

Stable dark matter from Pauli blocking in the degenerate fermion background with Quantum Field Theory

Wonsub Cho,^a Ki-Young Choi,^{a,b} Junghoon Joh,^a and Osamu Seto^c

^aDepartment of Physics and Institute of Basic Science, Sungkyunkwan University, 2066 Seobu-ro, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16419, Korea

^bKorea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Korea

^cDepartment of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

E-mail: sub526@skku.edu, kiyoungchoi@skku.edu, ttasiki1@skku.edu, seto@particle.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Abstract. We study a mechanism to make dark matter stable based on the Pauli blocking in the fermion background. In the background where fermions occupy the states, the decay of dark matter to those final states is not allowed, as a result, DM becomes stable. We derive the evolution equations of the distribution function in the quantum field theory and compare it with the Boltzmann equation. We apply this mechanism to a realistic model of neutrino and dark matter.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Particle Production with Boltzmann Equation	2
2.1	Without Cosmic Expansion	4
2.2	With Cosmic Expansion	6
3	Particle Production with the Quantum Field Theory	7
3.1	Without Cosmic Expansion	9
3.1.1	Approximate Analytic Solution	11
3.2	QFT vs. Boltzmann equation	13
3.3	With Cosmic Expansion	13
4	A Specific Model	16
5	Conclusion	18

1 Introduction

Dark matter (DM) must be stable. If it decays, the lifetime should be longer than about 170 Gyr not to spoil the formation of large scale structures [1–3], which is much longer than the age of the Universe of about 14 Gyr [4]. In the theoretical models of dark matter, the origin of its stability is usually assumed by an ad hoc symmetry imposed by hand or accidental symmetry from the given particle contents and gauge symmetry [5]. Otherwise, the mass of DM needs to be small and/or the interaction coupling constants are very small so that the decay rate becomes very small, such as for axions or sterile neutrinos [6].

Another possibility is to use the Pauli blocking in the DM decay to fermions [7, 8]. Bjaelde and Das studied the decay of DM into the Fermi sea of neutrinos, where the Pauli blocking controls the DM decay [7] which is analogous to the situation of fermion production by preheating [9, 10]. They considered Yukawa couplings of the order of the unity and applied the change of the equation of state of DM at the last stage to find solutions of the cosmological problems. Ghosh and Mukhopadhyay studied DM momentum distribution from the decay of an inflaton, where the Pauli blocking modifies the momentum distribution of DM and its energy density [11]. Pauli blocking also affects the pair creation of fermion fields in strong electric field [12].

Recently, Batell and Yin have considered the cosmic stability of DM from the Pauli blocking, including the effect of scatterings and parametric resonances as well as the decay, and explored applications to astrophysics and cosmology [8].

In this paper, we study the evolution of the DM decaying into fermions in the quantum field theory (QFT) as well as in the formalism of Boltzmann equation. We find several approximate solutions and compare them with the numerical results. Finally, we suggest a realistic model of particle physics with the neutrinos, where the lifetime of DM becomes longer due to the Pauli blocking of neutrino background.

In Sec. 2, we show the evolution of DM and fermions in the formalism of the Boltzmann equation with analytic and numerical results in the non-expanding and expanding Universe.

In Sec. 3 we derive the evolution equations in the QFT formalism and show the numerical results with some semi-analytical calculation. In Sec. 4, we show a specific example where the lifetime of DM is extended and make the model viable. In Sec. 5, we conclude this paper.

2 Particle Production with Boltzmann Equation

We consider a real scalar ϕ which can decay only into fermion pairs ψ and $\bar{\psi}$. As a simple example, we assume the following Yukawa interaction with the coupling λ in Lagrangian ¹

$$\mathcal{L} \ni \lambda \bar{\psi} \psi \phi. \quad (2.1)$$

For two body decay, the non-relativistic scalar ϕ with negligible momentum will produce two fermions with the same energy $E = m_\phi/2$ with opposite directions,

$$\phi(p) \rightarrow \psi(k) + \bar{\psi}(k'), \quad (2.2)$$

where $p = (m_\phi, \mathbf{0})$, $k = (E, \vec{\mathbf{k}})$, and $k' = (E, -\vec{\mathbf{k}})$, with $|\vec{\mathbf{k}}| = p_\psi \equiv \frac{m_\phi}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\psi^2}{m_\phi^2}}$ and $E^2 = m_\psi^2 + |\vec{\mathbf{k}}|^2$. The decay rate in the vacuum is given by

$$\Gamma_{\phi,0} = \frac{p_f}{8\pi m_\phi^2} |\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{\lambda^2 m_\phi}{8\pi} \left(1 - \frac{4m_\psi^2}{m_\phi^2}\right)^{3/2}, \quad (2.3)$$

and the corresponding lifetime is

$$\tau_{\phi,0} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\phi,0}} \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ sec} \left(\frac{10^{-12}}{\lambda}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10^{-3} \text{ eV}}{m_\phi}\right). \quad (2.4)$$

Even for a small Yukawa coupling and a light scalar field, the lifetime in the vacuum is supposed to be much shorter than the age of the Universe, and it seems impossible for the scalar ϕ to be a candidate of DM. However, as was pointed out in Ref. [7] and we will see below, if we consider the statistics of the fermions and the back-reaction, the decay of ϕ is delayed due to the Pauli blocking in the fermion background and its lifetime can be much longer than the age of the Universe.

The explicit Boltzmann equation for the scalar phase space distribution $f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t)$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t)}{\partial t} - Hp \frac{\partial f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t)}{\partial p} = f_\phi^{\text{coll}}(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t), \quad (2.5)$$

where the right-hand side is the collision term for ϕ . For the process $\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}) \leftrightarrow \psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}) + \bar{\psi}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}')$, the collision term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f_\phi^{\text{coll}}(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t) &= \frac{1}{2E_{\vec{\mathbf{p}}}} \int \frac{d^3\vec{\mathbf{k}}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{\mathbf{k}}'}} \frac{d^3\vec{\mathbf{k}}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{\mathbf{k}}}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p - k' - k) |\mathcal{M}|^2, \\ &\times \left[f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) f_{\bar{\psi}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}', t) (1 + f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t)) - f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t) (1 - f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)) (1 - f_{\bar{\psi}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}', t)) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

¹We do not consider scattering processes, since those are subdominant for a very small Yukawa coupling as we consider in this paper.

with

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = 2\lambda^2 m_\phi^2 \left(1 - \frac{4m_\psi^2}{m_\phi^2}\right). \quad (2.7)$$

The number density of ϕ is given by

$$n_\phi(t) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{\mathbf{p}}}{(2\pi)^3} f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t). \quad (2.8)$$

In the case when $f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t) \gg 1$, and ϕ is at rest, we can integrate the Boltzmann equation Eq. (2.5) to obtain its evolution equation

$$\dot{n}_\phi(t) + 3Hn_\phi(t) \simeq -\Gamma_\psi n_\phi(t) \left[1 - 2f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)\right] \Big|_{|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|=p_\psi}, \quad (2.9)$$

where we imposed isotropy $f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) = f_\psi(|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|, t)$ and CP symmetry with $f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) = f_{\bar{\psi}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)$. Here, we can see explicitly that the decay of ϕ is blocked when f_ψ is larger than 1/2, and the inverse decay occurs.

