

MONOGENIC TRINOMIALS OF THE FORM $x^4 + ax^3 + d$ AND THEIR GALOIS GROUPS

JOSHUA HARRINGTON AND LENNY JONES

ABSTRACT. Let $f(x) = x^4 + ax^3 + d \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, where $ad \neq 0$. Let C_n denote the cyclic group of order n , D_4 the dihedral group of order 8, and A_4 the alternating group of order 12. Assuming that $f(x)$ is monogenic, we give necessary and sufficient conditions involving only a and d to determine the Galois group G of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} . In particular, we show that $G = D_4$ if and only if $(a, d) = (\pm 2, 2)$, and that $G \notin \{C_4, C_2 \times C_2\}$. Furthermore, we prove that $f(x)$ is monogenic with $G = A_4$ if and only if $a = 4k$ and $d = 27k^4 + 1$, where $k \neq 0$ is an integer such that $27k^4 + 1$ is squarefree. This article extends previous work of the authors on the monogenicity of quartic polynomials and their Galois groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article, we let $a, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $ad \neq 0$, and we let

$$(1.1) \quad f(x) := x^4 + ax^3 + d, \quad r(x) := x^3 - 4dx - a^2d \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := 256d - 27a^4.$$

We let $\text{Gal}(f)$ denote the Galois group of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} , when $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . We use Maple to calculate

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta(f) = \Delta(r) = d^2(256d - 27a^4) = d^2\delta,$$

where $\Delta(f)$ and $\Delta(r)$ are the polynomial discriminants over \mathbb{Q} of $f(x)$ and $r(x)$, respectively.

We say that $f(x)$ is *monogenic* if $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} and $\{1, \theta, \theta^2, \theta^3\}$ is a basis for the ring of integers \mathbb{Z}_K of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, where $f(\theta) = 0$. Hence, $f(x)$ is monogenic if and only if $\mathbb{Z}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$. For $f(x)$ irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , it is well known [1] that

$$(1.3) \quad \Delta(f) = [\mathbb{Z}_K : \mathbb{Z}[\theta]]^2 \Delta(K),$$

where $\Delta(K)$ is the discriminant over \mathbb{Q} of the number field K . Thus, from (1.3), $f(x)$ is monogenic if and only if $\Delta(f) = \Delta(K)$.

The possible Galois groups for a quartic polynomial are

$$(1.4) \quad C_4, \quad C_2 \times C_2, \quad D_4, \quad A_4, \quad S_4,$$

where C_n is the cyclic group of order n , D_4 is the dihedral group of order 8, A_4 is the alternating group of order 12, and S_4 is the symmetric group of order 24. With the exception of C_4 , for each group G in (1.4), the authors recently gave in [3] an infinite family of monogenic quartic polynomials with Galois group G . In a private communication, Tristan Phillips subsequently asked the second author if it

Date: July 10, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R16, 11R32.

Key words and phrases. monogenic, quartic, trinomial, Galois.

is possible to determine all monogenic quartic trinomials that have Galois group C_4 . In [5], the second author gave a partial answer to this question by showing that if x^4+bx^2+d is monogenic with Galois group C_4 , then $(b, d) \in \{(-4, 2), (4, 2), (-5, 5)\}$. The results in this article extend this previous work of the authors. More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *If $f(x)$ is monogenic, then $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq$*

- (1) D_4 if and only if $(a, d) = (\pm 2, 2)$;
- (2) A_4 if and only if δ is a square;
- (3) S_4 if and only if δ is not a square and $(a, d) \neq (\pm 2, 2)$.

The following corollary is then immediate from Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *If $f(x)$ is monogenic, then*

$$\text{Gal}(f) \not\simeq C_2 \times C_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Gal}(f) \not\simeq C_4.$$

Theorem 1.3. *The trinomial $f(x)$ is monogenic with $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq A_4$ if and only if $a = 4k$ and $d = 27k^4 + 1$, where $k \neq 0$ is an integer such that d is squarefree.*

The following corollary then follows immediately from [2].

