

ON A FIBER BUNDLE VERSION OF THE CAPORASO-HARRIS FORMULA

INDRANIL BISWAS, APRATIM CHOUDHURY, NILKANTHA DAS, AND RITWIK MUKHERJEE

ABSTRACT. The Caporaso-Harris formula gives a recursive algorithm to enumerate δ -nodal degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^2 . The recursion is obtained in terms of curves of lower degree that are tangent to a given divisor. This paper presents two generalizations of this method. The first result is on enumeration of one cuspidal curves on \mathbb{P}^2 , and the second result is an extension to the fiber bundle setting. We solve the question of counting the characteristic number planar nodal cubics in \mathbb{P}^3 by extending the idea in [2].

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	1
2	Main Results	2
3	Caporaso-Harris formula for one nodal curves	3
3.1	Cycle version	7
3.2	Numerical invariants: enumerating curves with a free node	21
3.3	Numerical invariants: enumerating curves with the node lying on a line	22
4	Extension of Caporaso-Harris to one cuspidal curves	23
5	Extension of Caporaso-Harris for a family of nodal cubics	27
6	Computation of the characteristic number of conics in \mathbb{P}^3	34
6.1	Smooth conics	35
6.2	Nodal conics	36
6.3	Smooth conics tangent to a line	36
7	Acknowledgements	37
	References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

The Caporaso-Harris formula was a landmark achievement in the field of enumerative geometry. Very simply stated, it solves the following problem: how many δ -nodal degree d curves are there on \mathbb{P}^2 that pass through $\frac{d(d+3)}{2} - \delta$ generic points? Their formula [2, p. 348, Theorem 1.1] is a recursion in terms of curves of lower degree which can be tangent (of higher order) to a given line. More generally, they obtain a formula for the characteristic number of curves in \mathbb{P}^2 , having δ -nodes, that intersect a given line tangentially at multiple points.

The underlying geometric idea behind the Caporaso-Harris formula is a degeneration argument. The idea is to move the constraints (points) to the line and compare the resulting numbers. Moving the point to the line usually results in a degenerate locus involving a curve of a lower degree. Iterating this process, one obtains a recursive formula.

A natural question is to count curves with higher singularities. Addressing this, there are all completely different approaches and have nothing to do with the degeneration argument of [2]. It is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14N35, 14J45.

therefore natural to ask: **can the degeneration argument of [2] be used to enumerate curves with higher singularities?**

The goal of this article is twofold. This paper answers the above question when the singularity is a cusp. We expect that with further effort, the degeneration argument can be carried forward to enumerate curves with even more degenerate singularities (such as tacnodes, triple-points etc).

The second result of this paper is explained with some background to put it in perspective. A typical question in enumerative geometry is about the number of objects (curves, for example) in a fixed space satisfying certain constraints. In [5], [6] and [7], Kleiman and Piene introduce a very natural generalization to this question. Suppose we have a **family** of varieties (i.e., a fiber bundle). How many curves are there in this fiber bundle such that each curve lies inside a single fiber and satisfies certain constraints? A prototypical example to keep in mind is as follows: enumeration of planar degree d -curves in \mathbb{P}^3 (i.e., curves, whose image lies inside some \mathbb{P}^2), that satisfy certain constraints. In [9], Ties Laarakker obtains a formula for the number of δ -nodal planar degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^3 , that intersect the correct number of generic lines. He solves that question by extending the idea of Kool, Shende and Thomas, [8], where they solve the question of enumerating δ -nodal curves in a fixed \mathbb{P}^2 .

With this in the background, it is natural to ask whether the degeneration method of Caporaso-Harris can be used to recover the numbers obtained by Ties Laarakker.

The main problem in extending this idea to count nodal planar cubics in \mathbb{P}^3 is as follows: what exactly would be the analogue of the process of “moving points on a line” in the case of a moving family of \mathbb{P}^2 ? The solution lies in interpreting the Caporaso-Harris formula on the level of cycles in a suitable space (something more general than [2, p. 351, Theorem 1.2]). Once we interpret the formula suitably on the level of cycles, extending it to a family version is conceptually straightforward.

We show that by extending the degeneration argument of Caporaso-Harris, it is possible to compute the characteristic number of nodal planar cubics in \mathbb{P}^3 . This is the second result of this paper. The numbers obtained agree with those in [9] and also agree with the numbers obtained by the third and fourth author by alternative means [3], [10]. We hope that the simple example of nodal planar cubics worked out in this paper will enable one in future to solve the question of obtaining all the numbers computed by Ties Laarakker in [9].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let us now state the two main results of this paper. Let $N_d(A_1)$ denote the number of nodal degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^2 passing through $\delta_d - 1$ generic points, where

$$\delta_d := \frac{d(d+3)}{2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Denote by $N_d(T_1)$ the number of degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^2 , tangent to a given line (of first order) and passing through $\delta_d - 1$ generic points. A special case of [2, p. 348, Theorem 1.1] is

$$N_d(A_1) = (2d - 1) + N_{d-1}(A_1) + 2N_{d-1}(T_1). \quad (2.2)$$

Denote by $N_d(A_1^{\natural})$ to be the number of degree d curves with a node lying on a generic line and passing through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points. Also, let $N_d(T_1^{\text{Pt}})$ denote the number of degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^2 tangent to a given line at a given point and passing through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points. Denote the number of degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^2 having a cusp and passing through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points by $N_d(A_2)$. Finally, let $N_d(T_2)$ denote the number of degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^2 tangent to a given line (to second order) and passing through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points.

The first main result (it is proved in Section 3):

Theorem 2.1. *With the notations as above, the following two identities hold for $d \geq 3$:*

$$N_d(A_1^\perp) = 1 + N_{d-1}(A_1^\perp) + 2N_{d-1}(T_1^{\text{Pt}}), \quad (2.3)$$

$$N_d(A_2) = 3N_{d-1}(T_1) + 3dN_{d-1}(T_1^{\text{Pt}}) + N_{d-1}(A_2) + 3N_{d-1}(A_1^\perp) + 2N_{d-1}(T_2). \quad (2.4)$$

First of all, note that $N_d(T_k)$ and $N_d(T_k^{\text{Pt}})$ can be computed from the Caporaso-Harris formula for all $d \geq 1$ combined with eqs. (2.3) and (2.4).

Next note that $N_2(A_1^\perp) = 3$. To see why this is so, observe that $N_2(A_1^\perp)$ is the number of nodal conics passing through three generic points, with the node lying on a generic line. This is simply counting the number of pairs of lines passing through three generic points, with the point of intersection lying on a generic line. We can place one of the lines through two of the three points. There are three ways to do that. Once we place the first line through two of the three given points, the second line gets fixed (since it is the unique line passing through the third remaining point and the point of intersection of the first line with the line on which the nodal point has to lie). Hence, $N_2(A_1^\perp)$ is equal to 3.

Finally, it can be shown that $N_2(A_2) = 0$. To see this, we note that $N_2(A_2)$ is the number of conics passing through three generic points having a cusp. Since a double line does not pass through three generic points, it follows that the number is zero.

These initial conditions enable us to use eq. (2.4) to recursively compute $N_d(A_2)$ for all d . For the convenience of the reader we display the values of $N_d(A_2)$ for the first few values of d

d	3	4	5	6	7	8
$N_d(A_2)$	24	72	144	240	360	504

TABLE 1.

It may be mentioned that although the computation of $N_d(A_2)$ has been done earlier by other means (see for example the approach in Eisenbud's book [4, Section 11.4]), the degeneration formula eq. (2.4) itself is new. The numbers displayed in Table 1 agree with those obtained by the formula given in [4, Proposition 11.4, Page 416].

The second result (it is proved in Section 5):

Theorem 2.2. *Let $N_3^{\text{Pl}}(A_1)(r, s)$ denote the number of nodal planar cubics in \mathbb{P}^3 that intersect r generic lines and s generic points, where $r + 2s = 11$. By extending the degeneration argument of Caporaso-Harris, this number can be computed and is found to be*

(r, s)	(11, 0)	(9, 1)	(7, 2)	(5, 3)
$N_3^{\text{Pl}}(A_1)(r, s)$	12960	1392	144	12

TABLE 2.

Note that $N_3^{\text{Pl}}(A_1)(r, s)$ is automatically zero if s is greater than or equal to four because four generic points do not lie in a plane. The values given in Table 2 are in agreement with what is obtained in the papers [3], [9] and [10]. We have written a mathematica program to implement the computations of Section 5. The program is available on the fourth author's homepage

<https://www.sites.google.com/site/ritwik371/home>

3. CAPORASO-HARRIS FORMULA FOR ONE NODAL CURVES

In this section the following question is addressed:

How many degree d curves are there in \mathbb{P}^2 that have a node and pass through $\delta_d - 1$ generic points? (see eq. (2.1))

Let $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, k)$ be the number of nodal degree d curves, passing through $\delta_d - 1 - k$ generic points and k points on a line; also, $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, 0)$ is written as $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1)$ for short.

It is implied by [2, p. 348, Theorem 1.1] that

$$\begin{aligned} N_d(\mathbf{A}_1) &= N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, 1), \\ N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, 1) &= N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, 2), \\ &\dots \\ N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d-2) &= N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d-1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In other words,

$$N_d(\mathbf{A}_1) = N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d-1). \tag{3.2}$$

Hence, the number of nodal degree d curves passing through $\delta_d - 1$ generic points coincides with the number of nodal degree d curves passing through $\delta_d - d$ generic points and $(d-1)$ collinear points. Intuitively, this is saying that if we move some of the point constraints to a line, the resulting count of curves is still the same.

Unwinding the formula [2, p. 348, Theorem 1.1] further, it follows that

$$N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d-1) \neq N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d). \tag{3.3}$$

Let us try to understand this via the example of cubics. Equation (3.2) says that the number of nodal cubics through 8 generic points is the same as the number of nodal cubics through 6 generic points and 2 points on a line. Equation (3.3) on the other hand says that this number is *not* the same as the number of nodal cubics through 5 generic points and 3 points on a line. The underlying geometric reason for eq. (3.3) is as follows: when the d^{th} point is moved to the line, we get a degenerate contribution from a curve of degree $d-1$. How then are the two numbers $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d-1)$ and $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d)$ related?

Denote the number of smooth degree $d-1$ curves passing through δ_{d-1} generic points by $N_{d-1}(\mathbf{S})$. This number is obviously 1, but let us answer the earlier question in terms of $N_{d-1}(\mathbf{S})$ (which will make the equation geometrically more transparent). Unwinding [2, p. 348, Theorem 1.1], it follows that

$$N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d-1) = N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d) + (d-1)N_{d-1}(\mathbf{S}). \tag{3.4}$$

Using eqs. (3.2) and (3.4), the question of computing $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1)$ has got reduced to a question of computing $N_{d-1}(\mathbf{S})$ and $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d)$. Since we know that $N_{d-1}(\mathbf{S}) = 1$, the question has now been reduced to the computation of $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d)$.

Recall that $N_{d-1}(\mathbf{T}_1)$ is defined to be the number of smooth degree $d-1$ curves passing through $\delta_{d-1} - 1$ generic points and tangent to a line. Unwinding [2, Theorem 1.1] further, we get that

$$N_d(\mathbf{A}_1, d) = N_{d-1}(\mathbf{A}_1) + dN_{d-1}(\mathbf{S}) + 2N_{d-1}(\mathbf{T}_1). \tag{3.5}$$

Equations (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5) combined with the fact that $N_{d-1}(\mathbf{S}) = 1$ give eq. (2.2).

Let $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1^{\perp}, k)$ denote the number of nodal degree d curves, with the nodal point lying on a line and passing through $\delta_d - 2 - k$ generic points and k points on a line. Note that the line on which the nodal point lies and the line on which the k points lie are different. Again, when $k = 0$, we omit writing the k , i.e., $N_d(\mathbf{A}_1^{\perp}) := N_d(\mathbf{A}_1^{\perp}, 0)$. Analogous to how eq. (3.1) is proved, it will similarly be

shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L) &= \mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, 1), \\ \mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, 1) &= \mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, 2), \\ &\dots \\ \mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, d-2) &= \mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, d-1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

In other words,

$$\mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L) = \mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, d-1). \quad (3.7)$$

Next, similar to how eq. (3.4) is proved, it will be shown that

$$\mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, d-1) = \mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, d) + \mathbf{N}_{d-1}(\mathbf{S}). \quad (3.8)$$

Finally, similar to how eq. (3.5) is proved, it will be shown that

$$\mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L, d) = \mathbf{N}_{d-1}(\mathbf{A}_1^L) + 2\mathbf{N}_{d-1}(\mathbf{T}_1^{\text{Pt}}). \quad (3.9)$$

Equations (3.7) to (3.9) combined together with the fact that $\mathbf{N}_{d-1}(\mathbf{S}) = 1$, produce eq. (2.3).

Let us now explain this entire degeneration process in greater detail. Denote by \mathcal{D}_d the projective space of dimension δ_d given by the curves of degree d on the projective plane. Denote the hyperplane class in \mathcal{D}_d by y_d . Let X^1 be a copy of \mathbb{P}^2 ; its hyperplane class will be denoted by b_1 . Define

$$\mathbf{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_d \times X^1$$

to be the locus of all (H_d, p) such that the curve H_d has a node at p . Let $[\mathbf{A}_1]$ denote the homology class represented by the closure of \mathbf{A}_1 in $\mathcal{D}_d \times X^1$. Note that $[\mathbf{A}_1]$ is a polynomial in y_d and b_1 ; knowing this polynomial will enable us to compute all the characteristic number of nodal degree d -curves.

For example, note that

$$[\mathbf{A}_1] \cdot y_d^{\delta_d-1}$$

is precisely equal to $\mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1)$, the number of nodal degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^2 that pass through $\delta_d - 1$ generic points. However, knowing $[\mathbf{A}_1]$ also enables us to compute some other numbers. For example,

$$[\mathbf{A}_1] \cdot y_d^{\delta_d-2} \cdot b_1$$

is equal to $\mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1^L)$, the number of nodal degree d curves in \mathbb{P}^2 that pass through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points with the nodal point lying on a line.

Instead of focussing on computing the *number* $\mathbf{N}_d(\mathbf{A}_1)$, we will focus on computing the homology class represented by the closure of the cycle \mathbf{A}_1 . It may be mentioned that this class is actually not computed by Caporaso-Harris. Before proceeding further, let us define a few spaces that will be useful later.