The Boltzmann equation of the phase distribution function of the fermion for one degree of freedom (a single spin), $f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t)$, is given by

$$\frac{\partial f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)}{\partial t} - Hk \frac{\partial f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)}{\partial k} = \frac{1}{2} f_\psi^{\text{coll}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t). \quad (2.10)$$

For the process $\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}) \leftrightarrow \psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}) + \bar{\psi}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}')$, the collision term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f_\psi^{\text{coll}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) &= -\frac{1}{2E_{\vec{\mathbf{k}}}} \int \frac{d^3\vec{\mathbf{k}}'}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{\mathbf{k}}'}} \frac{d^3\vec{\mathbf{p}}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\vec{\mathbf{p}}}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p - k' - k) |\mathcal{M}|^2 \\ &\times \left[f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) f_{\bar{\psi}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}', t) (1 + f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t)) - f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t) (1 - f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)) (1 - f_{\bar{\psi}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}', t)) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

and in the case when $f_\phi(\vec{\mathbf{p}}, t) \gg 1$, and ϕ is at rest, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} f_\psi^{\text{coll}}(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) &\simeq \Gamma_\psi n_\phi(t) \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{p_\psi^2} \right) \delta(|\vec{\mathbf{k}}| - p_\psi) \left[(1 - f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)) (1 - f_{\bar{\psi}}(-\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)) - f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) f_{\bar{\psi}}(-\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) \right], \\ &= \Gamma_\psi n_\phi(t) \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{p_\psi^2} \right) \delta(|\vec{\mathbf{k}}| - p_\psi) \left[1 - 2f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where p_ψ is defined as

$$p_\psi \equiv \frac{m_\phi}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\psi^2}{m_\phi^2}}. \quad (2.13)$$

2.1 Without Cosmic Expansion

In this section, we consider non-expanding Universe and give the analytic solution of the Boltzmann equation. The Boltzmann equations for f_ψ and n_ϕ are

$$\frac{\partial f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t)}{\partial t} = \Gamma_\psi n_\phi(t) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{p_\psi^2} \right) \frac{1}{p_\psi} \delta(|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|/p_\psi - 1) \left[1 - 2f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) \right], \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\dot{n}_\phi(t) \simeq -\Gamma_\psi n_\phi(t) \left[1 - 2f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) \right] \Big|_{|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|=p_\psi}. \quad (2.15)$$

If we integrate the sum of Eq. (2.15) and Eq. (2.14) times $\frac{p_\psi^2}{\pi^2}$, we obtain the equation of total number density conservation given by

$$n_\phi(t) + \frac{p_\psi^3}{\pi^2} f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t) \Big|_{|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|=p_\psi} = n_\phi(t_i) + \frac{p_\psi^3}{\pi^2} f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, t_i) \Big|_{|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|=p_\psi} \equiv C_0. \quad (2.16)$$

Using Eq. (2.16), we can replace f_ψ in Eq. (2.15) by n_ϕ to obtain an differential equation for $n_\phi(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{n}_\phi(t) &\simeq -\Gamma_\psi n_\phi(t) \left[1 - 2\{C_0 - n_\phi(t)\} \frac{\pi^2}{p_\psi^3} \right] \Big|_{|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|=p_\psi} \\ &= -An_\phi(t) + Bn_\phi^2(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

with

$$A \equiv \Gamma_\psi \left(1 - C_0 \frac{2\pi^2}{p_\psi^3} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad B \equiv -\Gamma_\psi \frac{2\pi^2}{p_\psi^3}. \quad (2.18)$$

This equation is a type of Bernoulli equation and can be solved exactly, since the equation for $1/n_\phi$ is a first-order linear differential equation. The solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n_\phi(t)} &= \frac{B}{A} + \left(\frac{1}{n_\phi(t_i)} - \frac{B}{A} \right) \exp[A(t - t_i)] \\ &= \left[C_0 - \frac{p_\psi^3}{2\pi^2} \right]^{-1} + \left(\frac{1}{n_\phi(t_i)} - \left[C_0 - \frac{p_\psi^3}{2\pi^2} \right]^{-1} \right) \exp \left[\Gamma_\psi \left(1 - C_0 \frac{2\pi^2}{p_\psi^3} \right) (t - t_i) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

with t_i being an initial time.

There are two different physical cases: For Case (I), the initial number density of n_ϕ is not enough to fill the phase space of ψ from its decay, so ϕ can decay until it depletes its density. For Case (II), the initial number density of n_ϕ is large enough to fill the phase space




Figure 1. Evolution of the number density of ϕ (solid) and ψ (dashed) normalized by $p_\psi^3/2\pi^2$ for $C_0/(p_\psi^3/2\pi^2) = 0.1$, (orange) and 10 (blue), respectively. Here, we used $m_\phi = 10^{-3}$ eV, $f_\psi(t_i) = 0$ and $\Gamma_\phi = 10^{-37}$ GeV, with $t_0 = 1/\Gamma_\phi$.

of ψ and there still remains some amount after filling the Fermi phase space. For each case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (I) \quad C_0 < \frac{p_\psi^3}{2\pi^2} : \quad n_\phi(t) &\rightarrow 0, \quad f_\psi \rightarrow \frac{\pi^2}{p_\psi^3} C_0, \\
 n_\psi(t) &\rightarrow g \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\pi^2}{p_\psi^3} C_0 \delta(|\vec{k}|/p_\psi - 1) = C_0, \\
 (II) \quad C_0 > \frac{p_\psi^3}{2\pi^2} : \quad n_\phi(t) &\rightarrow C_0 - \frac{p_\psi^3}{2\pi^2} = n_\phi(t_i) - \frac{p_\psi^3}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - f_\psi(\vec{k}, t_i) \Big|_{|\vec{k}|=p_\psi} \right), \\
 f_\psi &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, \quad n_\psi(t) \rightarrow g \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2} \delta(|\vec{k}|/p_\psi - 1) = \frac{p_\psi^3}{2\pi^2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where we used $g = 2$ for two spins of the fermion.

In Fig. 1, we show the evolution of the number density for ϕ and ψ normalized by $p_\psi^3/2\pi^2$, for both case with (I) $C_0 < p_\psi^3/2\pi^2$ (orange) and (II) $C_0 > p_\psi^3/2\pi^2$ (blue). For case (I) ϕ completely decays to fermion, while for case (II) only small fraction of ϕ decays to fermion whose phase space is fully occupied.