Corollary 1.4. *Let $k \neq 0$, and let $(a, d) = (4k, 27k^4 + 1)$. Then, under the assumption of the abc-conjecture for number fields, there exist infinitely many values of k such that $f(x)$ is monogenic and $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq A_4$.*

We emphasize three important implications of Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. Firstly, we see that item (1) of Theorem 1.1 gives a result for D_4 -trinomials $f(x)$ similar to the result for C_4 -even trinomials found in [5]. Secondly, Corollary 1.2 provides additional information toward a complete answer to the question of Phillips, since no trinomials $f(x)$ exist with $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq C_4$. Thirdly, Theorem 1.3 gives a complete and explicit description of all monogenic trinomials $f(x)$ with $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq A_4$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The first theorem below follows from a result due to Jakhar, Khanduja and Sangwan [4, Theorem 1.1] for arbitrary irreducible trinomials when applied to our specific quartic trinomial $f(x)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} with $f(\theta) = 0$. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, and let \mathbb{Z}_K denote the ring of integers of K . Then $f(x)$ is monogenic if and only if every prime divisor q of $\Delta(f)$ (in (1.2)) satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1) when $q \mid d$, then $q^2 \nmid d$;
- (2) when $q \mid a$ and $q \nmid d$, then

$$\text{either } q \mid a_2 \text{ and } q \nmid d_1 \quad \text{or} \quad q \nmid a_2 \text{ and } (-d^3 a_2^4 - d_1^4),$$

$$\text{where } a_2 = a/q \text{ and } d_1 = \frac{d+(-d)^{q^j}}{q} \text{ with } q^j \parallel 4;$$

- (3) when $q \nmid ad$, then $q^2 \nmid \delta$.

The following useful corollary is immediate from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *If $f(x)$ is monogenic, then d is squarefree.*

The next theorem follows from a result due to Kappe and Warren [6, Theorem 1.] when applied to our specific quartic trinomial $f(x)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Let L be the splitting field of $r(x)$, as defined in (1.1). Then $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq$*

- (1) C_4 if and only if $r(x)$ has exactly one root $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$(2.1) \quad g(x) := (x^2 - tx + d)(x^2 + ax - t)$$

splits over L ;

- (2) $C_2 \times C_2$ if and only if $r(x)$ splits into linear factors over \mathbb{Z} ;

- (3) D_4 if and only if $r(x)$ has exactly one root $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g(x)$, as defined in (2.1), does not split over L ;

- (4) A_4 if and only if $r(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} and δ is a square in \mathbb{Z} ;

- (5) S_4 if and only if $r(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} and δ is not a square in \mathbb{Z} .

Remark 2.4. The polynomial $r(x)$ in Theorem 2.3 is known as *the cubic resolvent* of $f(x)$.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof. Suppose first that $r(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Z} , so that $r(t) = 0$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, for some $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that

$$(3.1) \quad r(x) = (x - t)(x^2 + Ax + B) = x^3 + (A - t)x^2 + (B - tA)x - tB.$$

Equating coefficients in (1.1) and (3.1) yields

$$(3.2) \quad r(x) = (x - t)(x^2 + tx + t^2 - 4d).$$

Then, calculating $\Delta(r)$ in (3.2), and recalling $\Delta(r)$ from (1.2), yields the equation

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta(r) = d^2\delta = d^2(256d - 27a^4) = (16d - 3t^2)(4d - 3t^2)^2.$$

Suppose that $d \notin \mathcal{D} = \{1, 2\}$. Since $r(t) = 0$, we see from (1.1) that $t^3 = d(4t + a^2)$. Therefore, since d is squarefree from Corollary 2.2, we deduce that $t = dw$ for some $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, since $\Delta(f) = \Delta(r)$, we have from (1.2) and (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta(f) = d^2\delta = d^3(16 - 3dw^2)(4 - 3dw^2)^2.$$

Note that $4 - 3dw^2 = -1$ is impossible, and $4 - 3dw^2 = 1$ implies that $d = 1 \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence, $|4 - 3dw^2| > 1$. Suppose that q is an odd prime divisor of $4 - 3dw^2$. Then $q \nmid d$ and therefore, from (3.4), we see that $q^2 \mid \delta$. If $q \mid a$, then $q \mid 256d$, which is impossible. Hence, $q \nmid a$, which contradicts the fact that $f(x)$ is monogenic by item (3) of Theorem 2.1. Thus, it follows that

