Birth, Death, and Horizontal Flight: Malthusian flocks with an easy plane in three dimensions

John Toner^{1,*}

¹Department of Physics and Institute for Fundamental Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403

I formulate the theory of three dimensional "Malthusian flocks" – i.e., coherently moving collections of self-propelled entities (such as living creatures) which are being "born" and "dying" during their motion – whose constituents all have a preference for having their velocity vectors lie parallel to the same two-dimensional plane. I determine the universal scaling exponents characterizing such systems exactly, finding that the dynamical exponent z = 3/2, the "anisotropy" exponent $\zeta = 3/4$, and the "roughness" exponent $\chi = -1/2$. I also give the scaling laws implied by these exponents.

In addition to its obvious importance to biology, the study of "Active matter" [2–8] has been of immense interest to physicists because of the novel collective phenomena that occur in such systems. These include longranged orientational order in spatial dimension d=2 [9–12], and the breakdown of linearized hydrodynamics that occurs in polar ordered active fluids; aka "flocks") [10, 13, 14].

Reproduction (along with its inevitable companion, death) is another important non-equilibrium biological phenomenon. One class of systems that exhibit both spontaneous motion and birth and death is so-called "Malthusian flocks" [15–18]; that is, polar ordered active fluids in which the number of active particles is *not* conserved. Such systems are readily experimentally realizable in experiments on a, e.g., growing bacteria colonies and cell tissues[6], and "treadmilling" molecular motor propelled biological macromolecules in a variety of intracellular structures, including the cytoskleton[19], and mitotic spindles[20], in which molecules are being created and destroyed as they move.

This prior work has treated Malthusian flocks whose underlying dynamics are completely isotropic. However, in many biological systems, anisotropy plays an important role. For example, birds are much more inclined to fly horizontally than vertically (for obvious evolutionary reasons!). Many oceanic creatures, such as plankton[21] preferentially swim horizontally as well.

In this paper, I consider the effects of such anisotropy on three-dimensional Malthusian flocks. That is, I consider a flock that fills a three dimensional space, whose constituents have a preference for moving parallel to an "easy plane", which I take to be the x-y plane. The "hard" direction orthogonal to this axis I will call[22] r_h . The two-component velocity field of the flock $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is a function of the three-dimensional Cartesian co-ordinate $\mathbf{r}=(x,y,r_h)$ as well as time t.

I focus on what is known in the field of active matter as a "dry" system; that is, one without momentum conservation. In a three dimensional system as I am considering here, this would correspond to flockers moving through a fixed, frictional medium (e.g., worms crawling through soil).

Similarly to the isotropic theory of dry Malthusian flocks treated in [15–18], the hydrodynamic theory I develop here may also be viewed as a generic nonequilibrium 3-dimensional 2-component spin model[23] (i.e., a non-equilibrium "XY" model), with space-spin couplings that are invariant under simultaneous rotations of space and spin between the two components of a spin $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{r},t)$ and the (x,y) coordinates of the three dimensional space. In such a spin model, fluctuations in the system propagate spatially in a spin-direction-dependent manner, but the spins themselves are not moving. Like the Malthusian flock, which has no appreciable density fluctuations because the Malthusian balance between birth and death suppresses them, such a spin system clearly has no density fluctuations either, in this case because there is no motion. Therefore, the only hydrodynamic variable in such a spin system is the spin field $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{r},t)$. Furthermore, since both the symmetries and conservation laws of such a spin system are the same as those of the easy-plane Malthusian flock I consider here, the hydrodynamic equation of motion for $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is exactly the same as the one we derive here for a Malthusian flock, with spin playing the role of the velocity field.

I focus here on the "polar ordered" state of the Malthusian flock; that is, a state of an active polar system in which the spatially averaged velocity vector $\langle \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle$ is non-zero in the thermodynamic limit of $N \to \infty$, where N is the number of active agents (which I will hereafter call "flockers"). I will chose my coordinate system so that the direction of the mean velocity is x; hence, y is the direction perpendicular to the mean flock motion in the easy plane.

