

# Analysis of short range interactions between $u/d$ quarks in the $NN$ , $D_{03}$ , and $D_{30}$ systems

Qi-Fang Lü,<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> Yu-Bing Dong,<sup>4,5,†</sup> Peng-Nian Shen,<sup>4,‡</sup> and Zong-Ye Zhang<sup>4,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China

<sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, Changsha 410081, China

<sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory for Matter Microstructure and Function of Hunan Province, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China

<sup>4</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>5</sup>School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China

The dynamic mechanism of short range interaction between  $u/d$  quarks is still an open and challenging problem. In order to reveal this quark dynamics, we perform a systematic analysis of  $NN$ ,  $D_{03}$ , and  $D_{30}$  systems in the (extended) chiral SU(3) constituent quark models. By comparing results calculated with different models and different parameter sets, the effects of one gluon exchange and vector meson exchange terms are carefully examined. The results indicate that the vector meson exchange interactions dominate the short range interactions between  $u/d$  quarks, while the small residual one gluon exchange coupling strength is also allowed.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the strong interactions between constituent quarks is a fundamental and intriguing topic in hadron physics. Now, it becomes clear that the hadronic dynamics is governed by quantum chromodynamics (QCD), which shows asymptotic freedom in the short range and color confinement in the long distance. Owing to the complexity of non-perturbative properties, rigorous solutions for QCD in low-energy region become extremely difficult, and then one has to seek lattice QCD calculations, effective field theory, and various phenomenological models. In particular, some constituent quark models [1–13] were proposed in spite of QCD, which has gained considerable successes in describing the internal structures and properties of hadrons, such as baryon spectroscopy and nucleon-nucleon scattering. Despite these achievements, several long-standing problems and disputes exist in the constituent quark models [14–20], especially for the short-range interactions between light quarks. Resolving these difficulties is undoubtedly important for further understanding the strong interaction in the lower energy QCD region.

Historically, the study of the origin of strong interaction began with investigating the nuclear force on the hadron level, where the nucleon-nucleon scattering data were used as a check point for adjudging theoretical models. The most successful model is so-called the one boson exchange model, where the pion and  $\sigma$  meson exchanges as well as the vector mesons  $\rho$  and  $\omega$  exchanges are also introduced to reproduce the abundant experimental data. With a large number of coupling strengths and cutoff parameters, the nucleon-nucleon interaction can be well described [21–24]. This model has also been extended to various hadronic systems to study exotic systems. One of the achievements on studying new exotica strongly showed that vector meson exchange interactions are mainly responsible for the short range interaction and make

certain contribution to the formation of some loosely bound molecular states [25–28].

Since the QCD was established, the study of the strong interaction has entered the quark level. One of the successful model is the constituent quark model, in which one can adopt a few unified parameters to describe as many properties of hadronic systems as possible and investigate the fundamental quark dynamics naturally. It is found that the short range interaction between hadrons, in particular the repulsive core, is closely related to the one-gluon exchange and the quark exchange. Moreover, on the quark level, it is easy to deal with the quark exchange effect and hidden color configurations that are absent on the hadron level. To be specific, different quark-quark ( $q-q$ ) potentials are used in different constituent quark models. In the model we used, namely the chiral SU(3) or extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model, the pseudoscalar and scalar chiral field induces  $q-q$  potentials and the confining  $q-q$  potential are mainly responsible for the medium and long range interactions, while the one gluon exchange (OGE) and/or vector meson exchange (VME) induced interactions dominate the short range interaction. An inevitable question arises: which interaction provides the short range interaction between  $u/d$  quarks, OGE, VME, or both of interacting terms? Until now, the dynamic mechanism of short range interactions between  $u/d$  quarks is still an open and challenging problem.

In fact, in the bound state problem of the  $NN$  system, the roles of OGE and VME potentials in the nucleon-nucleon interaction have intensively been investigated, but a consensus for the provider of the short range interaction cannot be reached. One of the main reasons is that the studied deuteron is a loosely bound state of the  $NN$  system and the size of the deuteron is quite large. Therefore, the separation of the two interacting nucleons is so large that they interact primarily through the long range interaction arising from pion meson exchange and are very insensitive to the short range interactions. To reveal the mechanism of short range interaction between  $u/d$  quarks, it is better to find a system which is not only more compact than the deuteron but also has experimental data about its properties. The dibaryons  $D_{03}$  is just such a system, where the notation  $D_{IJ}$  stands for a dibaryon with isospin  $I$  and spin  $J$ . In order to make the quark model more

\*Electronic address: lvqifang@hunnu.edu.cn

†Electronic address: dongyb@ihep.ac.cn

‡Electronic address: shenpn@ihep.ac.cn

§Electronic address: zhangzy@ihep.ac.cn

reliable and more applicable, it is also an important work to check and adjust the model parameters as much as possible with the observed data of other dibaryons. For this reason, the mirror state  $D_{30}$  of the dibaryon  $D_{03}$  should also be studied, where the short range interaction of vector meson induced potentials are completely different from those in  $D_{03}$ . In fact, there have been many research works on these two states in the literature both experimentally [29–35] and theoretically [36–55]. Therefore, we want to emphasize that the  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  systems provide excellent platforms to investigate the short range interactions between  $u/d$  quarks at the quark level.

