

A Tale of Single-channel Electroencephalogram: Devices, Datasets, Signal Processing, Applications, and Future Directions

Yueyang Li ¹, Weiming Zeng ¹, Senior Member, IEEE, Wenhao Dong ¹, Di Han, Lei Chen, Hongyu Chen ¹,
Hongjie Yan ¹, Wai Ting Siok ², and Nizhuan Wang ¹

Abstract—Single-channel electroencephalogram (EEG) is a cost-effective, comfortable, and non-invasive method for monitoring brain activity, widely adopted by researchers, consumers, and clinicians. The increasing number and proportion of articles on single-channel EEG underscore its growing potential. This paper provides a comprehensive review of single-channel EEG, focusing on development trends, devices, datasets, signal processing methods, recent applications, and future directions. Definitions of bipolar and unipolar configurations in single-channel EEG are clarified to guide future advancements. Applications mainly span sleep staging, emotion recognition, educational research, and clinical diagnosis. Ongoing advancements of single-channel EEG in AI-based EEG generation techniques suggest potential parity or superiority over multichannel EEG performance.

Index Terms—EEG, Single-channel, Wearable Devices, EEG Processing, Sleep Staging, Emotion Recognition, Education Assessment, Clinical Diagnosis, EEG Signal Generation.

I. INTRODUCTION

ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHY (EEG) is a technique for recording the brain's electrical signals, which has high temporal resolution and non-invasiveness, making it an indispensable tool in brain research and neurological disorder diagnosis [1]. EEG measures the electrical activity by detecting voltage fluctuations resulting from ionic current flows within the neurons of the brain [2]. By recording electrical activity from the scalp, EEG can provide the real-time insights into brain function, enabling the investigation of cognitive processes under different conditions and mechanisms of neurological disorders [3]. Traditionally, EEG signals are taken on the surface of the scalp, while the intracranial EEG (iEEG) directly records electrical signals inside the brain using implanted electrodes [4]. In this paper, we focus primarily on traditional scalp EEG signals, in which the International 10-20

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant number 31870979]. (Corresponding author: Weiming Zeng, and Nizhuan Wang)

Yueyang Li, Weiming Zeng, Wenhao Dong, Di Han, Lei Chen and Hongyu Chen are with the Laboratory of Digital Image and Intelligent Computation, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai 201306, China (email: lyy20010615@163.com, zengwm86@163.com, jsxzdw@163.com, handi@stu.shmtu.edu.cn, chen_sanshi@163.com, hongychen676@gmail.com.)

Hongjie Yan is with the Department of Neurology, Affiliated Lianyungang Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University, Lianyungang 222002, China (email: yanhjs@gmail.com).

Wai Ting Siok and Nizhuan Wang are with the Department of Chinese and Bilingual Studies, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, SAR, China (e-mail: wai-ting.siok@polyu.edu.hk, wangnizhuan1120@gmail.com).




Fig. 1. Scalp electrode placement in 10-20 system for EEG acquisition [5].

system, shown in Fig1, is commonly used for EEG electrode placement and for correlating the external skull locations to the underlying cortical areas [5].

In clinical settings, EEG is essential for diagnosing and monitoring conditions such as epilepsy and sleep disorders, as well as assessing brain function during cognitive tasks, aiding in the understanding of neural mechanisms underlying perception, memory, and decision-making [6]–[10]. Moreover, EEG is widely applied in non-clinical settings due to its portability and cost-effectiveness, which facilitates monitoring of emotions and stress for mental health management, tracks students' attention in education to enhance the teaching process, and aids in optimizing concentration and relaxation during sports and meditation training for effective outcomes [11]–[13]. However, traditional EEG systems often employ multiple channels to capture a detailed spatial map of brain activity. For instance, high-density EEG systems can improve the spatial resolution but present some challenges such as usability, convenience, and high cost [14]. These limitations pose significant barriers to the widespread application of EEG beyond controlled lab settings [15]. The complexities involved in setup and maintenance hinder the use of high-density EEG systems in clinical, consumer, industrial sectors, and other situations. In addition, wearable electrode caps can cause physical discomfort, limiting recording duration and frequency, particularly in clinical, consumer, and industrial sectors, and in studies involving children or the elderly.

Single-channel EEG is a simple system that applies two electrodes to record single-channel electrical activity, which can be classified into unipolar single-channel EEG and bipolar single-channel EEG based on the electrode placement and reference selection [16], as shown Fig2. Bipolar single-channel EEG means that two electrodes are placed at different locations on the scalp and the potential difference between these two electrodes reflects the difference in electrical activity between two specific brain areas. The unipolar reference is used when all the electrodes are referenced to a unique physical reference or a unique virtual reference [17]. The physical reference is typically an electrode (e.g., Cz, Fz and Oz) placed on the scalp or body surface during the recording setup. The virtual reference is a linear combination of recordings from all electrodes, usually obtained during offline processing after EEG data acquisition. Typical examples of virtual references include the reference electrode standardization technique (REST), average reference (AR), and linked-mastoids/ears reference (LM) [18]–[20]. The primary difference is that unipolar single-channel EEG employs one recording electrode and one reference electrode, whereas bipolar single-channel EEG uses two recording electrodes to measure the relative potential changes between them. Single-channel EEG systems can be divided into two types: wired and wireless. Wired single-channel EEG systems are mostly part of a multi-channel system, while wireless single-channel systems are mostly from wireless portable devices.

Single-channel EEG is effectively used in sleep studies to monitor sleep stages and detect disorders like sleep apnea [21], [22]. It can integrate into wearable devices for continuous home monitoring, which is convenient and less intrusive for patients [23]. In stress monitoring, single-channel EEG detects changes in brainwave patterns linked to stress and relaxation, offering feedback for stress reduction interventions [24]. Similarly, in cognitive load assessment, single-channel EEG measures brain activity associated with mental effort, beneficial in educational settings and user experience research [25].

As a simplified EEG recording technique, single-channel EEG shows significant potential in clinical and non-clinical applications. However, there remains a lack of systematic reviews on single-channel EEG, encompassing both unipolar and bipolar configurations. Furthermore, the definition of single-channel EEG is not strictly standardized, posing challenges in scientific research. Notably, the issue of optimal electrode positioning for specific tasks has not been adequately addressed. This review aims to summarize existing research on single-channel EEG, discussing its devices, datasets, signal processing, applications, challenges, and future development directions.

In Section II, we review the evolution of EEG and explore research trends in single-channel EEG. Section III introduces the design and implementation of consumer-grade single-channel EEG devices. Section IV covers commonly used datasets for single-channel EEG research. Section V discusses signal processing methods. Section VI explores single-channel EEG applications in tasks such as sleep staging, disease diagnosis, and emotion recognition. Section VII summarizes




Fig. 2. Illustration of bipolar/unipolar single-channel EEG [26].

and discusses the content of the preceding sections, highlighting the main challenges and future development directions in single-channel EEG research. Section VIII concludes this review, aiming to promote further development and application of single-channel EEG technology.

II. TRENDS OF SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG

Single-channel EEG systems are advancing significantly across various fields due to their practicality, affordability, and versatility. Enhanced by wearable technology, these systems are widely used in personal health monitoring, meditation aids, and sleep tracking, providing insights into brain activity patterns and biofeedback for therapeutic purposes [27]. They are also crucial in cognitive and educational research, offering simplified yet effective tools favored in student projects and educational settings. Technological improvements in signal processing have boosted their accuracy and reliability, enhancing their efficacy across diverse applications [28], [29]. Integration with other wearable sensors and mobile applications expands their functionality, offering comprehensive physiological and psychological insights. Commercially, devices like the NeuroSky MindWave and Sichiray demonstrate a growing market presence, with improved user interfaces and comfort for extended wear [30].

A literature survey was conducted to highlight trends in single-channel EEG research over the past 5 years. The total number of articles containing the keyword "Single-channel EEG" from 2019 to 2023 was retrieved from Google Scholar. All studies related to EEG were identified using the keyword "EEG". Non-relevant articles, where the search keyword appeared only as part of the author's name, were excluded. The survey revealed an increasing focus on single-channel EEG studies between 2019 and 2023, as depicted in Figure 3. The number of publications on single-channel EEG rose from 841 in 2019 to 1,050 in 2021, reaching 1,750 in 2023. The ratio of Single-channel EEG to general EEG studies increased from 0.94% in 2019 to 2.01% (2021) and 2.77% (2023). This represents a nearly six-fold increase in the proportion of




Fig. 3. Total number of general and single-channel EEG publications over the past 5 years, as searched on Google Scholar. Single-channel EEG is an increasingly popular research topic. (Publications up to June 2024).

single-channel EEG studies over the period, reflecting growing recognition and attention from researchers. Furthermore, advancements in signal processing and data analysis methods for single-channel EEG have significantly contributed to the rapid development of this field [31].

III. DEVICES OF SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG

The NeuroSky MindWave series and Sichiray EEG devices are the typical single-channel EEG headsets, each with different features. For instance, the NeuroSky MindWave is an affordable single-channel EEG device designed for education, entertainment, and research, which uses the ThinkGear ASIC Module (TGAM) [32] module to measure and output EEG power spectra such as α , β waves, etc., providing an easy-to-use brainwave monitoring solution. This device consists of a headset with a T-shaped headband, wide ear clips, and flexible sensor arms that rest on the forehead above the eyes, which connects via bluetooth low energy and classic bluetooth, with a single AAA battery providing approximately 8 hours of use [33]. The NeuroSky MindWave Mobile offers similar functionality to the original MindWave but with improvements in comfort and connection [34]. Its headsets feature automatic wireless pairing, enhanced ergonomics, and work seamlessly with a wide range of educational and gaming apps available on the NeuroSky App Store. The MindWave Mobile II uses a TGAM2.9B Bluetooth v4.0 module and features a flexible rubber sensor arm, rounded forehead sensor tip, T-shaped headband, and wide ear clips for a more ergonomic design and a more comfortable wearing experience. It also uses a single AAA battery with a battery life of 8 hours and is compatible with Windows, Mac, iOS, and Android platforms [35]. The OpenBCI EEG headset kit, especially the Ultracortex Mark IV, is a more advanced and customizable option for professional research that requires high-precision and multi-channel EEG data. It offers a high degree of customization options suitable for single-channel EEG applications [36].

The Sichiray 2.0 is another single-channel EEG device designed for consumer and educational use [37], which offers

TABLE I
DEVICES OF SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG

Device	Released	Sensors	Data Available?
NeuroSky MindWave [33]	2011	Fp1	Y
NeuroSky MindWave Mobile [34]	2012	Fp1	Y
Olimex EEG-SMT [38]	2013	User-configurable	Y
NeuroSky MindWave Mobile II [35]	2018	Fp1	Y
OpenBCI EEG Headband Kit [36]	2022	User-configurable	Y
Sichiray [37]	2022	Fp1	Y

similar functionality to the NeuroSky MindWave series but provides fewer details about its specific features, connection options and supported applications.