The large-distance, long-time scaling behavior of the easy plane Malthusian flock is characterized by three universal exponents: a "dynamical" exponent z, which relates time scales to length scales along y; an "anisotropy" exponent ζ , which relates length scales along both y and r_h to length scales along y, and a "roughness" exponent χ , which relates the scale of velocity fluctuations to length scales along y.

More precisely, the anisotropy exponent ζ , relates length scales along both y and r_h in a "boosted" frame moving along the direction of flock motion at a non-

universal speed γ which is *not*, in general, the average speed v_0 of the flock itself, although it is likely to be of the same order of magnitude.

That is, the exponents are defined via the "typical" time t(y), "typical" perpendicular distance $R_{\perp}(y)$, and "typical" velocity fluctuation $v_y(y)$

$$t(y) \propto |y|^z$$
 , $R_{\perp}(y) \propto |y|^{\zeta}$, $v_y(y) \propto |y|^{\chi}$. (1)

where

$$R_{\perp} = \sqrt{(x - \gamma t)^2 + \alpha r_h^2} \tag{2}$$

with α a dimensionless, non-universal (i.e., system-dependent) parameter of O(1).

These exponents can be determined from the correlations of the velocity field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$. I find that the two point velocity correlation functions take the scaling form

$$\langle \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}', t + T) \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}', T) \rangle = |y|^{2\chi} F\left(\frac{(R_{\perp}/a_{\perp})}{(|y|/a_y)^{\zeta}}, \frac{(|t|/\tau)}{(|y|/a_y^z)}\right) \propto \begin{cases} |y|^{2\chi}, & \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{a_{\perp}}\right) \ll \left(\frac{|y|}{a_y}\right)^{\zeta}, \frac{|t|}{\tau} \ll \left(\frac{|y|}{a_y}\right)^{z} \\ R_{\perp}^{\frac{2\chi}{\zeta}}, & \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{a_{\perp}}\right) \gg \left(\frac{|y|}{a_y}\right)^{\zeta}, \frac{|t|}{\tau} \ll \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{a_{\perp}}\right)^{z/\zeta} \\ |t|^{\frac{2\chi}{z}}, & \frac{|t|}{\tau} \gg \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{a_{\perp}}\right)^{z/\zeta}, \frac{|t|}{\tau} \gg \left(\frac{|y|}{a_y}\right)^{z}, \end{cases}$$
(3)

where $\mathbf{r}=(x,y,r_h),\; F\left(\frac{(R_\perp/a_\perp)}{(|y|/a_y)^\zeta},\frac{(|t|/\tau)}{(|y|/a_y^z)}\right)$ is a scaling function, which is universal up to a non-universal overall multiplicative constant, $a_{y,\perp}$ are non-universal microscopic lengths, and τ an equally non-universal microscopic time.

Despite the fact that this problem proves to be fundamentally non-linear, and in contrast to both "immortal" flocks[24], and *isotropic* Malthusian flocks[15–18], I can,

thanks to the symmetries of this *anisotropic* problem, and the structure of its equation of motion, determine these scaling exponents *exactly*[25]. I find:

$$z = 3/2$$
 , $\zeta = 3/4$, $\chi = -1/2$. (4)

Inserting these results into (3) gives

$$\langle \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}', t + T) \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}', T) \rangle = |y|^{-1} F\left(\frac{(R_{\perp}/a_{\perp})}{(|y|/a_y)^{3/4}}, \frac{(|t|/\tau)}{(|y|/a_y^{3/2})}\right) \propto \begin{cases} y^{-1}, & \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{a_{\perp}}\right) \ll \left(\frac{y}{a_y}\right)^{3/4}, \frac{|t|}{\tau} \ll \left(\frac{y}{a_y}\right)^{3/2} \\ R_{\perp}^{-(4/3)}, & \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{a_{\perp}}\right)^{3/4} \gg \frac{y}{a_y}, \frac{|t|}{\tau} \ll \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{a_{\perp}}\right)^{2}, \frac{|t|}{\tau} \gg \left(\frac{R_{\perp}}{a_{\perp}}\right)^{3/4} \end{cases}$$
(5)

I will now derive these results.