In this work, we perform a systematic analysis of  $NN$ ,  $D_{03}$ , and  $D_{30}$  systems in the (extended) chiral SU(3) constituent quark models. Firstly, for each mentioned quark model, we adjust the model parameters to get the best fit of the available data of the ground state masses of light baryons, binding energy of deuteron, and the  $NN$  phase shifts. Then, in terms of the same set of adjusted model parameters, we calculate the properties of  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  dibaryons. Finally, by comparing the results from various interaction models, namely models with different interactions, we study the effects of OGE and VME interactions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the theoretical formalism of (extended) chiral SU(3) constituent quark model is introduced. We present the results and discussions of  $NN$ ,  $D_{03}$ , and  $D_{30}$  systems in Sec. III. A summary is given in the last section.

## II. FORMALISM

### A. Interactions

On the quark level, the interactions between constituent quarks are intermediated by the gluon and chiral field. Here, we choose the chiral SU(3) quark model, where the interactive Lagrangian between the quark and chiral field can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_I^{ch} = -g_{ch}\bar{\psi}\left(\sum_{a=0}^8\lambda_a\sigma_a + i\gamma_5\sum_{a=0}^8\lambda_a\pi_a\right)\psi, \quad (1)$$

where  $g_{ch}$  is the coupling constant of quark with the chiral field,  $\psi$  is the quark field, and  $\sigma_a$  and  $\pi_a$  ( $a = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ ) are the scalar and pseudo-scalar nonet chiral fields, respectively. According to this Lagrangian, the corresponding Hamiltonian can be obtained

$$\mathcal{H}_I^{ch} = g_{ch}F(\mathbf{q}^2)\bar{\psi}\left(\sum_{a=0}^8\lambda_a\sigma_a + i\gamma_5\sum_{a=0}^8\lambda_a\pi_a\right)\psi. \quad (2)$$

Here, a form factor  $F(\mathbf{q}^2)$  is introduced to describe the structures of the chiral fields, which is usually taken as

$$F(\mathbf{q}^2) = \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 + \mathbf{q}^2}\right)^{1/2}. \quad (3)$$

The  $\Lambda$  is the cutoff mass, which corresponds to the scale of the chiral symmetry breaking. From this Hamiltonian, one

can easily derive the quark-quark interaction  $V^{\sigma_a}$  and  $V^{\pi_a}$  arising from the chiral fields, which mainly provide the medium range interaction. Besides the chiral field induced interactions, OGE potential  $V^{OGE}$  and phenomenological confining potential  $V^{conf}$  are still needed, which correspond to the short range and long range interactions, respectively. Consequently, the total Hamiltonian for a six-quark system in the chiral SU(3) quark model can be given by

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^6 T_i - T_G + \sum_{j>i=1}^6 \left( V_{ij}^{OGE} + V_{ij}^{conf} + V_{ij}^{ch} \right), \quad (4)$$

with

$$V_{ij}^{ch} = \sum_{a=1}^8 V_{ij}^{\sigma_a} + \sum_{a=1}^8 V_{ij}^{\pi_a}, \quad (5)$$

where the  $T_i$  and  $T_G$  are the kinetic energy operators for the  $i$ -th quark and the center of mass motion, respectively. The  $V_{ij}^{OGE}$ ,  $V_{ij}^{conf}$ , and  $V_{ij}^{ch}$  stand for the OGE, confinement, and chiral field induced interactions between the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th quarks, respectively.

To better study the short-range interaction mechanism, the interactions between the quark and the vector meson fields are introduced in the extended chiral SU(3) quark model [8]. The interactive Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_I^{chv} = -g_{chv}\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\lambda_a\rho_a^\mu\psi - \frac{f_{chv}}{2M_N}\bar{\psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\lambda_a\partial^\mu\rho_a^\nu\psi. \quad (6)$$

Here the  $\rho_a$  ( $a = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ ) represent the vector nonet fields, and  $g_{chv}$  and  $f_{chv}$  stand for the coupling constants for vector and tensor terms between quark and vector fields, respectively. After adding the vector meson exchange interaction, the chiral fields induced effective interaction between the  $i$ -th quark and the  $j$ -th quark,  $V^{ch}$  reads

$$V_{ij}^{ch} = \sum_{a=0}^8 V_{ij}^{\sigma_a} + \sum_{a=0}^8 V_{ij}^{\pi_a} + \sum_{a=0}^8 V_{ij}^{\rho_a}, \quad (7)$$

with  $V^{\rho_a}$  being the quark-quark interaction potential induced by vector-meson exchanges. The vector-meson exchange potential  $V^{\rho_a}$  is also short range, which competes with the OGE interaction. Therefore, it is more suitable to investigate the short range interaction mechanism in the extended chiral SU(3) quark model. The explicit expressions of these potentials can be found in Refs. [7, 8, 51].

### B. Parameters

In our calculation, the  $\eta$  and  $\eta'$  mesons are mixed by  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_8$ , and the mixing angle  $\theta_\eta$  is taken to be the usual value with  $\theta_\eta = -23^\circ$ . For the  $\omega$  and  $\phi$  mesons, we adopt the flavor wave functions  $(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$  and  $s\bar{s}$  respectively, that is, they are mixed by  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_8$  with the ideal mixing angle  $\theta_\omega = -54.7^\circ$ . In the non-strange multi-quark systems, the  $u$  or  $d$

quark mass is taken to be  $m_{u/d} = 313$  MeV. The harmonic-oscillator width parameter  $b_u$  in the Gaussian wave function for each  $u$  or  $d$  quark is chosen to be around 0.45 fm, and the effects of its variation will be discussed in the following section.