Let d be a positive integer and n, m be non-negative integers. Define

$$\mathbf{M}_n^m(d) := \mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_d \times (X^1 \times \dots \times X^m) \times (X_1 \times \dots \times X_n),$$

where each X^j or X_i is \mathbb{P}^2 . The hyperplane classes of \mathcal{D}_1 , \mathcal{D}_d , X^j and X_i will be denoted by y_1, y_d, b_j and a_i respectively. For notational ease, $\mathbf{M}_n^m(d)$ will be abbreviated by \mathbf{M}_n^m . Given a subspace \mathbf{Z} of \mathbf{M}_n^m , the homology class represented by the closure of \mathbf{Z} in \mathbf{M}_n^m will be denoted by $[\mathbf{Z}]$.

Define $\mathbf{A}_1^F \subset \mathbf{M}_0^1$ to be the locus of all (H_1, H_d, p) such that the curve H_d has a node at p and p does not lie on H_1 . Let

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \dots \mathbf{T}_0}_{n\text{-times}} \subset \mathbf{M}_n^1 \quad (3.10)$$

be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that

- the curve H_d has a node at p ,
- the curve H_d intersects H_1 at x_1, \dots, x_n transversally,
- the points x_1, \dots, x_n are all distinct, and
- the nodal point p does not lie on H_1 .

The space can be pictorially described as follows:




FIGURE 1. $A_1^F T_0 \cdots T_0$

Similarly, define

$$A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{n\text{-times}} F \subset M_{n+1}^1 \quad (3.11)$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$ such that

- the curve H_d has a node at p ,
- the curve H_d intersects H_1 at x_1, \dots, x_n transversally,
- the points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}$ are all distinct, and
- the nodal point p does not lie on H_1 .

The space can be pictorially described as follows:




FIGURE 2. $A_1^F T_0 \cdots T_0 F$

Next, define

$$(x_i \in L) \subset M_n^1$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that x_i lies on the line H_1 . Similarly, define

$$(x_i \in C_d) \subset M_n^1$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that x_i lies on the curve H_d .

Finally, for $i \neq j$, define

$$(x_i = x_j) \subset M_n^1$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that x_i is equal to x_j . Similarly, define

$$(p = x_j) \subset M_n^1$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that p is equal to x_j .

Let us now recall a few standard facts; the following equalities of homology classes in M_n^1 hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_i = x_j)] &= a_i^2 + a_i a_j + a_j^2, & [(p = x_j)] &= b_1^2 + b_1 a_j + a_j^2, \\ [(x_i \in L)] &= y_1 + a_i, & \text{and} & & [(x_i \in C_d)] &= y_d + d a_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

3.1. Cycle version. We are now ready to state the main results on the level of cycles. We will first state and prove all the statements on cycle level. Enumerative information are extracted from them in Section 3.2.

Theorem 3.1. *The following equality of homology classes hold in $M_1^1(d)$:*

$$[A_1^F F] \cdot [(x_1 \in L)] \cdot [(x_1 \in C_d)] = [A_1^F T_0],$$

where $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$.

Theorem 3.1 is a special case of the following theorem when $n = 0$. We will prove this directly.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 2}$, and let n be an integer such that*

$$0 \leq n \leq d - 2.$$

Then, on $M_{n+1}^1(d)$ the following equality of homology classes hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_n F] \cdot [(x_{n+1} \in L)] \cdot [(x_{n+1} \in C_d)] &= [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{(n+1)\text{-times}}] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_n F] \cdot [(x_i = x_{n+1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Remark 3.3. Let us first examine the validity of eq. (3.13) on set-theoretic level. Consider the first term on the left-hand side, namely

$$[A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_n F].$$

It is represented by the closure of the following space: a line, a nodal curve with the node not lying on the line and $n + 1$ distinct points (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) , such that the curve intersects the line transversally at the points (x_1, \dots, x_n) . The last point x_{n+1} is free (it does not have to lie on either the line or the curve).

Now consider the second and third factor on the left-hand side of eq. (3.13), namely

$$[(x_{n+1} \in L)] \cdot [(x_{n+1} \in C_d)].$$

It is represented by the following space: a line, a curve and $n + 1$ points (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) , such that the points (x_1, \dots, x_n) are free, while the last point x_{n+1} lies on the line and the curve.

The set-theoretic intersection of the above two spaces has two possibilities. The first case to consider is when the nodal point does not lie on the line. Corresponding to this, there are two sub-cases. The first sub-case is that the point x_{n+1} is distinct from all the other points (x_1, \dots, x_n) . The closure of that space represents the first term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.13).

The second sub-case is that the point x_{n+1} could be equal to one of the x_i (for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$). The closure of that space gives us the second term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.13).

The second possibility to consider is that the nodal point lies on the line. It will be shown that this component has a high codimension and hence will not intersect a cycle of complementary dimension.

First note that $M_{n+1}^1(d)$ is a manifold of dimension $\delta_d + 2n + 6$. Equation (3.13) is an equality of classes of codimension $2n + 5$. This is because A_1^F is a codimension three class. Furthermore, imposing the condition that the point lies on both the line and the curve is a codimension 2 condition. Hence, the total codimension is

$$3 + 2(n + 1) = 2n + 5.$$

Therefore, the dimension of the homology cycles representing each side of eq. (3.13) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a &:= \delta_d + 2n + 6 - (2n + 5) \\ &= \frac{d^2}{2} + \frac{3d}{2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now the dimension of the component where the nodal point lies on the line will be computed. More precisely, we are looking at the space of degree d curves, with a nodal point on the designated line and $n + 1$ points lying on the line. Assume further that the curve is either irreducible or even if it is reducible, the designated line is not one of the components of the curve (i.e., there exists points on the line that do not lie on the curve). The nodal point lying on the line is a codimension 4 condition. Each of the $(n + 1)$ marked points lying on the line and curve is a codimension 2 condition. Hence, the total codimension of this space is $2n + 6$. This is strictly greater than $2n + 5$ and hence it does not contribute to the intersection.

Now look at the space of degree d curves, with a nodal point on the designated line and $n + 1$ points lying on the line. Furthermore, assume that the curve is reducible, with the line being one of the components of the curve. The dimension of this space is given by

$$\begin{aligned} b &:= 2 + \delta_{d-1} + (n + 1). \\ &= \frac{d^2}{2} + \frac{d}{2} + n + 2. \end{aligned}$$

To see why this is so, note that the space of lines is two-dimensional and the space of degree $d - 1$ curves is of dimension δ_{d-1} . We are now allowed to place $n + 1$ points on the line; each point has one degree of freedom. Hence, the total dimension of the space is $2 + \delta_{d-1} + (n + 1)$.

Note that

$$b - a = n - (d - 1). \tag{3.14}$$

Hence, if $n < d - 1$, then $b < a$; this implies that the space of reducible curves with the line being one of the components does not contribute to the intersection if $n < d - 1$. Notice the importance of the inequality $n < d - 1$ for this argument (as we will see in the next theorem, this component does contribute to the intersection).

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Following the discussion in Remark 3.3, it suffices to show that the relevant intersections are transverse and that the multiplicities of the intersections for the second term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.13) are all one.

We claim that the open part of each of the cycles is actually a smooth manifold of the expected dimension. This can be proved by showing that A_1^F is a smooth submanifold of M_0^1 of codimension

three (i.e., it has the expected dimension). This is a local statement, we switch to affine spaces. Let \mathcal{F}_d be the space of polynomials in two variables of degree at most d . This is a vector space of dimension $\frac{d(d+3)}{2} + 1$, because an element of \mathcal{F}_d can be viewed as

$$f(x, y) := f_{00} + f_{10}x + f_{01}y + \frac{f_{20}}{2}x^2 + f_{11}xy + \frac{f_{02}}{2}y^2 + \cdots + \frac{f_{0d}}{d!}y^d,$$

and hence f can be identified with $(f_{00}, f_{10}, f_{01}, \dots, f_{0d})$. Let \mathcal{F}_d^* denote the space of all non-zero polynomials of degree d .

Define $(\mathbf{A}_1^F)_{\text{Aff}}$ to be the following subspace of $\mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2$: it consists of a curve f and a pair of complex numbers $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that the curve has a node at (x, y) . It will be shown that $(\mathbf{A}_1^F)_{\text{Aff}}$ is a smooth submanifold of $\mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2$.

Consider the map

$$\varphi : \mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3, \quad (f, (x, y)) \longmapsto (f(x, y), f_x(x, y), f_y(x, y)).$$

It suffices to show that 0 is a regular value of φ . Consider the polynomials $\eta_{ij}(x, y)$ given by

$$\eta_{00}(x, y) := 1, \quad \eta_{10}(x, y) := x, \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{01}(x, y) := y.$$

Let $\gamma_{ij}(t)$ be the curve given by

$$\gamma_{ij}(t) := (f + t\eta_{ij}, x).$$

Computing the differential of $d\varphi$ on these curves proves transversality.

Next, we will show that $\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0$ is a smooth submanifold of \mathbf{M}_1^1 of codimension five. Let us now fix the \mathbf{x} -axis as the designated line. Define $(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Aff}}$ to be the following subspace of $\mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$: it consists of a curve f and a triple of complex numbers $(x, y, x_1) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that f has a node at (x, y) and it intersects the \mathbf{x} -axis at $(x_1, 0)$ transversally. Furthermore, we have $y \neq 0$ (this corresponds to the condition that the nodal point does not lie on the line). It will be shown that $(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Aff}}$ is a smooth submanifold of $\mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^3$. For that, define the map

$$\varphi : \mathbf{A}_1^F \times \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (f, (x, y), x_1) \longmapsto f(x_1, 0).$$

In order to show that $(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Aff}}$ is a smooth submanifold of $\mathbf{A}_1^F \times \mathbb{C}$, it suffices to prove that 0 is a regular value of φ . To show that 0 is a regular value of φ , assume that $\varphi(f, (x_0, y_0), x_1) = 0$. For computing the differential of φ at $(f, (x_0, y_0), x_1)$, consider the following curve

$$\gamma(t) := (f + t\eta, (x_0, y_0), x_1),$$

where η is as yet an unspecified polynomial. We need to choose η in such a way that

$$\{d\varphi|_{(f, (x_0, y_0), x_1)}\}(\gamma'(0))$$

is non-zero. Furthermore, $f + t\eta$ should have a node at (x_0, y_0) for all t . Since f has a node at (x_0, y_0) , this is equivalent to the condition that

$$\eta(x_0, y_0) = 0, \quad \eta_x(x_0, y_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_y(x_0, y_0) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Now note that the differential of φ is given by

$$\left\{d\varphi|_{(f, (x, y), x_1)}\right\}(\gamma'(0)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f + t\eta)(x_1, 0) - f(x_1, 0)}{t} = \eta(x_1, 0).$$

Hence, in addition to the conditions of eq. (3.15), it is required that $\eta(x_1, 0) \neq 0$. We now show that such an η exists. Let

$$\eta(x, y) := (y - y_0)^2.$$

Since $y_0 \neq 0$, it follows that all the four conditions are satisfied. This proves that $(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Aff}}$ is a smooth submanifold of $\mathbf{A}_1^F \times \mathbb{C}$.

Next, we will show that $A_1^F T_0 T_0$ is a smooth submanifold of M_2^1 of codimension seven. As before, we switch to affine space and define $(A_1^F T_0 T_0)_{\text{Aff}}$ as follows:

$$(A_1^F T_0 T_0)_{\text{Aff}} := \{(f, (x_0, y_0), x_1, x_2) \in (A_1^F T_0)_{\text{Aff}} \times \mathbb{C} \mid f(x_2, 0) = 0, x_1 \neq x_2\}.$$

To show that $(A_1^F T_0 T_0)_{\text{Aff}}$ is a smooth submanifold of $(A_1^F T_0)_{\text{Aff}} \times \mathbb{C}$, define the map

$$\varphi : (A_1^F T_0)_{\text{Aff}} \times \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (f, (x_0, y_0), x_1, x_2) \longmapsto f(x_2, 0).$$

It suffices to show that the differential of φ is nonzero when $x_1 \neq x_2$ and when $y_0 \neq 0$. To compute the differential of φ at $(f, (x_0, y_0), x_1, x_2)$, consider the curve

$$\gamma(t) := (f + t\eta, (x_0, y_0), x_1, x_2),$$

where η is as yet an unspecified polynomial. It should be so that

$$\{d\varphi|_{(f, x_1, x_2)}\}(\gamma'(0))$$

is non-zero. Furthermore, the curve $\gamma(t)$ is required to lie in $(A_1^F T_0)_{\text{Aff}} \times \mathbb{C}$ for all t . This means that eq. (3.15) must be satisfied and furthermore

$$\eta(x_1, 0) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

The differential is given by

$$\{d\varphi|_{(f, (x_0, y_0), x_1, x_2)}\}(\gamma'(0)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f + t\eta)(x_2, 0) - f(x_2, 0)}{t} = \eta(x_2, 0).$$

Hence, η should satisfy eqs. (3.15) and (3.16), and $\eta(x_2, 0) \neq 0$. Such an η exists; for example consider

$$\eta(x, y) := (y - y_0)^2(x - x_1).$$

Notice that the conditions $y_0 \neq 0$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$ are crucial. We also need $d \geq 3$ for the argument to work (since the polynomial η is of degree 3).

Using a similar argument, it is seen that

$$\underbrace{[A_1^F T_0 \cdots T_0]}_{n\text{-times}}$$

is a smooth submanifold of M_n^1 of codimension $3 + 2n$, provided $n \leq d - 2$. The bound on d enables one to construct the desired curve η that is needed to prove the transversality.