Equation of motion. The hydrodynamic equation of motion for a Malthusian flock in an isotropic space was derived in [15]. The hydrodynamic equation of motion I derive here is essentially the same, except for the confinement of the velocity field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$ to lie in the (x,y) plane.

Here I consider this confinement to be absolutely rigorous: in my approach, the only non-zero components of $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$ are the x and y components v_x and v_y .

One could imagine relaxing this constraint, and considering anisotropic flocks in which the flockers were allowed

to move in the hard direction, but with motion along that direction suppressed by, e.g., decaying with a finite lifetime. However, it is straightforward to show that such a suppression will only grow upon renormalization, leading to complete suppression of the hard component of the velocity as the system is renormalized. Physically, this simply amounts to the statement that, if we look at the system on time scales much longer than the relaxation time of the hard component of the velocity, that component will be effectively zero. I therefore chose to restrict the velocity field \mathbf{v} in the hydrodynamic theory to lie in the (x,y) plane.

Similar considerations apply to the magnitude $v \equiv |\mathbf{v}|$ of the velocity $|\mathbf{v}|$. That is, I could choose to allow this magnitude to fluctuate, as was done in, e.g., the "Toner-Tu" equations[10, 13]. However, since we expect any flocking system to have a preferred speed v_0 , with fluctuations away from this speed decaying with a finite lifetime, we can instead choose to work from the outset with a velocity which is fixed in magnitude at v_0 .[26]

In addition to the above considerations, I now apply the general principles of hydrodynamics:

I) The hydrodynamic variables are the "slow" variables: that is, variables that evolve slowly at long wavelengths. There are generically two reasons a variable will be slow in this sense: first, if it is a "Goldstone mode" associated with a spontaneously broken continuous symmetry, and second, if it is a conserved variable.

If we define our x-axis to be the direction of the par-

tially averaged velocity $\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle$ of the flock, then the component $v_y(\mathbf{r},t)$ of velocity perpendicular to that direction is a Goldstone mode, and, hence, will be one of our hydrodynamic variables.

In flocks without birth and death, in which, therefore, the number of flockers is conserved, the local density $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)$ of the flockers is a slow variable for this reason. This additional variable complicates the hydrodynamics considerably. This greater complexity makes it impossible to determine the exact scaling exponents for such "immortal" flocks[24, 27–32]. Here, this difficulty does not arise, since $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)$ is not conserved, and, hence, not a hydrodynamic mode.

Therefore, the only hydrodynamic variable is the velocity field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$. Its equation of motion is constrained by rotational invariance in the x-y plane to be:

$$\partial_t v_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = T_{ki} \left(-\lambda(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)v_i + \mu_{BE} \partial_i^E(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \mu_{TE} \nabla_E^2 v_i + \mu_h \partial_h^2 v_i + \mu_A (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)^2 v_i + f_i \right)$$
(6)

where the indices i and j run over (x, y), and I have defined the transverse projection operator

$$T_{ki} \equiv \delta_{ki}^E - v_k(\mathbf{r}, t)v_i(\mathbf{r}, t)/v_0^2 \tag{7}$$

which projects any vector orthogonal to $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$. Its presence in (6) insures that the fixed length condition $|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)| = v_0$ on $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is preserved.

The \mathbf{f} term in (6) is a random Gaussian white noise, reflecting errors made by the flockers, with correlations:

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 2D\delta_{ij}^E \delta^d(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t')$$
 (8)

where the noise strength D is a constant hydrodynamic parameter and i, j label vector components.

