Semantic-Aware Representation of Multi-Modal Data for Data Ingress: A Literature Review

Pierre Lamart Computer Science and Engineering University of Gothenburg Gothenburg, Sweden pierre.lamart@gu.se Yinan Yu Computer Science and Engineering Chalmers University of Technology Gothenburg, Sweden yinan@chalmers.se Christian Berger Computer Science and Engineering University of Gothenburg Gothenburg, Sweden christian.berger@gu.se

Abstract—Machine Learning (ML) is continuously permeating a growing amount of application domains. Generative AI such as Large Language Models (LLMs) also sees broad adoption to process multi-modal data such as text, images, audio, and video. While the trend is to use ever-larger datasets for training, managing this data efficiently has become a significant practical challenge in the industry-double as much data is certainly not double as good. Rather the opposite is important since getting an understanding of the inherent quality and diversity of the underlying data lakes is a growing challenge for applicationspecific ML as well as for fine-tuning foundation models. Furthermore, information retrieval (IR) from expanding data lakes is complicated by the temporal dimension inherent in time-series data which must be considered to determine its semantic value. This study focuses on the different semantic-aware techniques to extract embeddings from mono-modal, multi-modal, and crossmodal data to enhance IR capabilities in a growing data lake. Articles were collected to summarize information about the stateof-the-art techniques focusing on applications of embedding for three different categories of data modalities.

Index Terms-data lake, data modality, multi-modal data, information retrieval, embedding, literature review

I. INTRODUCTION

Facing the growing issue of handling large multi-modal datasets, researchers and practitioners try to enhance data management systems by improving the efficiency of specific functions for data ingress (like computing embeddings from data samples) or identifying relevant data for egress as needed for IR. Understanding how such data can be processed and stored is becoming a critical challenge to benefit from a large volume of data. A growingly popular response for data storage is the use of data lakes that allow to store large volumes of raw data from various sources. However, blindly growing the data lake is unsustainable and it results in barely manageable datasets that are hard to use and investigate (cf. Udandarao et al., [1]). Making this approach not sufficient and require proper data pre-processing methods prior to data ingress.

Moreover, the data collected is increasingly multi-modal, including a variety of formats such as text, images, audio, and video. Each modality comes with its own unique type of metadata, requiring distinct organizational structures. This diversity adds another layer of complexity to data lakes, necessitating metadata management solutions that can efficiently

Funded by Swedish Research Council (VR), Diarienummer: 2023-03810.

index and retrieve data across different modalities based on their semantic content. It is hence valuable to gain insights into the feature extraction and indexing techniques for multimodal data.

The aim of this review is to provide an overview of existing methods and techniques to encode multi/cross-modal, timeseries data in a semantically-aware way. This will allow us to identify possible research trends and get an overview of the state-of-the-art approaches for data ingress. We address the following research question:

RQ: What are common approaches to prepare mono/multi/cross-modal data for data ingress, considering the temporal aspects in a growing data lake?

We structure our paper as follows: Sec. II introduces relevant concepts and related work. Sec. III outlines how we conducted our study. The results are discussed in Sec. IV. The conclusions & future work are addressed in Sec. V.

II. RELATED WORK

Recent technologies and applications relying on Machine Learning (ML)-enabled systems requires an increasing amount of data for continuous improvement. Often coming from different sources, the data is likely to include different modalities [2], [3]. Continuously collecting data to benefit from this technology results in data management systems, such as data lakes, becoming insufficient and drowning in the collected data.

Several reviews covering multi-modal systems and applications are available in the literature. Perez-Martin et al. [4] present a comprehensive review of the cross-modal applications and challenges of text and video data. They study the progress of researchers on 26 datasets for "text retrieval from video task and video captioning/description task". The review highlights that despite all the progress made in that field, there are still many possible improvements to make to extract and describe complex spatiotemporal information within videos. Similarly, Kaur et al. [5] studied cross-modal image-text information retrieval. They compared several approaches to identify their strengths and weaknesses. They see possible improvements in algorithms' performances. Chen et al. [6] conducted a review of deep learning models for the same data modalities. They studied different popular structures for unidirectional and bi-directional multi-modal tasks. Although they see several applications of these models, they argue that adding more modalities to the process could allow these technologies to be applied in more scenarios but is still underexplored. In 2020 Zhang et al. [7] analyzed work in multi-modal deep learning from three perspectives: "learning multimodal representations, fusing multimodal signals at various levels, and multimodal applications". The main modalities studied are natural language and computer vision.