$$(3.5) \quad 4 - 3dw^2 = \pm 2^m,$$

for some integer $m \geq 1$. Checking the cases $m \in \{1, 2\}$, we arrive at

$$3dw^2 \in \{2, 6\} \text{ when } m = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 3dw^2 \in \{0, 8\} \text{ when } m = 2,$$

which are impossible with the exception of $3dw^2 = 6$. However, this exceptional case implies that $d = 2 \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus, we have from (3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad 3dw^2 = 4(1 \pm 2^{m-2}),$$

for some integer $m \geq 3$. Note that (3.6) implies that $2 \nmid d$ since d is squarefree, so that $w = 2z$, for some odd integer z . Recalling that $t = dw$ and $r(t) = 0$, we have

$$r(t) = t^3 - 4dt - a^2d = d(8d^2z^3 - 8dz - a^2) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$a^2 = 8dz(dz^2 - 1).$$

Since dz is odd with d squarefree and $\gcd(dz, dz^2 - 1) = 1$, it follows that dz is a square and so $d \mid z$. Thus, $z = dn$ for some odd integer n , so that $w = 2z = 2dn$, and we see from (3.6) that

$$4(1 \pm 2^{m-2}) = 3dw^2 = 12d^2n^2 > 0.$$

Hence, since $m \geq 3$, we deduce that $3(dn)^2 = 2^{m-2} + 1$, which is impossible modulo 4 if $m \geq 4$. Thus, $m = 3$ and $d = 1 \in \mathcal{D}$. We conclude therefore that there are no monogenic trinomials $f(x)$ with $d \notin \mathcal{D}$. Suppose then that $d \in \mathcal{D} = \{1, 2\}$. For each of these values of d , we use the fact that $r(t) = 0$ and consider the integral points on the elliptic curve

$$E : Y^2 = X^3 - 4d^3X,$$

where $X = dt$ and $Y = ad^2$. When $d = 1$, Sage gives that the integral points (X, Y) on E are

$$(X, Y) \in \{(0, 0), (\pm 2, 0)\},$$

which yields no solutions for (a, d) since $Y = ad^2 = 0$ for each point (X, Y) . When $d = 2$, Sage gives that the integral points (X, Y) on E are

$$(X, Y) \in \{(4, \pm 8), (0, 0), (8, \pm 16), (9, \pm 21), (1352, \pm 49712)\}.$$

In this case, we get the viable solutions

$$(a, d) \in \{(\pm 2, 2), (\pm 4, 2), (\pm 12428, 2)\}.$$

Checking these possibilities reveals that there are precisely two monogenic trinomials $f(x)$, namely

$$x^4 - 2x^3 + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad x^4 + 2x^3 + 2,$$

when $r(x)$ is reducible, and $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq D_4$ for both of these trinomials, which completes the proof of item (1). Furthermore, when $r(x)$ is irreducible, items (2) and (3) follow immediately from Theorem 2.3. \square

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Proof. Assume first that $(a, d) = (4k, 27k^4 + 1)$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k \neq 0$ and d is squarefree. We claim that $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Using calculus, it is easy to see that

$$(4.1) \quad f(x) = x^4 + 4kx^3 + 27k^4 + 1$$

has an absolute minimum value of 1 at $x = -3k$, which implies that all zeros of $f(x)$ are non-real. Hence, if $f(x)$ is reducible over \mathbb{Q} , then $f(x) = g_1(x)g_2(x)$, where

$$g_1(x) = x^2 + Ax + B \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(x) = x^2 + Cx + D \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Thus,

$$(4.2) \quad f(x) = x^4 + (A + C)x^3 + (AC + B + D)x^2 + (AD + BC)x + BD.$$

Since $f(-3k) = 1$, we deduce that $g_1(-3k) = g_2(-3k)$. We add this equation to the set of equations derived from equating coefficients in (4.1) and (4.2), and we use Maple to solve this system. Maple gives two solutions, both of which have $k = 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $k \neq 0$. Hence, $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Next, we use Theorem 2.1 to prove that $f(x)$ is monogenic. An easy calculation shows that

$$\Delta(f) = \delta d^2 = 2^8(27k^4 + 1)^2.$$

Consider first the prime divisor $q = 2$ of $\Delta(f)$. Note that $2 \mid a$. If $2 \nmid k$, then $4 \mid d$, contradicting the fact that d is squarefree. Hence, $2 \mid k$ and $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Thus, $2 \nmid d$, and it follows that condition (2) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied since $2 \mid a_2$ and $2 \nmid d_1$. Next, suppose that $q \neq 2$ is a prime divisor of $\Delta(f)$ so that $q \mid d$. Since d is squarefree, we have that $q^2 \nmid d$, so that condition (1) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. Thus, $f(x)$ is monogenic, and since $\delta = 2^8$ is a square, we have that $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq A_4$ by Theorem 2.3, which establishes the theorem in this direction.

