HSVLT: Hierarchical Scale-Aware Vision-Language Transformer for Multi-Label Image Classification

Shuyi Ouyang oysy@zju.edu.cn Zhejiang University Hangzhou, China

Zhenjia Bai 22221168@zju.edu.cn Zhejiang University Hangzhou, China

Ruofeng Tong trf@zju.edu.cn Zhejiang University Hangzhou, China

Hongyi Wang whongyi@zju.edu.cn Zhejiang University Hangzhou, China

Shiao Xie 22160144@zju.edu.cn Zhejiang University Hangzhou, China

Yen-Wei Chen* chen@is.ritsumei.ac.jp Ritsumeikan University Kusatsu, Japan Ziwei Niu nzw@zju.edu.cn Zhejiang University Hangzhou, China

Yingying Xu cs_ying@zju.edu.cn Zhejiang Lab Hangzhou, China

Lanfen Lin* llf@zju.edu.cn Zhejiang University Hangzhou, China

ABSTRACT

The task of multi-label image classification involves recognizing multiple objects within a single image. Considering both valuable semantic information contained in the labels and essential visual features presented in the image, tight visual-linguistic interactions play a vital role in improving classification performance. Moreover, given the potential variance in object size and appearance within a single image, attention to features of different scales can help to discover possible objects in the image. Recently, Transformerbased methods have achieved great success in multi-label image classification by leveraging the advantage of modeling long-range dependencies, but they have several limitations. Firstly, existing methods treat visual feature extraction and cross-modal fusion as separate steps, resulting in insufficient visual-linguistic alignment in the joint semantic space. Additionally, they only extract visual features and perform cross-modal fusion at a single scale, neglecting objects with different characteristics. To address these issues, we propose a Hierarchical Scale-Aware Vision-Language Transformer (HSVLT) with two appealing designs: (1) A hierarchical multi-scale architecture that involves a Cross-Scale Aggregation module, which leverages joint multi-modal features extracted from multiple scales to recognize objects of varying sizes and appearances in images. (2) Interactive Visual-Linguistic Attention, a novel attention mechanism module that tightly integrates cross-modal interaction, enabling the joint updating of visual, linguistic and multi-modal features. We have evaluated our method on three

MM '23, October 29-November 3, 2023, Ottawa, ON, Canada.

tional cost.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies \rightarrow Object recognition.

KEYWORDS

multi-label image classification, vision transformer, cross-modal, attention, multi-scale

benchmark datasets. The experimental results demonstrate that HSVLT surpasses state-of-the-art methods with lower computa-

ACM Reference Format:

Shuyi Ouyang, Hongyi Wang, Ziwei Niu, Zhenjia Bai, Shiao Xie, Yingying Xu, Ruofeng Tong, Yen-Wei Chen, and Lanfen Lin. 2023. HSVLT: Hierarchical Scale-Aware Vision-Language Transformer for Multi-Label Image Classification. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia (MM '23), October 29–November 3, 2023, Ottawa, ON, Canada.* ACM, New York, NY, USA, 10 pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3581783.3612159

1 INTRODUCTION

Multi-label image classification refers to the task of recognizing multiple objects within a single image. It yields great value for various applications such as image retrieval [35], human attribute recognition [21] and scene understanding [29]. Unlike single-label classification, the multi-label classification task presents a substantial challenge as it involves identifying multiple objects within a single image with imbalanced label distribution and varying categories, requiring abundant local visual information. The semantic information contained in the labels can help model the potential objects in the image, underscoring the importance of modeling global cross-modal relationships. As objects within the given image may differ significantly in size and appearance, extracting visual features and modeling visual-linguistic relationships at multiple scales can enhance the ability to capture different objects. In addition, performing cross-scale aggregation help to leverage complementary information from different scales for decision-making purposes.

^{*}Corresponding Authors: Lanfen Lin and Yen-Wei Chen.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

^{© 2023} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM. ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0108-5/23/10...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/3581783.3612159



Figure 1: Comparison of existing Transformer-based architectures ((a) and (b)) for multi-label image classification with our HSVLT (c).

Early methods for multi-label image classification [36, 41] primarily relied on object detection. Subsequently, some methods [4, 34] attempted to model spatial correlation to enhance the interaction between image regions. As research progressed, scholars began exploring how to leverage correlations between images and labels to improve classification accuracy. The CNN-RNN framework [32] and PLA [42] were proposed to implicitly model label dependencies using RNN and sequentially predict labels. Given the significance of global cross-modal modeling in the multi-label classification task, the advantage of Transformers [31] with attention mechanisms in long-range modeling makes it an ideal fit for this task. Consequently, methods based on Vision Transformer (ViT) [11] have demonstrated exceptional performance in the multi-label classification task. Figure 1(a) illustrates one of the transformer-based architectures for multi-label classification, i.e., M3TR [47], which fuses visual features and linguistic features after visual feature extraction. Another architecture, shown in Figure 1(b), is employed in TSFormer [51]. This architecture alternates visual feature extraction with cross-modal fusion. Through modeling long-range dependencies, Transformer-based methods have achieved remarkable success, yet there is still potential for improvement. Existing Transformer-based methods treat visual feature extraction and cross-modal fusion as two distinct processes, leading to insufficient visual-linguistic alignment in the joint semantic space. Moreover, they only perform visual feature extraction and cross-modal fusion at a single scale, which limits the acquisition of comprehensive multi-modal information.

After a thorough analysis of previous successful works and the requirements of the multi-label classification task, we contend that effective methods for this task should possess the following characteristics: (i) A strong cross-modal encoder to capture both local visual and global visual-linguistic information. Discriminating between objects with confusing appearances in a single image can pose difficulties, underscoring the tight visual-linguistic interactions to ensure accurate classification. Nevertheless, efficient interaction between the two modalities remains a challenge. (ii) Multi-scale information interaction to capture dependencies across multiple scales and resolve complex scale differences. The existing methods only focus on a single scale and fail to consider cross-modal features from a scale-aware perspective, resulting in the omission of certain objects.

