

COTILTING MODULES AND GORENSTEIN HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSIONS

KAMRAN DIVAANI-AAZAR, ALI MAHIN FALLAH AND MASSOUD TOUSI

ABSTRACT. For a dualizing module D over a commutative Noetherian ring R with identity, it is known that its Auslander class $\mathcal{A}_D(R)$ (respectively, Bass class $\mathcal{B}_D(R)$) is characterized as those R -modules with finite Gorenstein flat dimension (respectively, finite Gorenstein injective dimension). We establish an analogue of this result in the context of cotilting modules over general Noetherian rings.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Auslander classes	7
4. Bass classes	12
5. Cotilting modules	17
Acknowledgement	26
References	26

1. INTRODUCTION

Semidualizing modules serve as a common generalization of dualizing modules and projective modules of rank one over commutative Noetherian rings with identity. Let C be a semidualizing module over a commutative Noetherian ring R with identity. The two classes of R -modules $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$, known as the Auslander and Bass classes, correspond to C . They are intimately related through an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{A}_C(R) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{C \otimes_R -} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_R(C, -)} \end{array} \mathcal{B}_C(R),$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E30; 16G99; 18G05; 18G35.

Key words and phrases. Auslander class; Bass class; coherent ring; co-resolving subcategory; cotilting module; Gorenstein flat module; Gorenstein injective module; Gorenstein projective module; resolving subcategory; tilting module; Wakamatsu tilting module.

that known as Foxby equivalence.

Recall that a semidualizing R -module with finite injective dimension is called dualizing. When C is a dualizing R -module, there is a well-known characterization of the classes $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ via Gorenstein homological dimensions. Specifically, in this case, $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$) consists precisely of all R -modules with finite Gorenstein flat (respectively, Gorenstein injective) dimension; see e.g. [8]. It is natural to expect an analogous result for general Noetherian (not necessarily commutative) rings. While some results exist in this direction under additional assumptions, including the perfection of the underlying ring ([9], [5]), our aim in this paper is to relax this strong assumption.

In 2007, Holm and White [13] defined semidualizing bimodules over associative rings with identity. For a semidualizing bimodule ${}_S T_R$, they defined the Auslander and Bass classes $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$ and $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$. An enhanced theory of Wakamatsu tilting modules exists over an associative ring R with identity. Surprisingly, Wakamatsu tilting modules can be classified as semidualizing bimodules; see Lemma 2.3. In addition, parallel to the theory of dualizing modules, a rich theory of cotilting modules exists within the representation theory of algebras.

Tilting theory plays a remarkable role in the representation theory of algebras. The classical tilting modules were introduced in the context of finitely generated modules over finite-dimensional algebras by Brenner and Butler [6] and Happel and Ringel [12]. Miyashita [21] extended this notion to encompass finitely presented modules of finite projective dimension over arbitrary rings. In his seminal work, Wakamatsu [22] further generalized the concept of tilting modules by allowing for the possibility of infinite projective dimension. These modified tilting modules are now commonly referred to as “Wakamatsu tilting modules”, officially adopting the terminology established in [11].

Cotilting modules, as an analogous to dualizing modules, were first introduced by Auslander and Reiten in the context of finitely generated modules over Artin algebras; see [2] and [3]. Hügel and Coelho [17] extended this notion to (not necessary finitely generated) modules over general associative rings with identity. There are several other definitions for cotilting modules. We examine only two of them that are introduced by Miyashita [21] and Aihara et. al. [1]. Interestingly, by Miyashita’s definition a cotilting module is a Wakamatsu tilting module that satisfies some additional conditions. We demonstrate that over an Artin algebra, these four definitions coincide; see Remark 5.7. In general setting, we adopt the Hügel and Coelho definition for cotilting modules.

For certain cotilting modules, we derive the following results to precisely describe the classes $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$ and $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$:

Theorem 1.1. *Let C_R be a tensorly faithful cotilting R -module, and let $S = \text{End}(C)$. Assume that the ring R is right coherent, the ring S is left coherent, and both modules C_R and ${}_S C$ are finitely generated. Then, for an S -module N_S , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $N \in \mathcal{A}_C(S)$.
- (ii) $\text{Gpd}(N_S) < \infty$.
- (iii) $\text{Gfd}(N_S) < \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let C_R be a tensorly faithful cotilting R -module, and let $S = \text{End}(C)$. Assume that the ring R is right Noetherian, the ring S is left coherent, and both modules C_R and ${}_S C$ are finitely generated. Then, for an R -module M_R , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$.
- (ii) $\text{Gid}(M_R) < \infty$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we provide an overview of the background related to Wakamatsu tilting modules. Sections 3 and 4 focus on proving slightly stronger versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, specifically for Wakamatsu tilting modules; see Theorems 3.7 and 4.6. Section 5 delves into the comparison of the above-mentioned four definitions of cotilting modules. Finally, by combining this comparison and using Theorems 3.7 and 4.6, we deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we consider associative rings with identity, and all modules are assumed to be unitary. We use the notation M_R (respectively, ${}_R M$) to denote a right (respectively, left) R -module. The category of all right R -modules (respectively, finitely generated right R -modules) is denoted by $\text{Mod-}R$ (respectively, $\text{mod-}R$), while $R\text{-Mod}$ (respectively, $R\text{-mod}$) represents the category of all left R -modules (respectively, finitely generated left R -modules). Let M_R be an R -module. We denote by $\text{Add}(M)$ (respectively, $\text{add}(M)$) the class of right R -modules which are isomorphic to a direct summand of a direct sum of copies (respectively, finitely many copies) of M . Dually, $\text{Prod}(M)$ stands for the class of right R -modules which are isomorphic to a direct summand of a direct product of copies of M . Also, we write $\text{Cogen}(M)$ for the subcategory of all modules N_R admitting an R -monomorphism $N \rightarrow X$ with $X \in \text{Prod}(M)$.

All subcategories that are considered throughout are full and closed under finite direct sums, direct summands, and isomorphisms. A subcategory \mathcal{C} of $\text{Mod-}R$ is said to be *resolving* if it is closed under extensions and kernels of epimorphisms, and it contains all the projective modules. Similarly, a subcategory \mathcal{C} of $\text{Mod-}R$ is called *co-resolving* if it is closed under extensions and cokernels of monomorphisms, and it contains all the injective modules.

Let \mathcal{C} be a subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ denote the subcategory of modules N_R admitting an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

with each C_i in \mathcal{C} . The notation \mathcal{C}^\perp refers to the subcategory of R -modules N_R such that $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(X, N) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Similarly, the notation ${}^\perp\mathcal{C}$ stands for the subcategory of R -modules N_R such that $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(N, X) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

For an R -module T_R , the symbol $\text{Gen}^*(T_R)$ (respectively, $\text{gen}^*(T_R)$) stands for the class of R -modules N_R for which there exists an exact sequence of the form

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} T_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} T_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} N \rightarrow 0$$

with each $T_i \in \text{Add}(T)$ (respectively, $T_i \in \text{add}(T)$) and $\text{Ext}_R^1(T, \text{Ker } f_i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Dually, the class $\text{Cogen}^*(T_R)$ (respectively, $\text{cogen}^*(T_R)$) is consisting of R -modules N_R for which there exists an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} T^0 \xrightarrow{f^0} T^1 \xrightarrow{f^1} \cdots$$

with each $T^i \in \text{Prod}(T)$ (respectively, $T^i \in \text{add}(T)$) and $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Coker } f^i, T) = 0$ for all $i \geq -1$.