Most previous work primarily focuses on techniques using curated datasets, which are typically well-defined for machine learning tasks and benchmarking. However, data within a data lake often has a temporal dimension, as data is continuously collected from various sources. This stresses the importance of the time series data modality, which has not received the same level of attention as other data modalities. In this paper, we highlight the time aspects, focusing on the system capability of handling the dynamic and temporal nature of real-world data.

III. METHODOLOGY

Our search for articles was inspired by Petersen et al. (cf. [8]).We focused on articles about data embedding and multi-modal fusion to provide an overview about common ways to embed specific modalities and how these modalities can be fused. Our study follows a similar structure to the one from Zhang et al. [7]. However, we focus on methods that gained attention after 2020, namely, representational and contrastive learning. Representational learning refers to models learning the representation of an input data for a specific task like classification, clustering or, in our case, embedding. Contrastive learning is a recent powerful paradigm that learns to differentiate between similar and dissimilar examples [9]. It creates positive and negative pairs, maps the pairs using a nonlinear encoder, and optimizes the encoder by minimizing the distance between positive pairs and maximizing the negative pairs [10]. Contrastive learning gained a lot of attention past 2020. When searching for articles with the keyword "Contrastive Learning" In Scopus, 30 articles were published before 2020 and more than 5000 after 2020 while in ACM Digital Library, all articles were published in 2020 or later.

After piloting our search terms in Scopus, ACM Digital Library, and IEEE xPlore, we refined our query using specific keywords for our subject. The template query for the modality time-series is depicted below:

```
KEY ( representational OR contrastive )
AND KEY ( machine OR deep OR ai )
AND KEY ( learning )
AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ( embedding )
AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ( time-series )
```

We systematically replaced the highlighted keyword time-series with image or text OR prompt to find embedding approaches targeting specifically these two data modalities. To limit the result set for the data modality image, though, we filtered only on the keywords instead for title, abstract, or keywords.

TABLE I								
NUMBER OF ARTICLES FROM OUR INITIAL DATASET AND AFTER								
APPLYING OUR FILTER CRITERIA.								

	Initial Search	Selected Articles
Time-series embedding	121	7
Text embedding	345	2
Image embedding	1085	5
Raw data fusion	194	7
Embedded data fusion	92	4
Total	1837	25

To find articles focusing on fusing multi-modal raw data, we used the following template query to identify relevant papers:

We replaced the highlighted keyword raw by embedded to search for other type of fusion. We continued our selection process by screening the articles' title and abstract by applying the following inclusion/exclusion criteria:

- \checkmark Paper addressing the research question.
- **✗** Non-peer-reviewed articles
- ✗ Publications for which full text is not available. ▮
- ✗ Articles written in an other language than English
- ✗ Duplicate papers and shorter versions of already included publications.

Tab. I summarizes how the search results were narrowed down after applying our inclusion/exclusion criteria.

Threats to Validity: We report potential threats to the validity of our research following recommendations by Feldt and Magazinius (cf. [33]). A potential threat may originate by the internal design or construction of our study, in particular the literature review that may be prone to subjective selection bias with respect to the identified research papers. We aimed to mitigate this threat by documenting transparently the search terms, databases, and filtering criteria. While potential papers may have been filtered out, our resulting tables (cf. Tab. II and III) document major aspects that we have encountered while screening relevant literature. With respect to generalizability of our findings, we state that we searched for relevant research in a way that is agnostic to a particular application domain. However, specific domains may have certain, domain-relevant constraints that may render generic solutions non-applicable. Such constraints, though, are left for future and domainspecific studies.

TABLE II
DATA EMBEDDING APPROACHES TARGETING MONO-MODAL DATA.