For a scalar field which is initially highly degenerate with $C_0 \simeq n_\phi(t_i) \gg p_\psi^3/2\pi^2$, we can approximate the solution as

$$\begin{aligned}
 n_\psi(t) = n_{\bar{\psi}}(t) &\simeq \frac{p_\psi^3}{2\pi^2} \left(1 - \exp \left[-n_\phi(t_i) \Gamma_\phi \frac{\pi^2}{p_\psi^3} (t - t_i) \right] \right), \\
 n_\phi(t) &\simeq n_\phi(t_i) - n_\psi(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Initially, n_ψ grows linearly with time as $n_\psi \sim n_\phi(t_i) \Gamma_\phi t$ and then later fills the Fermi surface nearly at the time scale

$$t_c \simeq \left(\frac{n_\phi(t_i)}{p_\psi^3/\pi^2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma_\phi^{-1} \gg \Gamma_\phi^{-1}, \tag{2.22}$$

compared to Γ_ϕ^{-1} when there is no Pauli blocking.

2.2 With Cosmic Expansion

In the expanding Universe, the magnitude of a physical momentum $k(t) \equiv |\vec{\mathbf{k}}(t)|$ redshifts inversely proportional to the scale factor in the metric of the spacetime. That is also true for the number density of the scalar field, in this case $n_\phi \propto a^{-3}$. Under these change of background, the scalar field ϕ can decay and fill the Fermi surface. However, the redshift of the momentum make the Fermi surface empty and allows the decay of ϕ . This happens continuously until the number density of ϕ becomes smaller than the number density in the Fermi surface of momentum p_ψ , when Pauli blocking is not effective any more.

We can write the redshift of the physical momentum with the scale factor as

$$k(t_p, t) = \frac{a(t_p)}{a(t)} p_\psi, \quad (2.23)$$

where t_p is the production time of fermion ψ with momentum p_ψ . In matter-dominated Universe with $a(t)^3 \propto t^2$, $k(t)$ has the relation to the time as

$$t_p = t \left(\frac{|\vec{\mathbf{k}}(t)|}{p_\psi} \right)^{3/2}. \quad (2.24)$$

For a given comoving momentum, we can write the Boltzmann equation Eq. (2.10) in terms of a total derivative about time as [11]

$$\frac{df_\psi(t_p, t)}{dt} = f_\psi^{\text{coll}}(t_p, t) \simeq \Gamma_\phi n_\phi(t) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{p_\psi^2} \right) \frac{\delta(t - t_p)}{p_\psi H(t_p)} \left[1 - 2f_\psi(\vec{\mathbf{k}}(t), t) \right], \quad (2.25)$$

where we used

$$\delta(k(t) - p_\psi) = \frac{\delta(t - t_p)}{p_\psi H(t_p)}. \quad (2.26)$$

The analytic solution for this is

$$f_\psi(t_p, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - e^{-\bar{f}(t_p)} \right) \theta(p_\psi - k(t)), \quad (2.27)$$

with

$$\bar{f}(t_p) = \frac{\pi^2 \Gamma_\phi n_\phi(t_p)}{p_\psi^3 H(t_p)}. \quad (2.28)$$

To fill the Fermi surface of the momentum $k = p_\psi$, i.e. $f_\psi = 1/2$, it is needed that $\bar{f}(t_p) \gg 1$, or the cosmic time must be large enough to satisfy

$$t_p \simeq \frac{2}{3H(t_p)} \gg \left(\frac{n_\phi(t_p)}{p_\psi^3/\pi^2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma_\phi^{-1}, \quad (2.29)$$

which is consistent with that in the non-expanding case in Eq. (2.22).

In Fig. 2 (left), we show the time evolution of the number density of scalar dark matter (solid line) and fermion field (dashed line) for different initial number density of scalar field

with 10^{-30} GeV (orange) and $n_\phi(t_i) = 10^{-25}$ GeV (blue), respectively. For comparison, we also show the case of scalar decay without Pauli blocking (dotted line) for each case.

When $n_\phi(t) \gg p_\psi^3/2\pi^2$, the fermions produced from the decay fills the fermi surface $k = p_\psi$ quickly with $f_k = 1/2$ and the number density of them is

$$n_\psi(t) = g \int^{p_\psi} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} f_\psi(k) = \frac{p_\psi^3}{6\pi^2}, \quad (2.30)$$

which is constant with time. At this stage, most of the fermions are relativistic and its energy density is also constant as

$$\rho_\psi = \frac{p_\psi^4}{8\pi^2}. \quad (2.31)$$

From the conservation of energy in the expanding Universe, we can show that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\rho_\phi + \rho_\psi) + 3H\rho_\phi + 4H\rho_\psi = 0. \quad (2.32)$$

Therefore, the energy density of ϕ follows [7]

$$\rho_\phi(t) = -\frac{p_\psi^4}{6\pi^2} + \left(\rho_\phi(t_i) + \frac{p_\psi^4}{6\pi^2} \right) \left(\frac{a(t)}{a(t_i)} \right)^{-3}, \quad (2.33)$$

with $t \gg t_i$.

Once the number density of ϕ is small, i.e.,

$$n_\phi(t) \lesssim \frac{p_\psi^3}{6\pi^2}, \quad (2.34)$$

ϕ rapidly decays and n_ψ begins to decrease only due to expansion of the Universe.

In Fig. 2 (right), we show the time evolution of the momentum distribution function of fermions at momentum $k = p_\psi$. Since we assume that the scalar decays at rest frame, the momentum of a produced fermion is fixed as p_ψ right after it is produced. The change of momentum comes only from the redshift, $k(t) = p_\psi a(t_p)/a(t)$. Therefore, the momentum distribution function as a function of momentum at a given time $f_\psi(k, t_0)$ has a scaling relation with f_ψ at p_ψ at a time $t_0 \left(\frac{k}{p_\psi} \right)^{3/2}$ as

$$f_\psi(k, t_0) = f_\psi \left(p_\psi, t_0 \left(\frac{k}{p_\psi} \right)^{3/2} \right). \quad (2.35)$$

3 Particle Production with the Quantum Field Theory

In this section, we consider quantum field theory (QFT) for the fermion production from oscillating scalar field. Scalar field production from oscillating scalar field based on QFT was studied in Ref. [13], and they compared the results with those from Boltzmann equations. Here, we follow them to derive the equations for fermion-antifermion pair production from oscillating scalar field. First, we apply them to the non-expanding Universe and then consider expansion.




Figure 2. The time evolution of the number density of scalar (solid) and fermion (dashed) (left) and the distribution function of the produced fermions at momentum p_ψ (right). Here, we used $m_\phi = 10^{-3}$ eV, $f_\psi(p_\psi, t_i) = 0$ and $\Gamma_\phi = 10^{-37}$ GeV, with $t_0 = 1/\Gamma_\phi$. The orange and blue lines correspond to the different initial number density, $n_\phi(t_i) = 10^{-30}, 10^{-25}$ GeV, respectively. The lifetime becomes longer for larger number density due to the Pauli blocking.

