

July 19, 2024

**AN APPLICATION OF abc -CONJECTURE TO A
CONJECTURE OF SCOTT AND STYER ON PURELY
EXPONENTIAL EQUATIONS**

MAOHUA LE AND TAKAFUMI MIYAZAKI

ABSTRACT. For any fixed relatively prime positive integers a, b and c greater than 1, we consider the equation $a^x + b^y = c^z$ in positive integers x, y and z . In this note, assuming the truth of abc -conjecture, for any fixed c we prove that there is at most one solution to the equation, except for only finitely many pairs of a and b . This answers a question in the paper of Miyazaki and Pink [T. Miyazaki and I. Pink, Number of solutions to a special type of unit equations in two unknowns, III, arXiv:2403.20037].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all positive integers. Let a, b and c be fixed relatively prime positive integers greater than 1. The equation

$$(1.1) \quad a^x + b^y = c^z, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$$

is a kind of basic and important ternary purely exponential Diophantine equations, and the study of it has a long and rich history (see [13, Ch. 1], [4, Sec. D10], [2, Ch. 4–6], [7]). In 1933, Mahler [8] used his p -adic analogue of the Diophantine approximation method of Thue-Siegel to prove that there are only finitely many solutions (x, y, z) to (1.1). But, his method is ineffective. Let $N(a, b, c)$ denote the number of solutions to (1.1). An effective upper bound for $N(a, b, c)$ was first given by Gel'fond [3], in which he used his original transcendental number theory method. Afterwards, as a straightforward consequence of an upper bound for the number of solutions to binary S -unit equations due to Beukers and Schlickewei [1], one obtains $N(a, b, c) \leq 2^{32}$. In recent years, researchers have obtained the following more accurate and general upper bounds for $N(a, b, c)$:

- (Scott and Styer [12]) If c is odd, then $N(a, b, c) \leq 2$.
- (Hu and Le [5, 6]) If $\max\{a, b, c\} > 5 \cdot 10^{27}$, then $N(a, b, c) \leq 3$. If $\max\{a, b, c\} > 10^{62}$ and c is even, then $N(a, b, c) \leq 2$.
- (Miyazaki and Pink [9]) If $\max\{a, b, c\} < 10^{62}$ and c is even, then $N(a, b, c) \leq 2$, except for $N(3, 5, 2) = N(5, 3, 2) = 3$.

Nevertheless, a few of the deeper problems on the number of solutions to (1.1) remain far from resolved. Of most interest in this regard is the following conjecture put forward by Scott and Styer [12]:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D61.

Key words and phrases. purely exponential equation, abc -conjecture.

Conjecture 1 ([12]). *Assume that none of a, b and c is a perfect power. Then there is at most one solution to equation (1.1), except when (a, b, c) or (b, a, c) belongs to the following set:*

$$(1.2) \quad \{ (3, 5, 2), (3, 13, 2), (2, 5, 3), (2, 7, 3), \\ (2, 3, 11), (3, 10, 13), (2, 3, 35), (2, 89, 91), \\ (2, 5, 133), (2, 3, 259), (3, 13, 2200), (2, 91, 8283), \\ (2, 2^r - 1, 2^r + 1) \},$$

where r is any positive integer with $r = 2$ or $r \geq 4$.

Obviously, previous problems with (1.1) (for instance, Jeśmanowicz' conjecture or Terai's conjecture, etc.) are special cases of the above conjecture. Although a lot of results on (1.1) in literature support the correctness of Conjecture 1, it is generally far from being resolved. In a word, it is a very difficult problem.

Very recently, Miyazaki and Pink [10, 11] have begun to study Conjecture 1 in particular for fixed values of c . In [11, Problem 2], they proposed the following problem to attack the conjecture.

Problem 1. *Fix the value of c , and prove Conjecture 1 in the following steps:*

Step 1 *Proving that $N(a, b, c) \leq 1$, except for only finitely many pairs of a and b .*

Step 2 *Finding a way to enumerate all possible pairs of a and b described as exceptional in Step 1 in a concrete finite time.*

Step 3 *Sieving all pairs of a and b found in Step 2 completely.*

According to the above problem, Miyazaki and Pink linked Conjecture 1 to the well-known abc -conjecture. In this respect, they proved the following two results:

Proposition 1.1 (Lemma 10.2 of [11]). *Assume that abc -conjecture is true. For any fixed c , there exists some constant \mathcal{C} depending only on c such that if $\max\{a, b\} > \mathcal{C}$ and equation (1.1) has two distinct solutions (x, y, z) and (X, Y, Z) , then $(x, y, X, Y) = (2, 1, 1, 2)$ or $(1, 2, 2, 1)$.*

Proposition 1.2 (Theorem 5 of [11]). *Assume that abc -conjecture is true. Let c be any fixed positive integer satisfying at least one of the following conditions:*

- $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ and c has no prime factor congruent to 1 modulo 3;
- c is a prime.