Now the multiplicities of the intersections will be computed. The above transversality shows that the first term in the right-hand side of eq. (3.13) occurs with multiplicity one. To justify the intersection multiplicities of the remaining terms, consider the situation where x_1 coincides with x_{n+1} . For convenience, set x_{n+1} to be equal to zero (i.e., the last point is the origin); in that case, f intersects the x -axis transversally at the origin. We are now going to study the multiplicity with which the evaluation map vanishes at the origin. Hence, f is such that f_{00} vanishes. It is given by

$$f(x, y) = f_{10}x + f_{01}y + \frac{f_{20}}{2}x^2 + f_{11}xy + \frac{f_{02}}{2}y^2 + \cdots.$$

Now consider the evaluation map

$$\varphi(f, x) := f(x, 0) = f_{10}x + \frac{f_{20}}{2}x^2 + \cdots.$$

The order of vanishing of φ is clearly 1, provided $f_{10} \neq 0$. But that assumption is valid, since f intersects the x -axis transversally at the origin. Hence, the order of vanishing is 1. This proves the equality in eq. (3.13). \square

Theorem 3.2 is false, when $n = d - 1$. Before stating the correct statement for $n = d - 1$, we need to develop some more notation. Define

$$\mathbf{R}_n(d) \subset \mathbf{M}_n^1(d) \tag{3.17}$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that

- the curve H_d is the union of the line H_1 and a degree $d - 1$ curve,
- the degree $d - 1$ curve is smooth,
- the degree $d - 1$ curve intersects H_1 transversally at all the points.
- the point p is one of the points of intersection of the $d - 1$ curve and H_1 , and
- the points x_1, \dots, x_n are all distinct and lie on H_1 ; they are also distinct from p .

The space can be pictorially described as follows:




FIGURE 3. $\mathbf{R}_n(d)$

Remark 3.4. Note that the black and orange lines in figure 3 are actually supposed to represent the same line. We distinguish them to keep in mind that the line is a part of a reducible curve, as well as a line in its own moduli space. This remark also applies to the rest of the pictures in this section.

Insertion of one more point on both the line and the curve would yield the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 3}$. Then, the following equality of homology classes holds in $\mathbf{M}_d^1(d)$*

$$\begin{aligned} [\underbrace{\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \cdots \mathbf{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot [(x_d \in \mathbf{L})] \cdot [(x_d \in \mathbf{C}_d)] &= [\underbrace{\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \cdots \mathbf{T}_0}_{d\text{-times}}] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} [\underbrace{\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \cdots \mathbf{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot [(x_i = x_d)] + [\mathbf{R}_d(d)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Remark 3.6. Let us first analyze the following set theoretic question: consider the component of the closure of

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \cdots \mathbf{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}}$$

when the nodal point p lies on the line. If the nodal point is equal to one of the other points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} , then a dimension counting argument shows that this component will not contribute to the intersection. Now assume that this point is different from all the other marked points. This is possible only if the curve is reducible and the line is one of the components of the curve. To see

why this is so, note that if the line is not one of the components, then the nodal point contributes at least 2 to the intersection and there are $d - 1$ other distinct points. The curve intersects the line at $d + 1$ points; this is impossible, since the curve has degree d .

Hence the only configuration that can potentially arise (that contributes to the intersection) is a reducible curve, with one of the components the line and the remaining points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} lying on the line, with the nodal point being distinct from all of them. Recall from eq. (3.14) that since n is now equal to $d - 1$, dimensional considerations do not rule out this configuration. Theorem 3.5 states that this configuration and x_d coincides with any of the x_i 's are the only possibility that can occur in the degeneration.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. We continue with the setup of Theorem 3.2. The first and second terms on the right-hand side are justified as before. Let us now justify the third term, which is the new thing that occurs. It will be shown that this configuration actually arises. In other words, we need to show that

$$\overline{\mathbf{R}}_d(d) \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}_1^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbf{T}_0 \cdots \mathbf{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbf{F}}. \quad (3.19)$$

It suffices to show that

$$\mathbf{R}_d(d) \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}_1^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbf{T}_0 \cdots \mathbf{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbf{F}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Let $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d)$ be an arbitrary point of $\mathbf{R}_d(d)$. We will switch to affine space as before. Assume the line H_1 is the x -axis and the nodal point p is the origin $(0, 0)$. Also assume that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} are given by $(a_1, 0), (a_2, 0), \dots, (a_{d-1}, 0)$. Since these points are all distinct and also not equal to the nodal point, it follows that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1} and 0 are all distinct.

Since H_d is a reducible curve, with the line H_1 being one of the reducible components, it is given in the affine coordinate by a polynomial f of the form

$$f(x, y) = y\varphi(x, y),$$

where $\varphi(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Let us write down $\varphi(x, y)$ explicitly as

$$\varphi(x, y) := b_{00} + b_{10}x + b_{01}y + \dots + \frac{b_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}.$$

Recall that the origin is a nodal point of f . By definition, ϕ passes through the origin and intersect the x -axis transversally at origin. Hence, $b_{00} = 0$ and $b_{10} \neq 0$.

Therefore, we have

$$\left(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d \right) \in (\mathbf{R}_d(d))_{\text{Aff}} \subset \mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^d.$$

To prove eq. (3.20), it suffices to show the following:

given any sufficiently small open set U of $\mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^d$ containing $(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d)$, there exists an element

$$\left(F, (s, t), A_1, \dots, A_{d-1}, A_d \right) \in U$$

such that

$$\left(F, (s, t), A_1, \dots, A_{d-1}, A_d \right) \in \left(\mathbf{A}_1^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbf{T}_0 \cdots \mathbf{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbf{F} \right)_{\text{Aff}}. \quad (3.21)$$

This element in (3.21) will be constructed explicitly. First of all, note that the curve given by F , if exists, passes through the points $(A_1, 0), \dots, (A_{d-1}, 0)$. Hence, F has to be of the form

$$F(x, y) = (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_{d-1})(C_0 + C_1x) + y\Phi(x, y),$$

where Φ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Write down Φ explicitly as

$$\Phi(x, y) := B_{00} + B_{10}x + B_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{B_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}.$$

Since U is a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of $(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d)$, it follows that C_0, C_1 and B_{00} are small and B_{10} is non-zero. Furthermore, A_i are all close to a_i . Hence, A_1, \dots, A_{d-1} are all distinct and different from 0. We now wish to find a point (s, t) close to the origin, such that $t \neq 0$ (i.e., the point (s, t) does not lie on the x -axis) and is a nodal point of F . Hence, it is needed to solve the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} F(s, t) = 0, \quad F_x(s, t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_y(s, t) = 0, \\ \text{where} \quad t \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (s, t) \text{ is small.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

To make the computations more convenient, rewrite $F(x, y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= Q(x)(C_0 + C_1x) + y(B_{00} + P(x, y)), \quad \text{where} \\ Q(x) &:= (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_{d-1}), \quad \text{and} \\ P(x, y) &:= B_{10}x + B_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{B_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Using eq. (3.22), we can solve for C_0, C_1 and B_{00} , which produce

$$\begin{aligned} B_{00} &= -P(s, t) - tP_y(s, t), \\ C_0 &= -\frac{-t^2Q(s)P_y(s, t) - st^2Q'(s)P_y(s, t) - stQ(s)P_x(s, t)}{Q(s)^2}, \quad \text{and} \\ C_1 &= -\frac{t^2Q'(s)P_y(s, t) + tQ(s)P_x(s, t)}{Q(s)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Since the A_i are all distinct from zero, it follows that $Q(0) \neq 0$. Since s is close to 0, $Q(s) \neq 0$. Hence, we are able to construct the desired solution given by eq. (3.24). This proves eq. (3.20), and hence proves eq. (3.19).

Next, to compute the multiplicity of the intersection, first note that *every* curve close to f is given by eq. (3.24). To compute the multiplicity of the intersection, it is necessary to compute $F(a_d, 0)$, where a_d is distinct from 0, a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} . Using eqs. (3.23) and (3.24), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(a_d, 0) &= Q(a_d)(C_0 + C_1a_d) \\ &= \frac{Q(a_d)a_dB_{10}}{Q(0)}t + O(t^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Since a_d is distinct from the other a_i , it follows (using eq. (3.23) and the fact that A_i 's are close to a_i) that $Q(a_d)$ is non-zero. Furthermore, $a_d \neq 0$, since $(a_d, 0)$ is not the nodal point of the curve (which is the origin). Since $Q(a_d) \neq 0, a_d \neq 0, B_{10} \neq 0$ and $Q(0) \neq 0$, we conclude from eq. (3.25) that the multiplicity of the intersection is 1. The multiplicity computation completes the proof of Theorem 3.5. \square

The statement of Theorem 3.5 is also false when $n = d$. Before stating the correct statement for $n = d$, we need to develop yet more notation. Define

$$(\text{RT}_1)_n(d) \subset \mathbb{M}_n^1(d) \quad (3.26)$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that

- the curve H_d is the union of the line H_1 and a degree $d - 1$ curve,
- the degree $d - 1$ curve is smooth,

- the degree $d - 1$ curve intersects H_1 tangentially to first order at the point p ; the remaining points of intersection are transverse, and
- the points x_1, \dots, x_n are all distinct and lie on H_1 ; they are also distinct from p .

The space can be pictorially described as follows:




FIGURE 4. $(RT_1)_n(d)$

Also define

$$(RA_1^F)_n(d) \subset M_n^1(d) \quad (3.27)$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that

- the curve H_d is the union of the line H_1 and a degree $d - 1$ curve,
- the degree $d - 1$ curve is has a nodal point at p ,
- the nodal point p does not lie on H_1 ,
- the degree $d - 1$ curve intersects H_1 transversally, and
- the points x_1, \dots, x_n are all distinct and lie on H_1 .

The space can be pictorially described as follows:




FIGURE 5. $(RA_1^F)_n(d)$

Finally, define

$$R_n^i(d) \subset M_n^1(d) \quad (3.28)$$

to be the locus of all $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that

- the curve H_d is the union of H_1 and a degree $d - 1$ curve,
- the degree $d - 1$ curve is smooth,
- the degree $d - 1$ curve intersects H_1 transversally at all the points,
- the point p is one of the points of intersection of the $d - 1$ curve and H_1 ,
- the points x_1, \dots, x_n are all distinct and lie on H_1 , and
- The point p is equal to x_i .

The space can be pictorially described as follows:




FIGURE 6. $R_n^1(d)$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 3}$. Then, the following equality of homology classes in $M_{d+1}^1(d)$ holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} F] \cdot [(x_{d+1} \in L)] \cdot [(x_{d+1} \in \mathcal{C}_d)] &= \sum_{i=1}^d [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} F] \cdot [(x_i = x_{d+1})] \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^d [R_{d+1}^i(d)] \\
 &+ [(RA_1^F)_{d+1}(d)] + 2[(RT_1)_{d+1}(d)]. \quad (3.29)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.7. We continue with the set-up of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.5. The first term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.29) is justified as before. Let us now justify the second, third and fourth terms. Let us first analyze the following situation: consider the component of the closure of

$$A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}}$$

when the nodal point p lies on the line. Unlike before, it is the component of the closure where the nodal point is equal to one of the other points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d will actually contribute to the intersection. This precisely corresponds to the second term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.29).

Next, let us analyze the component of the closure

$$A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}}$$

when the nodal point p lies on the line, but is not equal to any of the other points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d . In such a case, it will be shown that, in the closure, the line is actually going to be tangent to one

of the branches of the node. This corresponds to the third term on the right hand side of eq. (3.29); as it will be shown, it contributes with a multiplicity of 2.

The component of the closure

$$\underbrace{A_1^F T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}}$$

when the nodal point p does not lie on the line corresponds to the second term the right-hand side of eq. (3.29).

For the closure and multiplicity statements, we switch to affine spaces as usual. First make a general convention that works for all the spaces involved here.

Convention 1. Given any point

$$(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \cdots, x_d, x_{d+1}) \in \overline{A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} F} \cap (x_{d+1} \in L)$$

in the affine coordinate, the line H_1 is taken to be the x -axis, the nodal point p is assumed to be the point (a, b) , and the induced element in the affine space is denoted by

$$\left(f, (a, b), a_1, \cdots, a_d, a_{d+1} \right) \in \mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{d+1}.$$

Here, x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_d are given by $(a_1, 0), (a_2, 0), \cdots, (a_d, 0)$. Since these points are all distinct, it follows that a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_d are all distinct. In case, p lines on H_1 , tactically it is assumed to be the origin.

Consider the second term on the right hand side of eq. (3.29). For the closure statement, we need to show that for all $1 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\overline{R_{d+1}^i(d)} \subset \overline{A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} F}. \quad (3.30)$$

As before, we observe that it suffices to show that

$$R_{d+1}^i(d) \subset \overline{A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} F}. \quad (3.31)$$

It is enough to consider the case $i = d$; other assertions are symmetric. Let $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \cdots, x_d, x_{d+1})$ be an arbitrary point of $R_{d+1}^i(d)$. Switching to the affine spaces, the above convention will be followed. Since x_d is equal to the nodal point, it follows that $a_d = 0$.

Since H_d is a reducible curve, with the line H_1 being one of the reducible components, it is given by the zero set of a polynomial f , which is of the type

$$f(x, y) = y\varphi(x, y),$$

where $\varphi(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Write down $\varphi(x, y)$ explicitly as

$$\varphi(x, y) := b_{00} + b_{10}x + b_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{b_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}.$$

Recall that the origin is a nodal point of f . The degree $d - 1$ component passes through the origin and intersect the x -axis transversally at origin. Hence, $b_{00} = 0$ and $b_{10} \neq 0$.

Now note that

$$\left(f, (0, 0), a_1, \cdots, a_d, a_{d+1} \right) \in \left(R_{d+1}^i(d) \right)_{\text{Aff}} \subset \mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{d+1}.$$

To prove eq. (3.31) (equivalently, eq. (3.30)), it suffices to show the following: given any sufficiently small open set U of $\mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ containing $(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_d, a_{d+1})$, there exists an element

$$\left(F, (s, t), A_1, \dots, A_d, A_{d+1} \right) \in \left(A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_d F \right)_{\text{Aff}} \cap U.$$

This is shown using the idea of the proof of Theorem 3.5. Since the curve F passes through the points $(A_1, 0), \dots, (A_{d-1}, 0)$ and $(A_d, 0)$, it is of the form

$$F(x, y) = (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_{d-1})(x - A_d)C_0 + y\Phi(x, y),$$

where Φ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Write Φ explicitly as

$$\Phi(x, y) := B_{00} + B_{10}x + B_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{B_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}.$$

Since U is a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of $(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d, a_{d+1})$, it is deduced that C_0, A_d and B_{00} are small and B_{10} is non-zero (recall that $a_d = 0$). Furthermore, A_1, \dots, A_{d-1} are all distinct and different from 0. We now wish to find a point (s, t) close to the origin, such that $t \neq 0$ (that is, the point (s, t) does not lie on the x -axis) and is a nodal point of F . Hence, it is necessary to solve the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} F(s, t) = 0, \quad F_x(s, t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_y(s, t) = 0, \\ \text{where} \quad t \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (s, t) \text{ is small.} \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

To make the computations more convenient, rewrite $F(x, y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= Q(x)(x - A_d)C_0 + y(B_{00} + P(x, y)), \\ \text{where} \quad Q(x) &:= (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_{d-1}), \\ \text{and} \quad P(x, y) &:= B_{10}x + B_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{B_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Using eq. (3.32), solve for C_0, A_d and B_{00} , and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{00} &= -P(s, t) - tP_y(s, t), \\ C_0 &= -\frac{t^2Q'(s)P_y(s, t) + tQ(s)P_x(s, t)}{Q(s)^2}, \\ A_d &= s + \frac{B_{01}}{B_{10}}t + O(t^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Note that B_{00}, C_0 and A_d are indeed small (i.e., they go to zero as (s, t) goes to $(0, 0)$). Hence, we have constructed solutions to eq. (3.33), which proves eq. (3.30).