Definition 2.1. Let T_R be an R -module and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that T_R is n -tilting if

- (i) $T_R \in \text{gen}^*(R)$ and $\text{pd}(T_R) \leq n$,
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, T) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, and
- (iii) There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_n \rightarrow 0,$$

where $T_i \in \text{add}_R T$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 2.2. (See [22, Section 3].) Let T_R be an R -module. We say that T_R is a Wakamatsu tilting module if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $T_R \in \text{gen}^*(R)$,
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, T) = 0$ for all $i > 0$,
- (ii) $R_R \in \text{cogen}^*(T_R)$.

It is easy to verify that every tilting module is also a Wakamatsu tilting module. The notion of Wakamatsu tilting left modules is defined similarly. It is worth mentioning that the concept of Wakamatsu cotilting modules over Artin algebras is also defined. For a right module T over an Artin algebra A , T being a Wakamatsu cotilting module is equivalent to T being a Wakamatsu tilting module.

By [22, Corollary 3.2], we have the following characterization of the Wakamatsu tilting modules.

Lemma 2.3. *The following are equivalent for a bimodule ${}_S T_R$:*

- (1) T_R is a Wakamatsu tilting module with $\text{End}(T_R) \cong S$;
- (2) ${}_S T$ is a Wakamatsu tilting module with $\text{End}({}_S T) \cong R$;
- (3) One has
 - (i) $T_R \in \text{gen}^*(R)$ and ${}_S T \in \text{gen}^*(S)$.
 - (ii) $\text{End}(T_R) \cong S$ and $\text{End}({}_S T) \cong R$.
 - (iii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, T) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_S^i(T, T) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Note that by Lemma 2.3, a Wakamatsu tilting module is a semidualizing bimodule in the sense of Holm and White [13].

Definition 2.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a class of right R -modules. A homomorphism $f : C \rightarrow M$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is a \mathcal{C} -precover if for any homomorphism $g : C_0 \rightarrow M$ with $C_0 \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a homomorphism satisfying $h : C_0 \rightarrow C$ such that $g = fh$. A \mathcal{C} -precover $f : C \rightarrow M$ is called a \mathcal{C} -cover if every endomorphism $\varphi : C \rightarrow C$ with $f = f\varphi$ is an automorphism. The class \mathcal{C} is called (pre)covering if every right R -module has a \mathcal{C} -(pre)cover.*

Dually, \mathcal{C} -(pre)envelope and (pre)enveloping classes were defined.

Now, we recall the definitions of the Auslander and Bass classes.

Definition 2.5. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a Wakamatsu tilting module.*

- (i) *The Auslander class $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$ with respect to T consists of all S -modules N_S satisfying $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^S(N, T) = 0$, $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(T, N \otimes_S T) = 0$ and the natural map*

$$\theta_N^T : N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, N \otimes_S T)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (ii) *The Bass class $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$ with respect to T consists of all R -modules M_R satisfying $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(T, M) = 0$, $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, M), T) = 0$ and the natural map*

$$\nu_M^T : \text{Hom}_R(T, M) \otimes_S T \rightarrow M$$

is an isomorphism.

The following lemma summarizes some of the well-known properties of the Auslander and Bass classes with respect to a Wakamatsu tilting module.

Lemma 2.6. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a Wakamatsu tilting module. Then*

- (1) *The class $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$ is resolving. Furthermore, the class $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$ contains all flat right S -modules.*
- (2) *The class $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$ is co-resolving. In particular, the class $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$ contains all injective right R -modules.*

(3) *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{A}_T(S) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-\otimes_S T} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_R(T, -)} \end{array} \mathcal{B}_T(R).$$

- (4) *If $N_S, N'_S \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$, then $\text{Ext}_S^i(N, N') \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(N \otimes_S T, N' \otimes_S T)$ for all $i \geq 0$.*
 (5) *If $M_R, M'_R \in \mathcal{B}_T(R)$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, M') \cong \text{Ext}_S^i(\text{Hom}_R(T, M), \text{Hom}_R(T, M'))$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. For (1) and (2), see [13, Theorem 6.2 and Lemma 4.1].

For (3), (4), and (5), see, respectively, Proposition 4.1, Theorem 6.4(1) and Theorem 6.4(2) in [13]. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a bimodule.*

- (i) *If R is right coherent, then $\text{id}((F \otimes_S T)_R) \leq \text{id}(T_R)$ for every flat S -module F_S .*
 (ii) *If S is left coherent, then $\text{fd}(\text{Hom}_R(T, I)_S) \leq \text{id}({}_S T)$ for every injective R -module I_R .*

Proof. It is straightforward by [8, Theorems 3.2.15 and 3.2.13 and Remarks 3.2.25 and 3.2.27]. \square

Definition 2.8. *A bimodule ${}_S T_R$ is called tensorly faithful if it satisfies the following conditions for all modules ${}_R M$ and N_S .*

- (i) *If $T \otimes_R M = 0$, then $M = 0$.*
 (ii) *If $N \otimes_S T = 0$, then $N = 0$.*

The concept of tensorly faithful modules was originally introduced in [13] under the name “faithfully modules”. To avoid ambiguity with faithful modules, we renamed this notion.

Note that, if R is a commutative Noetherian ring and $S = R$, then every Wakamatsu tilting R -module is tensorly faithful; see [13, Proposition 3.1]. We are unaware if this result carries over to the non-commutative setting. Also, in [13] many examples of tensorly faithful Wakamatsu tilting modules were provided over a wide class of non-commutative rings.

Lemma 2.9. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a Wakamatsu tilting module. If T is tensorly faithful, then the classes $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$ and $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$ have the property that if two of three modules in a short exact sequence are in the class, then so is the third.*

Proof. See [13, Corollary 6.3]. \square

3. AUSLANDER CLASSES

We begin this section by reviewing the definitions of Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein flat modules.

Definition 3.1. (i) An S -module N_S is said to be Gorenstein projective if there exists an exact complex

$$\mathbf{P} : \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

of projective right S -modules such that $N \cong \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$, and $\text{Hom}_S(\mathbf{P}, P)$ is exact for every projective S -module P_S . The exact complex \mathbf{P} is referred to as a complete projective resolution of N .

(ii) An S -module N_S is said to be Gorenstein flat if there exists an exact complex

$$\mathbf{F} : \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

of flat right S -modules such that $N \cong \text{Ker}(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$, and $\mathbf{F} \otimes_S I$ is exact for every injective S -module ${}_S I$. The exact complex \mathbf{F} is referred to as a complete flat resolution of N .

Definition 3.2. The Gorenstein projective (respectively, Gorenstein flat) dimension of a nonzero S -module N_S , denoted by $\text{Gpd}(N_S)$ (respectively, $\text{Gfd}(N_S)$), is the least non-negative integer n such that there exists an exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

where each A_i is a Gorenstein projective (respectively, Gorenstein flat) right S -module.

Lemma 3.3. Let S be a left coherent ring and N_S an S -module. Let \mathcal{L} be the class of all right S -modules with finite flat dimension. If $\text{Ext}_S^i(N, L) = 0$ for every $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and all $i > 0$, then there exists a \mathcal{L} -preenvelope $N \rightarrow P$, where P_S is a projective S -module.

Proof. As S is a left coherent, there exists a flat-preenvelope $\alpha : N \rightarrow F$ by [8, Proposition 6.5.1]. Consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\beta} F \rightarrow 0,$$

where P_S is a projective S -module. From this sequence, it easily follows that W is flat. Then, by the assumption $\text{Ext}_S^1(N, W) = 0$. Hence,

$$\text{Hom}_S(N, \beta) : \text{Hom}_S(N, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(N, F)$$

is surjective. So, $\alpha : N \rightarrow F$ is lifted to a map $f : N \rightarrow P$, i.e. $\alpha = \beta f$. This implies that

$$\text{Hom}_S(\alpha, F') = \text{Hom}_S(f, F')\text{Hom}_S(\beta, F')$$

for every flat right S -module F' . Since $\text{Hom}_S(\alpha, F')$ is surjective, then so does $\text{Hom}_S(f, F')$. Consequently, $f : N \rightarrow P$ is a flat-preenvelope.

