# ON ANTI-AMPLE VECTOR BUNDLES AND NEF AND BIG VECTOR BUNDLES

INDRANIL BISWAS, FATIMA LAYTIMI, D. S. NAGARAJ, AND WERNER NAHM

ABSTRACT. We prove that the direct image of an anti-ample vector bundle is anti-ample under any finite flat morphism of non-singular projective varieties. In the second part we prove some properties of big and nef vector bundles. In particular it is shown that the tensor product of a nef vector bundle with a nef and big vector bundle is again nef and big. This generalizes a result of Schneider.

### 1. Introduction

All the varieties considered in this article are defined over the field  $\mathbb C$  of complex numbers.

Let  $\pi: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a finite flat morphism between non-singular projective varieties. If E is an ample vector bundle on X, in general the direct image vector bundle  $\pi_*E$  need not be ample. However we prove that the dual of an ample bundle behaves well under direct image. More precisely, the following is proved.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\pi: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a finite surjective morphism between non-singular projective varieties. If E is an anti-ample (respectively, anti-nef) vector bundle on X, then the direct image bundle  $\pi_*E$  is an anti-ample (respectively, anti-nef) vector bundle on Y.

It may be mentioned that in general direct image of a non anti-ample bundle may be anti-ample (see Remark 2.3).

In the second part we establish some basic properties of big vector bundles and also nef and big vector bundles.

**Theorem 1.2.** If E and F are big vector bundles on a projective variety X, then the tensor product  $E \otimes F$  is also big.

**Theorem 1.3.** If E is a big vector bundle on a projective variety X, then the symmetric power  $S^m(E)$  is big for all m > 0.

The following result is a generalization of a theorem of M. Schneider [3] (see Remark 3.6).

**Theorem 1.4.** If E and F are nef vector bundles on a projective variety X, and one of them is also big, then  $E \otimes F$  is nef and big.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 14F17, 14J60.

Key words and phrases. Anti-ample bundle, nef vector bundle, big vector bundle.

#### 2. Direct image of anti-ample bundles

For standard notation and facts about vector bundles used here we refer to [4], [5].

**Definition 2.1.** A vector bundle E on a projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  is said to be anti-ample (respectively, anti-nef) if the dual vector bundle  $E^*$  is ample (respectively, nef).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let X and Y be non-singular irreducible projective curves and  $\pi: X \longrightarrow Y$  a nonconstant morphism. Then for any anti-nef bundle E on X, the direct image  $\pi_*E$  is an anti-nef vector bundle.

*Proof.* By the dual version of the criterion of nef-ness of a vector bundle (see, [5, Proposition 16.1.18 (i)]) it is enough to prove the following: For any nonconstant morphism  $f: C \longrightarrow Y$  from any irreducible smooth projective curve C, and any line subbundle  $\phi: L \hookrightarrow f^*\pi_*(E)$  on C, the inequality

$$degree(L) \le 0 \tag{2.1}$$

holds.

There is a non-singular projective curve  $\widetilde{C}$  together with nonconstant morphisms

$$\widetilde{\pi}:\widetilde{C}\longrightarrow C$$
 and  $\widetilde{f}:\widetilde{C}\longrightarrow X$ 

satisfying the condition  $f \circ \widetilde{\pi} = \pi \circ \widetilde{f}$ ; for example, take  $\widetilde{C}$  to be the normalization of any irreducible component of dimension one of the fiber product  $C \times_Y X$ . For any line subbundle

$$\phi : L \longrightarrow f^* \pi_* E, \tag{2.2}$$

we have the line subbundle

$$\widetilde{\pi}^* \phi : \widetilde{\pi}^* L \longrightarrow \widetilde{\pi}^* f^* \pi_* E$$

of  $\widetilde{\pi}^* f^* \pi_* E \longrightarrow \widetilde{C}$ . Consider the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C \times_{Y} X & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
\downarrow q & & \downarrow \pi \\
C & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}$$
(2.3)

We have  $q_*(p^*E) = f^*(\pi_*E)$ . Hence  $\phi$  in (2.2) produces a homomorphism

$$\widehat{\phi} : q^*L \longrightarrow p^*E \tag{2.4}$$

(see [2, p. 110]). Consider the map  $(\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f}) : \widetilde{C} \longrightarrow C \times_Y X$ . Let

$$(\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f})^* \widehat{\phi} : (\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f})^* q^* L \longrightarrow (\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f})^* p^* E$$
 (2.5)

be the pullback of  $\widehat{\phi}$  (see (2.4)). The vector bundle  $(\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f})^*p^*E$  is anti-nef because E is anti-nef and  $p \circ (\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f})$  is a finite morphism. Since  $(\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f})^*p^*E$  is anti-nef, its line subbundle  $(\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f})^*q^*L$  in (2.5) satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{degree}((\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{f})^* q^* L) \leq 0.$$

This implies that (2.1) holds. This completes the proof.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\pi: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a finite surjective morphism of non-singular irreducible projective varieties. Let E be a vector bundle on X.