Then $N(a, b, c) \leq 1$, except for only finitely many pairs of a and b .

Based on all the existing results including the above latter proposition, even assuming abc -conjecture, we still fail to handle the following cases:

$$(1.3) \quad c = 14, 21, 26, 28, 35, 38, 39, 42, 52, 57, 62, 65, 70, 74, 76, 77, 78, 84, 86, \dots$$

Therefore, for considering Step 1 of Problem 1 for each in (1.3), Miyazaki and Pink raised an open problem, as follows:

Problem 2. For each value of c in (1.3), prove that there are only finitely many pairs of a and b such that the system of equations

$$a^2 + b = c^z, \quad a + b^2 = c^Z$$

holds for some positive integers z and Z .

In [11], they thought that solving the above problem only for a special value of c in (1.3) is a good result even if assuming *abc*-conjecture.

In this paper, using an elementary approach, we completely solve Problem 2. In fact, we prove a more general result, as follows:

Theorem 1. All solutions to the system of equations

$$a^2 + b = c^z, \quad a + b^2 = c^Z$$

in positive integers a, b, c, z and Z such that $\min\{a, b, c\} > 1$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ are given by $(a, b, c, z, Z) = (2, 5, 3, 2, 3)$ or $(5, 2, 3, 3, 2)$.

Proposition 1.1 and the above theorem together enable us to (conditionally) work out Step 1 completely.

Corollary 1. Assume that *abc*-conjecture is true. Then, for any fixed c , $N(a, b, c) \leq 1$, except for only finitely many pairs of a and b .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Suppose that the system has a solution (a, b, c, z, Z) . Then

$$(2.1) \quad a^2 + b = c^z,$$

$$(2.2) \quad a + b^2 = c^Z.$$

It is easy to see that a, b, c are pairwise coprime. There is no loss of generality in assuming $a < b$. Clearly, $z < Z$.

From (2.1) and (2.2), observe that

$$c^{2z} = (a^2 + b)^2 = a^4 + 2a^2b + b^2 > a + b^2 = c^Z.$$

Thus

$$(2.3) \quad 2z > Z.$$

Since $c^{2z} = a^4 + 2a^2b + b^2$, and $b^2 \equiv -a \pmod{c^Z}$ by (2.2), it follows from (2.3) that

$$0 \equiv a^4 + 2a^2b - a \equiv a(a^3 + 2ab - 1) \pmod{c^Z}.$$

Since $\gcd(a, c) = 1$, one finds that

$$(2.4) \quad a^3 + 2ab - 1 = fc^Z$$

for some $f \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $c^Z = a + b^2$, it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad fb^2 - 2ab - a^3 + fa + 1 = 0.$$

Regarding this as a quadratic equation for b with $b > a$, one has

$$(2.6) \quad b = \frac{a + \sqrt{D}}{f},$$

where D is a perfect square given by $D = fa^3 + a^2 - f^2a - f$.

Assume that $f = 1$. By (2.6),

$$b = a + \sqrt{a^3 + a^2 - a - 1} = a + (a + 1)\sqrt{a - 1}.$$

Since $a - 1$ is a square, one can write

$$a = r^2 + 1$$

for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} b &= a + (a + 1)r = r^3 + r^2 + 2r + 1, \\ c^z &= a^2 + b = r^4 + r^3 + 3r^2 + 2r + 2, \\ c^Z &= a + b^2 = r^6 + 2r^5 + 5r^4 + 6r^3 + 7r^2 + 4r + 2, \\ c^{Z-z} &= r^2 + r + 1, \\ c^{2z-Z} &= r^2 + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$c^{Z-z-(2z-Z)} = \frac{r^2 + r + 1}{r^2 + 2} = 1 + \frac{r - 1}{r^2 + 2}.$$

This relation implies that $r = 1$, so that $a = 2, b = 5, c^z = 9, c^Z = 27$, giving $(c, z, Z) = (3, 2, 3)$. Therefore, in what follows, we suppose that

$$(2.7) \quad f \geq 2.$$

We will observe that this leads to a contradiction.

