prompt is the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

```

### SYSTEM:
Now you are learning to write conditional graph queries for natural language questions.
The conditional graph query function is:
def get_information(relation, tail_entity, head_entity):
    """
    This function retrieves information by querying a data source using a given relation and
    tail entity as search criteria.
    Args:
        relation (str): The relation to the query that matches the tail_entity or contains the
            head_entity which includes {'directed_by', 'has_imdb_rating', 'release_year', '
            in_language', 'written_by', 'has_tags', 'has_imdb_votes', 'has_genre', '
            starred_actors'}.
        tail_entity (str): The tail entity associated with the relation.
        head_entity (str): The head entity belongs to the relation.
    Returns:
        list: A list of query results.
    """
    To finish the question correctly, you can the Set functions.
    Set functions:
    - set_difference(set1, set2)
    Note:
    1. In get_information function, tail_entity and head_entity cannot occur together.

```

## C.5 CronQuestion

**Initial Demonstrations** CronQuestion has 8 demonstrations, the following is the detail.

```

### USER:
Question: EATCS award was awarded to Moshe Vardi during the year
Relations: ['award received']
Annotation: {'head': 'Moshe Vardi', 'tail': 'EATCS award'}
### ASSISTANT:
Step1: Get the time of the EATCS award received by Moshe Vardi

```

```

Query1: "get_information(head_entity='Moshe Vardi', relation='award received', tail_entity='
EATCS award', key='time')"
```

### USER:  
Question: In 1981 which award did Allan Edwall win  
Relations: ['award received']  
Annotation: {'head': 'Allan Edwall', 'time': '1981'}  
### ASSISTANT:  
Step1: Get the award received by Allan Edwall in 1981  
Query1: "get\_information(head\_entity='Allan Edwall', relation='award received', key='time',
value='1981')"

### USER:  
Question: The first time Gordon Campbell, Baron Campbell of Croy had been Member of the 44th
Parliament of the United Kingdom  
Relations: ['position held']  
Annotation: {'head': 'Gordon Campbell, Baron Campbell of Croy', 'tail': 'Member of the 44th
Parliament of the United Kingdom', 'adj': 'first'}  
### ASSISTANT:  
Step1: Get the time/year of the Gordon Campbell, Baron Campbell of Croy position held Member
of the 44th Parliament of the United Kingdom  
Query1: "get\_information(head\_entity='Gordon Campbell, Baron Campbell of Croy', relation='
position held', tail\_entity='Member of the 44th Parliament of the United Kingdom', key='
time')"  
Step2: Get the min/first/earliest time/year of output\_of\_query1  
Query2: "min(set='output\_of\_query1')"

### USER:  
Question: Who's the last team Roberto Colombo played on  
Relations: ['member of sports team']  
Annotation: {'head': 'Roberto Colombo', 'adj': 'last'}  
### ASSISTANT:  
Step1: Get the time of Roberto Colombo is member of sports team  
Query1: "get\_information(head\_entity='Roberto Colombo', relation='member of sports team', key
='time')"  
Step2: Get the last/max time output\_of\_query1  
Query2: "max(set='output\_of\_query1')"  
Step3: Get the name of team Roberto Colombo is member of sports team when the time is
output\_of\_query2  
Query3: "get\_information(head\_entity='Roberto Colombo', relation='member of sports team', key
='time', value='output\_of\_query2')"

### USER:  
Question: Who were the players playing in Sunderland A.F.C. with Chris Lumsdon?  
Relations: ['member of sports team']  
Annotation: {'head': 'Chris Lumsdon', 'tail': 'Sunderland A.F.C.'}  
### ASSISTANT:  
Step1: Get the time of Chris Lumsdon is member of sports team Sunderland A.F.C.  
Query1: "get\_information(head\_entity='Chris Lumsdon', relation='member of sports team',
tail\_entity='Sunderland A.F.C.', key='time')"  
Step2: Get the players is member of sports team Sunderland A.F.C. when the time is
output\_of\_query1  
Query2: "get\_information(relation='member of sports team', tail\_entity='Sunderland A.F.C.',
key='time', value='output\_of\_query1')"

### USER:  
Question: Who held the position of state treasurer when Michael Matheson was the Member of the
3rd Scottish Parliament  
Relations: ['position held']  
Annotation: {'head': 'Michael Matheson', 'tail': 'Member of the 3rd Scottish Parliament'}, ['
state treasurer']  
### ASSISTANT:  
Step1: Get the time of Michael Matheson position held Member of the 3rd Scottish Parliament  
Query1: "get\_information(head\_entity='Michael Matheson', relation='position held', tail\_entity
='Member of the 3rd Scottish Parliament', key='time')"  
Step2: Get the people position held is state treasurer when the time is output\_of\_query1  
Query2: "get\_information(relation='position held', tail\_entity='state treasurer', key='time',
value='output\_of\_query1')"