Therefore, in light of the aforementioned analysis, we propose Hierarchical Scale-Aware Vision-Language Transformer (HSVLT), a novel multi-label classification architecture as depicted in Figure 1(c). We introduce a hierarchical multi-scale architecture comprising multiple stages, which leverages Scale Transformation blocks and Channel Unification blocks to achieve decreasing spatial resolution of visual features and channel unification of linguistic features. HSVLT enables visual feature extraction and cross-modal interaction within multiple scales, while also aggregating multimodal features extracted from different scales. At each scale, we design a novel attention mechanism module called Interactive Visual-Linguistic Attention (IVLA) that integrates cross-modal interaction. IVLA is a component of the joint vision-language encoder that can update visual, linguistic, and multi-modal features simultaneously. By considering interactive cross-modal cues, IVLA obtains rich local visual features and tight global visual-linguistic relationships, which enhances visual-linguistic alignment in the joint semantic space and improves multi-label image classification accuracy. Additionally, we propose a Cross-Scale Aggregation (CSA) module to model multi-scale information as a whole, taking into account complementary multi-modal information at different scales in a holistic manner. The proposed multi-scale architecture acquires comprehensive multi-modal information from both within and across scales, addressing the issue of neglecting unconspicuous objects.

- In summary, our contributions are four-folded:
- We introduce a hierarchical multi-scale architecture with stages of decreasing spatial resolution. This enables visual feature extraction and cross-modal interaction within scales, as well as multi-modal feature aggregation across scales.
- (2) We propose Interactive Visual-Linguistic Attention (IVLA) module in our joint vision-language encoder. IVLA enables the joint updating of visual features, linguistic features and multi-modal features considering interactive cross-modal cues, which enhances visual-linguistic alignment in the joint semantic space.
- (3) We design Cross-Scale Aggregation (CSA) module to leverage complementary information from different scales for decision-making purposes. Taking into account the multimodal information from different scales and capturing complementary knowledge across scales, CSA enhances the recognition of objects of varying sizes and appearances.
- (4) Building on these designs, we introduce HSVLT, a novel approach for multi-label classification. We conducted thorough experiments on HSVLT with three benchmark datasets, showing that HSVLT outperforms current state-of-the-art methods with lower computational cost.

HSVLT: Hierarchical Scale-Aware Vision-Language Transformer for Multi-Label Image Classification

MM '23, October 29-November 3, 2023, Ottawa, ON, Canada.



Figure 2: An illustration of HSVLT. The multi-scale joint vision-language encoder network is presented in (a). (b) shows the cross-scale aggregation module for multi-label classification. First, the input image V_0 and labels L_0 are sent to Joint Vision-Language Encoder. At the beginning of each stage, we down-sample visual features and unify the channel dimensions of visual and linguistic features. There are N_i interaction blocks in *i*-th stage. Interaction blocks learn joint visual features V_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, linguistic features L_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and multi-modal features S_i , $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, which contains local visual details and global visual-linguistic cues. S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , S_4 are sent to the cross-scale aggregation module (b) for multi-label classification prediction.

2 RELATED WORKS

Multi-Label Image Classification. For multi-label image classification, early approaches [36, 41] were based on object detection, where objects in the image were detected and located before being individually classified. Subsequently, researchers explored how to leverage label correlations to enhance classification accuracy. Gong et al. [15] employed specialized loss functions to optimize label correlations. Chen et al. [6] utilized a directed graph to model label dependencies. In addition, the effectiveness of exploring spatial dependencies with label semantics has also been demonstrated. Wang et al. [34] proposed the utilization of spatial transformer layers to emphasize the image regions relevant to the labels, while Chen et al. [4] devised the recurrent attention reinforcement module for the same purpose. Wu et al. [38] reformulated the multi-label image classification problem as a graph matching structure, which incorporates instance space relations, label semantic relevance, and instance-label assignment probability into the framework. Recently, Transformer-based methods have shown improved ability in modeling long-range cross-modal dependencies and made significant progress in multi-label classification. In these methods, M3TR [47] separately learns ternary relationships inter- and intra- modalities and performs semantic cross-attention, while Zhu et al. designed Two-Stream Transformer [51] to extract global features and correlations of label semantics separately.

Vision Transformer. Transformer [31] models have been widely used for several computer vision tasks. The ViT model applies self-attention in shallow layers enhancing performance for vision tasks. Recent Transformer-based models have achieved impressive results across a range of vision tasks, including image classification [11, 25], object detection [2], and semantic segmentation [30]. The self-attention mechanism of the Transformer allows the models to effectively capture long-range dependencies in images, establishing

global contextual information. In addition, the multi-scale design of the Transformer [16, 48] allows the model to aggregate features of different scales in the image, improving its overall performance in vision tasks. For multi-label image classification task, various Transformer-based methods have been presented using advantages of long-range dependencies. Lanchantin et al. [20] introduced a general framework based on a Transformer, with the use of a ternary coding scheme for training. Cheng et al. [7] proposed a Multi-Label Transformer architecture comprising of window partitioning, pixel attention within windows, and cross-window attention. Zhao et al. [47] presented a multi-modal multi-label recognition transformer framework that includes ternary relationship learning for both inter- and intra-modal information. Zhu et al. [51] introduced the Two-Stream Transformer, which utilizes spatial and semantic streams to respectively learn the visual perception and label semantics and their correlation. However, in current Transformer-based approaches, visual feature extraction and cross-modal fusion are limited to a single scale, failing to capture information at diverse scales within an image, which negatively impact the classification performance.

3 HIERARCHICAL SCALE-AWARE VISION-LANGUAGE TRANSFORMER

3.1 Overview

The proposed HSVLT simultaneously updates visual, linguistic features and global visual-linguistic relationships within scales as well as performing cross-scale aggregation to aid in multi-label classification prediction. The overall architecture of HSVLT is presented in Figure 2.

Given an input pair of an image and labels in the dataset, our model generates predictions of object labels that are present within

Shuyi Ouyang et al.



Figure 3: (a) An illustration of the interaction block in the Joint Vision-Language Encoder. (b) An illustration of the Interactive Visual-Linguistic Attention.

the image. Our HSVLT adopts a hierarchical multi-scale architecture that follows the workflow of *[joint vision-language encoder]* - *[cross-scale aggregation] - classification*. HSVLT consists of four stages, each with different numbers of interaction blocks and different feature map resolutions. The encoder (Sec.3.2) includes a novel lightweight attention module (Sec.3.3) that uses interactive cross-modal cues to simultaneously update visual, linguistic features and model global visual-linguistic relationships. We also propose a global cross-scale aggregation module (Sec.3.4) to effectively evaluate multi-modal information across scales and enhance the classification accuracy. In the following subsections, we describe each components of HSVLT in detail.

