TPMS2STEP Supplements: Constraints Matrices and Convergence Proof of TPMS2STEP

YAONAIMING ZHAO, QIANG ZOU

Triply periodic minimal surface (TPMS) is emerging as an important way of designing microstructures. However, there has been limited use of commercial CAD/CAM/CAE software packages for TPMS design and manufacturing. This is mainly because TPMS is consistently described in the functional representation (F-rep) format, while modern CAD/CAM/CAE tools are built upon the boundary representation (B-rep) format. One possible solution to this gap is translating TPMS to STEP, which is the standard data exchange format of CAD/CAM/CAE. Following this direction, this paper proposes a new translation method with error-controlling and C^2 continuity-preserving features. It is based on an approximation error-driven TPMS sampling algorithm and a constrained-PIA algorithm. The sampling algorithm controls the deviation between the original and translated models. With it, an error bound of 2ϵ on the deviation can be ensured if two conditions called ϵ -density and ϵ -approximation are satisfied. The constrained-PIA algorithm enforces C^2 continuity constraints during TPMS approximation, and meanwhile attaining high efficiency. A theoretical convergence proof of this algorithm is also given. The effectiveness of the translation method has been demonstrated by a series of examples and comparisons. All relevant Refs.: [1–66]

1. PART OF CONSTRAINT MATRICES FOR GYROID, DIAMOND, AND SCHWARZ_P IN CPIA

The constraint matrices for Gyroid, Diamond, and Schwarz_P that are not given in the article are given here.

For Gyroid, matrices \mathbf{T}_{1g} - \mathbf{T}_{7g} and \mathbf{M}_{ijg} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and j = 1, 2, 3, 4) are given.

$$\mathbf{T}_{1g} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{2g} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{3g} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 1.5 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{4g} = \mathbf{T}_{1g} \mathbf{T}_{3g}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{5g} = \mathbf{T}_{4g}^{-1}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{5g} = \mathbf{T}_{4g}^{-1}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{6g} = \mathbf{T}_{2g} \mathbf{T}_{3g}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{7g} = \mathbf{T}_{6g}^{-1}$$

The matrices \mathbf{M}_{ijg} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and j = 1, 2, 3, 4) are derived from the method mentioned below. To extract the control points and calculate the first-order and second-order derivatives, three steps are conducted: (1) number the control points; (2) map a specific part of the control points to the corresponding control points (e.g., to calculate the first-order derivatives, the second row of control points are mapped to the first row of control points); and (3) utilize the coordinates of these points to calculate the local first-order and second-order derivatives. In Step 2, the mapping is constructed using a matrix, denoted as **M**. **M** is actually one of \mathbf{M}_{ijg} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and j = 1, 2, 3, 4). Specifically, if the matrix **P** is the control points, then **MP** sets some rows in **P** to 0 and changes the position of other rows of elements. In the matrix **MP**, a non-zero element at Row i and Column j in **M** repositions the jth line of **P** to the ith line of **P**. That is, this element maps the jth control points that are mapped together.

 \mathbf{M}_{ijg} (*i* = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and *j* = 1, 2, 3, 4) are given as:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{11g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-5} \mathbf{J}_{n^2 - n + 2 + i, n^2 - 2n + 2 + i} \\ \mathbf{M}_{21g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-5} \mathbf{J}_{n^2 - 2n + 2 + i, n^2 - 2n + 2 + i} \\ \mathbf{M}_{31g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{n^2 - n + 3 + i, n^2 - 3n + 3 + i} \\ \mathbf{M}_{41g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{n^2 - 2n + 3 + i, n^2 - 3n + 3 + i} \\ \mathbf{M}_{51g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{n^2 - 3n + 3 + i, n^2 - 3n + 3 + i} \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{J}_{i,j}$ is the matrix with a unique non-zero element 1 at the position (i, j) and n is the number of control points of the approximation surface in the u and v direction.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{12g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-5} \mathbf{J}_{2n+in,2n+1+in} \\ \mathbf{M}_{22g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-5} \mathbf{J}_{2n+1+in,2n+1+in} \\ \mathbf{M}_{32g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{3n+in,3n+2+in} \\ \mathbf{M}_{42g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{3n+1+in,3n+2+in} \\ \mathbf{M}_{52g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{3n+2+in,3n+2+in} \\ \mathbf{M}_{13g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{3n+2+in,3n+2+in} \\ \mathbf{M}_{23g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-5} \mathbf{J}_{n+2+i,n+2+i} \\ \mathbf{M}_{23g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{n+2+i,n+2+i} \\ \mathbf{M}_{33g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{n+3+i,2n+3+i} \\ \mathbf{M}_{43g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{2n+3+i,2n+3+i} \\ \mathbf{M}_{53g} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{2n+3+i,2n+3+i} \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{14g} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-5} \mathbf{J}_{3n-1+in,3n-2+in}$$
$$\mathbf{M}_{24g} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-5} \mathbf{J}_{3n-2+in,3n-2+in}$$
$$\mathbf{M}_{34g} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{4n-1+in,4n-3+in}$$
$$\mathbf{M}_{44g} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{4n-2+in,4n-3+in}$$
$$\mathbf{M}_{54g} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-7} \mathbf{J}_{4n-3+in,4n-3+in}$$

