# arXiv:2407.06816v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 9 Jul 2024 arXiv:2407.06816v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 9 Jul 2024

# Probing a quantum spin liquid with equilibrium and non-equilibrium hole dynamics

J. H. Nyhegn,<sup>1</sup> K. Knakkergaard Nielsen,<sup>2</sup> and G. M. Bruun<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Complex Quantum Systems, Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Aarhus University, Ny Munkegade, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

 $^{2}$ Max-Planck Institute for Quantum Optics, Hans-Kopfermann-Str. 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

The properties and experimental identification of quantum spin liquids (QSL) remains an important topic with many fundamental questions. Here, we explore the dynamics of a single charge dopant (hole) in a t-J<sub>1</sub>-J<sub>2</sub> model on a square lattice, which realises a gapless  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSL at half-filling. Using a field theory approach based on the parton construction, which includes an infinite number of scatterings between the low-energy quasiparticle excitations of the QSL via a self-consistent Born approximation, we calculate both the equilibrium and non-equilibrium properties of the hole for weak and strong interactions. Quasiparticle branches as well as string-like excitations of the hole are identified, and we furthermore explore the time-dependent spreading of a hole throughout the QSL after it has been injected at a given lattice site. The final ballistic expension speed is shown to exhibit a non-monotonic behavior as a quantum phase transition between an antiferromagnetic (AFM) and the QSL phase is crossed, which is caused by a qualitative change in the fundamental kinematics of the interactions between the hole and the surrounding spins. Our results demonstrate how charge dopants can be used as a quantum probe for QSLs and are directly relevant to optical lattice experiments with single site resolution, as well as the rapidly increasingly number of new quanum materials such as transition metal dichacogenides.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The fundamental nature of QSLs continues to attract great interest since these phases were first identified more than fifty years ago [\[1\]](#page-13-0). Quantum spin liquid phases such as resonating valence bond states are typically described as a fluid of strongly correlated spin singlets. The liquid or resonating character of the ground state means that it consists of a macroscopically large superposition of spin singlets, which can give rise to exotic properties such as long-range quantum entanglement, quasiparticle excitations with nontrivial statistics, and spincharge separation  $[2-6]$  $[2-6]$ . Thanks to sophisticated analytical and numerical methods, our understanding of QSLs keeps improving  $[7-12]$ . There is also great progress on the experimental side, where a spin liquid phase has recently been realised using the new powerful quantum simulation platform based on Rydberg atoms in optical tweezer arrays [\[13\]](#page-13-5). There are furthermore promising prospects of realising spin liquids in optical lattice experiments [\[14](#page-13-6)[–18\]](#page-13-7). Finally, the number of strongly correlated two-dimensional (2D) materials is growing including atomically thin of transition metal dichacogenide bilayers, which realise highly tunable moiré lattice systems where spin liquids are predicted to emerge  $[19-21]$  $[19-21]$ .

A feature of QSLs is that they elude local magnetic order down to zero temperature [\[2\]](#page-13-1). This invalidates standard approaches such as spin-wave theory in handling these strongly interacting phases. Except for the Kitaev spin liquid offering an exact solution [\[22\]](#page-13-10), this means that numerical tools such as variational Monte Carlo (VMC) and Matrix Product States (MPSs) have played an important role exploring QSLs [\[10,](#page-13-11) [12,](#page-13-4) [23–](#page-13-12)[25\]](#page-13-13). Morever, a smoking gun observation of a QSL remains a great challenge. Recent MPS studies suggest that the dynamical response from inserting charge dopants can



<span id="page-0-0"></span>FIG. 1. (a) As a holon (orange circle) moves through a QSL, it distorts the spin singlets in its surroundings (blue ovals) leaving unpaired spins in its wake (arrows). These processes give rise to quasiparticle states as well as string like excitations of the holon. (b) The velocity of a hole spreading ballistically as a quasiparticle after being injected at a given lattice site. The expansion velocity increases with  $J_2/J_1$  for  $J_2/J_1 < 0.46$  (vertical line) where the ground state is an AFM. For  $J_2/J_1 > 0.46$ , the ground state is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSL and the expansion velocity is much smaller. This gives rise to a non-monotonic behavior of the velocity with a maximum likely to be close to the quantum phase transition point  $J_2/J_1 = 0.46$ .

be used as probes for these phases [\[26,](#page-13-14) [27\]](#page-13-15). The remarkable single site resolution possible in optical lattices has moreover enabled the real space observation of magnetic polarons formed by a dopant in an AF [\[17,](#page-13-16) [18,](#page-13-7) [28,](#page-13-17) [29\]](#page-13-18). Understanding the dynamics of dopants in spin liquids is however challenging and has mostly been approached phenomenologically or at a mean-field level [\[5,](#page-13-19) [30](#page-13-20)[–33\]](#page-13-21). In these studies, the focus has furthermore primarily been on the interactions with the gauge fluctuations.

These experimental advances makes it timely to explore the dynamics of a dopant in a QSL. In this paper, we focus on a hole in a so-called  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSL realized in a  $t-J_1-J_2$  model at half-filling. We develop a field theoretical approach for analyzing this, which is capable of describing the strong coupling regime by including an infinite number of scatterings between the hole and its surrounding spins via a SCBA. Using this, we identify quasiparticles as well as string-like excitations of the hole. The resulting spectral function is shown to be quite different from that of a hole in an AFM, which indicates that it can be used to detect the presence of a QSL. We furthermore explore the non-equilibrium spreading of a hole throughout the lattice ensuing its injection at a specific lattice site. For long times, the hole is shown to expand ballistically as a quasiparticle whose speed depends non-monotonically on the ratio  $J_2/J_1$ , with a maximum likely close to the quantum phase transition between the AFM and the QSL phases. This non-trivial dependence provides another promising way to detect the QSL.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. [II,](#page-1-0) we introduce the  $t$ - $J_1$ - $J_2$  model. We then discuss the parton construction and our field theoretical approach. The spectral properties of a holon are discussed in Sec. [III.](#page-3-0) In Sec. [IV,](#page-5-0) we explore the spectral properties of a physical hole as well as its non-equilibrium expansion dynamics. By comparing with the same properties of a hole in an AFM, we discuss in Sec. [V](#page-8-0) two ways where the hole can be used as a quantum probe of a QSL. We end with conclusions and outlook in Sec. [VI.](#page-9-0)

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>II. MODEL AND FIELD THEORY

We study the behavior of a single dopant in a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSL in the paradigmatic  $t-J$  model with both nearest  $(NN)$ and next-nearest neighbor (NNN) interactions

$$
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_t + \hat{H}_J.
$$
 (1)

Here

$$
\hat{H}_t = -t_1 \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle, \sigma} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{i}, \sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{j}, \sigma} - t_2 \sum_{\langle \langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle \rangle, \sigma} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{i}, \sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{j}, \sigma} + \text{H.c.}
$$
 (2)

is the hopping Hamiltonian with NN and NNN parameters  $t_1, t_2$ , and

$$
\hat{H}_J = J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j - \frac{1}{4} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \right] + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i - \frac{1}{4} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \right] \tag{3}
$$

describes AFM Heisenberg type NN and NNN spin couplings  $J_1, J_2 > 0$ . The restricted fermionic operators  $\tilde{c}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{i}, \sigma}$  =

 $\hat{c}^\dagger_{{\bf i},\sigma}(1-\hat{n}_{\bf i})$  ensure no double occupancy in terms of the onsite density operator  $\hat{n}_i = \sum_{\sigma} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$ . Here,  $\hat{c}_{i\sigma}$  removes a fermion with spin  $\sigma$  at site **i** and  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\sigma\sigma'} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma'}$ . The spin coupling in Eq.  $(3)$  naturally arises as an effective low-energy description of super-exchange processes in the Fermi-Hubbard model for large onsite repulsion  $U$ close to half-filling, where  $J_1 = 4t_1^2/U$  and  $J_2 = 4t_2^2/U$ . As this is relevant for strongly correlated 2D materials and experiments with atoms in optical lattices, we will in the following uphold these relations such that  $J_2/J_1 = (t_2/t_1)^2$ .

At half-filling, the Heisenberg model supports four distinct phases [\[34–](#page-13-22)[37\]](#page-14-0). With no NNN interaction, the model exhibits antiferromagnetic (AFM) order. Turning on a non-zero  $J_2$ , we initiate a competition that frustrates the magnetic order, and by increasing  $J_2/J_1$  the system first enters a gapless  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSL at  $J_2/J_1 \sim 0.46$ , then a valence bond solid (VBS) phase at  $J_2/J_1 \sim 0.55$ , and at last the magnetically ordered columnar phase at  $J_2/J_1 \sim 0.6$ . To explore the QSL phase, one, thus, needs  $J_2/J_1 \approx 0.0$ . To explore the Q<sub>2</sub>D phase, one, thus, heeds<br>to be able to achieve NNN hoppings  $|t_2| \approx |t_1|/\sqrt{2}$ . While NNN hoppings in standard optical lattice experiments are inherently much smaller than the NN hoppings, optical superlattices have been shown [\[38\]](#page-14-1) to allow the desired control over  $t_2/t_1$ . Focusing on the QSL phase, we now turn to the parton construction.