Next, we show that $f : N \rightarrow P$ is an \mathcal{L} -preenvelope. Indeed, we have to show that for every $Y \in \mathcal{L}$, the map

$$\text{Hom}_S(f, Y) : \text{Hom}_S(P, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(N, Y)$$

is surjective. Let $Y \in \mathcal{L}$. We can consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0,$$

in which Q_S is projective and $K \in \mathcal{L}$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_S(N, -)$ to it induces the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(N, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(N, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(N, Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_S(P, Q) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(P, Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_S(N, Q) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(N, Y). \end{array}$$

As the left vertical map and the bottom map are surjective, it follows that the right vertical map is also surjective. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a Wakamatsu tilting module, where R is a right coherent ring. Suppose that $n = \text{id}(T_R) < \infty$. Then, for every flat S -module F_S , we have $\text{pd}(F_S) \leq n$.*

Proof. Let

$$\mathbf{Q}_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow P_{i-1} \rightarrow P_{i-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of F_S , and denote the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{i-1} \rightarrow P_{i-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow 0$$

by \mathbf{P}_\bullet . Putting, for simplicity, $P_{-1} = F$, $K_0 = F$ and $K_i = \text{Ker}(P_{i-1} \rightarrow P_{i-2})$ for all $i \geq 1$. Splitting \mathbf{Q}_\bullet into short exact sequences yields that each K_i is flat, and so $K_i \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$ by Lemma 2.6(1). To obtain the desired result, it suffices to show that the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow 0$$

splits. Indeed, we need to show that $\text{Ext}_S^1(K_n, K_{n+1}) = 0$. We have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_S^1(K_n, K_{n+1}) &\cong \text{Ext}_S^{n+1}(F, K_{n+1}) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_S^{n+1}(F, \text{Hom}_R(T, K_{n+1} \otimes_S T)) \\ &= \text{H}^{n+1}(\text{Hom}_S(\mathbf{P}_\bullet, \text{Hom}_R(T, K_{n+1} \otimes_S T))) \\ &\cong \text{H}^{n+1}(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{P}_\bullet \otimes_S T, K_{n+1} \otimes_S T)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(F \otimes_S T, K_{n+1} \otimes_S T). \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism follows by dimension shift and the second isomorphism holds, because $K_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$. The third isomorphism uses of tensor-hom adjunction. The fourth isomorphism follows from the fact the complex $\mathbf{Q}_\bullet \otimes_S T$ is exact, and also

$$\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(P \otimes_S T, K_{n+1} \otimes_S T) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{i \geq 1}(P, K_{n+1}) = 0$$

for every projective S -module P_S by Lemma 2.6(4). Since, by Lemma 2.7(i),

$$\text{id}((K_{n+1} \otimes_S T)_R) \leq \text{id}(T_R) = n,$$

we conclude that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(F \otimes_S T, K_{n+1} \otimes_S T) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Part (i) of the next result provides an answer to [10, Question 2].

Lemma 3.5. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a Wakamatsu tilting module and N_S a Gorenstein flat S -module. If either*

- (i) $\text{pd}({}_S T) < \infty$; or
- (ii) $\text{id}({}_S T) < \infty$,

then $N \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$.

Proof. As N_S is a Gorenstein flat S -module, there is an exact complex of flat modules

$$\mathbf{F}_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

such that $N \cong \text{Ker}(F^0 \rightarrow F^1)$ and it remains exact after applying $-\otimes_S I$ for every injective S -module ${}_S I$. It readily follows that for every S -module ${}_S L$ such that either $\text{pd}({}_S L) < \infty$ or $\text{id}({}_S L) < \infty$, the complex $\mathbf{F}_\bullet \otimes_R L$ is exact, and so $\text{Tor}_i^S(N, L) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. In particular, $\text{Tor}_i^S(N, T) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Since the complex $\mathbf{F}_\bullet \otimes_R T$ is exact, we have the following exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow N \otimes_S T \rightarrow F^0 \otimes_S T \rightarrow F^1 \otimes_S T.$$

Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(T, -)$ to (3.1), gives rise to the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & F^0 & \longrightarrow & F^1 \\
& & \downarrow \theta_N^T & & \downarrow \theta_{F^0}^T & & \downarrow \theta_{F^1}^T \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(T, N \otimes_S T) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(T, F^0 \otimes_S T) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(T, F^1 \otimes_S T)
\end{array}$$

But each $\theta_{F^i}^T$ is an isomorphism, because $F^i \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$ for $i = 0, 1$. Consequently, θ_N^T is also an isomorphism.

For showing that $N \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$, it remains to prove that $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, N \otimes_S T) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. To this end, by induction on i , we show that $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, G \otimes_S T) = 0$ for every Gorenstein flat S -module G_S and all $i \geq 1$. Let G_S be a Gorenstein flat S -module. We can consider a short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0,$$

in which F_S is a flat S -module and K_S is a Gorenstein flat S -module. From the above, we get that

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow G \otimes_S T \rightarrow F \otimes_S T \rightarrow K \otimes_S T \rightarrow 0.$$

is exact and that for every Gorenstein flat S -module L_S , the natural map

$$\theta_L^T : L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, L \otimes_S T)$$

is an isomorphism. Thus applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(T, -)$ to (3.2), yields the following long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow K \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(T, G \otimes_S T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(T, F \otimes_S T) \rightarrow \cdots \\
& \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(T, F \otimes_S T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(T, K \otimes_S T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(T, G \otimes_S T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(T, F \otimes_S T) \rightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

But $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(T, F \otimes_S T) = 0$, since $F \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^1(T, G \otimes_S T) = 0$ and

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(T, G \otimes_S T) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(T, K \otimes_S T)$$

for all $i \geq 2$. So, the claim holds for $i = 1$.

Assume that $i \geq 2$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(T, K \otimes_S T) = 0$ for all Gorenstein flat S -modules K_S . Then from the above isomorphism and the induction hypothesis, it follows that $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, G \otimes_S T) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.9 yields:

Corollary 3.6. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a tensorly faithful Wakamatsu tilting module and N_S an S -module with $\text{Gfd}(N_S) < \infty$. If either $\text{pd}({}_S T) < \infty$ or $\text{id}({}_S T) < \infty$, then $N \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$.*

Now, we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.7. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a tensorly faithful Wakamatsu tilting module, where the ring R is right coherent and the ring S is left coherent. Assume that $\text{id}(T_R) < \infty$ and $\text{id}({}_S T) < \infty$. Then, for an S -module N_S , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $N \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$.
- (ii) $\text{Gpd}(N_S) < \infty$.
- (iii) $\text{Gfd}(N_S) < \infty$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} P_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} N \rightarrow 0$$

be a projective resolution of N and let $n = \text{id}(T_R)$. In order to obtain the desired result, it suffices to show that $D := \text{Ker}(f_n)$ is a Gorenstein projective S -module. To do this, by [14, Proposition 2.3], we have to show that $\text{Ext}_S^{i \geq 1}(D, P) = 0$ for all projective S -module P_S and there exists an exact complex

$$\mathbf{P}^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^2 \rightarrow \cdots ,$$

in which each P^i is a projective right S -module and it remains exact after applying $\text{Hom}_S(-, Q)$ for every projective S -module Q_S .

For the first assertion, it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}_S^i(D, F) = 0$ for every flat S -modules F_S and all $i \geq 1$. Let F_S be a flat S -module. For each $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\text{Ext}_S^i(D, F) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{i+n+1}(N, F) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+n+1}(N \otimes_S T, F \otimes_S T).$$

The first isomorphism follows from dimension shift and the second isomorphism holds by Lemma 2.6(4). By Lemma 2.7(i), we have

$$\text{id}((F \otimes_S T)_R) \leq \text{id}(T_R) = n.$$

Hence,

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Ext}_S^i(D, F) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$.