All meson masses are taken from the experimental values except for the  $\sigma$  meson. According to the dynamical vacuum spontaneous breaking mechanism, its value should satisfy [8]

$$m_{\sigma}^2 = (2m_u)^2 + m_{\pi}^2. \quad (8)$$

This gives us a strong constraint for the mass of  $\sigma$  meson. As in previous calculations, we treat it as an adjustable parameter by fitting the binding energy of deuteron, which lies in the reasonable range around 500 ~ 700 MeV. Also, the cutoff mass  $\Lambda$  is taken to be 1100 MeV that is close to the chiral symmetry breaking scale.

In the chiral SU(3) quark model, the coupling constant between the quark field and the scalar and pseudo-scalar chiral fields  $g_{\text{ch}}$  is determined according to the relation

$$\frac{g_{\text{ch}}^2}{4\pi} = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^2 \frac{g_{NN\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{m_u^2}{M_N^2}, \quad (9)$$

with  $g_{NN\pi}^2/4\pi = 13.67$ . After the parameters of chiral fields are fixed, the coupling constant  $g_u$  of OGE interaction can be determined by the mass gap of  $\Delta - N$ . The confinement strength  $a_{uu}^c$  and zero-point energy  $a_{uu}^{c0}$  are then fixed by the stability condition and the mass of nucleon, respectively.

In the extended chiral SU(3) quark model, the VME interactions are also added, and three types of coupling constants are considered here. Two types of coupling constants are same as in previous works [8, 51]:  $g_{\text{chv}} = 2.351$ ,  $f_{\text{chv}}/g_{\text{chv}} = 0$ , and  $g_{\text{chv}} = 1.972$ ,  $f_{\text{chv}}/g_{\text{chv}} = 2/3$ . In present calculation, to study the mechanism of the short range interaction, we also consider an extreme case, where OGE interaction is excluded and only VME interactions are responsible for short range interaction. In this situation, the coupling constant  $g_u$  of OGE interaction is forced to equal to zero, where the OGE term is replaced by the VME interactions. Then the  $g_{\text{chv}}$  is no longer a free parameter, which is completely determined by the constraint of  $\Delta - N$  mass gap. The typical value of  $g_{\text{chv}}$  in this case is 2.536 when  $b_u$  equals to 0.45 fm.

All the parameters used in present work are tabulated in Table I. The Sets I, II, and III represent three cases with  $b_u = 0.43, 0.45,$  and  $0.47$  fm, respectively. It should be mentioned that most of parameters in our model are strongly restricted by theoretical models and experimental data. The number of adjustable parameters have been greatly reduced in comparison to the one boson exchange model on hadronic level.

When all the parameters in the potentials are determined, two baryon systems on the quark level can be studied by using the resonating group method (RGM). Then, one can dynamically obtain the partial wave phase shifts of  $NN$  scattering and properties for dibaryons. More details about (extended) chiral SU(3) quark model and RGM can be found in previous works [7, 8, 51].

TABLE I: Model parameters. The meson masses and the cutoff mass are  $m_{\sigma} = 980$  MeV,  $m_{\epsilon} = 980$  MeV,  $m_{\pi} = 138$  MeV,  $m_{\eta} = 548$  MeV,  $m_{\eta'} = 958$  MeV,  $m_{\rho} = 770$  MeV,  $m_{\omega} = 782$  MeV, and  $\Lambda = 1100$  MeV.

Set I	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3)		
		f/g=0	f/g=2/3	no OGE
$b_u$ (fm)	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43
$g_{\text{ch}}$	2.621	2.621	2.621	2.621
$g_{\text{chv}}$	...	2.351	1.972	2.358
$m_{\sigma}$ (MeV)	702	549	561	547
$g_u$	0.672	0.052	0.269	...
$a_{uu}^c$ (MeV/fm <sup>2</sup> )	117.47	58.23	52.48	57.84
$a_{uu}^{c0}$ (MeV)	-113.80	-86.75	-77.57	-86.52
Set II	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3)		
		f/g=0	f/g=2/3	no OGE
$b_u$ (fm)	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45
$g_{\text{ch}}$	2.621	2.621	2.621	2.621
$g_{\text{chv}}$	...	2.351	1.972	2.536
$m_{\sigma}$ (MeV)	668	535	547	522
$g_u$	0.730	0.273	0.385	...
$a_{uu}^c$ (MeV/fm <sup>2</sup> )	92.39	48.43	43.33	41.46
$a_{uu}^{c0}$ (MeV)	-92.61	-75.46	-66.38	-72.95
Set III	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3)		
		f/g=0	f/g=2/3	no OGE
$b_u$ (fm)	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47
$g_{\text{ch}}$	2.621	2.621	2.621	2.621
$g_{\text{chv}}$	...	2.351	1.972	2.715
$m_{\sigma}$ (MeV)	637	524	533	498
$g_u$	0.788	0.394	0.483	...
$a_{uu}^c$ (MeV/fm <sup>2</sup> )	72.16	39.79	35.24	29.22
$a_{uu}^{c0}$ (MeV)	-72.77	-64.00	-54.84	-61.38

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

With the above potentials and parameters, we can calculate the properties of deuteron,  $NN$  scattering,  $D_{03}$ , and  $D_{30}$  to study the short range interactions between  $u/d$  quarks. The binding energy, root-mean-square (RMS) radius, and fraction of channel wave functions for deuteron are listed in Table II. The calculated binding energy for deuteron are limited within the range of 2.10 ~ 2.30 MeV, which fix the model parameters, such as the mass of  $\sigma$  meson. The resultant RMS radii and the percentages of partial waves in different models are almost the same. Specifically, the resultant percentages for the  $S$ -wave and  $D$ -wave are 93 ~ 95% and 5 ~ 7% respectively in the deuteron, which indicates that all the chiral SU(3) and extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark models used can well describe the deuteron. Moreover, the resultant RMS radius of 1.3 ~ 1.4 fm shows a large separation between constituent nucleon clusters. In other words, the proton and neutron in deuteron feel each other mainly through the long range interactions and are insensitive to the short range interactions. Therefore, the deuteron is not an ideal platform to distinguish the dynamic mechanism of short range interactions.