### USER:  
Question: Who were Member of the Swiss Federal Council after 18th century  
Relations: ['position held']  
Annotation: {'tail': 'Member of the Swiss Federal Council', 'event\_head': '18th century', '
type': 'after'}  
### ASSISTANT:  
Step1: To get the after, find the time of 18th century  
Query1: "get\_information(head\_entity='18th century', key='time')"  
Step2: Find the max of output\_of\_query1  
Query2: "max(set='output\_of\_query1')"  
Step3: Get the time of position held is Member of the Swiss Federal Council

```

Query3: "get_information(relation='position held', tail_entity='Member of the Swiss Federal
Council', key='time')"
Step4: Get the time of output_of_query3 after output_of_query2
Query4: "keep(set='output_of_query3', value>'output_of_query2')"
Step5: Get the min time of output_of_query4
Query5: "min(set='output_of_query4')"
Step6: Get the name whose position held is Member of the Swiss Federal Council when the time
is output_of_query5
Query6: "get_information(relation='position held', tail_entity='Member of the Swiss Federal
Council', key='time', value='output_of_query5')"

### USER:
Question: Which team did Michael Gray play for before England national football team
Relations: ['member of sports team']
Annotation: {'head': 'Michael Gray', 'tail': 'England national football team', 'type': 'before
'}

### ASSISTANT:
Step1: To get the before, find the time of Michael Gray is member of sports team England
national football team
Query1: "get_information(head_entity='Michael Gray', relation='member of sports team',
tail_entity='England national football team', key='time')"
Step2: Find the min of output_of_query1
Query2: "min(set='output_of_query1')"
Step3: Get the time of Michael Gray is member of sports team
Query3: "get_information(head_entity='Michael Gray', relation='member of sports team', key='
time')"
Step4: Get the time of output_of_query3 before output_of_query2
Query4: "keep(set='output_of_query3', value<output_of_query2)"
Step5: Get the max time of output_of_query4
Query5: "max(set='output_of_query4')"
Step6: Get the name of team Michael Gray is member of sports team when the time is
output_of_query5
Query6: "get_information(head_entity='Michael Gray', relation='member of sports team', key='
time', value='output_of_query5')"

```

**LLM Prompt** LLM Prompt is the description for conditional graph query, following is the detail.

```

### SYSTEM:
Now you are learning to write conditional graph queries for natural language questions.
And conditional graph query function is:
def get_information(relation, tail_entity, head_entity, key, value):
"""
This function retrieves information by querying a data source using a given relation and
tail entity as search criteria.
Args:
relation (str): The relation to the query that matches the tail_entity or head_entity.
tail_entity (str): The tail entity associated with the relation.
head_entity (str): The head entity belongs to the relation.
key (str): The key to query that matches with time.
value (str): The value associated with or belongs to the key.
Returns:
list: A list of query results.
"""
Note: you need to use keep(set, value) to filter the results for before or after question.
Your task is to break down the original natural language question step by step with given
relations and annotations. Following are some examples.

```

## D LLM function prompt

In LLM function we optimize the following three kinds of problems, including: multiple choice problems, extreme value problems and comparison problems.

A multiple-choice question means that the answer is chosen according to the description of the question. The prompt is designed as follows:

```

### SYSTEM:
Answer questions based on the information provided.
### USER:
Question: who won more silvers, venezuela or chile?
Information provided:
Step1: Find the Nation of Venezuela. output_of_query1: {'[line_1]'}
Step2: Find the Nation of Chile. output_of_query2: {'[line_4]'}
Step3: Find the number of silvers of output_of_query1. output_of_query3: {'8'}
Step4: Find the number of silvers of output_of_query2. output_of_query4: {'4'}
Step5: Compare the number of silvers of output_of_query3 and output_of_query4.
Just tell me the answer in a word or phrase without spaces or newlines."

```

```
### ASSISTANT:
Venezuela
```

Extremum and comparison problems are those where you want to compare more than just numbers. You might want to compare units (1 km), special representations (1-9), etc.