Next, we prove the second assertion. By using (3.3), it easily follows that $\text{Ext}_S^{i \geq 1}(D, L) = 0$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L} = \{L \mid \text{fd}(L_S) < \infty\}$. So, by Lemma 3.3, there exists a projective right S -module P^0 and S -homomorphism $\mu : D \rightarrow P^0$, which is an \mathcal{L} -preenvelope. As N and P_i s are in $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$, by Lemma 2.6(1) so does D . Thus, by [13, Theorem 2], there exists an injective R -module I_R such that D embeds in $\text{Hom}_R(T, I)$. On the other hand, by the assumption and Lemma 2.7(ii), we have

$$\text{fd}(\text{Hom}_R(T, I)_S) \leq \text{id}({}_S T) < \infty.$$

Hence, $\text{Hom}_R(T, I) \in \mathcal{L}$. As $\text{Hom}_R(T, I) \in \mathcal{L}$, it follows that μ is monic. Now, we consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{\mu} P^0 \rightarrow P^0/D \rightarrow 0.$$

Since P^0 and D are in $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$, Lemma 2.9 implies that $P^0/D \in \mathcal{A}_T(S)$. Let us take $L \in \mathcal{L}$, applying the functor $\text{Hom}_S(-, L)$ to (3.4), gives rise to the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(P^0/D, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(P^0, L) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Hom}_S(D, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(P^0/D, L) \rightarrow 0,$$

and the isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_S^{i+1}(P^0/D, L) \cong \text{Ext}_S^i(D, L)$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Since the map f is surjective, it turns out that $\text{Ext}_S^1(P^0/D, L) = 0$. Thus, $\text{Ext}_S^i(P^0/D, L) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. So, by the above argument, there exist a projective S -module P^1 and an S -monomorphism $P^0/D \rightarrow P^1$ which is an \mathcal{L} -preenvelope. We proceed in this manner to construct an exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow P^2 \rightarrow \dots,$$

in which each P^i is a projective right S -module and it remains exact after applying $\text{Hom}_S(-, Q)$ for every projective S -module Q .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) By Lemma 3.4, one has $\text{pd}(F_S) < \infty$ for every flat S -module F_S . This fact and the proof of [14, Proposition 3.4] yield that every Gorenstein projective right S -module is Gorenstein flat. So, $\text{Gfd}(N_S) < \infty$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious by Corollary 3.6. \square

4. BASS CLASSES

We start this section by reviewing the definition of Gorenstein injective modules.

Definition 4.1. *An R -module M_R is said to be Gorenstein injective if there exists an exact complex*

$$\mathbf{E} : \dots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of injective right R -modules such that $M \cong \text{Ker}(E^0 \rightarrow E^1)$, and $\text{Hom}_R(I, \mathbf{E})$ is exact for every injective R -module I_R . The exact complex \mathbf{E} is called a complete injective resolution of M .

Definition 4.2. *The Gorenstein injective dimension of a nonzero R -module M_R , denoted by $\text{Gid}(M_R)$, is the least non-negative integer n such that there exists an exact complex*

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow B^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow B^n \rightarrow 0,$$

where each B^i is a Gorenstein injective right R -module.

Lemma 4.3. *Let R be a right Noetherian ring and M_R an R -module. Let \mathcal{E} denote the class of all right R -modules with finite injective dimension. If $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, M) = 0$ for all $L \in \mathcal{E}$ and all $i > 0$, then there exists an \mathcal{E} -precover $E \rightarrow M$, where E_R is an injective R -module.*

Proof. Since R is a right Noetherian, by [8, Theorem 5.4.1], there exists an injective-precover $\alpha : E \rightarrow M$. We have to show that the map

$$\text{Hom}_R(X, \alpha) : \text{Hom}_R(X, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, M)$$

is surjective for every $X \in \mathcal{E}$. Let $X \in \mathcal{E}$. We can consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow E' \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0,$$

where E' is an injective right R -module and $W \in \mathcal{E}$. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$ to this short exact sequence, yields the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(W, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(E', M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(E', E) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(X, E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_R(E', M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(X, M). \end{array}$$

As the left vertical map and the bottom map are surjective, it follows that the right vertical map is also surjective. \square

Recently, Gao and Zhao [10, Theorem 4.11] proved that if ${}_S T_R$ is tensorly faithful Wakamatsu tilting module with $\text{pd}(T_R) < \infty$, then every Gorenstein injective right R -module is in $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$. Next, we show that the assumption ‘‘tensorly faithfulness’’ is unnecessary.

Lemma 4.4. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a Wakamatsu tilting module and M_R a Gorenstein injective R -module. If either*

- (i) $\text{pd}(T_R) < \infty$; or
- (ii) $\text{id}(T_R) < \infty$,

then $M \in \mathcal{B}_T(R)$.

Proof. Let

$$\mathbf{E}_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

be a complete injective resolution of M . So, $M \cong \text{Ker}(E^0 \rightarrow E^1)$. It easily follows that for each R -module L_R such that either $\text{pd}(L_R) < \infty$ or $\text{id}(L_R) < \infty$, the complex $\text{Hom}_R(L, \mathbf{E}_\bullet)$ is exact, and so $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Since the complex $\text{Hom}_R(T, \mathbf{E}_\bullet)$ is exact, the sequence

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Hom}_R(T, E_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Applying the functor $-\otimes_S T$ to (4.1) gives rise to the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}_R(T, E_1) \otimes_S T & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(T, E_0) \otimes_S T & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(T, M) \otimes_S T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \nu_{E_1}^T & & \downarrow \nu_{E_0}^T & & \downarrow \nu_M^T & & \\ E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Each $\nu_{E_i}^T$ is an isomorphism, because $E_i \in \mathcal{B}_T(R)$ for $i = 0, 1$. Consequently, ν_M^T is also an isomorphism.

To complete the proof, by induction on i , we show that $\text{Tor}_i^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, G), T) = 0$ for every Gorenstein injective R -module G_R and all $i \geq 1$. Let G_R be a Gorenstein injective R -module. We can consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0,$$

where E_R is an injective R -module and K_R is a Gorenstein injective R -module. From the above, we get that

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(T, G) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and that for every Gorenstein injective R -module L_R , the natural map

$$\nu_L^T : \text{Hom}_R(T, L) \otimes_S T \rightarrow L$$

is an isomorphism. Hence applying the functor $-\otimes_S T$ to (4.2), implies the following long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, E), T) &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, G), T) \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0 \\ \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, G), T) &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, K), T) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, E), T) \\ \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, E), T) & \end{aligned}$$

But $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, E), T) = 0$, since $E \in \mathcal{B}_T(R)$. Thus, $\text{Tor}_1^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, G), T) = 0$ and

$$\text{Tor}_i^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, G), T) \cong \text{Tor}_{i-1}^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, K), T)$$

for all $i \geq 2$. In particular, the claim hold for $i = 1$.