Table I presents a summary of the single-channel EEG devices used in academic literature, including information about their release dates, available sensors and data availability. The market for single-channel EEG devices is currently limited, with major brands including the NeuroSky MindWave series, Sichiray 2.0, and OpenBCI devices, which are primarily used in education, entertainment and preliminary research. Future advancements in technology and growing application needs are expected to enhance these devices in terms of accuracy, comfort, endurance and versatility. This will enable them to better meet the demands of professional research and practical applications.

IV. DATASETS OF SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG

As stated in Section I, this review refers to two types of single-channel EEG: unipolar and bipolar EEG, depending on the reference electrode position. Bipolar single-channel EEG signals typically exist within multi-channel EEG data and are rarely processed or labeled separately. Researchers select specific electrode pairs that form the channel based on prior knowledge and the requirements of downstream tasks. This personalized and task-specific selection hinders the establishment and sharing of standardized single-channel EEG datasets. In addition, the experimental design of multi-channel EEG datasets often differs from that of single-channel applications. For instance, most bipolar single-channel EEG data used in sleep staging are derived from specific bipolar channels within the polysomnography (PSG) data [39]. Given the diverse and unstandardized nature of the data generated by bipolar single-channel EEG, this article focuses solely on unipolar single-channel EEG datasets, which are scarce in public repositories. Table II provides a summary of the details of the public single-channel EEG datasets.

The K-EmoCon dataset [40] is a multimodal sensor dataset designed for continuous emotion recognition in natural conversations. It addresses the limitations of existing emotion

datasets in capturing individual emotions in natural environments. K-EmoCon includes audio and video recordings, EEG and peripheral physiological signals collected from 32 participants during 16 debates, each approximately 10 minutes long. The EEG signals were collected using the NeuroSky MindWave headset, which uses two dry sensor electrodes: one on the forehead (FP1 channel of the frontal lobe - 10/20 system) and the other on the left earlobe (reference). The data collection process consists of four stages: entry, baseline measurement, debate and emotion annotation. While watching the debate video, participants annotated their emotions every 5 seconds, including self-annotation, opponent annotation and external observer annotation. The annotations cover arousal-valence and 18 emotion categories. The data has been thoroughly checked and preprocessed to ensure signal integrity and device reliability.

The Emo_Food dataset [41] was created from an EEG experiment involving 20 random volunteers to study the effects of food images with different emotional valences on EEG activity. Participants viewed positive, neutral, and negative food images, with a 10-second relaxation period between each trial. The EEG data were recorded at a sampling rate of 512 Hz using a NeuroSky MindWave Mobile II, with the sensor placed on the left forehead. This dataset offers valuable data for research in affective computing, neuroscience and psychology.

Acampora et al. proposed a single-channel EEG dataset from a portable steady-state visual evoked potential (SSVEP) based brain-computer interfaces (BCI) involving 11 volunteers [38]. The data were acquired by OlimexEEG-SMT, a dual-channel 10-bit analog-to-digital converter with a sampling frequency of 256 Hz. The experiment utilized the repetitive visual stimuli with four flickering frequencies: 8.57 Hz, 10 Hz, 12 Hz, and 15 Hz, and each lasting for 16 seconds. Only one channel was used, with two active electrodes (CH- and CH+) placed at Fpz (frontal region) and Oz (occipital region), and the reference electrode on the earlobe. This dataset serves as a crucial baseline for single-channel EEG, particularly in the emerging field of human-robot interaction.

The scarcity of single-channel EEG datasets poses a significant challenge in current neuroscience research. Expanding unipolar single-channel EEG datasets to obtain more reliable data is essential. Compared to multi-channel EEG datasets, unipolar single-channel EEG datasets have obvious advantages in equipment cost, data processing complexity and practical application [42]. However, expanding these datasets requires addressing challenges in data collection, annotation and data quality control.

V. SIGNAL PROCESSING OF SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG

In this section, we present a detailed processing framework of single-channel EEG signals, encompassing acquisition, pre-processing, feature extraction, feature selection and machine learning or deep learning-based downstream tasks, as depicted in Fig4.

A. Signal Preprocessing

1) *Basic Preprocessing & Filtering*: In the preprocessing stage of single-channel EEG signals, removing baseline drift and power supply interference is a key pre-processing step. Baseline drift in EEG signals refers to a type of low-frequency signal variation caused by poor electrode contact or other slowly changing factors. High-pass filtering or empirical mode decomposition (EMD) methods are usually used to remove low-frequency drifts, including baseline drifts [43]. To remove power supply interference, notch filters or adaptive filtering are often applied to specifically eliminate power frequency interference of 50Hz or 60Hz [44]. Based on the designed filters, single-channel EEG signals are usually divided into five different frequency bands: δ (0.5-4 Hz), θ (4-7 Hz), α (8-13 Hz), β (14-30 Hz), and γ (>30, but usually <100 Hz).

2) *Artifacts Cancellation*: Single-channel EEG artifact cancellation faces critical challenges, including the broad spectral impact of artifacts, the lack of standardized preprocessing steps that effectively filter noise while preserving signal integrity and high variability among subjects and trials in phase-locked EEG signals. These artifacts mainly include electrooculogram (EOG) artifacts, electromyography (EMG) artifacts, and motion artifacts [45]. EOG artifacts caused by blinking and eye movement appear as low-frequency, high-amplitude signals [46], while EMG artifacts result from scalp and facial muscle activity and are characterized by a wide frequency range and high amplitude [47]. Motion artifacts arise from poor electrode contact due to head or body movement, producing low-frequency, large-amplitude noise [48]. These artifacts can significantly reduce the accuracy of single-channel EEG data, increase the risk of misdiagnosis and complicate data processing. For instance, eye movement artifacts may be mistaken for epileptic seizures, while EMG artifacts may obscure genuine EEG abnormalities, leading to missed or incorrect diagnosis. These artifacts also limits the extensive application of single-channel EEG in portable and mobile devices, particularly in scenarios requiring high-precision.

Since single-channel EEG systems lack multi-channel information commonly used in multi-channel EEG artifact removal methods such as independent component analysis (ICA), canonical correlation analysis (CCA) and independent vector analysis (IVA) [45], they cannot be directly applied. Some studies have applied decomposition methods such as ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD) [49] and singular spectrum decomposition (SSA) [50] to convert single-channel EEG signals into a multi-dimensional format. Hybrid methods, such as EEMD-ICA [51] and SSA-ICA [52], [53], have also been employed to process single-channel EEG. EEMD-ICA first decomposes the signal into spectral independent modes using EEMD, then applies ICA to extract statistically independent sources [51]. In [52], [53], SSA decomposes a single-channel EEG signal into multiple sub-signals to form a multi-channel dataset, and ICA extracts statistically independent source signals from these sub-signals to identify and remove artifact-containing components. To avoid the edge effect in EEMD-ICA, Guo et al. proposed combining local mean decomposition (LMD) and ICA (LMD-ICA) [54], although

TABLE II
SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG DATASETS

Datasets	EEG-Devices	Collected Data	Sampling Rate	Signal Range[min,max]
K-EmoCon [40]	NeuroSky MindWave Headset	Brainwave (Fp1 Channel EEG)	125HZ	n/a
		Attention & Meditation	1HZ	[0,100]
Emo_Food [41]	NeuroSky MindWave Mobile II	Brainwave (Fp1 Channel EEG)	512HZ	n/a
SSVEP_BCI [38]	Olimex EEG-SMT	Brainwave (Fpz or Oz Channel EEG)	256HZ	n/a

parameter adjustment in LMD is challenging. [55] proposed a combination of discrete wavelet transform (WT) and w-ICA for denoising EEG signals [56]. In many biomedical applications based on single-channel EEG, artifacts often appear in narrow frequency bands. Thus, hybrid methods including ICA are more suitable for removing stereotyped artifacts, although ICA-based hybrid methods do not perform well due to the significant variations in multiple types of artifacts [57] and will shorten the detection time and reduce the battery life because of the high computational cost, which results in increased power consumption.

In contrast, the low computational complexity of CCA extends the working time of single-channel EEG devices. A technique combining EEMD and CCA was proposed in [58], [59] to eliminate motion artifacts in single-channel EEG signals. This technique first uses EEMD to decompose the single-channel signal into a set of oscillatory components (intrinsic mode functions, IMFs), converting it into a multivariate signal. CCA then extracts the source signal from the multivariate data and its delayed version. The choice of method depends on the artifact type: ICA-based methods are typically used for EOG artifacts, while CCA and IVA are better suited for EMG artifacts. Currently, no single method can completely remove all types of artifacts.

Compared with CCA, WT is a lower computational complexity method that can be used. WT represents the time-frequency pattern of a signal by decomposing it into multiple groups of coefficients. Compared to blind source separation, WT has a lower computational cost, making it more suitable for online applications of single-channel EEG. In [60], a method combining discrete wavelet transform (DWT) and adaptive noise cancellation (ANC) was developed to remove eye movement artifacts (OA) from EEG. Khatun et al. systematically evaluated the effectiveness of unsupervised WT decomposition techniques, specifically discrete DWT and stationary wavelet transform (SWT), using four wavelet basis functions (haar, coif3, sym3, and bior4.4) and two thresholding methods (Universal Threshold and Statistical Threshold) for ocular artifact removal from single-channel EEG data [61].

Moreover, Tengtrairat et al. proposed an online adaptive single-channel source separation method using a pseudo-stereo noisy mixing model and an adaptive spectrum amplitude estimator to enhance and separate mixtures of sources from noisy

environments [62]. Noorbasha and Sudha integrated overlap segmentation, adaptive singular spectrum analysis (ASSA), and ANC to remove EOG artifacts from single-channel EEG [63]. Shahbakhti et al. proposed the VME-DWT algorithm to detect and filter eye blinks from short segments of single-channel EEG [64]. Noorbasha and Sudha combined SSA, ICA, and SWT to remove EOG artifacts and separate cerebral activity components from single-channel EEG signals [65].