We decompose fermion and antifermion field ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ by using the creation and annihilation operators,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_p}} \left(a_{\vec{p}}^s(t) u_p^s e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger}(t) v_p^s e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right), \\ \bar{\psi}(x) &= \sum_s \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_p}} \left(a_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger}(t) \bar{u}_p^s e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + b_{\vec{p}}^s(t) \bar{v}_p^s e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

where the creation and annihilation operators satisfy the anti-commutation relations as

$$\{a_{\vec{k}}^r, a_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger}\} = \{b_{\vec{k}}^r, b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger}\} = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{k} - \vec{p}) \delta^{rs},\tag{3.2}$$

with others equal to zero. The free Hamiltonian is

$$H_{\text{free}} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_s \omega_{\vec{p}} (a_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} a_{\vec{p}}^s + b_{\vec{p}}^{s\dagger} b_{\vec{p}}^s).\tag{3.3}$$

The interaction Hamiltonian for Yukawa interaction Eq. (2.1) is

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\text{int}}(t) &= \lambda \int d^3x \phi(x) \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) \\ &= \lambda \phi(t) \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s,s'} \frac{1}{2\omega_p} \left[a_p^{s\dagger} a_p^{s'} \bar{u}_p^s u_p^{s'} + b_p^{s\dagger} b_p^{s'} \bar{v}_p^s v_p^{s'} + b_p^s a_{-p}^s \bar{v}_p^{s'} u_{-p}^s + a_p^{s'\dagger} b_{-p}^{s\dagger} \bar{u}_p^{s'} v_{-p}^s \right].\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

In the second line, we assumed that the decaying scalar field is homogeneous and classical.

We introduce the spin-averaged distribution function of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ as,

$$f_{\psi, \vec{k}}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{V} \langle a_k^{\dagger r} a_k^r \rangle, \quad f_{\bar{\psi}, \vec{k}}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_r \frac{1}{V} \langle b_k^{\dagger r} b_k^r \rangle,\tag{3.5}$$

where V is spatial volume.

The expectation value of the operator \mathcal{O} is defined using a density matrix ρ as

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \equiv \text{Tr}(\rho \mathcal{O}), \quad (3.6)$$

and its time derivative is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = -i \langle [\mathcal{O}, H_{\text{int}}] \rangle + \langle \dot{\mathcal{O}} \rangle, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\dot{\mathcal{O}} = -i[\mathcal{O}, H_{\text{free}}]. \quad (3.8)$$

Additionally, we define $g_{\vec{k}}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\vec{k}}(t) &\equiv \frac{1}{4V\omega_k} \sum_r \sum_s \langle b_{-\vec{k}}^s a_{\vec{k}}^r \rangle \bar{v}_{-\vec{k}}^s u_{\vec{k}}^r, \\ g_{\vec{k}}^*(t) &\equiv \frac{1}{4V\omega_k} \sum_r \sum_s \langle a_{\vec{k}}^{r\dagger} b_{-\vec{k}}^{s\dagger} \rangle \bar{u}_{\vec{k}}^r v_{-\vec{k}}^s, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

then the time derivatives of $f_{\vec{k}}$ and $g_{\vec{k}}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f}_{\psi, \vec{k}} &= i\lambda\bar{\phi} \left(g_{\vec{k}} - g_{\vec{k}}^* \right) \cos m_\phi t, \\ \dot{f}_{\bar{\psi}, \vec{k}} &= i\lambda\bar{\phi} \left(g_{-\vec{k}} - g_{-\vec{k}}^* \right) \cos m_\phi t, \\ \dot{g}_{\vec{k}} &= -i \left[2\omega_k g_{\vec{k}}(t) + \lambda\bar{\phi} \left\{ \frac{k^2}{\omega_k^2} \left(1 - f_{\psi, \vec{k}} - f_{\bar{\psi}, -\vec{k}} \right) + \frac{2m_\psi}{\omega_k} g_{\vec{k}} \right\} \cos m_\phi t \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Since the distribution of fermion and anti-fermion are isotropic, they are independent on the direction of momentum, so that $f_{\psi, \vec{k}}(t) = f_{\bar{\psi}, \vec{k}}(t) = f_k(t)$, and $g_{\vec{k}}(t) = g_k(t)$ with $k = |\vec{k}|$.

3.1 Without Cosmic Expansion

In the non-expanding case, the amplitude of the oscillating scalar field $\bar{\phi}$ is constant and we can write it as

$$\bar{\phi}(t) = \bar{\phi} \cos m_\phi t. \quad (3.11)$$

If we rewrite the complex function g_k in terms of real functions $\xi_k(t)$ and $\eta_k(t)$ as

$$g_k(t) = e^{-2i\omega_k t} [\xi_k(t) + i\eta_k(t)], \quad (3.12)$$

and introducing dimensionless variables,

$$\tau = m_\phi t, \quad q = \lambda\bar{\phi}/m_\phi, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\omega}_k = \omega_k/m_\phi, \quad (3.13)$$

the equations can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df_k}{d\tau} &= q [2\xi_k \sin(2\tilde{\omega}_k \tau) - 2\eta_k \cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k \tau)] \cos \tau, \\ \frac{d\xi_k}{d\tau} &= q [(1 - 2f_k) \sin(2\tilde{\omega}_k \tau)] \cos \tau, \\ \frac{d\eta_k}{d\tau} &= -q [(1 - 2f_k) \cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k \tau)] \cos \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$




Figure 3. Evolution of distribution function of ψ by QFT calculation with $\omega = m_\phi/2$ and $q = 0.01$ without considering expansion. It grows until it reaches 1 (around $\tau = \pi/q$) and starts oscillation between 0 and 1 with period $2\pi/q$. In the right figure we show the oscillation in the linear scale.

where we take $m_\psi = 0$, for simplicity. We can find a relation

$$(1 - 2f_k(t))\dot{f}_k(t) = 2\xi(t)\dot{\xi}(t) + 2\eta(t)\dot{\eta}(t), \quad (3.15)$$

and its integration gives

$$f_k(t)(1 - f_k(t)) = \xi_k^2(t) + \eta_k^2(t) = g_k(t)g_k^*(t) \geq 0, \quad (3.16)$$

where we used the initial condition $f = \xi = \eta = 0$ at t_i . Since ξ_k and η_k are real, the RHS of above equation should be bigger than or equal to 0. Therefore, it is easily seen that the value of $f_k(t)$ must be between 0 and 1. Additionally, the derivatives of ξ_k and η_k satisfy

$$\dot{\xi}_k(t) \cos(2\omega_k t) + \dot{\eta}_k(t) \sin(2\omega_k t) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

In Fig. 3, we show the numerical solution for $\omega_k = m_\phi/2$, with initial conditions $f_k(0) = \xi_k(0) = \eta_k(0) = 0$. In the left window, we can see that f_k grows as a power of time until $q\tau \sim \pi$ and then oscillate between 0 and 1 with period of $2\pi/q$. The linear plot is shown in the right window. As is shown, $\xi(t)$ is subdominant and $\eta(t)$ forces the change of $f(t)$, where $df/dt \propto -\eta(t)$. In Fig. 4, the result for $\omega_k = 0.1m_\phi$ (left) and m_ϕ (right) are shown, too. In these cases, $f_k \ll 1$ oscillates with small amplitude around $\sim q^2$ and cannot grow to 1.

