

# A further $q$ -analogue of a formula due to Guillera

JOHN M. CAMPBELL

## Abstract

Hou, Krattenthaler, and Sun have introduced two  $q$ -analogues of a remarkable series for  $\pi^2$  due to Guillera, and these  $q$ -identities were, respectively, proved with the use of a  $q$ -analogue of a Wilf–Zeilberger pair provided by Guillera and with the use of  ${}_3\phi_2$ -transforms. We prove a  $q$ -analogue of Guillera’s formula for  $\pi^2$  that is inequivalent to previously known  $q$ -analogues of the same formula due to Guillera, including the Hou–Krattenthaler–Sun  $q$ -identities and a subsequent  $q$ -identity due to Wei. In contrast to previously known  $q$ -analogues of Guillera’s formula, our new  $q$ -analogue involves another free parameter apart from the  $q$ -parameter. Our derivation of this new result relies on the  $q$ -analogue of Zeilberger’s algorithm.

*MSC:* 05A30, 11B65

*Keywords:*  $q$ -binomial coefficient,  $q$ -analogue,  $q$ -shifted factorial, difference equation

## 1 Introduction

Let the Pochhammer symbol be denoted so that  $(x)_n = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$ , with  $(x)_0 = 1$ , and let

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma \\ A, B, \dots, C \end{matrix} \right]_n = \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta)_n \cdots (\gamma)_n}{(A)_n(B)_n \cdots (C)_n}.$$

A remarkable result due to Guillera [5] is such that

$$\frac{\pi^2}{4} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \left[ \begin{matrix} 1, 1, 1 \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix} \right]_n (3n+2) \quad (1)$$

and is closely related to Ramanujan’s series for  $\frac{1}{\pi}$  [10] of the same convergence rate as in the hypergeometric sum in (1):

$$\frac{4}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1, 1 \end{matrix} \right]_n (6n+1).$$

The formula in (1) was proved by Guillera [5] via the *Wilf–Zeilberger* (WZ) method [9], and with the use of the bivariate functions  $F(n, k) = 8B(n, k)n$  and  $G(n, k) = B(n, k)(6n + 4k + 1)$ , writing

$$B(n, k) = \frac{1}{2^{8n}2^{4k}} \frac{((2k!)^2((2n)!)^3}{((n+k)!)^2(k!)^2(n!)^4}.$$

As a main result in a 2019 contribution to the *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* [6], two  $q$ -analogues of Guillera’s formula in (1) were introduced and proved. We introduce and prove a further  $q$ -analogue of Guillera’s formula that is inequivalent to previously known  $q$ -analogues of (1) [2, 6, 11, 12].

## 2 Background and preliminaries

The  $\pi$  formula in (1) has been proved in a variety of different ways. For example, Chu and Zhang [4] proved (1) using a series acceleration method derived via the modified Abel lemma on summation by parts and Dougall’s  ${}_5F_4$ -sum. Also, Campbell and Levrie applied a series acceleration method due to Wilf [13] based on Zeilberger’s algorithm [9, §6] to formulate another proof of Guillera’s formula. See also [1, 3, 8]. These past references motivate the development of techniques for deriving  $q$ -analogues of Guillera’s formula, to build on the work of Hou, Krattenthaler, and Sun [6].

The  $q$ -shifted factorial is such that

$$(a; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k) \tag{2}$$

for integers  $n \geq 0$  and for complex  $q$  such that  $|q| < 1$ , with  $(a; q)_0 = 1$  by convention. This provides an analogue of the Pochhammer symbol in the sense that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{(q^x; q)_n}{(1-q)^n} = (x)_n$ . With regard to the notation in (2), we also write  $(a; q)_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n$ , so that  $(a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^n; q)_\infty}$ . The  $q$ -binomial coefficient may be defined via (2) so that  $\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}$ , with the limiting  $q \rightarrow 1$  case yielding the binomial coefficient  $\binom{n}{k}$ . A key to our derivation of a new  $q$ -analogue of Guillera’s formula in (1) is given by the `QDifferenceEquations` package for the Maple Computer Algebra System.