In addition, deep learning (DL) has been proposed to effectively capture artifacts in EEG signals. Lu et al. introduced Dual-Stream Attention-TCN for removing EMG artifacts from single-channel EEG signals [66]. This approach employs two parallel streams processing EEG signals in different frequency bands: the first stream focuses on extracting low-frequency EEG features to mitigate EMG interference, while the second stream integrates high-level features from the first stream with its own low-level features for comprehensive EEG reconstruction across the frequency spectrum. Cui et al. proposed EEGIFNet, a dual-branch interactive fusion neural network, for artifact removal in single-channel EEG signals [67]. EEGIFNet predicts clean EEG signals and residual artifacts through two branches, facilitating information exchange and fusion via interactive and fusion modules to effectively eliminate various types of artifacts. Zhang et al. presented a two-stage intelligent multi-type artifact removal model based on GRU autoencoder. This model aims to remove mixed multi-type artifacts from single-channel EEG by first identifying and then removing artifacts [68]. It employs attention mechanisms and adaptive feature extraction in the encoding feature domain to distinguish contaminated and clean EEG signals, achieving efficient artifact removal.

B. Feature Extraction

Time domain feature extraction focuses on deriving information directly from the EEG signals. These features encompass statistical properties such as mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis, alongside characteristics like amplitude, duration, and waveform dynamics of the signal. This approach is advantageous as it captures transient behaviors and dynamic traits within EEG signals. In addition to fundamental statistical features, more intricate aspects can be revealed through pattern recognition techniques applied to time series data, such as




Fig. 4. Flowchart of single-channel EEG signal processing illustrating key steps from signal acquisition to downstream tasks, encompassing preprocessing, feature extraction, feature selection, as well as traditional machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) methods.

tracking changes in frequency components over time using methods like short-time Fourier transform (STFT) [69], [70].

Frequency domain analysis examines the distribution of energy within EEG signals across different frequency bands. Key features include power spectral density (PSD), band power, spectral entropy, and energy ratios among frequency bands. Fourier transform or WT enables the transformation of time domain signals into frequency domain representations, facilitating extraction of frequency domain features that reflect brain activity characteristics. In addition to traditional PSD analysis, advanced methods like nonlinear spectrum estimation (e.g., Hilbert-Huang transform) can be applied to unveil intrinsic signal patterns [70], [71].

Time-frequency domain analysis integrates the strengths of both time and frequency domains, employing techniques like WT to examine signal characteristics across different time intervals and frequencies. This approach is well-suited for analyzing non-stationary signals such as single-channel EEG, offering insights into localized time-frequency characteristics of the signal [72].

C. Feature Selection

Feature selection is a crucial step in EEG signal processing, aimed at identifying and selecting the most informative and relevant features from high-dimensional datasets. Given the high noise levels and large volumes of EEG data, effective feature selection is essential for accurately interpreting brain activity. Techniques range from traditional statistical tests like the t-test and analysis of variance to more complex methods such as wrapper and embedded techniques [73], [74]. Innovations in deep learning, including autoencoders and tree-based models, further improve feature selection by automatically identifying and quantifying the importance of EEG features [75]. For a detailed overview, refer to previous reviews [76], [77].

D. Machine Learning of Single-channel EEG

In the processing of single-channel EEG signals, machine learning (ML) has significantly advanced automation and precision in targeted downstream tasks [78]. Traditional ML methods typically involve manual extraction and selection of features such as time domain statistical features, frequency domain features, and time-frequency domain features. These features are subsequently utilized to train various ML models like support vector machines (SVM), random forests (RF), and k-nearest neighbors (KNN) for specific tasks. While these models have demonstrated effective performance in EEG signal classification, their accuracy heavily relies on the quality and relevance of the chosen features [79]–[81].

Deep learning (DL) techniques have shown significant efficacy in EEG signal processing by leveraging multi-layer nonlinear architectures to learn intricate data features [82], [83]. Unlike traditional ML methods, DL can automatically derive complex feature representations from preprocessed data without manual feature engineering. For instance, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) excel in processing one-dimensional sequence data and have been successfully applied to automatic EEG feature extraction [84]. Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and long short-term memory networks (LSTMs) effectively handle the time series characteristics of EEG signals and capture temporal dependencies [82]. These capabilities have enabled DL models to achieve significant progress in tasks such as EEG signal classification, anomaly detection, and pattern recognition. Although DL excels in feature learning, it typically requires extensive training data and has poor interpretability. Conversely, traditional ML methods can operate with smaller datasets and offer more transparent decision-making processes. Therefore, selecting an appropriate ML strategy in practical applications should consider data availability, task complexity, and the need for model inter-

pretability.

VI. APPLICATIONS OF SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG

A. Sleep Staging

Sleep staging is a crucial aspect of sleep medicine and research, aiding in the understanding of different sleep stages [85]. According to the American Association of Sleep Medicine (AASM) scoring manual, sleep is divided into five stages: non-REM sleep (NREM, including N1, N2, N3), REM sleep (REM), and Wake (W) [86]. Accurate sleep stage scoring provides valuable clinical insights. Polysomnography (PSG), the gold standard for diagnosing sleep disorders, involves monitoring EEG, ECG, EOG, EMG, airflow, thoracic and abdominal movements, and oximetry [87]. Traditionally, sleep stages are classified by doctors based on these physiological signals [88]. This process typically involves recording at least two EEG channels, EOG, and EMG, and manually scoring them using Rechtschaffen and Kales rules [89]. Single-channel EEG offers a viable alternative, capable of long-term all-night sleep measurement with minimal physiological burden and providing accurate, reliable data [90], [91]. These attributes make it suitable for clinical research and home sleep monitoring. Sleep staging primarily relies on EEG frequency and waveforms [92]. While PSG systems used in sleep research provide multiple EEG channels, in practice, only one channel is often selected for analysis based on signal quality, anatomical location, and prior study support.

The Fpz-Cz channel has been extensively utilized in sleep studies due to its efficiency in detecting wakefulness and REM stages, as well as its ease of use [93]–[95]. Wei et al. employed Fpz-Cz channel EEG data from the Sleep-EDFx database, combining contextual scale maps and Co-ScaleNet to achieve high accuracy and recall in automatic sleep staging [93]. Their method proved robust across multiple datasets, including those from healthy individuals, patients with depression and other public datasets, particularly in the N1 stage.

Zhang et al. utilized Fpz-Cz channel EEG data from the Sleep-EDFx dataset and proposed a semi-supervised learning model, SHNN, for automatic sleep staging. This model demonstrated high classification accuracy and consistency, especially in light and deep sleep stages [21]. Studies by [22], [96] used single-channel EEG data from the Fpz-Cz channel for automated sleep staging, exploring sleep staging directly from EEG signals using temporal context information. Eldele et al. proposed an attention-based DL architecture, AttnSleep, to classify sleep stages using single-channel EEG signals, whose architecture relies on a multi-resolution CNN and adaptive feature recalibration [84]. In the context of sleep stage scoring on the Fpz-Cz channel, [82] developed an efficient single-channel EEG analysis model based on CNN and LSTM. Seifpour et al. proposed an automatic sleep staging system based on local extreme value statistical behavior, utilizing statistical methods to extract features from the Fpz-Cz channel. Qu et al. developed a method based on the residual attention model, achieving accurate EEG sleep staging using DL technology on the Fpz-Cz channel [97], [98].

The C4-A1 and Cz-A1 channels have demonstrated effective performance for sleep staging tasks on certain datasets. In the

SHHS dataset, the C4-A1 channel shows a clear advantage by capturing more information when processing complex EEG signals, thereby improving classification accuracy [96], [99]. The Cz-A1 channel, known for providing stable signals, helps reduce misclassification due to eye movements and its application across multiple datasets has yielded consistent and high-precision classification results.

[97] used the same local extreme value statistical method on the C4-A1 channel for automatic sleep staging and verified the validity of the C4-A1 channel signal. [84] also conducted further experiments on the Cz-A1 channel signal and obtained excellent sleep stage classification results, highlighting the advantages of the Cz-A1 channel in capturing stable signals and reducing eye movement interference. Furthermore, Supratak and Guo proposed the TinySleepNet model, which employs DL technology for efficient single-channel EEG analysis. Their study utilized the Cz-A1 channel to verify its efficiency and versatility across multiple datasets. The TinySleepNet model achieves performance comparable to or better than existing methods while reducing the number of parameters and computing resources required [82].

In addition to the Fpz-Cz, Cz-A1, and C4-A1 channels, other studies have also selected channels such as C3-A2 and Pz-Oz [93], [98], [100]. Different EEG channels exhibit unique advantages and application scenarios in sleep staging, which are summarized in Table III.

B. Emotion Recognition

Emotion is a multifaceted psychological and physiological state observed in humans and other organisms in response to external or internal stimuli. It significantly influences behavior, cognition, adaptation to the environment, decision-making, and social interactions. Emotions entail internal physiological changes including variations in heart rate, respiration, and brain electrical activity [117]. Arousal level denotes the extent of physiological and psychological activation experienced during an emotion, ranging from negative to positive states [118]. Valence refers to the pleasantness of an emotion, indicating whether it is positive or negative and is also measured on a negative to positive scale [119]. Integrating arousal level and valence allows for a comprehensive classification of emotional states [120], as illustrated in Figure 5, providing a clear framework for emotion recognition and regulation.

With the advent of wireless head-mounted single-channel EEG devices, the requirement for conductive glue has diminished, enhancing their portability and flexibility. This development has positioned them as the primary option for emotion recognition research, owing to their ease of use and mobility [121]. Table IV presents various applications of single-channel EEG in emotion recognition.

Zhao et al. introduced an interpretable method for emotion classification using single-channel EEG signals based on the Emo_Food dataset [41]. They utilized wavelet packet decomposition and statistical feature extraction to extract multi-domain features from EEG signals, employing extreme gradient boosting (XGBoost) for classification and achieving global and local interpretations of emotion classification using the

