

GMM-RESNEXT: COMBINING GENERATIVE AND DISCRIMINATIVE MODELS FOR SPEAKER VERIFICATION

Hui Yan, Zhenchun Lei*, Changhong Liu, Yong Zhou

School of Computer and Information Engineering, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, China

ABSTRACT

With the development of deep learning, many different network architectures have been explored in speaker verification. However, most network architectures rely on a single deep learning architecture, and hybrid networks combining different architectures have been little studied in ASV tasks. In this paper, we propose the GMM-ResNext model for speaker verification. Conventional GMM does not consider the score distribution of each frame feature over all Gaussian components and ignores the relationship between neighboring speech frames. So, we extract the log Gaussian probability features based on the raw acoustic features and use ResNext-based network as the backbone to extract the speaker embedding. GMM-ResNext combines Generative and Discriminative Models to improve the generalization ability of deep learning models and allows one to more easily specify meaningful priors on model parameters. A two-path GMM-ResNext model based on two gender-related GMMs has also been proposed. The Experimental results show that the proposed GMM-ResNext achieves relative improvements of 48.1% and 11.3% in EER compared with ResNet34 and ECAPA-TDNN on VoxCeleb1-O test set.

Index Terms— speaker verification, ResNext, GMM, generative model, discriminative model

1. INTRODUCTION

The task of Automatic Speaker Verification (ASV) [1] is to verify the identity of speakers by using speaker speech as feature. For two given utterances, a typical ASV system can extract speaker embeddings of them and automatically determine whether they belong to the same speaker or not. The procedure of a modern ASV system generally consists of acoustic feature extraction, speaker embedding extraction and similarity scoring. The purpose of acoustic feature extraction is to transform a waveform of speech into acoustic features, such as Filter-Banks, Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCCs) and spectrograms. Speaker embedding extraction is to extract fixed-length speaker embeddings from variable-length utterances. Similarity scoring aims to calculate the similarity between test speaker embedding and enrollment speaker embedding.

The conventional generative models such as Gaussian mixture model-Universal Background Model (GMM-UBM) [2] and i-vector [3] with Probabilistic Linear Discriminant Analysis (PLDA) [4] were main method in the field of ASV. With the development of deep learning techniques, these models have been gradually replaced by Deep Neural networks (DNNS). Now, more and more DNN-based models were applied to ASV tasks. Especially r-vector structures based on convolution neural network (CNN) and x-vector architectures based on Time Delay Neural Network (TDNN) shown

remarkable performance. For CNN-based ASV systems, Zeinali et al. [5] firstly used Residual Networks (ResNet) [6] in image recognition as speaker embedding extractor in VoxSRC 2019. Liu et al. [7] proposed ResNet based on sequential and parallel feature attention fusion mechanism, which uses attention mechanism to learn fusion weights based on feature content, thereby dynamically integrating identity mapping features and residual learning features. Chen et al. [8] proposed an enhanced Res2Net, which uses attention fusion module to fuse features in a residual block to extract local signals, and fuse features of different scales to aggregate global signals. For TDNN-based ASV systems, x-vector proposed by Snyder et al. [9] employed TDNN to map variable-length speech to fixed-length speaker embedding for the first time, and used PLDA back-end model to compare similarity of a pair of speaker embeddings. Desplanques et al. [10] proposed ECAPA-TDNN based on Squeeze-Excitation (SE) [11] module and Res2Net[12], which achieves the equal error rates of less than 1% in VoxCeleb-O test set. Thienpondt et al. [13] proposed ECAPA CNN-TDNN, which introduces a 2-D convolution into ECAPA-TDNN to transfer some strong characteristics of ResNet to this hybrid CNN-TDNN architecture, and achieves better results on VoxCeleb-O test set. Zhao et al. [14] proposed to segment the input spectral map into several frequency bands and use progressive channel fusion strategy to gradually fuse these bands to improve the ECAPA-TDNN.