For Diamond, matrices \mathbf{T}_{1d} , \mathbf{T}_{2d} , \mathbf{N}_1 , and \mathbf{N}_2 are given. \mathbf{M}_{ijd} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and j = 1, 2, 3, 4) are the same as \mathbf{M}_{ijg} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and j = 1, 2, 3, 4) mentioned before, respectively.

$$\mathbf{T}_{1d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{T}_{2d} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{N}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} & \mathbf{0}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} & \mathbf{0}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} & \mathbf{0}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} \end{bmatrix}_{2n^{2} \times 2n^{2}}$$
$$\mathbf{N}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} & \mathbf{I}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} & \mathbf{0}_{n^{2} \times n^{2}} \end{bmatrix}_{2n^{2} \times 2n^{2}}$$

where n is the number of control points of the approximation surface in the u and v direction. I is the identity matrix. N_1 and N_2 are designed to satisfy the equations $N_1P = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\mathbf{N}_{2}\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{2} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{1} \\ \mathbf{P}_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

For Schwarz_P, matrices \mathbf{T}_{1p} - \mathbf{T}_{4p} are given. \mathbf{M}_{ijp} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and j = 1, 2, 3, 4) are the same as \mathbf{M}_{ijg} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and j = 1, 2, 3, 4) mentioned above.

$$\mathbf{T}_{1p} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{F}_{2p} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{T}_{3p} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{T}_{4p} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

2. CONVERGENCE PROOFS FOR DIAMOND AND SCHWARZ_P TPMS

The following sections include the convergence proofs for Diamond and Schwarz_P TPMS.

Proposition 1 The CPIA iterative method for Diamond is convergent and the limit surface is the least-square fitting outcome of the initial data $\{Q_{ij}\}_{i=0,j=0}^{m_1,m_2}$.

Proof. As the result of the iterative procedure of CPIA, two sequences of the control points of the offset surface for Diamond { $\mathbf{P}_{1}^{k}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{k} = 0, 1, \cdots$ } and { $\mathbf{P}_{2}^{k}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{k} = 0, 1, \cdots$ } are generated. To show their convergence, let $\mathbf{P}^{k} = {\{\mathbf{P}_{0}^{k}, \mathbf{P}_{1}^{k}, \cdots, \mathbf{P}_{n}^{k}\}^{T}}$ and $\mathbf{Q} = {\{\mathbf{Q}_{0}, \mathbf{Q}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{Q}_{m}\}^{T}}$. Here $\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{1} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{Q} = \{\mathbf{Q}_{1}, \mathbf{Q}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{Q}_{m}\}^{T}$.

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_1 \\ \mathbf{P}_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1 \\ \mathbf{Q}_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

In the (k+1)th iteration, we can derive the coordinates of the newly adjusted control points as:

$$\mathbf{P}^{k+1} = \mathbf{P}^{k} + (\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Bw}\mathbf{P}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} ((\mathbf{M}_{24d} - \mathbf{M}_{14d})\mathbf{N}_{i}\mathbf{P}^{k}\mathbf{T}_{1d} - (\mathbf{M}_{21d} - \mathbf{M}_{11d})\mathbf{N}_{3-i}\mathbf{P}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} ((3\mathbf{M}_{44d} - 2\mathbf{M}_{34d} - \mathbf{M}_{54d})\mathbf{N}_{i}\mathbf{P}^{k}\mathbf{T}_{1d} - (3\mathbf{M}_{41d} - 2\mathbf{M}_{31d} - \mathbf{M}_{51d})\mathbf{N}_{3-i}\mathbf{P}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} ((\mathbf{M}_{23d} - \mathbf{M}_{13d})\mathbf{N}_{i}\mathbf{P}^{k}\mathbf{T}_{2d} - (\mathbf{M}_{22d} - \mathbf{M}_{12d})\mathbf{N}_{3-i}\mathbf{P}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2} ((3\mathbf{M}_{43d} - 2\mathbf{M}_{33d} - \mathbf{M}_{53d})\mathbf{N}_{i}\mathbf{P}^{k}\mathbf{T}_{2d} - (3\mathbf{M}_{42d} - 2\mathbf{M}_{32d} - \mathbf{M}_{52d})\mathbf{N}_{3-i}\mathbf{P}^{k})$$
(S1)