TABLE III
ARTICLE SUMMARY OF SLEEP STAGING BASED ON SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG SYSTEMS

Dataset	Ref	Sleep Stages Classified	Channel	Accuracy(%)	Kappa	Validation	Subjects
Sleep-EDFx [101]	[93]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Fpz-Cz	82.8	0.77	PSG	153
	[94]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Fpz-Cz	80.3	0.73	PSG	78
	[95]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Fpz-Cz	82.5	0.76	PSG	78
	[102]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Fpz-Cz	81.0	0.74	PSG	78
	[103]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Fpz-Cz	82.1	0.79	PSG	78
		Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Fpz-Cz	78.6	0.71	PSG	153
	[21]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Pz-Oz	73.4	0.63	PSG	153
	[96]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	82.8	0.76	PSG	78
	[22]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	86.1	0.81	PSG	78
	[99]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	81.3	-	PSG	100
	[84]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	81.3	0.74	PSG	78
	[82]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	85.4	0.80	PSG	78
	ISRUC [104]	[105]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C3-A2	81.65	0.76	PSG
[106]		Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C3-A2	84.0	-	PSG	100
[93]		Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C3-A2	84.6	0.80	PSG	100
[107]		Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	F3-A2	80.4	0.75	PSG	100
DREAMS-SUB [108]	[21]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Cz-A1	78.2	0.69	PSG	20
	[93]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Cz-A1	83.4	0.77	PSG	20
	[97]	Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	Cz-A1	83.4	0.77	PSG	20
	[109]	Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	Cz-A1	70.7	0.71	PSG	20
	[100]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Cz-A1	78.9	0.78	PSG	20
SHHS [110]	[111]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C4-A1	85.1	0.79	PSG	329
	[93]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C4-A1	87.9	0.83	PSG	329
	[96]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C4-A1	86.4	0.81	PSG	329
	[93]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C4-A1	85.8	0.80	PSG	111
	[99]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C4-A1	86.7	-	PSG	100
	[84]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	C4-A1	84.2	0.78	PSG	329
Sleep-EDF [112]	[21]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	84.8	0.79	PSG	20
	[22]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	91.0	0.88	PSG	20
	[93]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Pz-Oz	91.9	0.87	PSG	8
		Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	84.3	0.78	PSG	20
	[113]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Pz-Oz	80.31	0.73	PSG	20
	[84]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	84.4	0.79	PSG	20
		Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	84.3	0.78	PSG	20
	[98]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Pz-Oz	80.7	0.74	PSG	20
	[82]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	83.1	0.77	PSG	20
		Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	Pz-Oz	93.6	-	PSG	20
	[114]	Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	FPz-Cz	91.1	-	PSG	20
		Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	FPz-Cz	90.6	0.85	PSG	20
	[97]	Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	Pz-Oz	88.6	0.82	PSG	20
		Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	FPz-Cz	82.0	0.76	PSG	20
	[115]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Pz-Oz	79.8	0.72	PSG	20
	[116]	Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	Pz-Oz	90.0	-	PSG	20
	[23]	Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	FPz-Cz	90.5	0.80	PSG	20
	[109]	Wake-S1-S2-S3-S4-REM	Pz-Oz	88.1	0.88	PSG	20
	[100]	Wake-N1-N2-N3-REM	Pz-Oz	93.7	0.85	PSG	20




Fig. 5. The Arousal-Valence Space (AVS) Model used in psychology and affective computing to represent and measure human emotions [120].

Shapley additive explanation (SHAP) method [122]. Yan et al. proposed an emotion recognition method using single-channel EEG signals from DEAP and DREAMER datasets. They employed topological nonlinear dynamic analysis, including phase space reconstruction and persistent homology, to study EEG characteristics during emotional states [123]. Khan et al. investigated emotion induction through video games using single-channel EEG equipment. Participants across various age groups played different games to induce emotions, and EEG signals were analyzed alongside sentiment classification using machine learning techniques [124]. Cao et al. developed an emotion recognition method tailored for single-channel EEG signals. Their approach involved WT for signal decomposition, extraction of time-frequency information from multiple frequency bands, and sliding time windows for feature calculation and emotion classification [125]. Jalilifard et al. studied the classification of emotional states using single-channel EEG, focusing on the left prefrontal cortex (Fp1 location). They utilized emotional stimulus videos and applied K-nearest neighbor and SVM algorithms for successful classification of fear and relaxation emotions [81]. Acharya et al. proposed a genetic programming (GP) framework with a new fitness function "Gap Score (G Score)" for imbalanced emotion recognition datasets. They used data collected from the NeuroSky MindWave II single-channel EEG device to classify four emotion types: happy, scary, sad, and neutral [126]. Li et al. proposed a technique based on brain rhythm sequencing (BRS) for efficient emotion recognition from EEG signals and achieved single-channel selection through dynamic time warping. The results showed that using single-channel data and 10-second brain rhythm sequences, a classification accuracy of 70-82% was achieved in the emotion recognition task [127].

These findings demonstrate that single-channel EEG achieves high accuracy and effectiveness in emotion classification, highlighting the potential of single-channel EEG devices in future affective computing and emotion recognition.

C. Educational Research

Single-channel EEG can assess cognitive load during learning tasks and stages by monitoring changes in brain activity,

aiming at optimizing teaching content, methods and outcomes [13]. In a classroom environment, single-channel EEG can monitor changes in attention to teaching content, helping teachers adjust strategies and rhythm to improve outcomes. When evaluating educational technology and online learning tools, single-channel EEG provides objective evaluation metrics by measuring changes in brain activity with different tools.

Wei and Ma utilized the NeuroSky MindBand [34] to investigate the effects of visual attention and reading duration on children and adults while reading printed picture books [128]. Chen and Lin employed the NeuroSky MindSet headset in mobile reading environments to assess changes in learners' attention across sitting, standing and walking scenarios [129]. Shadiev et al. investigated the impact of speech-to-text applications on students' academic performance, attention and meditation [130] using NeuroSky MindWave headsets [33]. Ma and Wei employed the NeuroSky MindBand to study children's attention during reading across varied media formats (traditional, pop-up, audio, e-books), focusing on grades 3-6 and analyzing gender and grade-level influences [131]. Chen and Wu used NeuroSky MindSet headset to examine how different video lecture methods (lecture screenshots, picture-in-picture, voice-over) affect students' sustained attention [132]. Similarly, Wang and Hsu applied NeuroSky MindSet headset to measure the changes in learners' attention state under the same learning content with three different text display types (static, dynamic, mixed text display types) [28].

In traditional teacher-student interaction, Huang et al. proposed to stimulate students' positive emotions by rewarding correct answers with interesting images, and found that such incentives are effective [133], using the NeuroSky MindWave Mobile headset to measure attention levels. In the realm of intelligent tutoring systems, [134] conducted a comprehensive study comparing the effects of clicker technology versus mobile voting technology on students' anxiety, self-efficacy, engagement, academic performance and attention, employing the NeuroSky MindSet headset to measure attention levels. Wang et al. measured learners' attention levels in computer-based teaching and learning across different difficulty levels using the NeuroSky MindSet headset [28]. Similarly, [135]–[137] also utilized the NeuroSky MindSet headset to gauge students' attention levels in online synchronous teaching and learning activities. Wong et al. investigated participants' attention levels in motor skill acquisition using the NeuroSky MindWave Mobile headset, employing a computerized visual motor task akin to mirror drawing [138]. Lin et al. conducted brainwave concentration and relaxation training in an emotional learning system with the NeuroSky MindWave Mobile, automatically halting teaching materials and recording learning times upon unexpected negative emotions [29].

D. Clinical Diagnosis and Treatment Assessment

The significance of single-channel EEG in medical diagnosis has grown rapidly due to its ability to provide swift and effective brain state assessments, crucial in acute medical scenarios such as stroke onset or epileptic seizures, where rapid decision-making is vital. In mental health, where conditions

TABLE IV
ARTICLE SUMMARY OF EMOTION RECOGNITION BASED ON SINGLE-CHANNEL EEG SYSTEMS

Ref	Device	Datasets	Selected Channel	Features	Model	Result(%)
[122]	Neurosky Mindwave Mobile II	Emo_Food Dataset	Fp1	Four frequency bands(α ,low β ,high β , γ)	XGBoost	92.31
[127]	Multi-channel system device	MER Dataset	C3	δ , θ , α , β , and γ	BRS	72.11
		SEED Dataset		δ , θ , α , β , and γ	BRS	72.40
		DEAP Dataset		δ , θ , α , β , and γ	BRS	74.51
		MAHNOB Dataset		δ , θ , α , β , and γ	BRS	82.18
[124]	Neurosky Mindwave Mobile II	Self-built Dataset	Fp1	Time, Frequency and Time-frequency Domains	Boosted Trees classifier	82.26
[125]	Neurosky Mindwave	Emo_Food Dataset	Fp1	Four frequency bands(α ,low β , high β , γ)	TF-LSTM	98.36
[81]	Neurosky Mindwave Mobile	Self-built Dataset	Fp1	Four frequency bands(θ , α , β , γ)	KNN	94.21
[126]	Neurosky Mindwave Mobile II	Self-built Dataset	Fp1	Attention, Meditation, δ , θ , Low α , High α , Low β , High β , Low γ , and High γ	GP	87.61

like depression exhibit detectable EEG pattern changes, and single-channel EEG supports ongoing monitoring essential for clinical diagnosis and treatment assessment. In neurodegenerative conditions like Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) [139] and Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) [140], single-channel EEG detects brain wave anomalies indicative of cognitive decline, enabling early detection and intervention. Its simplicity and accessibility position single-channel EEG as a promising tool for large-scale screening.

1) *Depression*: Single-channel EEG offers a noteworthy advantage due to its simplicity and rapid deployment capability, facilitating timely and potentially more frequent assessments in mental health contexts. Depression, a prevalent and debilitating disorder, necessitates diagnostic tools that are effective, accessible, and efficient. Studies using single-channel EEG for diagnosing depression have generally focused on analyzing brain wave patterns and connectivity measures to distinguish between depressed patients and healthy controls [79], [141]. Much of this research aims to identify specific EEG biomarkers indicative of depression. For instance, alterations in frontal α asymmetry have been commonly observed in depressive patients, suggesting a potential diagnostic signature detectable through single-channel EEG [142]. A pivotal study showed that single-channel EEG, using standard ML techniques to analyze signals from a single frontal electrode, effectively identifies depression by detecting reduced left frontal activity. This approach offers diagnostic accuracy comparable to multi-channel systems [79]. Another method involves inducing cognitive or emotional stimuli and recording EEG responses to tasks that elicit brain activity related to depression. Analyzing these differences in electrical brain responses between depressed patients and controls provides insights into the neural mechanisms of emotional dysregulation in depression [141]. Various EEG features studied in depression include spectral power in different frequency bands

(α , β , γ , δ , θ), asymmetry indices, and coherence measures. The alpha asymmetry index, extensively investigated as a potential biomarker for depression, assesses the disparity in alpha wave activity between the brain’s left and right hemispheres. Greater left-sided inactivity is often associated with depressive symptoms [143].

Chung et al. proposed a method for assessing depression using a single-channel EEG device (NeuroSky Mindwave Mobile II) and a DNN model, demonstrating efficient assessment through multi-layer ensemble learning technology [79]. Zhu et al. introduced a method for detecting depression through single-channel EEG and graph-based techniques in 2022, achieving highest accuracy of 92.0% with the T4 channel during eyes-closed states [144]. Shen et al. presented an enhanced channel selection approach using kernel target alignment for depression detection in multi-channel EEG, showing improved classification performance and satisfactory results with a single channel aligned with cortical activity patterns associated with depression [145]. Wan et al. explored a ML method for distinguishing major depressive disorder (MDD) from normal controls using single-channel EEG data from forehead locations (Fp1 and Fp2 electrodes). Their results indicated superior classification performance at Fp1, showcasing single-channel EEG’s capability comparable to multi-channel EEG in MDD differentiation [146]. Ay et al. proposed a deep hybrid model combining CNN and LSTM architecture to detect depression through EEG signals, achieving high classification accuracy of EEG signals in the right and left hemispheres 99.12% and 97.66%, respectively [83]. Additional studies [147]–[149] underscored the effectiveness of single-channel EEG analysis across various methods for detecting depression, emphasizing its feasibility and efficacy in linear and nonlinear EEG signal analysis techniques.