To compute the multiplicity of the intersection, first note that *every* curve close to f is given by eq. (3.34). In order to compute the multiplicity of the intersection, we simply need to compute $F(a_{d+1}, 0)$, where a_{d+1} is distinct from 0, a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} . Hence, using eqs. (3.33) and (3.34) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(a_{d+1}, 0) &= Q(a_{d+1})(a_{d+1} - A_d)C_0 \\ &= Q(a_{d+1})(a_{d+1} - A_d)\frac{B_{10}}{Q(0)}t + O(t^2). \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Since a_{d+1} is distinct from the other a_i , it follows using eq. (3.33) (and using the fact that A_i 's are close to a_i) that $Q(a_{d+1})$ is non-zero. Furthermore, $a_{d+1} \neq 0$, because $(a_{d+1}, 0)$ is not the nodal point of the curve (which is the origin). Since

$$Q(a_{d+1}) \neq 0, \quad (a_{d+1} - A_d) \neq 0, \quad B_{10} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q(0) \neq 0,$$

it follows from eq. (3.35) that the multiplicity of the intersection is 1. The multiplicity computation completes the justification for the second term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.29) (on the level of cycles).

Next, the fourth term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.29) will be justified. As before, it suffices to show that

$$(\text{RT}_1)_{d+1}(d) \subset \overline{A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} F}. \quad (3.36)$$

Let $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1})$ be an arbitrary point of $(\text{RT}_1)_{d+1}(d)$. As before, switch to affine spaces. Following Convention 1, assume that p — the point of tangency of f — is the origin. Since H_d is a reducible curve, with the line H_1 being one of the reducible components, it follows that H_d is given by the zero set of a polynomial f , which is of the type

$$f(x, y) = y\varphi(x, y),$$

where $\varphi(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Write $\varphi(x, y)$ explicitly as

$$\varphi(x, y) := b_{00} + b_{10}x + b_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{b_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}.$$

Since the degree $d - 1$ component is tangent of first order to the line at the origin, it follows that $b_{00} = 0$, $b_{10} = 0$ and $b_{20} \neq 0$. Furthermore, that the point is a smooth point of the degree $d - 1$ curve implies that $b_{01} \neq 0$.

Now note that

$$(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_d, a_{d+1}) \in \left((\text{RT}_1)_{d+1}(d) \right)_{\text{Aff}} \subset \mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{d+1}.$$

To prove eq. (3.36), it suffices to show the following: given small a neighbourhood U of $(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_d, a_{d+1})$, there exists an element

$$(F, (s, t), A_1, \dots, A_d, A_{d+1}) \in \left(A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} F \right)_{\text{Aff}} \cap U.$$

We will follow the previous idea. Note that F passes through the points $(A_1, 0), \dots, (A_d, 0)$. Hence, F has to be of the form

$$F(x, y) = (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_{d-1})(x - A_d)C_0 + y\Phi(x, y),$$

where Φ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Write Φ explicitly as

$$\Phi(x, y) := B_{00} + B_{10}x + B_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{B_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}.$$

Since U is a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of $(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d, a_{d+1})$, it follows that C_0, B_{00} and B_{10} are small while B_{20} and B_{01} are non-zero. Furthermore, A_1, \dots, A_d are all distinct and different from 0. We now wish to find a point (s, t) close to the origin, such that $t \neq 0$ (i.e., the point (s, t) does not lie on the x -axis) and is a nodal point of the curve defined by the zero set of F . Hence, we need to solve the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} F(s, t) = 0, \quad F_x(s, t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_y(s, t) = 0, \\ \text{where} \quad t \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (s, t) \text{ is small.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

To make the computations more convenient, rewrite $F(x, y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= Q(x)C_0 + y(B_{00} + B_{10}x + P(x, y)), \\ \text{where } Q(x) &:= (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_d), \\ \text{and } P(x, y) &:= B_{01}y + \frac{B_{20}}{2}x^2 + B_{11}xy + \frac{B_{02}}{2}y^2 + \cdots + \frac{B_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Using eqs. (3.37) and (3.38), solve for C_0 , B_{00} and B_{10} to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= \frac{t^2 P_y(s, t)}{Q(s)}, \\ B_{00} &= -\left(\frac{P(s, t)Q(s) + tQ(s)P_y(s, t) - stQ'(s)P_y(s, t) - sQ(s)P_x(s, t)}{Q(s)} \right), \quad \text{and} \\ B_{10} &= -\left(\frac{tQ'(s)P_y(s, t) + Q(s)P_x(s, t)}{Q(s)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

This proves eq. (3.36).

Next, to compute the multiplicity of the intersection, first note that *every* curve close to f is given by eq. (3.39). To compute the multiplicity of the intersection, we have to compute $F(a_{d+1}, 0)$, where a_{d+1} is distinct from $0, a_1, \dots, a_d$. Using eqs. (3.38) and (3.39) it follows that

$$F(a_{d+1}, 0) = Q(a_{d+1}) \frac{B_{01}}{Q(0)} t^2 + O(t^3). \quad (3.40)$$

Since

$$Q(a_{d+1}) \neq 0, \quad Q(0) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_{01} \neq 0,$$

it follows from eq. (3.40) that the multiplicity of the intersection is 2. The multiplicity computation completes the justification for the fourth term on the right-hand side of (3.29) (on the level of cycles).

It remains to justify the third term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.29). We need to show that

$$(\mathrm{RA}_1^F)_{d+1}(d) \subset \overline{\mathrm{A}_1^F \underbrace{\mathrm{T}_0 \cdots \mathrm{T}_0}_d F}. \quad (3.41)$$

Let $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_d, x_{d+1})$ be an arbitrary point of $(\mathrm{RA}_1^F)_{d+1}(d)$. Similarly, given a small enough neighbourhood of the point, we need to find an element of it such that it belongs to the open part of the rightmost space of eq. (3.41) as well. We now descend to the affine space. Following Convention 1, assume that (a, b) is a nodal point. Since the nodal point does not lie on the line, it follows that $b \neq 0$. Since H_d is a reducible curve, with the line H_1 being one of the reducible components, the curve f is given by

$$f(x, y) = y\varphi(x, y),$$

where $\varphi(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Write down $\varphi(x, y)$ explicitly as

$$\varphi(x, y) := b_{00} + b_{10}x + b_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{b_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}.$$

Note that (a, b) is a nodal point of φ . Hence,

$$\varphi(a, b) = 0, \quad \varphi_x(a, b) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_y(a, b) = 0. \quad (3.42)$$

As before, given an open neighbourhood U of $(f, (a, b), a_1, \dots, a_d, a_{d+1})$, we will find an element

$$\left(F, (A, B), A_1, \dots, A_d, A_{d+1} \right) \in \left(\mathrm{A}_1^F \underbrace{\mathrm{T}_0 \cdots \mathrm{T}_0}_d F \right)_{\mathrm{Aff}} \cap U.$$

The curve F passes through the points $(A_1, 0), \dots, (A_d, 0)$. Hence, F has to be of the form

$$F(x, y) = (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_{d-1})(x - A_d)C_0 + y\Phi(x, y),$$

where Φ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Write down Φ explicitly as

$$\Phi(x, y) := B_{00} + B_{10}x + B_{01}y + \cdots + \frac{B_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}x^{d-1}.$$

Since U is a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of $(f, (a, b), a_1, \dots, a_d, a_{d+1})$, it follows that C_0, B_{00}, B_{10} and B_{01} are small. Furthermore, A_1, \dots, A_d are all distinct. We now wish to find a point (A, B) close to (a, b) , such that it is a nodal point of the curve defined by the zero set of F . Hence, we need to solve the following set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} F(A, B) = 0, \quad F_x(A, B) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad F_y(A, B) = 0, \\ \text{where } (A, B) \text{ is close to } (a, b). \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Rewrite $F(x, y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= Q(x)C_0 + y(B_{00} + B_{10}x + B_{01}y + \alpha(x)x^2 + \beta(y)y^2 + \gamma(x, y)xy), \\ \text{where } Q(x) &:= (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_d), \\ \alpha(x) &:= \frac{B_{20}}{2} + \frac{B_{30}x}{6} + \cdots, \\ \beta(y) &:= \frac{B_{02}}{2} + \frac{B_{03}y}{6} + \cdots, \\ \gamma(x, y) &:= B_{11} + \frac{B_{21}}{2}x + \frac{B_{12}}{2}y + \cdots. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Using eqs. (3.43) and (3.44), solve for B_{00}, B_{10} and B_{01} to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{00} &= A^2\alpha(A) + B^2\beta(B) + AB\gamma(A, B) - \frac{2C_0Q(A)}{B} \\ &\quad + A^3\alpha'(A) + B^3\beta'(B) + \frac{AC_0Q'(A)}{B} \\ &\quad + AB^2\gamma_y(A, B) + A^2B\gamma_x(A, B), \\ B_{10} &= -2A\alpha(A) - B\gamma(A, B) - A^2\alpha'(A) - \frac{C_0Q'(A)}{B} - AB\gamma_x(A, B), \quad \text{and} \\ B_{01} &= -2B\beta(B) - A\gamma(A, B) + \frac{C_0Q(A)}{B^2} - B^2\beta'(B) - AB\gamma_y(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Note that $B \neq 0$ was needed to solve the equations. Substituting $C_0 = 0$ in the expression for B_{00}, B_{10} and B_{01} , we precisely get the expression for b_{00}, b_{10} and b_{01} , with b_{ij} replaced by B_{ij} . This follows from the fact that (a, b) is a nodal point of φ (i.e., by using eq. (3.42)). Hence, the B_{00}, B_{10} and B_{01} we have constructed are indeed close to b_{00}, b_{10} and b_{01} . This proves eq. (3.41).

Next, let us compute the multiplicity of the intersection. Note that *every* curve close to f is given by eq. (3.45). For intersection multiplicity here, we need to compute $F(a_{d+1}, 0)$, where a_{d+1} is distinct from a_1, \dots, a_d . Using eq. (3.44), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(a_{d+1}, 0) &= Q(a_{d+1})C_0 \\ &= Q(a_{d+1})C_0 + O(C_0^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Since $Q(a_{d+1}) \neq 0$, the required intersection multiplicity is 1 as follows from eq. (3.46).

The third term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.29) is now justified. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.7. \square

3.2. Numerical invariants: enumerating curves with a free node. Using the theorems proved above eqs. (3.1), (3.4) and (3.5) will be derived.

Using eq. (3.12), Theorem 3.1 can be rewritten as

$$[A_1^F F] \cdot (y_1 + a_1) \cdot (y_d + da_d) = [A_1^F T_0]. \quad (3.47)$$

Given a positive integer t , define the following cycle

$$\mu_t := y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_d - (t+1)} a_1. \quad (3.48)$$

Now intersect both sides of eq. (3.47) with μ_t with $t = 1$. Using the fact that $y_1^3 = 0$ and $a_1^3 = 0$, the equality simplifies to

$$[A_1^F F] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_d - 1} a_1^2 = [A_1^F T_0] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_d - 2} a_1. \quad (3.49)$$

The left-hand side of eq. (3.49) is an intersection number inside M_1^1 . We are counting a line, a degree d curve and two points p and x_1 , such that the point p is a nodal point of the curve and the point x_1 is free (it need not lie on the line or the cubic). Intersecting with y_1^2 fixes the line. Intersecting with a_1^2 fixes the free point. Intersecting with $y_d^{\delta_d - 1}$ gives a nodal curve of degree d . Hence, the left-hand side of eq. (3.49) is precisely equal to $N_d(A_1)$.

Consider the right-hand side of eq. (3.49). Intersecting with y_1^2 fixes the line. However, now we are intersecting with a_1 . This fixes the location of the point x_1 to be on the line. Finally, intersecting with $y_d^{\delta_d - 2}$ gives the number of nodal degree d curves passing through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points. Hence, the number on the right-hand side is precisely equal to $N_d(A_1, 1)$. Consequently, eq. (3.49) is exactly the same as the first equality of eq. (3.1).

As before, Theorem 3.2 can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \dots T_0}_n F] \cdot (y_1 + a_{n+1}) \cdot (y_d + da_{n+1}) &= [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \dots T_0}_{(n+1)\text{-times}}] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \dots T_0}_n F] \cdot (a_i^2 + a_i a_{n+1} + a_{n+1}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

Now intersect both sides of eq. (3.50) with μ_{n+1} (cf. eq. (3.48)) and simplify. This yields

$$[A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \dots T_0}_n F] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_d - (n+1)} \cdot (a_1 \dots a_n) \cdot a_{n+1}^2 = [A_1^F \underbrace{T_0 \dots T_0}_{(n+1)\text{-times}}] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_d - (n+2)} \cdot (a_1 \dots a_{n+1}). \quad (3.51)$$

Consider the left-hand side of eq. (3.51). Recall that this is an intersection number inside M_{n+1}^1 . We are counting a line, a degree d curve and $(n+2)$ points, namely $p, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}$, such that the point p is a nodal point of the curve, the points x_1 to x_n are transverse points of intersection of the line and the curve while the point x_{n+1} is free.