Assume that $i \geq 2$ and $\text{Tor}_{i-1}^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, K), T) = 0$ for all Gorenstein injective R -modules K_R . Then from the above isomorphism and the induction hypothesis, it follows that $\text{Tor}_i^S(\text{Hom}_R(T, G), T) = 0$. \square

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 2.9, we have the following result:

Corollary 4.5. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a tensorly faithful Wakamatsu tilting module and M_R an R -module with $\text{Gid}(M_R) < \infty$. If either $\text{pd}(T_R) < \infty$ or $\text{id}(T_R) < \infty$, then $M \in \mathcal{B}_T(R)$.*

We are now prepared for proving the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.6. *Let ${}_S T_R$ be a tensorly faithful Wakamatsu tilting module, where the ring R is right Noetherian and the ring S is left coherent. Assume that $\text{id}(T_R) < \infty$ and $\text{id}({}_S T) < \infty$. Then, for an R -module M_R , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $M \in \mathcal{B}_T(R)$.
- (ii) $\text{Gid}(M_R) < \infty$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} E^0 \xrightarrow{g^0} E^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E^{i-1} \xrightarrow{g^{i-1}} E^i \longrightarrow \dots$$

be an injective resolution of M , $n = \text{id}(T_R)$ and $d = \text{id}({}_S T)$. To conclude the desired result, it suffices to show that $D := \text{Coker}(g^{(n+d)-1})$ is a Gorenstein injective right R -module.

For this purpose, it suffices to show that $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(I, D) = 0$ for every injective R -module I_R and that there exists an exact complex

$$\mathbf{E}^\bullet : \dots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0,$$

in which each E_i is an injective right R -module and it remains exact after applying $\text{Hom}_R(I, -)$ for every injective R -module I_R .

For the first assertion, let I_R be an injective R -module. For each $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(I, D) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+(d+n)+1}(I, M) \cong \text{Ext}_S^{i+(d+n)+1}(\text{Hom}_R(T, I), \text{Hom}_R(T, M)).$$

The first isomorphism follows from dimension shift and the second isomorphism holds by Lemma 2.6(5). On the other hand,

$$\text{fd}(\text{Hom}_R(T, I)_S) \leq \text{id}({}_S T) = d$$

by Lemma 2.7(ii). By applying Lemma 3.4, we conclude that

$$\text{pd}(\text{Hom}_R(T, I)_S) \leq n + d.$$

Consequently, $\text{Ext}_R^i(I, D) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. From this, we can easily deduce that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(L, D) = 0$$

for every $L \in \mathcal{E}$, where \mathcal{E} is the class of all right R -modules with finite injective dimension.

Next, we establish the second assertion. By Lemma 4.3, there exist an injective right R -module E_0 and an R -homomorphism $\rho : E_0 \rightarrow D$, which is an \mathcal{E} -precover. As M and E^i 's are in $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$, then by Lemma 2.6(2) so does D . Therefore, by [13, Theorem 6.1], there exists a projective S -module P_S and an epimorphism $P \otimes_S T \rightarrow D$. On the other hand, by the assumption and Lemma 2.7(i), we have

$$\text{id}_R((P \otimes_S T)_R) \leq \text{id}(T_R) < \infty,$$

and so $P \otimes_S T \in \mathcal{E}$. As $P \otimes_S T \in \mathcal{E}$, it follows that the map ρ is epic. Now, we consider the short exact sequence

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E_0 \xrightarrow{\rho} D \rightarrow 0.$$

Since E_0 and D are in $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$, Lemma 2.9 implies that $K \in \mathcal{B}_T(R)$. Let us take $L \in \mathcal{E}$, applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(L, -)$ to (4.3) gives rise to the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L, K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L, E_0) \xrightarrow{g} \text{Hom}_R(L, D) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(L, K) \rightarrow 0,$$

and the isomorphisms

$$\text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(L, K) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(L, D)$$

for all $i \geq 1$. As the map g is surjective, we get $\text{Ext}_R^1(L, K) = 0$. Thus, $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, K) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Hence, by the above argument, there exists an injective right R -module E_1 and an epimorphism $E_1 \rightarrow K$ which is \mathcal{E} -precover. We proceed in this manner to construct an exact complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow E_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow 0,$$

in which each E_i is an injective right R -module and it remains exact after applying $\text{Hom}_R(I, -)$ for every injective R -module I .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) is immediate by Corollary 4.5. \square

Remark 4.7. *Considering Theorems 3.7 and 4.6, one may wonder if we can characterize the classes $\mathcal{A}_T(S)$ and $\mathcal{B}_T(R)$ in the case ${}_S T_R$ is a Wakamatsu tilting module with $\text{pd}(T_R) < \infty$ and $\text{pd}({}_S T) < \infty$. It is straightforward to observe that if ${}_S T_R$ is a Wakamatsu tilting module with $\text{pd}(T_R) < \infty$ and $\text{pd}({}_S T) < \infty$, then ${}_S T_R$ is a tilting module. Furthermore, by [21, Lemma 1.21], for any tilting module ${}_S T_R$, it is known that $\mathcal{A}_T(S) = \{N \in \text{Mod-}S \mid \text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^S(N, T) = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_T(R) = T^\perp$.*

Example 4.8. *Let Γ be a commutative Gorenstein ring and \mathcal{Q} a finite acyclic quiver. By [4, Corollary 2.14] the path algebra $\Gamma\mathcal{Q}$ is also Gorenstein. Take $T = R = \Gamma\mathcal{Q}$. Then $S = \text{End}_R(T) = R$ and T is a tilting module. Specifically, T is a tensorly faithful Wakamatsu tilting module satisfying the assumptions of Theorems 3.7 and 4.6. Therefore, by Remark 4.7, we have $\mathcal{A}_T(S) = \mathcal{B}_T(R) = \text{Mod-}R$.*

5. COTILTING MODULES

Let A be a commutative Artinian ring with identity. Let \mathcal{E} be the minimal injective cogenerator of A , and set $D(-) = \text{Hom}_A(-, \mathcal{E})$. Let R be an Artin A -algebra. In 1991, Auslander and Reiten introduced cotilting modules, as analogous to dualizing modules; see [2] and [3]. By their definition, an R -module C_R is *cotilting* if $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$, $\text{id}(C_R) < \infty$, and there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow D(R) \rightarrow 0$$

with each C_i in $\text{add}(C)$. It is easy to verify that an R -module C_R is cotilting if and only if ${}_R D(C)$ is a tilting R -module. This definition was extended to (not necessarily finitely generated) modules over general associative rings with identity by Hügel and Coelho [17] in 2001; see Definition 5.1. Also, two more definitions for cotilting modules were introduced in [21] and [1]. In this section, we compare these four definitions for cotilting modules; see Remark 5.7.

Next, we recall the Hügel and Coelho definition of cotilting modules.

Definition 5.1. *An R -module C_R is called cotilting if*

- (i) $\text{id}(C_R) < \infty$,
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C^I, C) = 0$ for every set I , and
- (iii) there exists an injective cogenerator Q_R of R and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

with each C_i in $\text{Prod}(C)$.

Theorem 5.6 is the main result of this section. To prove it, we need the following four lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. *Let R be a right coherent ring, S a left coherent ring and ${}_S C_R$ a Wakamatsu tilting module. Assume that both $\text{id}(C_R)$ and $\text{id}({}_S C)$ are finite. Then for any injective cogenerator Q_R of R , there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

with each $C_i \in \text{Add}(C)$.

Proof. Let Q_R be an injective cogenerator of R and $m = \text{id}({}_S C)$. One has $\text{fd}(\text{Hom}_R(C, Q)_S) = \text{id}({}_S C) = m$ by [8, Theorem 3.2.19 and Remarks 3.2.25 and 3.2.27]. Thus, we have an exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow F_{m+1} \rightarrow S^{(I_m)} \rightarrow S^{(I_{m-1})} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S^{(I_0)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, Q) \rightarrow 0,$$

where F_{m+1} is a flat right S -module. As $Q \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, we have $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^S(\text{Hom}_R(C, Q), C) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(C, Q) \otimes_S C \cong Q$, and so applying the functor $-\otimes_S C$ to (5.1) yields the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_{m+1} \otimes_S C \longrightarrow C^{(I_m)} \xrightarrow{d_m} C^{(I_{m-1})} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C^{(I_0)} \xrightarrow{d_0} Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

Showing that $F_{m+1} \otimes_S C \in \text{Add}(C)$, would complete the proof.