The **Extremum problem** prompt is designed as follows:

```
### SYSTEM:
You are a helpful assistant. If the data format provided is complex, use common sense.
Please enclose the final answer in quotation marks for ease of extraction.
### USER:
Question: Get the min output_of_query1 And Output_query1: {'12.15 (87)', '14.16 (100)', '8.5
(53)', '10.9 (69)', '6.7 (43)', '2.9 (21)', '11.12 (78)', '8.9 (57)', '9.11 (65)', '13.6
(84)', '11.8 (74)', '9.4 (58)', '14.11 (95)', '14.14 (98)', '11.2 (68)', '4.11 (35)',
'19.14 (128)', '17.13 (115)', '8.10 (58)', '17.6 (108)', '6.9 (45)', '11.11 (77)'}.
Please tell me the only data who satisfies the condition.
### ASSISTANT:
Based on the given data, it appears that the min data is '2.9 (21)'.
### USER:
Get the best position of output_of_query1 And Output_query1: {'13th (q)', '5th', '7th', '-'}.
Please tell me the only data who satisfies the condition.
### ASSISTANT:
Based on the given data, it appears that the best data is '5th'.
```

The **Comparison problem** prompt is designed as follows:

```
### SYSTEM:
You are a helpful assistant.
### USER:
Question: Find the players who are taller than output_of_query2 And Output_query1: {'[line_8
]'}).Output_query2: {'6-4'}.
All data are given in the format of (data, line_number):
({'6-3', '[line_3]'), ('6-9', '[line_6]'), ('6-8', '[line_1]'), ('6-5', '[line_4]'), ('6-5',
'[line_7]'), ('5-11', '[line_5]'), ('5-10', '[line_2]'}).
Please check it step by step and tell me the line_number whose data satisfies the condition.
### ASSISTANT:
To find the players who are taller than '6-4', we need to check the values given in the format
('height', 'line_number') one by one.
Here are the step-by-step results:
1. ('6-3', '[line_3]') - Not taller than '6-4'.
2. ('6-9', '[line_6]') - Taller than '6-4'.
3. ('6-8', '[line_1]') - Taller than '6-4'.
4. ('6-5', '[line_4]') - Taller than '6-4'.
5. ('6-5', '[line_7]') - Taller than '6-4'.
6. ('5-11', '[line_5]') - Not taller than '6-4'.
7. ('5-10', '[line_2]') - Not taller than '6-4'.
The line numbers whose heights satisfy the condition (taller than '6-4') are [line_6], [line_1
], [line_4], and [line_7].
### USER:
Find the line_number which has more strokes than output_of_query2 And Output_query1: {'[line_3
]'}).Output_query2: {'3 strokes'}.
All data are given in the format of (data, line_number):
({'1 stroke', '[line_5]'), ('Playoff', '[line_2]'), ('5 strokes', '[line_4]'), ('1 stroke', '[
line_1]'), ('2 strokes', '[line_2]')}
Please check it step by step and tell me the line_number whose data satisfies the condition.
### ASSISTANT:
To find the line_number which has more strokes than {'3 strokes'}, we need to check the values
given in the format ('strokes', 'line_number') one by one.
1. ('1 stroke', '[line_5]') - Less strokes than '3 strokes'.
2. ('Playoff', '[line_2]') - Not applicable for stroke comparison.
3. ('5 strokes', '[line_4]') - More strokes than '3 strokes'.
4. ('1 stroke', '[line_1]') - Less strokes than '3 strokes'.
5. ('2 strokes', '[line_2]') - Less strokes than '3 strokes'.
The line number(s) whose number of strokes satisfies the condition (more than '3 strokes') is:
[line_4].
### USER:
Find the positions that are at least 10th place or better And
All data are given in the format of (data, line_number):
({'13th (q)', '[line_1]'), ('5th', '[line_3]'), ('7th', '[line_2]'), ('-', '[line_4]'}).
Please check it step by step and tell me the line_number whose data satisfies the condition."
### ASSISTANT:
To find the positions that are at least 10th place or better, we need to compare the positions
given in the format ('position', 'line_number') with the condition of being 10th place
or better. Here are the step-by-step results:
1. ('13th (q)', '[line_1]') - Not 10th place or better.
2. ('5th', '[line_3]') - 10th place or better.
3. ('7th', '[line_2]') - 10th place or better.
4. ('-', '[line_4]') - Not applicable for position comparison.
```

Table 8: Denotation accuracy of fixed-schema knowledge QA on GPT4.

Method	WikiSQL	WTQ
UniT(GPT3.5)	85.9	44.2
UniT(GPT4)	87.4 (↑ 1.5)	52.1 (↑ 7.9)

The line numbers whose positions satisfy the condition (10th place or better) are: [line\_2], and [line\_3]."