The matrices \mathbf{T}_{id} (i = 1, 2) are given in Sec. 1 and they are invertible matrices where the absolute values of the eigenvalues are all 1, i.e., $|\lambda_i(\mathbf{T}_{jd})| = 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and j = 1, 2. **B** refers to the B-spline basis function matrix and **w** is the weight matrix. The matrices \mathbf{M}_{ijd} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and j = 1, 2, 3, 4), \mathbf{N}_1 , and \mathbf{N}_2 are also given in Sec. 1. To simplify the form of the equations, let $\alpha_i = 3\mathbf{M}_{4id} - 2\mathbf{M}_{3id} - \mathbf{M}_{5id}$, $\beta_i = \mathbf{M}_{2id} - \mathbf{M}_{1id}$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Bw}$, and $\mathbf{r}^k = \mathbf{P}^k - \mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}$.

Then Eq. S1 can be transformed into a new form:

$$\mathbf{r}^{k+1} = (\mathbf{D} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2)(\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{N}_2))\mathbf{r}^k + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_4 + \beta_4)(\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{N}_2)\mathbf{r}^k\mathbf{T}_{1d} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_3 + \beta_3)(\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{N}_2)\mathbf{r}^k\mathbf{T}_{2d}$$

$$= \cdots$$

$$= \sum_{i_1=0}^{k+1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{k+1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{k+1} {\binom{k+1}{i_1, i_2, i_3}} (\mathbf{D} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2)(\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{N}_2))^{i_1}$$

$$\left[\frac{(\alpha_4 + \beta_4)(\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{N}_2)}{2}\right]^{i_2} \left[\frac{(\alpha_3 + \beta_3)(\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{N}_2)}{2}\right]^{i_3} \mathbf{r}^0\mathbf{T}_{1d}^{i_2}\mathbf{T}_{2d}^{i_3}$$
(S2)

Supposing $\{\lambda_k(\alpha_i)\}(k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ and $\{\lambda_l(\beta_j)\}(l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ are the eigenvalues of α_i and β_j sorted in non-decreasing order. n is the number of control points in u and v direction. Since $|\lambda_k(\alpha_i)| = 0$ or $1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, |\lambda_l(\beta_j)| = 0$ or $1, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, r(\sum_{i=0}^4 \alpha_i) < n, r(\sum_{i=0}^4 \beta_i) < n, |\lambda_i(\mathbf{T}_{jd})| = 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, j = 1, 2, and r(\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{N}_2) = \frac{n}{2} < n$, their powers have no effect on convergence. $r(\alpha)$ is the rank of α . Let

$$\mathbf{s}^{k+1} = \mathbf{D}^{k+1}\mathbf{r}^0 \tag{S3}$$

Similar to Gyroid, we first show that s^{k+1} is convergent. Then we show that r^{k+1} is also convergent. To achieve this goal, s^{k+1} is turned into the form

$$\mathbf{s}^{k+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{w})^{k+1}\mathbf{r}^0 \tag{S4}$$

Since **B** is a non-singular matrix, it is positive definite. From Theorem 2.2 in [1], we know that $\rho(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}) < 1$, where $\rho(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})$ is the spectral radius of $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}$. With uniform weight assignment, $\rho(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Bw}) < 1$. Therefore, we get the following equation:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{w})^k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{s}^{k+1} = (\mathbf{0})_{n+1}$$
(S5)

Then each term in \mathbf{r}^{k+1} has the same spectral radius as each term in \mathbf{s}^{k+1} , as is proved for Gyroid. So if \mathbf{s}^{k+1} is convergent, \mathbf{r}^{k+1} is also convergent, which could be expressed as:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{P}^k - \mathbf{w}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$$
(S6)

So { \mathbf{P}^k } is convergent, and

$$\mathbf{P}^{\infty} = \mathbf{w}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{Q} \tag{S7}$$

Proposition 2 The CPIA iterative method for Schwarz_P is convergent and the limit surface is the least-square fitting outcome of the initial data $\{Q_{ij}\}_{i=0,j=0}^{m_1,m_2}$.

