

MABUCHI RAYS, TEST CONFIGURATIONS AND QUANTIZATION FOR TORIC MANIFOLDS

ANTÓNIO GOUVEIA, JOSÉ M. MOURÃO, AND JOÃO P. NUNES

ABSTRACT. We consider Mabuchi rays of toric Kähler structures on symplectic toric manifolds which are associated to toric test configurations and that are generated by convex functions on the moment polytope, P , whose second derivative has support given by a compact subset $K \subset P$. Associated to the test configuration there is a polyhedral decomposition of P whose components are approximated by the components of $P \setminus K$. Along such Mabuchi rays, the toric complex structure remains unchanged on $\mu^{-1}(P \setminus \overset{\circ}{K})$, where $\overset{\circ}{K}$ denotes the interior of K . At infinite geodesic time, the Kähler polarizations along the ray converge to interesting new toric mixed polarizations.

The quantization in these limit polarizations is given by restrictions of the monomial holomorphic sections of the Kähler quantization, for monomials corresponding to integral points in $P \setminus \overset{\circ}{K}$, and by sections on the fibers of the moment map over the integral points contained in $\overset{\circ}{K}$, which, along the directions “parallel” to K are holomorphic and which along the directions “transverse” to K are distributional. These quantizations correspond to quantizations of the central fiber of the test family, in the symplectic picture.

We present the case of S^2 in detail and then generalize to higher dimensional symplectic toric manifolds. Metrically, at infinite Mabuchi geodesic time, the sphere decomposes into two discs and a collection of cylinders, separated by infinitely long lines. Correspondingly, the quantization in the limit polarization decomposes into a direct sum of the contributions from the quantizations of each of these components.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Toric Kähler structures	4
2.2. Mabuchi rays and quantization of toric manifolds	5
2.3. Test configurations	9

Date: July 9, 2024.

3. Quantization in new toric polarizations on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$	9
3.1. The case of one bump function	9
3.1.1. New toric polarizations in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$	10
3.1.2. Convergence of L^1 normalized monomial sections	11
3.1.3. Generalized coherent state transform and half-form quantization	16
3.2. Generalization to more bump functions	17
4. Higher dimensional toric manifolds	18
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

The analytic continuation to imaginary time of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms plays an important role in Kähler geometry and in geometric quantization. In Kähler geometry these “complexified symplectomorphisms” describe geodesics with respect to the Mabuchi metric on the space of Kähler metrics [Mab87; Don99; PS08; MN15]. In geometric quantization, Mabuchi geodesic rays provide paths of Kähler polarizations which, often, connect to interesting real polarizations at infinite geodesic time. By lifting these paths to the bundle of quantum Hilbert spaces of polarized sections one relates different quantizations of the underlying symplectic manifold.

For the cotangent bundle of a compact Lie group the vertical polarization can be connected by a Mabuchi ray to a rich mixed polarization called the Kirwin-Wu polarization, which allows one to relate the Peter-Weyl and Borel-Weil theorems [KMN13a; Bai+23b]. The case of symmetric spaces of compact type is studied in [Bai+23a].

Mabuchi rays on symplectic toric manifolds can be simply and effectively described in terms of convex functions on the moment polytope P via the symplectic potentials of Guillemin-Abreu [Gui94; Abr03]. These have been used to relate the quantization of a symplectic toric manifold in a toric Kähler structure with the quantization in the real toric polarization. Quantization in the toric real polarization is given by distributional sections supported on the fibers of the moment map corresponding to the integral points of P . In [Bai+11] it was shown that L^1 -normalized holomorphic monomial sections converge, along the Mabuchi ray, to the expected Dirac delta distributional sections in the quantization of the toric real polarization. If the half-form correction is included one obtains a similar convergence for L^2 -normalized monomial sections [KMN13b]. This convergence can be understood in terms of a generalized coherent state transform which is asymptotically unitary

[KMN16]. Generalizations to limit toric polarizations of mixed type have been considered in [Per22] and to manifolds with an Hamiltonian torus symmetry in [Wan22; CW23a; CW23b]. Applications to flag varieties and more general integrable systems were considered in [HK14; HK15; HHK21].

On previous studies, the Mabuchi rays that were considered were generated by a strictly convex function on P , so that at infinite geodesic time, one obtains the real toric polarization along the interior $\overset{\circ}{P}$ of the moment polytope P . Metrically, at infinite geodesic time one obtains a Gromov-Hausdorff convergence to the moment polytope P equipped with an Hessian metric [Bai+11].

Let M be a toric symplectic manifold with moment polytope P and moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow P$. In the present work, we generalize previous studies by considering, first on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ in Section 3 and then more generally on higher dimensional symplectic toric manifolds in Section 4, Mabuchi rays associated to test configurations which are generated by a symplectic potential whose second derivative has support on a strictly smaller compact subset $K < P$. Accordingly, the initial polarization remains unchanged on $\mu^{-1}(P \setminus \overset{\circ}{K})$ where $\overset{\circ}{K} \subset K$ is the interior of K . Along $\overset{\circ}{K}$ the Kähler polarizations converge at infinite geodesic time to mixed toric polarizations. The lift of the geodesic path of Kähler structures to the bundle of polarized quantum Hilbert spaces has remarkably natural features. Holomorphic monomial sections corresponding to integral points in $\overset{\circ}{K}$ converge to sections which are distributional along some directions and remain holomorphic along the others. On the other hand, monomial sections for integral points on $P \setminus \overset{\circ}{K}$ just restrict to the appropriate component of $\mu^{-1}(P \setminus K)$. We describe this convergence both for L^1 -normalized sections and for half-form corrected quantization in terms of a generalized coherent state transform.

From the metric point of view, at infinite geodesic time, in the case of S^2 , the symplectic sphere decomposes into two discs and a collection of cylinders, labelled by the connected components of $\mu^{-1}(P \setminus K)$ and a collections of infinitely long lines, labelled by the connected components of K . The quantization in the limit polarization then corresponds to a direct sum of the contributions of each of these constituents. This description generalizes to the higher dimensional case.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Toric Kähler structures. Consider now (M, ω) a compact toric manifold, of real dimension $2n$, with moment map μ and moment polytope P , such that the symplectic form satisfies $[\frac{\omega}{2\pi}] - \frac{c_1(M)}{2} \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. This choice allows us to choose P to be

$$(1) \quad P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; l_j(x) \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, r\},$$

where $l_j(x) = \langle x, v_j \rangle - \lambda_j$, v_j are the primitive vectors normal to the j -th facet of the polytope that point to the inside, and $\lambda_j \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$.

Let \check{P} be the interior of P . Then we have that $\check{M} := \mu^{-1}(\check{P})$ is an open dense subset of M consisting of the points in which the action is free. Consider action-angle coordinates (x, θ) on $\check{M} \cong \check{P} \times \mathbb{T}^n$, under which the moment map becomes $\mu(x, \theta) = x$. Following the work of Guillemin and Abreu [Gui94; Abr03], one considers the following smooth function $g_P : \check{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

$$(2) \quad g_P(x) = \sum_{r=1}^d \frac{1}{2} \ell_r(x) \log(\ell_r(x)).$$

Theorem 2.1 (Abreu, [Abr03]). *Let (M, ω) be the toric symplectic manifold associated to a Delzant polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and let J any compatible toric complex structure. Then J is determined by a “symplectic potential” $g \in C^\infty(\check{P})$ of the form $g = g_P + h$, where g_P is given by (2), h is smooth on the whole P , and the matrix $G = \text{Hess}_x(g)$ is positive definite on \check{P} and has determinant of the form*

$$\det(G) = \left[\delta(x) \prod_{r=1}^d \ell_r(x) \right]^{-1},$$

with δ being a smooth and strictly positive function on the whole P . Conversely, any such g determines a compatible toric complex structure J on (M, ω) .