### A. Parton construction

Following Refs. [\[5,](#page-13-19) [39,](#page-14-2) [40\]](#page-14-3), we decompose the fermions into partons as

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma} = \hat{b}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{i},\sigma},\tag{4}
$$

where  $b_i$  removes a bosonic holon at site i describing the charge degree of freedom, and  $\hat{f}_{i,\sigma}$  removes a fermionic spinon describing the spin degree of freedom. Using this parton decomposition in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-1), the mean-field theory most accurately describing the ground state for  $J_2 \approx 0.5 J_1$  at half-filling with no holons is found to be a gapless  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquid [\[10,](#page-13-11) [35,](#page-13-23) [41–](#page-14-4)[43\]](#page-14-5). From VMC results, the optimal mean-field parton Hamiltonian was found to be

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\hat{H}_J = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.} \right), \quad (5)
$$

<span id="page-1-4"></span>with the BCS-type pairing functions  $[8, 10, 43]$  $[8, 10, 43]$  $[8, 10, 43]$  $[8, 10, 43]$ 

$$
\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon (\cos k_x + \cos k_y) \n\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_1 (\cos k_x - \cos k_y) + \Delta_2 \sin (2k_x) \sin (2k_y),
$$
 (6)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>where we have taken the lattice constant to unity. Within the theory of the projective-symmetry group, this spin liquid is denoted Z2Azz13 [\[8\]](#page-13-24). Instead of determining the parameters in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-1-2) via their self-consistent mean-field relations to the Heisenberg parameters  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  [\[44\]](#page-14-6),



<span id="page-2-2"></span>FIG. 2. (a) Spinon spectrum given by Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) with  $\Delta_1 = 1.1\epsilon$ and  $\Delta_2 = 1.8\epsilon$ . (b) Bare holon spectrum given by Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1).

we use unless otherwise stated the values  $\Delta_1 = 1.1\epsilon$  and  $\Delta_2$  = 1.8 $\epsilon$ , found as the optimal parameters in the VMC study [\[43\]](#page-14-5) for  $J_2/J_1 = 1/2$ . Note that Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-3) is defined in an enlarged Hilbert space where unphysical doubly occupied sites are allowed keeping only the average number of particles per site fixed at unity. These unphysical states can be projected out by using the Gutzwiller operator  $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{i} (\hat{n}_{i,i} - \hat{n}_{i,\uparrow})^2$  [\[10,](#page-13-11) [24,](#page-13-25) [37,](#page-14-0) [44\]](#page-14-6). It has however been shown that the the mean-field description given by Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-3) without a subsequent Gutzwiller projection accurately captures the low-energy excitations of the spin liquid state of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_J$  taking  $\epsilon \approx J_1/3$  [\[45\]](#page-14-7). Not having to perform a Gutzwiller projection dramatically simplifies the description, and we therefore use this mean-field approach in the following to describe the QSL. Diagonalizing Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-3) then yields

$$
\hat{H}_J = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^s \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma},\tag{7}
$$

with the spinon spectrum

$$
\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{s} = \sqrt{\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}},\tag{8}
$$

shown in Fig.  $2(a)$  $2(a)$ . The BCS-type ground state is defined by  $\hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}$  (GS) = 0. Note that the Cooper pairs in this mean-field theory correspond to the spin singlets.

The hopping term  $\hat{H}_t$  comes into play when we add a single dopant to the system. Using the parton construction yields

$$
\hat{H}_t = -t_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \hat{b}_i \hat{f}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{f}_{j,\sigma} \hat{b}_j^\dagger - t_2 \sum_{\langle\langle ij \rangle\rangle} \hat{b}_i \hat{f}_{i,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{f}_{j,\sigma} \hat{b}_j^\dagger, \tag{9}
$$

where we have removed the projection operators since we only consider a single dopant and allow multiple spinons on a given site [\[5,](#page-13-19) [39,](#page-14-2) [46\]](#page-14-8). Expressing this in terms of the spinon operators  $\hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{\dagger}$  diagonalising  $\hat{H}_J$  giving Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-3), we can write Eq.  $(9)$  as

$$
\hat{H}_t = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^b \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}, \sigma} h_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}', \sigma}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}, \sigma} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}} g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}} \left( \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}', \uparrow}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}, \downarrow}^\dagger + \text{h.c.} \right). \tag{10}
$$

Here

<span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{b} = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \Gamma_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}'} v_{\mathbf{k}'}^{2}
$$
 (11)

is the dispersion of a bare holon shown in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-2)b), and

$$
g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}} = -\left[\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}u_{\mathbf{k}'}v_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}} + \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}}v_{\mathbf{k}'}u_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}}\right]
$$

$$
h_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}} = \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}u_{\mathbf{k}'}u_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}} - \Gamma_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}}v_{\mathbf{k}'}v_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{q}} \qquad (12)
$$

are the vertex functions with

$$
\Gamma_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{2}{N} (t_1 \left[ \cos k_x + \cos k_y \right]
$$
  
+ $t_2 \left[ \cos (k_x + k_y) + \cos (k_y - k_y) \right]$ ) (13)

coming from NN and NNN structure factors. The number of lattice sites is  $N$ , and the coherence factors are  $u_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{(1 + \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}/\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^s)/2}$  and  $v_{\mathbf{k}} = \text{sgn}\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}\sqrt{(1 - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}/\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^s)/2}$ . There are two kinds of interaction vertices between the holon and the spinons in Eq. [\(10\)](#page-2-5):  $h_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}}$  describes the scattering of a holon on a spinon whereas  $g_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{q}}$  describes the emission/absorption of two spinons by a holon.

With Eqs.  $(7)$  and  $(10)$ , we have arrived at our final Hamiltonian describing a dopant in a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSL. Staying true to the Fermi-Hubbard relation  $J_i = 4t_i^2/U$ , we use  $t_2/t_1 = -\sqrt{J_2/J_1} = -1/\sqrt{2}$  throughout corresponding to the case of a single hole doping  $(t_2/t_1 = 1/\sqrt{2}$  for a dou-blon [\[47\]](#page-14-9)). We also keep the ratio  $\Delta_2/\Delta_1 = 1.8/1.1$  fixed and  $\Delta_1 = 1.1\epsilon$  unless otherwise stated, which leaves  $\epsilon/t_1$ as a free parameter in our theory. Strong interaction with the holon creating many spinon excitations corresponds to  $\epsilon/t_1 \ll 1$  whereas  $\epsilon/t_1 \gg 1$  corresponds to weak interaction.

### B. Quantum field theory

<span id="page-2-3"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>We will now describe our quantum field theory approach to describe the motion of the hole in the QSL. In the parton construction, a first natural step is to analyse the motion of the holon quasiparticle [\[30,](#page-13-20) [33\]](#page-13-21) as described with the retarded holon Green's function

$$
G(\mathbf{k},\tau) = -i\theta(\tau)\langle\{\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau),\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}(0)\}\rangle, \tag{14}
$$

<span id="page-2-4"></span>where  $\hat{A}(\tau) = \exp(i\hat{H}\tau)\hat{A}\exp(-i\hat{H}\tau)$  is the operator at time  $\tau$  in the Heisenberg picture and  $\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\} = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}$ . In frequency/momentum space, a formal solution for the Green's function is

<span id="page-2-7"></span>
$$
G(\mathbf{k},\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^b - \Sigma(\mathbf{k},\omega) + i0^+},\tag{15}
$$

<span id="page-2-5"></span>where  $\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$  is the holon self-energy. In order to calculate the self-energy, one in general needs to resort to approximations. Here, we will apply the self-consistent Born approximation (SCBA) [\[46\]](#page-14-8), which has proven to be very accurate for describing a mobile hole in an AFM



<span id="page-3-1"></span>FIG. 3. Feynman diagrams included in the extended SCBA for the holon self-energy. Double wavy line are the full holon propagator and the single lines are spinon propagators. The blue and red dots are the  $g$ - and h-vertices in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-2-6) respectively.

even for strong interactions when compared to Monte-Carlo calculations and exact diagonalisation [\[48,](#page-14-10) [49\]](#page-14-11). Remarkably, this holds even for describing non-equilibrium hole dynamics [\[50\]](#page-14-12). In the present case with the two kinds of spinon-hole interaction vertices given by Eq.  $(12)$ , the SCBA amounts to including the two types of diagrams for the holon self-energy shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-1) These two diagrams give the give the exact solution of the self-energy to third order in the hopping amplitudes, and by using the self-consistent holon Green's function we include an infinite class of diagrams in order to describe the limit  $\epsilon/t_1 \ll 1$  of strong interactions. The self-consistent diagrams in Fig. [3](#page-3-1) give the self-energy

$$
\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}_1} g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}_1}^2 G(\mathbf{K}_1, \omega - \omega_{\mathbf{k}'}^s - \omega_{-\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}_1}^s)
$$
  
-2
$$
\sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{q}_1} G(\mathbf{K}_1, \omega - \omega_{\mathbf{k}'}^s - \omega_{-\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}_1}^s) h_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_2}
$$
  
×
$$
G(\mathbf{K}_2, \omega - \omega_{\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}_2}^s - \omega_{-\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}_1}^s) g_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_1+\mathbf{q}_2},
$$
 (16)

with  $\mathbf{K}_n = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1 - \dots - \mathbf{q}_n$ . Thus, our field theoretical approach amounts to calculating the holon Green's function by solving Eqs. [\(15\)](#page-2-7) and [\(16\)](#page-3-2) self-consistently, which includes an infinite number of spinon excitations created by the holon.