Although the embedding extractors mentioned above show excellent performance in ASV task, they only rely on a single deep learning architecture. In the filed of ASV, Alam et al. [15] proposed a hybrid neural network(HNN) architecture with Cross- and Self-module Attention pooling mechanisms for speaker verification. Wang et al. [16] proposed MACCIF-TDNN, which is a series of residual networks and transformers. Wang et al. [17] proposed a Parallel-coupled TDNN/Transformer Network (p-vectors) to replace the serial hybrid networks. In the field of speech deepfake detection, Lei et al. [18] proposed GMM-Transformer, which is a fusion of Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) and deep neural network, and achieves excellent detection effect in the speech deepfake detection logical access task. Wen et al. [19] also proposed multi-branch GMM-MobileNet model based on different data augmentation and attack methods. However, hybrid networks that combine conventional machine learning methods with popular deep learning methods have been rarely studied in ASV tasks.

The Gaussian Mixture Model accumulates the scores on all frames independently, and does not separately consider the scores of feature frames on each Gaussian component. In addition, the GMM ignores the relationship between adjacent speech frames along the time dimension. In this paper, we propose the GMM-ResNext that applies the Gaussian probability features as input for speaker verification. Then our proposed GMM-ResNext concatenates the output feature maps from last layer in each stage to aggregate the multi-layer representations before final pooling. On the other hand, the

*Corresponding author




Fig. 1. The overall architecture of GMM-ResNext

specificity of male speech features and female speeches features is useful for modeling the feature distribution of speech. Therefore we propose the dual-path GMM-ResNext(dGMM-ResNext) based on the different genders.

2. LOG GAUSSIAN PROBABILITY FEATURE

The GMM is a conventional speaker recognition classifier. For a speech feature vector, the GMM sums the probability density values of N Gaussian components, but does not consider the score distribution of each Gaussian component separately. The relationship between adjacent speech frames is ignored. For the information distributions of speeches of different speakers are different in feature space, their score distributions on all Gaussian components are also different. Therefore, this score distribution information is helpful for speaker verification. The GMM takes the raw acoustic feature as input and outputs the Log Gaussian Probability(LGP) feature. For a D dimensional input feature x (MFCC in our experiments), the element y_i of the LGP feature y is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_i &= \log p_i(x) \\
 &= \log \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\Sigma_i|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_i)' \Sigma_i^{-1} (x - \mu_i)\right\} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} x' \Sigma_i^{-1} x + x' \Sigma_i^{-1} \mu_i + C
 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $p_i(x)$ is the probability density function of the i -th Gaussian component, which is parameterized by a $D \times 1$ mean vector, μ_i and a $D \times D$ covariance matrix, Σ_i .

In order to reduce computation, the constant term C can be removed. After that, the mean $mean_{y_i}$ and standard deviation std_{y_i} of features in training data are computed, which are used for mean and variance normalization.

$$y'_i = \frac{y_i - mean_{y_i}}{std_{y_i}} \quad (2)$$

3. PROPOSED METHOD

In this section, we describe details of the proposed GMM-ResNext model and dual-Path GMM-ResNext.

3.1. GMM-ResNext

The CNN-based model is widely used as the backbone network of various machine learning tasks because of its stronger feature ex-

traction ability. However, with the increase of the number of layers in the network, the problem of gradient explosion or gradient disappearance or even network degradation will appear in the training process. In order to solve the above problems, the ResNet [6] employs shortcut connections to fusion the identity mapping features and the residual learning features to improve the stability of the model. In ResNet, the number of parameters in the model is mainly adjusted by depth and width. In practice, we usually find that directly increasing the depth or width of the model is ineffective, and easily cause overfitting problems due to the large number of parameters. The ResNext [20] that includes the grouped convolutional layer called a split-transform-merge strategy in blocks is designed to easy this problem and improve the representation ability of ResNet model. The ResNext has achieved reliable performance in SV [21], so we proposed the GMM-ResNext model that fuses the GMM and ResNext for speaker verification. The overall architecture is shown in Figure 1.

The proposed GMM-ResNext model consists of a GMM module, a ResNext backbone with four residual stages, An attention statistics pooling (ASP) layer with Multi-layer Feature Aggregation (MFA), and a fully connected layer. Encouraged by [22] proposed design principles, we adjusted the number of blocks in each stage from (3, 4, 6, 3) to (3, 3, 9, 3), which is different from the original stage ratio in the residual network. BN stands for Batch Normalization and the Rectified Linear Units (ReLU) is the non-linear activation function.