The symplectic potential allows us to define an equivariant biholomorphism between $\check{P} \times \mathbb{T}^n$ and $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ through a Legendre transform, mapping $x \in \check{P}$ to $y := \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that

$$(3) \quad w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) := (e^{y_1 + i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{y_n + i\theta_n}),$$

gives a system of holomorphic coordinates on \check{M} . The inverse Legendre transform is given by

$$x := \frac{\partial h}{\partial y},$$

where $h(y) = x(y) \cdot y - g(x(y))$ is the Kähler potential on \check{M} . (In an analogous way, as seen, for example, in [KMN13b], we can define holomorphic coordinate systems around the vertices of P .)

There is a bijective correspondence between the irreducible torus-invariant divisors and the 1-cones of the fan associated with the toric manifold. These 1-cones are then given by the primitive integral vectors ν_j which are normal to the facets of P and it follows that the irreducible divisors are:

$$D_j = \mu^{-1}(\{x \in P; l_j(x) := \langle \nu_j, x \rangle + \lambda_j = 0\}), j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Given a divisor $D^L = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^L D_j$, $\lambda_j^L \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote the corresponding line bundle by $L = \mathcal{O}(D^L)$. Let σ_{D^L} be the unique up to a constant meromorphic section of L , with divisor D^L . Following Proposition 4.1.2 in [CLS11], we obtain that for any meromorphic function w^m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, its divisor is given by

$$\text{div}(w^m) = \sum_{j=1}^r \langle \nu_j, m \rangle D_j,$$

and so the space of holomorphic sections is

$$H^0(M, L) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{w^m \sigma_{D^L}; m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \text{div}(w^m \sigma_{D^L}) = \langle \nu_j, m \rangle + \lambda_j^L \geq 0\}.$$

There is then a bijection between a (monomial) basis of $H^0(M, L)$ and the integral points of P .

2.2. Mabuchi rays and quantization of toric manifolds. The families of symplectic potentials

$$(4) \quad g_s = g_P + s\psi, \quad s > 0,$$

where ψ is a strictly convex smooth function on P , were considered in [Bai+11], [KMN13b] and [KMN16], as well as the corresponding families of holomorphic polarizations and the associated Hilbert space of polarized sections. In [Bai+11] L^1 -normalized sections were studied; in [KMN13b] half-form corrected L^2 -normalized sections were considered; in [KMN16] half-form corrected sections were studied by means of Hamiltonian flows in imaginary time and generalized coherent state transforms.

Consider the holomorphic polarizations corresponding to the complex structure J_s , $s \geq 0$, determined on (M, ω) by the symplectic potential g_s ,

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{P}_s = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial w_s^i}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

where the holomorphic coordinates $w_s^j, j = 1 \dots, n$, are defined by g_s as in (3). One can take the limit as $s \rightarrow +\infty$ in the positive Lagrangian Grassmannian of $T_p M \otimes \mathbb{C}$, pointwise for $p \in M$, obtaining a limit polarization

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{P}_\infty := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_s.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$ be the real toric polarization defined by

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R} = \text{span}_\mathbb{R} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

One obtains

Theorem 2.2 ([Bai+11]).

$$C^\infty \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_s \right) = C^\infty(\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, along the above Mabuchi ray of toric Kähler structures the holomorphic polarizations converge to the real toric polarization as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

The norm of the holomorphic section associated to the integral point $m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, along $\mu^{-1}(x), x \in \check{P}$, is given in terms of the functions

$$f_m(x) = (m - x) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \psi(x).$$

For ψ strictly convex, this function has a global minimum at m , which yields the following result

Proposition 2.1 ([Bai+11]).

$$\frac{e^{-s f_m(x)}}{\|e^{-s f_m}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x - m), \text{ in the sense of distributions.}$$

Consider the case when $[\frac{\omega}{2\pi}] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, so that P has integral vertices. Using the Liouville measure, one considers the injection of smooth in distributional sections:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : C^\infty(L_\omega|_U) &\rightarrow C^{-\infty}(L_\omega|_U) := (C_c^\infty(L_\omega^{-1}|_U))^* \\ s &\mapsto \iota s(\phi) = \int_U s \phi \frac{\omega^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

where U is any open subset of \check{M} and L_ω is the prequantum line bundle on \check{P} . Following [Bai+11], one defines

$$\delta^m(\tau) = \int_{\mu_P^{-1}(m)} e^{i\ell(m)\theta} \tau = \hat{\tau}(x = m, \theta = -m), \quad \forall \tau \in C_c^\infty(L_\omega^{-1}|_U),$$

where $\hat{\tau}$ represents the Fourier transform of τ . The J_s -holomorphic sections can be written as

$$\sigma_s^m := e^{-h_s(x)} w_s^m \mathbb{1}, \quad m \in \check{P} \cap \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is a meromorphic section which gives a trivializing frame along \check{M} . One has similar expressions for monomial sections corresponding to integral points along ∂P . Then,

Theorem 2.3 ([Bai+11]). *For $m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}$, consider the family of L^1 -normalized J_s -holomorphic sections*

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \ni s \mapsto \xi_s^m := \frac{\sigma_s^m}{\|\sigma_s^m\|_1} \in C^\infty(L_\omega) \xrightarrow{\iota} (C_c^\infty(L_\omega^{-1}|_U))^*,$$

for $U \subset M$ open. Then, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\iota(\xi_s^m)$ converges to δ^m in $(C_c^\infty(L_\omega^{-1}|_U))^*$.

To include the half-form correction, following [KMN13b], we now take $\left[\frac{\omega}{2\pi}\right] - \frac{c_1(M)}{2} \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Let \mathcal{K}_P denote the canonical bundle for a toric Kähler polarization \mathcal{P} . As described in [KMN13b], there is a natural factorization $\mathcal{K}_P \cong |\mathcal{K}_P| \otimes \mathcal{K}_P^{U(1)}$, where the second factor is always the same for any toric Kähler polarization on M . As $|\mathcal{K}_P|$ is trivial it admits a square root, denoted by $|\mathcal{K}_P|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. One defines the half-density $\sqrt{|dX|}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = |dX(X_1, \dots, X_n)|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $dX = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ and $X_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, are vector fields. We define $dZ_s := dz_s^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_s^n$, where $z_s^j = \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial x_j} + i\theta_j, j = 1, \dots, n$. A global trivializing section of $|\mathcal{P}_s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is then $\frac{\sqrt{|dZ_s|}}{\|dZ_s\|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. For each $s \geq 0$ one obtains the Hilbert space of half-form corrected sections polarized with respect to the Kähler polarizations \mathcal{P}_s defined by the symplectic potential g_s ,

$$\mathcal{H}_s := \left\{ \sigma \otimes \frac{\sqrt{|dZ_s|}}{\|dZ_s\|^{\frac{1}{2}}}; \sigma \text{ is a polarized section of } L \right\},$$

where the space of polarized sections of L is generated by the set

$$(8) \quad \sigma_s^m := e^{-h_s(x)} w_s^m \mathbb{1}^{U(1)}, \quad m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}.$$

Theorem 2.4 ([KMN13b]).

$$\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_s^m}{\|\sigma_s^m\|_2} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n}{4}} \delta^m \otimes \sqrt{|dX|}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\sigma}_s^m = \sigma_s^m \otimes \frac{\sqrt{|dZ_s|}}{\|dZ_s\|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

It was also shown in [KMN13b] that the Hilbert space of half-form corrected sections which are polarized with respect to the real toric polarization is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\delta^m \otimes \sqrt{|dX|}, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In this way, one describes the convergence of L^2 -normalized half-form corrected holomorphic sections along the Mabuchi ray of complex structures J_s , $s \geq 0$ to the distributional sections corresponding to the real toric polarization.