The  $S$ -wave phase shifts of  $NN$  scattering in different quark models with  $b_u = 0.45$  fm are displayed in Figure 1. From this figure, one sees that resultant  $^1S_0$  phase shifts by

TABLE II: Binding energy, RMS radius, and fraction of channel wave functions for deuteron in different models and parameters. The units for binding energy, RMS, and fraction are in MeV, fm, and percentage, respectively.

Set I	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3)		
		$f/g=0$	$f/g=2/3$	no OGE
Binding energy	2.25	2.20	2.22	2.24
RMS	1.31	1.33	1.33	1.33
Fraction of $(NN)_{L=0}$	92.88	94.47	94.52	94.48
Fraction of $(NN)_{L=2}$	7.12	5.53	5.48	5.52
Set II	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3)		
		$f/g=0$	$f/g=2/3$	no OGE
Binding energy	2.27	2.25	2.20	2.17
RMS	1.33	1.34	1.35	1.35
Fraction of $(NN)_{L=0}$	93.09	94.65	94.70	94.90
Fraction of $(NN)_{L=2}$	6.91	5.35	5.30	5.10
Set III	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3)		
		$f/g=0$	$f/g=2/3$	no OGE
Binding energy	2.27	2.13	2.24	2.22
RMS	1.34	1.36	1.36	1.37
Fraction of $(NN)_{L=0}$	93.30	94.84	94.87	95.32
Fraction of $(NN)_{L=2}$	6.70	5.16	5.13	4.68

using the chiral SU(3) constituent quark model underestimate the experimental data, while the results from the extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model with different  $g_{chv}$  are obviously more reasonable. Meanwhile, the obtained  ${}^3S_1$  phase shifts in either chiral SU(3) constituent quark model and extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model can provide an excellent description of the experimental data. Moreover, changes in  $b_u$  around 0.45 fm have almost no effect on the behaviors of these phase shifts. Here, we would emphasize that when the VME potential is introduced, namely in the extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model, there are two important features: (1) the data of the NN phase shifts, in particular the  ${}^1S_0$  phase shifts, can naturally be reproduced; (2) the strength of OGE interaction is greatly reduced.

Since the deuteron is a loosely bound state and insensitive to the short range interactions, we move on to other non-strange dibaryons, especially the more compact ones. Clearly,  $d^*(2380)$ , which is usually regarded as a dibaryon  $D_{03}$  composed of  $\Delta\Delta$  and hidden-color components (CC) in the literature, satisfies this demand. The results for  $D_{03}$  and its mirror state  $D_{30}$  are listed in Table III. From this table, one sees that the binding energy of  $D_{03}$  is about 19 ~ 32 MeV, which is far away from the measured data for  $d^*(2380)$ . Actually, one cannot obtain a reasonable binding energy by using SU(3) chiral quark model even with  $b_u = 0.5$  fm and significantly large  $g_u$  [51]. Meanwhile, in the case of the extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model with  $b_u = 0.43 \sim 0.47$  fm and  $f/g = 0$ , the resultant binding energy of  $d^*(2380)$  is about 73 ~ 87 MeV, which is compatible with the experimental data of 84 MeV. However, when the ratio of  $f/g$  shifts from 0 to 2/3, the obtained binding energy of  $d^*(2380)$  is about 58 ~ 75 MeV, which underestimates the data. The reason may be due to the introduction of the tensor component in the




FIG. 1: The  $S$ -wave phase shifts of NN scattering in different quark models with  $b_u = 0.45$  fm. The black points stands for the experimental data [56].

vector-chiral-field-induced  $q - q$  interaction, in order to better explain the available NN data, the vector meson coupling constant  $g_{chv}$  has to be decreased. Moreover, if we further eliminated OGE completely, the binding energy of  $D_{03}$  will be about 73 ~ 111 MeV, which is sensitive to the parameter  $b_u$ . In a word, the change trend of the binding energy of  $D_{03}$  with the change of the model used is the same as that of deuteron. From these results, we believe that the short-range attractive feature of VME plays an important role in the formation of  $D_{03}$ , which is the same as that provided by OGE, but it is much stronger. However, in order to reduce the dependence of the binding energy on model parameters, say the width parameter  $b_u$ , it seems that it is necessary to have a certain amount of OGE interaction. In fact, in this way, not only the dependence of the binding energy on  $b_u$  is reduced, but



also the gluon coupling constant  $g_u$  becomes smaller, so that  $g_u$  is more consistent with QCD theory. Obviously, these results do not support the views in some literature [4, 5] that the OGE is the only mechanism for the short range interaction for nonstrange dibaryons.

It should be specially mentioned that unlike the deuteron, the  $D_{03}$  system is a deeply bound state with a large CC component, and the calculated RMS radius is about 0.77 fm. This compact structure arises from the large quark exchange effect and attractive short range interaction, and as a consequence, a very large hidden color component (CC) appears. The large quark exchange effect can be seen from spin-flavor-color (SFC) coefficients due to symmetry, which can be characterized by the averaged value of antisymmetrizer  $\langle \mathcal{A}^{sfc} \rangle$  in the spin-flavor-color space. In the six identical quark systems, the antisymmetrizer is usually simplified as

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 - 9P_{36}. \quad (10)$$

In Table IV, we list some relevant SFC coefficients for present work. It is easy to see that the averaged value  $\langle \mathcal{A}^{sfc} \rangle$  equals to 2 for  $D_{03}$  system, which exhibits highly strong quark change effect in this system.