3.2 Joint Vision-Language Encoder

To enhance the alignment of visual-linguistic features in semantic space, we introduce an joint vision-language encoder that can effectively capture joint visual, linguistic and multi-modal features considering interactive cross-modal cues. Figure 3 illustrates the interaction block structure of our encoder, which incorporates a novel attention mechanism (Sec. 3.3) that replaces the conventional self-attention mechanism.

As shown in Figure 2(a), our encoder has a pyramid structure, which contains 4 stages with decreasing spatial resolutions. There are N_i interaction blocks in our encoder for the *i*-th stage. The visual and linguistic inputs provided into the encoder are denoted as $V_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{v0} \times H \times W}$ and $L_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{C_l \times T}$, where *H* and *W* are height and width of the input image, *T* is the number of labels, C_{v0} and C_l represent the number of channels for the visual and linguistic inputs, respectively.

Encoder Workflow. Each stage contains a scale transformation block, a channel unification block and a stack of interaction blocks. Specially in 1-st stage, we use a word embedding block to extract the linguistic feature $L_1^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{v1} \times T}$ via a language encoder BERT [10], and a patch embedding block to extract the visual feature $V_1^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{v1} \times H_1 \times W_1}$. At the beginning of 2, 3, 4-th stage, the visual feature and the linguistic feature pass the scale transformation block and the channel unification block respectively to down-sample the visual feature map and unify the channel dimensions, getting visual feature $V_i^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vi} \times H_i \times W_i}$ and linguistic feature $L_i^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vl} \times T}$, which are sent to interaction blocks. For the sake of clarity, in this section, we assume that $N_i = 1$ for all stages. This assumption implies that we are utilizing only one interaction block per stage to illustrate the network. For each stage, output of joint visual feature

Table 1: Detailed settings of different stages in our HSVLT.

	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4
number of blocks (N_i)	3	3	27	3
visual output size	$\frac{H}{2} \times \frac{W}{2}$	$\frac{H}{4} \times \frac{W}{4}$	$\frac{H}{8} \times \frac{W}{8}$	$\frac{H}{16} \times \frac{W}{16}$
channel	96	192	384	768

 $V_i \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vi} \times H_i \times W_i}$, joint linguistic feature $L_i \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vi} \times T}$ and joint multi-modal feature $S_i \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vi} \times T}$ can be obtained as follows:

$$V_i^0, L_i^0 = \begin{cases} PE(V_0), WE(L_0), & i = 1\\ Down(V_{i-1}), Unify(L_{i-1}), & i = 2, 3, 4 \end{cases}$$
(1)

$$V_i, S_i, L_i = Interact(V_i^0, L_i^0),$$
⁽²⁾

where *i* indexes the stage, function $PE(\cdot)$ indicates the patch embedding block, function $WE(\cdot)$ indicates the word embedding block, function $Down(\cdot)$ indicates the scale transformation block, function $Unify(\cdot)$ indicates the channel unification block, function $Interact(\cdot)$ indicates the interaction block. Both the patch embedding block and the scale transformation block consist of a convolution with stride of 2 and kernel size of 3×3 , followed by a batch normalization layer. The channel unification block employs a 1×1 convolution for linear transformation.

Interaction Block. As shown in Figure 3(a), we perform crossmodal interaction in the interaction block as follows:

$$V_i^2, L_i^2 = IVLA(Norm(V_i^0), Norm(L_i^0)),$$
(3)

where function $IVLA(\cdot)$ indicates the Interactive Visual-Linguistic Attention module (Sec.3.3), function $Norm(\cdot)$ indicates the normalization operation. Then we obtain V_i , L_i and S_i by $V_i = Norm(V_i^0 + V_i^2)$, $L_i = Norm(L_i^0 + L_i^2)$, and $S_i = Norm(L_i^2)$.

3.3 Interactive Visual-Linguistic Attention

As depicted in Figure 3(b), our proposed attention mechanism, namely Interactive Visual-Linguistic Attention (IVLA), holistically captures local visual details and global visual-linguistic relationships using interactive cross-modal cues. IVLA comprises four components: a cross-modal interaction to model global visual-linguistic relationships, an interactive linguistic fusion to update the linguistic feature with cross-modal cues, an interactive visual fusion to capture local visual details and incorporates cross-modal cues, and

Table 2: Experimental results on the Pascal VOC 2007 dataset in terms of class-wise precision (AP in %) and mean average precision (mAP in %).

Methods	aero	bike	bird	boat	bottle	bus	car	cat	chair	cow	table	dog	horse	motor	person	plant	sheep	sofa	train	tν	mAP
CNN-RNN [19]	96.7	83.1	94.2	92.8	61.2	82.1	89.1	94.2	64.2	83.6	70.0	92.4	91.7	84.2	93.7	59.8	93.2	75.3	99.7	78.6	84.0
RMIC [18]	97.1	91.3	94.2	57.1	86.7	90.7	93.1	63.3	83.3	76.4	92.8	84.4	91.6	95.1	92.3	59.7	86.0	69.5	96.4	79.0	84.5
RLSD [45]	96.4	92.7	93.8	94.1	71.2	92.5	94.2	95.7	74.3	90.0	74.2	95.4	96.2	92.1	97.9	66.9	93.5	73.7	97.5	87.6	88.5
HCP [36]	98.6	97.1	98.0	95.6	75.3	94.7	95.8	97.3	73.1	90.2	80.0	97.3	96.1	94.9	96.3	78.3	94.7	76.2	97.9	91.5	90.9
FeV+LV [41]	97.9	97.0	96.6	94.6	73.6	93.9	96.5	95.5	73.7	90.3	82.8	95.4	97.7	95.9	98.6	77.6	88.7	78.0	98.3	89.0	90.6
RDAR [34]	98.6	97.4	96.3	96.2	75.2	92.4	96.5	97.1	76.5	92.0	87.7	96.5	97.5	93.8	98.5	81.6	93.7	82.8	98.6	89.3	91.9
RARL [4]	98.6	97.1	97.1	95.5	75.6	92.8	96.8	97.3	78.3	92.2	87.6	96.9	96.5	93.6	98.5	81.6	93.1	83.2	98.5	89.3	92.0
RCP [33]	99.3	97.6	98.0	96.4	79.3	93.8	96.6	97.1	78.0	88.7	87.1	97.1	96.3	95.4	99.1	82.1	93.6	82.2	98.4	92.8	92.5
SSGRL† [5]	99.5	97.1	97.6	97.8	82.6	94.8	96.7	98.1	78.0	97.0	85.6	97.8	98.3	96.4	98.8	84.9	96.5	79.8	98.4	92.8	93.4
ML-GCN [6]	99.5	98.5	98.6	98.1	80.8	94.6	97.2	98.2	82.3	95.7	86.4	98.2	98.4	96.7	99.0	84.7	96.7	84.3	98.9	93.7	94.0
TSGCN [40]	98.9	98.5	96.8	97.3	87.5	94.2	97.4	97.7	84.1	92.6	89.3	98.4	98.0	96.1	98.7	84.9	96.6	87.2	98.4	93.7	94.3
ASL [28]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	94.6
CSRA [50]	99.9	98.4	98.1	98.9	82.2	95.3	97.8	97.9	84.6	94.8	90.8	98.1	97.6	96.2	99.1	86.4	95.9	88.3	98.9	94.4	94.7
MlTr-l [7]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95.8
Q2L [23]	99.9	98.9	99.0	98.4	87.7	98.6	98.8	99.1	84.5	98.3	89.2	99.2	99.2	99.2	99.3	90.2	98.8	88.3	99.5	95.5	96.1
M3TR [47]	99.9	99.3	99.1	99.1	84.0	97.6	98.0	99.0	85.9	99.4	93.9	99.5	99.4	98.5	99.2	90.3	99.7	91.6	99.8	96.0	96.5
TSFormer [51]	100.0	99.2	99.2	98.6	86.4	97.2	98.4	98.9	88.9	99.5	95.3	99.7	99.6	99.1	99.4	90.0	99.6	93.7	99.9	96.7	97.0
Ours (w/o CSA)	100.0	99.5	99.5	98.7	89.2	98.3	98.7	98.3	90.6	97.7	95.4	99.3	99.6	99.6	99.5	91.6	99.7	93.1	99.8	97.5	97.3
Ours	100.0	99.5	99.5	98.4	89.4	98.6	98.5	98.8	93.1	98.4	96.2	99.0	99.9	99.2	99.6	92.5	99.5	94.9	99.3	95.5	97.5