Model Name	Date	Embedded Data Type	Time Dependency	Embedding Method	
COVID-Net (redesign) [11]	2020	Medical Imaging Data	Х	Contrastive Learning	
Source Model Selection [12]	2021	Colored Images		Supervised Contrastive Learning	
ReTrim [13]	2021	Multivariate Time-Series	Х	Self-Supervised AutoEncoder + Contrastive Learning	
CGC [14]	2022	Temporal Graph	Х	GNN + Contrastive Learning	
Pyraformer [15]	2022	Time-Series	Х	Embedding Layers	
CSCL [16]	2022	Annotated Satellite Image		Context-Self Contrastive Loss	
3D FCN [17]	2023	Time-Series Images	Х	Cross-Entropy + Contrastive Learning Supervisions	
TiCTok [9]	2023	Multivariate Time-Series	Х	Contrastive Learning + Token Encoder	
SuperConText [18]	2023	Text		Neural Network Encoder + Contrastive Learning	
CoLDE [19]	2023	Long-Form Document		Positional Embedding and Attention Layer + Contrastive	
				Learning	
DTX [20]	2024	Images and Video Frames	Х	Transformer-Based with Sel-Attention and Contrastive Loss	
MabCUT [21]	2024	Images		Separate Embedding Blocks + Contrastive Learning	
PDE [22]	2024	Time-Series Images	Х	Contrastive Learning	

TABLE III Approaches for data embedding for multi-modal data including fusion

	_				
Model Name	Date	Fused Data Types	Time Dependency	Fusion Domain	Fusion Method
CRF-Net [23]	2019	Camera and Radar Data	Х	Raw Data	Concatenation fed into a con-
					volutional network
FCN [24]	2019	Camera Images and LI-	Х	Cross Fusion	Cross Fusion Fully Convolu-
		DAR Point Cloud			tional Network
GroupFusionNet [25]	2021	fundus image, visual		Early and Late Fusions	2 ResNet to Fuse Features
		field tests and age			
Text Image Residual Gating [26]	2022	Images and Text		Late Fusion	Feature Fusion Layer
TGDT [27]	2023	Images and Text		Late Fusion	Transformer-Based Feature
					Extraction
MM-FI [28]	2023	RGB-D Frames, Point	Х	Raw Data	Least Mean Square Algorithm
		Cloud and WiFi CSI			
		Data			
TF-YOLO [29]	2023	Visible and Infrared Im-	Х	Adaptative Fusion	Transformer-Fusion Module
		ages			in a Backbone Network
ITContrast [30]	2024	Images and Text		Embedded Data Fusion	Contrastive Learning for
					Image-Text Matching
MCSTransWnet [31]	2024	3D Topography and		Late Fusion	Transformer Model and CNN
		Surgical Parameters			Model
CVG Classification [32]	2024	Signals and Images	Х	Early, Late, and Joint	CNN-RNN Models

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Embedding is a widely adopted semantic encoding technique and hence, the literature review focuses on articles about data embedding and multi-modal fusion.

As can be seen in Tab. II, the majority of embedding techniques rely on contrastive learning. Used with different models, the contrastive approach allows for a better semantic representation of the embedded data. Several researchers like Wang et al. [11] or Cho et al. [12] use the benefits of both embeddings and contrastive learning for efficient classification. These approaches show better results than others before, however, studies like the ones from Liu et at. [10] or Xu et al. [22] also highlight the lack of scalability to multi-modal data and the need to push research in this direction.

To understand how multi-modal data can be handled, we looked into different fusion techniques for embedding several modalities. Tab. III shows that most of the collected articles use early or late fusions and 3 use fusions at a variable stage of the process. Cao et al. [25] highlight that while early fusion usually outperforms late fusion thanks to low-level features fusion, making it easier to learn using a large amount of data, late fusion allows for a more detailed feature extraction before fusion. Their model takes advantage of both approaches by fusing features by groups in both early and late stages. Caltagirone et al. [24] propose a model optimizing the position of the fusion during the training phase for better results. Chen et al. [29] push the flexibility further by implementing a dynamic fusion process that adapts itself according to the input.

V. CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE WORK

Efficient information retrieval from growing data lakes is essential as it allows querying the data lake for semantically relevant information of interest. Furthermore, fast and accurate query processing based on semantic-aware data embedding enables similarity look-ups or determining what information is *not* present yet in a data lake. Our review of recent literature covers a critical part of data curation: data ingress, i.e., the preparation of data samples before storing them in a data lake, by screening state-of-the-art approaches. This process faces challenges from the type and nature of the various data modalities that need to be efficiently handled in data lakes. While we have already surpassed single modalities, today's challenges originate from handling not only multimodal data but also their data samples over time. More specifically, our survey has unveiled the recent dominance of constrastive learning techniques in embedding mono-modal data as they are capable of effectively capturing semantic representations across various data types. Temporal aspects are being increasingly integrated into embedding methods to address the dynamic nature of data collection. Fusion techniques for multi-modal data primarily use early and late fusion, while innovative methods such as dynamic and adaptive fusion have been developed to balance flexibility and efficiency in handling the complexity and diversity of multi-modal data, which is an important direction for future research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is funded by the Swedish Research Council (Diarienummer: 2024-2028).