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>III. HOLON PROPERTIES

We now describe the properties of a holon dynamics captured by its spectral function

$$
A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -2\mathrm{Im}[G(\mathbf{k}, \omega)],\tag{17}
$$

which gives the energy spectrum of a holon injected with momentum **k** into the spin liquid. As detailed in App. [A,](#page-10-0) it turns out that the second diagram in Fig. [3](#page-3-1) has only small effects on the self-energy and since it is computationally quite demanding to evaluate, it is omitted in the following.

Initiating the investigation in the weak coupling regime, we plot in Fig.  $4(a)$  $4(a)$  the holon spectral function



<span id="page-3-3"></span>FIG. 4. (a) Spectral function of the holon for two different crystal momenta and the interaction strength  $\epsilon/t_1 = 1$ . (b) Spectral function for crystal momenta along the path in the Brillouin zone shown in Fig.  $2(b)$  $2(b)$ . The green dashed line is the bare holon dispersion given by Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1).

<span id="page-3-2"></span>for  $\epsilon/t_1 = 1$  and two different momenta obtained by numerically solving Eqs.  $(15)$  and  $(16)$  self-consistently on a  $32 \times 32$  lattice. We see that the spectral function exhibits a clear quasiparticle peak for both momenta. Figure  $4(b)$  $4(b)$ shows that the quasiparticle is well-defined for all crystal momenta along the specified path in the Brillouin zone. The energy of this quasiparticle is due to interactions with the spinons shifted by a constant relative to the bare holon dispersion given by the green dashed line. We note that for all results, the spectral function obeys the sum rule  $\int d\omega A_h(\mathbf{p}, \omega) = 2\pi$  with less than 1% deviation confirming the accuracy of our numerics.

Figure  $5(a)$  $5(a)$  shows that for intermediate interactions  $\epsilon/t_1$  = 0.5, the quasiparticle peak in holon spectral function has lost significant spectral weight to a manybody continuum. Indeed, the quasiparticle is completely washed out for momenta around  $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0)$ , which can be attributed to the emissions of spinon pairs as described by the first Feynman diagram in Fig. [3.](#page-3-1) The on-shell energies of these emissions form a continuum between  $\min/\max \{ \omega_{\mathbf{k-q}}^b + \omega_{\mathbf{k'}^s}^s + \omega_{-\mathbf{k'+q}}^s \}$ , shown as orange dashed lines in Fig.  $5(a)$  $5(a)$ . We clearly see that the quasiparticle is heavily damped when its energy is inside this continuum.

Increasing the interacting strength further to  $\epsilon/t_1$  = 0.1, Fig.  $5(b)$  $5(b)$  shows that the quasiparticle peak is damped even more due to decay into spinon modes as ex-





<span id="page-4-1"></span>FIG. 6. (a) The holon spectral function for crystal momentum  $(\pi, \pi)$  as a function of the interaction strength  $\epsilon/t_1$ . (b) Energy of the three lowest bands in (a) replotted as a function of  $(\epsilon/t_1)^{2/3}$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>FIG. 5. Spectral function of the holon along the same path in the Brillouin zone as in Fig. [4,](#page-3-3) but now for intermediate interaction strength  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0.5$  (a) and strong interaction strength  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0.1$  (b). The dashed green line in (a) is again the bare holon dispersion given by Eq. [\(11\)](#page-2-1) whereas the orange lines indicate the boundaries of the spinon-holon many-body continuum. The red dashed line in (b) is a fit to the holon dispersion, see App. [E.](#page-12-0)

pected. We find that the width of the quasiparticle band is approximately given by  $3.9\epsilon/t_1$ , i.e. increasing the interaction strength decreases the bandwidth. This reflects that increasing the dressing of the holon by spinon modes results in a heavier quasiparticle. Such significant dressing cannot be captured by mean-field approaches, which in stark contrast predict a holon quasiparticle with a dispersion scaling as  $~ t_1$  [\[30,](#page-13-20) [51\]](#page-14-13). Our results are on the other hand consistent with MPS calculations for a chiral spin liquid predicting similar features for the physical hole  $[26]$ . We will analyse the properties of a physical hole in Sec. [IV.](#page-5-0)

# <span id="page-4-2"></span>A. String excitations

Intriguingly, one can also see several additional bands at higher energy energies in Fig. [5\(](#page-4-0)b). To investigate

the origin of these bands, we plot Fig.  $6(a)$  $6(a)$  the spectral function for momentum  $\mathbf{p} = (\pi, \pi)$  as a function of  $\epsilon/t_1$ , clearly demonstrating that more excited states emerge with increasing interaction strength. Figure  $6(b)$  $6(b)$  shows that the energy of the ground state and these excited states scale as  $(\epsilon/t_1)^{2/3}$  for low  $\epsilon/t_1$ . For a hole in an AFM, such a scaling is interpreted as a smoking gun for the presence of so-called string excitations [\[52\]](#page-14-14). The basic mechanism is that the hole leaves a trace of frustrated spins in its wake as it moves through the AFM, which means that it experiences a linear potential. This gives rise to string states with the characteristic energy scaling in the continuum limit. Based on this, we conjecture that the bands in Fig.  $5(b)$  $5(b)$  also arise from string excitations of the holon. While there is no local magnetic order in a spin liquid, the holon still distorts its surroundings by creating pairs of spinon excitations as it moves though the lattice. This is explicitly evident in Eq.  $(10)$  and Fig. [3,](#page-3-1) and is illustrated in Fig.  $1(a)$  $1(a)$ . The result is that the holon experiences a linear potential in real space leading to the excited string states visible in Fig. [5\(](#page-4-0)b). To support this hypothesis further, we plot in Fig. [6](#page-4-1) the energies of the excited states as a function of the spinon excitation energy averaged over the Brillouin zone  $\omega_{avg}^s = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^s / N$ . The different colors correspond to calculations with different ratios of  $\Delta_1/\epsilon$ . Figure [6](#page-4-1) shows that these different calculations collapse on a single line corresponding to the excitation energy scaling as  $(\omega_{avg}^s/t_1)^{2/3}$ . This confirms that the low-energy states can be understood as string excitations coming from the holon breaking spin



FIG. 7. Excitation energy  $E_1 - E_0$  of the lowest string band for momentum  $(\pi, \pi)$  as a function of  $(\omega_{avg}^s/t_1)^{2/3}$ .  $\omega_{avg}^s$  is the average energy of a spinon, and  $E_0$  is the energy of excitation at  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0$ .

singlets into individual spinons so that it experiences a linear potential with a strength  $\propto \omega_{avg}^s$ . In App. [B,](#page-10-1) we further investigate the nature of these string excitations and find that they are suppressed if one increases the average length of the spin singlets.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>IV. PROPERTIES OF PHYSICAL HOLES

We are now ready to explore the properties of the physical holes, which are the ones observable in experiments. To do this, we consider the hole Green's function

$$
G_{h\sigma}(\mathbf{k},\tau) = -i\theta(\tau)\langle\{\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau),\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(0)\}\rangle
$$

$$
= -i\theta(\tau)\langle\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau)\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(0)\rangle = \theta(\tau)G_h^>(\mathbf{k},\tau). \tag{18}
$$

The second identify follows from the fact that only the single hole injected is present in the lattice, and it is crucial for enabling us to describe the non-equilibrium dynamics without having to use more cumbersome Keldysh techniques, as will be exploited in Sec. [IV B.](#page-6-0)

Using the parton construction, the hole Green's function becomes a two-body Green's function

$$
G_h(\mathbf{k},\tau) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1,\mathbf{q}_2} \left\langle \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}_2,\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau) \hat{b}_{\mathbf{q}_2}(\tau) \hat{b}_{\mathbf{q}_1}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}_1,\sigma} \right\rangle, (19)
$$

describing a holon-spinon "particle-hole" bubble. Here and in the following, we suppress the spin index on the Green's function since it does not depend on the direction of the spin due to the SU(2) spin symmetry of the Fermi-Hubbard model. Writing it in terms of the rotated spinons gives

$$
G_h(\mathbf{k}, \tau) = \frac{-1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2} v_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1} v_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_2}
$$

$$
\langle \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2, \downarrow}(\tau) \hat{b}_{\mathbf{q}_2}(\tau) \hat{b}_{\mathbf{q}_1}^{\dagger} \hat{\gamma}_{-\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}_1, \downarrow}^{\dagger} \rangle. \tag{20}
$$

Since  $G_h(\mathbf{k}, \tau)$  is now transformed into a two-body Green's function due to the parton construction, it is in general very challenging to calculate. Here, we resort to approximating it with the lowest-order diagram

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
G_h(\mathbf{k},\omega) \approx \frac{1}{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} v_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^2 G(\mathbf{q},\omega - \omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^s),
$$
\n(21)

which describes the uncorrelated motion of a spinon and a holon. We find that this approximation obeys the frequency sum rule for the resulting hole spectral function within 1% and we expect it to describe the most important physics for the present purposes. It does not capture exotic features such as the possibility of spinonholon bound states, which however likely will have a small spectral weight due to their small Franck-Condon overlap with a free hole. Such bound states will be examined in a future work.