This result may be reformulated using Hamiltonian flows analytically continued to imaginary time and so-called generalized coherent state transforms [KMN16]. Let ψ be the strongly convex function on P and consider the family of symplectic potentials $g_s = g_P + s\psi$, $s \geq 0$. Using $z_s^j = \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial x_j} + i\theta_j$, let \mathcal{P}_s be Kähler polarization of (M, ω) given by

$$\mathcal{P}_s = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, j = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

Proposition 2.2 ([KMN16]). *Let $s > 0$. Then:*

- i) *As distributions, $\mathcal{P}_s = e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_\psi}} \mathcal{P}_g$,*
- ii) *Pointwise, $dZ_s = e^{is\mathcal{L}_{X_\psi}} dZ_0$.*

Consider now the Kostant-Souriau prequantum operator associated to the smooth function ψ ,

$$\hat{\psi} = i\nabla_{X_\psi} + \psi = iX_\psi - x \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \psi,$$

where the prequantum connection can be written as $\nabla = d + i \sum_{j=1}^n x_j d\theta_j$ along \check{M} .

Proposition 2.3 ([KMN16]). *For any $s > 0$, the operator $e^{s\hat{\psi}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}_\psi} : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s$ is a linear isomorphism and*

$$e^{s\hat{\psi}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}_\psi} \sigma_0^m = \sigma_s^m,$$

for all $m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}$.

There is a natural quantization of ψ given by the operator:

$$Q(\psi) : \mathcal{H}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s, \quad \sigma_s^m \otimes \sqrt{dZ_s} \mapsto \psi(m) \sigma_s^m \otimes \sqrt{dZ_s}, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}.$$

This allows for the definition of the generalized coherent state transform (gCST) as

$$A_s^\psi : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s, \\ A_s^\psi := \left(e^{s\hat{\psi}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}_\psi} \right) \circ e^{-sQ(\psi)}$$

One obtains

Theorem 2.5 ([KMN16]).

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} A_s^\psi \left(\sigma_0^m \otimes \sqrt{dZ_s} \right) = (2\pi)^{n/2} e^{g(m)} \delta^m \otimes \sqrt{dX}, m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}.$$

2.3. Test configurations. In [Don02], in the study of K -stability for toric varieties, Donaldson describes degenerations of a complex n -dimensional smooth toric variety M with moment polytope P by considering rational piecewise linear symplectic potentials on P . (See also [CT08; SZ12].) For such a potential f , he considers a real $(n + 1)$ -dimensional polytope \hat{P} defined by

$$\hat{P} = \{(x, y) \in P \times \mathbb{R} \mid x \in P, 0 \leq y \leq K - f\},$$

where $K = \max(f)$. Up to rescaling, one can take \hat{P} to be integral and to define a toric variety \hat{M} , together with an holomorphic line bundle $\hat{L} \rightarrow \hat{M}$, which defines a “test configuration” (Section 2 in [Don02]). The inclusion $P \times \{0\} \subset \hat{P}$ gives an inclusion $M \subset \hat{M}$ and \hat{M} comes equipped with a natural map $\pi : \hat{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ which is equivariant with respect to the \mathbb{C}^* -action on \hat{M} coming from the last \mathbb{C}^* factor in the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}$ toric action. The central fiber of $\hat{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponds to the polytope

$$Q = \{(x, y) \in P \times \mathbb{R} : x \in P, y = K - f(x)\}.$$

In [CT08; SZ12], the authors study, for compact toric Kähler manifolds, the existence of Mabuchi geodesic rays associated to algebraic degenerations defined by test configurations, obtaining

Theorem 2.6 (Thm. 7.1 [CT08], Thm 7 [SZ12]). *Let \hat{M} be a toric degeneration defined by the piecewise linear symplectic potential f on the Delzant polytope P such that the polytope \hat{P} is integral. Then, the corresponding induced geodesic ray is defined by the path of symplectic potentials $g_t = g_0 + sf, s > 0$.*

3. QUANTIZATION IN NEW TORIC POLARIZATIONS ON $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$

Our goal is to generalize the results in the previous section for a larger class of symplectic potentials; namely, we will consider ψ to be a function whose Hessian is given in terms of bump-functions with localized supports in P . In this section, we will focus on the special case of $S^2 \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ and the general case will be described in Section 4. We will consider the convergence both of L^1 -normalized polarized sections (as in [Bai+11]) and of half-form corrected polarized sections using the gCST as in [KMN16].

3.1. The case of one bump function.

3.1.1. *New toric polarizations in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$.* Consider S^2 with the usual S^1 -action and moment map μ given by the height function, such that the moment polytope is $P = [0, N]$, where $N \in \mathbb{N}$. In this section, we will fix $m \in P$ and consider ψ to be a function on P such that its second derivative is a bump function, with maximum at $x = m$ and even about $x = m$, with support

$$S = \text{supp } \psi'' = [m - \alpha, m + \alpha] \cap P,$$

for sufficiently small $\alpha > 0$. When $m \in \check{P}$, with $P_1 = [0, m - \alpha]$ and $P_2 = [m + \alpha, N]$ one obtains the profiles described in Figures 1,2 and 3. Note that letting

$$(9) \quad A = \int_S \psi'' dx,$$

we can take $\psi'(m - \alpha) = 0$ and $\psi'(m + \alpha) = A$, in this case.




FIGURE 1. ψ''




FIGURE 2. ψ'




FIGURE 3. ψ

We will consider the family polarizations defined by the symplectic potentials $g_p + s\psi$, $s \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *As $s \rightarrow \infty$, \mathcal{P}_s converges pointwise in the Lagrangian Grassmannian to a limit polarization \mathcal{P}_∞ . On*

$$\check{M} := \mu^{-1}(\check{S}),$$

where \check{S} is the interior of S , one has that $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}$. On the complement of this set relative to \check{M} the polarization does not change with s , that is,

$$\mathcal{P}_s = \mathcal{P}_0, \text{ on } \check{M} \setminus \check{M}, s \geq 0.$$

Proof. On \check{M} , the proof follows exactly like in Lemma 3.2 in [Bai+11]. On $\check{M} \setminus \check{M}$, the polarization is given in terms of $\frac{\partial}{\partial w_s}$ which is independent of s since ψ'' vanishes there. \square

Including the points in the boundary of P , one obtains

Proposition 3.1. *One has the following properties of the limit polarization \mathcal{P}_∞ :*

- (1) *If $S \cap \partial P = \emptyset$ then, $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P}_0$ on $M \setminus \check{M}$.*
- (2) *If $S \cap \partial P \neq \emptyset$, then on $\mu^{-1}(S \cap \partial P)$ one has*

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R} \oplus \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \mid w_j = 0 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

Proof. This follows exactly like Theorem 3.4 in [Bai+11]. \square

As a consequence, we arrive at an analog of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 3.1. *On $\mu^{-1}(S)$,*

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{P}_\infty) = C^\infty(\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{R}).$$

Proof. We have two cases, either if $S \cap \partial P = \emptyset$ or if $S \cap \partial P \neq \emptyset$. The first case follows from Proposition 3.1. In the other case, the proof follows exactly like in Theorem 1.2 in [Bai+11]. \square

In the remainder of Section 3 we will take $m \in \check{P}$ for simplicity of exposition. The results generalize straightforwardly to the case when $m \in \partial P$.

3.1.2. *Convergence of L^1 normalized monomial sections.* In this section, as above, we take $m \in \check{P}$ and ψ so that ψ'' is a bump function even with respect to reflections around m . We also set $\psi(m - \alpha) = \psi'(m - \alpha) = 0$ so that $\psi'(x) = A$ for $x \geq m + \alpha$.