Besides  $D_{03}$ , its mirror state  $D_{30}$  has also attracted great attention from experimentalists and theoreticians, because according to the symmetry analysis of J. Dyson [41],  $D_{30}$  should also have the same binding energy as  $D_{03}$  has. However, till now, the newest experiment suggested that the  $D_{30}$  should be weakly bound or lie above the  $\Delta\Delta$  threshold [33]. One possible reason is that this structure may lie near the  $\Delta\Delta$  threshold and have a broad width. However, fundamentally speaking, its weak bound or unbound characteristics should be caused by the repulsive feature of the short-range interaction of exchanged vector particles, say gluon and/or vector mesons in such a spin-isospin six quark system. This can also be seen from the differences of SFC coefficients between  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  systems in Table IV, where the operators  $(\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j)(\lambda_i^c \cdot \lambda_j^c)$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^3 \lambda_i^F(k)\lambda_j^F(k)$  play important roles in the OGE and VME potentials, respectively. To verify this assertion, based on the great success in explaining the data of  $D_{03}$ , we calculated  $D_{30}$  properties with the same method and the same sets of model parameters. The obtained results are also tabulated in Table III. From this table, one finds that by using the models used above, the binding energy of  $D_{30}$  is about 5 ~ 13 MeV which is compatible with the newest data and is almost independent of the parameter  $b_u$ . In particular, the results in the extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model with  $f/g = 0$  and no OGE cases agree with the experimental finding. Combined with the best result for  $D_{03}$ , it seems that using the extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model with  $f/g = 0$ , the data of  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  can be well explained simultaneously. Again, these results supports our previous conclusion that the VME interaction plays an important role in the short-range interactions in nonstrange systems.

As a symbiotic result, we also obtain corresponding wave functions for deuteron,  $D_{03}$ , and  $D_{30}$ . We plot the relative wave functions by using the extended chiral SU(3) constituent

quark model with  $f/g = 0$  and  $b_u = 0.45$  fm in Figure 2. Evidently, the wave function curves of deuteron,  $D_{03}$ , and  $D_{30}$  exhibit quite different behaviors and consequently the RMS radii. The wave function of deuteron exhibits a wide distribution for both  $S$ -wave and  $D$ -wave, so the RMS radius is quite large. The wave function of  $D_{03}$  shows a very narrow and very large distribution of the CC component and a more or less wider and smaller distribution of the  $\Delta\Delta$  component, so its RMS radius is small, namely formed a compact six quark state. In contrast, although  $D_{30}$  also has a narrow wave function of the CC component, but it is not as large as in  $D_{03}$ . Meanwhile, it has a much wider wave function of the  $\Delta\Delta$  component which is larger compared with its CC component. Therefore, its RMS radius is also relatively large. The characteristics of these wave functions are consistent with the binding properties of the corresponding states obtained above. The features of these wave functions again imply that owing to the large RMS radius and lack of CC component, the deuteron can hardly be used to distinguish the relative importance between OGE and VME in short distance. On the contrary, due to existences of richer CC components,  $D_{03}$  with short-range attractive feature and  $D_{30}$  with short-range repulsive character can be used as a good platform to study which of VME and OGE is responsible for the short-range interaction.

#### IV. SUMMARY

In order to reveal the dynamic mechanism of short range interactions between  $u/d$  quarks, we perform a systematic analysis of the  $NN$ ,  $D_{03}$ , and  $D_{30}$  systems in terms of the (extended) chiral SU(3) constituent quark models. The OGE interaction and hidden color components can be easily dealt with in these constituent quark models. All model parameters are fixed by fitting the ground state masses of nonstrange baryons and binding energy of deuteron. Then, we calculate the  $NN$  phase shifts and the properties of  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  dibaryons by using the RGM in two types of chiral SU(3) constituent quark models with various sets of model parameters, and analyze the effects of OGE and VME interactions in the short range carefully.

Our results show that in the extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model, the binding energies of  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  are 58 ~ 111 MeV and 5 ~ 12MeV, respectively. Further considerations need to be able to well explain all the data of the ground state masses of non-strange baryons, the  $NN$  phase shifts, the binding energy of deuteron, the binding energy of  $D_{03}$  dibaryon and its decay properties, and the binding behavior of  $D_{30}$  dibaryon with the same set of model parameters, and then the extended chiral SU(3) constituent quark model with  $f/g = 0$  and  $b_u = 0.45$  fm should be adopted. In this case, deuteron has a binding energy of 2.25 MeV and RMS radius of 1.34 fm, respectively, while  $D_{03}$  has a binding energy and a RMS radius of 80.08 MeV and 0.77 fm, and  $D_{30}$  has a binding energy and a RMS radius of 6.00 MeV and 1.25 fm, respectively. In other word,  $D_{03}$  is a compact hexaquark dominated state and  $D_{30}$  is a weakly bound state. Clearly, these values agree with the observed data. For the  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  states,

TABLE III: Binding energies, RMS radii, and fractions of channel wave functions for dibaryons  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  in different models and parameters. The units for binding energy, RMS, and fraction are in MeV, fm, and percentage, respectively.