In the ordered state (defined as the state in which $\langle \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle = v_0 \hat{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathbf{0}$, where I've chosen the spontaneously picked direction of mean flock motion as our x-axis), we can write the velocity as:

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sqrt{v_0^2 - v_y^2(\mathbf{r},t)}\,\hat{\mathbf{x}} + v_y(\mathbf{r},t)\hat{\mathbf{y}}.$$
 (9)

Taking the y-component of the equation of motion (6), changing co-ordinates to a new Galilean frame \mathbf{r}' moving with respect to our original frame in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ of mean flock motion at speed $\gamma = \lambda v_0$ – i.e., $\mathbf{r}' \equiv \mathbf{r} - \gamma t \hat{\mathbf{x}}$, and, finally, expanding to cubic order in v_y and dropping terms of order v_y^2 with more than one spatial derivative, gives

$$\partial_t v_y + \frac{\lambda}{2} \partial_y (v_y^2) + \lambda_3 \partial_x (v_y^3) = \left(\mu_x \partial_x^2 + \mu_y \partial_y^2 + \mu_h \partial_h^2 \right) v_y + f_y , \qquad (10)$$

where I have defined $\lambda_3 \equiv -\frac{\lambda}{6v_0^2}$, and the diffusion constants $\mu_{x,y}$ are given by

$$\mu_x = \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle TE} + \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle A} v_0^2 \quad , \quad \mu_y = \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle BE} + \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle TE} \, . \eqno(11)$$

Stability of the homogeneous ordered state requires that μ_{x,y,r_h} are all positive.

I will begin by studying the equation obtained from

(10) by dropping the cubic λ_3 term, which reads:

$$\partial_t v_y + \frac{\lambda}{2} \partial_y (v_y^2) = \left(\mu_x \partial_x^2 + \mu_y \partial_y^2 + \mu_h^2 \partial_h^2 \right) v_y + f_y.$$
(12)

Dynamic renormalization group (DRG) analysis. I now perform a DRG analysis [33] on the equation of motion (12). Specifically, I first average over short wavelength degrees of freedom, and then perform the following rescaling [34]:

$$(x, r_h) \mapsto e^{\zeta \ell}(x, r_h) , y \mapsto e^{\ell} y , t \mapsto e^{z\ell} t , v_y \mapsto e^{\chi \ell} v_y .$$

$$(13)$$

There are a number of features of the structure of the reduced equation of motion (12) that prevent certain parameters appearing in (12) from being renormalized by the first step of the DRG process (that is, the averaging over the short-wavelength degrees of freedom). In the jargon of the field, these parameters get no "graphical" renormalization.

The first such parameter is the coefficient λ of the only nonlinear term in the equation of motion, $\lambda \partial_y(v_y^2)$. This gets no graphical renormalization because of the inherent pseudo-Galilean symmetry of (12), i.e., the invariance of the equation of motion under the simultaneous replacements: $y \mapsto y + t\lambda w$ and $v_y \mapsto v_y + w$ for any arbitrary constant w.

The parameters $\mu_{x,h}$ also get no renormalization, because that sole non-linearity $\lambda \partial_y(v_y^2)$ is a total y-derivative, and, hence, can only renormalize terms in the equation of motion that are also total y-derivatives, which the $\mu_{x,h}$ are clearly not.

Finally, the noise strength D gets no graphical renormalization for essentially the same reason: it also involves no y-derivatives.

In light of these considerations, the recursion relations for the aforementioned parameters can be obtained purely from the rescaling step of the DRG, and are easily shown to read:

$$\frac{1}{D}\frac{\mathrm{d}D}{\mathrm{d}\ell} = z - 2\chi - d + 1 - \zeta, \qquad (14)$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{\mathrm{d}\ell} = z + \chi - 1, \qquad (15)$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu_{x,z}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_{x,z}}{\mathrm{d}\ell} = z - 2\zeta \,, \tag{16}$$

exactly.