### <span id="page-5-5"></span>A. Spectral properties

To explore the spectral properties of the physical hole, measurable with ARPES  $[26, 27]$  $[26, 27]$ , we plot in Fig.  $8(a)$  $8(a)$  its spectral function

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
A_h(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -2\mathrm{Im}\left[G_h(\mathbf{k}, \omega)\right] \tag{22}
$$

<span id="page-5-4"></span>calculated numerically from Eqs.  $(21)-(22)$  $(21)-(22)$  for the case of weak interactions  $\epsilon/t_1 = 1$ . We clearly see a quasiparticle like band, which however is significantly broadened compared to the corresponding holon quasiparticle band in Fig. [4\(](#page-3-3)b). It follows from Eq.  $(21)$  that the hole spectral function  $A_h(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$  is non-zero for energies satisfying  $\omega \approx \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^{\text{QP}} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^s$ . The dispersion of the hole band is in fact to a very good approximation given by

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{h} = \omega_{\mathbf{k} + \boldsymbol{\pi}}^{\mathrm{QP}} + \omega_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}^{s}
$$
 (23)

with  $\pi = (\pi, \pi)$  as shown by the green dashed line in Fig.  $8(a)$  $8(a)$ . This can be understood from the fact that the spinon spectrum shown in Fig.  $2(a)$  $2(a)$  has two Van Hove singularities at the center and corners of the Brillouin zone. While the coherence factor  $v_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^2$  in Eq. [\(21\)](#page-5-1) vanishes at the origin suppressing its contribution to the hole spectral function, the Van Hove singularity at  $\pi$  gives rise to a large contribution to the hole spectral function, which in turn gives a band with a dispersion given by Eq. [\(23\)](#page-5-3). Similar features were reported in Ref. [\[27\]](#page-13-15), where a hole in a Kitaev spin liquid was investigated using MPS methods.

In order to explore further how the spinons affect the properties of the hole, we plot in Fig. [8\(](#page-6-1)b) its spectral function where we have used the same parameters as in Fig.  $8(a)$  $8(a)$  except for a smaller pairing potential  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow 0.1 \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ . Since the length of the singlets is determined by the BCS coherence length  $\propto 1/\Delta$ , this makes them longer, see App. [B.](#page-10-1) Figure  $8(b)$  $8(b)$  shows that spectral function of the physical hole in turn becomes much



<span id="page-6-1"></span>FIG. 8. (a) Spectral function of a physical hole along the same path in the Brillouin zone as in Fig. [4](#page-3-3) for interaction strength  $\epsilon/t_1 = 1$  and  $\Delta_1 = 1.1\epsilon$ . The green dashed line is Eq. [\(23\)](#page-5-3). In panel (b) the pairing field is taken to be ten times smaller with the top green dashed line showing Eq.  $(23)$  and the bottom green dashed line  $\omega = \omega_{\mathbf{k}+(0,\pi)}^{\text{QP}} + \omega_{(0,\pi)}^s$ .

broader no with clearly visible band except for small momenta, reflecting the increased dressing of the underlying holon by spinons. The many-body continuum of the hole spectral function is approximately bounded from below by the energy  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}+\ell}^{\text{QP}}$  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}+(0,\pi)}^{\mathbf{Q}P} + \omega_{(0,\pi)}^s$ , which comes form a new Van Hove singularity in the spinon spectrum at  $\mathbf{k} = (0, \pi)$ . These results show that the hole spectrum is broadened with less visible energy bands for spin liquids with longer singlets and a softer spinon spectrum.

In Sec. [III A,](#page-4-2) we saw that the holon spectrum exhibits string excitations and we now investigate whether they are present also for the physical hole. Figure [9](#page-6-2) shows the hole spectral function for strong interactions  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0.1$ again taking  $\Delta_1/\epsilon = 1.8$  where the spectral function of the holon in Fig.  $5(b)$  $5(b)$  exhibits clear string excitation bands. While the spectrum as expected is quite broad, there is still a band visible with an energy given by Eq. [\(23\)](#page-5-3) shown by the green dashed line. Importantly, we also see distinct bands at higher energies and as analysed further



<span id="page-6-2"></span>FIG. 9. (a) Spectral function of a physical hole for momentum  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$  and strong coupling  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0.1$ . The arrow indicates a string excitation. In (b), the spectral function is plotted along the same path in the Brillouin zone as in Fig. [4.](#page-3-3) The green dashed line shows Eq. [\(23\)](#page-5-3).

in App. [D,](#page-12-1) they are a direct consequence of the string excitations of the holon. Hence, we conclude that the hole exhibits string excitations when it interacts strongly with a surrounding spin liquids. We note that similar peaks at excited energies have previously been interpreted as bound states between a spinon and a holon [\[30,](#page-13-20) [31,](#page-13-26) [51\]](#page-14-13).

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>B. Real space non-equilibrium dynamics

In a recent ground breaking optical lattice experiment, the non-equilibrium motion of a hole after its release from a given lattice site in an AFM was explored in real space with single site resolution [\[29\]](#page-13-18). In light of this experiment and the prospects of realising spin liquids in optical lattices  $[14-18]$  $[14-18]$ , we therefore now turn to investigating the real-space dynamics following a sudden insertion of a hole.

Concretely, we calculate the hole Green's function  $G_h^>(\mathbf{r},\tau) = -i\langle \hat{c}_{\mathbf{r},\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau) \hat{c}_{\mathbf{0},\sigma} \rangle$  in real space and time, which can be obtained from  $G_h^>(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$  by Fourier transforming. As discussed below Eq.  $(18)$ , this gives us access to the non-equilibrium dynamics described by the overlap of taking out a bare hole at site r and time  $\tau > 0$  after it was inserted at the origin at time  $\tau = 0$ . Note that in order to describe all dynamics, one needs the full time-dependent



<span id="page-7-0"></span>FIG. 10. The expansion of the hole throughout the lattice at times  $\tau = 5/t_1$  (a) and  $\tau = 10/t_1$  (b) after it was injected at the origin, as parameterized by  $|G_h^{\succ}|$ . The interaction strength is  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0.25$ .

many-body wave function which is a much harder problem [\[50\]](#page-14-12). However, the bare hole dynamics described by  $G_h^>(\mathbf{r},\tau)$  is sufficient to explore the long time dynamics as has been successfully exploited both for magnetic polarons in AFMs [\[50,](#page-14-12) [53\]](#page-14-15) and for polarons in atomic gases [\[54,](#page-14-16) [55\]](#page-14-17).

In Fig. [10,](#page-7-0) we show an example of such calculations. We plot the the overlap  $|G_h^{\rangle}|$  in real space for two different times after the hole has been injected at the origin at time  $\tau = 0$  for the interaction strength  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0.25$ . This clearly shows how the hole spreads out through the lattice in a dynamical fashion. Note that the accumulated probability obtained by integrating the signal over the entire lattice is much larger in Fig.  $10(a)$  $10(a)$  than in Fig.  $10(b)$  $10(b)$ . This reflects that the hole becomes increasingly dressed with spinons as it moves through the lattice, so that the total probability of observing a bare hole decreases. The slight symmetry breaking barely visible in the lower panel is an artifact of the gauge choice in the mean-field description of the QSL.

In Fig.  $11(a)$  $11(a)$ , we plot the rms distance of the hole from its initial position at the origin defined as

$$
d_{\rm rms}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{\mathbf{r}} r^2 |G_h^>(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2}{\sum_{\mathbf{r}} |G_h^>(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2}}.
$$
 (24)

The denominator takes care of the decreasing probability to observe a bare hole with time, and it is easy to see that  $d_{\text{rms}}$  is gauge invariant. Figure [11\(](#page-7-1)a) clearly show how the expansion of the hole slows down with increasing interaction strength  $\epsilon/t_1$ . Physically, the reason is that the hole becomes increasingly dressed by spinons making it heavier. Indeed, similar results were found regarding the expansion of a hole in an AFM both theoretically [\[50,](#page-14-12) [53,](#page-14-15) [56\]](#page-14-18) and experimentally [\[29\]](#page-13-18).