Proof. As the result of the iterative procedure of CPIA, a sequence of the control points of the offset surface for Schwarz_P { $\mathbf{P}^{k}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), \mathbf{k} = 0, 1, \cdots$ } is generated. To show its convergence, let $\mathbf{P}^{k} = \mathbf{P}_{0}^{k}, \mathbf{P}_{1}^{k}, \cdots, \mathbf{P}_{n}^{k}$ and $\mathbf{Q} = {\mathbf{Q}_{0}, \mathbf{Q}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{Q}_{m}}^{T}$. In the (k+1)th iteration, we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{k+1} = \mathbf{P}^{k} + (\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{P}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{4} ((\mathbf{M}_{2ip} - \mathbf{M}_{1ip})\mathbf{P}^{k}\mathbf{T}_{ip} - (\mathbf{M}_{2ip} - \mathbf{M}_{1ip})\mathbf{P}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{4} ((3\mathbf{M}_{4ip} - 2\mathbf{M}_{3ip} - \mathbf{M}_{5ip})\mathbf{P}^{k}\mathbf{T}_{ip} - (3\mathbf{M}_{4ip} - 2\mathbf{M}_{3ip} - \mathbf{M}_{5ip})\mathbf{P}^{k})$$
(S8)

where $\mathbf{M}_{ijp}(i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ and $\mathbf{T}_{ip}(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are given in Sec. 1. $\mathbf{T}_{ip}(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are invertible matrices where the absolute values of the eigenvalues are all 1, i.e., $|\lambda_i(\mathbf{T}_{jd})| = 1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and j = 1, 2, 3, 4. **B** and **w** are mentioned in the proof for Gyroid and Diamond.

To simplify the expression of the formulas, let $\alpha_i = 3\mathbf{M}_{4ip} - 2\mathbf{M}_{3ip} - \mathbf{M}_{5ip}$ and $\beta_i = \mathbf{M}_{2ip} - \mathbf{M}_{1ip}(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$.

Let $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{r}^k = \mathbf{P}^k - \mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{Q}$. Then a new form of Eq. S8 could be derived as

$$\mathbf{r}^{k+1} = (\mathbf{D} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{4} (\alpha_i + \beta_i)) \mathbf{r}^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{4} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \mathbf{r}^k \mathbf{T}_{ip}$$

= ...
= $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} {\binom{k+1}{i}} (\mathbf{D} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{4} (\alpha_n + \beta_n))^i (\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{4} (\alpha_n + \beta_n))^{k+1-i} \mathbf{r}^0 \mathbf{T}_{ip}^{k+1-i}$ (S9)

Supposing $\{\lambda_k(\alpha_i)\}(k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ and $\{\lambda_l(\beta_j)\}(l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ are the eigenvalues of α_i and β_i sorted in non-decreasing order. Since $|\lambda_k(\alpha_i)| = 0$ or $1, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $|\lambda_l(\beta_j)| = 0$ or $1, l = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, and $r(\sum_{i=0}^4 \beta_i) < n$, their powers have no effect on convergence. $(r(\sum_{i=0}^4 \beta_i))$ is the rank of $(\sum_{i=0}^4 \beta_i)$).

Let

$$\mathbf{s}^{k+1} = \mathbf{D}^{k+1}\mathbf{r}^0 \tag{S10}$$

Then the convergence of \mathbf{r}^{k+1} is the same as \mathbf{s}^{k+1} . Then we only need to show that $\{\mathbf{s}^k\}$ is convergent. \mathbf{s}^{k+1} have another form

$$\mathbf{s}^{k+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{w})^{k+1}\mathbf{r}^0 \tag{S11}$$

Since **B** is a non-singular matrix, it is positive definite. From Theorem 2.2 in [1], we know that $\rho(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}) < 1$, where $\rho(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})$ is the spectral radius of $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}$. With uniform weight assignment, $\rho(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{Bw}) < 1$. Therefore, we have the following equation

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{w})^k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{s}^{k+1} = (\mathbf{0})_{n+1}$$
(S12)