Set I	$D_{03}$				$D_{30}$			
	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=0)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=2/3)	Ext. SU(3) (no OGE)	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=0)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=2/3)	Ext. SU(3) (no OGE)
Binding energy	18.54	72.84	58.27	73.39	10.92	4.96	10.71	5.00
RMS	0.98	0.76	0.79	0.76	1.10	1.25	1.14	1.25
Fraction of $(\Delta\Delta)_{L=0}$	46.52	33.68	35.69	33.63	64.40	77.96	71.94	77.97
Fraction of $(\Delta\Delta)_{L=2}$	2.18	0.64	0.76	0.63	...	...	...	...
Fraction of $(CC)_{L=0}$	51.30	65.68	63.54	65.74	35.60	22.04	28.06	22.03
Fraction of $(CC)_{L=2}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	...	...	...	...

Set II	$D_{03}$				$D_{30}$			
	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=0)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=2/3)	Ext. SU(3) (no OGE)	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=0)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=2/3)	Ext. SU(3) (no OGE)
Binding energy	25.09	80.08	66.40	91.21	12.30	6.00	11.65	5.28
RMS	0.94	0.77	0.79	0.76	1.10	1.25	1.14	1.27
Fraction of $(\Delta\Delta)_{L=0}$	42.07	31.91	33.41	30.90	61.29	74.30	68.31	76.19
Fraction of $(\Delta\Delta)_{L=2}$	1.59	0.49	0.57	0.41	...	...	...	...
Fraction of $(CC)_{L=0}$	56.34	67.60	66.02	68.68	38.71	25.70	31.69	23.81
Fraction of $(CC)_{L=2}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	...	...	...	...

Set III	$D_{03}$				$D_{30}$			
	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=0)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=2/3)	Ext. SU(3) (no OGE)	SU(3)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=0)	Ext. SU(3) (f/g=2/3)	Ext. SU(3) (no OGE)
Binding energy	32.35	87.01	75.02	110.95	13.38	6.71	12.49	5.54
RMS	0.91	0.79	0.80	0.77	1.10	1.25	1.15	1.29
Fraction of $(\Delta\Delta)_{L=0}$	38.39	30.33	31.40	28.63	58.56	71.08	64.98	74.51
Fraction of $(\Delta\Delta)_{L=2}$	1.15	0.38	0.42	0.27	...	...	...	...
Fraction of $(CC)_{L=0}$	60.45	69.29	68.18	71.10	41.44	28.92	35.02	25.49
Fraction of $(CC)_{L=2}$	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	...	...	...	...

TABLE IV: Relevant SFC coefficients of central potentials for  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  systems. When the SFC coefficients are different between these two systems, the values for  $D_{30}$  systems are listed in the parenthesis.

$\hat{O}_{ij}$	$\Delta\Delta/\Delta\Delta$	$\Delta\Delta/CC$	$CC/CC$	$\hat{O}_{ij}$	$\Delta\Delta/\Delta\Delta$	$\Delta\Delta/CC$	$CC/CC$	
1	1	0	1	$P_{36}$	-1/9	-4/9	-7/9	
$\lambda_i^c \cdot \lambda_j^c$	$\hat{O}_{12}$	-8/3	0	-2/3	$\hat{O}_{12}$	1	0	-1(1)
	$\hat{O}_{36}$	0	0	-4/3	$\hat{O}_{36}$	-5/3(1)	0	-1/3(1)
	$\hat{O}_{12}P_{36}$	8/27	32/27	2/27	$\hat{O}_{12}P_{36}$	-1/9	-4/9	11/9(-7/9)
	$\hat{O}_{13}P_{36}$	8/27	32/27	20/27	$\hat{O}_{13}P_{36}$	-1/9	-4/9	5/9(-7/9)
	$\hat{O}_{16}P_{36}$	8/27	-4/27	20/27	$\hat{O}_{16}P_{36}$	-1/9	8/9(-4/9)	5/9(-7/9)
	$\hat{O}_{14}P_{36}$	-4/27	2/27	35/27	$\hat{O}_{14}P_{36}$	1/3(-1/9)	2/3(-4/9)	0(-7/9)
$(\sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j)(\lambda_i^c \cdot \lambda_j^c)$	$\hat{O}_{36}P_{36}$	-16/27	8/27	32/27	$\hat{O}_{36}P_{36}$	7/9(-1/9)	4/9(-4/9)	1/9(-7/9)
	$\hat{O}_{12}$	-8/3	0	-2/3(-10/3)	$\hat{O}_{12}$	1	0	-1
	$\hat{O}_{36}$	0	0(-16/9)	-4/3(-20/9)	$\hat{O}_{36}$	-5/3	0	-1/3
	$\hat{O}_{12}P_{36}$	8/27	32/27	2/27(74/27)	$\hat{O}_{12}P_{36}$	-1/9	-4/9	11/9
	$\hat{O}_{13}P_{36}$	8/27	32/27	20/27(68/27)	$\hat{O}_{13}P_{36}$	-1/9	-4/9	5/9
	$\hat{O}_{16}P_{36}$	8/27	-4/27(44/27)	20/27(68/27)	$\hat{O}_{16}P_{36}$	-1/9	8/9	5/9
$\sum_{k=1}^3 \lambda_i^F(k) \lambda_j^F(k)$	$\hat{O}_{14}P_{36}$	-4/27(4/9)	2/27(14/9)	35/27(7/3)	$\hat{O}_{14}P_{36}$	1/3	2/3	0
	$\hat{O}_{36}P_{36}$	-16/27(112/27)	8/27(-8/27)	32/27(88/27)	$\hat{O}_{36}P_{36}$	7/9	4/9	1/9