To quantify this, we plot in Fig.  $11(a)$  $11(a)$  the expansion speed  $v_{\rm rms} = \partial_{\tau} d_{\rm rms}$  as a function of time for different interaction strengths. This shows that after some initial non-trivial dynamics, the hole expands ballistically for long times with a speed that decreases with increasing



<span id="page-7-1"></span>FIG. 11. (a) The rms distance from its initial position of an expanding hole defined by Eq. [\(24\)](#page-7-2) as a function of time for different interaction strengths. (b) The associated long-time expansion speed as a function of interaction strength (blue line). The red line gives the bandwidth of the lowest hole quasiparticle band.



<span id="page-7-2"></span>FIG. 12. The rms distance as a function of time for the interaction strength  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0.25$  and different pairing strengths  $\Delta_1/\epsilon$ .

interaction strength. Figure  $11(b)$  $11(b)$  plots the final velocity of this ballistic expansion as a function of the interaction strength, which clearly shows this decrease. We also plot in Fig. [11\(](#page-7-1)b) the bandwidth of the lowest band of the hole spectral function obtained from plots like those in Sec. [IV A.](#page-5-5) The agreement between these two quantities in Fig.  $11(b)$  $11(b)$  demonstrates that for long times, the hole expands as a quasiparticle with a dispersion determined by the lowest band in its spectral function. As this band becomes flatter with increasing interaction strength reflecting to dressing of the bare hole with spinons, it slows down.



<span id="page-8-1"></span>FIG. 13. Spectral function of a hole along the same path in the Brillouin zone as in Fig. [4](#page-3-3) for  $J_1/t_1 = 0.3$ ,  $J_2/J_1 = 0.15$ , and  $t_2/t_1 = -\sqrt{J_2/J_1}$ , where the system is in an AFM phase.

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>V. THE HOLE AS A QUANTUM PROBE

A central problem regarding QSLs is how to detect them. Armed with our field theoretical formalism capable of describing both equilibrium and non-equilibrium dynamics, we will in this section suggest two ways to use a hole as a quantum probe for spin liquids.

In order to do this, we compare the spectral as well as expansion properties of a hole in a QSL with those in an AFM, which is the ground state of the Heisenberg model  $\hat{H}_J$  for small  $J_2$  [\[34,](#page-13-22) [35,](#page-13-23) [41\]](#page-14-4). To calculate the properties of a hole in an AFM, we use linear spin wave theory combined with the SCBA, which has been shown to be remarkably accurate both for the equilibrium and nonequilibrium properties  $[46, 48-50, 53, 57-63]$  $[46, 48-50, 53, 57-63]$  $[46, 48-50, 53, 57-63]$  $[46, 48-50, 53, 57-63]$  $[46, 48-50, 53, 57-63]$  $[46, 48-50, 53, 57-63]$ . We refer the reader to Refs.  $[50, 53, 62, 63]$  $[50, 53, 62, 63]$  $[50, 53, 62, 63]$  $[50, 53, 62, 63]$  $[50, 53, 62, 63]$  $[50, 53, 62, 63]$  and App. [C](#page-11-0) for the technical details of this method.

Let us first compare the spectral properties of a hole in an AFM and in a QSL. In Fig. [13,](#page-8-1) we show the spectral function of the hole in an AFM for  $J_2/J_1 = 0.15$ ,  $t_2/t_1 = -\sqrt{J_2/J_1}$ , and  $J_1/t_1 = 0.3$ . Using  $J_1 \approx 3\epsilon$  for the QSL [\[45\]](#page-14-7), the latter ratio corresponds to  $\epsilon/t_1 \sim 0.1$ . It is therefore natural to compare Fig.  $9(b)$  $9(b)$ , which shows the spectral function of a hole in a QSL with  $\epsilon/t_1 \sim 0.1$ and  $J_2/J_1 = 1/2$ . We see that these two spectral functions are qualitatively different. Whereas the spectral function in the AFM phase has several sharp quasiparticle bands corresponding to a ground state quasiparticle and string excitations, which take up significant spectral weight, the spectral function in the QSL phase has significantly broader bands with a many-body continuum taking up much more weight. From this we conclude that the spectral function of a hole provides a promising way to detect a spin liquid. Similar results were reported in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-13-14), where the spectral function for a hole in a chiral spin liquid was calculated using MPS methods.

Next, we compare the expansion dynamics of a hole in an AFM with that in a spin liquid, which can be measured in cold atom experiments. Our main result is shown in Fig. [1,](#page-0-0) which plots the long time ballistic expansion speed  $v_{\rm rms}$  as a function of the ratio  $J_2/J_1$ , where we have assumed that the spins form an AFM for  $J_2/J_1 < 0.46$  indicated by the vertical black line, and a spin liquid for  $J_2/J_1 > 0.46$  [\[34–](#page-13-22)[36,](#page-14-22) [41,](#page-14-4) [43\]](#page-14-5). We see that the expansion speed of the hole increases with  $J_2/J_1$  in the AFM phase. This may seem surprising at first since increasing  $J_2/J_1$  leads to a softer spin-wave spectrum due to increased magnetic frustration, from which it would be tempting to conclude that the hole becomes more dressed and therefore slower in analogy with what happens in the QSL. The reason this does not occur is that a non-zero value of  $t_2 = -\sqrt{J_2/J_1t_1}$  means that the hole can hop to the next-nearest neighbors without distorting the AFM background. This gives rise to a bare hole dispersion, which starts to dominate the dynamics as the AFM order decreases with  $J_2/J_1$  eventually leading to an increase in ballistic expansion of a bare hole. At a fundamental level, the hole interacts with the AFM background by emitting or absorbing a *single* spin wave  $[46]$ , and these scattering processes become off-resonant when the characteristic energy of the bare hole dispersion is much larger than the spin wave energies, from which it follows that hole becomes less dressed.

The situation is qualitatively different in the QSL. Here, a basic interaction vertex corresponds to the emission or absorption of two spinons as can be seen in Eq.  $(10)$  and Fig.  $(3)$ . This makes resonant holon-spinon scattering possible even when the characteristic energy of the spinon spectrum is much smaller than that of the bare holon. It follows that the holon and therefore the hole is always heavily dressed by spinons for strong interactions, even when the characteristic energy of the bare holon dispersion is large, which slows it down. While we unfortunately cannot calculate the hole velocity for more values of  $J_2/J_1$  inside the QSL, since we are only aware of numerical results providing a mapping to the meanfield description for  $J_2/J_1 = 1/2$  [\[43\]](#page-14-5), our results do allow us to predict that the ballistic expansion velocity of a hole depends non-monotonically on the ratio  $J_2/J_1$ : In the AFM phase it increases whereas it becomes smaller again in the spin liquid phases as can be seen in Fig. [1.](#page-0-0) The maximum velocity of the hole is likely to occur close to the quantum phase transition between the AFM and the QSL, and since this result is due to the different kinematics in the hole-medium scattering in the two phases, we believe it is robust and not an artefact of any approximations. Hence, we conclude that observing the expansion dynamics of a hole is a promising way to detect the transition between and AFM and a QSL.

# <span id="page-9-0"></span>VI. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Inspired by the remarkable advances with experimental platforms based on optical lattices and 2D quantum materials, we explored the equilibrium and non-equilibrium properties of a hole in a QSL. Using a field theory approach, we identified the presence of quasiparticle stringlike states of the hole, originating from breaking up singlets into their constituent spinons. The hole was furthermore shown to expand ballistically as a quasiparticle throughout the QSL for long times after its injection at a given lattice site, with a velocity exhibiting a characteristic non-monotonic behavior as a quantum phase transition between the AFM and the QSL phases is crossed. As such, our results suggests promising new ways to use the hole as a quantum probe for QSLs.

In addition to being relevant for new experiments exploring atoms in optical lattices and 2D quantum materials, our paper opens up several new research directions. This includes examining the possible presence of holonspinon bound states, which in our field theory approach should show up as poles in the holon-spinon scattering matrix. It would be interesting to connect such calculations with earlier results stating that bound spinon-holon states are caused by an attractive interaction mediated by gauge fluctuations [ [5\]](#page-13-19). Another intriguing problem concerns the role of gauge fluctuations on the hole properties. While such gauge fluctuations likely are not important for the present  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  QSL where they are gapped [\[8\]](#page-13-24) and the low-energy spectrum of the mean-field description is similar to that after projection [\[45\]](#page-14-7), it would be interesting to compare with calculations performed using VMC [\[64\]](#page-14-23) and MPS methods [\[26](#page-13-14) , [27\]](#page-13-15). Gauge fluctuations are moreover crucial for describing other QSLs such as those realised in triangular lattices [ [8\]](#page-13-24). Finally, it would be very interesting to explore the binding of two holes in a QSL, which could lead to new and exotic superconductors/superfluids for a non-zero hole concentration.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Center of Excellence "CCQ" (Grant Agreement No. DNRF156), as well as by the Carlsberg Foundation through a Carlsberg Internationalisation Fellowship, Grant No. CF21 0410. J.H.N. would like to thank Leon Balents and Federico Becca for useful comments and discussions.