In Fig. 5, we show the average value of f_k at a late time during oscillation phase as a function of the energy of produced ψ normalized by m_ϕ . We can see that the large $\langle f_k \rangle$ is possible only for the narrow range of ω_k around $m_\phi/2$. It has a maximum average value $\langle f_k \rangle = 1/2$ when $\omega_k = m_\phi/2$, otherwise, the value of $\langle f_k \rangle$ goes to 0 away from $\omega_k = m_\phi/2$.




Figure 4. Evolution of momentum distribution by QFT calculation with $\omega = 0.1m_\phi$ (left) and $\omega = m_\phi$ (right), respectively. We used $q = 0.01$ without considering expansion.




Figure 5. The average value of f_k with various ω_k without considering expansion. $\langle f_k \rangle$ has a maximum value $1/2$ when $\omega_k = m_\phi/2$. Away from $m_\phi/2$, the value of $\langle f_k \rangle$ is highly suppressed.

3.1.1 Approximate Analytic Solution

We can find analytic approximations in some limiting cases. When $f_k \ll 1$, we can approximate $1 - 2f_k \simeq 1$, and the equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{df_k}{d\tau} &\simeq q [2\xi_k \sin(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau) - 2\eta_k \cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau)] \cos \tau, \\
 \frac{d\xi_k}{d\tau} &\simeq q \sin(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau) \cos \tau, \\
 \frac{d\eta_k}{d\tau} &\simeq -q \cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau) \cos \tau.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

With initial conditions $f_k(0) = \xi_k(0) = \eta_k(0) = 0$, we can find the solutions as

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k(\tau) &\simeq \frac{q^2 (1 + 12\tilde{\omega}_k^2 + (-1 + 4\tilde{\omega}_k^2) \cos(2\tau) - 8\tilde{\omega}_k(2\tilde{\omega}_k \cos(\tau) \cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau) + \sin(\tau) \sin(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau)))}{2(1 - 4\tilde{\omega}_k^2)^2}, \\
\xi_k(\tau) &\simeq \frac{-q(-2\tilde{\omega}_k + 2\tilde{\omega}_k \cos(\tau) \cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau) + \sin(\tau) \sin(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau))}{-1 + 4\tilde{\omega}_k^2}, \\
\eta_k(\tau) &\simeq \frac{q(\cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau) \sin(\tau) - 2\tilde{\omega}_k \cos(\tau) \sin(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau))}{-1 + 4\tilde{\omega}_k^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_k = \omega_k/m_\phi$. The solution of f_k shows oscillating behavior with time, with the amplitude around $q^2/\tilde{\omega}_k^2$ for $\tilde{\omega}_k \gg 1/2$, or q^2 for $\tilde{\omega}_k \ll 1/2$. The value of f_k is highly suppressed for small q when ω_k away from $m_\phi/2$.

On the other hand, both the denominator and numerator in Eqs. (3.19) approach zero towards $\omega_k \sim m_\phi/2$. In this limit of $\omega \rightarrow m_\phi/2$, those asymptotic form

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k(\tau) &\rightarrow \frac{q^2}{8}(1 + 2\tau^2 - \cos 2\tau + 2\tau \sin 2\tau) \sim \frac{q^2}{4}\tau^2, \\
\xi_k(\tau) &\rightarrow \frac{q}{2}(1 - \cos^2 \tau) \sim q, \\
\eta_k(\tau) &\rightarrow -\frac{q}{2}(\tau + \cos \tau \sin \tau) \sim -\frac{q}{2}\tau,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

show increase of f_k as $f_k \sim \frac{q^2}{4}\tau^2$ for $1 \ll \tau \ll q^{-1}$, within the range $f_k \ll 1$.

The solution in Eq. (3.19) is invalid for $f_k \gtrsim 1/2$, or in other words, for the narrow resonance range

$$\frac{m_\phi}{2}(1 - q) \lesssim \omega_k \lesssim \frac{m_\phi}{2}(1 + q). \tag{3.21}$$

In this regime, f_k cannot be ignored. Instead, we find that the value of $\xi_k(t)$ is negligible from the numerical calculation (for example, see Figure 3). The evolution equation can be approximated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{df_k}{d\tau} &\simeq -2q\eta_k \cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau) \cos \tau, \\
\frac{d\eta_k}{d\tau} &\simeq -q(1 - 2f_k) \cos(2\tilde{\omega}_k\tau) \cos \tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

For $\omega_k = m_\phi/2$, the solution of the differential equations are

$$\begin{aligned}
f_k(\tau) &\simeq \sin^2 \left[\frac{1}{2}q(\tau + \cos \tau \sin \tau) \right] \sim \sin^2(q\tau/2), \\
\eta_k(\tau) &\simeq -\frac{1}{2} \sin [q(\tau + \cos \tau \sin \tau)] \sim -\frac{1}{2} \sin(q\tau).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

These solutions match well with the numerical solutions. The solution $f_k(t)$ oscillates between 0 and 1 with the period $T(\tau) = 2\pi/q$ for large $\tau \gg q^{-1}$. The average over time gives $\langle f_k(t) \rangle = 1/2$.

3.2 QFT vs. Boltzmann equation

Let's recall the RHS of Boltzmann equation (2.14)

$$f_k^{\text{coll}}(t) = \Gamma_\psi n_\phi(t) \left(\frac{\pi^2}{p_\psi^2} \right) \delta(k - p_\psi) [1 - 2f_k(t)]. \quad (3.24)$$

As shown in Ref. [13], for the time scale $t \lesssim (qm_\phi)^{-1}$ or small $f_k \ll 1$, the averaged time derivative of f_k can be expressed as

$$\langle \dot{f}_k \rangle \Big|_{t \lesssim (qm_\phi)^{-1}} \simeq \frac{\pi}{4} (\lambda \bar{\phi})^2 \delta(\omega - \frac{m_\phi}{2}) \simeq \frac{\pi^2 n_\phi \Gamma_\psi}{p_\psi^2} \delta(k - p_\psi), \quad (3.25)$$

which is consistent with Eq. (3.24) when $f_k \ll 1$. This is even true for $t \gtrsim (qm_\phi)^{-1}$ outside the resonance band.

