

AIMDiT: MODALITY AUGMENTATION AND INTERACTION VIA MULTIMODAL DIMENSION TRANSFORMATION FOR EMOTION RECOGNITION IN CONVERSATIONS

Sheng Wu^{1,2} Jiaxing Liu² Longbiao Wang^{2,*} Dongxiao He² Xiaobao Wang² Jianwu Dang²

¹ School of New Media and Communication, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China

² Tianjin Key Laboratory of Cognitive Computing and Application,
College of Intelligence and Computing, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China

ABSTRACT

Emotion Recognition in Conversations (ERC) is a popular task in natural language processing, which aims to recognize the emotional state of the speaker in conversations. While current research primarily emphasizes contextual modeling, there exists a dearth of investigation into effective multimodal fusion methods. We propose a novel framework called AIMDiT to solve the problem of multimodal fusion of deep features. Specifically, we design a Modality Augmentation Network which performs rich representation learning through dimension transformation of different modalities and parameter-efficient inception block. On the other hand, the Modality Interaction Network performs interaction fusion of extracted inter-modal features and intra-modal features. Experiments conducted using our AIMDiT framework on the public benchmark dataset MELD reveal 2.34% and 2.87% improvements in terms of the Acc-7 and w-F1 metrics compared to the state-of-the-art (SOTA) models.

Index Terms— emotion recognition in conversations, multimodal fusion, dimension transformation

1. INTRODUCTION

Emotion recognition in conversations (ERC) is a novel task within the realm of natural language processing, holding a pivotal role in human-computer interaction [1] and social media analysis [2]. There is an increasing focus on multimodal ERC, which involves leveraging text, audio, and visual information to achieve more accurate emotion recognition.

Multimodal data tends to be asynchronous, with distributional gaps in text, audio, and visual modal data, which tends to introduce information redundancy [3, 4]. In ERC, most of the work focuses on context modeling based on sequence models or graph models and often ignores the work on multimodal fusion [5–7]. Although these early works can realize multimodal data fusion by simple early-late fusion [8–11], they cannot extract the inter-modal interaction information and the simple concatenation operation makes it easy to introduce redundant information. In recent years, some ERC

works based on multimodal fusion of depth graphs have been proposed [12], but due to the characteristics of depth graphs, redundant information gradually accumulates in the vector space of each layer and is prone to over-smoothing problem that makes node differentiation insufficient [13].

We have observed subtle distinctions in the distribution of text, audio, and visual information in emotional expressions, but they tend to be concentrated over a brief time span. For the purpose of discussion, we standardize the embedding dimensions of various modalities, treating vector length as the temporal dimension. Consequently, modal vectors can be conceptualized as 1D time series. Drawing inspiration from [14–16], we refer to changes in adjacent time intervals within a single modality and the same time interval across different modalities as intra-modal variation and inter-modal variation, respectively. To represent these characteristics, we transform 1D vectors into 2D, where the length axis signifies intra-modal information and the dimensional axis signifies inter-modal information. As a result, we successfully incorporate intra- and inter-modal features into 2D tensors.

Based on the above motivation, we propose the Modality Augmentation and Interaction Network via Dimension Transformation (AIMDiT). We first design the Modality Augmentation Network (MAN) based on dimension change and convolution by Inception. Specifically, the MAN consists of multiple layers of MABlock with residual links, which captures intra- and inter-modal information in 2D space. In order to perform an effective fusion of modalities, inspired by [17], we propose the Interaction Network (MIN) where inter-modal feature and intra-modal feature guide the fusion of each other. Finally, emotion classifier predicts the emotion label.

The work of this paper can be summarized as follows: 1) We propose a multimodal fusion model named AIMDiT to facilitate understanding in ERC. 2) We design a network called MAN with dimension transformation and Inception convolution, which can effectively learn intra-modal and inter-modal features. 3) We introduce a fusion network called MIN that utilizes different types of modality features to fuse modalities. 4) Extensive experiments on benchmark datasets demonstrate the effectiveness and superiority of the proposed model.

* Corresponding author




Fig. 1. Framework illustration of the AIMDiT based emotion recognition in conversations, which consists of four key components: Modality Encoder, Modality Augmentation Network, Modality Interaction Network, Emotion Classifier.

2. PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed model AIMDiT in this paper is shown in Fig. 1. A primary utterance involves three modalities: U_t (text), U_a (audio), and U_v (visual). Initially, we extract features from these modalities, yielding X_t, X_a, X_v . To capture inter- and intra-modal features, we devise the Modality Augmentation Network, elevating X_t, X_a, X_v to higher dimensions for richer information. Following this, the Modality Interaction Network interacts with the MAN’s output, guided by a specific direction. This leads to subsequent emotion classification. Further details are outlined below.

2.1. Modality Augmentation Network (MAN)

For the same modality, each time point involves both adjacent regions inside a modality and in-phase changes between different modalities, that is, changes within modalities and changes between modals. To capture both features at the same time, we build a module Modality Augmentation Block (MABlock), which explores rich information between different modalities through dimensional changes.

Specifically, ignoring the dimension d , we consider t, a and v , where each modal corresponds to having 1D sequences $X_{1D}^t \in \mathbb{R}^{T_t \times d}, X_{1D}^a \in \mathbb{R}^{T_a \times d}, X_{1D}^v \in \mathbb{R}^{T_v \times d}$, and with lengths T_t, T_a, T_v , respectively. We can then convert the three tensors into a 2D tensor by using the following formula:

$$\mathbf{X}_{2D}^{t,a,v} = \text{Reshape}(\text{Padding}(X_{1D}^t, X_{1D}^a, X_{1D}^v)) \in \mathbb{R}^{T_\delta \times 3d}, \quad (1)$$

where $\text{Padding}(\cdot)$ is the process of padding each of the three tensors into a new tensor of uniform length, and use the length of the longest tensor of the three as the length of the new tensor $T_\delta = \max(T_t, T_a, T_v)$. Eventually we obtain a 2D tensor

$\mathbf{X}_{2D}^{t,a,v}$. After the transformation, we process the 2D tensor by inception block with multiple 2D kernels of different scales to obtain a 2D tensor $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2D}^{t,a,v}$ with global information:

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2D}^{t,a,v} = \text{Inception}(\mathbf{X}_{2D}^{t,a,v}). \quad (2)$$

Since the 2D kernel of the inception block is multiscale, it can capture information at adjacent time points within each modality and at the same time point for different modalities, and is able to aggregate intra- and inter-modal variations at different scales. Subsequently, we reconvert the learned $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2D}^{t,a,v}$ tensor into 1D space, and truncate the three tensors with length T_δ back to their original lengths:

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^t, \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^a, \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^v = \text{Debulk}(\text{Reshape}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2D}^{t,a,v})), \quad (3)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^t \in \mathbb{R}^{T_\delta \times d}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^a \in \mathbb{R}^{T_\delta \times d}, \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^v \in \mathbb{R}^{T_\delta \times d}$.

As shown in Fig. 1, we connect the Modality Augmentation Block (MABlock) with residuals to form a MAN in order to prevent the problem of gradient vanishing in deep networks and to improve the expressive power of the network. Specifically, given three X_{1D}^t, X_{1D}^a , and X_{1D}^v with lengths T_t, T_a, T_v , respectively, which are represented by a one-dimensional tensor $X_{1D} = \{X_{1D}^t, X_{1D}^a, X_{1D}^v\}$ with length T_δ , and for the k th-layer MAN, the inputs are X_{1D}^{k-1} , and its computation procedure is represented by Equation 4:

$$\mathbf{X}_{1D}^k = \text{MABlock}(\mathbf{X}_{1D}^{k-1}) + \mathbf{X}_{1D}^{k-1}. \quad (4)$$

According to Eqs. 1, 2, and 3 the whole process of the k th MAB can be summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{2D}^k &= \text{Reshape}(\text{Padding}(\mathbf{X}_{1D}^{k-1})), \\ \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2D}^k &= \text{Inception}(\mathbf{X}_{2D}^k), \\ \mathbf{X}_{1D}^k &= \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^k = \text{Debulk}(\text{Reshape}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{2D}^k)). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

After the processing of the k-layer MAB, we get three modal outputs $\bar{X}_{1D}^t, \bar{X}_{1D}^a, \bar{X}_{1D}^v$, and finally we need to fuse these three outputs, where we use the average fusion. Through the above operation, we can extract to the inter-modal Feature $\mathbf{X}^c = \text{mean}(\bar{X}_{1D}^t, \bar{X}_{1D}^a, \bar{X}_{1D}^v)$.