<span id="page-10-2"></span>FIG. 14. Spectral function of the holon calculated using both types of diagrams from Fig. [3](#page-3-1) (full line) and only type 1 (dashed line). These calculations are performed with  $\epsilon/t_1$  = 1/20 for  $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, \pi)$  in panel (a),  $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0)$  in panel (b), and a lattice size of 8x8. Except for small discrepancies at the top of the spectrum, we find that the overall behavior does not change by including the type 2 diagrams. This demonstrates the general tendency.

# <span id="page-10-0"></span>Appendix A: Extended self-consistent Born approximation

In this appendix, we investigate what happens if we include the type 2 diagrams from Fig. [3](#page-3-1) when we calculate the Green's function of the holon, Eq. [\(15\)](#page-2-7). Looking at type 1 and 2, we see that type 2 is a higher order diagram, with the order referring to the number of vertices. When including these diagrams, we, therefore, ensure that we keep the same order of diagrams. We do this by first including both types of diagrams in the iterative calculations, and then after 2/3 of the iterations we only include type 1. By including the type 2 diagrams, we cannot go much beyond a lattice size of 8x8, but in Fig. [14](#page-10-2) we see that including both types compared to only type 1 does not change the overall behavior of the spectral function. These two results represent the general tendencies. For the calculation in the main text we hence only include the type 1 diagrams in order to perform the calculations for larger system sizes.

# <span id="page-10-1"></span>Appendix B: String excitations

In this Appendix, we further investigate the nature of the string excitations. We do this by tuning the pairing potentials  $\Delta_1/\epsilon$  while keeping  $\Delta_1/\Delta_2$  constant. In doing this the average excitation energy of the spinons,  $\omega_{avg}^{s}$  decreases, and we retrieve a softer spinon spectrum.



<span id="page-10-4"></span>FIG. 15. Cooper pair length, Eq. [\(B1\)](#page-10-3), For changing pairing potentials. As  $\Delta_{\bf k}/t$  is increased, the average length of the cooper pairs is lowered and flattens out around  $\Delta_1/\epsilon = 1$ . In the inset, we find the strength of string potential plotted as a function of  $\Delta_1/\epsilon = 1$ . This depends linearly on  $\Delta_1/\epsilon = 1$ , indicating that the energy penalty of breaking up the cooper pairs increases with  $\Delta_1/\epsilon = 1$ .



<span id="page-10-5"></span>FIG. 16. Holon spectral function with  $\epsilon/t_1 = 0.5$ ,  $t_2/t_1 =$  $-\sqrt{0.5}$ , and  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \to 0.1 \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$  for a system size of 32x32. Comparing with Fig. [5](#page-4-0) we see that the QP bandwidth has decreased with a factor of 3 and that fewer crystal momenta state has a well-defined peak.

This results in a background with longer cooper pairs and hence longer singlets, which is seen in Fig. [15](#page-10-4) by plotting the average length of the cooper pairs,

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{\mathbf{d}} |\Delta_{\mathbf{d}}|^2 |\mathbf{d}|^2}{\sum_{\mathbf{d}} |\Delta_{\mathbf{d}}|^2}}, \ \Delta_{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{r}} \langle \hat{f}_{\mathbf{r},\uparrow} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{d},\downarrow} \rangle, \qquad (B1)
$$

as a function of  $\Delta_1/\epsilon$ . In an environment with more low-lying excitations, we also find a much heavier quasiparticle, See Fig. [16.](#page-10-5) With the average cost of exciting the spinons decreasing, we would then expect the linear potential, given by the slope in Fig. [6,](#page-4-1) to decrease. This slope is plotted in the inset in Fig. [16,](#page-10-5) and we see just that. Another feature found is that, by decreasing the pairing potential, we need a larger interaction strength before the string excitations start to appear. In Fig. [17](#page-11-1) we show results for  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \to 0.1 \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$  similar to those presented in Fig.  $6(b)$  $6(b)$ . Here, we see that the first excited states at an interaction strength below  $\epsilon/t_1 \sim 1/16$ , and the ones corresponding to the third pole in Fig.  $6(a)$  $6(a)$ do not show for the  $\epsilon/t_1$  values shown. This behavior is



<span id="page-11-1"></span>FIG. 17. Illustrating the importante of the pairing potential, we plot in panel (a) the poles of the Green's function as a function of the interaction strength with  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \to 0.1 \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ . The excited states do not show before  $\epsilon/t_1 \sim 1/16$ , which is at a three times larger interaction strength compared to the results presented in Fig. [6\(](#page-4-1)b). Panel (b) summarizes the behavior by showing the interaction strength needed for the string excitations to show when the pairing potentials are changed. The red line illustrates when then string excitations show, and is the boundary between the blue region where no string excitations are present and the beige-colored region with string excitations present.

summarized in Fig.  $17(b)$  $17(b)$ , indicating for which parameters string excitations occur. This, therefore, means that the tightly bound cooper pairs promote the formation of these states. We believe the reason for this is the much heavier holon quasiparticle which hinders the formation of the strings.

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>Appendix C: Linear spin wave theory

In this appendix, we will use the Linear Spin Wave Theory (LSWT) on the  $t-J_1-J_2$  given by Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-4) and [\(3\)](#page-1-1). Considering a single dopant, we will proceed as done [\[46,](#page-14-8) [48,](#page-14-10) [50,](#page-14-12) [53,](#page-14-15) [57,](#page-14-19) [58,](#page-14-24) [62,](#page-14-21) [63\]](#page-14-20), which utilizes a generalized Holstein-Primarkorff. This relys on the system supporting AFM order, such that we can define two sublattices where the spins predominantly point up on sublattice A and down on sublattice B. Using this, we express the operators on sublattice A as  $\hat{S}^z_{l,i} = (1 - \hat{h}^{\dagger}_{l,i} \hat{h}_{l,i})/2 - \hat{s}^{\dagger}_{l,i} \hat{s}_{l,i}$ and  $\hat{S}_{l,i}^{-} = \hat{S}_{l,i}^{x} - i\hat{S}_{l,i}^{y} = \hat{s}_{l,i}^{\dagger} (1 - \hat{s}_{l,i}^{\dagger} \hat{s}_{l,i} - \hat{h}_{l,i}^{\dagger} \hat{h}_{l,i})^{1/2}$ , and the creation operators are  $\tilde{c}_{l,i,\downarrow} = \hat{h}_{l,i}^{\dagger} \hat{s}_{l,i}$  and  $\tilde{c}_{l,i,\uparrow}$ 



<span id="page-11-2"></span>FIG. 18. The average magnetization,  $2| \langle S_z \rangle | = 1 - 2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 / N$ , calculated within LSWT. This predicts a phase transition to occur at  $J_2/J_1 = 0.38$ .

 $\hat{h}_{l,i}^{\dagger}(1-\hat{s}_{l,i}^{\dagger}\hat{s}_{l,i}-\hat{h}_{l,i}^{\dagger}\hat{h}_{l,i})^{1/2}$ . Opposite to the slave-boson description used in the main text,  $\hat{h}_{l,i}^{\dagger}$  is a fermionic creation operator of a hole, and  $\hat{s}^{\dagger}_{l,i}$  is a bosonic creation operator describing derivation from the AFM ordered state. Similar relations are used for sublattice B.

Using these expressions and keeping only linear terms, we can diagonalize J-part of the Hamiltonian to find

$$
\hat{H}_J = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^m \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} + E_0,\tag{C1}
$$

with

$$
\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\tilde{J}^{2} - (\alpha_{1} J_{1} z \gamma_{\mathbf{k}})^{2}},
$$
  

$$
\tilde{J}_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv z \left( J_{1} + J_{2} \left[ \alpha_{2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}' - 1 \right] \right),
$$
 (C2)

for  $z = 4$  ands  $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\delta} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \delta} / z$  and  $\gamma'_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\delta'} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \delta'} / z$  being the structure factor for NN and NNN terms respectively. The spin wave operators,  $\hat{b}$ , is related the spin fluctuations,  $\hat{s}$ , by a Bogoliubov transformation

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \hat{s}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \hat{s}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{\mathbf{k}} & -v_{\mathbf{k}} \\ -v_{\mathbf{k}} & u_{\mathbf{k}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix},
$$
(C3)

where  $u_{\mathbf{k}}$  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{J}/(2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{m})+1)}$  and  $v_{\mathbf{k}}$  =

sgn  $[\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}] \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{J}/(2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^m) - 1)}$  are the coherence factors. Looking at Fig. [18,](#page-11-2) we see that this approach qualitative captures the behavior when  $J_2$  is increased. This predicts a phase transition to occur at  $J_2/J_1 = 0.38$ , which is not far from the predictions made with more advanced methods. This yields it to be around  $J_2/J_1 \sim 0.46$ [\[34–](#page-13-22)[37\]](#page-14-0).