Lemma 3.2. *For $x \geq m + \alpha$, $\psi(x) = A(x - m)$.*

Proof. Let

$$c := \int_{m-\alpha}^{m+\alpha} \psi'(x) dx = \psi(m + \alpha).$$

This result follows from the fact that

$$((x - m)\psi')' = (x - m)\psi'' + \psi',$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{m-\alpha}^{m+\alpha} ((x-m)\psi'(x))' dx &= \int_{m-\alpha}^{m+\alpha} [(x-m)\psi''(x) + \psi'(x)] dx && \iff \\ \alpha A &= c + \int_{m-\alpha}^{m+\alpha} (x-m)\psi''(x) dx && \iff \\ \alpha A &= c + \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} y\psi''(y+m) dy && \iff \\ \alpha A &= c = \psi(m+\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The fourth equivalence sign comes from the fact that the integrand is odd. Thus, for all $x \geq m + \alpha$, $\psi(x) = A(x - m)$. \square

For $n \in P$, consider now the following function

$$f_n(x) = (x - n) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \psi(x).$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let ψ be as above. Then*

(a) *For $n \in \tilde{S}$, in the sense of distributions,*

$$\frac{e^{-sf_n}}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x - n).$$

(b) *For $n \in P_j, j = 1, 2$,*

$$\frac{e^{-sf_n}}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(P_j)} \chi_{P_j}.$$

Proof. We have,

$$f'_n(x) = (x - n)\psi''(x)$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) &= f_n(n) + \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f_n(n + t(x - n)) dt \\ &= -\psi(n) + \int_0^1 t(x - n)^2 \psi''(n + t(x - n)) dt \\ &\geq -\psi(n), \end{aligned}$$

where the last observation comes from the fact that the integral is always greater than or equal zero. So, the absolute minimum value of f_n is $f_n(n) = -\psi(n)$. In fact, it also follows that if $n \in \tilde{S}$ then $x = n$ is an isolated minimum. On the other hand, $f_n = 0$ on P_1 and $f_n(x) = (m - n)A$ for $x \in P_2$ which is the maximum value attained by f_n . Let

$$\Delta_n(x) = \psi(n) + f_n(x) \geq 0, x \in P.$$

We have

$$\frac{e^{-sf_n}}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} = \frac{e^{-s\Delta_n}}{\|e^{-s\Delta_n}\|_1}.$$

Let $n \in \check{S}$. Then, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|e^{-s\Delta_n}\|_1 \geq \int_{B_\varepsilon(n)} e^{-s\Delta_n(x)} dx \geq \text{Vol}(B_\varepsilon(n)) e^{-\frac{s}{2}\varepsilon^2\psi''(m)}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{e^{-s\Delta_n(x)}}{\|e^{-s\Delta_n}\|_1} \leq e^{-s\Delta_n(x)} e^{\frac{s}{2}\varepsilon^2\psi''(m)} \text{Vol}(B_\varepsilon(n))^{-1}.$$

If $x \neq n$, since $\Delta_n(x) > 0$, we obtain, choosing sufficiently small ε , that

$$\frac{e^{-s\Delta_n(x)}}{\|e^{-s\Delta_n}\|_1} \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves (a). On the other hand, if $n \in P_1$, then $\Delta_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in P_1$ and $\Delta_n(x) > 0$ for $x \in \check{S} \cup P_2$. By the dominated convergence theorem, as $s \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $\|e^{-s\Delta_n}\|_1 \rightarrow \text{Vol}(P_1)$ and (b) follows. If now $x \in P_2$, we have that $\Delta_n(x) > 0$ for $x \in P_1 \cup \check{S}$ and $\Delta_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in P_2$. By the dominated convergence theorem, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\|e^{-s\Delta_n}\|_1 \rightarrow \text{Vol}(P_2)$ and also (b) follows. \square

Thus, we arrive at the analog of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 3.3. *For $n \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}$, consider the family of L^1 -normalized J_s -holomorphic sections*

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \ni s \mapsto \xi_s^n := \frac{\sigma_s^n}{\|\sigma_s^n\|_1} \in C^\infty(L_\omega) \xrightarrow{t} (C_c^\infty(L_\omega^{-1}|_U))^*.$$

Then,

- (a) For $n \in \check{S}$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\iota(\xi_s^n)$ converges to δ^n in $(C_c^\infty(L_\omega^{-1}|_U))^*$.
- (b) For $n \in P_j, j = 1, 2$, as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\iota(\xi_s^n)$ converges to

$$\frac{1}{\|\sigma_0^n\|_{L^1(P_j)}} \int_{P_j} \sigma_0^n \hat{\tau}(\cdot, -n) dx$$

in $(C_c^\infty(L_\omega^{-1}|_U))^*$.

Proof. The proof of this result follows [Bai+11]. In short, we can consider a partition of unity $\{\rho_v\}$ subordinated to the covering by vertex charts $\{\check{P}_v\}$, and therefore we only have to check the result in each chart. Thus choosing a test section $\tau \in C^\infty(L_\omega^{-1})$, we may define

$$h_n^s(x) = s(x-n)\psi' - g_s = h_n^0(x) - sf_n(x).$$

Hence, following the computations in [Bai+11],

$$(\iota(\xi_s^n))(\tau) = \frac{1}{\|\sigma_s^n\|_1} \int_P e^{-h_n^s(x)} \hat{\tau}(x, -n) dx.$$

Also

$$\|\sigma_s^n\|_1 = \int_{\check{M}} e^{-h_n^s \circ \mu_P} \omega^n = (2\pi)^n \int_P e^{-h_n^s} dx.$$

We have

$$\frac{\|e^{-h_n^0 - sf_n}\|_1}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} = \int_P \frac{e^{-sf_n}}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} e^{-h_n^0} dx.$$

Now, using Theorem 3.2 we obtain

- For $n \in \check{S}$,

$$\frac{\|e^{-h_n^0 - sf_n}\|_1}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{-h_n^0(n)};$$

- For $n \in P_j, j = 1, 2$, using the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\frac{\|e^{-h_n^0 - sf_n}\|_1}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(P_j)} \int_{P_j} e^{-h_n^0(x)} dx;$$

Which then implies that:

- for $n \in \check{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(\xi_s^n)(\tau) &= \int_P \frac{e^{-h_n^0 - sf_n}}{\|e^{-h_n^0 - sf_n}\|_1} \hat{\tau}(\cdot, -n) dx \\ &= \int_P \frac{e^{-h_n^0 - sf_n}}{\|e^{-h_n^0 - sf_n}\|_1} \frac{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} \hat{\tau}(\cdot, -n) dx \\ &\xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_P e^{-h_n^0} e^{h_n^0} \delta^n \hat{\tau}(\cdot, -n) dx \\ &= \delta^n(\tau); \end{aligned}$$

- For $n \in P_j, j = 1, 2$, using the dominated convergence theorem:

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota(\xi_s^n)(\tau) &= \int_P \frac{e^{-h_n^0 + sf_n}}{\|e^{-h_n^0 + sf_n}\|_1} \hat{\tau}(\cdot, -n) dx \\
&= \int_P \frac{e^{-sf_n}}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} \frac{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1}{\|e^{-h_n^0 + sf_n}\|_1} e^{-h_n^0} \hat{\tau}(\cdot, -n) dx \\
&\xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_P \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(P_j)} \chi_{P_j} \frac{\text{Vol}(P_j)}{\|\sigma_0^n\|_1} e^{-h_n^0} \hat{\tau}(\cdot, -n) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\|\sigma_0^n\|_{L^1(P_j)}} \int_{P_j} \sigma_0^n \hat{\tau}(\cdot, -n) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.1. *These results show that these Mabuchi geodesics of toric Kähler structures allow for a decomposition of the phase space associated to the decomposition of the polytope,*

$$P = P_1 \cup S \cup P_2.$$

Suppose, for instance, that there are no integral points on the support of ψ . In this case, the monomial sections σ^n converge to their normalized restriction to the corresponding part of the polytope, i.e. P_1 if $n < m$ or P_2 if $n > m$. Moreover, as in the limit these sections only have support on the corresponding P_i , we obtain that, at infinite geodesic time along the Mabuchi geodesic, the Hilbert space for the quantization on the whole S^2 , decomposes into the Hilbert spaces for quantization of the two subsets $\mu^{-1}(P_1)$ and $\mu^{-1}(P_2)$. Note that, from the metric point of view, these two regions in phase space become separated by infinitely long lines.