First assume that E is anti-nef. To prove that  $\pi_*E$  is anti-nef, it suffices to show the following:

If  $f: C \longrightarrow Y$  is any nonconstant morphism from any irreducible smooth projective curve C, then  $f^*(\pi_*E)$  is anti-nef.

Let

$$C \times_{Y} X \xrightarrow{p} X$$

$$\downarrow q \qquad \qquad \downarrow \pi$$

$$C \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

$$(2.6)$$

be the fiber product. Let  $\varphi: Z \longrightarrow C \times_Y X$  be the normalization. Note that we have

$$q_*p^*E \subset (q \circ \varphi)_*(\varphi^*p^*E) \tag{2.7}$$

because the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C \times_Y X \\
\downarrow q \circ \varphi & & \downarrow q \\
C & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & C
\end{array}$$

The vector bundle  $\varphi^*p^*E$  is anti-nef because E is anti-nef and  $p \circ \varphi$  is a finite map onto its image. Therefore, from Lemma 2.2 we conclude that  $(q \circ \varphi)_*(\varphi^*p^*E)$  is anti-nef. This implies that its subsheaf  $q_*(p^*E)$  (see (2.7)) is also anti-nef. But  $q_*(p^*E) = f^*(\pi_*E)$  because the diagram in (2.6) is Cartesian. Hence  $f^*(\pi_*E)$  is anti-nef. This implies the  $\pi_*E$  is anti-nef.

Now assume that E is anti-ample.

Let D be an ample divisor on Y. The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -twisted vector bundle  $E\langle \frac{1}{m}\pi^*(D)\rangle$  is again an anti-ample bundle on X for all large integers m (here we are using the notion of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -twisted bundle as in [5, Ch. 6.2]). Hence we conclude that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -twisted bundle

$$\pi_* \left( E \langle \frac{1}{m} \pi^*(D) \rangle \right) = \pi_*(E) \langle \frac{1}{m} D \rangle$$

is anti-nef for large m. Since the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $-\frac{1}{m}D$  is anti-ample, we conclude that the vector bundle

$$\pi_*(E) = ((\pi_* E) \langle \frac{1}{m} D \rangle) \langle -\frac{1}{m} D \rangle$$

is anti-ample.

**Remark 2.3.** Let C be a non-singular curve of genus 1, and let  $\pi: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a morphism of degree two. If L is any nontrivial line bundle on C of degree 0, then it can be seen that L is anti-nef but it is not anti-ample, while

$$\pi_*(L) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$$

is anti-ample.

#### 3. BIG AND NEF VECTOR BUNDLES

The characterization 2.2.7 of big line bundle in [4] is equivalent to the following:

**Definition 3.1.** A line bundle L on a projective variety X is big if and only if there is an ample line bundle A on X and a positive number m such that

$$H^0(X, L^m \otimes A^*) \neq 0.$$

A vector bundle E is big if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  is big.

We need to recall a theorem from [4].

**Theorem 3.2** ([4, Theorem 2.2.16]). A nef line bundle L on a non-singular projective variety X of dimension n is big if and only if  $c_1(L)^n > 0$ , where  $c_1(L)$  is the first Chern class of L

For a vector bundle this bigness criterion translates into the following:

A nef vector bundle E on a non-singular projective variety X of dimension n is big if and only if  $(-1)^n s_n(E) > 0$ , where  $s_n(E)$  is the top Segre class of E.