2) *AD & MCI*: Alzheimer’s Disease and Mild Cognitive Impairment represent a continuum of cognitive decline that

poses significant diagnostic challenges. Early detection of MCI, especially its differentiation from age-related cognitive changes, is crucial as MCI can often progress to AD. Single-channel EEG, with its simplicity and cost-effectiveness, offers a promising tool for early diagnosis and monitoring of these conditions. Khatun et al. employed the single-channel EEG to analyze brain responses to speech stimulation for MCI detection, which achieved up to 87.9% cross-validation accuracy, 85% sensitivity, and 90% specificity, demonstrating the potential for early MCI detection using single-channel EEG and event-related potentials (ERP) [80]. Pulver et al. used single-channel sleep EEG to analyze 205 elderly adults, exploring changes in rhythmic events such as theta wave bursts, sleep spirals, and slow waves generated by memory-related neural circuits during the early pathological process of AD [150]. Mitsukura et al. utilized a single-channel EEG device [34] to record data from 120 patients with dementia and MCI, compared to healthy controls. The study found significant power spectrum differences between dementia patients and healthy controls at 3Hz, 4Hz, 10Hz, and higher frequencies, as well as differences between patients with varying disease severity and healthy individuals [151]. These studies imply the validity of EEG as a biomarker for MCI and dementia in clinical practice, but further research with larger sample sizes is needed to verify its reproducibility.

3) *Epilepsy*: Epilepsy, characterized by recurrent and unprovoked seizures, is one of the most prevalent neurological disorders globally [6]. Traditionally, multi-channel EEG has been the standard diagnostic tool for clinicians. However, its complexity, high cost, and need for specialized equipment and expertise have limited its widespread use, particularly in resource-limited settings. In contrast, single-channel EEG offers a simpler, cost-effective alternative with significant clinical utility. Dweiri et al. created a new ML algorithm using XGBoost for seizure detection in wearable systems, classifying single-channel EEG from the CHB-MIT database, which demonstrated high sensitivity of 89% for seizure detection [152]. Another notable study placed sensors in varying numbers of channels to detect epilepsy separately using the CHB-MIT dataset. The results indicated that the single-channel method's performance in identifying epileptic seizures in continuous EEG recordings was comparable to the multi-channel method, with high sensitivity and a low false alarm rate [153]. Lu et al. compared the diagnostic efficacy of single-channel and standard multi-channel EEG in a clinical trial involving patients suspected of nocturnal seizures. Results suggested that while single-channel EEG is less detailed, it still correctly identified significant seizure activities in the majority of cases [154]. This finding supports the potential of single-channel EEG as a screening tool, particularly in environments where access to full EEG setups is not feasible.

E. Other Applications

The single-channel EEG with steady state visually evoked potential (SSVEP) paradigm demonstrates significant potential in BCI, neuroscience research and clinical diagnosis. Single-channel EEG devices typically record signals in the occipital

region, as it is most sensitive to visual stimuli. Nguyen et al. developed a SSVEP speller system based on monopolar single-channel EEG, using a one-dimensional CNN to detect SSVEP frequency and a custom head-mounted device (O1-Oz channel) with a virtual keyboard containing 58 characters. In experiments with eight subjects, the system achieved an average classification accuracy of 99.2%, and in actual online spelling experiments, it achieved an accuracy of 97.4% and an information transmission rate of 49 ± 7.7 bits/min [155]. Karunasena et al. proposed a streamlined SSVEP-based BCI system that utilizes a single-channel EEG to control a robotic arm. By capturing the brain's visual response to LEDs flashing at different frequencies, the system generates control commands by focusing on LEDs of specific frequencies, effectively controlling the robotic arm [156]. The single-channel EEG with motor imagery paradigm is also a significant area of BCI research. Ge et al. used single-channel EEG to classify four types of motor imagery, decomposing the signal into the time-frequency domain through STFT, constructing multi-channel information, and combining the common spatial pattern algorithm and SVM to achieve high classification accuracy from the sensorimotor and frontal areas [157]. Due to its user-friendliness, convenience, and low cost, single-channel EEG has also been applied in microsleep detection to enhance the safety of intelligent transportation systems (ITS). Chougule et al. developed a deep learning model named Diamond Net, which uses an attention mechanism combined with WT and STFT spectrograms to effectively distinguish microsleep from other sleep stages [158].

VII. DISCUSSION

Single-channel EEG is pivotal due to its low cost, comfort, and non-invasiveness. The increasing number of research articles highlights its growing significance, reflecting technological advancements and a shift toward accessible brain monitoring solutions. Researchers, consumers, and clinicians are increasingly adopting single-channel EEG in various settings, such as neuroscience exploration, human-computer interaction, education, mental health monitoring, and clinical diagnosis. Firstly, a critical aspect of single-channel EEG's utility lies in its signal processing and data handling capabilities. Traditionally, EEG involved multiple channels for comprehensive brain activity mapping, but single-channel systems require sophisticated computational techniques to enhance accuracy and interpretability. Secondly, the evolution and innovation of single-channel EEG devices in portability, reduced setup complexity, rapid deployment, and continuous monitoring are crucial for widespread adoption. Technological advancements in hardware and software, including miniaturization, sensor sensitivity, and wireless capabilities, are expected to enhance signal quality and reliability. Sophisticated ML algorithms are essential for robust signal processing and data analysis in single-channel EEG systems. Thirdly, significant challenges such as ensuring high signal quality and overcoming the inherent limitations of single-channel systems, like data depth and interpretative consistency, are expected to be further addressed through AI-based generative models [159]. AI-based

EEG generation techniques have demonstrated the potential to generate multi-channel signals with high quality from single-channel signals, matching or even surpassing the performance of multichannel systems. Finally, rigorous validation and standardization of generative AI models in single-channel EEG systems are crucial, along with developing robust frameworks to navigate ethical and privacy concerns.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Single-channel EEG, benefiting from its low cost, comfort, and non-invasiveness, holds great potential for real-time brain activity monitoring. The increasing number of research articles underscores its growing significance. This review comprehensively covers the development trends, devices, datasets, signal processing methods, applications, and future directions of single-channel EEG, serving as a guide for influential and novel research to further advance single-channel EEG systems. Moreover, this review clarifies the definitions of unipolar and bipolar configurations in single-channel EEG. Wired single-channel EEG systems capture significant information with minimal channels, facilitating tasks such as sleep staging and disease detection. Wireless single-channel EEG systems, noted for their user-friendliness and quick setup, are widely utilized in education research, emotion recognition, and other fields. The unique advantages of single-channel EEG enable its success in diverse application environments, and will continue to play a pivotal role in driving the development and innovation of neuroscience technology.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Biasucci, B. Franceschiello, and M. M. Murray, "Electroencephalography," *Current Biology*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. R80–R85, 2019.
- [2] T. Kirschstein and R. Köhling, "What is the source of the eeg?," *Clinical EEG and neuroscience*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 146–149, 2009.
- [3] M. X. Cohen, "Where does eeg come from and what does it mean?," *Trends in neurosciences*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 208–218, 2017.
- [4] J. Parvizi and S. Kastner, "Promises and limitations of human intracranial electroencephalography," *Nature neuroscience*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 474–483, 2018.
- [5] H. H. Jasper, "Ten-twenty electrode system of the international federation," *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*, vol. 10, pp. 371–375, 1958.
- [6] S. J. Smith, "Eeg in the diagnosis, classification, and management of patients with epilepsy," *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, vol. 76, no. suppl 2, pp. ii2–ii7, 2005.
- [7] S. Motamedi-Fakhr, M. Moshrefi-Torbati, M. Hill, C. M. Hill, and P. R. White, "Signal processing techniques applied to human sleep eeg signals—a review," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 10, pp. 21–33, 2014.
- [8] A. Steiger and M. Kimura, "Wake and sleep eeg provide biomarkers in depression," *Journal of psychiatric research*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 242–252, 2010.
- [9] K. G. Jordan, "Emergency eeg and continuous eeg monitoring in acute ischemic stroke," *Journal of clinical neurophysiology*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 341–352, 2004.
- [10] V. J. Geraedts, L. I. Boon, J. Marinus, A. A. Gouw, J. J. van Hilten, C. J. Stam, M. R. Tannemaat, and M. F. Contarino, "Clinical correlates of quantitative eeg in parkinson disease: A systematic review," *Neurology*, vol. 91, no. 19, pp. 871–883, 2018.
- [11] R. Jenke, A. Peer, and M. Buss, "Feature extraction and selection for emotion recognition from eeg," *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 327–339, 2014.
- [12] S.-H. Seo, J.-T. Lee, and M. Crisan, "Stress and eeg," *Convergence and hybrid information technologies*, vol. 27, 2010.
- [13] J. Xu and B. Zhong, "Review on portable eeg technology in educational research," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 81, pp. 340–349, 2018.
- [14] L. Troy M, G. Joseph T, and F. Daniel P, "How many electrodes are really needed for eeg-based mobile brain imaging?," *Journal of Behavioral and Brain Science*, vol. 2012, 2012.
- [15] A. Lau-Zhu, M. P. Lau, and G. McLoughlin, "Mobile eeg in research on neurodevelopmental disorders: Opportunities and challenges," *Developmental cognitive neuroscience*, vol. 36, p. 100635, 2019.
- [16] D. Yao, Y. Qin, S. Hu, L. Dong, M. L. Bringas Vega, and P. A. Valdés Sosa, "Which reference should we use for eeg and erp practice?," *Brain topography*, vol. 32, pp. 530–549, 2019.
- [17] S. Hu, D. Yao, M. L. Bringas-Vega, Y. Qin, and P. A. Valdes-Sosa, "The statistics of eeg unipolar references: derivations and properties," *Brain topography*, vol. 32, pp. 696–703, 2019.
- [18] D. Yao, "A method to standardize a reference of scalp eeg recordings to a point at infinity," *Physiological measurement*, vol. 22, no. 4, p. 693, 2001.
- [19] D. Goldman, "The clinical use of the "average" reference electrode in monopolar recording," *Electroencephalography and clinical neurophysiology*, vol. 2, no. 1–4, pp. 209–212, 1950.
- [20] F. Chella, V. Pizzella, F. Zappasodi, and L. Marzetti, "Impact of the reference choice on scalp eeg connectivity estimation," *Journal of neural engineering*, vol. 13, no. 3, p. 036016, 2016.
- [21] Y. Zhang, W. Cao, L. Feng, M. Wang, T. Geng, J. Zhou, and D. Gao, "Shnn: A single-channel eeg sleep staging model based on semi-supervised learning," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 213, p. 119288, 2023.
- [22] D. Zhou, J. Wang, G. Hu, J. Zhang, F. Li, R. Yan, L. Kettunen, Z. Chang, Q. Xu, and F. Cong, "Singlechannelnet: A model for automatic sleep stage classification with raw single-channel eeg," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 75, p. 103592, 2022.
- [23] T. L. da Silveira, A. J. Kozakevicius, and C. R. Rodrigues, "Single-channel eeg sleep stage classification based on a streamlined set of statistical features in wavelet domain," *Medical & biological engineering & computing*, vol. 55, pp. 343–352, 2017.
- [24] S. M. U. Saeed, S. M. Anwar, M. Majid, and A. M. Bhatti, "Psychological stress measurement using low cost single channel eeg headset," in *2015 IEEE international symposium on signal processing and information technology (ISSPIT)*, pp. 581–585, IEEE, 2015.
- [25] L. Molcho, N. B. Maimon, N. Regev-Plotnik, S. Rabinowicz, N. Intrator, and A. Sasson, "Single-channel eeg features reveal an association with cognitive decline in seniors performing auditory cognitive assessment," *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, vol. 14, p. 773692, 2022.
- [26] G. Li and W.-Y. Chung, "Electroencephalogram-based approaches for driver drowsiness detection and management: A review," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 3, p. 1100, 2022.
- [27] R. Muhammad, A. Ali, M. A. Anwar, T. A. Soomro, O. AlShorman, A. Alshahrani, M. Masadeh, G. M. Ashraf, N. H. Ali, M. Irfan, *et al.*, "Design and development of low-cost wearable electroencephalograms (eeg) headset," *Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing*, vol. 35, no. 3, 2023.
- [28] C.-C. Wang and M.-C. Hsu, "An exploratory study using inexpensive electroencephalography (eeg) to understand flow experience in computer-based instruction," *Information & Management*, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 912–923, 2014.
- [29] H.-C. K. Lin, S.-H. Su, C.-J. Chao, C.-Y. Hsieh, and S.-C. Tsai, "Construction of multi-mode affective learning system: Taking affective design as an example," *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 132–147, 2016.
- [30] S. Morshad, M. R. Mazumder, and F. Ahmed, "Analysis of brain wave data using neurosky mindwave mobile ii," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing Advancements*, pp. 1–4, 2020.
- [31] T. Alotaiby, F. E. A. El-Samie, S. A. Alshebeili, and I. Ahmad, "A review of channel selection algorithms for eeg signal processing," *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, vol. 2015, pp. 1–21, 2015.
- [32] J. M. Morales, C. Díaz-Piedra, H. Rieiro, J. Roca-González, S. Romero, A. Catena, L. J. Fuentes, and L. L. Di Stasi, "Monitoring driver fatigue using a single-channel electroencephalographic device: A validation study by gaze-based, driving performance, and subjective data," *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, vol. 109, pp. 62–69, 2017.
- [33] J. Katona, T. Ujbanyi, G. Sziladi, and A. Kovari, "Speed control of festo robotino mobile robot using neurosky mindwave eeg headset based brain-computer interface," in *2016 7th IEEE international conference on cognitive infocommunications (CogInfoCom)*, pp. 000251–000256, IEEE, 2016.
- [34] A. Sezer, Y. Inel, A. Seçkin, and U. Uluçınar, "An investigation of university students' attention levels in real classroom settings with neu-