If the support does contain at least one integral point, we will have three regions in phase space that support polarized sections in the limit of infinite geodesic time. In particular, the sections supported in $\text{supp } \psi''$ converge to distributional sections. Again, in the limit, the quantization of S^2 decomposes into the quantizations of the three subregions which will be separated from each other, metrically, by infinitely long lines.

This result is quite interesting as, in general, there is no way of “decomposing” a phase space into subsets, in some geometrically natural way, in such a way that the quantization of the symplectic manifold also “decomposes” as a sum of the quantizations of those subsets.

Remark 3.2. *Notice that all the above results are still valid on the plane, where when the moment polytope is of the form $[0, +\infty)$.*

3.1.3. Generalized coherent state transform and half-form quantization.

In this section, we study the behaviour of half-form corrected holomorphic quantization, studied in the toric case in [KMN13b], along the Mabuchi ray of toric Kähler structures describes in Sections 3.1.1. We will follow [KMN16] and consider generalized coherent state transforms as recalled in Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.5.

Following [KMN13b], we consider the corrected polytope

$$P = [-1/2, N + 1/2],$$

and as such, P_1 and P_2 are also corrected by shifts of $1/2$. Recall generalized coherent state transform defined by the operator

$$A_s^\psi : \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s,$$

for $s \geq 0$, defined by

$$A_s^\psi := \left(e^{s\hat{\psi}} \otimes e^{is\mathcal{L}\psi} \right) \circ e^{-s\hat{\psi}_{\mathbb{R}}}.$$

Theorem 3.4. *Then,*

(1) *If $n \in \check{S} \cap \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} A_s^\psi \left(\sigma_0^n \otimes \sqrt{dZ_0} \right) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{g_P(n)} \delta^n \otimes \sqrt{dX},$$

(2) *If $n \in P_i \cap \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2$,*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} A_s^\psi \left(\sigma_0^n \otimes d\sqrt{dZ_0} \right) = \chi_{P_i} \sigma_0^n \otimes \sqrt{dZ_0}.$$

Proof. The first case follows from the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [KMN13b]. For the case, $n \in P_i \cap \mathbb{Z}$. from Proposition 2.3 and again from the proof of the Theorem 2.5, we have that

$$A_s^\psi \left(\sigma_0^n \otimes \sqrt{dZ_0} \right) = e^{-s\psi(n)} \sigma_s^n \otimes \sqrt{dZ_s}.$$

Assume now that $n \in P_1$, so that $\psi(n) = 0$. From the proof of Theorem 3.3 and by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_P e^{-sf_n(x)} e^{-((x-n)g'_P(x)-g_P(x))} dx &= \int_{P_1} e^{-((x-n)g'_P(x)-g_P(x))} dx + \\ &+ \int_{P \setminus P_1} e^{-sf_n(x)} e^{-((x-n)g'_P(x)-g_P(x))} dx \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \int_{P_1} e^{-((x-n)g'_P(x)-g_P(x))} dx \end{aligned}$$

which implies our result. Assume now that $n \in P_2$. Then $\psi(n) = (n - m)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[-1/2, m+\alpha-\varepsilon]} e^{-sA(n-m)} e^{-sf_n(x)} e^{-((x-n)g'_0(x)-g_0(x))} dx \\ &\leq B e^{-sA(n-m)} e^{-sf_n(m+\alpha-\varepsilon)} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $B = (m + \alpha - \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2})e^{-((\xi-n)g'_P(\xi)-g_P(\xi))}$, where ξ is the maximum of the exponential factor in this interval. The convergence follows from the fact that $A(n - m) = -f_n(m + \alpha)$ and that f_n is a decreasing non-positive function. Moreover, notice that on P_2 , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{P_2} e^{-sA(n-m)} e^{-sf_n(x)} e^{-((x-n)g'_P(x)-g_P(x))} dx \\ &= \int_{P_2} e^{-sA(n-m)} e^{-sA(m-n)} e^{-((x-n)g'_P(x)-g_P(x))} dx = \int_{P_2} e^{-((x-n)g'_P(x)-g_P(x))} dx \end{aligned}$$

which, together with the proof of Theorem 3.3, proves our claim. \square

3.2. Generalization to more bump functions. Let us now further generalize the results of the previous sections to the case when the second derivative of ψ consists of more than one bump function. Consider, then, the case when ψ'' consists in K bump functions with disjoint supports, $S_j \subset P = [0, N]$, $j = 1, \dots, K$, each with integral A_j . Let $S_j := [m_j - \alpha_j, m_j + \alpha_j]$, $m_j \in P$, $\alpha_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, K$ be the supports of the K bump functions, where we take $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_K$. Then, generalizing the previous notation, let

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= [0, m_1 - \alpha_1], \quad P_{K+1} = [m_K + \alpha_K, N] \\ P_j &= [m_{j-1} + \alpha_{j-1}, m_j - \alpha_j], \quad j = 2, \dots, K. \end{aligned}$$

Taking

$$\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \int_{m_k - \alpha_k}^{m_k + \alpha_k} ((x - m_k)\psi'(x))' dx,$$

we obtain

$$\psi(x) = (x - m_{j-1}) \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} A_k + \sum_{k=1}^{j-2} A_k (m_{j-1} - m_k), \quad \forall x \in P_j, \quad j \neq 1.$$

As before, let

$$f_n(x) = (x - n) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} - \psi(x),$$

where $n \in P$. It is straightforward to verify that f_n is constant in each P_l with

$$(10) \quad f_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (m_j - n) A_j, \quad x \in P_l.$$

We obtain the following generalization of the Theorem 3.2:

Theorem 3.5. *Let ψ be as described above and let $S = \cup_{j=1}^K S_j$. Then*

(a) For $n \in \check{S}$, in the sense of distributions,

$$\frac{e^{-sf_n}}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x - n).$$

(b) For $n \in P_j$,

$$\frac{e^{-sf_n}}{\|e^{-sf_n}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(P_j)} \chi_{P_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, K + 1.$$

Proof. Consider first the case when $n \in \check{S}$. As in Theorem 3.2, we have that $x = n$ is the absolute minimum value of f_n , with $f_n(n) = -\psi(n)$. Again, $\Delta_n(x) = \psi(n) + f_n(x) \geq 0, x \in P$. Let $n \in \check{S}_l \subset \check{S}$. Then, the estimate for $\|e^{-s\Delta_n}\|_1$ using a ball of radius ε around $x = n$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, is still valid and since $\Delta_n(x) > 0$ for $x \neq n$ we obtain (a). On the other hand, if $n \in P_l$, then $\Delta_n(x) > 0$ if $x \notin P_l$ while $\Delta_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in P_l$. Thus, from the dominated convergence theorem, as $s \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|e^{-s\Delta_n}\|_1 \rightarrow \text{Vol}(P_l)$$

and (b) follows. \square

Furthermore, the analogs of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, are still valid and their proofs are essentially the same in the case when ψ'' consists of several bump functions. Also, Remarks 3.1 and 3.2 are still valid in this case, with the appropriate obvious adaptations.

4. HIGHER DIMENSIONAL TORIC MANIFOLDS

We will now generalize the previous results to higher dimensional symplectic toric manifolds. Let M be a toric Kähler manifold with moment polytope P and moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow P$, and consider a polyhedral decomposition of P associated to a piecewise linear rational convex function f on P defining the polytope

$$Q = \{(x, x_{n+1}) \in P \times \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq x_{n+1} \leq K - f(x)\},$$

where $K \geq \max\{f(x), x \in P\}$. We will assume that Q is integral so that it defines an equivariant line bundle, $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, and the corresponding toric test configuration [Don02; CT08]. The central fiber of the family consisting of the union of toric manifolds associated with the polyhedral decomposition of P and with the ceiling of Q , corresponds to the limit of the Mabuchi geodesic with initial velocity given by the Legendre transform of f (in the complex picture).