a gate regulation block to controls the flow of cross-modal knowledge. In *i*-th stage, given the visual input V_i^1 and the linguistic input L_i^1 , we obtain visual output $V_i^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vi} \times H_i \times W_i}$ and linguistic output $L_i^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vi} \times T}$ as following steps.

Cross-Modal Interaction. We utilize a cross-modal interaction to model global visual-linguistic relationships for visual and linguistic features. The steps to get interactive cross-modal activation $Att_i^{cross} \in \mathbb{R}^{H_i W_i \times T}$ are described as the following:

$$Att_{i}^{cross} = \frac{flatten(\omega_{v1}(V_{i}^{1}))^{T}\omega_{l1}(L_{i}^{1})}{\sqrt{C_{vi}}},$$
(4)

where ω_{v1} , ω_{l1} are projection functions, and $flatten(\cdot)$ means unrolling the two spatial dimensions into one dimension in row-major. Here, Att_i^{cross} is the attention scores between V_i^1 and L_i^1 , which represents the degree of correlation between the two modalities. ω_{v1} is implemented as a 1×1 convolution followed by instance normalization. ω_{l1} is implemented as a 1×1 convolution. Both ω_{v1} and ω_{l1} generate C_{vi} number of output channels.

Interactive Linguistic Fusion. We activate the linguistic features using interactive cross-modal activation Att_i^{cross} , and combine it with the linearly transformed language input through element-wise multiplication, resulting in the cross linguistic feature $L_i^{cross} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vi} \times T}$. L_i^{cross} can be obtained using the following equation:

$$L_{i}^{cross} = \omega_{l3}(L_{i}^{1}) \odot$$

$$flatten(\omega_{v2}(V_{i}^{1}))softmax(Att_{i}^{cross}),$$
(5)

where ω_{v2} and ω_{l3} are projection functions same as ω_{v1} and ω_{l1} .

Interactive Visual Fusion. There is a G-Conv operation to capture local features, which has spatial inductive-bias in modeling rich





local visual information. Cross visual feature $V_i^{cross} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{vi} \times H_i \times W_i}$ can be obtained using the following equation:

$$V_{i}^{cross} = G\text{-}Conv(V_{i}^{1}) + unflatten((softmax(Att_{i}^{cross})\omega_{l2}(L_{i}^{1})^{T})^{T}),$$
(6)

where ω_{l2} indicates projection function same as ω_{l1} , $unflatten(\cdot)$ indicates the opposite operation of $flatten(\cdot)$, and G- $Conv(\cdot)$ denotes the application of a 7×7 convolution operation, followed by a GELU activation function.

Gate Regulation. To merge cross-modal knowledge into original visual features V_i^1 and linguistic features L_i^1 , we introduce Gate Regulation, a gating mechanism. Its core function is to balance the influence of V_i^{cross} and L_i^{cross} on the original information in V_i^1 and L_i^1 , ensuring controlled passage of cross-modal knowledge to the next stage. A gate unit learns weight mappings from V_i^{cross} and L_i^{cross} to adaptively re-scale each element. The mathematical formulations of the Gate Regulation is provided below:

$$V_i^2 = V_i^1 + V_i^{cross} \odot Gate(V_i^{cross}), \tag{7}$$

Table 3: Experimental results on the Microsoft COCO dataset under the settings of all and top-3 labels (mAP in %).