To further reduce the number of parameters in the model, we use depthwise convolution instead of grouped convolution in ResNext, which is a special case of grouped convolution where the number of groups equals the number of channels. The structure of the DW-ResBlock module is shown in Figure 2. The module consists of two convolutional layers with filter size of 1, 1D depthwise convolutional layer with filter size of 3, SE block, and BN and ReLU after the convolutional layer. In the SE Block, the dimension of the bottleneck is set to 1/4 of the number of input channel.

Previous studies [23] have shown that the shallow feature maps in deep neural networks also facilitate the extraction of more robust speaker embeddings. In [10], the ECAPA-TDNN concatenates the output features of all SE-Res2Net blocks, and then uses a dense layer to process the connected feature information to generate the input of the pool layer. Zhang et al. [24] proposed MFA-Conformer model that concatenates the output features of each Conformer block, and then feed them into a LayerNorm layer. This aggregation method results in a obvious performance improvement. Influenced by these studies, we also concatenates the output feature maps of all stages to




Fig. 2. The architecture of DW ResBlock. The numbers in parentheses refer to kernel size, stride, and number of channel.

generate multi-level input features of the pooled layer before a BN layer.

$$H = \text{BatchNorm}(\text{Concat}(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_L)) \quad (3)$$

Where $h_i \in R^{C \times T}$ represents the output feature of last layer in each stage and $H \in R^{C \times T}$. Concat is a concatenation operation. L is the number of stages and $D = C \times T$.

After aggregating the output features of the different residual blocks, we use the ASP to capture the weight coefficients that represents the importance of each frame. The output of the pooling layer is given by concatenating the weighted mean vector and the weighted standard deviation calculated by the weight coefficient. Finally, We use the full connection layer to project the pooled vector into a low-dimensional speaker embedding.

3.2. Dual-path GMM-ResNext

Improving the generalization ability of speaker verification is a great challenge. The speech from speakers of different genders has different feature distribution. The conventional GMM describes the common information distribution. But the GMM does not pay attention to the difference between male and female speeches, which is useful to model information distribution of speech from different genders. So we propose a dual-path architecture with ResNext (dGMM-ResNext) for speaker verification, which is constructed according to two GMMs trained by male speeches and female speeches.

Figure 3 shows the dual-path GMM-ResNext which contains two networks with same architecture. The LGP features are extracted on two GMMs, which are trained on male speeches and female speeches from training set. The embedding vectors from two paths are concatenated and inputted to the fully connected layer. Finally, the speaker embedding are output from the last fully connected layer.

Multi-step training scheme [25] is usually used to solve the problem of model overfitting. Considering the large number of model parameters, a two-step training method is adopted to improve the robustness of model. In the first step, the two branches of the dual-path ResNext model are trained independently using the AAM-softmax




Fig. 3. The architecture of dual-path GMM-ResNext based on male GMM and female GMM.

loss function. The training method is the same as that of the one-path model. In the second step, we remove their classifiers and concatenate the speaker embeddings of the two branches into one fully connected layer to generate the final speaker embedding. Then we freeze the parameters of all layers of the dual-dual ResNext except the classifier to train the fully connected layer and the classifier.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

4.1. Dataset

The experiments are conducted on VoxCeleb1 [26] and VoxCeleb2 [27] dataset. They include a development set and a test set. VoxCeleb2 development set is used for training, which consists of 109,200,9 utterances from 599,4 speakers. The whole VoxCeleb1 dataset is used as the testing data, which contains over 100,000 utterances from 125,1 speakers. The performance of all models are evaluated on three different test trials, namely VoxCeleb1-O, VoxCeleb1-E and VoxCeleb1-H. In addition, to increase the diversity of the original training data, we employed data augmentation during training, adding noise using the MUSAN dataset [28], simulating reverberation using the RIR dataset [29].