As we will show below, in the symplectic picture, one obtains a family of mixed polarizations on M , $\mathcal{P}_{\infty, \epsilon}$, which are obtained at infinite geodesic time along Mabuchi geodesics generated by smoothings, f_ϵ , of

f . The polarization \mathcal{P}_∞ , obtained in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, is then the symplectic picture analogue of the central fiber.

The quantization of M with respect to these polarizations has properties analogous to the ones described in the previous Section for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. In particular, we provide analogues of Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.1, and Theorem 3.2, as the other results follow from these.

Let M be a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic toric manifold with Delzant moment polytope P and let $f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a rational piecewise linear convex function associated to test configuration, as recalled in Section 2.3,

$$f(x) = \max \{a_1(x), \dots, a_r(x)\},$$

where the $a_j, j = 1, \dots, r$, are affine linear functions with rational coefficients. Let $W \subset P$ be the locus of non-differentiability of f , so that the collection of connected components $\text{int}(P_j)$ of $P \setminus W$ gives a decomposition of P into closed sub-polytopes $P_j, j = 1, \dots, q$, such that

$$P = \bigcup_{j=1}^q P_j.$$

Note that each facet of P_j which is not contained in a facet of P is shared with another P_k and corresponds to the equality of some pair of affine linear functions, $a_l = a_{l'}$. Likewise, higher codimension faces of P_j not in ∂P will correspond to loci where more than two affine linear functions $a_j, j = 1, \dots, r$, become equal. We will refer collectively to the faces of the sub-polytopes P_j as “faces of W ”.

In the following, we will assume that the sub-polytopes $P_j, j = 1, \dots, q$, are themselves Delzant polytopes and below we will consider “nice” convex smoothings of f satisfying appropriate conditions.

Let $\mathcal{F}_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, be the set of closed codimension- j faces of W . For a face $F \in \mathcal{F}_j$ with primitive normals $\nu_k^F, k = 1, \dots, j$, let $(x_F, x_F^\perp) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-j} \times \mathbb{R}^j$ denote moment coordinates obtained from (x_1, \dots, x_n) by an appropriate $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ transformation, such that F is contained in an hyperplane of the form $x_F^\perp = c_F \in \mathbb{R}^j$.

For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, consider an ϵ -thickening $W_\epsilon \supset W$ of W , obtained by

$$(11) \quad W_\epsilon = P \cap \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_j} \check{F} \times]c_F^1 - \epsilon, c_F^1 + \epsilon[\times \cdots \times]c_F^j - \epsilon, c_F^j + \epsilon[\right),$$

where \check{F} denotes the relative interior of F .

Definition 4.1. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small and $I_\epsilon = (0, \epsilon)$. We say that $\{\psi_\epsilon : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \epsilon \in I_\epsilon\}$ is a “nice” family of smoothings of f if:

- a) for each ϵ , ψ_ϵ is smooth and convex;
- b) for each $p \in P$, $\psi_\epsilon(p)$ is smooth in ϵ ;
- c) for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\psi_\epsilon = f$ on $P \setminus W_\epsilon$;
- d) For each $p \in \tilde{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_j$, the rank of $\text{Hess } \psi_\epsilon(p)$ is $\geq j$ and its restriction to the subspace generated by the x_F^\perp -directions is positive definite;
- e) For each $p \in \tilde{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_j$, there exists $\epsilon_p \in I_\epsilon$ such that for all $\tilde{\epsilon} < \epsilon_p$, $\text{Hess } \psi_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(p)$ has rank j .

Note that the support of $\text{Hess } \psi_\epsilon$ is the closure \overline{W}_ϵ of W_ϵ .

Theorem 4.1. *There exist nice families of smoothings of f .*

Proof. From Theorem 2.1 and Condition 3’ in Section 3 in [Gho02], we can find smoothings of f which are strictly convex on an open neighborhood $V \supset W$ and which coincide with f on $P \setminus V$. (See also Remark 4.4 about the properties of quantization along Mabuchi geodesics generated by such smoothings.) Such smoothings are obtained by pasting f together with the convolution of f with convolution kernels in an open neighbourhood of W .

Let us first recall the construction in [Gho02]. Let $\theta_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\theta_\epsilon(y) \geq 0, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, have support on a ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$ around the origin, be even under the reflection $y \rightarrow -y$, and satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta_\epsilon(y) dy = 1$. Convolution with θ_ϵ gives,

$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)\theta_\epsilon(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)\theta_\epsilon(x-y)dy.$$

Then, f_ϵ is smooth and for any compact set K where f is of class C^r ,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f - \tilde{f}_\epsilon\|_{C^r(K)} = 0.$$

Consider tickenings $W_{\epsilon_j}, j = 1, 2$ of W with $\epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1$ and $\overline{W}_{\epsilon_2} \subset W_{\epsilon_1}$. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a bump function with $\phi = 1$ on $P \setminus W_{\epsilon_1}$ and support on $P \setminus W_{\epsilon_2}$. Set $f_\epsilon = (1 - \phi)\tilde{f}_\epsilon + \phi f$. Then f_ϵ is smooth, and for sufficiently small ϵ convexity of f implies convexity of f_ϵ and $f_\epsilon = f$ along $P \setminus W_{\epsilon_1}$.

In the present case, in order to achieve the conditions on the rank of the Hessian of the smoothing of the piecewise linear function f , we will use convolution kernels only along the directions normal to faces of W . Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_j, j > 0$, be a face of W , with parallel and normal coordinates x_F, x_F^\perp . Consider a convolution kernel $\theta_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^j)$ as above, even under reflections $y \rightarrow -y$, but which we will take only

along the “non-smooth” directions of f at F given by x_F^\perp . Let

$$\tilde{f}_\epsilon^F(x_F, x_F^\perp) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^j} f(x_F, x_F^\perp - y) \theta_\epsilon(y) dy,$$

which is smooth in an open neighbourhood A such that $A \cap F = \check{F}$ and such that A does not overlap any other face of W . Note that near \check{F} , f is linear in x_F so that \tilde{f}_ϵ^F is also linear in x_F near \check{F} . Moreover, note that, near F , strict convexity of f relative to the directions x_F^\perp implies that the Hessian of \tilde{f}_ϵ has exactly rank j and is positive definite in the normal directions x_F^\perp in a neighbourhood of \check{F} . (See the argument in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [Gho02].) Let $V \subset U$ be sufficiently small open sets such that $U \cap F$ and $V \cap F$ are relatively open sets in \check{F} . Let $\phi_F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a bump function which is equal to 1 on the complement of U and with support in the complement of V . Then,

$$f_\epsilon = (1 - \phi_F) \tilde{f}_\epsilon^F + \phi_F f$$

is smooth, $f_\epsilon = f$ on the complement of U and $f_\epsilon = \tilde{f}_\epsilon^F$ in V . For $p \in U \setminus V$, for sufficiently small ϵ , the convolution of θ_ϵ with f will be over a region where f is linear (in both X_F and x_F^\perp) so that $f = \tilde{f}_\epsilon^F$ in an open neighbourhood of p for sufficiently small ϵ . Thus, in the intermediate region $U \setminus V$ f_ϵ is convex (and linear) for sufficiently small ϵ . By changing f along neighbourhoods of relatively open sets in the interior of each face F of W we obtain the desired smoothings and the nice families follow by changing the size of the neighbourhoods. \square

Given a nice family of smoothings of f , ψ_ϵ , let $\mathcal{P}_{s,\epsilon}$, for $s > 0$, be the toric Kähler polarization corresponding to the symplectic potential $g_s = g_P + s\psi_\epsilon$. Along the corresponding Mabuchi geodesic we obtain at infinite geodesic time, $s \rightarrow \infty$, new mixed toric polarizations $\mathcal{P}_{\infty,\epsilon}$ which are higher dimensional analogs of the mixed polarizations in S^2 described in Section 3.