) (athe de	A D	All								Тор-3					
Methods	mAP	СР	CR	CF1	OP	OR	OF1	СР	CR	CF1	OP	OR	OF1		
CNN-RNN [19]	61.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.0	55.6	60.4	69.2	66.4	67.8		
ResNet-101 [17]	77.3	80.2	66.7	72.8	83.9	70.8	76.8	84.1	59.4	69.7	89.1	62.8	73.6		
SRN [49]	77.1	81.6	65.4	71.2	82.7	69.9	75.8	85.2	58.8	67.4	87.4	62.5	72.9		
ML-GCN [6]	83.0	85.1	72.0	78.0	85.8	75.4	80.3	89.2	64.1	74.6	90.5	66.5	76.7		
SSGRL [†] [5]	83.6	89.5	68.3	76.9	91.2	70.7	79.3	91.9	62.1	73.0	93.6	64.2	76.0		
CMA [44]	83.4	82.1	73.1	77.3	83.7	76.3	79.9	87.2	64.6	74.2	89.1	66.7	76.3		
KSSNet [24]	83.7	84.6	73.2	77.2	87.8	76.2	81.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MCAR [13]	83.8	85.0	72.1	78.0	88.0	73.9	80.3	88.1	65.5	75.1	91.0	66.3	76.7		
TSGCN [40]	83.5	81.5	72.3	76.7	84.9	75.3	79.8	84.1	67.1	74.6	89.5	69.3	69.3		
GM-MLIC [38]	84.3	87.3	70.8	78.3	88.6	74.8	80.6	90.6	67.3	74.9	94.0	69.8	77.8		
C-Tran [20]	85.1	86.3	74.3	79.9	87.7	76.5	81.7	90.1	65.7	76.0	92.1	71.4	77.6		
ADD-GCN [43]	85.2	84.7	75.9	80.1	84.9	79.4	82.0	88.8	66.2	75.8	90.3	68.5	77.9		
ASL [28]	86.6	87.2	76.4	81.4	88.2	79.2	81.8	91.8	63.4	75.1	92.9	66.4	77.4		
CSRA [50]	86.9	89.1	74.2	81.0	89.6	77.1	82.9	92.5	65.8	76.9	93.4	68.1	78.8		
Q2L [23]	87.3	87.6	76.5	81.6	88.4	78.5	83.1	91.9	66.2	77.0	93.5	67.6	78.5		
M3TR [47]	87.5	88.4	77.2	82.5	88.3	79.8	83.8	91.9	68.1	78.2	92.6	69.6	79.4		
TSFormer [51]	88.9	88.3	79.2	83.5	88.5	81.5	84.9	92.3	69.1	79.0	93.2	70.5	80.3		
Ours (w/o CSA)	90.8	89.6	82.2	85.7	89.1	84.5	86.7	93.0	70.7	80.3	94.0	7 1.7	81.3		
Ours	91.6	89.8	84.4	87.0	89.8	86.4	88.0	93.5	71.6	81.1	94.1	72.6	82.0		

$$L_i^2 = L_i^1 + L_i^{cross} \odot Gate(L_i^{cross}), \tag{8}$$

where $Gate(\cdot)$ is composed of two 1×1 convolutions for linear transformation, a ReLU function and a Tanh function.

3.4 Cross-Scale Aggregation and Classification

To effectively leverage the visual-linguistic knowledge from different scales, the joint multi-modal features S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 from scales need to be aggregated for final classification prediction.

We investigate three concise structures shown in Figures 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c), where *Head* refers to a transformation applied to the channel dimension. Two typical existing multi-scale integration structures used in other vision tasks are shown in Figures 4(a), 4(b). The structure adopted by our HSVLT is shown in Figure 4(c). Figure 4(a) is mostly adopted by CNN-based models [3, 46], lacking of holistic consideration to multiple scales. Figures 4(b) is a purely MLP-based structure [39] with a high computational cost. As shown in Figure 4(c), we propose a Cross-Scale Aggregation (CSA) module to aggregate features from the four stages and use a lightweight Hamburger [14] to further model the global cross-scale context, resulting in improved performance without compromising computational efficiency. We obtain the final prediction results by the following equation:

$$Out = Class(Ham(Concat[S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4])),$$
(9)

where S_i is the joint multi-modal feature maps from *i*-th stage, $Ham(\cdot)$ indicates a Hamburger function, and $Class(\cdot)$ indicates a 1×1 convolution for final prediction.

4 EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Dataset and Evaluation

We perform experiments on three widely used benchmark datasets for multi-label image classification, including Pascal VOC 2007 [12],



Figure 5: Comparison of our HSVLT (w/o CSA), HSVLT with Transformer-based methods TSFormer [51], M3TR [47] and C-Tran [20] on Params, GFLOPs and mAP on the Microsoft COCO test set.

Microsoft COCO [22], and NUS-WIDE [8]. They have 9,963, 82,081, and 269,648 images respectively, containing 20, 80, and 81 classes.

Following previous works [47, 51], we evaluate our proposed method with mean average precision (mAP), a commonly employed metric in the multi-label image classification task. To provide a comprehensive comparison, we introduce six additional multi-label metrics, namely overall precision, recall, F1-measure (OP, OR, OF1) and per-class precision, recall, F1-measure (CP, CR, CF1) [5]. These metrics are reported under the condition that a label is considered positive if its predicted probability exceeds 0.5. For a systematic comparison with competitors, the top three labels are also reported based on their confidence scores in descending order.

4.2 Implementation Details

We conduct experiments using PyTorch library and use BERT implementation from HuggingFace's Transformer library [37]. Following the settings in previous works [50, 51], we initialize convolutions

Methods	mAP	A	11	Top-3		
Methous		CF1	OF1	CF1	OF1	
CNN-RNN [19]	56.1	-	-	34.7	55.2	
ResNet-101 [17]	59.8	51.9	69.5	56.8	69.1	
SRN [49]	62.0	58.5	73.4	48.9	62.2	
S-CLs [24]	60.1	58.7	73.7	53.8	71.1	
CMA [44]	61.4	60.5	73.7	55.5	70.0	
GM-MLIC [38]	62.2	61.0	74.1	55.3	72.5	
ML-GCN [6]	62.8	60.7	74.1	56.3	70.6	
ASL [28]	65.2	63.6	75.0	-	-	
MlTr-l [7]	66.3	65.0	75.8	-	-	
Q2L [23]	66.3	64.0	75.0	-	-	
TSFormer [51]	69.3	64.9	76.0	59.6	70.7	
Ours (w/o CSA)	71.4	66.1	76.4	61.5	72.0	
Ours	72.1	66.6	76.5	61.9	72.0	

Table 4: Experimental results on the NUS-WIDE dataset under the settings of all and top-3 labels (mAP in %).

Table 5: Ablation studies on the Microsoft COCO test set (mAP in %). The optimal scores are highlighted in bold.

0415	1 / 5.1	00.0	70.5	01.7	72.0
in IVLA with w	eights pre-trai	ned on Im	ageNet-2	1K from	the Con-
vNeXt [26]. Lai	nguage encod	er of our	model is	initializ	ed using
official pre-train	ned weights c	of BERT v	vith 12 la	yers and	l hidden
size 768. Table 1	presents the	detailed n	etwork se	ettings, v	vhere N _i
denotes the nur	nber of intera	ction bloc	ks in the	<i>i</i> -th stag	e. In the
interactive visua	al fusion of IV	LA, we us	e the kerr	1el size o	f 7×7 for
our convolutior	ns. The rest of	weights i	n our mo	del are ra	andomly
initialized.		U			

Following, we use AdamW optimizer with weight decay 0.01 and batch size 8. The learning rate is initialed as 1e-5 and scheduled by polynomial learning rate decay with a power of 0.9. To ensure a fair comparison with other models, all input images are adjusted to 448×448 . The learning rate is decayed by a factor of 10 when the loss plateaus. Following previous works [28, 51], we perform random horizontal flip, random resized crop and RandAugment [9] for data augmentation during the training stage.