Theorem 1.2 from the work of Hou et al. [6] gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{2n(n+1)} (1 + q^{2n+2} - 2q^{4n+3}) \frac{(q^2; q^2)_n^3}{(q; q^2)_{n+1}^3 (-1; q)_{2n+3}} = \\ & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{2n}}{(1 - q^{2n+1})^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \frac{1 - q^{3n+2}}{1 - q} \frac{(q; q)_n^3 (-q; q)_n}{(q^3; q^2)_n^3} = (1 - q)^2 \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^4}{(q; q^2)_{\infty}^4}. \quad (4)$$

As expressed by Hou et al. [6], by multiplying both sides of (3) by  $(1 - q)^2$  and by setting  $q \rightarrow 1$ , this provides an equivalent version of Guillera's formula in (1). Similarly, setting  $q \rightarrow 1$  in (4), and by applying a property associated with the  $q$ -Gamma function that gives us that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (1 - q) \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^2}{(q; q^2)_{\infty}^2} = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we again obtain an equivalent copy of Guillera's formula.

### 3 Main result

Instead of using a  $q$ -analogue  $(F_q, G_q)$  of the WZ pair  $(F, G)$  employed by Guillera, as in the work of Hou et al. [6], with  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} F_q(n, k) = F(n, k)$  and similarly for  $G_q$ , we apply a  $q$ -analogue of Zeilberger's algorithm based on the  $q$ -binomial identity such that  $\sum_{k=0}^n q^{k^2} \binom{n}{k}_q^2 = \binom{2n}{n}_q$ . This gives us a pair of bivariate  $q$ -hypergeometric functions inequivalent to that involved in [6].

Our main result is given by the  $q$ -identity highlighted as Theorem 1 below. Theorem 1 can be shown, as we later demonstrate, to provide an equivalent version of Guillera's formula in (1), by setting  $q \rightarrow 1$  and  $k = \frac{1}{2}$ . Theorem 1 is inequivalent to previously known  $q$ -analogues of (1) given in [2, 6, 11, 12]. An advantage to our main result, as below, is given by how it involves *another* free parameter  $k$ , apart from the  $q$ -parameter, but this is not the case for previously known  $q$ -analogues of Guillera's formula.

**Theorem 1.** *The identity*

$$q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n-2k+k^2} (2q^k - q^{n+1} - q^{2n+2})}{1 + q^{n+1}} \frac{(q; q)_n^4}{(q; q)_{k-1}^2 (q; q)_{2n+1} (q; q)_{n-k+1}^2} =$$

$$\frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty^4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(k+n)^2} \left( (q; q)_\infty^3 - (q^{1-n-k}; q)_\infty^2 \right) (q^{1+n+k}; q)_\infty^2$$

holds for complex  $q$  and  $k$  such that  $|q| < 1$  and  $|k| < 1$ .

*Proof.* By setting

$$F(n, k) := \frac{q^{k^2} \binom{n}{k}_q^2}{\binom{2n}{n}_q}, \quad (5)$$

an application of the  $q$ -analogue of Zeilberger's algorithm produces the certificate

$$R(n, k) := \frac{q^{n+3} (1 - q^k)^2 (q^{n+1} + q^{2n+2} - 2q^k)}{(q^k - q^{n+1})^2 (1 + q^{n+1}) (1 - q^{2n+1})},$$

which leads us to set  $G(n, k) := R(n, k)F(n, k)$ . We may thus verify that the difference equation

$$q^2 F(n+1, k) - q^2 F(n, k) = G(n, k+1) - G(n, k) \quad (6)$$

holds true. By summing both sides of (6) with respect to  $n$ , a telescoping phenomenon gives us that

$$q^2 F(m+1, k) - q^2 F(0, k) = \sum_{n=0}^m (G(n, k+1) - G(n, k))$$

for nonnegative integers  $m$ . From the definition in (5) together with the given constraints on  $q$  and  $k$ , we find, by setting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , that

$$\frac{q^{k^2+2} \left( (q; q)_\infty^3 - (q^{1-k}; q)_\infty^2 \right) (q^{k+1}; q)_\infty^2}{(q; q)_\infty^4} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (G(n, k+1) - G(n, k)).$$

Writing  $H(k)$  in place of the left-hand side of the above equality, a telescoping phenomenon then gives us that

$$\sum_{n=0}^m H(k+n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (G(n, k+m+1) - G(n, k)). \quad (7)$$

We find that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G(n, k+m+1)$  vanishes, again subject to the given constraints on  $q$  and  $k$ . Setting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (7), an application of the Dominated Convergence Theorem allows us to interchange the limiting operations resulting on the right-hand side, yielding  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(k+n) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G(n, k)$ , which is equivalent to the desired result.  $\square$