Remark 4.1. *As mentioned above, from its construction, the mixed polarization*

$$\mathcal{P}_\infty = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{P}_{\infty,\epsilon},$$

as described below, corresponds to the symplectic picture version of the central fiber of the test family. From the metric point of view, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that the metric remains unchanged along the Mabuchi flow on the subset $P \setminus W_\epsilon$, while on codimension-1 facets in W_ϵ the normal directions collapse metrically to points. This limit, which is taken in the symplectic picture, is a different limit from the one considered in [CT08; SZ12], where there is a global (singular) complex structure on

the central fiber and where one gets smooth n -dimensional toric varieties connected by lower dimension sub-varieties.




FIGURE 4. Example for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\infty, \varepsilon}$ be the polarization that on $\mu^{-1}(P \setminus W_\varepsilon)$ coincides with the initial Kähler polarization and on points $p \in \mu^{-1}(W_\varepsilon)$ is defined as follows. Let F be the face of minimal dimension, $q = n - j$ such that

$$\mu(p) \in \check{F} \times]c_F^1 - \varepsilon, c_F^1 + \varepsilon[\times \cdots \times]c_F^j - \varepsilon, c_F^j + \varepsilon[.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{P}_{\infty, \varepsilon}(p) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial(\theta_F^\perp)_i}, i = 1, \dots, n - q, \frac{\partial}{\partial(w_F)_j}, j = 1, \dots, q, \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. One has,

- a) As $s \rightarrow +\infty$, pointwise in P ,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}_{s, \varepsilon} = \mathcal{P}_{\infty, \varepsilon}$$

where on $\mu^{-1}(P \setminus W_\varepsilon)$, $C^\infty(\mathcal{P}_{\infty, \varepsilon}) = C^\infty(\mathcal{P}_0)$.

- b) As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{\infty, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\infty,$$

where along $\check{F} \in \mathcal{F}_j$ \mathcal{P}_∞ has j real directions spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_F^\perp}$ and $n - j$ complex directions spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial(w)_F}$, where w denotes the holomorphic coordinates along the open dense orbit for the symplectic potential g_0 .

Proof. This just follows directly from the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [Bai+11] and from [Wan22], [Per22]. \square

Let now, for $m \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$f_m^\varepsilon = (x - m) \cdot \nabla \psi_\varepsilon - \psi_\varepsilon$$

and let \check{P}_j denote the interior of the sub-polytope P_j .

Proposition 4.1. *We have, for sufficiently small ε ,*

a) *if $m \in \check{P}_j, j = 1, \dots, q$,*

$$\frac{e^{-sf_m^\varepsilon}}{\|e^{-sf_m^\varepsilon}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(P_j - W_\varepsilon)} \chi_{P_j - W_\varepsilon}.$$

b) *if $m \in \check{F} \in \mathcal{F}_j, j = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$\frac{e^{-sf_m^\varepsilon}}{\|e^{-sf_m^\varepsilon}\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \delta^j(x_F^\perp - n_F^\perp),$$

where δ^j denotes the Dirac delta distribution localized on the hyperplane $x_F^\perp = c_F = n_F^\perp$ and n_F^\perp denotes the second component of n with respect to the coordinates (x_F, x_F^\perp) .

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, convexity of ψ_ε implies that $x = m$ is a global minimum for f_m^ε with value $-\psi_\varepsilon(m)$. Let $\Delta_m^\varepsilon = \psi_\varepsilon(m) + f_m^\varepsilon \geq 0$. If $m \in \check{P}_j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, q$, then for sufficiently small ε , $n \in P_j - W_\varepsilon$ and Δ_m^ε is constant and equal to zero on $P_j - W_\varepsilon$ while it is positive on the complement of this set. Thus, $e^{-sf_m^\varepsilon}$ converges pointwise to 0 on the complement of $P_j - W_\varepsilon$ and by the dominated convergence theorem $\|e^{-sf_m^\varepsilon}\|_1 \rightarrow \text{Vol}(P_j - W_\varepsilon)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. This proves a).

If $m \in \check{F} \in \mathcal{F}_j$, then for sufficiently small ε , $\text{Hess } \psi_\varepsilon(m)$ has rank j and is positive definite in the directions of x_F^\perp . Thus, the analog of the estimate for $\|e^{-sf_m^\varepsilon}\|_1$ in the proof on Theorem 3.2 is still valid where we integrate on a small interval $B = (V \cap \check{F}_j) \times]c_F^1 - \varepsilon', c_F^1 + \varepsilon'[\times \dots \times]c_F^j - \varepsilon', c_F^j + \varepsilon'[$, where V is a small open set containing n and ε' is sufficiently small,

$$\|e^{-s\Delta_m^\varepsilon}\|_1 \geq \text{Vol}(B) e^{-\frac{s}{2} j \lambda (\varepsilon')^2},$$

where λ is the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of $\text{Hess } \psi_\varepsilon(m)$. On the other hand, Δ_m^ε is constant and equal to zero along $V' \cap \check{F}_j$ for an open set V' containing m , while it is positive on the complement of that set. The dominated convergence theorem then implies b). \square

Remark 4.2. *The distribution $\delta^j(x_F^\perp - m_F^\perp)$ can be defined as the distribution which acting on a continuous test function with compact support φ gives*

$$(\delta^j(x_F^\perp - m_F^\perp) \cdot \varphi)(x_F, x_F^\perp) = \varphi(x_F, m_F^\perp).$$

(See [Hor91].)

Let $\sigma_{s,\varepsilon}^m$ be the $\mathcal{P}_{s,\varepsilon}$ -polarized monomial holomorphic sections and let $\sqrt{dZ_{s,\varepsilon}}$ denote the corresponding sections of the half-form bundle. Theorem 4.1 then implies the analog of Theorem 3.3, by a reasoning exactly analogous to the one in the proof of that theorem,

Theorem 4.3. *For sufficiently small ε , in the sense of distributional sections,*

a) *If $m \in \check{P}_j \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, for some $j = 1, \dots, q$, then*

$$\frac{\sigma_{s,\varepsilon}^m}{\|\sigma_{s,\varepsilon}^m\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_0^m \chi_{P_j - W_\varepsilon}}{\|\sigma_0^m\|_{L^1(P_j - W_\varepsilon)}};$$

b) *If $m \in \check{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, $F \in \mathcal{F}_j$, then*

$$\frac{\sigma_{s,\varepsilon}^m}{\|\sigma_{s,\varepsilon}^m\|_1} \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \delta^j(x_F^\perp - m_F^\perp) \frac{\sigma_0^{m_F}}{\|\sigma_0^{m_F}\|_1},$$

where $\sigma_0^{m_F}$ denotes the \mathcal{P}_0 -polarized monomial section for $m = (m_F, 0)$.

By the same reasoning of the proof of Theorem 3.4 we also obtain the generalization

Theorem 4.4. *Let $A_s^{\psi_\varepsilon}$ be generalized coherent state transform the operator defined in Sections 2.2 and 3.1.3. Then, for sufficiently small ε ,*

a) *If $m \in \check{P}_j \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, for some $j = 1, \dots, q$,*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} A_s^{\psi_\varepsilon} \left(\sigma_0^m \otimes \sqrt{dZ_0} \right) = \chi_{P_j - W_\varepsilon} \sigma_0^m \otimes \sqrt{dZ_0};$$

b) *If $m \in \check{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, $F \in \mathcal{F}_j$, then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} A_s^{\psi_\varepsilon} \left(\sigma_0^m \otimes \sqrt{dZ_0} \right) = \text{const.} \delta^j(x_F^\perp - m_F^\perp) \sigma_0^{m_F} \otimes \sqrt{dX_F^\perp \otimes d(Z_F)_0},$$

where $dX_F^\perp = dx_F^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_F^j$ and $d(Z_F)_0^\perp = d(z_F)^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d(z_F)_{n-j}$.