Comparison with the State-of-the-Arts 4.3

We compare the performance of our proposed HSVLT with state-ofthe-art (SOTA) methods on three widely-used benchmarks, namely Pascal VOC 2007 [12], Microsoft COCO [22], and NUS-WIDE [8]. The table below highlights the best scores in red, and the secondbest scores in blue, facilitating a straightforward comparison. The symbol † in Tables 2, 3 indicates the utilization of a higher input image resolution (576 × 576).

Pascal VOC 2007. For equitable assessments, both our model and competitors train on train-val set and test on test set. Table 2 displays comparative experimental results, including class-wise AP and overall mAP. Our HSVLT achieves SOTA performance in terms of mAP, surpassing other methods. Notably, category AP improvements are evident, e.g., chair, bottle, plant, with HSVLT surpassing 2nd best by 4.2%, 3.0%, and 2.5%. Overall, HSVLT achieved the highest AP for more than two-thirds of the label categories.

Microsoft COCO. Following previous studies [5, 23, 47], we report precision, recall, and F1-measure with and without Top-3 scores for the Microsoft COCO dataset, as shown in Table 3.

				A	.11	To	p3	
				CF1	OF1	CF1	OF1	mAP
(a) Ablati	ion on ke	rnel size i	n IVLA					
	3	× 3		85.5	86.5	79.4	80.2	89.9
	5	× 5		86.1	86.9	80.3	81.1	90.5
	7	× 7		87.0	88.0	81.1	82.0	91.6
	11	× 11		86.8	87.7	80.9	81.7	91.2
(b) Ablati	ion on de	esign choi	ces of IVL	A				
G-Conv	L-Act	V-Gate	L-Gate					
\checkmark				84.8	85.9	79.0	79.6	89.1
\checkmark	\checkmark			85.2	88.4	79.2	80.1	89.7
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		86.6	87.3	80.5	81.3	90.8
\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	86.4	87.1	80.7	81.2	90.7
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	87.0	88.0	81.1	82.0	91.6
(c) Ablati	ion on de	sign choic	es of CSA					_
	S ₄ -Hea	ad-MLP		85.7	86.7	80.3	81.3	90.8
$S_1, S_2,$	S ₃ , S ₄ -M	LP-Conca	t-MLP	86.2	87.7	80.7	81.5	91.3
$S_1, S_2,$	<i>S</i> ₃ , <i>S</i> ₄ -Cc	ncat-Hea	d-MLP	87.0	88.0	81.1	82.0	91.6
(d) CSA o	on variou	s stages						
S_1	S_2	S_3	S_4					
			\checkmark	85.7	86.7	80.3	81.3	90.8
		\checkmark	\checkmark	86.3	87.2	80.6	81.5	91.1
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark		86.7	87.6	80.9	81.7	91.3
	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	86.8	87.5	81.3	81.7	91.4
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	87.0	88.0	81.1	82.0	91.6
(e) Featur	res used i	for CSA						
	L_1, L_2	$, L_3, L_4$		85.3	86.6	79.9	80.7	90.3
	S_1, S_2	$, S_3, S_4$		87.0	88.0	81.1	82.0	91.6
L ₁ ,	L_2, L_3, L_4	$, S_1, S_2, S_3$, <i>S</i> ₄	86.2	87.4	80.5	81.6	90.9
(f) Ablati	on on di	fferent wo	rd embedo	ling me	thods			
	One-l	not [1]		86.2	87.1	80.9	81.3	91.1
	Glov	e [27]		86.5	87.4	80.6	81.8	91.3
	BER	Г [10]		87.0	88.0	81.1	82.0	91.6

Notably, our HSVLT achieves remarkable mAP of 91.6%, surpassing all. HSVLT also excels in CF1 and OF1 metrics, in both All and Top-3 contexts. Furthermore, our HSVLT achieves a higher mAP score while using significantly fewer GFLOPs and parameters compared to previous Transformer-based approaches, as shown in Figure 5.

NUS-WIDE. Test outcomes on NUS-WIDE dataset are in Table 4. HSVLT excels in critical mAP, surpassing TSFormer by 2.8%. HSVLT also leads in CF1, OF1 for All, and CF1 for Top-3, showing superiority over SOTA. These findings underscore HSVLT's capability in precise object capture due to its multi-scale structure and joint cross-modal interaction.

4.4 Ablation Study

Ablation on IVLA design. We have conducted an ablation study on IVLA design on the Microsoft COCO test set. Table 5(a) presents a comparison of the performance of different convolution kernels in IVLA's interactive visual fusion. The results demonstrate that the 7x7 convolution kernel exhibits superior performance. Moreover, Table 5(b) details the structure of IVLA and shows the effects of

Shuyi Ouyang et al.



Figure 6: (a) (b) Comparison of the prediction of a particular label between M3TR [47], TSFormer [51] and our HSVLT. For each example, the ground truth label for classification is located above the image, while the predicted values of each model for label in green are indicated to the right of the image. (c) Comparison of classification results and extracted visual features between TSFormer [51] and our HSVLT.

various components, including G-Conv, L-Act, V-Gate, and L-Gate. G-Conv refers to a 7x7 convolution with a GELU activation function, L-Act indicates the dot product activation operation of interactive cross-modal activation Att_i^{cross} on linguistic features, and V-Gate and L-Gate represent gated units responsible for controlling the flow of the cross-modal knowledge for visual and linguistic features, respectively. The experimental results indicate that the proposed structure achieves the best performance. It follows that each part contributes to the final performance.

Effectiveness of CSA. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the CSA module, we have conducted an ablation study on three benchmark datasets, namely, VOC 2007, Microsoft COCO, and NUS-WIDE. Specifically, we compare the performance of the complete HSVLT with that of HSVLT (w/o CSA) and report the results in Tables 2, 3, 4, respectively. The experimental results indicate that the removal of the CSA module leads to a degradation in performance, as evidenced by a decrease of 0.2%, 0.8%, and 0.7% in mAP across the three datasets, respectively. As shown in Table 5(c), the performance of our proposed CSA outperforms past multi-scale integration structures. In addition, *Ours* and *Ours* (w/o CSA) in Figure 5 also show that CSA improves performance with a slight increase in parameters and GFLOPs, demonstrating the superiority of CSA in interacting multi-modal features among scales.