Note that intersecting with y_1^2 fixes the line, and intersecting with a_{n+1}^2 fixes the free point. Intersecting with $y_d^{\delta_d - (n+1)}$ makes the nodal curve pass through $\delta_d - (n+1)$ generic point. Finally, intersecting with $(a_1 \dots a_n)$ fixes the location of the points x_1, \dots, x_n on the line. Hence, the left-hand side of eq. (3.51) is precisely equal to $N_d(A_1, n)$, the number of nodal degree d curves passing through $\delta_d - (n+1)$ generic points and n generic points on the line. Similarly, the right-hand side of eq. (3.51) is equal to $N_d(A_1, n)$. Hence, eq. (3.51) implies — as we vary n from 0 to $d-2$ — all the equalities of eq. (3.1).

Equation (3.4) can be obtained using Theorem 3.5. Intersect both sides of eq. (3.18) with μ_d (cf. eq. (3.48)). After simplifying, this gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\underbrace{A_1^F T_0 \dots T_0}_{{(d-1)\text{-times}} F \right] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_{d-d}} \cdot (a_1 \dots a_{d-1}) \cdot a_d^2 &= \left[\underbrace{A_1^F T_0 \dots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} \right] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_{d-(d+1)}} \cdot (a_1 \dots a_d) \\ &+ [R_d(d)] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_{d-(d+1)}} a_1 a_2 \dots a_d. \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

As before, the left-hand side of eq. (3.52) is $N_d(A_1, d-1)$. Similarly, the first term on the right-hand side of eq. (3.52) is $N_d(A_1, d)$. Now analyze the second term on the right-hand side, namely

$$[R_d(d)] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_{d-(d+1)}} a_1 a_2 \dots a_d.$$

As before, intersecting with y_1^2 fixes the line. Note that

$$\delta_d - (d+1) = \delta_{d-1}.$$

Hence, intersecting with $y_d^{\delta_{d-1}}$ fixes the degree $(d-1)$ component of the curve (the line component has already been fixed, since we have intersected with y_1^2). However, corresponding to each such degree $(d-1)$ curve, there are $(d-1)$ choices for the nodal point p (it is one of the points of intersection of the curve with the line). Hence, this number is precisely equal to

$$(d-1)N_{d-1}(S).$$

This gives us the degeneration formula of eq. (3.4).

Equation (3.5) is obtained by intersecting both sides of eq. (3.29) with μ_{d+1} (cf. eq. (3.48)). After simplifying, this yields the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\underbrace{A_1^F T_0 \dots T_0}_{d\text{-times}} F \right] \cdot y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_{d-(d+1)}} \cdot (a_1 \dots a_d) \cdot a_{d+1}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^d [R_{d+1}^i(d)] \cdot \mu_4 \\ &+ [(RA_1^F)_{d+1}(d)] \cdot \mu_4 + 2[(RT_1)_{d+1}(d)] \cdot \mu_4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

As before, the left-hand side of eq. (3.53) is simply $N_d(A_1, d)$. Now analyze the right-hand side of eq. (3.53). After simplification it is obtained that the first term on the right-hand side is

$$\sum_{i=1}^d [R_{d+1}^i(d)] \cdot \mu_4 = dN_{d-1}(S).$$

Next, after simplification, it is obtained that the second term on the right-hand side is equal to

$$[(RA_1^F)_{d+1}(d)] \cdot \mu_4 = N_{d-1}(A_1).$$

Finally, note that

$$[(RT_1)_{d+1}(d)] \cdot \mu_4 = N_{d-1}(T_1).$$

Combining these facts, we obtain the degeneration formula given by eq. (3.5).

3.3. Numerical invariants: enumerating curves with the node lying on a line. Now we will prove eq. (2.3) (first part of Theorem 2.1). It is enough to establish the equalities of eqs. (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9).

Given an integer $t \geq 0$, define the following cycle:

$$\mu_t^L := y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_{d-(t+2)}} b_1 a_1 a_2 \dots a_n a_{n+1}.$$

Intersecting both sides of eq. (3.50) with μ_{n+1}^L , and a similar analysis as above, produce eq. (3.6).

In order to get eq. (3.8), intersect both sides of eq. (3.18) with μ_d^L ; that will give eq. (3.8).

Similarly, to get eq. (3.9), intersect both sides of eq. (3.29) with μ_{d+1}^L . This produces the required equality.

4. EXTENSION OF CAPORASO-HARRIS TO ONE CUSPIDAL CURVES

We will now extend the degeneration arguments presented in Section 3 and solve the following problem:

How many degree d curves are there in \mathbb{P}^2 that have a cusp and pass through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points?

Let $N_d(A_2, k)$ denote the number of cuspidal degree d curves, passing through $\delta_d - 2 - k$ generic points and k points on a line. When $k = 0$, we abbreviate and omit writing the k , i.e., $N_d(A_2) := N_d(A_2, 0)$. We will show that

$$\begin{aligned} N_d(A_2) &= N_d(A_2, 1), \\ N_d(A_2, 1) &= N_d(A_2, 2), \\ &\dots \\ N_d(A_2, d-2) &= N_d(A_2, d-1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

In other words,

$$N_d(A_2) = N_d(A_2, d-1). \tag{4.2}$$

Hence, the number of cuspidal degree d curves passing through $\delta_d - 2$ generic points is equal to the number of cuspidal degree d curves passing through $\delta_d - d - 1$ generic points and $(d - 1)$ collinear points. We further show that

$$N_d(A_2, d-1) = N_d(A_2, d) + 3N_{d-1}(T_1); \tag{4.3}$$

$$N_d(A_2, d) = N_{d-1}(A_2) + 3N_{d-1}(A_1^L) + 3dN_{d-1}(T_1^{\text{Pt}}) + 2N_{d-1}(T_2). \tag{4.4}$$

Equations (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) together imply eq. (2.4). Let us now prove these assertions. As before, certain cycle level statements are proved first; then we intersect them with cycles of complementary dimensions to get equality of numbers. The cycle level statements are analogs of Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.7. Here we consider cuspidal curves instead of the nodal ones.

In Section 3, a few subspaces of M_n^1 (cf. eqs. (3.10), (3.11), (3.17) and (3.26) to (3.28)) are considered. In all the definitions there A_1 stands for curves having only one node. Here, we consider curves having one cusp only (that is, A_2 singularity). We can consider similar subspaces for cuspidal curves, and replace A_1 by A_2 in the notation. For example,

$$A_2^F \underbrace{T_0 \cdots T_0}_{n\text{-times}} \subset M_n^1.$$

The definitions remain the same, but only the nodal condition will be replaced by the cuspidal one.

The following result is analogous to Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. *The following equality of homology classes hold in $M_1^1(d)$:*

$$[A_2^F F] \cdot [(x_1 \in L)] \cdot [(x_1 \in \mathcal{C}_d)] = [A_2^F T_0],$$

where $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 3}$.

Theorem 4.1 is a special case of the following analog of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.2. Take $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 3}$, and let n be an integer such that

$$0 \leq n \leq d - 2.$$

Then, on $\mathbb{M}_{n+1}^1(d)$ the following equality of homology classes hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbb{T}_0 \cdots \mathbb{T}_0}_{n\text{-times}} \mathbb{F}] \cdot [(x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{L})] \cdot [(x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{C}_d)] &= [\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbb{T}_0 \cdots \mathbb{T}_0}_{(n+1)\text{-times}}] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n [\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbb{T}_0 \cdots \mathbb{T}_0}_{n\text{-times}} \mathbb{F}] \cdot [(x_i = x_{n+1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Remark 4.3. Equation (4.1) can be obtained from eq. (4.5) by intersecting both sides with

$$\mu_{n+1} := y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_d - (n+3)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n a_{n+1}.$$

The reasoning is identical to how eq. (3.13) implies eq. (3.1) described in Section 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The proof is almost the same as the proof of Theorem 3.2. First, we need to show that if $d \geq 2$, then $\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}}$ is a smooth manifold of codimension 4. This has been proved in [1, p. 22, Proposition 6.1].

The rest of the proof is the same if $n \leq d - 3$. When $n = d - 2$, there is a small point we need to observe. By a dimension counting argument, we can't rule out a reducible curve, one of whose component is the line. However, when we intersect with generic constraints, we will require the degree $d - 1$ curve to intersect the line transversally. This is not possible for a simple geometric reason; a cuspidal point of the degree d curve can't become a nodal point (it can become a tacnode, but not a node). Hence, the reducible configuration is not possible, even when $n = d - 2$. \square

Theorem 4.4. Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 3}$. The following equality of homology classes holds in $\mathbb{M}_d^1(d)$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbb{T}_0 \cdots \mathbb{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbb{F}] \cdot [(x_d \in \mathbb{L})] \cdot [(x_d \in \mathbb{C}_d)] &= [\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbb{T}_0 \cdots \mathbb{T}_0}_{d\text{-times}}] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} [\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbb{T}_0 \cdots \mathbb{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbb{F}] \cdot [(x_i = x_d)] \\ &+ 3[(\mathbb{RT}_1)_d(d)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Remark 4.5. As before, intersecting both sides of eq. (4.6) with

$$\mu_d := y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_d - (d+2)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_d,$$

eq. (4.3) can be obtained.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. The first and second terms on the right-hand side of eq. (4.6) are justified as before. The third term needs to be justified. We continue with the set-up of the proof of Theorem 3.5. Following the same thread of reasoning, it suffices to show the following:

$$(\mathbb{RT}_1)_d(d) \subset \overline{\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbb{T}_0 \cdots \mathbb{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbb{F}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Let $(H_1, H_d, p, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d)$ be an arbitrary point of $(\mathbb{RT}_1)_d(d)$. It will be shown that every open neighbourhood of $(H_1, H_d, x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, x_d)$ intersects

$$\mathbb{A}_2^{\mathbb{F}} \underbrace{\mathbb{T}_0 \cdots \mathbb{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \mathbb{F}.$$

Switching to an affine space, assume H_1 to be the x -axis, and the point of tangency p is the origin. Furthermore, assume that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{d-1} are given by $(a_1, 0), (a_2, 0), \dots, (a_{d-1}, 0)$. Since these points are all distinct and also not equal to p , it follows that a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1} and 0 are all distinct.

Note that H_1 being a component, the reducible curve H_d is given by a polynomial of the form

$$f(x, y) = y\varphi(x, y),$$

where $\varphi(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree $d - 1$. Let us write down $\varphi(x, y)$ explicitly as

$$\varphi(x, y) := b_{00} + b_{10}x + b_{01}y + \dots + \frac{b_{0,d-1}}{(d-1)!}y^{d-1}.$$

Recall that the degree $d - 1$ component is tangent of first order to the line at the origin, and the origin is a smooth point of the degree $d - 1$ component. Hence, $b_{00} = 0, b_{10} = 0$; but $b_{20} \neq 0$ and $b_{01} \neq 0$. Note that

$$\left(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d \right) \in \left((\text{RT}_1)_d(d) \right)_{\text{Aff}} \subset \mathcal{F}_d^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^d.$$

Given any sufficiently open neighbourhood U of $(f, (0, 0), a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d)$, we have to show the existence of an element

$$\left(F, (s, t), A_2, \dots, A_{d-1}, A_d \right) \in \left(\text{A}_2^{\text{F}} \underbrace{\text{T}_0 \cdots \text{T}_0}_{(d-1)\text{-times}} \text{F} \right)_{\text{Aff}} \cap U.$$

The curve F , if it exists, passes through the points $(A_1, 0), \dots, (A_{d-1}, 0)$. Hence, it has to be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= Q(x)(C_0 + C_1x) + y(B_{00} + B_{10}x + x^2\alpha(x) + y\beta(x, y)), \quad \text{where} \\ Q(x) &:= (x - A_1)(x - A_2) \cdots (x - A_{d-1}), \\ \alpha(x) &:= \frac{B_{20}}{2}x^2 + \frac{B_{30}}{6}x^3 + \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(x, y) := B_{01} + B_{11}x + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We note that C_0, C_1, B_{00} and B_{10} are small. Furthermore, $\alpha(0) = \frac{B_{20}}{2}$ and $\beta(0, 0) = B_{11}$ are non-zero. Also, F satisfies the following system of equations:

$$F(s, t) = 0, \quad F_x(s, t) = 0, \quad F_y(s, t) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (F_{xx}F_{yy} - F_{xy}^2)(s, t) = 0.$$

Using the first three equations, we can solve for C_0, C_1 and B_{00} . Plugging that into the last equation, we can solve for t in terms of B_{10} using the implicit function theorem. Therefore, such an F exists. This proves eq. (4.7).

Now the multiplicity of the intersection will be computed. A straightforward calculation yields

$$F(a_d, 0) = -\frac{Q(a_d)a_d}{4\alpha(0)\beta(0, 0)Q(0)}B_{10}^3 + O(B_{10}^4).$$

Since the coefficient of B_{10} is non-zero, it follows that the multiplicity of the intersection is 3. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 3}$. The following equality of homology classes in $\mathbf{M}_{d+1}^1(d)$ holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\text{A}_2^{\text{F}} \underbrace{\text{T}_0 \cdots \text{T}_0}_{d\text{-times}} \text{F} \right] \cdot [(x_{d+1} \in \text{L})] \cdot [(x_{d+1} \in \text{C}_d)] &= \sum_{i=1}^d \left[\text{A}_2^{\text{F}} \underbrace{\text{T}_0 \cdots \text{T}_0}_{d\text{-times}} \text{F} \right] \cdot [(x_i = x_{d+1})] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^d 3[(\text{RT}_1)_{d+1}^i(d)] + [(\text{RA}_2^{\text{F}})_{d+1}(d)] \\ &+ 3[(\text{RA}_1^{\text{L}})_{d+1}(d)] + 2[(\text{RT}_2)_{d+1}(d)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Remark 4.7. As before, eq. (4.4) is obtained by intersecting both sides of eq. (4.8) with

$$\mu_{d+1} := y_1^2 y_d^{\delta_d - (d+3)} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_d a_{d+1}.$$

Finally, eqs. (4.2) to (4.4) is proved. All of them together produce eq. (2.4) (that is, the second part of Theorem 2.1).

Proof of Theorem 4.6. The first term on the right-hand side of eq. (4.8) is justified as before. The remaining terms need to be justified.

Start with the second term. The justification of the closure and multiplicity is similar to that of the third term of eq. (4.6) (see the proof of Theorem 4.4 for details).