- rosky's mindwave mobile (eeg) device," in *International educational technology conference*, pp. 88–101, 2015.
- [35] K. Permana, S. Wijaya, and P. Prajitno, "Controlled wheelchair based on brain computer interface using neurosky mindwave mobile 2," in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, vol. 2168, AIP Publishing, 2019.
- [36] J. Martínez-Cerveró, M. K. Ardali, A. Jaramillo-Gonzalez, S. Wu, A. Tonin, N. Birbaumer, and U. Chaudhary, "Open software/hardware platform for human-computer interface based on electrooculography (eog) signal classification," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 9, p. 2443, 2020.
- [37] Z. Liang, X. Wang, J. Zhao, and X. Li, "Comparative study of attention-related features on attention monitoring systems with a single eeg channel," *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, vol. 382, p. 109711, 2022.
- [38] G. Acampora, P. Trinchese, and A. Vitiello, "A dataset of eeg signals from a single-channel ssvp-based brain computer interface," *Data in Brief*, vol. 35, p. 106826, 2021.
- [39] L. Zhu, C. Wang, Z. He, and Y. Zhang, "A lightweight automatic sleep staging method for children using single-channel eeg based on edge artificial intelligence," *World Wide Web*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1883–1903, 2022.
- [40] C. Y. Park, N. Cha, S. Kang, A. Kim, A. H. Khandoker, L. Hadjileontiadis, A. Oh, Y. Jeong, and U. Lee, "K-emocon, a multimodal sensor dataset for continuous emotion recognition in naturalistic conversations," *Scientific Data*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 293, 2020.
- [41] K. Zhao, D. Xu, K. He, and G. Peng, "Interpretable emotion classification using multidomain feature of eeg signals," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 11879–11891, 2023.
- [42] B. Van Hal, S. Rhodes, B. Dunne, and R. Bossemeyer, "Low-cost eeg-based sleep detection," in *2014 36th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pp. 4571–4574, IEEE, 2014.
- [43] E. Hennighausen, M. Heil, and F. Rösler, "A correction method for dc drift artifacts," *Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 86, no. 3, pp. 199–204, 1993.
- [44] J. Mateo, E. M. Sánchez-Morla, and J. Santos, "A new method for removal of powerline interference in eeg and eeg recordings," *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 45, pp. 235–248, 2015.
- [45] J. A. Urigüen and B. Garcia-Zapirain, "Eeg artifact removal—state-of-the-art and guidelines," *Journal of neural engineering*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 031001, 2015.
- [46] M. Plöchl, J. P. Ossandón, and P. König, "Combining eeg and eye tracking: identification, characterization, and correction of eye movement artifacts in electroencephalographic data," *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, vol. 6, p. 278, 2012.
- [47] Y. Li, P. T. Wang, M. P. Vaidya, R. D. Flint, C. Y. Liu, M. W. Slutzky, and A. H. Do, "Electromyogram (emg) removal by adding sources of emg (erase)—a novel ica-based algorithm for removing myoelectric artifacts from eeg," *Frontiers in neuroscience*, vol. 14, p. 597941, 2021.
- [48] A. Kilicarslan and J. L. C. Vidal, "Characterization and real-time removal of motion artifacts from eeg signals," *Journal of neural engineering*, vol. 16, no. 5, p. 056027, 2019.
- [49] Z. Wu and N. E. Huang, "Ensemble empirical mode decomposition: a noise-assisted data analysis method," *Advances in adaptive data analysis*, vol. 1, no. 01, pp. 1–41, 2009.
- [50] P. Bonizzi, J. M. Karel, O. Meste, and R. L. Peeters, "Singular spectrum decomposition: A new method for time series decomposition," *Advances in Adaptive Data Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 04, p. 1450011, 2014.
- [51] B. Mijović, M. De Vos, I. Gligorijević, J. Taelman, and S. Van Huffel, "Source separation from single-channel recordings by combining empirical-mode decomposition and independent component analysis," *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 2188–2196, 2010.
- [52] J. Cheng, L. Li, C. Li, Y. Liu, A. Liu, R. Qian, and X. Chen, "Remove diverse artifacts simultaneously from a single-channel eeg based on ssa and ica: A semi-simulated study," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 60276–60289, 2019.
- [53] S. K. Noorbasha and G. F. Sudha, "Removal of eeg artifacts and separation of different cerebral activity components from single channel eeg—an efficient approach combining ssa-ica with wavelet thresholding for bci applications," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 63, p. 102168, 2021.
- [54] Y. Guo, G. R. Naik, and H. Nguyen, "Single channel blind source separation based local mean decomposition for biomedical applications," in *2013 35th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, pp. 6812–6815, IEEE, 2013.
- [55] B. Azzerboni, F. La Foresta, N. Mammone, and F. C. Morabito, "A new approach based on wavelet-ica algorithms for fetal electrocardiogram extraction," in *ESANN*, pp. 193–198, 2005.
- [56] G. Inuso, F. La Foresta, N. Mammone, and F. C. Morabito, "Wavelet-ica methodology for efficient artifact removal from electroencephalographic recordings," in *2007 international joint conference on neural networks*, pp. 1524–1529, IEEE, 2007.
- [57] X. Chen, Q. Chen, Y. Zhang, and Z. J. Wang, "A novel eemd-cca approach to removing muscle artifacts for pervasive eeg," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 19, no. 19, pp. 8420–8431, 2018.
- [58] K. T. Sweeney, S. F. McLoone, and T. E. Ward, "The use of ensemble empirical mode decomposition with canonical correlation analysis as a novel artifact removal technique," *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 97–105, 2012.
- [59] M. Chavez, F. Grosselin, A. Bussalb, F. D. V. Fallani, and X. Navarro-Sune, "Surrogate-based artifact removal from single-channel eeg," *IEEE transactions on neural systems and rehabilitation engineering*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 540–550, 2018.
- [60] H. Peng, B. Hu, Q. Shi, M. Ratcliffe, Q. Zhao, Y. Qi, and G. Gao, "Removal of ocular artifacts in eeg—an improved approach combining dwt and anc for portable applications," *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 600–607, 2013.
- [61] S. Khatun, R. Mahajan, and B. I. Morshed, "Comparative study of wavelet-based unsupervised ocular artifact removal techniques for single-channel eeg data," *IEEE journal of translational engineering in health and medicine*, vol. 4, pp. 1–8, 2016.
- [62] N. Tengtrairat, W. L. Woo, S. S. Dlay, and B. Gao, "Online noisy single-channel source separation using adaptive spectrum amplitude estimator and masking," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 1881–1895, 2015.
- [63] S. K. Noorbasha and G. F. Sudha, "Removal of eeg artifacts from single channel eeg—an efficient model combining overlap segmented ssa and anc," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 60, p. 101987, 2020.
- [64] M. Shahbakhti, M. Beiramvand, M. Nazari, A. Broniec-Wójcik, P. Augustyniak, A. S. Rodrigues, M. Wierzchon, and V. Marozas, "Vme-dwt: An efficient algorithm for detection and elimination of eye blink from short segments of single eeg channel," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 29, pp. 408–417, 2021.
- [65] S. K. Noorbasha and G. F. Sudha, "Removal of eeg artifacts and separation of different cerebral activity components from single channel eeg—an efficient approach combining ssa-ica with wavelet thresholding for bci applications," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 63, p. 102168, 2021.
- [66] J. Lu, R. Cai, Z. Guo, Q. Yang, K. Xie, and S. Xie, "Dual-stream attention-tcn for emg removal from a single-channel eeg," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2024.
- [67] H. Cui, C. Li, A. Liu, R. Qian, and X. Chen, "A dual-branch interactive fusion network to remove artifacts from single-channel eeg," *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 2023.
- [68] W. Zhang, W. Yang, X. Jiang, X. Qin, J. Yang, and J. Du, "Two-stage intelligent multi-type artifact removal for single-channel eeg settings: A gru autoencoder based approach," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 3142–3154, 2022.
- [69] X. Gu, Z. Cao, A. Jolfaei, P. Xu, D. Wu, T.-P. Jung, and C.-T. Lin, "Eeg-based brain-computer interfaces (bcis): A survey of recent studies on signal sensing technologies and computational intelligence approaches and their applications," *IEEE/ACM transactions on computational biology and bioinformatics*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 1645–1666, 2021.
- [70] Z. Zhang, "Spectral and time-frequency analysis," *EEG Signal Processing and feature extraction*, pp. 89–116, 2019.
- [71] Q. Zhao and L. Zhang, "Temporal and spatial features of single-trial eeg for brain-computer interface," *Computational intelligence and neuroscience*, vol. 2007, no. 1, p. 037695, 2007.
- [72] M.-P. Hosseini, A. Hosseini, and K. Ahi, "A review on machine learning for eeg signal processing in bioengineering," *IEEE reviews in biomedical engineering*, vol. 14, pp. 204–218, 2020.
- [73] R. O. Duda, P. E. Hart, et al., *Pattern classification*. John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- [74] I. Guyon and A. Elisseeff, "An introduction to variable and feature selection," *Journal of machine learning research*, vol. 3, no. Mar, pp. 1157–1182, 2003.
- [75] G. E. Hinton and R. R. Salakhutdinov, "Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks," *science*, vol. 313, no. 5786, pp. 504–507, 2006.
- [76] B. Nakisa, M. N. Rastgoo, D. Tjondronegoro, and V. Chandran, "Evolutionary computation algorithms for feature selection of eeg-based emotion recognition using mobile sensors," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 93, pp. 143–155, 2018.