In order to capture the intra-modal feature X_α^p within the modality α as well as to maintain the consistency of the MAN structure, we take the text, audio and visual feature inputs as the inputs to the MAN respectively, i.e., three MANs are used to process each of the three modalities, which are denoted by $X_\alpha^p = \text{MAN}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^\alpha, \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^\alpha, \bar{\mathbf{X}}_{1D}^\alpha)$, $\alpha = \{t, a, v\}$.

2.2. Modality Interaction Network (MIN)

In order to fully utilize the consistent and complementary information in the acquired inter-modal and intra-modal features, we propose modality interaction network, which consists of cross-modal Transformer and self-modal Transformer [18], with the intra-modal features guiding the reinforcement of the inter-modal feature.

We apply the cross-modal attention proposed by [17]. The main idea is to use modality β to reconstruct modality α , so as to achieve the fusion of the two modalities. Specifically, the modal β tensor X_β passes through as the inputs of K and V in the attention, and the modal α as the input of Q . Then, the cross-modal attention Y_α is defined as follows:

$$Y_\alpha = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{X_\alpha W_{Q_\alpha} W_{K_\beta}^T X_\beta^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right) X_\beta W_{V_\beta}. \quad (6)$$

Based on Cross-modal Attention, we constitute Cross-modal Transformer by stacking this structure. CMT consists of k layers of Cross-modal Transformer, which takes the outputs $X_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}^{i-1}$ and X_β of the previous layer as inputs, and the outputs are the enhanced features $X_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}^i$:

$$X_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}^i = \text{CMT}_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}^i(X_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}^{i-1}, X_\beta), \quad (7)$$

where $\beta \in \{t, a, v\}$, $X_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}^i \in \mathbb{R}^{T_\delta \times d}$. In addition, in order to fuse the inter-modal and intra-modal information, we also performed the traditional self-attention based Selfmodal Transformer processing for each modality, and finally averaged the two to obtain a comprehensive representation of each modality. It is expressed as follows:

$$Y_\alpha = \frac{Y_\alpha^c + Y_\alpha^p}{2} = \frac{\text{CMT}_{\alpha \rightarrow c}(X^c, X_\alpha^p) + \text{SMT}_\alpha(X_\alpha^p, X_\alpha^p)}{2}, \quad (8)$$

where Y_α^c is the output obtained by CMT using the inter-modal feature X^c and the intra-modal feature of the modal α . Y_α^p is the output obtained by SMT for the modal α , where $\alpha \in \{t, a, v\}$.

2.3. Emotion Classifier

The Emotion classifier uses connected multivariables as inputs to perform sentiment prediction. Finally we input Y_{tav} to the softmax layer to obtain the sentiment category:

$$P_{tav} = \text{GELU}(W_1(Y_t \oplus Y_a \oplus Y_v)) + b_1, \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{y} = \text{softmax}(W_2 P_{tav} + b_2),$$

where W_1, W_2, b_1 and b_2 are trainable parameters. We apply the standard cross-entropy loss function to train the model, for N_b utterances in a batch, these are calculated as:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{N_b} \sum_{i=0}^{N_b} y_i \cdot \log \hat{y}_i. \quad (10)$$

3. EXPERIMENTS

3.1. Datasets

We conducted our experiments using the **MELD** dataset [19]. MELD contains 13708 utterances out of 1433 dialogues of the TV series Old Friends. The dataset contains seven emotions, namely Anger, Disgust, Fear, Happy, Neutral, Sadness and Surprise. For a fair comparison, we conducted experiments using the MELD dataset pre-set with training, validation and test splits.

3.2. Comparison Methods

TFN [8] utilizes an early fusion approach for modal fusion. **LMF** [9] low ranks the tensor for fusion. **DialogueGCN** [7] utilizes the dependency of graph structural context to model conversational data. **DialogueCRN** [5] designs multi-turn reasoning modules to understand conversational context. **MMGCN** [12] utilizes the structural features of graphs to capture intra- and inter-modal features. **MM-DFN** [13] designs graph-based dynamic fusion modules for multimodal context fusion in conversations, and reduces redundant information by capturing context dynamics. Note that [7] and [5] are designed for single-modality, thus introducing early fusion as a multimodal fusion method.

Implementation Details. For text, we used RoBERTa [20] to pre-train the model. For audio, we extracted Mel-spectrogram audio features via librosa. For visual, we used efficientNet [21] pre-trained on the VGGface and AFEW datasets [22, 23] to extract visual features. For the dataset MELD, the batch size is 96, the initial learning rate is 1e-4.