Next, consider the third term. Its justification is similar to that of eq. (3.29) (see proof of Theorem 3.7).

To justify the fifth term on the right hand side of eq. (4.8), pass to affine coordinates. Let

$$F(x, y) = Q(x)(C_0) + y(B_{00} + B_{10}x + \frac{B_{20}}{2}x^2 + \frac{\alpha(x)}{6}x^3 + \beta(y)y + \gamma(x, y)xy),$$

$$\text{where } Q(x) := (x - A_1) \cdots (x - A_d),$$

$$\alpha(x) := B_{30} + \frac{B_{40}}{4}x + \cdots,$$

$$\beta(y) := B_{01} + \frac{B_{02}}{2}y + \cdots,$$

$$\gamma(x, y) := B_{11} + \frac{B_{21}}{2}x + \frac{B_{12}}{2}y + \cdots;$$

here C_0, B_{00}, B_{10} and B_{20} are small and $\alpha(0) = B_{30}$ and $\beta(0) = B_{01}$ are non-zero. Again, we try to solve for

$$F(s, t) = 0, \quad F_x(s, t) = 0, \quad F_y(s, t) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad (F_{xx}F_{yy} - F_{xy}^2)(s, t) = 0.$$

Using the first three equations, we can solve for C_0, B_{00} and B_{10} . Plugging these into the last equation, B_{20} can be solved in terms of t using the implicit function theorem. Now evaluate F at $(a_{d+1}, 0)$ and get that

$$F(a_{d+1}, 0) = -\frac{Q(a_{d+1})\beta(0)}{Q(0)}t^2 + O(t^3).$$

The multiplicity of the intersection is clearly 2.

To justify the fourth term on the right-hand side of eq. (4.8), switch to affine coordinates. Let

$$F(x, y) = Q(x)(C_0) + y(B_{00} + B_{10}x + B_{01}y + \frac{\alpha(x)}{2}x^2 + \frac{\beta(y)}{2}y^2 + \gamma(x, y)xy),$$

where C_0, B_{00}, B_{10} and B_{01} are small and $\alpha(0)\beta(0) - \gamma(0)^2$ is non-zero. Furthermore, assume that $\alpha(0) = B_{20} \neq 0$. The latter can be assumed because the x -axis is not one of the branches of the node. Again, we try to solve for

$$F(s, t) = 0, \quad F_x(s, t) = 0, \quad F_y(s, t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (F_{xx}F_{yy} - F_{xy}^2)(s, t) = 0.$$

Using the first three equations, we can solve for C_0, B_{00} and B_{10} . Plugging these into the last equation, B_{01} can be solved in terms of t using the implicit function theorem. Now, evaluate F at $(a_{d+1}, 0)$ and get that

$$F(a_{d+1}, 0) = Q(a_{d+1}) \left(\frac{\alpha(0)\beta(0) - \gamma(0)^2}{2\alpha(0)Q(0)} \right) t^3 + O(t^4).$$

The multiplicity of the intersection is clearly 3. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.6. \square

5. EXTENSION OF CAPORASO-HARRIS FOR A FAMILY OF NODAL CUBICS

In this section the following question will be addressed:

What is $N(r, s)$, the number of nodal planar cubics in \mathbb{P}^3 that intersect r generic lines and pass through s generic points, where $r + 2s = 11$?

Notation: $N(r, s) = 0$ unless $r + 2s = 11$.

Let $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ denote the dual of \mathbb{P}^3 ; it is the space of linear \mathbb{P}^2 inside \mathbb{P}^3 . An element of $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ can be thought of as a non-zero linear functional $\eta : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ up to scaling. Given such an η , define the projectivization of its kernel as \mathbb{P}_η^2 . In other words,

$$\mathbb{P}_\eta^2 := \mathbb{P}(\eta^{-1}(0)).$$

Hence, an element of $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ determines a plane in \mathbb{P}^3 and vice versa. Define $(\mathbb{P}^2)_{\text{Fb}}$ as follows:

$$(\mathbb{P}^2)_{\text{Fb}} := \{([\eta], q) \in \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3 \mid \eta(q) = 0\}.$$

So $(\mathbb{P}^2)_{\text{Fb}}$ is a fiber bundle over $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ whose fiber over any point $[\eta]$ is \mathbb{P}_η^2 .

Recall from the previous section that \mathcal{D}_1 is the space of lines in \mathbb{P}^2 . Let us now make a more pedantic notation and define $\mathcal{D}_1(\eta)$ to be the space of lines in \mathbb{P}_η^2 . Similarly, define $\mathcal{D}_3(\eta)$ to be the space of cubics in \mathbb{P}_η^2 . Now define the following fiber bundles over $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$:

$$(\mathcal{D}_1)_{\text{Fb}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{D}_3)_{\text{Fb}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3. \quad (5.1)$$

The fibers over any point $[\eta]$ are $\mathcal{D}_1(\eta)$ and $\mathcal{D}_3(\eta)$, respectively. Finally, define

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_3)_{\text{Fb}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$$

to be the fiber product of the two fiber bundles in (5.1). Its fiber over any $[\eta]$ is $\mathcal{D}_1(\eta) \times \mathcal{D}_3(\eta)$.

Next, define

$$\mathfrak{M}_n^m(3) := (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_3)_{\text{Fb}} \times (Y^1 \times \dots \times Y^m) \times (Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n),$$

where each Y^i and Y_j is a copy of \mathbb{P}^3 . The hyperplane class of Y^i and Y_j will be denoted by B_i and H_j respectively. The first Chern classes of the hyperplane bundles of $(\mathcal{D}_1)_{\text{Fb}}$ and $(\mathcal{D}_3)_{\text{Fb}}$ will be denoted by λ_1 and λ_3 respectively. The hyperplane class of $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ will be denoted by a . Since the entire discussion is for cubics, we are denoting the space by \mathfrak{M}_n^m and not $\mathfrak{M}_n^m(3)$; this will make reading easier.

Next, define

$$(\mathbf{M}_n^m)_{\text{Fb}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \quad (5.2)$$

to be the fiber bundle over $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ whose fiber over each point $[\eta]$ is $\mathbf{M}_n^m(\eta)$.

Note that on \mathfrak{M}_n^m , the following equality of cycles hold:

$$\left[(\mathbf{M}_n^m)_{\text{Fb}} \right] = (a + B_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a + B_m) \cdot (a + H_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a + H_n). \quad (5.3)$$

To see why this is true, denote an element of \mathfrak{M}_n^m by

$$\left(\Pi, \mathbf{L}, \mathcal{C}_3, p_1, \dots, p_m, x_1, \dots, x_n \right);$$

here Π denotes a plane in \mathbb{P}^3 . Furthermore, \mathbf{L} and \mathcal{C}_3 denote a line and a cubic respectively in the plane Π . Whereas $(\mathbf{M}_n^m)_{\text{Fb}}$ is the locus of all

$$\left(\Pi, \mathbf{L}, \mathcal{C}_3, p_1, \dots, p_m, x_1, \dots, x_n \right)$$

in \mathfrak{M}_n^m such that

- The points p_1, \dots, p_m lie on the plane Π ;
- the points x_1, \dots, x_n lie on the plane Π .

Note that the condition that p_i belongs to the plane Π corresponds to intersecting with the class $a + B_i$. Similarly, the condition that x_j belongs to the plane Π corresponds to intersecting with the class $a + H_j$. This justifies eq. (5.3).

Another notation: Suppose for each variety Z isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 we have a space $S(Z)$. Then $(S)_{\text{Fb}}$ is the fiber bundle over $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ whose fiber over each $[\eta]$ is $S(\mathbb{P}^2_\eta)$. To give an example, recall the space $A_1^F T_0$ defined in section 3. It is the locus of all (L, C_3, p, x_1) in M_1^1 such that

- the cubic C_3 has a node at p , and
- The nodal point p does not lie on the line L .

Now define $(A_1^F T_0)_{\text{Fb}} \subseteq (M_1^1)_{\text{Fb}}$ to be the locus of all (Π, L, C_3, p, x_1) such that

- the cubic C_3 has a node at p , and
- the nodal point p does not lie on the line L .

Pictorially this looks like:




FIGURE 7. $(A_1^F T_0)_{\text{Fb}}$

All the other spaces defined in section 3 can be analogously defined.

Next consider the cohomology ring of \mathfrak{M}_n^m . Its generators are

$$a, \lambda_1, \lambda_3, B_1, \dots, B_m, H_1, \dots, H_n.$$

The ring structure is given by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^3 &= -\lambda_1^2 a - \lambda_1 a^2 - a^3, \\ \lambda_3^{10} &= -10\lambda_3^9 a - 55\lambda_3^8 a^2 - 220\lambda_3^7 a^3, \\ B_i^4 &= 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad H_j^4 = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad a^4 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

This fact is justified in [3, pp. 7–8]. We are now ready to state the main results that will be used in enumerating the nodal planar cubics in \mathbb{P}^3 . The statements can be considered as family version of

Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 3.5 and 3.7 with $d = 3$. The spaces involved here are fiber bundle analogue of the spaces involved in the respective statements in Section 3. We will use the fiber bundle version of the spaces without defining them.

Theorem 5.1. *The following equality of homology classes in $(M_1^1)_{\text{Fb}}$ holds:*

$$[(A_1^{\text{F}})_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_1 \in L)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_1 \in \mathcal{C}_3)_{\text{Fb}}] = [(A_1^{\text{F}}T_0)_{\text{Fb}}]. \quad (5.5)$$

This is the family version of Theorem 3.1; the proof is identical.

Now the goal is to use eq. (5.5) and extract numbers. Here it is more convenient to do intersection theory on the ambient space \mathfrak{M}_1^1 , which we recall is given by

$$\mathfrak{M}_1^1 := (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_3)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y^1 \times Y_1.$$

Let α be a class in $(M_1^1)_{\text{Fb}}$, and let μ be a class in \mathfrak{M}_1^1 . Denote the restriction of μ to $(M_1^1)_{\text{Fb}}$ by the same symbol μ . Then, eq. (5.3) immediately yields the following:

$$\alpha \cdot \mu = \alpha \cdot (a + B_1) \cdot (a + H_1) \cdot \mu. \quad (5.6)$$

The left-hand side of equation (5.6) is an intersection number in $(M_1^1)_{\text{Fb}}$, while the right-hand side is an intersection number in \mathfrak{M}_1^1 . (If two classes are not of complementary dimension, then the corresponding intersection number is defined to be zero.)

As before, the goal is to intersect both sides of eq. (5.5) with a suitable μ , and extract numbers using eq. (5.6). The objective is to compute $N(r, s)$, the number of nodal planar cubics in \mathbb{P}^3 that intersect r generic lines and s generic points. Let us start by explaining how equation (5.5) will help us compute $N(r, s)$.

Similar to the space A_1^{F} defined in Section 3, define the analogous fiber bundle $(A_1^{\text{F}})_{\text{Fb}}$ over $\widehat{\mathbb{P}^3}$. An element of this space is a triple consisting of a line, a cubic and a point such that all of them lie on a single plane in \mathbb{P}^3 .

We will compute

$$[(A_1^{\text{F}})_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l, \quad (5.7)$$

It will be shown that it is enough to compute (5.7).

Using eq. (5.5), we will show that

$$[(A_1^{\text{F}})_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l = \frac{1}{3} [(A_1^{\text{F}}T_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l. \quad (5.8)$$

Hence, computation of

$$[(A_1^{\text{F}}T_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l \quad (5.9)$$

would give eq. (5.7).

To justify these assertions, we begin by showing that $N(r, s)$ can be obtained as a suitable intersection number involving the class $[(A_1^{\text{F}})_{\text{Fb}}]$. The reader is reminded that this will be an intersection number inside the space $(M_0^1)_{\text{Fb}}$.

The homology classes represented by the subspace of cubics that intersect a generic line and intersect a generic point will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}_l^{\mathcal{C}_3}$ and $\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{C}_3}$ respectively. Similarly, the homology classes represented by the subspace of lines that intersect a generic line and intersect a generic point will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}_l^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{L}}$ respectively. It is shown in [3, pp. 10–11] that

$$\mathcal{H}_l^{\mathcal{L}} = \lambda_1 + a, \quad \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{L}} = \lambda_1 a, \quad \mathcal{H}_l^{\mathcal{C}_3} = \lambda_3 + 3a \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{C}_3} = \lambda_3 a. \quad (5.10)$$

Now observe that

$$N(r, s) = [(A_1^{\text{F}})_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot (\mathcal{H}_l^{\mathcal{C}_3})^r \cdot (\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{C}_3})^s \cdot (\mathcal{H}_l^{\mathcal{L}})^2. \quad (5.11)$$

To see why this is so, first assume that $r + 2s = 11$; otherwise both the sides of eq. (5.11) are equal to zero because of the convention (namely that if two classes are not of complementary dimensions, then their intersection number is zero).

Since $r + 2s = 11$, intersecting $[(A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}]$ with $(\mathcal{H}_l^{\mathcal{C}_3})^r \cdot (\mathcal{H}_p^{\mathcal{C}_3})^s$ fixes the cubic (and also the plane inside which the cubic lies). Now intersecting with $(\mathcal{H}_l^{\mathcal{L}})^2$ does the following: the two lines will intersect the plane at two points and through these two points on the plane a unique line passes. That fixes the line. This proves equation (5.11). Using equations (5.11), (5.10) and (5.4), we conclude that $N(r, s)$ is a sum of intersection numbers of the type given by eq. (5.7).

It remains to prove eq. (5.8). We will rewrite eq. (5.5) as an equality of homology classes in \mathfrak{M}_1^1 . A couple of definitions are needed for this. Define $(A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}F$ to be the locus of points $(\Pi, L, \mathcal{C}_3, p, x_1)$ in \mathfrak{M}_1^1 such that $(\Pi, L, \mathcal{C}_3, p) \in (A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}$. There is no restriction on the point x_1 ; it need not have to lie on the plane Π . In other words,

$$(A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}F = (A^F)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y_1. \quad (5.12)$$

Note that $(A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}F$ is *not* the same as $(A_1^F F)_{\text{Fb}}$; in the latter case the point x_1 is required to lie on the plane Π . Denote by $(x_1 \in \Pi)$ the locus of all points $(\Pi, L, \mathcal{C}_3, p, x_1)$ in \mathfrak{M}_1^1 such that the point x_1 lies on the plane Π .