Set $K_{-1} = Q$ and $K_j = \text{Ker } d_j$ for every $j = 0, \dots, m$. From the exact sequences

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_j \rightarrow C^{(I_j)} \rightarrow K_{j-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad j = 0, \dots, m,$$

and [8, Theorem 3.2.15 and Remarks 3.2.25 and 3.2.27], we conclude that

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C^{(I_j)}, K_m) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, K_m)^{I_j} \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, F_{m+1} \otimes_S C)^{I_j} \\ &\cong (F_{m+1} \otimes_S \text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, C))^{I_j} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.7(i) and [15, Theorem 2.7], we have

$$\text{id}((K_m)_R) = \text{id}((F_{m+1} \otimes_S C)_R) \leq \text{id}(C_R) = \text{id}({}_S C) = m.$$

Hence, using the exact sequences (5.2) and the isomorphisms (5.3) yield that

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(K_{m-1}, K_m) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(Q, K_m) = 0.$$

Thus the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_m \rightarrow C^{(I_m)} \rightarrow K_{m-1} \rightarrow 0$$

splits, and so $K_{m-1} \in \text{Add}(C)$. □

In the proof of Lemma 5.4, we will use the following lemma several times.

Lemma 5.3. *Let C_R be an R -module, and set $\mathcal{H} = {}^\perp C$.*

- (i) *Assume that $n = \text{id}(C_R) < \infty$. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \text{Mod-}R$.*
- (ii) *Assume that $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C^I, C) = 0$ for every sets I , and $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Cogen}(C)$. Then $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp = \text{Prod}(C)$, and for any $X \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$$

with $C_0 \in \text{Prod}(C)$ and $X' \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. (i) See [17, Lemma 2.2(b)].

- (ii) See [17, Lemma 2.4(b)]. □

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that an R -module C_R satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $\text{id}(C_R) < \infty$.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C^I, C) = 0$ for every set I .

Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) *There exists an injective cogenerator Q_R of R and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

with each $C_i \in \text{Prod}(C)$.

- (b) *For any $X \in {}^\perp C$, there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$$

with $C_0 \in \text{Prod}(C)$ and $X' \in {}^\perp C$.

Proof. (a) \implies (b) In view of (ii) and (a), [17, Lemma 2.3(b)] implies that ${}^\perp C \subseteq \text{Cogen}(C)$. Now, as C satisfies (ii) and ${}^\perp C \subseteq \text{Cogen}(C)$, by Lemma 5.3(ii), it follows that for any $X \in {}^\perp C$, there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$$

with $C_0 \in \text{Prod}(C)$ and $X' \in {}^\perp C$.

(b) \implies (a) Suppose that Q_R is an injective cogenerator of R , and set $\mathcal{H} = {}^\perp C$ and $\mathcal{W} = \text{Prod}(C)$. Clearly $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, because (ii) holds. Since $\text{id}(C_R) < \infty$, by Lemma 5.3(i), we conclude that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \text{Mod-}R$. As C satisfies (b) and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, by [17, Theorem 3.2(i)], there is a short exact sequence

$$(5.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_Q \rightarrow C_Q \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

with $C_Q \in \mathcal{H}$ and $K_Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. As $K_Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$, by definition, there is an exact sequence

$$(5.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow K_Q \rightarrow 0$$

with each $C_j \in \mathcal{W}$. Splitting this exact sequence into short exact sequences and noting that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\perp$ implies that $K_Q \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$. Now, as both K_Q and Q belong to \mathcal{H}^\perp , from (5.4), we deduce that $C_Q \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$. Hence, $C_Q \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp$. By (b), we get $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Cogen}(C)$, and so Lemma 5.3(ii) yields that $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp = \mathcal{W}$. So, $C_Q \in \mathcal{W}$. Now, by splicing the exact sequences (5.4) and (5.5), we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where $C_0 = C_Q$.

□

Lemma 5.5. *Let C_R be an R -module and $S = \text{End}(C_R)$. Assume that:*

- (i) *The ring S is left coherent.*
- (ii) *$\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$.*
- (iii) *There exists an injective cogenerator Q_R of R and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} \dots \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} Q \rightarrow 0$$

with each $C_i \in \text{Prod}(C)$.

Then, we have:

- (a) $\text{id}({}_S C) \leq n$.
- (b) *If ${}_S C$ is finitely generated, then $\text{Ext}_S^{i \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$ and the natural homothety map $\chi : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(C, C)$ is an isomorphism.*
- (c) *If the ring R is right coherent and both modules C_R and ${}_S C$ are finitely generated, then $\text{id}({}_S C) < \infty$ and ${}_S C_R$ is a Wakamatsu tilting module.*

Proof. (a) For each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, set $X_i = \text{Im}(d_i)$. Then we get the following short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow X_{n-1} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow C_{i-1} \rightarrow X_{i-1} \rightarrow 0, \quad i = n-1, n-2, \dots, 2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

From these exact sequences, we infer that $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, X_i) = 0$ for all $i = n-1, n-2, \dots, 1$, and so the sequence

$$(5.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C_n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, Q) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. For each i , as $C_i \in \text{Prod}(C)$, it follows that C_i is a direct summand of C^{I_i} for some set I_i , and so, as S is coherent, $\text{Hom}_R(C, C_i)$ is a flat right S -module. Thus, $\text{fd}(\text{Hom}_R(C, Q)_S) \leq n$. This completes the proof of (a), because by [8, Theorem 3.2.19 and Remarks 3.2.25 and 3.2.27], we have $\text{id}({}_S C) = \text{fd}(\text{Hom}_R(C, Q)_S)$.

(b) For any R -module M , let $\nu_M : \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \otimes_S C \rightarrow M$ denote the evaluation map. Given a set I , it is straightforward to check that ν_{C^I} is the composition of the following natural isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_R(C, C^I) \otimes_S C \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(C, C)^I \otimes_S C \xrightarrow{\cong} (\text{Hom}_R(C, C) \otimes_S C)^I \xrightarrow{\cong} (S \otimes_S C)^I \xrightarrow{\cong} C^I.$$

Note that, as S is left coherent, the functor $-\otimes_S C$ commutes with arbitrary direct products, and so, in the above display, the second natural map is an isomorphism. Let $X_R \in \text{Prod}(C)$.

Then there are a set I and an R -module Y_R such that $X \oplus Y = C^I$. The split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\lambda} C^I \xrightarrow{\pi} Y \rightarrow 0$$

yields the following commutative diagram with split exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, X) \otimes_S C & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, C^I) \otimes_S C & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, Y) \otimes_S C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \nu_X & & \downarrow \nu_{C^I} & & \downarrow \nu_Y \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & C^I & \longrightarrow & Y \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since ν_{C^I} is an isomorphism, it follows that ν_X is injective and ν_Y is surjective. By interchanging the roles of X and Y in the above argument, it follows that ν_X is also surjective. Hence, ν_X is an isomorphism.