4.2. Implementation details

For fair comparison, we re-implemented r-vector in [5] and ECAPA-TDNN in [10] as the baselines. The r-vector includes ResNet18 and ResNet34 with channels of residual blocks set as $\{32,64,128,256\}$. ECAPA-TDNN consists of a basic model with 512 channels and a large model with 1024 channels. The PyTorch framework is used to implement the baseline and the proposed models. A fixed length 2-second segments are extracted randomly from each utterance. We use 80-dimensional MFCCs with a window length of 25 ms and a frame shift of 10 ms. Mean normalization is applied to the MFCCs features before input network. The GMM implemented by MSR Identity Toolbox[30] was trained for 30 iterations. Adam optimizer with an initial learning rate of 0.001 is used during the training process. The learning rate is reduced by 3% every one epoch. We use additive margin softmax (AAM-softmax) [31] loss with a margin of 0.2 and a scale factor of 30 to train all models. In order to avoid overfitting, the weight decay is set to $2e-5$. In the ASP, the dimension of the bottleneck is set to 128. The batch size is 200 and the size of speaker embeddings is 256. All models are trained for 100 epochs.

In the evaluation phase, we use the cosine similarity between embeddings for scoring. the Equal Error Rate (EER) and minimum Detection Cost Function (minDCF) with $p_{target} = 0.01$ and $C_{FA} = C_{Miss} = 0.01$ will be reported for performance evaluation.

Table 1. EER and MinDCF results of all models on the standard VoxCeleb1 test set.

Model	VoxCeleb1-O		VoxCeleb1-E		VoxCeleb1-H	
	EER(%)	MinDCF	EER(%)	MinDCF	EER(%)	MinDCF
ResNet18[5]	1.97	0.2502	2.15	0.2445	3.94	0.3659
ResNet34[5]	1.81	0.2108	1.92	0.2183	3.46	0.3379
ECAPA-TDNN(512)[10]	1.22	0.1455	1.41	0.1609	2.59	0.2555
ECAPA-TDNN(1024)[10]	1.06	0.1310	1.32	0.1495	2.49	0.2532
CA-HNN[15]	1.38	-	1.62	-	2.86	-
CSA-HNN[15]	1.32	-	1.53	-	2.79	-
MACCIF-TDNN[16]	1.19	0.148	1.47	0.158	2.48	0.235
P-vector[17]	0.85	0.1199	1.11	0.1201	2.11	0.2081
GMM-ResNext(256)	1.35	0.1430	1.54	0.1741	2.84	0.2697
GMM-ResNext(512)	0.96	0.1168	1.20	0.1424	2.31	0.2247
dGMM-ResNext(256)	1.18	0.1478	1.33	0.1537	2.48	0.2387
dGMM-ResNext(512)	0.94	0.1100	1.13	0.1298	2.18	0.2207

5. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

5.1. Results on VoxCeleb test set

Table 1 shows the EER and the MinDCF of the baselines and the proposed models on the VoxCeleb1-O, VoxCeleb1-E and VoxCeleb1-H datasets. Compared with GMM-ResNext(256), the GMM-ResNext(512) obtains the relative improvements in EER by 28.8%, 22.1%, 18.7% and in MinDCF by 18.3%, 18.2%, 16.7%. The dGMM-ResNext(512) also obtains similar performance improvements compared with dGMM-ResNext(256). It can be observed that when the number of Gaussian components increase, the GMM-ResNext can achieve better performance. This may be due to the fact that Gaussian probability features contain more distinguishing speaker information.

Compared with GMM-ResNext(256), the dGMM-ResNext(256) obtains the relative improvements in EER by 12.6%, 13.6%, 12.7% on the VoxCeleb1 test set. The dGMM-ResNext(512) further improves improvement compared with GMM-ResNext(512). The dGMM-ResNext(512) obtains the best results, which relatively reduces the EER by 48.1%, 41.1%, 37.0% and the MinDCF by 47.8%, 40.5%, 34.7% compared with the ResNet34, and relatively reduces the EER by 11.3%, 14.4%, 12.4% and the MinDCF by 16.0%, 13.2%, 12.8% compared with the ECAPA-TDNN(1024).

Compared with other HNN systems, such as CA-HNN, CSA-HNN and MACCIF-TDNN, the dGMM-ResNext(512) also achieves competitive results. But the performance of the dGMM-ResNext(512) is worse than P-vector. The reason may be that P-vector leverages the convolutional operations and the attention mechanism to interact and aggregate the local and global information.