Setting  $q \rightarrow 1$  on both sides of the  $q$ -identity given in Theorem 1, through an application of reindexing and Carlson's theorem to the classical identity  $\frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q;q)_n^2}$  derived from the generating function for the sequence enumerating integer partitions of orders  $n \geq 0$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2 - 2k + 3n) \frac{(1)_n^4}{(1)_{k-1}^2 (1)_{2n+1} (1)_{-k+n+1}^2} = \\ & 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1)_{-k-n}^2 (1)_{k+n}^2} = \\ & 1 - \frac{\psi^{(1)}(k) \sin^2(k\pi)}{\pi^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $\psi^{(1)}$  denotes the trigamma function  $\psi^{(1)}(z) = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \ln \Gamma(z)$ , and where this last equality may be verified inductively, by taking the partial sums of the series in (8). In particular, setting  $k = \frac{1}{2}$  gives us that

$$\pi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3n+2)(1)_n^4}{(1)_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^2 (1)_{2n+1}}$$

and this is equivalent to Guillera's formula in (1), according to the Gauss multiplication formula and the relation  $(x)_n = \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)}$ .

## 4 Conclusion

Research related to the Hou–Krattenthaler–Sun  $q$ -analogues of Guillera's formula, as in [2, 7, 11, 12], motivates the exploration of further properties of the  $q$ -analogue in Theorem 1. For example, by again setting  $q \rightarrow 1$ , and by applying the operator  $\frac{d}{dk} \cdot \Big|_{k=\frac{1}{2}}$  to both sides of the resultant identity, we obtain

$$\frac{7\zeta(3)}{2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^n \left[ \begin{matrix} 1, 1, 1 \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix} \right]_n ((6n+4)O_{n+1} - 1)$$

where  $O_m = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2m-1}$  denotes the  $m^{\text{th}}$  odd harmonic number, and where  $\zeta(3) = \frac{1}{1^3} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \dots$  denotes Apéry's constant. This provides a harmonic sum analogue of Guillera's formula, and we encourage a full exploration of the derivation of such results.

## Acknowledgements

The author was supported through a Killam Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Killam Trusts.

## References

- [1] J. M. CAMPBELL, WZ proofs of identities from Chu and Kılıç, with applications, *Appl. Math. E-Notes* **22** (2022), 354–361.
- [2] X. CHEN AND W. CHU, Hidden  $q$ -analogues of Ramanujan-like  $\pi$ -series, *Ramanujan J.* **54(3)** (2021), 625–648.
- [3] W. CHU, Infinite series identities derived from the very well-poised  $\Omega$ -sum, *Ramanujan J.* **55(1)** (2021), 239–270.
- [4] W. CHU AND W. ZHANG, Accelerating Dougall’s  ${}_5F_4$ -sum and infinite series involving  $\pi$ , *Math. Comp.* **83(285)** (2014), 475–512.
- [5] J. GUILLERA, Hypergeometric identities for 10 extended Ramanujan-type series, *Ramanujan J.* **15(2)** (2008), 219–234.
- [6] Q.-H. HOU, C. KRATTENTHALER, AND Z.-W. SUN, On  $q$ -analogues of some series for  $\pi$  and  $\pi^2$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147(5)** (2019), 1953–1961.
- [7] Q.-H. HOU AND Z.-W. SUN,  $q$ -analogues of some series for powers of  $\pi$ , *Ann. Comb.* **25(1)** (2021), 167–177.
- [8] P. LEVRIE AND J. CAMPBELL, Series acceleration formulas obtained from experimentally discovered hypergeometric recursions, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **24(2)** (2022), Paper No. 12, 19.
- [9] M. PETKOVŠEK, H. S. WILF, AND D. ZEILBERGER, *A = B*, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA (1996).
- [10] S. RAMANUJAN, Modular equations and approximations to  $\pi$ , *Quart. J.* **45** (1914), 350–372.
- [11] R.-H. WANG AND M. X. X. ZHONG,  $q$ -rational reduction and  $q$ -analogues of series for  $\pi$ , *J. Symbolic Comput.* **116** (2023), 58–71.

- [12] C. WEI,  $q$ -analogues of several  $\pi$ -formulas, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148(6)** (2020), 2287–2296.
- [13] H. S. WILF, Accelerated series for universal constants, by the WZ method, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.* **3(4)** (1999), 189–192.

JOHN M. CAMPBELL

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Dalhousie University, Canada

jmaxwellcampbell@gmail.com