To obtain a DRG fixed point, all three of these derivatives must vanish. This gives us three independent linear equations in the three unknown exponents z, ζ , and χ , whose solutions are equation (4).

With these exponents in hand, a straightforward and entirely standard DRG analysis implies that the two-point velocity-velocity correlation function has the form (5).

Proving the irrelvance of λ_3 . Since both the λ and the λ_3 vertex in equation blah actually originate from the same rotation-invariant $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}$ term in our original equation of motion blah, rotational invariance implies that the ratio of these two term remains fixed at $-6v_0^2$. Since our system has long-ranged orientational order, which is equivalent to a non-zero average velocity, v_0 can get no significant renormalization at large distances. Thus, the

ratio of λ to λ_3 must remain unchanged by the graphical corrections to them. Therefore, the DRG recursion relations for these two parameters must read:

$$\frac{d \ln \lambda}{d\ell} = z + \chi - 1 + f(\lambda_3, \dots),$$

$$\frac{d \ln \lambda_3}{d\ell} = z + 2\chi - \zeta + f(\lambda_3, \dots), \qquad (17)$$

where $f(\lambda_3,...)$ denotes the graphical corrections.[35]

My earlier argument that λ gets no graphical correction when $\lambda_3 = 0$ implies that $f(\lambda_3, ...)$ vanishes as $\lambda_3 \to 0$. Therefore, it is at most $O(\lambda_3)$ for small λ_3 . Hence the recursion relation (17) for λ_3 implies

$$\frac{d\lambda_3}{d\ell} = (z + 2\chi - \zeta)\lambda_3 + O(\lambda_3^2) = -\frac{1}{4}\lambda_3 + O(\lambda_3^2).$$
 (18)

Thus I see that a sufficiently small λ_3 is always irrelevant, with RG eigenvalue -1/4. This justifies dropping the λ_3 term, as I did earlier.

Summary. I have formulated the hydrodynamic theory of three dimensional "Malthusian flocks" moving with an easy plane. This problem proves to be one of the rare problems[25] for which, despite strongly relevant nonlinearities in the equation of motion, it is possible to determine the universal scaling exponents characterizing it exactly, finding that the dynamical exponent z=3/2, the "anisotropy" exponent $\zeta=3/4$, and the "roughness" exponent $\chi=-1/2$. I've also determined the scaling form of the velocity-velocity two point correlation function implied by these exponents.

I am grateful to Sriram Ramaswamy and Ananyo Maitra for extremely valuable discussions, without which I would not have been able to demonstrate the irrelevance of the cubic vertex λ_3 , on which my entire argument for exact exponents depends. I also thank The Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics at the University of Edinburgh, where this work was done, for their hospitality and support.

- * Electronic address: jjt@uoregon.edu
- [1] J. Toner, The Physics of Flocking: Birth, Death, and Flight in Active Matter, Cambridge University Press, (2024).
- [2] S. Ramaswamy, The mechanics and statics of active matter. Ann. Rev. Condens. Matt. Phys. 1, 323-345 (2010).
- [3] M.C. Marchetti, J.F. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T.B. Liverpool, J. Prost, M. Rao, and R.A. Simha, Hydrodynamics of soft active matter, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 85, 1143-1188 (2013).
- [4] C. Bechinger, R. Di Leonardo, H. Löwen, C. Reichhardt, G. Volpe, and G. Volpe, Active particles in complex and crowded environments, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 045006 (2016).