After proving the convergence of s^{k+1} , r^{k+1} is also convergent according to the proof for Gyroid. This convergence has the form:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mathbf{P}^k - \mathbf{w}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{-1} \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$$
(S13)

So { \mathbf{P}^k } is convergent, and

$$\mathbf{P}^{\infty} = \mathbf{w}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{Q} \tag{S14}$$

3. THE SECOND ORDER DERIVATIVES OF THE OFFSET EQUATION

Given ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and ϕ_3 in Section 3.1 of the paper, the real and imaginary parts of the three complex variables, denoted as $\mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{p}_2\mathbf{p}_3$ and $\mathbf{q}_1\mathbf{q}_2\mathbf{q}_3$, are given as:

$$p_{1} = Re(\phi_{1})$$

$$p_{2} = Re(\phi_{2})$$

$$p_{3} = Re(\phi_{3})$$

$$q_{1} = Im(\phi_{1})$$

$$q_{2} = Im(\phi_{2})$$

$$q_{3} = Im(\phi_{3})$$
(S15)

To calculate the second order derivatives, the first order and second order derivatives of ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and ϕ_3 are first calculated, given as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_{1}^{\prime} = Re \left[-\frac{\left(1-\tau^{2}\right)\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} - \frac{2\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} \right] \\ \mathbf{p}_{2}^{\prime} = Re \left[\frac{2i\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} - \frac{i\left(\tau^{2}+1\right)\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right] \\ \mathbf{p}_{3}^{\prime} = Re \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} - \frac{\tau\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right] \\ \mathbf{q}_{1}^{\prime} = Im \left[-\frac{\left(1-\tau^{2}\right)\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} - \frac{2\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} \right] \\ \mathbf{q}_{1}^{\prime} = Im \left[\frac{2i\tau}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} - \frac{i\left(\tau^{2}+1\right)\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right] \\ \mathbf{q}_{3}^{\prime} = Im \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} - \frac{\tau\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right] \\ \mathbf{q}_{3}^{\prime} = Im \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} - \frac{\tau\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right] \\ \mathbf{p}_{2}^{\prime\prime} = Re \left[-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} - \frac{2\tau\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} + i\left(\tau^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{3\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{4\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{5/2}} - \frac{56\tau^{6}-168\tau^{2}}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{p}_{2}^{\prime\prime} = Re \left[2\tau \left(\frac{3\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} - \frac{2\tau\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right) - \frac{2\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{p}_{3}^{\prime\prime} = Re \left[2\tau \left(\frac{3\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} + \frac{2\tau\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right) - \frac{2\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{q}_{3}^{\prime\prime} = Im \left[-\frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} + \frac{2\tau\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} + \left(\tau^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{3\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{4\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{5/2}} - \frac{56\tau^{6}-168\tau^{2}}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{q}_{3}^{\prime\prime} = Im \left[\frac{2i}{\sqrt{\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1}} - \frac{2i\tau\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} + i\left(\tau^{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{3\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{4\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{5/2}} - \frac{56\tau^{6}-168\tau^{2}}{2\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{q}_{3}^{\prime\prime} = Im \left[2\tau \left(\frac{3\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} + i\left(\tau^{2}+1\right) \left(\frac{3\left(8\tau^{7}-56\tau^{3}\right)^{2}}{\left(\tau^{8}-14\tau^{4}+1\right)^{3/2}} \right) \right] \\ \mathbf{q}_{3}^{\prime\prime} = Im \left$$

where τ is the complex variable mentioned in Section 3.1 of the paper. $\mathbf{p}'_1\mathbf{p}'_2\mathbf{p}'_3$ and $\mathbf{q}'_1\mathbf{q}'_2\mathbf{q}'_3$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\phi'_1\phi'_2\phi'_3$. $\mathbf{p}''_1\mathbf{p}''_2\mathbf{p}''_3$ and $\mathbf{q}''_1\mathbf{q}''_2\mathbf{q}''_3$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\phi''_1\phi''_2\phi''_3$. Then the second-order derivatives of the offset equation are given as:

$$x''' = \frac{8A_{1}(q_{3}p_{2}' + p_{2}q_{3}' - q_{2}p_{3}' - q_{3}q_{2}')\left[(p_{2}q_{1} - p_{1}q_{2})(q_{1}p_{2}' + p_{2}q_{1}' - q_{2}p_{1}' - p_{1}q_{2}') + (p_{3}q_{1} - p_{1}q_{3})(q_{1}p_{3}' + p_{3}q_{1}' - q_{3}p_{1}' - p_{1}q_{3}') + (p_{3}q_{2} - p_{2}q_{3})(q_{2}p_{3}' + p_{3}q_{2}' - q_{3}p_{2}' - q_{3}p_{2}'' - q_{3}p_{2}' - q$$



where A_1 is derived as:

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = (\mathbf{p}_2\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{q}_2)^2 + (\mathbf{p}_3\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1\mathbf{q}_3)^2 + (\mathbf{p}_3\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2\mathbf{q}_3)^2$$

Numerical methods are applied to calculate the maximum of the second-order derivatives given above.