the great difference of their binding energies is largely due to the fact that in the  $D_{03}$  and  $D_{30}$  states, the short-range interactions of the vector particle exchange potentials present the attractive and repulsive features, respectively. Based on these observations, we believe that the VME interactions dominate the short range interactions between  $u/d$  quarks, while a small

residual OGE coupling constant  $g_u$  has a small value of 0.273 which solves the puzzle of the large gluon coupling constant in old constituent quark models and meets the requirement of the QCD theory. Of course, to thoroughly understand the dynamic mechanism of short-range interaction, more theoretical and experimental investigations are still needed.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Lian-Rong Dai for helpful discussions. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundations of China under Grant No. 12375142 and of Hunan Province under Grant No. 2023JJ40421, and the Key Project of Hunan Provincial Education Department under Grant No.

21A0039. This work is also supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Contract No. 2020YFA0406300 and by the Sino-German CRC 110 Symmetries and the Emergence of Structure in QCD project by NSFC under Grant No. 12070131001.

- 
- [1] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Hyperfine Interactions in Negative Parity Baryons, *Phys. Lett. B* **72**, 109 (1977).
- [2] P. N. Shen, Y. B. Dong, Z. Y. Zhang, Y. W. Yu and T. S. H. Lee,  $E2/M1$  ratio of the  $\Delta \leftrightarrow \gamma N$  transition within the chiral constituent quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **55**, 2024-2029 (1997).
- [3] L. Y. Glozman and D. O. Riska, The Spectrum of the nucleons and the strange hyperons and chiral dynamics, *Phys. Rept.* **268**, 263-303 (1996).
- [4] M. Oka and K. Yazaki, Short Range Part of Baryon Baryon Interaction in a Quark Model. 1. Formulation, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **66**, 556-571 (1981).
- [5] M. Oka and K. Yazaki, Short Range Part of Baryon Baryon Interaction in a Quark Model. 2. Numerical Results for S-Wave, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **66**, 572-587 (1981).
- [6] A. Faessler, F. Fernandez, G. Lubeck and K. Shimizu, The Nucleon Nucleon Interaction and the Role of the (42) Orbital Six Quark Symmetry, *Nucl. Phys. A* **402**, 555-568 (1983).
- [7] Z. Y. Zhang, Y. W. Yu, P. N. Shen, L. R. Dai, A. Faessler and U. Straub, Hyperon nucleon interactions in a chiral SU(3) quark model, *Nucl. Phys. A* **625**, 59-70 (1997).
- [8] L. R. Dai, Z. Y. Zhang, Y. W. Yu and P. Wang, NN interactions in the extended chiral SU(3) quark model, *Nucl. Phys. A* **727**, 321-332 (2003).
- [9] J. L. Ping, F. Wang and J. T. Goldman, Effective baryon baryon potentials in the quark delocalization and color screening model, *Nucl. Phys. A* **657**, 95-109 (1999).
- [10] M. Furuichi and K. Shimizu, Description of SU(3) octet and decuplet baryons, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 025201 (2002).
- [11] H. Garcilazo, A. Valcarce and F. Fernandez, Baryon spectrum in the chiral constituent quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **63**, 035207 (2001).
- [12] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 2809-2835 (1986).
- [13] B. R. He, M. Harada and B. S. Zou, Quark model with hidden local symmetry and its application to  $T_{cc}$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 054025 (2023).
- [14] N. Isgur, Critique of a pion exchange model for interquark forces, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 054026 (2000).
- [15] L. Y. Glozman, Reply to Isgur's 'Critique of a pion exchange model for interquark forces', arXiv:nucl-th/9909021.
- [16] K. F. Liu, S. J. Dong, T. Draper, D. Leinweber, J. H. Sloan, W. Wilcox and R. M. Woloshyn, Valence QCD: Connecting QCD to the quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 112001 (1999).
- [17] N. Isgur, Comment on 'Valence QCD: Connecting QCD to the quark model', *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 118501 (2000).
- [18] K. F. Liu, S. J. Dong, T. Draper, J. H. Sloan, W. Wilcox and R. M. Woloshyn, Reply to Isgur's comments on valence QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 118502 (2000).
- [19] L. Meng, Y. K. Chen, Y. Ma and S. L. Zhu, Tetraquark bound states in constituent quark models: Benchmark test calculations," *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 114016 (2023).
- [20] D. Pirjol and C. Schat, Quark Forces from Hadron Spectroscopy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 152002 (2009).
- [21] V. G. J. Stoks, R. A. M. Klomp, C. P. F. Terheggen and J. J. de Swart, Construction of high quality NN potential models, *Phys. Rev. C* **49**, 2950-2962 (1994).
- [22] L. Jade and H. V. von Geramb, A Nonlinear approach to NN interactions using selfinteracting meson fields, *Phys. Rev. C* **55**, 57-66 (1997).
- [23] B. Long and C. J. Yang, Renormalizing chiral nuclear forces: Triplet channels, *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 034002 (2012).
- [24] J. X. Lu, C. X. Wang, Y. Xiao, L. S. Geng, J. Meng and P. Ring, Accurate relativistic chiral nucleon-nucleon interaction up to next-to-next-to-leading order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 142002 (2022).
- [25] F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, U. G. Meißner, Q. Wang, Q. Zhao and B. S. Zou, Hadronic molecules, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 015004 (2018);[erratum: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **94**, 029901 (2022)].
- [26] X. K. Dong, F. K. Guo and B. S. Zou, A survey of heavy-heavy hadronic molecules, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **73**, 125201 (2021).
- [27] X. K. Dong, Y. H. Lin and B. S. Zou, Interpretation of the  $\eta_1(1855)$  as a  $K\bar{K}_1(1400) + c.c.$  molecule, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **65**, 261011 (2022).
- [28] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu, Y. R. Liu and S. L. Zhu, An updated review of the new hadron states, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **86**, 026201 (2023).
- [29] M. Bashkanov *et al.*, Double-Pionic Fusion of Nuclear Systems and the ABC Effect: Approaching a Puzzle by Exclusive and Kinematically Complete Measurements, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 052301 (2009).
- [30] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), ABC Effect in Basic Double-Pionic Fusion — Observation of a new resonance?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 242302 (2011).
- [31] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), Evidence for a New Resonance from Polarized Neutron-Proton Scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 202301 (2014).
- [32] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), Neutron-proton scattering in the context of the  $d^*(2380)$  resonance, *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 035204 (2014).
- [33] P. Adlarson *et al.* (WASA-at-COSY Collaboration), Search for an isospin  $I = 3$  dibaryon, *Phys. Lett. B* **762**, 455-461 (2016).
- [34] H. Clement, On the History of Dibaryons and their Final Discover, *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics*, **93**, 195 (2017).
- [35] H. Clement and T. Skorodko, Dibaryons: Molecular versus Compact Hexaquarks, *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, 022001 (2021).
- [36] Y. Dong, P. Shen and Z. Zhang,  $d^*(2380)$  in a chiral constituent quark model, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **131**, 104045 (2023).
- [37] Q. F. Lü, F. Huang, Y. B. Dong, P. N. Shen and Z. Y. Zhang, Six-quark structure of  $d^*(2380)$  in a chiral constituent quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 014036 (2017).
- [38] J. L. Ping, F. Wang and J. T. Goldman, The  $d^*$  dibaryon in the extended quark delocalization, color screening model, *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 044003 (2002).
- [39] M. Bashkanov, S. J. Brodsky and H. Clement, Novel Six-Quark