Within the narrow band, the solution Eq. (3.23) gives a averaged value

$$\langle f_k \rangle = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.26)$$

which is consistent with the solution in Eq. (2.20) from Boltzmann equation without cosmic expansion for initial large n_ϕ . However, the time taken for growth of f_ψ is

$$m_\phi t_c \sim q^{-1} \quad (3.27)$$

in QFT, while it is

$$m_\phi t_c \simeq m_\phi \left(\frac{n_\phi(t_i)}{p_\psi^3 / (2\pi^2)} \right)^{-1} \Gamma_\phi^{-1} \sim \frac{9}{4\pi^2} q^{-2}, \quad (3.28)$$

in Boltzmann equation.

3.3 With Cosmic Expansion

With taking the cosmic expansion into account, Eq. (3.10) has an additional term with Hubble expansion parameter H ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f}_k &= kH \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial k} + i\lambda\phi(t) (g_k - g_k^*), \\ \dot{g}_k &= kH \frac{\partial g_k}{\partial k} - i \left[2\Omega_k(t)g_k(t) + \lambda\phi(t) \left\{ \frac{K(t)^2}{\Omega(t)^2} (1 - 2f_k) + \frac{2m_\psi}{\Omega(t)} g_k \right\} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

With a fixed comoving momentum, \hat{k} , we define new functions as

$$f_*(t) \equiv f_{k=K(t)}(t), \quad g_*(t) \equiv g_{k=K(t)}(t), \quad (3.30)$$

where $K(t) = \frac{a_0}{a(t)} \hat{k}$, and $K(t)$ is the physical momentum for the given comoving momentum \hat{k} at a time t . Here, we choose the time of a_0 so that the magnitude of comoving momentum \hat{k} becomes same as the physical momentum at that time.

We decompose $g_*(t)$ with real functions $\xi_*(t)$ and $\eta_*(t)$ as

$$g_*(t) = e^{-2i\Theta(t)} [\xi_*(t) + i\eta_*(t)], \quad (3.31)$$

with $\Theta(t) = \int_{t_a}^t \Omega(t') dt'$ and $\Omega(t) = \sqrt{K^2(t) + m_\psi^2}$. We can take $t_a = 0$ without changing the results. For $m_\psi = 0$, $\Omega(t) = K(t)$, and

$$\Theta(t) = a_0 \hat{k} \int_0^t \frac{1}{a(t')} dt' = 3a_0 \hat{k} t_0^{2/3} t^{1/3}. \quad (3.32)$$

In the last equality, we used the relation in the matter-dominated Universe, $a(t) = a_0(t/t_0)^{2/3}$. A time of

$$K(t) = \frac{a_0}{a(t)} \hat{k} = \frac{m_\phi}{2}, \quad (3.33)$$

for a given \hat{k} , is expressed as

$$\tau_k \equiv m_\phi t_{K(t)=m_\phi/2} = \tau_0 \left(\frac{2\hat{k}}{m_\phi} \right)^{3/2}. \quad (3.34)$$

Now, let's see the evolution of distribution functions for a comoving momentum \hat{k} whose physical momentum is $\Omega(t_0) = \omega_k$ at t_0 . By using $a_0 = a(t_0) = 1$ and $\tau \equiv m_\phi t$, we obtain

$$\Omega(\tau) = \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau} \right)^{2/3} \omega_k, \quad \Theta(\tau) = \frac{3\omega_k}{m_\phi} \tau_0 \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (3.35)$$

At a given time τ , the period of $\sin(2\Theta(\tau))$ is about

$$\frac{\pi}{\tilde{\omega}} \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau} \right)^{2/3}, \quad (3.36)$$

which can be compared to the period $\pi/\tilde{\omega}_{\text{non-exp}}$ in the non-expanding case with a constant $\tilde{\omega}_{\text{non-exp}}$. Therefore, we find the correspondence of

$$\tilde{\omega}_{\text{non-exp}} = \tilde{\omega} \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau} \right)^{2/3}. \quad (3.37)$$

During the non-relativistic oscillating ϕ dominates the Universe, the solution of ϕ is described by

$$\phi(t) = \bar{\phi} \left(\frac{a(t)}{a_0} \right)^{-3/2} \cos(m_\phi t), \quad (3.38)$$

including the redshift due to the expansion of the Universe but ignoring the reduction due to decay. By using dimensionless variables,

$$q = \frac{\lambda \bar{\phi}}{m_\phi}, \quad \tilde{K}(t) = \frac{K(t)}{m_\phi}, \quad \tilde{\Omega}(t) = \frac{\Omega(t)}{m_\phi}, \quad (3.39)$$

and $a^3 \propto \tau^2$ and taking $m_\psi = 0$, the evolution equation of the distribution functions for comoving momentum \hat{k} are simplified to be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df_*(\tau)}{d\tau} &= q \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{-1} (2\xi_*(\tau) \sin 2\Theta(\tau) - 2\eta_*(\tau) \cos 2\Theta(\tau)) \cos \tau, \\ \frac{d\xi_*(\tau)}{d\tau} &= q \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{-1} [(1 - 2f_*(\tau)) \sin 2\Theta(\tau)] \cos \tau, \\ \frac{d\eta_*(\tau)}{d\tau} &= -q \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{-1} [(1 - 2f_*(\tau)) \cos 2\Theta(\tau)] \cos \tau, \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$




Figure 6. Time evolution of the distribution f_* as well as ξ_* and η_* for a given comoving momentum \hat{k} from QFT in the expanding Universe. We used $q = 0.01$ and $\tau_0 = 10^2 q^{-2}$.

with $\Theta(\tau) = 3\tilde{\omega}_k \tau_0^{2/3} \tau^{1/3}$. Note that the equations are invariant under the scaling of $q' = \alpha q$, $\tau'_0 = \alpha^{-1} \tau_0$ and $\omega'_k = \alpha^{2/3} \omega_k$.

In Fig. 6, we show time evolution of the distribution $f_*(t)$ as well as ξ_* and η_* for a given comoving momentum \hat{k} from QFT calculation in the expanding Universe. Here, we used $q = 0.01$ and $\tau_0 = 10^2 q^{-2}$. The rapid growth of f_* happens when the corresponding physical momentum is within the narrow band in Eq. (3.21). The corresponding time for this band becomes

$$1 - \frac{2}{3}q \lesssim \frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \lesssim 1 + \frac{2}{3}q. \quad (3.41)$$

Within this range, the change of τ/τ_0 is negligible, and the term in the differential equations can be put constant as $q(\tau/\tau_0)^{-1} \simeq q$ for small $q \ll 1$.