From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{d_n} \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} Q \rightarrow 0,$$

we can induce the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, C_n) \otimes_S C & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, C_0) \otimes_S C \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, Q) \otimes_S C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \nu_{C_n} & & & & \downarrow \nu_{C_0} & & \downarrow \nu_Q \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_n & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_0 & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

As the two maps ν_{C_0} and ν_{C_1} are isomorphisms, applying the Five Lemma to the right side of the above diagram implies that the map ν_Q is also an isomorphism. Since in the above diagram the bottom row is exact and each vertical map is an isomorphism, it follows that the top row is also exact. Thus, as (5.6) is a flat resolution of the right S -module $\text{Hom}_R(C, Q)$, we conclude that

$$\text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_S^i(C, C), Q) \cong \text{Tor}_i^S(\text{Hom}_R(C, Q), C) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Since Q is an injective cogenerator of R , it follows that $\text{Ext}_S^i(C, C) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Let

$$\theta_Q : \text{Hom}_R(C, Q) \otimes_S C \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(C, C), Q)$$

be the Hom-evaluation isomorphism. Also, let $\mu : Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, Q)$ be the natural isomorphism and $\alpha = \text{Hom}_R(\chi, \text{id}_Q)$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_S(C, C), Q) & \xleftarrow{\theta_Q} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, Q) \otimes_S C \\
& \searrow \alpha & \downarrow \mu\nu_Q \\
& & \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, Q).
\end{array}$$

Thus the map α is an isomorphism, because the two maps θ_Q and $\mu\nu_Q$ are so. As Q is an injective cogenerator of R , it follows that χ is also an isomorphism.

(c) is trivial by (a) and (b). \square

Now, we are ready to present the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.6. *Let A be a commutative Artinian ring with identity. Let \mathcal{E} be the minimal injective cogenerator of A , and set $D(-) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(-, \mathcal{E})$. Let R be an Artin A -algebra and C_R a finitely generated R -module with $S = \mathrm{End}(C_R)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\mathrm{id}(C_R) < \infty$, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C^I, C) = 0$ for every set I , and there exists an injective cogenerator Q_R and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ with each C_i in $\mathrm{Prod}(C)$.
- (b) $\mathrm{id}(C_R) < \infty$, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$, and for any $X \in {}^\perp C \cap \mathrm{mod}\text{-}R$, there exists a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ with $C_0 \in \mathrm{add}(C)$ and $X' \in {}^\perp C$.
- (c) $\mathrm{id}(C_R) < \infty$, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$, and there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow D(R) \rightarrow 0$$

with each C_i in $\mathrm{add}(C)$.

- (d) $\mathrm{id}(C_R) < \infty$, $\mathrm{id}({}_S C) < \infty$, and C_R is a Wakamatsu tilting R -module.

Proof. Since R is an Artin algebra, by [18, page 543], we have:

Fact (\star): $\mathrm{Prod}(C) = \mathrm{Add}(C)$ and consequently, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$ if and only if

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C^I, C) = 0$$

for every set I .

- (a) \implies (b) Let $X \in {}^\perp C \cap \mathrm{mod}\text{-}R$. By Lemma 5.4, there exists a short exact sequence

$$(5.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$$

with $C_0 \in \mathrm{Prod}(C)$ and $X' \in {}^\perp C$. Hence, by (\star), there is a set I and an R -module K_R such that $C_0 \oplus K \cong C^{(I)}$. Utilizing (5.7), we obtain a short exact sequence

$$(5.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} C^{(I)} \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0,$$

where $X'' = X' \oplus K$.

Since X is finitely generated, there exists a finite subset J of I such that $\pi'(\text{Im } f) = 0$, where $\pi' : C^{(I)} \rightarrow C^{(I \setminus J)}$ is the natural projection. Let $\pi : C^{(I)} \rightarrow C^{(J)}$ be the natural projection and set $g = \pi f$. So, we get the following short exact sequence

$$(5.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{g} C^{(J)} \rightarrow \text{Coker } g \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $C^{(J)} \in \text{add}(C)$, it remains to show that $\text{Coker } g \in {}^\perp C$. Clearly $X'' \in {}^\perp C$, and so by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$ to (5.8), we deduce that the map $\text{Hom}_R(f, C)$ is surjective. Let $\lambda : C^{(J)} \rightarrow C^{(I)}$ be the natural inclusion map. Then $f = \lambda g$, and so

$$\text{Hom}_R(f, C) = \text{Hom}_R(g, C)\text{Hom}_R(\lambda, C).$$

As $\text{Hom}_R(f, C)$ is surjective, it follows that $\text{Hom}_R(g, C)$ is also surjective. Using this, from the Ext long exact sequence that obtained by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$ to (5.9), we deduce that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Coker } g, C) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, and so $\text{Coker } g \in {}^\perp C$.

(b) \implies (c) It is easy to verify that the finitely generated right R -module $Q = D(R)$ is an injective cogenerator of R . Set $\mathcal{H} = {}^\perp C$, $\mathcal{X} = {}^\perp C \cap \text{mod-}R$ and $\mathcal{W} = \text{add}(C)$. By adopting the proof of [17, Lemma 2.2(b)], we can show that $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \text{mod-}R$. Since (b) holds, the assumptions of [17, Theorem 3.2(i)] are satisfied for the pair $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Hence, there is a short exact sequence

$$(5.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_Q \rightarrow C_Q \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

with $C_Q \in \mathcal{X}$ and $K_Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. As $K_Q \in \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$, there is an exact sequence

$$(5.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow K_Q \rightarrow 0$$

with each $C_j \in \mathcal{W}$, and so $K_Q \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$. Since also Q belongs to \mathcal{H}^\perp , by (5.10), it follows that $C_Q \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$. As (b) holds and $C_Q \in \mathcal{X}$, there is a short exact sequence

$$(5.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_Q \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0,$$

with $C_0 \in \text{add}(C)$ and $X' \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $X' \in \mathcal{H}$ and $C_Q \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$, we deduce that $\text{Ext}_R^1(X', C_Q) = 0$, and so (5.12) splits. So, $C_Q \in \text{add}(C)$. Now, splicing the exact sequences (5.10) and (5.11) yields the claim.

(c) \implies (a) Clearly, $D(R)$ is an injective cogenerator of R and $\text{add}(C) \subseteq \text{Prod}(C)$. On the other hand, (\star) implies that $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C^I, C) = 0$ for every set I . Thus, (a) holds.

(a) \implies (d) Since R is an Artin algebra, it follows that S is also an Artin algebra. In particular, the ring R is right Noetherian and the ring S is left Noetherian. As C is a finitely generated A -module, it follows that ${}_S C$ is finitely generated. Hence, the claim follows from Lemma 5.5(c).

(d) \implies (a) As we saw above, the ring R is right Noetherian and the ring S is left Noetherian. Thus, the claim is immediate by Lemma 5.2 and (\star) . \square

Next, we compar four definitions of cotilting modules.

Remark 5.7. *Let C_R be a finitely generated R -module and $S = \text{End}(C_R)$. We already recalled two definitions of cotilting modules at the beginning of this section. Below, in (i) and (ii), we recall two more definitions of cotilting modules. Then in (iii), (iv) and (v), we compare these four definitions of cotilting modules.*

- (i) *Assume that the ring R is right Noetherian and the ring S is left Noetherian. Miyashita [21, page 142] call C_R cotilting if it is a Wakamatsu tilting R -module, $\text{id}(C_R) < \infty$ and $\text{id}({}_S C) < \infty$. This definition of cotilting modules are used in [20, 19, 16].*
- (ii) *Aihara et. al. [1] call C_R cotilting if $\text{id}(C_R) < \infty$, $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$, and for any $X \in {}^\perp C \cap \text{mod-}R$, there exists a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow 0$ with $C_0 \in \text{add}(C)$ and $X' \in {}^\perp C$.*
- (iii) *By [22, Proposition 5.6], we have ${}^\perp C \cap \text{mod-}R \subseteq \text{cogen}^*(C)$, and so Definition (i) implies Definition (ii).*
- (iv) *Assume that the ring R is right Noetherian, the ring S is left Noetherian and the S -module ${}_S C$ is finitely generated. In view of Lemma 5.5(c), if C_R is cotilting by Definition 5.1, then it is also cotilting by Definition (i).*
- (v) *If R is an Artin algebra, then by Theorem 5.6, for the R -module C_R being cotilting in each of these four definitions are equivalent.*