3.3. Overall Results

We compare our model with various state-of-the-art methods and the overall results are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that AIMDiT outperforms the previous methods in terms of accuracy and F1 score on the MELD dataset. Compared to

Table 1. We present the overall performance of Acc-7 and w-F1. We also present w-F1 scores for each category except for the *Disgust* and *Fear* categories, where the sample is smaller. Bold marks indicate best performance.

Methods	MELD					Acc-7	w-F1
	<i>Anger</i>	<i>Joy</i>	<i>Neutral</i>	<i>Sadness</i>	<i>Surprise</i>		
TFN [8]	44.15	51.28	77.43	18.06	47.89	60.77	57.74
LMF [9]	46.64	54.20	76.97	21.15	47.06	61.15	58.30
DialogueCRN [5]	45.15	52.77	77.01	26.63	50.10	61.11	58.67
DialogueGCN [7]	40.83	51.20	75.97	19.60	46.05	59.46	58.10
MMGCN [12]	46.09	53.02	76.33	26.74	48.15	60.42	58.65
MM-DFN [13]	47.82	54.78	77.76	22.93	50.69	62.49	59.46
AIMDiT	44.99	54.00	80.24	27.75	56.97	64.83	62.33

Table 2. The results under different combinations of modes are compared in terms of Acc-7 and w-F1 metrics.

Modality	Acc-7	w-F1
Text	62.83	60.15
Audio	48.46	32.39
Visual	48.12	31.26
Text + Audio	63.12	60.38
Text + Visual	62.37	60.71
Audio + Visual	49.54	35.46
Text + Audio + Visual	64.83	62.33

Table 3. Ablation Study of the MAN and MIN module.

AIMDiT	Acc-7	w-F1
-w/o MAN	61.72	59.54
-w/o MIN	62.91	59.62
AIMDiT (Full)	64.83	62.33

the previous SOTA, AIMDiT improves Acc-7 and w-F1 of MELD by 2.35 % and 2.87 % respectively. As can be seen from the results, our model outperforms a number of sophisticated fusion mechanisms such as TFN and LFN, as well as a range of graph-based fusion models, which illustrates the importance of multimodal fusion.

3.4. Ablation Study

Comparison under Different Modality Settings Table 2 shows a comparison of performance under different modal combinations. It can be seen that the bimodal and trimodal models are generally better than the unimodal model. In the bimodal model, the combination of text and audio (T+A) shows the best performance, indicating that text and audio features are more complementary. In contrast, audio and visual (A+V) have the worst effect, reflecting a high degree of distribution difference and redundancy in audio and visual features, which is also worth improving in the future.

Impact of modules. The MAN module has the ability to ex-

Table 4. Fixed MIN and different performance of AIMDiT in different MAN layers.

MAN	MIN	Acc-7	w-F1
1	4	64.86	61.64
2	4	64.83	62.33
3	4	63.14	59.79

tract inter-modal and intra-modal features, and MIN has the ability to fuse the two features, in order to explore the importance of these two modules, we conducted ablation experiments on both modules and the results are shown in the Table 3. It can be seen that after removing MAN and MIN, compared with the complete model, there is a significant decrease in the performance of both, especially after removing MAN there is a decrease of more than 3 %, which reflects the ability of MAN to extract features.