REFERENCES

- 1. H.-W. Lin, H.-J. Bao, and G.-J. Wang, "Totally positive bases and progressive iteration approximation," Comput. & Math. with Appl. **50**, 575–586 (2005).
- 2. S. Wang, Y. Jiang, J. Hu, *et al.*, "Efficient representation and optimization of TPMS-based porous structures for 3D heat dissipation," Comput. Des. **142**, 103123 (2022).
- 3. J. Ding, Q. Zou, S. Qu, *et al.*, "Stl-free design and manufacturing paradigm for high-precision powder bed fusion," CIRP Annals **70**, 167–170 (2021).
- 4. F. Careri, R. H. Khan, C. Todd, and M. M. Attallah, "Additive manufacturing of heat exchangers in aerospace applications: a review," Appl. Therm. Eng. 235, 121387 (2023).
- N. Yang, Z. Quan, D. Zhang, and Y. Tian, "Multi-morphology transition hybridization CAD design of minimal surface porous structures for use in tissue engineering," Comput. Des. 56, 11–21 (2014).
- 6. S. Catchpole-Smith, R. Sélo, A. Davis, *et al.*, "Thermal conductivity of TPMS lattice structures manufactured via laser powder bed fusion," Addit. Manuf. **30**, 100846 (2019).
- 7. S. Liu, T. Liu, Q. Zou, *et al.*, "Memory-efficient modeling and slicing of large-scale adaptive lattice structures," J. Comput. Inf. Sci. Eng. **21**, 061003 (2021).
- 8. Q. Y. Hong, G. Elber, and M.-S. Kim, "Implicit functionally graded conforming microstructures," Comput. Des. **162**, 103548 (2023).
- Q. Zou, H.-Y. Feng, and S. Gao, "Variational direct modeling: A framework towards integration of parametric modeling and direct modeling in CAD," Comput. Des. 157, 103465 (2023).