The following two propositions will be needed in the proofs of the remaining theorems.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let E be a big vector bundle on X, and let B be any line bundle on X. Then there is an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that

$$H^0(X, S^m(E) \otimes B) \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* Since E is a big vector bundle on X, we deduce from the definition of bigness that there is an ample line bundle A on X such that

$$H^0(X, S^j(E) \otimes A^*) \neq 0$$

for some integer  $j \geq 1$ . Since A is ample, there is an integer  $l \geq 1$  such that

$$H^0(X, A^l \otimes B) \neq 0.$$

If s is a non-zero section of  $S^j(E) \otimes A^*$ , and t is a non-zero section of  $A^l \otimes B$ , then  $\widetilde{s}^l \otimes (\pi^*t)$  is a non-zero section of the line-bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(jl) \otimes (\pi^*B)$ , where  $\widetilde{s}$  is the section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(j) \otimes \pi^*(A^*)$  corresponding to the section s and  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow X$  is the natural projection map. The non-zero section  $\widetilde{s}^l \otimes \pi^*(t)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(jl) \otimes (\pi^*B)$  corresponds to a non-zero section of  $S^{jl}(E) \otimes B$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let E be a vector bundle on X, and let A be any ample line bundle on X. The vector bundle E is big if and only if

$$H^0(X, S^m E \otimes A^*) \neq 0$$

for some  $m \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The condition

$$H^0(X, S^m(E) \otimes A^*) \neq 0$$

is equivalent to the condition

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(m) \otimes \pi^*(A^*)) \neq 0,$$

where  $\pi$  as before is the projection of  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  to X. If s is a non-zero section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(m) \otimes \pi^*(A^*)$  then  $s^j$  is a non-zero section of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(mj) \otimes (\pi^*A^*)^j$  for all integer  $j \geq 1$ . On the

other hand,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1) \otimes \pi^*(A^l)$  is ample on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  for some integer l > 0 (see [2] Proposition 7.10(b)). In view of Definition 3.1, this implies that E is a big vector bundle.

Conversely, if E is big, setting  $B = A^*$  in Proposition 3.3 we obtained the required non-vanishing result in the statement of the proposition.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let A be an ample line bundle on X. Since E and F are assumed to be big, there exist positive integers m and n such that

$$H^0(S^m(E)\otimes A^*) \neq 0$$

and

$$H^0(X, S^n(F) \otimes A^*) \neq 0.$$

The theorem follows immediately from Proposition 3.4 if we prove that

$$H^0(X, S^t(E \otimes F) \otimes (A^s)^*) \neq 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for some integers  $t, s \geq 1$ .

Now, (3.1) is a consequence of the following:

**Lemma 3.5.** Let L be a line bundle and E and F are vector bundles. Let  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$  be positive integers such that  $S^{m_1}(E) \otimes L$  and  $S^{m_2}(F) \otimes L$  have nonzero sections. Then  $S^{m_1m_2}(E \otimes F) \otimes L^{m_1+m_2}$  has a nonzero section.

*Proof.* Let  $s_1$  (respectively,  $s_2$ ) be a non-zero section of  $S^{m_1}(E) \otimes L$  (respectively,  $S^{m_2}(F) \otimes L$ ). By the usual polarization argument, there are vectors  $v_1 \in E_x^*$  and  $w_1 \in L_x^*$  (respectively,  $v_2 \in F_x^*$  and  $w_2 \in L_x^*$ ) such that

$$\langle v_i^{\otimes m_i} \otimes w_i, \, s_i(x) \rangle \neq 0$$

for i = 1, 2. There are the standard natural maps

$$S^{m_1}(E) \otimes L \hookrightarrow E^{\otimes m_1} \otimes L$$

and

$$S^{m_2}(F) \otimes L \hookrightarrow F^{\otimes m_2} \otimes L,$$

and isomorphisms

$$(E^{\otimes m_1} \otimes L)^{\otimes m_2} \simeq E^{\otimes m_1 m_2} \otimes L^{m_2},$$

$$(F^{\otimes m_2} \otimes L)^{\otimes m_1} \simeq F^{\otimes m_1 m_2} \otimes L^{m_1},$$

$$(E^{\otimes m_1 m_2} \otimes L^{m_2}) \otimes (F^{\otimes m_1 m_2} \otimes L^{m_1}) \simeq (E \otimes F)^{\otimes m_1 m_2} \otimes L^{m_2} \otimes L^{m_1},$$

and also there is the surjective map

$$(E \otimes F)^{\otimes m_1 m_2} \otimes L^{m_1 + m_2} \twoheadrightarrow S^{m_1 m_2}(E \otimes F) \otimes L^{m_1 + m_2}.$$