- [5] F. Schweitzer, Brownian Agents and Active Particles: Collective Dynamics in the Natural and Social Sciences. Springer Series in Synergetics (Springer, New York, 2003).
- [6] J. Ranft, M. Basan, J. Elgeti, J.-F. Joanny, J. Prost and F. Jülicher, Fluidization of Tissues by Cell Division and Apoptosis, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 20863 (2010); J. Ranft, J. Prost, F. Jülicher, and J.-F. Joanny, Tissue Dynamics with Permeation, Eur. Phys. J. E 35, 46 (2012).
- [7] M. E. Cates, D. Marenduzzo, I. Pagonabarraga, and J. Tailleur, Arrested phase separation in reproducing bacteria creates a generic route to pattern formation, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107(26), 11715 (2010).
- [8] D. Dell'Arciprete, M. L. Blow, A. T. Brown, F. D. C. Farrell, J. S. Lintuvuori, A. F. McVey, D. Marenduzzo and W. C. K. Poon, A growing bacterial colony in two dimensions as an active nematic, Nature Communications 9, 4190 (2018); Z. You, D. J. G. Pearce, A. Sengupta, and L. Giomi, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031065 (2018); A. Doostmohammadi, S. P. Thampi, and J. M. Yeomans, Defect-Mediated Morphologies in Growing Cell Colonies, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 048102 (2016).
- [9] T. Vicsek, A. Czirók, E. Ben-jacob, I. Cohen, and O. Shochet, Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-Driven particles. Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1226 (1995).
- [10] J. Toner, and Y. Tu, Long-range order in a twodimensional dynamical XY model: how birds fly together. Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4326 (1995).
- [11] G. Grégoire and H. Chaté, Onset of Collective and Cohesive Motion. Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 025702 (2004).
- [12] H. Chaté, F. Ginelli, G. Grégoire, and F. Raynaud, Collective motion of self-propelled particles interacting without cohesion. Phys. Rev. E 77, 046113 (2008).
- [13] J. Toner, and Y. Tu, Flocks, herds, and schools: a quantitative theory of flocking. Phys. Rev. E 58, 4828(1998).
- [14] J. Toner, Y. Tu, and S. Ramaswamy, Hydrodynamics and phases of flocks. Ann. Phys. 318, 170 (2005).
- [15] J. Toner, Birth, Death, and Flight: Α Theory Malthusian Flocks. Physical Reof Letters 108, 088102 (2012).URl http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.088102. Sadly, the argument in this paper's claim for exact exponents proves to be incorrect. I thank A. Maitra and S. Ramaswamy for pointing the flaw in this argument out
- [16] T. R. Malthus, An Essay on the Principle of Population, edited by J. Johnson (St. Paul's Churchyard, London, 1798).
- [17] L. Chen, C. F. Lee, and J. Toner, Moving, reproducing, and dying beyond Flatland: Malthusian flocks in dimensions d > 2, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 098003 (2020).
- [18] L. Chen, C. F. Lee, and J. Toner, A novel nonequilibrium state of matter: a $d=4-\epsilon$ expansion study of Malthusian flocks, Phys. Rev. E 102, 022610 (2020).
- [19] K. Kruse, J.-F. Joanny, F. Jülicher, J. Prost, and K. Sekimoto, Generic Theory of Active Polar Gels: A Paradigm for Cytoskeletal Dynamics, Eur. Phys. J. E 16, 5 (2005).
- [20] J. Brugués and D. Needleman, Physical basis of spindle self-organization, PNAS 111 (52) 18496 (2014).
- [21] R. Benzi, D. R. Nelson, S, Shankar, F. Toschi, and X. Zhu, (2022). Spatial population genetics with fluid flow. Reports on Progress in Physics, 85(9), 096601 (2022).
- [22] I refrain from the the obvious choice of calling this coordi-