- 10. P. Liu, B. Sun, J. Liu, and L. Lu, "Parametric shell lattice with tailored mechanical properties," Addit. Manuf. **60**, 103258 (2022).
- 11. Y. Xu, H. Pan, R. Wang, *et al.*, "New families of triply periodic minimal surface-like shell lattices," Addit. Manuf. 77, 103779 (2023).
- 12. D.-J. Yoo, "Computer-aided porous scaffold design for tissue engineering using triply periodic minimal surfaces," Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf. **12**, 61–71 (2011).
- 13. J. Iamsamang and P. Naiyanetr, "Computational method and program for generating a porous scaffold based on implicit surfaces," Comput. Methods Programs Biomed. 205, 106088 (2021).
- Q. Zou and H.-Y. Feng, "Push-pull direct modeling of solid CAD models," Adv. Eng. Softw. 127, 59–69 (2019).
- 15. Q. Zou and H.-Y. Feng, "A robust direct modeling method for quadric b-rep models based on geometry–topology inconsistency tracking," Eng. with Comput. **38**, 3815–3830 (2022).
- 16. H. Wang, Q. Zou, and H. Lin, "A quasi-optimal shape design method for electromagnetic scatterers based on nurbs surfaces and filter-enhanced gwo," IEEE Transactions on Antennas Propag. (2023).
- 17. Q. Zou, J. Zhang, B. Deng, and J. Zhao, "Iso-level tool path planning for free-form surfaces," Comput. Des. 53, 117–125 (2014).
- 18. W. Xiao, Y. Liu, R. Li, *et al.*, "Reconsideration of T-spline data models and their exchanges using STEP," Comput. Des. **79**, 36–47 (2016).
- 19. Q. Zou and H.-Y. Feng, "A decision-support method for information inconsistency resolution in direct modeling of cad models," Adv. Eng. Informatics **44**, 101087 (2020).
- 20. Q. Zou and H.-Y. Feng, "Variational b-rep model analysis for direct modeling using geometric perturbation," J. Comput. Des. Eng. 6, 606–616 (2019).
- 21. C. Su, X. Jiang, G. Huo, *et al.*, "Accurate model construction of deformed aero-engine blades for remanufacturing," The Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol. **106**, 3239–3251 (2020).
- M. Li, C. Lin, W. Chen, *et al.*, "Xvoxel-based parametric design optimization of feature models," Comput. Des. 160, 103528 (2023).
- 23. J. R. Martins and A. Ning, *Engineering design optimization* (Cambridge University Press, 2021).
- 24. Q. Zou and J. Zhao, "Iso-parametric tool-path planning for point clouds," Comput. Des. 45, 1459–1468 (2013).
- 25. Q. Zou, "Length-optimal tool path planning for freeform surfaces with preferred feed directions based on poisson formulation," Comput. Des. **139**, 103072 (2021).
- 26. Q. Zou, "Robust and efficient tool path generation for machining low-quality triangular mesh surfaces," Int. J. Prod. Res. **59**, 7457–7467 (2021).
- 27. G. Luo and Q. Zou, "A simple point-based iso-scallop tool path planning method for noisy point clouds with high robustness and controlled errors," Comput. Des. **163**, 103560 (2023).
- 28. Z. Wang, S. Liu, L. Liu, *et al.*, "Computing smooth preferred feed direction fields with high material removal rates for efficient cnc tool paths," Comput. Des. **164**, 103591 (2023).
- 29. B. Wu, J. Ma, L. Wei, *et al.*, "NURBS interpolator with scheduling scheme combining cubic and quartic S-shaped feedrate profiles under drive and chord error constraints," Comput. Des. **152**, 103380 (2022).
- 30. J. Feng, J. Fu, Z. Lin, *et al.*, "Layered infill area generation from triply periodic minimal surfaces for additive manufacturing," Comput. Des. **107**, 50–63 (2019).
- 31. C. Hu and H. Lin, "Heterogeneous porous scaffold generation using trivariate B-spline solids and triply periodic minimal surfaces," Graph. Model. **115**, 101105 (2021).
- L. Makatura, B. Wang, Y.-L. Chen, *et al.*, "Procedural metamaterials: a unified procedural graph for metamaterial design," ACM Transactions on Graph. 42 (2023).
 Y. Jiang, J. Hu, S. Wang, *et al.*, "Meshless optimization of triply periodic minimal surface
- Y. Jiang, J. Hu, S. Wang, *et al.*, "Meshless optimization of triply periodic minimal surface based two-fluid heat exchanger," Comput. Des. 162, 103554 (2023).
- 34. J. Feng, J. Fu, C. Shang, *et al.*, "Sandwich panel design and performance optimization based on triply periodic minimal surfaces," Comput. Des. **115**, 307–322 (2019).
- 35. R. Asbai-Ghoudan, S. Ruiz de Galarreta, and N. Rodriguez-Florez, "Analytical model for the prediction of permeability of triply periodic minimal surfaces," J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater. **124**, 104804 (2021).
- 36. K. Karčiauskas and J. Peters, "Bi-cubic scaffold surfaces," Comput. Des. 150, 103310 (2022).
- 37. N. Qiu, Y. Wan, Y. Shen, and J. Fang, "Experimental and numerical studies on mechanical properties of TPMS structures," Int. J. Mech. Sci. **261**, 108657 (2024).