Ablation of CSA on various stages and features. Given joint multi-modal features from different stages, CSA forms features from different scales into a sequence for joint refinement in single forward pass. S_i , $i \in 1, 2, 3, 4$ represents multi-modal feature from *i*-th stage inputted to CSA. Table 5(d) compares multiple input sequences, confirming the value of multi-scale interaction for global reasoning. We also evaluated CSA impact using varied sequences, including L_i , $i \in 1, 2, 3, 4$ for joint linguistic feature from *i*-th stage. In Table 5(e), S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , S_4 perform optimally for CSA.

Ablation of different language models. In prior experiments, we used BERT [10] for label semantic embeddings. To assess diverse embedding methods' impact on HSVLT, we contrast it with one-hot encoding [1] and another pre-trained embedding method, namely Glove [27]. The one-hot method encodes labels as one-hot vectors and learns a parameter matrix to map them to the desired embedded space dimension. Table 5(f) indicates BERT excels, and other methods outperform prior SOTA with same model, confirming HSVLT's adaptability to different language models.

4.5 Interpretation of HSLVT

In Figures 6(a) and 6(b), we compare HSVLT's performance with Transformer-based methods in extreme sizes and confusing appearance predictions. In Figure 6(a), "person" is much smaller than "bus", and "sofa" largely occupies the image, highlighting HSVLT's object recognition advantage for extreme sizes. In Figure 6(b), "sofa"-"chair" similarity and "cat"-"dog" confusion are addressed by HSVLT's local visual info and global cross-modal interactions.

Figure 6(c) contrasts TSFormer and HSVLT in classification results and feature maps. Examining Ground Truth (GT), we observe "person" and "dog" with significant size difference. HSVLT's comprehensive consideration of multi-scale information and tighter crossmodal correlations yields precise multi-label results. V_T and V_i represent features from TSFormer and HSVLT's *i*-th stage. V_1, V_2, V_3, V_4 capture diverse aspects of image information. In the example, V_2 recognizes the "person", while V_4 focuses on the "dog". Thus, the comprehensive aggregation of multi-modal features obtained from different stages helps to enhance multi-label classification performance.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a novel Transformer-based framework named HSVLT for multi-label image classification. HSVLT jointly captures local visual features and models global visual-linguistic relationships considering interactive cross-modal cues at each scale. The proposed network design interacts multi-modal information between different scales with cross-scale aggregation. Experiments show that HSVLT outperforms existing methods on three benchmark datasets with lower computational cost.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Major Technological Innovation Project of Hangzhou (No. 2022AIZD0147), National Key Research and Development Project (No. 2022YFC2504605), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. LZ22F020012), Major Scientific Research Project of Zhejiang Lab (No. 2020ND8AD01). HSVLT: Hierarchical Scale-Aware Vision-Language Transformer for Multi-Label Image Classification

MM '23, October 29-November 3, 2023, Ottawa, ON, Canada.

REFERENCES

- Yoshua Bengio, Réjean Ducharme, and Pascal Vincent. 2000. A neural probabilistic language model. Advances in neural information processing systems 13 (2000).
- [2] Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. 2020. End-to-end object detection with transformers. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part I 16. Springer, 213–229.
- [3] Liang-Chieh Chen, George Papandreou, Iasonas Kokkinos, Kevin Murphy, and Alan L Yuille. 2017. Deeplab: Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully connected crfs. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 40, 4 (2017), 834–848.
- [4] Tianshui Chen, Zhouxia Wang, Guanbin Li, and Liang Lin. 2018. Recurrent attentional reinforcement learning for multi-label image recognition. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence, Vol. 32.
- [5] Tianshui Chen, Muxin Xu, Xiaolu Hui, Hefeng Wu, and Liang Lin. 2019. Learning semantic-specific graph representation for multi-label image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. 522–531.
- [6] Zhao-Min Chen, Xiu-Shen Wei, Peng Wang, and Yanwen Guo. 2019. Multi-label image recognition with graph convolutional networks. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 5177–5186.
- [7] Xing Cheng, Hezheng Lin, Xiangyu Wu, Dong Shen, Fan Yang, Honglin Liu, and Nian Shi. 2022. Mltr: Multi-label classification with transformer. In 2022 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME). IEEE, 1–6.
- [8] Tat-Seng Chua, Jinhui Tang, Richang Hong, Haojie Li, Zhiping Luo, and Yantao Zheng. 2009. Nus-wide: a real-world web image database from national university of singapore. In Proceedings of the ACM international conference on image and video retrieval. 1–9.
- [9] Ekin D Cubuk, Barret Zoph, Jonathon Shlens, and Quoc V Le. 2020. Randaugment: Practical automated data augmentation with a reduced search space. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops. 702–703.
- [10] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 (2018).
- [11] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. 2020. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929 (2020).
- [12] Mark Everingham, Luc Van Gool, Christopher KI Williams, John Winn, and Andrew Zisserman. 2010. The pascal visual object classes (voc) challenge. *International journal of computer vision* 88 (2010), 303–338.
- [13] Bin-Bin Gao and Hong-Yu Zhou. 2020. Multi-label image recognition with multiclass attentional regions. arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv-2007.
- [14] Zhengyang Geng, Meng-Hao Guo, Hongxu Chen, Xia Li, Ke Wei, and Zhouchen Lin. 2021. Is attention better than matrix decomposition? arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.04553 (2021).
- [15] Yunchao Gong, Yangqing Jia, Thomas Leung, Alexander Toshev, and Sergey Ioffe. 2013. Deep convolutional ranking for multilabel image annotation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.4894 (2013).
- [16] Jiaqi Gu, Hyoukjun Kwon, Dilin Wang, Wei Ye, Meng Li, Yu-Hsin Chen, Liangzhen Lai, Vikas Chandra, and David Z Pan. 2022. Multi-scale high-resolution vision transformer for semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 12094–12103.
- [17] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 770–778.
- [18] Shiyi He, Chang Xu, Tianyu Guo, Chao Xu, and Dacheng Tao. 2018. Reinforced multi-label image classification by exploring curriculum. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 32.
- [19] W. Jiang, Y. Yi, J. Mao, Z. Huang, and X. Wei. 2016. CNN-RNN: A Unified Framework for Multi-label Image Classification. In 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).
- [20] Jack Lanchantin, Tianlu Wang, Vicente Ordonez, and Yanjun Qi. 2021. General multi-label image classification with transformers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 16478–16488.
- [21] Yining Li, Chen Huang, Chen Change Loy, and Xiaoou Tang. 2016. Human attribute recognition by deep hierarchical contexts. In *Computer Vision–ECCV* 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VI 14. Springer, 684–700.
- [22] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2014. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13. Springer, 740– 755.
- [23] Shilong Liu, Lei Zhang, Xiao Yang, Hang Su, and Jun Zhu. 2021. Query2label: A simple transformer way to multi-label classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.10834 (2021).