## E Stronger LLMs on fixed-schema knowledge QA

The results with GPT-4 are shown in Table 8. In WikiSQL, TrustUQA outperformed UnifiedSKG and improved by 1.5 over GPT3.5 which comes to 87.4. While in WTQ, the performance further improved, and outperformed UnifiedSKG with an accuracy of 52.1%. This shows TrustUQA’s proficiency in deep semantic understanding, and indicates that TrustUQA will perform better as the ability of LLM itself improves.

## F Potentials of TrustUQA Experiment Detail

Since there is no existing mixed/across data dataset, we first constructed one using available datasets and then described the experiment in detail.

### F.1 QA over Mixed Structured Data

**Dataset Construction:** We randomly selected 100 questions in each of WikiSQL, WTQ, MetaQA (1-hop), WebQSP, and CronQuestion datasets, extracting the corresponding structured data for each question. For the MetaQA (1-hop) and CronQuestion datasets, all the data is put together, we extracted only the one-hop data of the topic entity. “Unmixed data” refers to the initial experience, where the structured data corresponding to each question is clearly defined. “Mixed data” refers to the combination of structured data from the five constructed datasets into a single dataset, represented uniformly through a condition graph.

**Experimental Details:** For “answer source unknown”, we cannot restrict the search to questions from the corresponding training set alone during dynamic retrieval; instead, we retrieve from all five training sets combined. Additionally, due to the lack of structured source, the demonstration format in the prompt includes only the question, without any auxiliary information like the topic entity and so on.

### F.2 QA across Structured Data Experiment Detail

**Dataset Construction:** Across Structured Data requires the construction of both structured data and corresponding questions. Structured data includes tables, knowledge graphs (KG), and temporal knowledge graphs (TKG). The construction approach follows "A->B->C->D," where the query A->B is the logic on table, B->C is the logic on KG, and C->D is the logic on TKG. This query logic indicates that B data appears in both the table and KG, C appears in both KG and TKG, and B and C appear together in a KG triple. Using these constraints, we can filter the data.

For our datasets, we chose WikiSQL for the table data, MetaQA for the KG, and CronQuestion for the TKG. Specifically, we used:

- WikiSQL: test/2-11308224-9.csv can be seen at Table 9.
- MetaQA: triple at line 54952 (Hedwig and the Angry Inch directed\_by John Cameron Mitchell)
- CronQuestion: data at line 116380 (Chlotrudis Award for Best Actor winner John Cameron Mitchell **2002 2002**)

Based on the above datasets, we constructed the question: “When does a director nominated for the 11th Korea Musical Awards win the Chlotrudis Award for Best Actor?” The answer is **2002**.

Year	Award	Category	Nominated work	Result
2000	The National Theater Association of Korea	Best New Actor	Yi	Won
2005	11th Korea Musical Awards	Most Popular Star	Hedwig and the Angry Inch	Won
2005	11th Korea Musical Awards	Best Actor	Hedwig and the Angry Inch	Won
2005	MBC Drama Awards	Best New Actor	Shin Don	Nominated
2006	12th Korea Musical Awards	Most Popular Star	Finding Kim Jong-wook	Won
2006	KBS Drama Awards	Best Couple Award with Yoon Eun-hye	The Vineyard Man	Won
2006	KBS Drama Awards	Popularity Award	The Vineyard Man	Won
2006	KBS Drama Awards	Best New Actor	The Vineyard Man	Won
2007	1st The Musical Awards	Male Popularity Award	A Day	Won
2007	13th Korea Musical Awards	Most Popular Star	A Day	Won
2007	SBS Drama Awards	Excellence Award, Actor in a Serial Drama	The King and I	Won
2009	KBS Drama Awards	Excellence Award, Actor in a Daily Drama	Everybody Cha-cha-cha	Won

Table 9: test/2-11308224-9.csv in WikiSQL.

**Experimental Details:** We manually constructed the following execution query:

Execution Query for QA across Structured Data

```

query1 = get_information(relation='Award', tail_entity='11th Korea Musical Awards')
query2 = get_information(relation='Nominated work', head_entity='output_of_query1')
query3 = get_information(relation='directed_by', head_entity='output_of_query2')
query4 = get_information(head_entity='Chlotrudis Award for Best Actor', relation='winner',
tail_entity='output_of_query3', key='time')

```

The result of execution query is **2002** matches the label, demonstrating the potential of TrustUQA in QA across Structured Data.