Applying these maps in sequence to  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  we get a section s of

$$S^{m_1m_2}(E\otimes F)\otimes L^{m_1+m_2}.$$

It suffices to show that

$$s \neq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that (3.2) holds because

$$\langle (v_1 \otimes v_2)^{\otimes m_1 m_2} \otimes w_1^{m_2} \otimes w_2^{m_1}, s(x) \rangle =$$
$$\langle v_1^{\otimes m_1} \otimes w_1, s_1(x) \rangle^{m_2} \langle v_2^{\otimes m_2} \otimes w_2, s_2(x) \rangle^{m_1} \neq 0.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

As noted before, Lemma 3.5 completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of the Theorem 1.3:** Since E is big, there is an ample line bundle A on X and an integer j > 0, such that  $S^j(E) \otimes A^*$  has a non-zero section s (see Proposition 3.4). Then  $s^m$  is a non-vanishing section of  $S^{mj}(E) \otimes (A^*)^m$ . Since the natural map from  $S^{mj}(E) \otimes (A^*)^m$  to  $S^j(S^m(E)) \otimes (A^*)^m$  is injective, it follows that  $S^j(S^m(E)) \otimes (A^*)^m$  has a non-zero section. In view of Proposition 3.4, this implies that  $S^m(E)$  is big.  $\square$ 

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Assume that E is big. Let A be an ample line bundle on X such that

$$H^0(X, S^m(E) \otimes A^*) \neq 0 \tag{3.3}$$

for some m > 0. Let

$$f: \widetilde{X} \longrightarrow X$$

be a finite ramified covering map such that there is a line bundle  $\widetilde{A}$  on  $\widetilde{X}$  for which  $f^*A = \widetilde{A}^{2m}$  [4, Theorem 4.1.10 (Bloch-Gieseker covering)]. Then (3.3) implies that

$$H^0(X, S^m(f^*(E) \otimes \widetilde{A}^*) \otimes (\widetilde{A}^*)^m) \neq 0.$$

Thus the vector bundle  $f^*(E) \otimes \widetilde{A}^*$  is big. On the other hand, since the vector bundle F in Theorem 1.4 is nef, and  $\widetilde{A}$  is ample, we conclude that  $\widetilde{A} \otimes f^*F$  is ample, and hence it is big. Since  $f^*(E) \otimes \widetilde{A}^*$  and  $\widetilde{A} \otimes f^*F$  are big, Theorem 1.2 says that

$$f^*(E) \otimes \widetilde{A}^* \otimes \widetilde{A} \otimes f^*F = f^*(E \otimes F)$$

is also big.

The tensor product of nef vector bundles is nef (see [5, Theorem 6.2.12]), and the pullback of a nef vector bundle — under a surjective morphism — is nef, we conclude that  $f^*(E \otimes F)$  is nef. Hence  $f^*(E \otimes F)$  is nef and big. If the pullback  $\Phi^*W$  of a vector bundle W by a finite surjective morphism  $\Phi$  is nef, then W is nef. Consequently,  $E \otimes F$  is nef. Also, the pullback by finite covers does not change the sign of the Segre class, so that  $E \otimes F$  is nef and big (see Theorem 3.2).

**Remark 3.6.** In [3] it is shown that tensor product of a nef line bundle with a nef vector bundle is nef and big, provided one of them is also big. Hence Theorem 1.4 is a generalization of Schneider's result.

**Acknowledgments**: This work was supported in part by the Labex CEMPI (ANR-11-LABX-0007-01). We thank the referee for his suggestions.

#### References

- [1] R. Hartshorne, Ample vector bundles on curves, Nagoya Math. Jour. 43 (1971), 73–89.
- [2] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [3] M. Schneider, Some remarks on vanishing theorems for holomorphic vector bundles, *Math. Zeit.* **186** (1984), 135–142.
- [4] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry*. I, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [5] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry*. II, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

## **Data Availability Statements**

No data is used.

Department of Mathematics, Shiv Nadar University, NH91, Tehsil Dadri, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh 201314, India

 $\it Email\ address: indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in, indranil29@gmail.com$ 

MATHÉMATIQUES - BÂT. M2, UNIVERSITÉ LILLE 1, F-59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE *Email address*: fatima.laytimi@univ-lille.fr

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Tirupati, Srinivasapuram-Jangalapalli Village, Panguru (G.P) Yerpedu Mandal, Tirupati - 517619, Chittoor Dist., Andhra Pradesh India

Email address: dsn@labs.iisertirupati.ac.in

DUBLIN INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES, 10 BURLINGTON ROAD, DUBLIN 4, IRELAND

Email address: wnahm@stp.dias.ie