With the described transformations, the kinetic term takes the form

$$
\hat{H}_t = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^p \hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}} g(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \hat{h}_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{h}_{\mathbf{p}} \left( \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \tag{C4}
$$

with

$$
g(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{zt_1}{\sqrt{N}} \left[ u_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}} - v_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{p}} \right],\tag{C5}
$$



<span id="page-12-2"></span>FIG. 19. Same plot as in Fig. [1,](#page-0-0) but where we have omitted the bare dispersion of the hole in the AFM phase. As we approach the phase transition from the AFM side, the hole experiences a slow-down instead of a speed-up as in Fig. [1.](#page-0-0)

and

$$
\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^p = 2t_2 \left[ \cos \left( k_x + k_y \right) + \cos \left( k_x - k_y \right) \right]. \tag{C6}
$$

For a finite  $J_2$  we hence get a bare dispersion corresponding to the hole jumping within the same sublattice. Proceeding as done in the literature  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$  $[46, 48, 50, 53, 57, 58,$ [62,](#page-14-21) [63\]](#page-14-20), we can use the above expressions to calculate the Green's function,

$$
G(\mathbf{k},\tau) = -i \left\langle T_{\tau} \left[ \hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \hat{h}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}(0) \right] \right\rangle, \tag{C7}
$$

of a single hole with the SCBA approximation.

Investigation the nature of the rms speed-up as we approach the phase transition, we find that by neglecting the bare dispersion of the hole we retrieve an rmsvelocity which decreases with interaction strength, see Fig. [19.](#page-12-2) This we ascribe to the fact that, with an increasing  $J_2/J_1$  the spinon spectrum gets increasingly softer around  $\mathbf{p} = (\pi, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{p} = (0, \pi)$ .

### <span id="page-12-1"></span>Appendix D: String excitations for hole

In this appendix, we show that indeed the higher excitation peaks in the hole's spectral function have the characteristic two-third-scaling associated with string excitations. This is seen in Fig. [20,](#page-12-3) where we plot the energy of the peak as a function of  $(\epsilon/t_1)^{2/3}$ . Here, we see the linear behavior and in addition, we see that the energy is given by the energy of the holon string peak plus  $\omega_{(\pi,\pi)}$ corresponding to the Van Hove singularity discussed in the main part. This shows that the excitation peaks in the hole spectral function come from the string excitations of the holon.



<span id="page-12-3"></span>FIG. 20. Excitation energy of the excitation peak from the hole spectral function for  $\mathbf{p} = (0,0)$  in orange. Equivalent to Eq. [\(23\)](#page-5-3), the blue data points show the energy of the holon excitation peak for  $\mathbf{p} = (\pi, \pi)$  added to  $\omega_{(\pi, \pi)}$  coming from the Van Hove singularity discussed in the main part. This is plotted as a function of  $(\epsilon/t_1)^{2/3}$ .

### <span id="page-12-0"></span>Appendix E: Quasiparticle band fit

Interestingly, we find that the lowest quasiparticle band can be fitted to the form

$$
\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\text{QP}} = \tilde{t}_1(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) + \tilde{t}_2[\cos (k_x + k_y) + \cos (k_x - k_y)] + \tilde{t}_3(\cos 2k_x + \cos 2k_y),
$$
\n(E1)

shown as the red dashed line in Fig. [5\(](#page-4-0)b), where  $\tilde{t}_2/\tilde{t}_1 \approx$ 0.15 and  $\tilde{t}_3/\tilde{t}_1 \approx -0.05$ . We find that these ratios are approximately constant for strong interaction strengths  $\epsilon/t_1 < 0.1$ .

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>[1] P. Anderson, Resonating valence bonds: A new kind of insulator?, [Materials Research Bulletin](https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-5408(73)90167-0) 8, 153 (1973).
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[2] L. Savary and L. Balents, Quantum spin liquids: A review, [Reports on Progress in Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/80/1/016502) 80, 016502 (2017).
- [3] C. Broholm, R. J. Cava, S. A. Kivelson, D. G. Nocera, M. R. Norman, and T. Senthil, Quantum spin liquids, Science 367[, eaay0668 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay0668)
- [4] V. Kalmeyer and R. B. Laughlin, Equivalence of the resonating-valence-bond and fractional quantum Hall states, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.2095) 59, 2095 (1987).
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>[5] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, Doping a Mott insulator: Physics of high-temperature superconductivity, [Reviews of Modern Physics](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.78.17) 78, 17 (2006).
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[6] J. Knolle and R. Moessner, A Field Guide to Spin Liquids, [Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031218-013401) 10, [451 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031218-013401)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[7] G. Baskaran, Z. Zou, and P. Anderson, The resonating valence bond state and high-Tc superconductivity  $- A$ mean field theory, [Solid State Communications](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(87)90642-9) 63, 973 [\(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(87)90642-9)
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>[8] X.-G. Wen, Quantum orders and symmetric spin liquids, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.165113) 65, 165113 (2002).
- [9] D. Poilblanc, N. Schuch, D. Pérez-García, and J. I. Cirac, Topological and entanglement properties of resonating valence bond wave functions, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.014404) 86, [014404 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.014404)
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>[10] W.-J. Hu, F. Becca, A. Parola, and S. Sorella, Direct evidence for a gapless  $Z_2$  spin liquid by frustrating N $\acute{e}$ el antiferromagnetism, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.060402) 88, 060402 (2013).
- [11] Z. Y. Meng, T. C. Lang, S. Wessel, F. F. Assaad, and A. Muramatsu, Quantum spin liquid emerging in twodimensional correlated Dirac fermions, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08942) 464, 847 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08942)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[12] S. Yan, D. A. Huse, and S. R. White, Spin-Liquid Ground State of the  $S = 1/2$  Kagome Heisenberg Antiferromagnet, Science 332[, 1173 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201080)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[13] G. Semeghini, H. Levine, A. Keesling, S. Ebadi, T. T. Wang, D. Bluvstein, R. Verresen, H. Pichler, M. Kalinowski, R. Samajdar, A. Omran, S. Sachdev, A. Vishwanath, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, Probing topological spin liquids on a programmable quantum simulator, Science 374[, 1242 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi8794)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>[14] R. Yamamoto, H. Ozawa, D. C. Nak, I. Nakamura, and T. Fukuhara, Single-site-resolved imaging of ultracold atoms in a triangular optical lattice, [New Journal of](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abcdc8) Physics 22[, 123028 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abcdc8)
- [15] J. Yang, L. Liu, J. Mongkolkiattichai, and P. Schauss, Site-resolved imaging of ultracold fermions in a triangular-lattice quantum gas microscope, [PRX Quan](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.020344)tum 2[, 020344 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.2.020344)
- [16] B.-Y. Sun, N. Goldman, M. Aidelsburger, and M. Bukov, Engineering and Probing Non-Abelian Chiral Spin Liquids Using Periodically Driven Ultracold Atoms, [PRX](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.020329) Quantum 4[, 020329 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.4.020329)
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>[17] M. Lebrat, M. Xu, L. H. Kendrick, A. Kale, Y. Gang, P. Seetharaman, I. Morera, E. Khatami, E. Demler, and M. Greiner, Observation of nagaoka polarons in a fermi– hubbard quantum simulator, Nature 629[, 317 \(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07272-9)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>[18] M. L. Prichard, B. M. Spar, I. Morera, E. Demler, Z. Z. Yan, and W. S. Bakr, Directly imaging spin polarons in a kinetically frustrated hubbard system, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07356-6) 629, 323

[\(2024\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07356-6)