REFERENCES

- V. Udandarao, A. Prabhu, A. Ghosh, Y. Sharma, P. H. S. Torr, A. Bibi, S. Albanie, and M. Bethge, "No "Zero-Shot" Without Exponential Data: Pretraining Concept Frequency Determines Multimodal Model Performance," 2024.
- [2] A. A. Sukhobokov, Y. E. Gapanyuk, A. S. Zenger, and A. K. Tsvetkova, "The concept of an intelligent data lake management system: Machine consciousness and a universal data model," vol. 213, p. 407 – 414, 2022.
- [3] K. Kumari and M. Mrunalini, "Techniques for data extraction from heterogeneous sources with data security," *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 2152 – 2159, 2019.
- [4] J. Perez-Martin, B. Bustos, S. J. F. Guimarães, I. Sipiran, J. Pérez, and G. C. Said, "A comprehensive review of the video-to-text problem," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, vol. 55, no. 5, p. 4165 – 4239, 2022. All Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [5] P. Kaur, H. S. Pannu, and A. K. Malhi, "Comparative analysis on cross-modal information retrieval: A review," *Computer Science Review*, vol. 39, 2021. All Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [6] W. Chen, W. Wang, L. Liu, and M. S. Lew, "New ideas and trends in deep multimodal content understanding: A review," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 426, p. 195 – 215, 2021. All Open Access, Hybrid Gold Open Access.
- [7] C. Zhang, Z. Yang, X. He, and L. Deng, "Multimodal intelligence: Representation learning, information fusion, and applications," *IEEE Journal on Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 478 – 493, 2020. All Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [8] K. Petersen, R. Feldt, S. Mujtaba, and M. Mattsson, "Systematic Mapping Studies in Software Engineering," in *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, EASE'08, (Swindon, GBR), p. 68–77, BCS Learning & Development Ltd., 2008.
- [9] M. Kang and B. Lee, "Tictok: Time-series anomaly detection with contrastive tokenization," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, p. 81011 – 81020, 2023. All Open Access, Gold Open Access.
- [10] Z. Liu, A. Alavi, M. Li, and X. Zhang, "Self-supervised contrastive learning for medical time series: A systematic review," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 9, 2023. All Open Access, Gold Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [11] Z. Wang, Q. Liu, and Q. Dou, "Contrastive cross-site learning with redesigned net for covid-19 ct classification," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 24, no. 10, p. 2806 – 2813, 2020. All Open Access, Bronze Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [12] Y.-S. Cho, S. Kim, and J.-H. Lee, "Source model selection for transfer learning of image classification using supervised contrastive loss," p. 325 – 329, 2021.
- [13] Y. Garg, "Retrim: Reconstructive triplet loss for learning reduced embeddings for multi-variate time series," vol. 2021-December, p. 460 – 465, 2021.