5.2. Ablation experiments

To evaluate the role of key modules, we conduct ablation experiments to study the effect of each modules contributing to performance improvements. Table 2 shows the results of the ablation experiments on VoxCeleb1-O test set. The first line is the results of the GMM-ResNext(512). In the second line, we remove the GMM layer and use the MFCC feature instead of the log-Gaussian probabilistic feature as the input of the network. In the third line, the MFA layer is removed and only the output feature map of the last residual block is used. The results in the fourth line are the dGMM-ResNext(512). In the last line, we does not use the two step training

strategy. It can be observed from the experiment results that both GMM layer and multi-layer feature aggregation module play a key role in improving the performance. The GMM layer reduces EER and minDCF by 21.3% and 19.3% relatively. And aggregating the output of all blocks brings 16.5% and 21.2% relative improvement in EER and minDCF. At the same time, the two step training strategy further improves the generalization ability of the dGMM-ResNext.

Table 2. Ablation experiments on VoxCeleb1-O. 2S: The two step training strategy.

Model	Vox1Celeb-O	
	EER(%)	MinDCF
ResNext(512)	0.96	0.1168
w/o GMM)	1.22	0.1447
w/o MFA)	1.15	0.1483
dGMM-ResNext(512)	0.94	0.1100
w/o 2S	1.04	0.1172

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed the GMM-ResNext model that combines conventional machine learning methods with deep learning methods for speaker verification. First, the proposed model uses Gaussian probability features as the input of the residual network. Then, the output feature maps of the four stages are aggregated to integrate the multi-level features, so as to obtain more distinguishable speaker information. We also proposed the dual-path GMM-ResNext model based on different genders to improve the generalization ability of the model. Experiments on the VoxCeleb dataset show that the proposed dGMM-ResNext model is significantly superior to the currently popular ResNet and ECAPA-TDNN models. In future work, we will explore new network architectures fused with Gaussian mixture model.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (62067004).