- <span id="page-13-8"></span>[19] H. Pan, F. Wu, and S. Das Sarma, Quantum phase di-agram of a moiré-hubbard model, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.201104)  $102$ , [201104 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.201104)
- [20] J. Zang, J. Wang, J. Cano, and A. J. Millis, Hartreefock study of the moiré hubbard model for twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides, [Phys. Rev. B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.075150) 104, [075150 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.075150)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>[21] N. Morales-Durán, N. C. Hu, P. Potasz, and A. H. Mac-Donald, Nonlocal interactions in moiré hubbard systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.217202) 128, 217202 (2022).
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>[22] A. Kitaev, Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond, [Annals of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2005.10.005) 321, 2 (2006).
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>[23] H.-C. Jiang, H. Yao, and L. Balents, Spin liquid ground state of the spin- 1 2 square J 1 - J 2 Heisenberg model, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.86.024424) 86, 024424 (2012).
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>[24] Y. Iqbal, W.-J. Hu, R. Thomale, D. Poilblanc, and F. Becca, Spin liquid nature in the Heisenberg J 1 - J 2 triangular antiferromagnet, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.144411) 93, [144411 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.93.144411)
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>[25] Z. Zhu and S. R. White, Spin liquid phase of the  $S = 1$ 2 J 1 - J 2 Heisenberg model on the triangular lattice, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.92.041105) 92, 041105 (2015).
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>[26] W. Kadow, L. Vanderstraeten, and M. Knap, Hole spectral function of a chiral spin liquid in the triangular lattice hubbard model, Phys. Rev. B 106[, 094417 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.094417)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>[27] Single-hole spectra of kitaev spin liquids: from dynamical nagaoka ferromagnetism to spin-hole fractionalization, [npj Quantum Materials](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-024-00641-7) 9, 32 (2024).
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>[28] J. Koepsell, J. Vijayan, P. Sompet, F. Grusdt, T. A. Hilker, E. Demler, G. Salomon, I. Bloch, and C. Gross, Imaging magnetic polarons in the doped Fermi–Hubbard model, Nature 572[, 358 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1463-1)
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>[29] G. Ji, M. Xu, L. H. Kendrick, C. S. Chiu, J. C. Brüggenjürgen, D. Greif, A. Bohrdt, F. Grusdt, E. Demler, M. Lebrat, and M. Greiner, Coupling a Mobile Hole to an Antiferromagnetic Spin Background: Transient Dynamics of a Magnetic Polaron, [Physical Review X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.021022) 11, [021022 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.021022)
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>[30] R. B. Laughlin, Numerical evidence for electron decay in the two-dimensional t-J model, [Journal of Low Temper](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00752323)[ature Physics](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00752323) 99, 443 (1995).
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>[31] P. Béran, D. Poilblanc, and R. Laughlin, Evidence for composite nature of quasiparticles in the 2D t-J model, [Nuclear Physics B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00196-4) 473, 707 (1996).
- [32] E. Tang, M. P. A. Fisher, and P. A. Lee, Low-energy behavior of spin-liquid electron spectral functions, [Physical](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.045119) Review B 87[, 045119 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.87.045119)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>[33] W. Rantner and X.-G. Wen, Electron Spectral Function and Algebraic Spin Liquid for the Normal State of Underdoped High T c Superconductors, [Physical Review Let](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3871)ters 86[, 3871 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.3871)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>[34] W.-Y. Liu, S.-S. Gong, Y.-B. Li, D. Poilblanc, W.-Q. Chen, and Z.-C. Gu, Gapless quantum spin liquid and global phase diagram of the spin- $1/2$  J 1 - J 2 square antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model, [Science Bulletin](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2022.03.010) 67, [1034 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2022.03.010)
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>[35] L. Wang and A. W. Sandvik, Critical Level Crossings and Gapless Spin Liquid in the Square-Lattice Spin- 1 / 2 J 1 - J 2 Heisenberg Antiferromagnet, [Physical Review](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.107202) Letters 121[, 107202 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.107202)
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>[36] Y. Nomura and M. Imada, Dirac-Type Nodal Spin Liquid Revealed by Refined Quantum Many-Body Solver Using Neural-Network Wave Function, Correlation Ratio, and Level Spectroscopy, [Physical Review X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.031034) 11, 031034 [\(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.11.031034)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[37] F. Ferrari and F. Becca, Gapless spin liquid and valencebond solid in the J 1 - J 2 Heisenberg model on the square lattice: Insights from singlet and triplet excitations, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.014417) 102, 014417 (2020).
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[38] T. Chalopin, P. Bojović, D. Bourgund, S. Wang, T. Franz, I. Bloch, and T. Hilker, [Optical superlattice](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2405.19322) [for engineering Hubbard couplings in quantum simula](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2405.19322)[tion](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2405.19322) (2024).
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[39] X.-Y. Song, A. Vishwanath, and Y.-H. Zhang, Doping the chiral spin liquid: Topological superconductor or chiral metal, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.165138) 103, 165138 (2021).
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[40] J. Brunkert and M. Punk, Slave-boson description of pseudogap metals in t - J models, [Physical Review Re](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043019)search 2[, 043019 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043019)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[41] F. Ferrari and F. Becca, Spectral signatures of fractionalization in the frustrated Heisenberg model on the square lattice, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.100405) 98, 100405 (2018).
- [42] F. Ferrari and F. Becca, Gapless spin liquid and valencebond solid in the J 1 - J 2 Heisenberg model on the square lattice: Insights from singlet and triplet excitations, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.014417) 102, 014417 (2020).
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[43] S.-L. Yu, W. Wang, Z.-Y. Dong, Z.-J. Yao, and J.-X. Li, Deconfinement of spinons in frustrated spin systems: Spectral perspective, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.134410) 98, 134410 [\(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.134410)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[44] X.-G. Wen, *Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Sys*tems: From the Origin of Sound to an Origin of Light and Electrons, repr ed., Oxford Graduate Texts (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010).
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[45] F. Ferrari and F. Becca, Dynamical Structure Factor of the J 1 - J 2 Heisenberg Model on the Triangular Lattice: Magnons, Spinons, and Gauge Fields, [Physical Review X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031026) 9[, 031026 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031026)
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[46] C. L. Kane, P. A. Lee, and N. Read, Motion of a single hole in a quantum antiferromagnet, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.39.6880) 39[, 6880 \(1989\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.39.6880)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[47] S. Jiang, D. J. Scalapino, and S. R. White, Ground-state phase diagram of the  $t-t$   $\prime$  -J model, [Proceedings of the](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109978118) [National Academy of Sciences](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2109978118) 118, e2109978118 (2021).
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>[48] G. Martinez and P. Horsch, Spin polarons in the  $t - J$ model, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.44.317) 44, 317 (1991).
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>[49] N. G. Diamantis and E. Manousakis, Dynamics of stringlike states of a hole in a quantum antiferromagnet: A diagrammatic Monte Carlo simulation, [New Journal of](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ac39b5) Physics 23[, 123005 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ac39b5)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>[50] K. K. Nielsen, T. Pohl, and G. M. Bruun, Nonequilibrium Hole Dynamics in Antiferromagnets: Damped

Strings and Polarons, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.246601) 129, [246601 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.246601)

- <span id="page-14-13"></span>[51] R. B. Laughlin, Evidence for Quasiparticle Decay in Photoemission from Underdoped Cuprates, [Physical Review](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.1726) Letters 79[, 1726 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.1726)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>[52] W. F. Brinkman and T. M. Rice, Single-Particle Excitations in Magnetic Insulators, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.2.1324) 2, 1324 [\(1970\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.2.1324)
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>[53] J. H. Nyhegn, K. K. Nielsen, and G. M. Bruun, Equilibrium and nonequilibrium dynamics of a hole in a bilayer antiferromagnet, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.155160) 106, 155160 (2022).
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>[54] M. G. Skou, T. G. Skov, N. B. Jørgensen, K. K. Nielsen, A. Camacho-Guardian, T. Pohl, G. M. Bruun, and J. J. Arlt, Non-equilibrium quantum dynamics and formation of the Bose polaron, [Nature Physics](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01184-5) 17, 731 (2021).
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>[55] K. K. Nielsen, L. A. P. Ardila, G. M. Bruun, and T. Pohl, Critical slowdown of non-equilibrium polaron dynamics, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ab0a81) 21, 043014 (2019).
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>[56] A. Bohrdt, F. Grusdt, and M. Knap, Dynamical formation of a magnetic polaron in a two-dimensional quantum antiferromagnet, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abcfee) 22, 123023 [\(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abcfee)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>[57] S. Schmitt-Rink, C. M. Varma, and A. E. Ruckenstein, Spectral Function of Holes in a Quantum Antiferromagnet, [Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.60.2793) 60, 2793 (1988).
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>[58] Z. Liu and E. Manousakis, Spectral function of a hole in the  $t - J$  model, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.44.2414) 44, 2414 (1991).
- [59] F. Marsiglio, A. E. Ruckenstein, S. Schmitt-Rink, and C. M. Varma, Spectral function of a single hole in a twodimensional quantum antiferromagnet, [Physical Review](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.10882) B 43[, 10882 \(1991\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.10882)
- [60] Z. Liu and E. Manousakis, Dynamical properties of a hole in a Heisenberg antiferromagnet, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.2425) 45, [2425 \(1992\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.2425)
- [61] A. L. Chernyshev and P. W. Leung, Holes in the t J z model: A diagrammatic study, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.1592) 60, [1592 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.1592)
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>[62] K. K. Nielsen, M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, T. Pohl, and G. M. Bruun, Spatial structure of magnetic polarons in strongly interacting antiferromagnets, [Physical Review B](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.155136) 104[, 155136 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.155136)
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>[63] J. H. Nyhegn, G. M. Bruun, and K. K. Nielsen, Wave function and spatial structure of polarons in an antiferromagnetic bilayer [10.48550/ARXIV.2305.05980](https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2305.05980) (2023).
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>[64] M. Charlebois and M. Imada, Single-Particle Spectral Function Formulated and Calculated by Variational Monte Carlo Method with Application to d -Wave Superconducting State, [Physical Review X](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.10.041023) 10, 041023 (2020).