- [14] N. Park, R. Rossi, E. Koh, I. A. Burhanuddin, S. Kim, F. Du, N. Ahmed, and C. Faloutsos, "Cgc: Contrastive graph clustering forcommunity detection and tracking," p. 1115 – 1126, 2022. All Open Access, Bronze Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [15] S. Liu, H. Yu, C. Liao, J. Li, W. Lin, A. X. Liu, and S. Dustdar, "Pyraformer: Low-complexity pyramidal attention for long-range time series modeling and forecasting," 2022.
- [16] M. Tarasiou, R. A. Guler, and S. Zafeiriou, "Context-self contrastive pretraining for crop type semantic segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 60, 2022. All Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [17] S. Mohammadi, M. Belgiu, and A. Stein, "Improvement in crop mapping from satellite image time series by effectively supervising deep neural networks," *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, vol. 198, p. 272 – 283, 2023. All Open Access, Green Open Access, Hybrid Gold Open Access.
- [18] Y. Moukafih, N. Sbihi, M. Ghogho, and K. Smaili, "Supercontext: Supervised contrastive learning framework for textual representations," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, p. 16820 – 16830, 2023. All Open Access, Gold Open Access.
- [19] A. Jha, V. Rakesh, J. Chandrashekar, A. Samavedhi, and C. K. Reddy, "Supervised contrastive learning for interpretable long-form document matching," ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data, vol. 17, no. 2, 2023. All Open Access, Hybrid Gold Open Access.
- [20] G. Loza, P. Valdastri, and S. Ali, "Real-time surgical tool detection with multi-scale positional encoding and contrastive learning," *Healthcare Technology Letters*, vol. 11, no. 2-3, p. 48 – 58, 2024. All Open Access, Gold Open Access.
- [21] B. Yang, X. Liu, Y. Li, H. Jin, and Y. Qu, "Multi-attention bidirectional contrastive learning method for unpaired image-to-image translation," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 19, no. 4 April, 2024. All Open Access, Gold Open Access.
- [22] W. Xu, R. Guo, P. Chen, L. Li, M. Gu, H. Sun, L. Hu, Z. Wang, and K. Li, "Cherry growth modeling based on prior distance embedding contrastive learning: Pre-training, anomaly detection, semantic segmentation, and temporal modeling," *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 221, 2024.
- [23] F. Nobis, M. Geisslinger, M. Weber, J. Betz, and M. Lienkamp, "A deep learning-based radar and camera sensor fusion architecture for object detection," 2019.
- [24] L. Caltagirone, M. Bellone, L. Svensson, and M. Wahde, "Lidar-camera fusion for road detection using fully convolutional neural networks," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 111, p. 125 – 131, 2019. All Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [25] Z. Cao, C. Sun, W. Wang, X. Zheng, J. Wu, and H. Gao, "Multi-modality fusion learning for the automatic diagnosis of optic neuropathy," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 142, p. 58 – 64, 2021.
- [26] M. I. Sarker and M. Milanova, "Deep learning-based multimodal image retrieval combining image and text," p. 1543 – 1546, 2022.
- [27] C. Liu, Y. Zhang, H. Wang, W. Chen, F. Wang, Y. Huang, Y.-D. Shen, and L. Wang, "Efficient token-guided image-text retrieval with consistent multimodal contrastive training," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 32, p. 3622 – 3633, 2023. All Open Access, Green Open Access.
- [28] J. Yang, H. Huang, Y. Zhou, X. Chen, Y. Xu, S. Yuan, H. Zou, C. X. Lu, and L. Xie, "Mm-fi: Multi-modal non-intrusive 4d human dataset for versatile wireless sensing," vol. 36, 2023.
- [29] Y. Chen, J. Ye, and X. Wan, "Tf-yolo: A transformer–fusion-based yolo detector for multimodal pedestrian detection in autonomous driving scenes," *World Electric Vehicle Journal*, vol. 14, no. 12, 2023. All Open Access, Gold Open Access.
- [30] F. Wu, Q. Wang, Z. Wang, S. Yu, Y. Li, B. Zhang, and E. G. Lim, "Correction: Itcontrast: contrastive learning with hard negative synthesis for image-text matching (the visual computer, (2024), 10.1007/s00371-024-03274-w)," *Visual Computer*, 2024. All Open Access, Hybrid Gold Open Access.
- [31] N. Cheng, Z. Zhang, J. Pan, X.-N. Li, W.-Y. Chen, G.-H. Zhang, and W.-H. Yang, "Mcstranswnet: A new deep learning process for postoperative corneal topography prediction based on raw multimodal data from the pentacam hr system," *Medicine in Novel Technology and Devices*, vol. 21, 2024. All Open Access, Gold Open Access.
- [32] H. Narotamo, M. Dias, R. Santos, A. V. Carreiro, H. Gamboa, and M. Silveira, "Deep learning for ecg classification: A comparative study of 1d and 2d representations and multimodal fusion approaches," *Biomedical*

Signal Processing and Control, vol. 93, 2024. All Open Access, Hybrid Gold Open Access.
[33] R. Feldt and A. Magazinius, "Validity Threats in Empirical Software Engineering Research – An Initial Survey," in International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering, 2010.

This figure "example.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/2407.12438v1