FIG. 2: Relative wave functions for deuteron,  $D_{03}$ , and  $D_{30}$  in the extended chiral SU(3) quark model with  $f/g = 0$  and  $b_u = 0.45$  fm.

Hidden-Color Dibaryon States in QCD, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 438 (2013).

- [40] X. Q. Yuan, Z. Y. Zhang, Y. W. Yu and P. N. Shen, Deltaron dibaryon structure in chiral SU(3) quark model, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 045203 (1999).
- [41] F. Dyson and N. H. Xuong,  $Y = 2$  States in SU(6) Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 815 (1964).
- [42] A. Gal and H. Garcilazo, Three-Body Calculation of the Delta-Delta Dibaryon Candidate  $D_{03}(2370)$  at 2.37 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 172301 (2013).
- [43] A. Gal and H. Garcilazo, Three-body model calculations of  $N\Delta$  and  $\Delta\Delta$  dibaryon resonances, Nucl. Phys. A **928**, 73 (2014).
- [44] H. Huang, J. Ping and F. Wang, Dynamical calculation of the  $\Delta\Delta$  dibaryon candidates, Phys. Rev. C **89**, 034001 (2014).
- [45] H. X. Chen, E. L. Cui, W. Chen, T. G. Steele and S. L. Zhu, QCD sum rule study of the  $d^*(2380)$ , Phys. Rev. C **91**, 025204 (2015).
- [46] Q. B. Li and P. N. Shen, Possible Delta-Delta dibaryons in the quark cluster model, J. Phys. G **26**, 1207-1216 (2000).
- [47] W. Park, A. Park and S. H. Lee, Dibaryons in a constituent quark model, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 014037 (2015).
- [48] L. R. Dai, Delta Delta dibaryon structure in extended chiral SU(3) quark model, Chin. Phys. Lett. **22**, 2204 (2005).
- [49] F. Huang, Z. Y. Zhang, P. N. Shen and W. L. Wang, Is  $d^*$  a candidate for a hexaquark-dominated exotic state?, Chin. Phys. C **39**, 071001 (2015).
- [50] Y. Dong, P. Shen, F. Huang and Z. Zhang, Theoretical study of the  $d^*(2380) \rightarrow d\pi\pi$  decay width, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 064002 (2015).
- [51] F. Huang, P. N. Shen, Y. B. Dong and Z. Y. Zhang, Understanding the structure of  $d^*(2380)$  in chiral quark model, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. **59**, 622002 (2016).
- [52] N. Ikeno, R. Molina and E. Oset, Triangle singularity mechanism for the  $pp \rightarrow \pi + d$  fusion reaction, Phys. Rev. C **104**, 014614 (2021).
- [53] V. I. Kukulín, V. N. Pomerantsev, O. A. Rubtsova, M. N. Platonova and I. T. Obukhovskiy, Dibaryon resonances and short-range NN interaction, Chin. Phys. C **46**, 114106 (2022).
- [54] L. Dai, Y. Wang, L. Chen and T. Zhang, The Role of the Hidden Color Channel in Some Interesting Dibaryon Candidates, Symmetry **15**, 446 (2023).
- [55] M. Bashkanov, D. P. Watts, G. Clash, M. Mocuán and M. Nicol, Dibaryons and where to find them, J. Phys. G **51**, 045106 (2024).
- [56] R. A. Arndt, I. I. Strakovsky and R. L. Workman, An Updated analysis of  $NN$  elastic scattering data to 1.6 GeV, Phys. Rev. C **50**, 2731-2741 (1994).