Example 5.8. (i) *Let R be a connected finite-dimensional hereditary algebra over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of infinite representation type. Let M be a finitely generated R -module, we define $\text{Tr}(M) := \text{Coker}(\text{Hom}_R(f, R))$, where $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is a minimal projective presentation of M , and $D(-) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(-, \mathbb{k})$. Then $(\text{Tr } D)^n(R)$ is a cotilting R -module for all $n \geq 0$; see [20, page 592].*

- (ii) *Let Γ be a commutative Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a dualizing module ω , and R be a Γ -order (i.e. R is a Γ -algebra and R is a finitely generated maximal Cohen-Macaulay as a Γ -module). Then, by [20, Proposition 2.12], $\text{Hom}_\Gamma(R, \omega)$ is a cotilting R -module, in the sense of Miyashita; see Remark 5.7(i).*
- (iii) *Let R be an Artin algebra and C_R a cotilting R -module with $\text{id}(C_R) = r$. Assume that $R\mathcal{Q}$ is the path algebra of the quiver $\mathcal{Q} : 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$. It is easy to see that $R\mathcal{Q}$ is an Artin algebra. By [23, Lemma 3.7],*

$$C := (0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow C) \oplus (0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow C) \oplus (C \rightarrow C \rightarrow C)$$

is a cotilting $R\mathcal{Q}$ -module with $\text{id}(C_{R\mathcal{Q}}) = r + 1$.

In view of Lemma 5.5(c) and Theorems 3.7 and 4.6, the following conclusions are immediate.

Corollary 5.9. *Let C_R be a tensorly faithful cotilting module, and let $S = \text{End}(C_R)$. Assume that the ring R is right coherent, the ring S is left coherent, and both modules C_R and ${}_S C$ are finitely generated. Then, for an S -module N_S , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $N \in \mathcal{A}_C(S)$.
- (ii) $\text{Gpd}(N_S) < \infty$.
- (iii) $\text{Gfd}(N_S) < \infty$.

Corollary 5.10. *Let C_R be a tensorly faithful cotilting module, and let $S = \text{End}(C_R)$. Assume that the ring R is right Noetherian, the ring S is left coherent, and both modules C_R and ${}_S C$ are finitely generated. Then, for an R -module M_R , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$.
- (ii) $\text{Gid}(M_R) < \infty$.

We end the paper by the following remark on the assumption “tensorly faithfulness” in the above corollaries.

Remark 5.11. *Since over a Noetherian commutative ring, every dualizing module is tensorly faithful, there is not the assumption “tensorly faithfulness” in the commutative rings analogues of the above corollaries. This raises the following three natural questions:*

- (i) *Under the assumptions of Corollaries 5.9 and 5.10, is any cotilting R -module C_R necessarily tensorly faithful? This is not the case. To this end, let \mathbb{k} be a field and $R = \mathbb{k}\mathcal{Q}$ be the finite-dimensional \mathbb{k} -algebra that corresponds to the quiver*

$$\mathcal{Q} : 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3.$$

It is easy to see that the right R -module $C = P(1) \oplus P(3) \oplus S(3)$ is both tilting and cotilting, and it is not projective. As C_R is a non-projective tilting R -module, by [7, Theorem 2.11], C_R couldn't be tensorly faithful.

- (ii) *Are there enough examples of tensorly faithful cotilting modules over non-commutative Noetherian rings? The answer is yes; in fact, there are plenty of examples of tensorly faithful cotilting modules over non-commutative Noetherian rings. For instance, if R is either a left Artinian local ring or the group ring of a finite group G over a commutative Artinian ring, then any cotilting module C_R is tensorly faithful; see [7, Lemma 2.14 and the proof of Remark 2.15].*
- (iii) *Can the assumption “tensorly faithful” in Corollaries 5.8 and 5.9 be relaxed? We do not yet know the answer to this question.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Tiago Cruz for his insightful comments and feedback on an earlier draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aihara, T. Araya, O. Iyama, R. Takahashi and M. Yoshiwaki, *Dimensions of triangulated categories with respect to subcategories*, J. Algebra, **399**, (2014), 205-219.
- [2] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, *Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories*, Adv. Math., **86**(1), (1991), 111-152.
- [3] M. Auslander and I. Reiten, *Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein Artin algebras*, Representation theory of finite groups and finite-dimensional algebras, Proc. Conf., Bielefeld/Ger. 1991, Prog. Math., **95**, (1991), 221-245.
- [4] A. Bahlekeh, A. Mahin Fallah and Sh. Salarian, *On the Auslander-Reiten conjecture for algebras*, J. Algebra, **427**, (2015), 252-263.
- [5] D. Bennis, J. R. Garcia Rozas and L. Oyonarte, *When do Foxby classes coincide with modules of finite Gorenstein dimension?*, Kyoto J. Math., **56**(4), (2016), 785-802.
- [6] S. Brenner and M. C. R. Butler, *Generalizations of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Ponomarev reflection functors*, Representation theory, II (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Carleton Univ., Ottawa, Ont., 1979), Lecture Notes in Math., **832**, Springer, Berlin (1980), pp. 103-169.
- [7] K. Divaani-Aazar, A. Mahin Fallah and M. Tousi, *On the Wakamatsu tilting conjecture*, preprint, arXiv:2407.06353 [math.RT].
- [8] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, (2000).
- [9] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda and J. A. López-Ramos, *Dualizing modules and n -perfect rings*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. Ser., **48**(1), (2005), 75-90.
- [10] Z. Gao and T. Zhao, *Foxby equivalence relative to c -weak injective and c -weak flat modules*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **54**(5), (2017), 1457-1482.
- [11] E. L. Green, I. Reiten and Ø. Solberg, *Dualities on generalized Koszul algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **159**(754), (2002), xvi+67 pp.
- [12] D. Happel and C. M. Ringel, *Tilted algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **274**(2), (1982), 399-443.
- [13] H. Holm and D. White, *Foxby equivalence over associative rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **47**(4), (2007), 781-808.
- [14] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **189**(1-3), (2004), 167-193.
- [15] Z. Y. Huang, *Generalized tilting modules with finite injective dimension*, J. Algebra, **311**(2), (2007), 619-634.
- [16] Z. Y. Huang and G. Tang, *Self-orthogonal modules over coherent rings*, Pure Appl. Algebra, **161**(1-2), (2001), 167-176.
- [17] L. A. Hügel and F. U. Coelho, *Infinitely generated tilting modules of finite projective dimension*, Forum Math., **13**(2), (2001), 239-250.
- [18] F. Mantese and I. Reiten, *Wakamatsu tilting modules*, J. Algebra, **278**(2), (2004), 532-552.
- [19] J. Miyachi, *Cohen-Macaulay approximations and Noetherian algebras*, Commun. Algebra, **26**(7), (1998), 2181-2190.

- [20] J. Miyachi, *Duality for derived categories and cotilting bimodules*, J. Algebra, **185**(2), (1996), 583-603.
- [21] Y. Miyashita, *Tilting modules of finite projective dimension*, Math. Z., **193**(1), (1986), 113-146.
- [22] T. Wakamatsu, *Tilting modules and Auslander's Gorenstein property*, J. Algebra, **275**(1), (2004), 3-39.
- [23] P. Zhang, *Monomorphism categories, cotilting theory, and Gorenstein-projective modules*, J. Algebra, **339**(1), (2011), 181-202.

K. DIVAANI-AAZAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ALZAHRA UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.

Email address: `kdivaani@ipm.ir`

A. MAHIN FALLAH, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

Email address: `amfallah@ipm.ir`, `ali.mahinfallah@gmail.com`

M. TOUSI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHAHID BEHESHTI UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.

Email address: `mtousi@ipm.ir`