Impact of MAN layers In order to study the effect of different layers of MAN, we keep the number of MIN layers as 4 and change only the number of MAN layers. The experimental results are shown in Table 4. We find that although the Acc-7 index is highest when the MAN has only 1 layer, the boost is small. Interestingly, the overall results are best when the MAN has 2 layers. However, increasing to 3 layers significantly reduces the effect. This indicates that redundant information between modes accumulates after a certain point in the number of layers.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a novel multimodal fusion framework (AIMDiT) composed of Modality Augmentation Network (MAN) and Modality Interaction Network (MIN) for ERC tasks. Specifically, we designed an inter- and intra-modal features learning network MAN through dimension transformation and Inception convolution, and proposed MIN effectively integrates inter- and intra-modal features for interaction. Extensive experiments on the benchmark dataset MELD demonstrate the effectiveness and superiority of AIMDiT.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Scott Brave and Cliff Nass, “Emotion in human-computer interaction,” in *The human-computer interaction handbook*, 2007, pp. 103–118.
- [2] Ankush Chatterjee, Kedhar Nath Narahari, Megh na Joshi, et al., “SemEval-2019 task 3: EmoContext contextual emotion detection in text,” in *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*, 2019, pp. 39–48.
- [3] Dingkan Yang, Haopeng Kuang, Shuai Huang, et al., “Learning Modality-Specific and -Agnostic Representations for Asynchronous Multimodal Language Sequences,” in *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2022, pp. 1708–1717.
- [4] Fengmao Lv, Xiang Chen, Yanyong Huang, et al., “Progressive Modality Reinforcement for Human Multimodal Emotion Recognition from Unaligned Multimodal Sequences,” in *CVPR*, 2021, pp. 2554–2562.
- [5] Dou Hu, Lingwei Wei, and Xiaoyong Huai, “Dialoguecrn: Contextual reasoning networks for emotion recognition in conversations,” in *ACL/IJCNLP*, 2021, pp. 7042–7052.
- [6] Navonil Majumder, Soujanya Poria, Devamanyu Hazarika, et al., “Dialoguerrn: An attentive RNN for emotion detection in conversations,” in *AAAI*, 2019, pp. 6818–6825.
- [7] Deepanway Ghosal, Navonil Majumder, Soujanya Poria, et al., “Dialoguegrcn: A graph convolutional neural network for emotion recognition in conversation,” in *EMNLP/IJCNLP*, 2019, pp. 154–164.
- [8] Amir Zadeh, Minghai Chen, Soujanya Poria, et al., “Tensor fusion network for multimodal sentiment analysis,” in *EMNLP*, 2017, pp. 1103–1114.
- [9] Zhun Liu, Ying Shen, Varun Bharadhwaj Lakshminarasimhan, et al., “Efficient low-rank multimodal fusion with modality-specific factors,” in *ACL (I)*. 2018, pp. 2247–2256, *ACL*.
- [10] Yahui Fu, Shogo Okada, Longbiao Wang, et al., “CONSK-GCN: conversational semantic- and knowledge-oriented graph convolutional network for multimodal emotion recognition,” in *ICME*. 2021, pp. 1–6, *IEEE*.
- [11] Zaijing Li, Fengxiao Tang, Ming Zhao, et al., “EmoCaps: Emotion Capsule based Model for Conversational Emotion Recognition,” in *ACL*. 2022, pp. 1610–1618, *ACL*.
- [12] Jingwen Hu, Yuchen Liu, Jinming Zhao, et al., “MMGCN: multimodal fusion via deep graph convolution network for emotion recognition in conversation,” in *ACL/IJCNLP*, 2021, pp. 5666–5675.
- [13] Dou Hu, Xiaolong Hou, Lingwei Wei, et al., “MMDFN: Multimodal Dynamic Fusion Network for Emotion Recognition in Conversations,” in *ICASSP*, 2022, pp. 7037–7041.
- [14] Devamanyu Hazarika, Roger Zimmermann, Soujanya Poria, “MISA: Modality-Invariant and -Specific Representations for Multimodal Sentiment Analysis,” in *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2020, pp. 1122–1131.
- [15] Dingkan Yang, Shuai Huang, Haopeng Kuang, et al., “Disentangled Representation Learning for Multimodal Emotion Recognition,” in *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2022, pp. 1642–1651.
- [16] Haixu Wu, Tengge Hu, Yong Liu, et al., “TimesNet: Temporal 2D-Variation Modeling for General Time Series Analysis,” in *ICLR*, 2023.
- [17] Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, Shaojie Bai, Paul Pu Liang, et al., “Multimodal Transformer for Unaligned Multimodal Language Sequences,” in *ACL*, 2019, pp. 6558–6569.
- [18] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, et al., “Attention Is All You Need,” in *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2017, pp. 6000–6010.
- [19] Soujanya Poria, Devamanyu Hazarika, Navonil Majumder, et al., “MELD: A multimodal multi-party dataset for emotion recognition in conversations,” in *ACL*, 2019, pp. 527–536.
- [20] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, et al., “Roberta: A robustly optimized BERT pretraining approach,” in *CoRR*, abs/1907.11692, 2019.
- [21] Mingxing Tan and Quoc V. Le, “Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks,” in *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2019, pp. 6105–6114.
- [22] J. Kossaifi, G. Tzimiropoulos, S. Todorovic, et al., “AFEW-VA database for valence and arousal estimation in-the-wild,” in *Image and Vision Computing*, 2017.
- [23] A. Dhall, R. Goecke, S. Lucey, et al., “Collecting Large, Richly Annotated Facial-Expression Databases from Movies,” in *IEEE MultiMedia*, 2012.