8. REFERENCES

- [1] Zhongxin Bai and Xiao Lei Zhang, "Speaker recognition based on deep learning: An overview," *Neural Networks*, pp. 65–99, 2021.
- [2] Douglas A. Reynolds, Thomas F. Quatieri, and Robert B. Dunn, "Speaker verification using adapted gaussian mixture models," *Digital Signal Processing*, pp. 19–41, 2000.
- [3] Kenny P. J. Dehak R. Dumouchel P. Ouellet P Dehak, N., "Front-end factor analysis for speaker verification," *Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, pp. p.788–798, 2011.
- [4] Sergey Ioffe, "Probabilistic linear discriminant analysis," in *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2006, pp. 531–542.
- [5] Hossein Zeinali, Shuai Wang, Anna Silnova, Pavel Matějka, and Oldich Plchot, "But system description to voxceleb speaker recognition challenge 2019," 2019.
- [6] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [7] Bei Liu, Zhengyang Chen, and Yanmin Qian, "Attentive Feature Fusion for Robust Speaker Verification," in *Proc. Interspeech 2022*, 2022, pp. 286–290.
- [8] Yafeng Chen, Siqi Zheng, Hui Wang, Luyao Cheng, Qian Chen, and Jiajun Qi, "An enhanced res2net with local and global feature fusion for speaker verification," in *Proc. INTERSPEECH 2023*, 2023, pp. 2228–2232.
- [9] David Snyder, Daniel Garcia-Romero, Gregory Sell, Daniel Povey, and Sanjeev Khudanpur, "X-vectors: Robust dnn embeddings for speaker recognition," in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2018, pp. 5329–5333.
- [10] Brecht Desplanques, Jenthe Thienpondt, and Kris Demuynck, "ECAPA-TDNN: Emphasized Channel Attention, Propagation and Aggregation in TDNN Based Speaker Verification," in *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, 2020, pp. 3830–3834.
- [11] Jie Hu, Li Shen, and Gang Sun, "Squeeze-and-excitation networks," in *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018, pp. 7132–7141.
- [12] Shanghua Gao, Ming Ming Cheng, Kai Zhao, Xin Yu Zhang, and Philip H. S. Torr, "Res2net: A new multi-scale backbone architecture," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, pp. 652–662, 2019.
- [13] Jenthe Thienpondt, Brecht Desplanques, and Kris Demuynck, "Integrating frequency translational invariance in tdnns and frequency positional information in 2d resnets to enhance speaker verification," 2021.
- [14] Zhenduo Zhao, Zhuo Li, Wenchao Wang, and Pengyuan Zhang, "Pcf: Ecapa-tdnn with progressive channel fusion for speaker verification," in *ICASSP 2023 - 2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2023.
- [15] Jahangir Alam, Woo Hyun Kang, and Abderrahim Fathan, "Hybrid neural network with cross- and self-module attention pooling for text-independent speaker verification," in *ICASSP 2023 - 2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2023.
- [16] Fangyuan Wang, Zhigang Song, Hongchen Jiang, and Bo Xu, "Maccif-tdnn: Multi aspect aggregation of channel and context interdependence features in tdnn-based speaker verification," in *2021 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*, 2021, pp. 214–219.
- [17] Xiyuan Wang, Fangyuan Wang, Bo Xu, Liang Xu, and Jing Xiao, "P-vectors: A parallel-coupled tdnn/transformer network for speaker verification," in *Proc. INTERSPEECH 2023*, 2023, pp. 3182–3186.
- [18] Zhenchun Lei, Hui Yu, Yingen Yang, and Minglei Ma, "Attention network with gmm based feature for asv spoofing detection," *Biometri Recognition, Cham*, pp. 458–465, 2021.
- [19] Yan Wen, Zhenchun Lei, Yingen Yang, Changhong Liu, and Minglei Ma, "Multi-Path GMM-Net Based on Attack Algorithms and Codecs for Synthetic Speech and Deepfake Detection," in *Proc. Interspeech 2022*, 2022, pp. 4795–4799.
- [20] Saining Xie, Ross Girshick, Piotr Dollar, Zhuowen Tu, and Kaiming He, "Aggregated residual transformations for deep neural networks," in *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2017.
- [21] Tianyan Zhou, Yong Zhao, and Jian Wu, "Resnext and resnet structure for speaker verification," 2020.
- [22] Zhuang Liu, Hanzi Mao, Chao Yuan Wu, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Trevor Darrell, and Saining Xie, "A convnet for the 2020s," *arXiv e-prints*, 2022.
- [23] Zhifu Gao, Yan Song, Ian McLoughlin, Pengcheng Li, Yiheng Jiang, and Li-Rong Dai, "Improving Aggregation and Loss Function for Better Embedding Learning in End-to-End Speaker Verification System," in *Proc. Interspeech 2019*, 2019, pp. 361–365.
- [24] Yang Zhang, Zhiqiang Lv, Haibin Wu, Shanshan Zhang, Pengfei Hu, Zhiyong Wu, Hung yi Lee, and Helen Meng, "MFA-Conformer: Multi-scale Feature Aggregation Conformer for Automatic Speaker Verification," in *Proc. Interspeech 2022*, 2022, pp. 306–310.
- [25] Jee weon Jung, Hee soo Heo, IL ho Yang, Hye jin Shim, and Ha jin Yu, "Avoiding Speaker Overfitting in End-to-End DNNs Using Raw Waveform for Text-Independent Speaker Verification," in *Proc. Interspeech 2018*, 2018, pp. 3583–3587.
- [26] Arsha Nagrani, Joon Son Chung, and Andrew Senior, "Voxceleb: a large-scale speaker identification dataset," 2017.
- [27] Joon Son Chung, Arsha Nagrani, and Andrew Senior, "Voxceleb2: Deep speaker recognition," 2018.
- [28] David Snyder, Guoguo Chen, and Daniel Povey, "Musan: A music, speech, and noise corpus," *Computer Science*, 2015.
- [29] Tom Ko, Vijayaditya Peddinti, Daniel Povey, Michael L. Seltzer, and Sanjeev Khudanpur, "A study on data augmentation of reverberant speech for robust speech recognition," in *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics*, 2017.
- [30] Seyed Omid Sadjadi, Malcolm Slaney, Heck, and Larry, "Msr identity toolbox v1.0: A matlab toolbox for speaker recognition research," *Microsoft Research Technical Report*, 2013.
- [31] Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Niannan Xue, and Stefanos Zafeiriou, "Arcface: Additive angular margin loss for deep face recognition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2019.