Note that eq. (5.5) is equivalent to the fact that on \mathfrak{M}_1^1 the following equality of homology classes holds:

$$[(A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}F] \cdot [(x_1 \in \Pi)] \cdot [(x_1 \in L)] \cdot [(x_1 \in \mathcal{C}_3)] = [(A_1^F T_0)_{\text{Fb}}]. \quad (5.13)$$

A subtle point needs explanation. Consider the left-hand side of eq. (5.13). Strictly speaking, we have not actually defined the third and fourth factors on the left-hand side. We define $(x_1 \in L)$ to be a subspace of \mathfrak{M}_1^1 (not $(M_1^1)_{\text{Fb}}$), where the point x_1 is required to lie on the line. Notice the difference between $(x_1 \in L)$ and $(x_1 \in L)_{\text{Fb}}$; the latter is a subspace of $(M_1^1)_{\text{Fb}}$. However, restricted to $(x_1 \in \Pi)$, these two subspaces are the same. The same remark applies for the space $(x_1 \in \mathcal{C}_3)$.

Note that in \mathfrak{M}_1^1 , the following equalities of homology classes hold:

$$[x_1 \in \Pi] = a + H_1, \quad [(x_1 \in L)_{\text{Fb}}] = \lambda_1 + H_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [(x_1 \in \mathcal{C}_3)_{\text{Fb}}] = \lambda_3 + 3H_1. \quad (5.14)$$

Next, using eq. (5.12) it follows that

$$[(A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}F] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l = [(A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}F] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l H_1^3. \quad (5.15)$$

Finally, the following identity is obtained:

$$[(A_1^F)_{\text{Fb}}F] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j H_1^n a^l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} [(A_1^F T_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l & \text{if } n = 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 3. \end{cases} \quad (5.16)$$

The second case of eq. (5.16) follows from eq. (5.12). The first case of eq. (5.16) follows from equations (5.14), (5.13) and (5.12). Finally, note that eq. (5.16), combined with eq. (5.15) gives eq. (5.8).

To summarize what has been established so far, the goal is to compute the number $N(r, s)$. It is enough to compute all the intersection numbers given by eq. (5.7). It is then established that it is enough to compute all intersection numbers given by eq. (5.9); this is done through eq. (5.8).

The following theorem is used in computing the intersection numbers given by eq. (5.9).

Theorem 5.2. *The following equality of homology classes in $(M_2^1)_{\text{Fb}}$ holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} [(A_1^F T_0 F)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_2 \in L)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_2 \in \mathcal{C}_3)_{\text{Fb}}] &= [(A_1^F T_0 T_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \\ &+ [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 F)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_1 = x_2)_{\text{Fb}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

This is the family version of Theorem 5.2 when $n = 1$; the proof is identical.

Let us now explain how this Theorem will help us compute the intersection numbers given by eq. (5.9). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l &= [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l H_2^3, \\ \text{where } (\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F} &= (\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

The following will be shown:

$$[(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j H_2^n a^l = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l & \text{if } n = 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 3. \end{cases} \quad (5.19)$$

The second case of eq. (5.19) follows immediately from the definition of $(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F}$. Let us now justify the first case, namely when $n = 3$. Note that eq. (5.17) is equivalent to the fact that on \mathfrak{M}_2^1 the following equality of homology classes hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot [(x_2 \in \Pi)] \cdot [(x_2 \in \mathbf{L})] \cdot [(x_2 \in \mathcal{C}_3)] &= [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \\ &+ [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot [(x_1 = x_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Now note that in \mathfrak{M}_2^1 , the following equality of homology classes holds:

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_2 \in \Pi)] &= a + H_2, \quad [(x_2 \in \mathbf{L})] = \lambda_1 + H_2, \quad [(x_2 \in \mathcal{C}_3)] = \lambda_3 + 3H_2 \\ \text{and } [(x_1 = x_2)] &= H_1^3 + H_1^2 H_2 + H_1 H_2^2 + H_2^3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

The first case of eq. (5.19) now follows immediately from equations (5.20) and (5.21).

Using equations (5.19) and (5.18) it follows that in order to compute the intersection numbers given by eq. (5.9), it is enough to compute the following intersection number:

$$[(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l. \quad (5.22)$$

it was also shown that to compute $N(r, s)$, it is sufficient to compute the numbers given by eq. (5.9). Hence, to compute $N(r, s)$, it suffices to compute the numbers given by eq. (5.22). The following theorem will be used for it.

Theorem 5.3. *The following equality of homology classes in $(\mathbf{M}_3^1)_{\text{Fb}}$ holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{F})_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_3 \in \mathbf{L})_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_3 \in \mathcal{C}_3)_{\text{Fb}}] &= [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \\ &+ [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{F})_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_1 = x_3)_{\text{Fb}}] \\ &+ [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{F})_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot [(x_2 = x_3)_{\text{Fb}}] \\ &+ [(\mathbf{R}_3)_{\text{Fb}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

This the family version of Theorem 3.5; the proof is identical.

To explain how this helps in computing the intersection numbers in eq. (5.22), note that

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l &= [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l H_3^3, \\ \text{where } (\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F} &= (\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y_3. \end{aligned}$$

It will be shown that

$$[(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j H_3^n a^l = \begin{cases} [(\mathbf{A}_1^F \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l \\ \quad + [(\mathbf{R}_3)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l & \text{if } n = 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 3. \end{cases} \quad (5.24)$$

The second case of eq. (5.24) is immediate from the definition of $(A_1^F T_0 T_0)_{Fb} F$. To justify the first case, namely when $n = 3$, note that eq. (5.23) is equivalent to the fact that on \mathfrak{M}_3^1 the following equality of homology classes holds:

$$\begin{aligned} [(A_1^F T_0 T_0)_{Fb} F] \cdot [(x_3 \in \Pi)] \cdot [(x_3 \in L)] \cdot [(x_3 \in C_3)] &= [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0)_{Fb}] \\ &+ [(A_1^F T_0 T_0)_{Fb} F] \cdot [(x_1 = x_3)] \\ &+ [(A_1^F T_0 T_0)_{Fb} F] \cdot [(x_2 = x_3)] \\ &+ [(R_3)_{Fb}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Note that in \mathfrak{M}_3^1 , the following equalities of homology classes hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_3 \in \Pi)] &= a + H_3, & [(x_3 \in L)] &= \lambda_1 + H_3, & [(x_3 \in C_3)] &= \lambda_3 + 3H_3, \\ [(x_1 = x_3)] &= H_1^3 + H_1^2 H_2 + H_1 H_2^2 + H_2^3 & \text{and} \\ [(x_2 = x_3)] &= H_2^3 + H_2^2 H_3 + H_2 H_3^2 + H_3^3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

The first case of eq. (5.24) now follows immediately from equations (5.25) and (5.26). Hence, we conclude, using eq. (5.24), that in order to compute the intersection numbers given by eq. (5.22), it is sufficient to compute the following intersection numbers

$$[(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0)_{Fb}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l \quad \text{and} \quad [(R_3)_{Fb}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l.$$

We explain in Section 6 how to compute $[(R_3)_{Fb}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l$. Assuming that those numbers can be computed, we conclude that in order to compute $N(r, s)$, it is enough to compute

$$[(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0)_{Fb}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l. \quad (5.27)$$

The next theorem is used for it.

Theorem 5.4. *The following equality of homology classes in $(M_4^1)_{Fb}$ holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0 F)_{Fb}] \cdot [(x_4 \in L)_{Fb}] \cdot [(x_4 \in C_3)_{Fb}] &= [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0 F)_{Fb}] \cdot [(x_1 = x_4)_{Fb}] \\ &+ [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0 F)_{Fb}] \cdot [(x_2 = x_4)_{Fb}] \\ &+ [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0 F)_{Fb}] \cdot [(x_3 = x_4)_{Fb}] \\ &+ [(R_4^1)_{Fb}] + [(R_4^2)_{Fb}] + [(R_4^3)_{Fb}] \\ &+ [((RA_1^F)_4)_{Fb}] + 2[((RT_1)_4)_{Fb}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

This is the family version of Theorem 3.7; the proof is identical.

In contrast to the earlier cases, it is now a more non-trivial task to see how this theorem helps us compute the intersection numbers given by eq. (5.27). We start as usual by observing that eq. (5.28) is equivalent to the fact that on \mathfrak{M}_4^1 , the following equality of homology classes holds:

$$\begin{aligned} [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0)_{Fb} F] \cdot [x_4 \in \Pi] \cdot [(x_4 \in L)] \cdot [(x_4 \in C_3)] &= [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0)_{Fb} F] \cdot [(x_1 = x_4)] \\ &+ [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0)_{Fb} F] \cdot [(x_2 = x_4)] \\ &+ [(A_1^F T_0 T_0 T_0)_{Fb} F] \cdot [(x_3 = x_4)] \\ &+ [(R_4^1)_{Fb}] + [(R_4^2)_{Fb}] + [(R_4^3)_{Fb}] \\ &+ [((RA_1^F)_4)_{Fb}] + 2[((RT_1)_4)_{Fb}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Next note that in \mathfrak{M}_4^1 , the following equalities of homology classes hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_4 \in \Pi] &= a + H_4, & [(x_4 \in \mathbf{L})] &= \lambda_1 + H_4, & [(x_4 \in \mathcal{C}_3)] &= \lambda_3 + 3H_4, \\ [(x_i = x_4)] &= H_i^3 + H_i^2 H_4 + H_i H_4^2 + H_4^3, & i &= 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

To compute eq. (5.27), we first need to compute the following intersection numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathbf{R}_4^1)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l, & \quad [(\mathbf{R}_4^2)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l, & \quad [(\mathbf{R}_4^3)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l, \\ [((\mathbf{RA}_1^{\text{F}})_4)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l & \quad \text{and} & \quad [((\mathbf{RT}_1)_4)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

These numbers are computed in Section 6. For now, let us assume that the intersection numbers given in eq. (5.31) can be computed.

Next, define

$$\mu := \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^{13-(m+n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4+l)} \cdot H_1^{n_1} \cdot H_2^{n_2} \cdot H_3^{n_3} \cdot H_4^{n_4} a^l, \quad (5.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, l) &:= \left([(\mathbf{R}_4^1)_{\text{Fb}}] + [(\mathbf{R}_4^2)_{\text{Fb}}] + [(\mathbf{R}_4^3)_{\text{Fb}}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [((\mathbf{RA}_1^{\text{F}})_4)_{\text{Fb}}] + 2[((\mathbf{RT}_1)_4)_{\text{Fb}}] \right) \cdot \mu, \quad \text{and} \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

$$\nu := \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^{16-(m+n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4+l)} \cdot H_1^{n_1} \cdot H_2^{n_2} \cdot H_3^{n_3} \cdot H_4^{n_4} a^l, \quad (5.34)$$

$$\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, l) := [(\mathbf{A}_1^{\text{F}} \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0 \mathbf{T}_0)_{\text{Fb}} \mathbf{F}] \cdot \nu. \quad (5.35)$$

Intersect both sides of eq. (5.29) with the class μ (as defined by eq. (5.32)). It follows using equations (5.30), (5.33) and (5.35) that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\varphi(m, n_1 + 3, n_2, n_3, n_4, l) - \varphi(m, n_1, n_2 + 3, n_3, n_4, l) \\ & -\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3 + 3, n_4, l) - \varphi(m, n_1 + 2, n_2, n_3, n_4 + 1, l) \\ & -\varphi(m, n_1, n_2 + 2, n_3, n_4 + 1, l) - \varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3 + 2, n_4 + 1, l) \\ & + 3\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 + 2, l + 1) - \varphi(m, n_1 + 1, n_2, n_3, n_4 + 2, l) \\ & -\varphi(m, n_1, n_2 + 1, n_3, n_4 + 2, l) - \varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3 + 1, n_4 + 2, l) \\ & + 3\varphi(m + 1, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 + 1, l + 1) + 3\varphi(m + 1, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 + 2, l) \\ & + \varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 + 1, l + 1) + \varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 + 2, l) \\ & + \varphi(m + 1, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, l + 1) + \varphi(m + 1, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 + 1, l) = T(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, l). \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

We will now show that the quantity $\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, l)$ is computable in terms of the function T . Write down eq. (5.36) three times; once with $n_4 = 1$, then with $n_4 = 2$ and finally with $n_4 = 3$. We will refer to these as equations one, two and three respectively. Now do the following: equation one minus 3 times equation two plus 9 times equation three. After simplification, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -\varphi(m, n_1 + 1, n_2, n_3, 3, l) - \varphi(m, n_1, n_2 + 1, n_3, 3, l) \\ & -\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3 + 1, 3, l) + \varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, 3, l) \\ & + 3\varphi(m, n_1 + 2, n_2, n_3, 3, l) + 3\varphi(m, n_1, n_2 + 2, n_3, n_4, l) \\ & + 3\varphi(m, n_1 + 3, n_2, n_3, 3, l) - 9\varphi(m, n_1, n_2 + 3, n_3, 3, l) \\ & - 9\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3 + 3, 3, l) = T(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, 1, l) \\ & \quad - 3T(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, 2, l) + 9T(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, 3, l). \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

Next, note that

$$\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, l) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n_4 \neq 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } n_1, n_2 \text{ or } n_3 \geq 4. \end{cases} \quad (5.38)$$

Finally, we note that

$$\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, l) = \varphi(m, \sigma(n_1), \sigma(n_2), \sigma(n_3), n_4, l), \quad (5.39)$$

where σ is any element of the permutation group S_3 .

Using all these information, we can now solve the recursion in a brute-force manner. Start with the following equality, which is obtained by putting $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 3$ in eq. (5.37), and using the fact that φ vanishes if $n_i \geq 4$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$\varphi(m, 3, 3, 3, 3, l) = T(m, 3, 3, 3, 1, l) - 3T(m, 3, 3, 3, 2, l) + 9T(m, 3, 3, 3, 3, l)$$

Since the right-hand side is only about conics, those numbers can be computed and hence $\varphi(m, 3, 3, 3, 3, l)$ can be computed. Using this, $\varphi(m, 2, 3, 3, 3, l)$ can be computed by putting $n_1 = 2, n_2 = n_3 = 3$ in eq. (5.37):

$$\varphi(m, 2, 3, 3, 3, l) = \varphi(m, 3, 3, 3, 3, l) + T(m, 2, 3, 3, 1, l) - 3T(m, 2, 3, 3, 2, l) + 9T(m, 2, 3, 3, 3, l).$$

We can continue in this manner and compute $\varphi(m, n_1, 3, 3, 3, l)$ for all values of n_1 (again, keeping in mind that φ is possibly non-trivial only for values $n_1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$).