When $\tau = \tau_0$, the physical momentum equals $m_\phi/2$ and the value of f_* becomes $1/2$, and later grows to 1. This is compared to the case of Boltzmann calculation, where f_k goes to $1/2$ in the expanding background. This factor 2 difference was also reported in Ref. [13], where for boson production the factor appears in the exponent in the exponential function and make huge difference between BE and QFT. However, in our case, due to the Pauli blocking, it makes only a factor 2 difference. The difference can be cured if the factor $1 - 2f_\psi$ in the Boltzmann equation is modified to $1 - f_\psi$ as noticed in Ref. [13].

Note that, however, as shown in the non-expanding case of the previous section, at least $\tau \gtrsim q^{-1}$ is required for growth of f_k even in the resonance band. Considering the time width $\Delta\tau \simeq q\tau_0$ in Eq. (3.41), and requiring $\Delta\tau \gtrsim q^{-1}$, at least $\tau_0 \gg q^{-2}$ is needed for a given comoving momentum whose physics momentum becomes $m_\phi/2$ at τ_0 . This is again consistent with that in Boltzmann equation, Eq. (3.28). It means that the distribution function is suppressed for the comoving momentum,

$$\hat{k} \lesssim \frac{m_\phi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q^2 \tau_0} \right)^{2/3}, \quad (3.42)$$

since it did not have enough time to grow.

In the early Universe when $q_{\text{eff}} \equiv q(\tau/\tau_0)^{-1} > 1$, the broad resonance may happen [9, 10]. In this case, the fermions can be excited to the non-degenerate Fermi surface of momentum $k_{\text{eff}} \sim \sqrt{q_{\text{eff}}} m_\phi$. These fermions redshift after production, and its momentum at present becomes

$$k_{\text{eff}}(\tau_0) = k_{\text{eff}} \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{2/3} \sim \sqrt{q} \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{1/6} m_\phi \ll \frac{m_\phi}{2}, \quad (3.43)$$

which is much smaller than $m_\phi/2$ at time t_0 once $q \ll 1$. Therefore, the production in the early Universe related to the broad resonance does not affect the late time delay of DM decay.

4 A Specific Model

We consider $\phi(t)$ as a coherently oscillating scalar dark matter with,

$$\phi(t) = \bar{\phi} \left(\frac{a(t)}{a(t_0)} \right)^{-3/2} \cos(m_\phi t). \quad (4.1)$$

The relic density is

$$\rho_\phi(t) = \rho_\phi(t_0) \left(\frac{a(t)}{a(t_0)} \right)^{-3} \simeq \rho_\phi(t_0) \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{-2}, \quad (4.2)$$

where t_0 is the present age of the Universe and we assumed DM domination neglecting the dark energy component, for simplicity. The present energy density of DM is

$$\rho_\phi(t_0) = \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \bar{\phi}^2 \simeq 3.7 \times 10^{-47} \text{ GeV}^4, \quad (4.3)$$

and the number density is

$$n_\phi(t) = \frac{\rho_\phi(t)}{m_\phi} = 3.7 \times 10^{-35} \text{ GeV}^3 \left(\frac{10^{-3} \text{ eV}}{m_\phi} \right) \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)^{-2}. \quad (4.4)$$

For a fixed DM energy density in Eq. (4.3), the variable q can be written as

$$q \equiv \frac{\lambda \bar{\phi}}{m_\phi} = 8.6 \times 10^{-12} \left(\frac{\lambda}{10^{-12}} \right) \left(\frac{10^{-3} \text{ eV}}{m_\phi} \right)^2. \quad (4.5)$$

The lifetime without Pauli blocking in Eq. (2.4) is

$$t_\phi \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{13} \text{ sec} \left(\frac{10^{-12}}{\lambda} \right)^2 \left(\frac{10^{-3} \text{ eV}}{m_\phi} \right), \quad (4.6)$$

however this is extended due to the Pauli blocking as in Eq. (2.34) to

$$t_{\phi, \text{ext}} \simeq 132 \times t_0 \left(\frac{10^{-3} \text{ eV}}{m_\phi} \right)^2, \quad (4.7)$$

which is independent of the coupling λ , once $t_{\phi, \text{ext}} > t_\phi$.

The number density and energy density of neutrinos are constant as

$$n_\psi = \frac{p_\psi^3}{6\pi^2}, \quad \rho_\psi = \frac{m_\phi^4}{128\pi^2}. \quad (4.8)$$

From the conservation of energy in the expanding Universe, it is required that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\rho_\phi + \rho_\psi) + 3H\rho_\phi + 4H\rho_\psi = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where the dominant neutrinos are relativistic. Therefore, the evolution of the energy density of ϕ is found to be [7]

$$\rho_\phi(t) = -\frac{m_\phi^4}{96\pi^2} + \left(\rho_\phi(t_i) + \frac{m_\phi^4}{96\pi^2} \right) \left(\frac{a(t)}{a(t_i)} \right)^{-3}, \quad (4.10)$$

for $t \gg t_i$.

As a specific example, we propose a realistic particle physics model where the light scalar is dark matter and its long life time is realized because the decay into the SM neutrinos is blocked by the cosmic neutrino background. We extend the SM to address the non-vanishing mass of neutrinos with $SU(2)$ triplet Higgs fields, so-called type-II seesaw mechanism [14–16]. The Yukawa couplings between the left-handed lepton doublet l_L and the triplet Higgs field Δ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} \supset -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Y_\Delta^{ij} \bar{l}_L^i \cdot \Delta l_L^j + h.c. \quad (4.11)$$

After the triplet Higgs field develops a VEV v_Δ , left-handed neutrino masses are generated as

$$(\mathcal{M}_\nu)_{ij} = Y_\Delta^{ij} v_\Delta. \quad (4.12)$$

We note that the upper bound $v_\Delta \lesssim 0.78$ GeV is obtained [17] by interpreting the so-called ρ parameter constraint [18]². The scalar potential is given by

$$V = V_1 + V_2 \quad (4.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 = & -\hat{\mu}_1^2 |\Phi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 |\Phi_1|^4 \\ & + \hat{\mu}_3^2 \text{Tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta) + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_1 \left(\text{Tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta) \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_2 \left(\left(\text{Tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta) \right)^2 - \text{Tr} \left[\left(\Delta^\dagger \Delta \right)^2 \right] \right) \\ & + \Lambda_{41} |\Phi_1|^2 \text{Tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta) + \Lambda_{51} \Phi_1^\dagger \left[\Delta^\dagger, \Delta \right] \Phi_1 - \frac{\Lambda_6}{\sqrt{2}} (\Phi_1^T \cdot \Delta \Phi_1 + \text{H.c.}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$V_2 = \frac{1}{2} \mu_S^2 S^2 + \frac{1}{4} \lambda_S S^4 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{S1} S^2 |\Phi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{S\Delta} S^2 \text{Tr}(\Delta^\dagger \Delta) - \frac{\lambda_6}{\sqrt{2}} S (\Phi_1^T \cdot \Delta \Phi_1 + \text{H.c.}) \quad (4.15)$$

where Φ_1 is the SM doublet Higgs and S is the real singlet scalar of dark matter candidate.