Since

$$a^2 \equiv -b, \quad a \equiv -b^2 \pmod{c^z},$$

one has $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{c^z}$, so that

$$ab - 1 = gc^z = g(a^2 + b)$$

for some $g \in \mathbb{N}$. Reducing this modulo a gives

$$-1 \equiv gb \pmod{a}.$$

On the other hand, reducing (2.5) and (2.6) modulo a implies

$$\sqrt{D}b + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{a}.$$

Since $\gcd(b, a) = 1$, one combines these congruences modulo a to easily find that

$$g \equiv \sqrt{D} \pmod{a}.$$

Squaring both sides of the above congruence gives $g^2 \equiv -f \pmod{a}$, in particular,

$$(2.8) \quad f + g^2 \geq a.$$

Since

$$f = \frac{a^3 + 2ab - 1}{c^Z} = \frac{a(a^2 + b) + (ab - 1)}{c^Z} = \frac{ac^z + gc^z}{c^Z} = \frac{a + g}{c^{Z-z}},$$

one uses (2.8) to find that

$$\frac{a + g}{c^{Z-z}} + g^2 \geq a,$$

so that

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{c^{Z-z}}\right) a \leq \frac{g}{c^{Z-z}} + g^2.$$

Further, since

$$g = \frac{ab - 1}{a + b^2} < \frac{ab}{b^2} = \frac{b}{a} = \frac{a + \sqrt{D}}{fa}$$

by (2.6), it follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{c^{Z-z}}\right) a \leq \frac{g_u}{c^{Z-z}} + g_u^2,$$

where

$$g_u = g_u(a, f) := \frac{1}{f} + \sqrt{\frac{a}{f} + \frac{1}{f^2} - \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{fa^2}}.$$

The above inequality implies that a is finite, whenever

$$1 - \frac{1}{c^{Z-z}} > \frac{1}{f}.$$

Suppose that $c^{Z-z} > 2$. By inequality (2.9),

$$\frac{2}{3}a \leq \frac{g_u}{3} + g_u^2.$$

Since $f \geq 2$ by (2.7), the above inequality, together with the fact that D is a perfect square, implies that $a = 3$ with $f = 2$. Further, $b = 5$ by (2.6). However, it is easily checked that the system of two equations (2.1), (2.2) does not hold.

Finally, suppose that $c^{Z-z} = 2$. Then $c = 2$ and $Z = z + 1$. From equations (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} a + b^2 - (a^2 + b) &= (b - a)(b + a - 1) \\ &= 2^{z+1} - 2^z = 2^z. \end{aligned}$$

Since a, b are odd, it follows that $b - a (> 0)$ is divisible by 2^z , in particular, $b > 2^z$. On the other hand, $2^{z+1} = a + b^2 > b^2 > 2^{2z}$, so that $z + 1 > 2z$, which clearly does not hold.

To sum up, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Reese Scott for pointing out an error in the proof of Theorem 1 of the previous draft.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Beukers and H.P. Schlickewei, *The equation $x + y = 1$ in finitely generated groups*, Acta Arith. **78** (1996), 189–199.
- [2] J.H. Evertse and K. Györy, *Unit Equations in Diophantine Number Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [3] A.O. Gel'Fond, *Sur la divisibilité de la différence des puissance de deux nombres entiers par une puissance d'un idéal premier*, Mat. Sb. **7**(1) (1940), 7–25.
- [4] R.K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, Springer, 2004.
- [5] Y.-Z. Hu and M.-H. Le, *An upper bound for the number of solutions of ternary purely exponential diophantine equations*, J. Number Theory **183** (2018), 62–73.
- [6] —, *An upper bound for the number of solutions of ternary purely exponential diophantine equations II*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **95** (2019), no. 3-4, 335–354.
- [7] M.-H. Le, R. Scott and R. Styer, *A survey on the ternary purely exponential Diophantine equation $a^x + b^y = c^z$* , Surv. Math. Appl. **14** (2019), 109–140.
- [8] K. Mahler, *Zur Approximation algebraischer Zahlen I: Über den grössten Primteiler binärer Formen*, Math. Ann. **107** (1933), 691–730
- [9] T. Miyazaki and I. Pink, *Number of solutions to a special type of unit equations in two unknowns*, Amer. J. Math. **146** (2024), no. 2, 295–369.
- [10] —, *Number of solutions to a special type of unit equations in two unknowns, II*, Res. Number Theory **10** (2024), no. 2, 41 p.
- [11] —, *Number of solutions to a special type of unit equations in two unknowns, III*, preprint 2024, arXiv:2403.20037.
- [12] R. Scott and R. Styer, *Number of solutions to $a^x + b^y = c^z$* , Publ. Math. Debrecen **88** (2016), no. 1-2, 131–138.
- [13] T.N. Shorey and R. Tijdeman, *Exponential Diophantine Equations*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 87, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

MAOHUA LE
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, LINGNAN NORMAL COLLEGE,
 ZHANJIANG, GUANGDONG 524048
 PR CHINA
Email address: lemaohua2008@163.com

TAKAFUMI MIYAZAKI
 DIVISION OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
 GUNMA UNIVERSITY
 TENJIN-CHO 1-5-1, KIRYU 376-8515.
 JAPAN
Email address: tmiyazaki@gunma-u.ac.jp