We would then want to compute $\varphi(m, 3, 2, 3, 3, l)$, but by the symmetry property eq. (5.39), that is the same as $\varphi(m, 2, 3, 3, 3, l)$ which has been computed. Similarly, the numbers $\varphi(m, 3, n_2, 3, 3, l)$ are obtained for any n_2 by using symmetry.

Next, compute $\varphi(m, 2, 2, 3, 3, l)$ by putting $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 2, n_3 = 3$ in eq. (5.37):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m, 2, 2, 3, 3, l) &= \varphi(m, 3, 2, 3, 3, l) + \varphi(m, 2, 3, 3, 3, l) + T(m, 2, 2, 3, 1, l) \\ &\quad - 3T(m, 2, 2, 3, 2, l) + 9T(m, 2, 2, 3, 3, l). \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding similarly, $\varphi(m, n_1, n_2, 3, 3, l)$ can be computed for all values of n_1, n_2 .

We can continue this process, i.e., put in the required values of n_i in eq. (5.37), and use eq. (5.38), eq. (5.39), as and when required. This process will end since there are only a finite number of steps going from $n_i = 3$ to $n_i = 0$. This solves the recursion problem.

6. COMPUTATION OF THE CHARACTERISTIC NUMBER OF CONICS IN \mathbb{P}^3

The aim is to compute the characteristic number of conics in \mathbb{P}^3 that were needed to complete the computation in the last section. We will start by computing the characteristic number of smooth conics. The intersection space being considered is

$$\mathfrak{M}_n^1(2) = (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y^1 \times Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n,$$

where each Y^i and Y_j is a copy of \mathbb{P}^3 . As before, the hyperplane class of Y^i and Y_j are denoted by B_i and H_j respectively. The first Chern classes of the hyperplane bundles of $(\mathcal{D}_1)_{\text{Fb}}$ and $(\mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}}$ are denoted by λ_1 and λ_2 , respectively, and the hyperplane class of $\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ is denoted by a . Similar to eq. (5.2), we can have the fiber bundle

$$(\mathfrak{M}_n^1(2))_{\text{Fb}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3,$$

where an element of the bundle is a tuple

$$(\Pi, \mathbf{L}, \mathcal{C}_2, p, x_1, \cdots, x_n)$$

such that L, \mathcal{C}_2 and all the points lie on Π . A similar argument to that of eq. (5.3) shows that the cycle of $[(M_n^1(2))_{\text{Fb}}]$ inside $\mathfrak{M}_n^1(2)$ is given by

$$[(M_n^1(2))_{\text{Fb}}] = (a + B_1) \cdot (a + H_1) \cdots (a + H_n).$$

Note that the spaces involving conics in the previous section are actually cubics. Indeed, they are given by a conic and the prescribed line. Thus, we can think $(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}}$ as a subspace of $(\mathcal{D}_3)_{\text{Fb}}$. Thus restricted to $(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}}$, we have

$$\lambda_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2. \quad (6.1)$$

Following the discussion in [3, pp. 7–8], we conclude that the cohomology ring of $\mathfrak{M}_n^1(2)$ is generated by $a, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, B_1, \dots, B_m, H_1, \dots, H_n$, together with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^3 &= -\lambda_1^2 a - \lambda_1 a^2 - a^3, \\ \lambda_2^6 &= -4\lambda_2^5 a - 10\lambda_2^4 a^2 - 20\lambda_2^3 a^3, \\ B_i^4 &= 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad H_j^4 = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad a^4 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

6.1. Smooth conics. Let us compute the following intersection numbers:

$$[(R_3)_{\text{Fb}}] \cdot \lambda_1^m \lambda_3^j a^l. \quad (6.3)$$

Recall that $(R_3)_{\text{Fb}}$ is the fiber bundle analogue of $R_3(3)$ (cf. eq. (3.17)). It can be thought of as a subbundle of $(M_n^1(2))_{\text{Fb}}$. If

$$(\Pi, L, \mathcal{C}_2, p, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in (R_3)_{\text{Fb}},$$

then p lies on Π, L and \mathcal{C}_2 while x_i 's lie on L . The incidences correspond to the cycles $a + B_1, \lambda_1 + B_1, \lambda_2 + 2B_1$ and $\lambda_1 + H_i$ respectively. The class of $(R_3)_{\text{Fb}}$ inside $\mathfrak{M}_n^1(2)$ is given by

$$[(R_3)_{\text{Fb}}] = (a + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_1 + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_2 + 2B_1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^3 [(a + H_i)(\lambda_1 + H_i)].$$

Intersection numbers of eq. (6.3) can now be computed using eq. (6.1).

Next, let us consider $[(R_4^1)_{\text{Fb}}]$. It is a class inside $\mathfrak{M}_4^1(2)$. The cycle $[p = x_1]$ is given by

$$H_1^3 + H_1^2 B_1 + H_1 B_1^2 + B_1^3.$$

A similar argument would yield that the cycle $[(R_4^1)_{\text{Fb}}]$ inside $\mathfrak{M}_4^1(2)$ is given by

$$[(R_4^1)_{\text{Fb}}] = (a + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_1 + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_2 + 2B_1) \cdot (H_1^3 + H_1^2 B_1 + H_1 B_1^2 + B_1^3) \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 1}}^4 [(a + H_i)(\lambda_1 + H_i)].$$

Similarly,

$$[(R_4^2)_{\text{Fb}}] = (a + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_1 + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_2 + 2B_1) \cdot (H_1^3 + H_1^2 B_1 + H_1 B_1^2 + B_1^3) \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 2}}^4 [(a + H_i)(\lambda_1 + H_i)],$$

and

$$[(R_4^3)_{\text{Fb}}] = (a + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_1 + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_2 + 2B_1) \cdot (H_1^3 + H_1^2 B_1 + H_1 B_1^2 + B_1^3) \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq 3}}^4 [(a + H_i)(\lambda_1 + H_i)].$$

All intersection numbers can now be computed.

6.2. Nodal conics. Here nodal conics are viewed as a pair of unordered lines. We will instead look at the space of ordered lines and divide the corresponding intersection number by 2. The ambient space will be

$$\mathfrak{M} := (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}'_1 \times \mathcal{D}''_1)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y^1 \times Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Y_3 \times Y_4.$$

Note that \mathfrak{M} is a subspace of $\mathfrak{M}_4^1(2)$, and the latter is a subspace of $\mathfrak{M}_4^1(3)$. The classes in $(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}'_1 \times \mathcal{D}''_1)_{\text{Fb}}$ will be denoted by $\lambda_1, \lambda'_1, \lambda''_1$ and a , respectively. The classes in the other factors are denoted as usual by B_1, H_1, H_2, H_3 and H_4 , respectively. The class $[((\text{RA}_1^F)_4)_{\text{Fb}}]$ is given by

$$[((\text{RA}_1^F)_4)_{\text{Fb}}] = \frac{1}{2}(a + B_1) \cdot (\lambda'_1 + B_1) \cdot (\lambda''_1 + B_1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^4 [(a + H_i)(\lambda_1 + H_i)].$$

Now note that restricted to this class, we have

$$\lambda_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda'_1 + \lambda''_1. \quad (6.4)$$

Using this, we calculate all the relevant intersection numbers.

6.3. Smooth conics tangent to a line. We will use the following characterization of tangency. The derivative of the conic along the direction of the line is zero. We note that $((\text{RT}_1)_4)_{\text{Fb}}$ is a subspace of $\mathfrak{M}_4^1(2)$. It is a fiber bundle analogue of the space $(\text{RT}_1)_4$ described in eq. (3.26). An element of this space is a tuple $(\Pi, \mathbb{L}, \mathcal{C}_2, p, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ such that all the configurations lie on the plane Π and \mathcal{C}_2 is a smooth conic while L is tangent to \mathcal{C}_2 at p . We have to find the cycle expression for the tangency condition. Consider the following space

$$\mathcal{S} = \{([\eta], p) \in \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3 \times Y^1 \mid \eta(p) = 0\}.$$

Recall that Y^1 is a copy of \mathbb{P}^3 . The natural projection map $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$ is a fiber bundle. Next, assume that W is the relative tangent bundle of $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^3$, that is, $\pi : W \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a rank two vector bundle such that the fiber over each point $([\eta], p)$ is the tangent space of \mathbb{P}_η^2 at the point p . The Chern classes of W (cf. [3, eq. (21)]) are given by

$$c_1(W) = 3B_1 - a, \quad \text{and} \quad c_2(W) = a^2 - 2aB_1 + 3B_1^2.$$

Consider

$$\mathcal{T} := \{([\eta], \mathbb{L}, p) \in (\mathcal{D}_1)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y^1 \mid ([\eta], p) \in \mathcal{S}, p \in \mathbb{L}\}.$$

Let \mathbb{L} be the line bundle over \mathcal{T} whose fiber over the point $([\eta], \mathbb{L}, p)$ is the tangent space of \mathbb{L} at p . Then we get the following short exact sequence of vector bundles over \mathcal{T} :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \rightarrow W \rightarrow \gamma_{(\mathcal{D}_1)_{\text{Fb}}}^* \otimes \gamma_{Y^1}^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where the second map is given by $\nabla f_1|_p$ over the point $([\eta], f_1^{-1}(0), p) \in \mathcal{T}$ and the line is given by a linear homogeneous polynomial f_1 . It now follows that the first Chern class of \mathbb{L} is

$$c_1(\mathbb{L}) = 2B_1 - \lambda_1 - a.$$

For the tangency condition consider the subspace of $(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y^1$:

$$\mathcal{U} := \{(\Pi, \mathbb{L}, f_2^{-1}(0), p) \in (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y^1 \mid (\Pi, \mathbb{L}, p) \in \mathcal{T}, f_2(p) = 0\}.$$

Given a tuple $(\Pi, \mathbb{L}, f_2^{-1}(0), p)$, the conic $f_2^{-1}(0)$ is tangent to \mathbb{L} at p if and only if

$$(\Pi, \mathbb{L}, f_2^{-1}(0), p) \in \mathcal{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla f_2|_p(u) = 0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathbb{L}.$$

Thus the tangency condition can be expressed as the section of the following bundle

$$\psi_T : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \gamma_{(\mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}}}^* \otimes \gamma_{Y^1}^{*2} \otimes \mathbb{L}^*,$$

given by $\{\psi_T(\Pi, \mathbb{L}, f_2^{-1}(0), p)\}(f_2 \otimes v) := \nabla f_2|_p(v)$. Therefore, the cycle corresponding to the tangency condition in $(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{U}] \cdot e\left(\gamma_{(\mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}}}^* \otimes \gamma_{Y_1}^{*2} \otimes \mathbb{L}^*\right) \\ = (a + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_1 + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_2 + 2B_1) \cdot (a + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $((\text{RT}_1)_4)_{\text{Fb}}$ is a subspace of $\mathfrak{M}_4^1(2)$ which is again a subspace of $(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)_{\text{Fb}} \times Y^1 \times Y_1 \times Y_2 \times Y_3 \times Y_4$. Thus, we have

$$[[\text{RT}_1]_4]_{\text{Fb}} = (a + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_1 + B_1) \cdot (\lambda_2 + 2B_1) \cdot (a + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^4 [(a + H_i)(\lambda_1 + H_i)].$$

Therefore, all the relevant intersection numbers of eq. (5.31) can be computed from the above cycle expressions. We use the identities of eqs. (6.1) and (6.4) to calculate them.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Anuvertika Pandey for several useful discussions related to the project. Indranil Biswas is partially supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship (JBR/2023/000003). Apratim Choudhury is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – The Berlin Mathematics Research Center MATH+ (EXC-2046/1, project ID 390685689, BMS Stipend). Nilkantha Das is supported by the INSPIRE faculty fellowship (Ref No: IFA21-MA 161) funded by the DST, Govt. of India. He would also like to thank NISER Bhubaneswar for the hospitality during a visit where a part of the project has been carried out.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. BISWAS, A. CHOUDHURY, R. MUKHERJEE, AND A. PAUL, *Counting curves with tangencies*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.10759>, 2024.
- [2] L. CAPORASO AND J. HARRIS, *Counting plane curves of any genus*, Invent. Math., 131 (1998), pp. 345–392.
- [3] N. DAS AND R. MUKHERJEE, *Counting planar curves in \mathbb{P}^3 with degenerate singularities*, Bull. Sci. Math., 173 (2021).
- [4] D. EISENBUD AND J. HARRIS, *3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [5] S. KLEIMAN AND R. PIENE, *Enumerating singular curves on surfaces*, in Algebraic geometry: Hirzebruch 70 (Warsaw, 1998), vol. 241 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 209–238.
- [6] S. L. KLEIMAN AND R. PIENE, *Node polynomials for families: methods and applications*, Math. Nachr., 271 (2004), pp. 69–90.
- [7] ———, *Node polynomials for curves on surfaces*, SIGMA, 18 (2022).
- [8] M. KOOL, V. SHENDE, AND R. P. THOMAS, *A short proof of the Göttsche conjecture*, Geom. Topol., 15 (2011), pp. 397–406.
- [9] T. LAARAKKER, *The Kleiman-Piense conjecture and node polynomials for plane curves in \mathbb{P}^3* , Selecta Math. (N.S.), 24 (2018), pp. 4917–4959.
- [10] R. MUKHERJEE, A. PAUL, AND R. KUMAR SINGH, *Enumeration of rational curves in a moving family of \mathbb{P}^2* , Bull. Sci. Math., 150 (2019), pp. 1–11.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, NH91, TEHSIL DADRI, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH 201314, INDIA

Email address: `indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in`, `indranil29@gmail.com`

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6, BERLIN- 10099, GERMANY

Email address: `apratim.choudhury@hu-berlin.de`

STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, B.T.ROAD, KOLKATA-700108, INDIA.

Email address: `dasnilkantha17@gmail.com`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR, AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, KHURDA 752050, ODISHA, INDIA.

Email address: `ritwikm@niser.ac.in`