For the converse, assume that $f(x)$ is monogenic with $\text{Gal}(f) \simeq A_4$. Then d is squarefree by Corollary 2.2, and δ is a square by Theorem 2.3. Furthermore, if $d < 0$, then $\delta < 0$, which is impossible; and if $d = 1$, then it is easy to check that δ is not a square if $a \neq 0$. Hence, $d \geq 2$. Suppose there is an odd prime q such that $q \mid \delta$ and $q \nmid d$. Then $q \nmid a$. However, $q^2 \mid \delta$ since δ is a square, which yields the contradiction that $f(x)$ is not monogenic by condition (3) of Theorem 2.1. Now suppose that there is an odd prime q such that $q \mid \delta$ and $q \mid d$. Then q divides $256d - \delta = 27a^4$, so that $q^2 \mid 27a^4$. Moreover, $q^2 \mid \delta$ since δ is a square, and hence we have that q^2 divides $\delta + 27a^4 = 256d$, contradicting the fact that d is squarefree. Thus, we have shown that $\delta = 2^{2m}$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. That is,

$$(4.3) \quad 2^{2m} + 27a^4 = 2^8 d.$$

It is easy to verify that (4.3) is impossible modulo 128 if $m \leq 3$. Hence, $m \geq 4$ and $4 \mid a$. Let $a = 4k$ for some integer $k \neq 0$. We claim that $2 \nmid d$. To establish this claim, we examine the exponent on the power of 2, denoted $\nu_2(*)$, that divides each side of the equation in (4.3). Since

$$\nu_2(a^4) = \nu_2(2^8 k^4) = 4z,$$

for some integer $z \geq 2$, a straightforward computation shows that

$$(4.4) \quad \nu_2(2^{2m} + 27a^4) = \begin{cases} 2m & \text{if } 2m < 4z \\ 4z & \text{if } 2m > 4z \\ 2^{m+2} & \text{if } 2m = 4z. \end{cases}$$

If $2 \mid d$, then $\nu_2(2^8 d) = 9$ since d is squarefree, which contradicts (4.4). Hence, $2 \nmid d$. With $q = 2$, we see that if $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then condition (2) of Theorem 2.1 is not satisfied since $2 \mid a_2$ and $2 \mid d_1$, which contradicts the fact that $f(x)$ is monogenic. Thus, $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Suppose now that $m \geq 5$. Hence, it follows from (4.3) that

$$27k^4 = d - 2^{2m-8} \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

which is impossible, since $27k^4 \pmod{4} \in \{0, 3\}$. Therefore, $m = 4$ and

$$\delta = 256d - 27a^4 = 256(d - 27k^4) = 2^8,$$

which implies that $d = 27k^4 + 1$. and the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Cohen, *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [2] A. Granville, *ABC allows us to count squarefrees*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1998, no. 19, 991–1009.
- [3] J. Harrington and L. Jones. *Monogenic quartic polynomials and their Galois groups* (to appear), Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.
- [4] A. Jakhar, S. Khanduja and N. Sangwan, *Characterization of primes dividing the index of a trinomial*, Int. J. Number Theory **13** (2017), no. 10, 2505–2514.
- [5] L. Jones, *Monogenic even quartic trinomials* (to appear), Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.
- [6] L-C. Kappe and B. Warren, *An elementary test for the Galois group of a quartic polynomial*, Amer. Math. Monthly **96** (1989), no. 2, 133–137.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CEDAR CREST COLLEGE, ALLENTOWN, PENNSYLVANIA, USA
Email address, Joshua Harrington: Joshua.Harrington@cedarcrest.edu

PROFESSOR EMERITUS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIPPENSBURG UNIVERSITY, SHIPPENSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17257, USA
Email address, Lenny Jones: doctorlennyjones@gmail.com