- G. Savio, R. Meneghello, and G. Concheri, "Design of variable thickness triply periodic surfaces for additive manufacturing," Prog. Addit. Manuf. 4, 281–290 (2019).
- S. Rosso, A. Curtarello, F. Basana, *et al.*, "Modeling symmetric minimal surfaces by mesh subdivision," in *Advances on Mechanics, Design Engineering and Manufacturing III*, (2021), pp. 249–254.
- Q. Pan and G. Xu, "Construction of minimal subdivision surface with a given boundary," Comput. Des. 43, 374–380 (2011).
- 41. R. Aubry, S. Dey, E. Mestreau, *et al.*, "A robust conforming NURBS tessellation for industrial applications based on a mesh generation approach," Comput. Des. **63**, 26–38 (2015).
- R. Noruzi, S. Ghadai, O. R. Bingol, *et al.*, "NURBS-based microstructure design for organic photovoltaics," Comput. Des. **118**, 102771 (2020).
- 43. L. Piegl and W. Tiller, The NURBS Book (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1995).
- 44. J.-J. Fang and C.-L. Hung, "An improved parameterization method for B-spline curve and surface interpolation," Comput. Des. **45**, 1005–1028 (2013).
- 45. E. Lee, "Choosing nodes in parametric curve interpolation," Comput. Des. 21, 363–370 (1989).
- 46. C.-G. Lim, "A universal parametrization in B-spline curve and surface interpolation," Comput. Aided Geom. Des. 16, 407–422 (1999).
- T. A. Foley and G. M. Nielson, "Knot selection for parametric spline interpolation," in *Mathematical Methods in Computer Aided Geometric Design*, (1989), pp. 261–271.
- A. Iglesias, A. Gálvez, and M. Collantes, "Four adaptive memetic bat algorithm schemes for Bézier curve parameterization," Transactions on Comput. Sci. XXVIII: Special Issue on Cyberworlds Cybersecurity 9590, 127–145 (2016).
- J. Luo, H. Kang, and Z. Yang, "Knot calculation for spline fitting based on the unimodality property," Comput. Aided Geom. Des. 73, 54–69 (2019).
- D. Michel and A. Zidna, "A new deterministic heuristic knots placement for B-Spline approximation," Math. Comput. Simul. 186, 91–102 (2021).
- W. Li, S. Xu, G. Zhao, and L. P. Goh, "Adaptive knot placement in B-spline curve approximation," Comput. Des. 37, 791–797 (2005).
- 52. H. Kang, F. Chen, Y. Li, *et al.*, "Knot calculation for spline fitting via sparse optimization," Comput. Des. **58**, 179–188 (2015).
- 53. G. Farin, "From conics to NURBS: A tutorial and survey," IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl. 12, 78–86 (1992).
- H. Lin, T. Maekawa, and C. Deng, "Survey on geometric iterative methods and their applications," Comput. Des. 95, 40–51 (2018).
- 55. N. Carlson and M. Gulliksson, "Surface fitting with nurbs: a gauss newton with trust region approach," in *Proceedings of the 13th WSEAS international conference on Applied mathematics*, (2008), pp. 169–174.
- 56. P. J. Gandy and J. Klinowski, "Exact computation of the triply periodic G ('Gyroid') minimal surface," Chem. Phys. Lett. **321**, 363–371 (2000).
- 57. P. Gandy and J. Klinowski, "Exact computation of the triply periodic Schwarz P minimal surface," Chem. Phys. Lett. **322**, 579–586 (2000).
- 58. E. Carberry, "Chapter 18: Weierstrass-Enneper representations," https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/18-994-seminar-in-geometry-fall-2004/57baa4cfbbefdf7a1ac1776205c3ad1b_chapter18.pdf. Access: 2024-04-10.
- 59. D. Filip, R. Magedson, and R. Markot, "Surface algorithms using bounds on derivatives," Comput. Aided Geom. Des. **3**, 295–311 (1987).
- 60. J. Zheng and T. W. Sederberg, "Estimating tessellation parameter intervals for rational curves and surfaces," ACM Transactions on Graph. **19**, 56–77 (2000).
- 61. M. S. Flores-Jimenez, A. Delgado-Gutiérrez, R. Q. Fuentes-Aguilar, and D. Cardenas, "Generation of a quadrilateral mesh based on NURBS for gyroids of variable thickness and porosity," J. Appl. Comput. Mech. **8**, 684–698 (2022).
- 62. P. J. Gandy, D. Cvijović, A. L. Mackay, and J. Klinowski, "Exact computation of the triply periodic D ('diamond') minimal surface," Chem. Phys. Lett. **314**, 543–551 (1999).
- G. Hu, H. Cao, X. Wang, and X. Qin, "G2 continuity conditions for generalized Bézier-like surfaces with multiple shape parameters," J. Inequalities Appl. 2017, 248 (2017).
- 64. A. Fogden and S. Hyde, "Parametrization of triply periodic minimal surfaces. ii. Regular class solutions," Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A **48**, 575–591 (1992).
- 65. Y. Kineri, M. Wang, H. Lin, and T. Maekawa, "B-spline surface fitting by iterative geometric

interpolation/approximation algorithms," Comput. Des. 44, 697–708 (2012).
66. P. Bo, X. Mai, W. Meng, and C. Zhang, "Improving geometric iterative approximation methods using local approximations," Comput. & Graph. 116, 33–45 (2024).