- [77] T. Alotaiby, F. E. A. El-Samie, S. A. Alshebeili, and I. Ahmad, "A review of channel selection algorithms for eeg signal processing," *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, vol. 2015, pp. 1–21, 2015.
- [78] A. Craik, Y. He, and J. L. Contreras-Vidal, "Deep learning for electroencephalogram (eeg) classification tasks: a review," *Journal of neural engineering*, vol. 16, no. 3, p. 031001, 2019.
- [79] K.-H. Chung, Y.-S. Chang, W.-T. Yen, L. Lin, and S. Abimannan, "Depression assessment using integrated multi-featured eeg bands deep neural network models: Leveraging ensemble learning techniques," *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, vol. 23, pp. 1450–1468, 2024.
- [80] S. Khatun, B. I. Morshed, and G. M. Bidelman, "Single channel eeg time-frequency features to detect mild cognitive impairment," in *2017 IEEE International Symposium on Medical Measurements and Applications (MeMeA)*, pp. 437–442, IEEE, 2017.
- [81] J. Amir, R. Amir, P. Edinaldo Birgante, and I. Md Kafuil, "Classification of emotions induced by horror and relaxing movies using single-channel eeg recordings," *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 2020.
- [82] A. Supratak and Y. Guo, "Tinsleepnet: An efficient deep learning model for sleep stage scoring based on raw single-channel eeg," in *2020 42nd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine & Biology Society (EMBC)*, pp. 641–644, IEEE, 2020.
- [83] B. Ay, O. Yildirim, M. Talo, U. B. Baloglu, G. Aydin, S. D. Puthankattil, and U. R. Acharya, "Automated depression detection using deep representation and sequence learning with eeg signals," *Journal of medical systems*, vol. 43, pp. 1–12, 2019.
- [84] E. Eldele, Z. Chen, C. Liu, M. Wu, C.-K. Kwok, X. Li, and C. Guan, "An attention-based deep learning approach for sleep stage classification with single-channel eeg," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, vol. 29, pp. 809–818, 2021.
- [85] M. Perslev, S. Darkner, L. Kempfner, M. Nikolic, P. J. Jennum, and C. Igel, "U-sleep: resilient high-frequency sleep staging," *NPJ digital medicine*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 72, 2021.
- [86] L. Parrino, R. Ferri, M. Zucconi, and F. Fanfulla, "Commentary from the italian association of sleep medicine on the aasm manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events: for debate and discussion," *Sleep medicine*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 799–808, 2009.
- [87] B. Jafari and V. Mohsenin, "Polysomnography," *Clinics in chest medicine*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 287–297, 2010.
- [88] M. Cesari, A. Stefani, T. Penzel, A. Ibrahim, H. Hackner, A. Heibredner, A. Szentkirályi, B. Stubbe, H. Völzke, K. Berger, et al., "Interrater sleep stage scoring reliability between manual scoring from two european sleep centers and automatic scoring performed by the artificial intelligence-based stanford-stages algorithm," *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1237–1247, 2021.
- [89] D. Moser, P. Anderer, G. Gruber, S. Parapatics, E. Loretz, M. Boeck, G. Kloesch, E. Heller, A. Schmidt, H. Danker-Hopfe, et al., "Sleep classification according to aasm and rechtschaffen & kales: effects on sleep scoring parameters," *Sleep*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 139–149, 2009.
- [90] P. Chriskos, C. A. Frantzidis, C. M. Nday, P. T. Gkivogkli, P. D. Bamidis, and C. Kourtidou-Papadeli, "A review on current trends in automatic sleep staging through bio-signal recordings and future challenges," *Sleep medicine reviews*, vol. 55, p. 101377, 2021.
- [91] A. R. Hassan and M. I. H. Bhuiyan, "A decision support system for automatic sleep staging from eeg signals using tunable q-factor wavelet transform and spectral features," *Journal of neuroscience methods*, vol. 271, pp. 107–118, 2016.
- [92] J. Möcks and T. Gasser, "How to select epochs of the eeg at rest for quantitative analysis," *Electroencephalography and clinical neurophysiology*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 89–92, 1984.
- [93] Y. Wei, Y. Zhu, Y. Zhou, X. Yu, and Y. Luo, "Automatic sleep staging based on contextual scalograms and attention convolution neural network using single-channel eeg," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 2023.
- [94] S. Mousavi, F. Afghah, and U. R. Acharya, "Sleepeegnet: Automated sleep stage scoring with sequence deep learning approach," *PLoS one*, vol. 14, no. 5, p. e0216456, 2019.
- [95] E. Khalili and B. M. Asl, "Automatic sleep stage classification using temporal convolutional neural network and new data augmentation technique from raw single-channel eeg," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 204, p. 106063, 2021.
- [96] C. Zhao, J. Li, and Y. Guo, "Sleepcontextnet: A temporal context network for automatic sleep staging based single-channel eeg," *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 220, p. 106806, 2022.
- [97] S. Seifpour, H. Niknazar, M. Mikaeili, and A. M. Nasrabadi, "A new automatic sleep staging system based on statistical behavior of local extrema using single channel eeg signal," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 104, pp. 277–293, 2018.
- [98] W. Qu, Z. Wang, H. Hong, Z. Chi, D. D. Feng, R. Grunstein, and C. Gordon, "A residual based attention model for eeg based sleep staging," *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 2833–2843, 2020.
- [99] F. Li, R. Yan, R. Mahini, L. Wei, Z. Wang, K. Mathiak, R. Liu, and F. Cong, "End-to-end sleep staging using convolutional neural network in raw single-channel eeg," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 63, p. 102203, 2021.
- [100] A. R. Hassan and A. Subasi, "A decision support system for automated identification of sleep stages from single-channel eeg signals," *Knowledge-Based Systems*, vol. 128, pp. 115–124, 2017.
- [101] B. Kemp, A. H. Zwinderman, B. Tuk, H. A. Kamphuisen, and J. J. Obery, "Analysis of a sleep-dependent neuronal feedback loop: the slow-wave microcontinuity of the eeg," *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 47, no. 9, pp. 1185–1194, 2000.
- [102] X. Lv, J. Li, and Q. Xu, "A multilevel temporal context network for sleep stage classification," *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, vol. 2022, no. 1, p. 6104736, 2022.
- [103] J. Huang, L. Ren, X. Zhou, and K. Yan, "An improved neural network based on senet for sleep stage classification," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 4948–4956, 2022.
- [104] S. Khalighi, T. Sousa, J. M. Santos, and U. Nunes, "Isruc-sleep: A comprehensive public dataset for sleep researchers," *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, vol. 124, pp. 180–192, 2016.
- [105] H. Shen, F. Ran, M. Xu, A. Guez, A. Li, and A. Guo, "An automatic sleep stage classification algorithm using improved model based essence features," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 17, p. 4677, 2020.
- [106] A. A. Gharbali, S. Najdi, and J. M. Fonseca, "Transfer learning of spectrogram image for automatic sleep stage classification," in *Image Analysis and Recognition: 15th International Conference, ICIAR 2018, Póvoa de Varzim, Portugal, June 27–29, 2018, Proceedings 15*, pp. 522–528, Springer, 2018.
- [107] D. T. Pham and R. Mouček, "Automatic sleep stage classification by cnn-transformer-1stm using single-channel eeg signal," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM)*, pp. 2559–2563, IEEE, 2023.
- [108] H. Ghimatgar, K. Kazemi, M. S. Helfroush, and A. Aarabi, "An automatic single-channel eeg-based sleep stage scoring method based on hidden markov model," *Journal of neuroscience methods*, vol. 324, p. 108320, 2019.
- [109] A. R. Hassan and M. I. H. Bhuiyan, "Automated identification of sleep states from eeg signals by means of ensemble empirical mode decomposition and random under sampling boosting," *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, vol. 140, pp. 201–210, 2017.
- [110] G.-Q. Zhang, L. Cui, R. Mueller, S. Tao, M. Kim, M. Rueschman, S. Mariani, D. Mobley, and S. Redline, "The national sleep research resource: towards a sleep data commons," *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 1351–1358, 2018.
- [111] C. Li, Y. Qi, X. Ding, J. Zhao, T. Sang, and M. Lee, "A deep learning method approach for sleep stage classification with eeg spectrogram," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 10, p. 6322, 2022.
- [112] A. L. Goldberger, L. A. Amaral, L. Glass, J. M. Hausdorff, P. C. Ivanov, R. G. Mark, J. E. Mietus, G. B. Moody, C.-K. Peng, and H. E. Stanley, "Physiobank, physiotoolkit, and physionet: components of a new research resource for complex physiologic signals," *circulation*, vol. 101, no. 23, pp. e215–e220, 2000.
- [113] W. Neng, J. Lu, and L. Xu, "Ccrsleepnet: A hybrid relational inductive biases network for automatic sleep stage classification on raw single-channel eeg," *Brain sciences*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 456, 2021.
- [114] Z. Mousavi, T. Y. Rezaii, S. Sheykhiwand, A. Farzammia, and S. Razavi, "Deep convolutional neural network for classification of sleep stages from single-channel eeg signals," *Journal of neuroscience methods*, vol. 324, p. 108312, 2019.
- [115] A. Supratak, H. Dong, C. Wu, and Y. Guo, "Deepsleepnet: A model for automatic sleep stage scoring based on raw single-channel eeg," *IEEE transactions on neural systems and rehabilitation engineering*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 1998–2008, 2017.
- [116] A. R. Hassan and M. I. H. Bhuiyan, "An automated method for sleep staging from eeg signals using normal inverse gaussian parameters and adaptive boosting," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 219, pp. 76–87, 2017.