- nate "z" because I reserve the symbol z for the dynamical exponent.
- [23] This conclusion was independently reached for isotropic systems by L. P. Dadhichi, J. Kethapelli, R. Chajwa, S. Ramaswamy, and A. Maitra, Nonmutual torques and the unimportance of motility for long-range order in twodimensional flocks, Phys. Rev. E 101, 052601.
- [24] J. Toner, Reanalysis of the hydrodynamic theory of fluid, polar-ordered flocks. Phys. Rev. E 86, 031918-1-031918-9 (2012).
- [25] It has proven possible to determine exact exponents for a number of incompressible systems. See, e.g., L. Chen, C. F. Lee, and J. Toner, Squeezed in three dimensions, moving in two: Hydrodynamic theory of 3D incompressible easy-plane polar active fluids, Phys. Rev. E 98, 040602(R) (2018); L. Chen, C. F. Lee, and J. Toner, L. Chen, Incompressible polar active fluids in the moving phase in dimensions d > 2, arXiv:1806.02548, New J. Phys. 20, 113035 (2018); L. Chen, C.F. Lee, A. Maitra, and J. Toner, Packed swarms on dirt: two dimensional incompressible flocks with quenched and annealed disorder, arXiv:2202.02865, and Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 188004 (2022); L. Chen, C.F. Lee, A. Maitra, and J. Toner, Incompressible polar active fluids with quenched disorder in two dimensions, arXiv:2205.14384, and Phys. Rev. E 106, 044608 (2022); L. Chen, C.F. Lee, A. Maitra, and J. Toner, Incompressible polar active fluids with quenched disorder in dimensions d > 2, arXiv:2203.01892, and Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 198001 (2022). However, since the systems considered in all of the above work are incompressible, they correspond to an extreme - indeed, a singular, and unstable under renormalization, limit of one of the parameters: namely, the limit of inverse compressibility going to infinity. In contrast, all of the parameters in the theory Malthusian flocks are finite, except for those that are driven to infinity by renormalization.
- [26] Alternatively, one could instead choose to take as the hydrodynamic variable in the problem the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \equiv \mathbf{v}/|\mathbf{v}|$ along the velocity. This variable, often called "the polarization", is, indeed, often used in the field of Active Matter. I choose not to do so here. The difference between these choices is irrelevant in both the renormalization group and the conventional english sense.
- [27] H. Chate and A. Solon, Dynamic Scaling of Two-Dimensional Polar Flocks, Dynamic Scaling of Two-Dimensional Polar Flocks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 268302 (2024).
- [28] C. F. Lee and P. Jentsch, A new universality class describes Vicsek's flocking phase in physical dimensions, arXiv:2402.01316.
- [29] Both references [27] and [28] claim to obtain exact exponents for the immortal flocks problem; the former only in d=2; the latter in all d. The answers claimed in these two references are slightly different. I believe that [27] is incorrect, since it assumes (incorrectly, in my view) that the time derivative of the Goldstone mode must be a total divergence, which is not the case. Numerous counter-examples to this claim exist: the KPZ equation[30] for one, and the hydrodynamics of crystalline solids[31], smectics[31], and discotic phases[32] for three others. [28] is more promising, but still depends on a simplification of the full hydrodynamic equations that has not yet been completely justified. It also has difficulties in spatial dimensions d=2.

- [30] M. Kardar, G. Parisi and Y.-C. Zhang, "Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces," Physical Review Letters 56, 889-892 (1986).
- [31] P.C. Martin, O. Parodi, and P.S. Pershan, Unified hydrodynamic theory for crystals, liquid crystals, and normal fluids, Phys. Rev. A 6, 2401 (1972).
- [32] J. Prost and N. A. Clark, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Liquid Crystals, Bangalore (1979), edited by S. Chandrasekhar, Heyden, Philadelphia (1980); in Liquid Crystals of One- and Two-Dimensional Order, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Fanyar (1980).
- [33] D. Forster, D. R. Nelson and M. J. Stephen, Large-

- distance and long-time properties of a randomly stirred fluid. Phys. Rev. A **16** 732 (1977).
- [34] More generally, I could have chosen different anisotropy exponents $\zeta_{x,h}$ for the x and hard directions respectively. However, doing so, one quickly finds that a fixed point can only be obtained by choosing $\zeta_x = \zeta_h$.
- [35] This approach to determining the relevance of λ_3 , was first obtained, for the somewhat different problem of a two-dimensional Malthusian flock, by Sriram Ramaswamy and Ananyo Maitra. I thank them for patiently explaining this argument to me.