The neutral component of S , Φ_1 and Δ are denoted by s , ϕ^0 and δ^0 , respectively. The mass squared matrix

$$M_{ij}^2 \quad (i, j) \quad \text{run} \quad (s, \phi^0, \delta^0) \quad (4.16)$$

²The lower bound on $v_\Delta \gtrsim 1$ eV is also obtained [19], however this depends on the extra assumption of the charged Higgs boson mass.

is diagonalized for mass eigenstates ϕ_1, ϕ_2 , and ϕ_3 with $m_{\phi_1} \ll m_{\phi_2} \simeq 125\text{GeV} \ll m_{\phi_3}$ by the unitary matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{s1} & U_{s2} & U_{s3} \\ U_{\phi^0 1} & U_{\phi^0 2} & U_{\phi^0 3} \\ U_{\delta^0 1} & U_{\delta^0 2} & U_{\delta^0 3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.17)$$

The DM ϕ_1 decays into two neutrino pair through the mixing $U_{\delta^0 1}$ and the Yukawa coupling (4.11). The decay rate is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\phi_1 \rightarrow \nu\nu) &\sim \frac{1}{4\pi} |Y_\Delta U_{\delta^0 1}|^2 m_{\phi_1} \\ &\sim \frac{m_{\phi_1}}{4\pi} \frac{m_\nu^2}{v_\Delta^2} |U_{\delta^0 1}|^2 \\ &\simeq 8 \times 10^{-43} \text{GeV} \left(\frac{m_{\phi_1}}{10^{-3} \text{eV}}\right) \left(\frac{m_\nu}{10^{-6} \text{eV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1 \text{keV}}{v_\Delta}\right)^2 \left(\frac{|U_{\delta^0 1}|^2}{10^{-11}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

with

$$U_{\delta^0 1} \sim \frac{M_{\delta^0}^2}{M_{\delta^0 \delta^0}^2} \simeq \frac{\lambda_6 v^2}{\hat{\mu}_3^2} \simeq 10^{-5} \left(\frac{\lambda_6}{0.1}\right) \left(\frac{10^4 \text{GeV}}{\hat{\mu}_3}\right)^2. \quad (4.19)$$

Though we normalized the decay rate Γ in Eq. (4.18) by about the age of Universe t_0 and the actual bound is $\Gamma^{-1} > \mathcal{O}(10)t_0$ [1–3], as we have seen above that smaller t_ϕ is enough to have long enough $t_{\phi, ext}$. In fact, a much larger element (4.19) is allowed. Since the neutrinos are in the weak $SU(2)$ doublet, in fact, DM ϕ can decay into two photons through loop processes involving neutrinos, the W boson and electrons. This decay rate is very suppressed to be small enough [20].

5 Conclusion

We studied a mechanism to make dark matter stable based on the Pauli blocking in the fermion background. We derived the evolution equations from quantum field theory and compared them with usual Boltzmann equations. They are consistent each other, however there is a factor 2 in the expanding Universe.

When the scalar field can decay only to the fermions, the Pauli blocking can delay the decay of the DM and lengthen the lifetime getting longer than the age of the Universe. As a realistic example, we proposed a particle physics model with a light scalar which can decay into the lightest neutrino and the Pauli blocking make its lifetime longer. In this model, we can find new parameter space becomes compatible with the observations.

Note added: While preparing the manuscript, we found a paper by Batell and Yin [8], which has some overlap with our analyses based on Boltzmann equation formalism.

Acknowledgments. W.Cho, K.-Y. Choi, and J.Joh acknowledge the financial support from National Research Foundation(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MEST) NRF-2022R1A2C1005050. This work of OS was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23K03402.

References

- [1] K. Ichiki, M. Oguri and K. Takahashi, *WMAP constraints on decaying cold dark matter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 071302 [[astro-ph/0403164](#)].
- [2] B. Audren, J. Lesgourgues, G. Mangano, P.D. Serpico and T. Tram, *Strongest model-independent bound on the lifetime of Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **12** (2014) 028 [[1407.2418](#)].
- [3] A. Nygaard, T. Tram and S. Hannestad, *Updated constraints on decaying cold dark matter*, *JCAP* **05** (2021) 017 [[2011.01632](#)].
- [4] PLANCK collaboration, *Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters*, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020) A6 [[1807.06209](#)].
- [5] T. Hambye, *On the stability of particle dark matter*, *PoS IDM2010* (2011) 098 [[1012.4587](#)].
- [6] H. Baer, K.-Y. Choi, J.E. Kim and L. Roszkowski, *Dark matter production in the early Universe: beyond the thermal WIMP paradigm*, *Phys. Rept.* **555** (2015) 1 [[1407.0017](#)].
- [7] O.E. Bjaelde and S. Das, *Dark Matter Decaying into a Fermi Sea of Neutrinos*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 043504 [[1002.1306](#)].
- [8] B. Batell and W. Yin, *Cosmic Stability of Dark Matter from Pauli Blocking*, [2406.17028](#).
- [9] P.B. Greene and L. Kofman, *Preheating of fermions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **448** (1999) 6 [[hep-ph/9807339](#)].
- [10] P.B. Greene and L. Kofman, *On the theory of fermionic preheating*, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 123516 [[hep-ph/0003018](#)].
- [11] A. Ghosh and S. Mukhopadhyay, *Momentum distribution of dark matter produced in inflaton decay: Effect of inflaton mediated scatterings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 043519 [[2205.03440](#)].
- [12] M. Prakapenia and G. Vereshchagin, *Pauli blocking effects on pair creation in strong electric field*, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023) 013002 [[2306.12956](#)].
- [13] T. Moroi and W. Yin, *Particle Production from Oscillating Scalar Field and Consistency of Boltzmann Equation*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 296 [[2011.12285](#)].
- [14] J. Schechter and J.W.F. Valle, *Neutrino Masses in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 2227.
- [15] M. Magg and C. Wetterich, *Neutrino Mass Problem and Gauge Hierarchy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **94** (1980) 61.
- [16] T.P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, *Neutrino Masses, Mixings and Oscillations in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Models of Electroweak Interactions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **22** (1980) 2860.
- [17] N. Okada and O. Seto, *Dirac dark matter, dark radiation, and the type-II seesaw mechanism in alternative $U(1)X$ standard model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022) 123512 [[2202.08508](#)].
- [18] PARTICLE DATA GROUP collaboration, *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2022** (2022) 083C01.
- [19] S. Antusch, O. Fischer, A. Hammad and C. Scherb, *Low scale type II seesaw: Present constraints and prospects for displaced vertex searches*, *JHEP* **02** (2019) 157 [[1811.03476](#)].
- [20] J. Heeck and H.H. Patel, *Majoron at two loops*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 095015 [[1909.02029](#)].