- [117] R. Jenke, A. Peer, and M. Buss, "Feature extraction and selection for emotion recognition from eeg," *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 327–339, 2014.
- [118] L. Cahill and J. L. McGaugh, "Mechanisms of emotional arousal and lasting declarative memory," *Trends in neurosciences*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 294–299, 1998.
- [119] L. F. Barrett, "Valence is a basic building block of emotional life," *Journal of Research in Personality*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 35–55, 2006.
- [120] L.-C. Yu, L.-H. Lee, S. Hao, J. Wang, Y. He, J. Hu, K. R. Lai, and X. Zhang, "Building chinese affective resources in valence-arousal dimensions," in *Proceedings of the 2016 conference of the North American chapter of the association for computational linguistics: Human language technologies*, pp. 540–545, 2016.
- [121] S. Taran and V. Bajaj, "Emotion recognition from single-channel eeg signals using a two-stage correlation and instantaneous frequency-based filtering method," *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, vol. 173, pp. 157–165, 2019.
- [122] K. Zhao, D. Xu, K. He, and G. Peng, "Interpretable emotion classification using multidomain feature of eeg signals," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 11879–11891, 2023.
- [123] Y. Yan, X. Wu, C. Li, Y. He, Z. Zhang, H. Li, A. Li, and L. Wang, "Topological eeg nonlinear dynamics analysis for emotion recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 625–638, 2022.
- [124] A. Khan and S. Rasool, "Game induced emotion analysis using electroencephalography," *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 145, p. 105441, 2022.
- [125] X. Cao, K. Zhao, and D. Xu, "Emotion recognition of single-electrode eeg based on multi-feature combination in time-frequency domain," in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1827, p. 012031, IOP Publishing, 2021.
- [126] D. Acharya, S. Goel, R. Asthana, and A. Bhardwaj, "A novel fitness function in genetic programming to handle unbalanced emotion recognition data," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 133, pp. 272–279, 2020.
- [127] J. W. Li, S. Barma, P. U. Mak, F. Chen, C. Li, M. T. Li, M. I. Vai, and S. H. Pun, "Single-channel selection for eeg-based emotion recognition using brain rhythm sequencing," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 2493–2503, 2022.
- [128] C.-C. Wei and M.-Y. Ma, "Influences of visual attention and reading time on children and adults," *Reading & Writing Quarterly*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 97–108, 2017.
- [129] C.-M. Chen and Y.-J. Lin, "Effects of different text display types on reading comprehension, sustained attention and cognitive load in mobile reading contexts," *Interactive Learning Environments*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 553–571, 2016.
- [130] R. Shadiev, T.-T. Wu, and Y.-M. Huang, "Enhancing learning performance, attention, and meditation using a speech-to-text recognition application: Evidence from multiple data sources," in *Learning Analytics*, pp. 107–119, Routledge, 2018.
- [131] M.-Y. Ma and C.-C. Wei, "A comparative study of children's concentration performance on picture books: age, gender, and media forms," *Interactive Learning Environments*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1922–1937, 2016.
- [132] C.-M. Chen and C.-H. Wu, "Effects of different video lecture types on sustained attention, emotion, cognitive load, and learning performance," *Computers & Education*, vol. 80, pp. 108–121, 2015.
- [133] Y.-M. Huang, M.-C. Liu, C.-H. Lai, and C.-J. Liu, "Using humorous images to lighten the learning experience through questioning in class," *British Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 878–896, 2017.
- [134] P. S. Inventado, R. Legaspi, M. Suarez, and M. Numao, "Predicting student emotions resulting from appraisal of its feedback," *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 107–133, 2011.
- [135] C.-M. Chen and J.-Y. Wang, "Effects of online synchronous instruction with an attention monitoring and alarm mechanism on sustained attention and learning performance," *Interactive Learning Environments*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 427–443, 2018.
- [136] I. Ghergulescu and C. H. Muntean, "Totcompute: A novel eeg-based timeontask threshold computation mechanism for engagement modelling and monitoring," *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, vol. 26, pp. 821–854, 2016.
- [137] C.-M. Chen, J.-Y. Wang, and C.-M. Yu, "Assessing the attention levels of students by using a novel attention aware system based on brainwave signals," *British Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 348–369, 2017.
- [138] S. W. Wong, R. H. Chan, and J. N. Mak, "Spectral modulation of frontal eeg during motor skill acquisition: a mobile eeg study," *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, vol. 91, no. 1, pp. 16–21, 2014.
- [139] A. Cataldo, S. Criscuolo, E. De Benedetto, A. Masciullo, M. Pesola, J. Picone, and R. Schiavoni, "Eeg complexity-based algorithm using multiscale fuzzy entropy: Towards a detection of alzheimer's disease," *Measurement*, vol. 225, p. 114040, 2024.
- [140] J. Deng, B. Sun, V. Kavcic, M. Liu, B. Giordani, and T. Li, "Novel methodology for detection and prediction of mild cognitive impairment using resting-state eeg," *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 145–158, 2024.
- [141] F. Hasanzadeh, M. Mohebbi, and R. Rostami, "Single channel eeg classification: a case study on prediction of major depressive disorder treatment outcome," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 3417–3427, 2020.
- [142] S. Debener, A. Beauducel, D. Nessler, B. Brocke, H. Heilemann, and J. Kayser, "Is resting anterior eeg alpha asymmetry a trait marker for depression? findings for healthy adults and clinically depressed patients," *Neuropsychobiology*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 31–37, 2000.
- [143] W. Jernajczyk and Gosek, "Alpha wavelet power as a biomarker of antidepressant treatment response in bipolar depression," *Influenza and Respiratory Care*, pp. 79–94, 2017.
- [144] G. Zhu, T. Qiu, Y. Ding, S. Gao, N. Zhao, F. Liu, X. Zhou, and R. Gururajan, "Detecting depression using single-channel eeg and graph methods," *Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 22, p. 4177, 2022.
- [145] J. Shen, X. Zhang, X. Huang, M. Wu, J. Gao, D. Lu, Z. Ding, and B. Hu, "An optimal channel selection for eeg-based depression detection via kernel-target alignment," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 2545–2556, 2020.
- [146] Z. Wan, H. Zhang, J. Huang, H. Zhou, J. Yang, and N. Zhong, "Single-channel eeg-based machine learning method for prescreening major depressive disorder," *International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making*, vol. 18, no. 05, pp. 1579–1603, 2019.
- [147] U. R. Acharya, S. L. Oh, Y. Hagiwara, J. H. Tan, H. Adeli, and D. P. Subha, "Automated eeg-based screening of depression using deep convolutional neural network," *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, vol. 161, pp. 103–113, 2018.
- [148] M. Bachmann, L. Poeske, K. Kalev, K. Aarma, A. Lehtmet, P. Ööpik, J. Lass, and H. Hinrikus, "Methods for classifying depression in single channel eeg using linear and nonlinear signal analysis," *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, vol. 155, pp. 11–17, 2018.
- [149] M. Bachmann, J. Lass, and H. Hinrikus, "Single channel eeg analysis for detection of depression," *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, vol. 31, pp. 391–397, 2017.
- [150] R. L. Pulver, E. Kronberg, L. M. Medenblik, V. O. Kheyfets, A. R. Ramos, D. M. Holtzman, J. C. Morris, C. D. Toedebusch, S. H. Sillau, B. M. Bettcher, *et al.*, "Mapping sleep's oscillatory events as a biomarker of alzheimer's disease," *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 301–315, 2024.
- [151] Y. Mitsukura, B. Sumali, H. Watanabe, T. Ikaga, and T. Nishimura, "Frontotemporal eeg as potential biomarker for early mci: a case-control study," *BMC psychiatry*, vol. 22, no. 1, p. 289, 2022.
- [152] Y. M. Dweiri and T. K. Al-Omary, "Novel ml-based algorithm for detecting seizures from single-channel eeg," *NeuroSci*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 59–70, 2024.
- [153] Y. G. Chung, A. Cho, H. Kim, and K. J. Kim, "Single-channel seizure detection with clinical confirmation of seizure locations using chb-mit dataset," *Frontiers in Neurology*, vol. 15, p. 1389731, 2024.
- [154] Y. Lu, Y. Ma, C. Chen, and Y. Wang, "Classification of single-channel eeg signals for epileptic seizures detection based on hybrid features," *Technology and Health Care*, vol. 26, no. S1, pp. 337–346, 2018.
- [155] T.-H. Nguyen and W.-Y. Chung, "A single-channel ssvpep-based bci speller using deep learning," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 1752–1763, 2018.
- [156] S. P. Karunasena, D. C. Ariyaratna, R. Ranaweera, J. Wijayakulasooriya, K. Kim, and T. Dassanayake, "Single-channel eeg ssvpep-based bci for robot arm control," in *2021 IEEE Sensors Applications Symposium (SAS)*, pp. 1–6, IEEE, 2021.
- [157] S. Ge, R. Wang, and D. Yu, "Classification of four-class motor imagery employing single-channel electroencephalography," *PloS one*, vol. 9, no. 6, p. e98019, 2014.
- [158] A. Chougule, J. Shah, V. Chamola, and S. Kanhere, "Enabling safe its: Eeg-based microsleep detection in vanets," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 15773–15783, 2022.
- [159] H. Chen, W. Zeng, L. Cai, Y. Li, L. Wang, J. Lu, H. Yan, W. T. Siok, and N. Wang, "You only acquire sparse-channel (yoas): A unified framework for dense-channel eeg generation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.15269*, 2024.