- [24] Yongcheng Liu, Lu Sheng, Jing Shao, Junjie Yan, Shiming Xiang, and Chunhong Pan. 2018. Multi-label image classification via knowledge distillation from weaklysupervised detection. In Proceedings of the 26th ACM international conference on Multimedia. 700–708.
- [25] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. 2021. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. 10012–10022.
- [26] Zhuang Liu, Hanzi Mao, Chao-Yuan Wu, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Trevor Darrell, and Saining Xie. 2022. A convnet for the 2020s. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 11976–11986.
- [27] Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher D Manning. 2014. Glove: Global vectors for word representation. In Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP). 1532–1543.
- [28] Tal Ridnik, Emanuel Ben-Baruch, Nadav Zamir, Asaf Noy, Itamar Friedman, Matan Protter, and Lihi Zelnik-Manor. 2021. Asymmetric loss for multi-label classification. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 82–91.
- [29] Jing Shao, Kai Kang, Chen Change Loy, and Xiaogang Wang. 2015. Deeply learned attributes for crowded scene understanding. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 4657–4666.
- [30] Robin Strudel, Ricardo Garcia, Ivan Laptev, and Cordelia Schmid. 2021. Segmenter: Transformer for semantic segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision. 7262–7272.
- [31] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).
- [32] Jiang Wang, Yi Yang, Junhua Mao, Zhiheng Huang, Chang Huang, and Wei Xu. 2016. Cnn-rnn: A unified framework for multi-label image classification. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2285–2294.
- [33] Meng Wang, Changzhi Luo, Richang Hong, Jinhui Tang, and Jiashi Feng. 2016. Beyond object proposals: Random crop pooling for multi-label image recognition. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 25, 12 (2016), 5678–5688.
- [34] Zhouxia Wang, Tianshui Chen, Guanbin Li, Ruijia Xu, and Liang Lin. 2017. Multi-label image recognition by recurrently discovering attentional regions. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 464–472.
- [35] Shikui Wei, Lixin Liao, Jia Li, Qinjie Zheng, Fei Yang, and Yao Zhao. 2019. Saliency inside: learning attentive CNNs for content-based image retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on image processing* 28, 9 (2019), 4580–4593.
- [36] Yunchao Wei, Wei Xia, Min Lin, Junshi Huang, Bingbing Ni, Jian Dong, Yao Zhao, and Shuicheng Yan. 2015. HCP: A flexible CNN framework for multi-label image classification. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 38, 9 (2015), 1901–1907.
- [37] Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, et al. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In Proceedings of the 2020 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing: system demonstrations. 38–45.
- [38] Yanan Wu, He Liu, Songhe Feng, Yi Jin, Gengyu Lyu, and Zizhang Wu. 2021. GM-MLIC: graph matching based multi-label image classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.14762 (2021).
- [39] Enze Xie, Wenhai Wang, Zhiding Yu, Anima Anandkumar, Jose M Alvarez, and Ping Luo. 2021. SegFormer: Simple and efficient design for semantic segmentation with transformers. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 34 (2021), 12077–12090.
- [40] Jiahao Xu, Hongda Tian, Zhiyong Wang, Yang Wang, Wenxiong Kang, and Fang Chen. 2020. Joint input and output space learning for multi-label image classification. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 23 (2020), 1696–1707.
- [41] Hao Yang, Joey Tianyi Zhou, Yu Zhang, Bin-Bin Gao, Jianxin Wu, and Jianfei Cai. 2016. Exploit bounding box annotations for multi-label object recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 280–288.
- [42] Vacit Oguz Yazici, Abel Gonzalez-Garcia, Arnau Ramisa, Bartlomiej Twardowski, and Joost van de Weijer. 2020. Orderless recurrent models for multi-label classification. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 13440–13449.
- [43] Jin Ye, Junjun He, Xiaojiang Peng, Wenhao Wu, and Yu Qiao. 2020. Attentiondriven dynamic graph convolutional network for multi-label image recognition. In Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXI 16. Springer, 649–665.
- [44] Renchun You, Zhiyao Guo, Lei Cui, Xiang Long, Yingze Bao, and Shilei Wen. 2020. Cross-modality attention with semantic graph embedding for multi-label classification. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence, Vol. 34. 12709–12716.
- [45] Junjie Zhang, Qi Wu, Chunhua Shen, Jian Zhang, and Jianfeng Lu. 2018. Multilabel image classification with regional latent semantic dependencies. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 20, 10 (2018), 2801–2813.

Shuyi Ouyang et al.

- [46] Hengshuang Zhao, Jianping Shi, Xiaojuan Qi, Xiaogang Wang, and Jiaya Jia. 2017. Pyramid scene parsing network. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2881–2890.
- [47] Jiawei Zhao, Yifan Zhao, and Jia Li. 2021. M3tr: Multi-modal multi-label recognition with transformer. In Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 469–477.
- [48] Sixiao Zheng, Jiachen Lu, Hengshuang Zhao, Xiatian Zhu, Zekun Luo, Yabiao Wang, Yanwei Fu, Jianfeng Feng, Tao Xiang, Philip HS Torr, et al. 2021. Re-thinking semantic segmentation from a sequence-to-sequence perspective with transformers. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 6881–6890.
- [49] Feng Zhu, Hongsheng Li, Wanli Ouyang, Nenghai Yu, and Xiaogang Wang. 2017. Learning spatial regularization with image-level supervisions for multi-label image classification. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 5513–5522.
- [50] Ke Zhu and Jianxin Wu. 2021. Residual attention: A simple but effective method for multi-label recognition. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision. 184–193.
- [51] Xuelin Zhu, Jiuxin Cao, Jiawei Ge, Weijia Liu, and Bo Liu. 2022. Two-Stream Transformer for Multi-Label Image Classification. In Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia. 3598–3607.