Remark 4.3. *Sections of the form present in Theorem 4.3 and 4.4, which are polarized with respect to toric mixed polarizations, with both real and holomorphic directions, have been studied in [Per22] and [Wan22; CW22; CW23a; CW23b].*

Remark 4.4. *Note that using directly the smoothings of convex piecewise linear functions described in [Gho02] (see also [Gho04]), one obtains Mabuchi geodesics which are close to the ones that we describe above but where one has strict convexity along the tickening of W . For these geodesics, in the limit of infinite geodesic time, one will obtain the original Kähler polarization on $P \setminus W$ while along W one obtains the real toric polarization. Accordingly, monomial holomorphic sections corresponding to integral points in W will converge to the corresponding Dirac delta distributions. It is interesting to find Mabuchi geodesics whose velocities are arbitrarily close to each other but which at infinite geodesic time produce different limiting polarizations and quantizations. Note, however, that the geodesics that we describe above, which correspond to “nice” smoothings, have the interesting feature that they are more well adapted to symplectic reduction, since, along W , they preserve the holomorphic structure in the directions parallel to the facets of W .*

Remark 4.5. *A particularly simple case of the above corresponds to the case when the decomposition of P into sub-polytopes is achieved by taking codimension-1 walls such that each wall separates P into two disjoint pieces. If such a wall is described by the hyperplane $x_F^\perp = b_1x_1 + \dots + b_nx_n = 0$, for some rational coefficients b_1, \dots, b_n , such that $\tilde{x} = x_F^\perp$ can be made a coordinate in a new system of coordinates obtained by a $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -transformation, then taking*

$$\psi = \psi(\tilde{x}),$$

such that ψ'' has a bump function at 0, gives a Mabuchi geodesic corresponding to that decomposition of P . If one has p several such walls, one can take

$$\psi = \psi_1(\tilde{x}_1) + \dots + \psi_p(\tilde{x}_p),$$

where each \tilde{x}_j is part of an $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -rotated coordinate system and ψ_j has second derivative given by a bump function at zero. An example is given in the figure below for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$.

Acknowledgements: The authors were partially supported by the Center for Mathematical Analysis, Geometry and Dynamical Systems under the projects UIDB/04459/2020 and UIDP/04459/2020. AG was the recipient of a fellowship under the project UIDB/04459/2020 CAMGSD.




FIGURE 5. Example for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$, with $\psi = \psi_1(x_1) + \psi_2(x_2)$

REFERENCES

- [Mab87] T. Mabuchi. “Some symplectic geometry on compact Kähler manifolds. I”. In: *Osaka journal of mathematics* 24.2 (1987), pp. 227–252.
- [Hor91] L. Hormander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*. Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [Gui94] V. Guillemin. “Kähler structures on toric varieties”. In: *Journal of differential geometry* 40.2 (1994), pp. 285–309.
- [Don99] S. K. Donaldson. *Symmetric Spaces, Kähler Geometry and Hamiltonian Dynamics*. In: *Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar*. American Mathematical Society, 1999, pp. 13–33.
- [Don02] S. K. Donaldson. “Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties”. In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 62 (2002), pp. 289–349.
- [Gho02] M. Ghomi. “The problem of optimal smoothing for convex functions”. In: *Proc. of the Amer. Math. Society* 130.08 (2002), pp. 2255–2259.
- [Abr03] M. Abreu. *Kähler geometry of toric manifolds in symplectic coordinates*. In: *Symplectic and Contact Topology: Interactions and Perspectives*. American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [Gho04] M. Ghomi. “Optimal smoothing for convex polytopes”. In: *Bull. London Math. Society* 36.04 (2004), pp. 483–492.
- [CT08] X. Chen and Y. Tang. “Test configurations and geodesic rays”. In: *Astérisque* 321 (2008), pp. 139–167.

- [PS08] D.H. Phong and J. Sturm. “Lectures on Stability and Constant Scalar Curvature”. In: *arXiv:0801.4179* (2008).
- [Bai+11] T Baier et al. “Toric Kähler Metrics Seen from Infinity, Quantization and Compact Tropical Amoebas”. In: *Journal of Differential Geometry* 89 (2011), pp. 411–454.
- [CLS11] D.A. Cox, J.B. Little, and H.K. Schenck. *Toric Varieties*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.
- [SZ12] J. Song and S. Zelditch. “Test configurations, large deviations and geodesic rays on toric varieties”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 229 (2012), pp. 2338–2378.
- [KMN13a] W. D. Kirwin, J. M. Mourão, and J. P. Nunes. “Complex time evolution in geometric quantization and generalized coherent state transforms”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 265 (2013), pp. 1460–1493.
- [KMN13b] W. D. Kirwin, J. M. Mourão, and J. P. Nunes. “Degeneration of Kähler structures and half-form quantization of toric varieties”. In: *Journal of Symplectic Geometry* 11.4 (2013), pp. 603–643.
- [HK14] M. Hamilton and H. Konno. “Convergence of Kähler to real polarizations on flag manifolds via toric degenerations”. In: *J. Symplectic Geom.* 12.3 (2014), pp. 473–509.
- [HK15] M. Harada and K. Kaveh. “Integrable systems, toric degenerations and Okounkov bodies”. In: *Invent. Math.* 202.3 (2015), pp. 927–985. DOI: 10.1007/s00222-014-0574-4.
- [MN15] J. M. Mourão and J. P. Nunes. “On Complexified Analytic Hamiltonian Flows and Geodesics on the Space of Kähler Metrics”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2015.20 (Jan. 2015), pp. 10624–10656.
- [KMN16] W. D. Kirwin, J. M. Mourão, and J. P. Nunes. “Complex symplectomorphisms and pseudo-Kähler islands in the quantization of toric manifolds”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 364.1 (2016), pp. 1–28.
- [HHK21] M. Hamilton, M. Harada, and K. Kaveh. “Convergence of polarizations, toric degenerations, and Newton-Okounkov bodies.” In: *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 29.5 (2021), pp. 1183–1231.
- [CW22] N. Conan Leung and D. Wang. “Geodesic rays in space of Kähler metrics with T-symmetry”. In: *arXiv:2211.05324* (2022).
- [Wan22] D. Wang. “Degeneration of Kahler polarizations to mixed polarizations on toric varieties”. In: *arXiv:2210.04455* (2022).

- [Bai+23a] T Baier et al. “Fibering polarizations and Mabuchi rays on symmetric spaces of compact type”. In: *arXiv:2404.19697* (2023).
- [Bai+23b] T. Baier et al. “Quantization in fibering polarizations, Mabuchi rays and geometric Peter–Weyl theorem”. In: *arXiv:2301.10853* (2023).
- [CW23a] N. Conan Leung and D. Wang. “Geometric quantizations of mixed polarizations on Kähler manifolds with T-symmetry”. In: *arXiv:2301.01011* (2023).
- [CW23b] N. Conan Leung and D. Wang. “Limit of geometric quantizations on Kähler manifolds with T -symmetry””. In: *arXiv:2307.07759* (2023).
- [Per22] A. Pereira. “Applications of flows in imaginary time to quantization, PhD Thesis”. PhD thesis. Instituto Superior Técnico, University of Lisbon, October, 2022.

Email address: antonioafgouveia@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

Email address: jmourao@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL

Email address: jpnunes@math.tecnico.ulisboa.pt

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, GEOMETRY AND DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS, INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL