

# Nanoscale Water Behavior and Its Impact on Adsorption: A case study with CNTs and Diclofenac

Patrick R. B. Côrtes,<sup>1</sup> Nicolás A. Loubet,<sup>2</sup> Cintia A. Menéndez,<sup>2</sup> Gustavo A. Appignanesi,<sup>2</sup> Mateus H. Köhler,<sup>3</sup> and José Rafael Bordin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Departamento de Física, Instituto de Física e Matemática, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Caixa Postal 354, 96.001-970, Pelotas, Brasil*

<sup>2</sup>*INQUISUR, Departamento de Química, Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS)-CONICET, Avenida Alem 1253, 8000 Bahía Blanca, Argentina*

<sup>3</sup>*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, 97105-900, Santa Maria, Brasil*

<sup>4</sup>*Departamento de Física, Instituto de Física e Matemática, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Caixa Postal 354, Pelotas, Brasil*

(\*Electronic mail: jrbordin@ufpel.edu.br)

(\*Electronic mail: mateus.kohler@ufsm.br)

(Dated: 24 July 2024)

Water is a fundamental component of life, playing a critical role in regulating metabolic processes and facilitating the dissolution and transport of essential molecules. However, the presence of emerging contaminants, such as pharmaceuticals, poses significant challenges to water quality and safety. Nanomaterials-based technologies arise as a promising tool to remove those contaminants from water. Nevertheless, interfacial water plays a major role in the adsorption of chemical compounds in the nanomaterials - as it plays in biological processes such as protein folding, enzyme activity, and drug delivery. To understand this role, in this study we employ Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations to explore the adsorption dynamics of potassium diclofenac (K-DCF) on single-walled (SWCNT) and double-walled (DWCNT) carbon nanotubes, considering both dry and wet conditions. Our findings reveal that the structuring of water molecules around CNTs creates hydration layers that significantly influence the accessibility of active sites and the interaction strength between contaminants and adsorbents. Our analysis indicates higher energy barriers for adsorption in DWCNTs compared to SWCNTs, which is attributed to stronger water-surface interactions. This research highlights the importance of understanding nanoscale water behavior for optimizing the design and functionality of nanomaterials for water purification. These findings can guide the development of more efficient and selective nanomaterials, enhancing contaminant removal and ensuring safer water resources, while also contributing to a deeper understanding of fundamental biological interactions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Water is indispensable for life, serving as a fundamental component in regulating metabolic processes. It acts as a solvent, facilitating the dissolution and transport of nutrients, gases, and waste products throughout living systems<sup>1,2</sup>. In biological systems, the relevance of water extends to the adsorption process, which is vital for the proper function of cellular membranes and enzymes. Water molecules form hydration shells around biomolecules, influencing their structure, stability, and interactions<sup>3-5</sup>. This hydration layer is crucial for the adsorption of substrates on enzyme active sites, affecting the efficiency of metabolic reactions and nutrient absorption<sup>6</sup>. Additionally, hydration water affects the adsorption of drugs by biomolecules, influencing their bioavailability and therapeutic effectiveness by contributing to the free-energy of the interaction between the drug molecule and their targets<sup>7,8</sup>. Therefore, understanding the behavior of water in adsorption processes is essential for advancing our knowledge of life<sup>9,10</sup>.

Also, ensuring safe drinking water for everyone is a significant challenge. To address this and other emerging social issues, in 2015, the United Nations signed a pact with several countries to establish 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to be achieved by 2030<sup>11</sup>. Two of these goals are directly linked to water quality: SDG 6, "Clean Water and San-

itation," and SDG 14, "Life Below Water." However, recent reports indicate that we are not on track to meet these goals<sup>12</sup>.

An even greater challenge is dealing with contaminants of emerging concern<sup>13-16</sup>. Some pharmaceuticals, when dispersed in water, can be categorized as pollutants that not only render water unfit for consumption but also directly impact aquatic life<sup>17,18</sup>, as they are designed to elicit physiological responses in living organisms<sup>19,20</sup>.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatories are of particular interest because they are widely available at a relatively low cost, which, combined with erroneous disposal of medicines and packaging, leads to the pollution of canals and river basins<sup>21-23</sup>. One of the most consumed non-steroidal anti-inflammatories is diclofenac (DCF). Moreover, it has been found that traditional water treatment processes are ineffective in removing this compound from water. As a consequence, it is often detected in the effluents from plants and in receiving water bodies. Studies indicate that DCF is extremely toxic to many animal species<sup>24-30</sup>. For instance, diclofenac has been found to cause renal failure in vultures, leading to a significant decline in their populations in regions where the drug is used in veterinary medicine<sup>31,32</sup>. In aquatic environments, DCF exposure has been linked to physiological and reproductive harm in fish, including kidney damage and reduced fertility<sup>33,34</sup>. Other aquatic organisms, such as invertebrates and

amphibians, are also vulnerable to the toxic effects of DCF, which can disrupt their endocrine systems and negatively impact their populations<sup>35,36</sup>.

Nanomaterials-based technologies for water purification are promising solutions to address the growing concern of water contamination by emerging pollutants<sup>37-39</sup>. Water molecules form hydration layers around nanomaterials and pollutants, significantly influencing the adsorption dynamics<sup>40,41</sup>. These hydration layers affect the accessibility of active sites on nanomaterials, the diffusion of pollutants, and the overall interaction strength between pollutants and adsorbents. Therefore, a detailed understanding of water behavior at the nanoscale is crucial for optimizing the design and functionality of nanomaterials for water purification. By studying these interactions, researchers can develop more efficient and selective nanomaterials, ultimately improving the removal of contaminants and ensuring safer water resources for various applications.

Carbon-based nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene, stand out as promising candidates for developing new technologies for water decontamination, particularly in the removal of emerging contaminants<sup>42</sup>. These nanomaterials exhibit exceptional properties, including high surface area, chemical stability, and tunable surface functionalities, which make them highly effective adsorbents for a wide range of pollutants<sup>38,43,44</sup>. The unique structure of carbon-based nanomaterials allows for strong interactions with various contaminants, including pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and heavy metals, thereby enhancing their removal efficiency from water systems<sup>45</sup>. Then, studying the adsorption of diclofenac in carbon nanotubes is particularly relevant as it helps to understand the mechanisms and factors influencing the adsorption efficiency and capacity of CNTs, guiding the optimization and design of more effective CNT-based nanomaterials<sup>46</sup>.

Computer simulations emerge in this scenario as an alternative to access information at an atomic level and for the rational design of nanomaterials with specific properties for water treatment<sup>47</sup>. Specifically, Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations have been widely used to study the adsorption of many emerging contaminants on carbon-based materials<sup>48-52</sup>. More recently, the influence of the solvent in processes taking place in aqueous solutions has been investigated<sup>53-56</sup>. This is even more remarkable at the solid-liquid interface, especially considering the unique behavior of confined water, whose structure is quite different from that of bulk water<sup>57-59</sup>.

In this direction, we use MD simulations to study how the molecular structure of water near carbon nanotubes (CNTs) impacts the adsorption of emerging contaminants. The potassium diclofenac (K-DCF) molecule was chosen to represent the contaminant. We compared the adsorption efficiency of single-walled (SWCNT) and double-walled (DWCNT) carbon nanotubes in two scenarios: dry, when the CNT interior is not filled with water, and wet, when the CNT interior is filled with water. Our findings indicate that the combination of water structuration and the number of CNT layers plays an important role, explaining the differences between both systems. Our paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we

present the simulation details and methods. In Section 3, the results are discussed, and in Section 4, we highlight the main conclusions and remarks.

## II. THE MODEL AND SIMULATION DETAILS

The simulated systems, as illustrated in Figure 1, consist of a single- or double-walled nanotube fixed at the center of the box, a potassium diclofenac molecule, and water. The simulation setup was built using the Moltemplate<sup>60</sup> software. We use a 5 nm long zigzag (24,0) nanotube for the SWCNT system and a (24,0) enclosing another (16,0) nanotube for the DWCNT system, both also with 5 nm in length. The chiralities were chosen to reproduce the inter-wall nanotube gap found in the literature<sup>61</sup>. The CNT Lennard-Jones (LJ) parameters were obtained from the work by Saito et al.<sup>62</sup>

The potassium diclofenac structure was downloaded from the Protein Data Bank<sup>63</sup> (PDB) and kept rigid during the simulation. The LJ parameters and charges were based on the work of Levina et al.<sup>64</sup> for the diclofenac and the sodium parameters as parametrized by Fuentes-Azcatl and Barbosa<sup>65</sup>. The water was modeled as SPC/E water<sup>66</sup>, and Lorentz-Berthelot mixing rules were employed. 4100 water molecules were accommodated in a  $5 \times 5 \times 5$  nm simulation box to achieve the bulk water density  $1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , and the temperature was kept constant at 300 K using the Nosé-Hoover thermostat<sup>67,68</sup>.

All simulations were performed using the LAMMPS package<sup>69</sup>. They all started with an energy minimization step, followed by 1 ns of thermalization and then 10 nanoseconds of data accumulation. Periodic boundary conditions were applied. Molecules were maintained rigid with the RATTLE algorithm<sup>70</sup>. Three sets of independent simulations, with different initial positions and velocity distributions, were performed for each configuration (SWCNT and DWCNT with or without water inside).

To analyze the trajectories of the DCF molecules, we chose to map out the Nitrogen coordinates through the simulation time. With the radial distance distributions between the DCF and the outer walls of the CNT we could establish adsorption criteria. The water radial density profile from the nanotube was also computed to assess a possible route involving the water-mediated DCF adsorption in CNTs. Additionally, the Potential of Mean Force (PMF)<sup>71-73</sup> was calculated for all systems.

To quantify the hydrophobicity level of the nanotubes, we calculate the probability  $P(N)$  of finding  $N$  water molecules inside a sphere of radius  $3.3 \text{ \AA}$  tangent to the CNT. Larger values of  $P(N=0)$  indicate higher hydrophobicity, which is inversely proportional to the work necessary to completely remove water molecules from the observation volume. In this scenario, the quantity  $-k_B T \ln P(N=0)$  can be understood as the energy spent to create a cavity tangent to the nanotube<sup>74</sup> and can be related to the energy barrier confronted by the DCF molecule to occupy that same cavity.

Recently, the  $V_{45}$  index was proposed<sup>74,75</sup>. It accounts for energetic information at the atomic level in an MD simulation being calculated by establishing four interaction sites in




FIG. 1. Depictions of simulated systems, diclofenac molecule, and CNTs orientation. The color scheme for atoms follows: red is Oxygen, white is Hydrogen, gray is Carbon, green is Chloride, blue is Nitrogen, and purple is the Potassium ion.

the water molecule and estimating the potential energy due to each of them. Once we are using the SPC/E water model, the first two sites are located at the positions of hydrogen atoms. They are 1 Å away from the oxygen, forming an H-O-H angle of  $109.47^\circ$ , the tetrahedral angle. The last two sites are determined to complete a perfect tetrahedron, i.e., 1 Å away from the oxygen on the opposite side, producing the same angle as H-O-H but in an orthogonal plane to the first plane formed by the atoms of the water molecule. Four tetrahedral interaction sites are established this way. Having determined the 4 points, we calculate the potential energy between the water molecule and each heavy atom surrounding it and then attribute the contributions to the nearest site. After adding up all contributions at each site, we have four energy interaction values that we organize from the highest in absolute value, that is, the most negative one ( $V_{1S}$ ) to the lowest contribution ( $V_{4S}$ ). More details can be found in the very recent works<sup>74-76</sup>, while a programming code to implement this indicator can be found in: <https://github.com/nicolas-loubet/V4S>.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To estimate the adsorption of the compound in the CNT we evaluate the radial distance  $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$  of the k-DCF molecule relative to the center of the CNT, as shown in Figure 2. The dashed line indicates the position of the nanotube wall, and each line represents the radial trajectories of the molecule from three distinct simulations. The trajectories in Figure 2(a) and (b) indicate that for single-walled CNTs, the k-DCF molecule performs a random walk, with both shorter (less than 1 ns) and longer walks (approximately 8 ns), until it encounters the CNT wall. It was observed that once diclofenac reaches this minimum distance from the CNT, it

remains at this radial distance until the end of the simulation, indicating adsorption. However, for DWCTN simulations, adsorption appears more challenging.

For wet DWCNTs, three distinct cases were observed: in the first case (blue curve in Figure 2(c)), the molecule is adsorbed at the beginning of the simulation (approximately 1 ns). In the second simulation (green curve), adsorption occurs at the end of the simulation (approximately 1 ns), and in the third simulation (orange curve), the k-DCF molecule does not reach the contact distance during the simulation time. On the other hand, adsorption in dry DWCNTs was observed in one of the simulations, as shown in Figure 2(d).

It is unclear whether longer simulations would lead to all drug molecules being adsorbed by the CNTs and how this would happen regardless of the number of walls or whether they are filled with water. However, it is clear that adsorption is more challenging in DWCNTs. Interestingly, when molecules are not adsorbed, they appear to encounter a barrier and bounce back. To clarify this, let's take a closer look at the dry DWCNT case.

As seen in Figure 4(a), even in cases where the molecule was eventually adsorbed, it initially reaches a minimal distance of  $r \approx 18$  Å and bounces back before overcoming this barrier and adsorbing. The magenta circles indicate points where the blue trajectory curve reaches the location of this barrier, marked by the dashed magenta line in Figure 4(a).

To understand the origin of this barrier, we can analyze the water structure around the nanotube in this case. Figure 4(b) shows the probability density of water molecules in the radial direction, highlighting the two layers structure of water around the CNT. By comparing Figures 4(a) and (b), we can relate the barrier near 18 Å to the water's two layer structure. In other words, the k-DCF molecule must overcome this barrier created by the water structure around the CNT. Since ad-




FIG. 2. Radial position of Diclofenac molecule over time in the four cases simulated. Each color line represents one round of simulation.




FIG. 3. Probability density to find an Oxygen atom of a water molecule at a certain distance from CNTs for SWCNT (a) and (b) DWCNT.

sorption was observed in all cases with SWCNTs but only in half of the DWCNT simulations, it suggests that the water structural barrier is higher near DWCNTs than SWCNTs.

However, by comparing the probability densities of find-




FIG. 4. (a) Qualitative interpolation of return point of k-DCF molecule in DWCNT dry system and b) Probability Density to find an atom of a water molecule at a given distance. The pink dashed lines are only guides pointing to the pink circles interpolation in (a) and the end of the structuration in (b).

ing water molecules near SWCNTs and DWCNTs, as shown in Figure 3, no clear differences in water distribution around the distinct nanotubes are observed. In all cases, two layers of water molecules are observed, indicating that this parameter alone is insufficient to distinguish the water structuring around different nanotubes. To better understand these differ-

ences, it is essential to analyze the energy and tetrahedrality of the water molecules. By examining the energy interactions, we can gain insights into the stability and binding strength of the water molecules with the nanotube surfaces. Additionally, evaluating the tetrahedrality of water molecules can help us understand their local structural organization. Tetrahedrality measures the degree to which water molecules form tetrahedral arrangements, a hallmark of their hydrogen-bonding network. By combining these analyses, we can better understand the water molecule structure and behavior around SWCNTs and DWCNTs, providing a clearer picture of how different nanotube structures influence water molecule arrangement and interactions.

The energy barrier felt by the k-DCF molecule, created by the water structure, is shown in Figure 5(a) and (b) by the radial PMF around the nanotube. It provides insight into the most favorable radial positions for a solute molecule, indicated by the valleys. It's interesting to notice that for the dry CNTs there is a significant difference for the SWCNT and DWCNT PMFs, see Figure 5(a), while for completely hydrated CNTs the number of walls does not play a significant role, as Figure 5(b) indicates. It indicates that, for the dry case, the carbons from the inner wall affect the water structure on the outside, while when the CNT is fully hydrated, this effect vanishes. This result indicates that, within the analyzed systems, a molecule will face more difficulty approaching the double-walled, dry nanotube.

This behavior is explained by the relationship of the  $V_{45}$  indices presented in Figure 5(c). Here, we explore only the dry case to see if any difference can be observed. The  $V_{45}$  index is determined as the smallest, in magnitude, of the potential energies of the four interaction points related to the tetrahedral arrangement of the water molecule. This means that, since water molecules have a lower average  $V_{45}$  around DWCNTs than SWCNTs (from  $-7.17$  to  $-6.81$ ), the interactions of water molecules are stronger in the former case. This result is consistent with the findings shown in Figure 5(c), which quantifies the hydrophobicity of the nanotube by calculating the probability of finding  $N$  water molecules inside a small observation sphere tangent to the nanotube wall. The differences in  $\ln(P(N=0))$ , with a slightly lower value for the DWCNT, indicate greater work required to create a vacuum cavity at the water-nanotube interface. Indeed, we note that this 5% difference in  $\ln(P(N=0))$  translates itself into a roughly 50% increase in the probability of cavity creation,  $P(N=0)$ , at the SWCNT as compared to the DWCNT, pointing to the fact that it is easier to remove hydration water from the SWCNT. Consistently, the difference in the mean value of  $V_{45}$  for the two nanotubes also amounts to around 5%. Such a difference arises from the fact that a water molecule close to the DWCNT interacts with a greater number of C atoms as compared to the SWCNT (even when the interaction with the inner wall of the DWCNT is much attenuated by its distance). In turn, since  $V_{45}$  senses the water-wall interaction (which should be overcome in order to remove the water molecule), it also implies that the SWCNT presents around 50% higher dehydration propensity.

In summary, the differences in the behavior observed in Figure 2 are explained by subtle differences in the structur-

ing of water around the nanotubes, where the number of walls and the existence or not of water inside the CNTs can affect the adsorption process. The return point in the DWCNT systems is explained both by the energy barriers for transitioning between the first solvation layers, denoted by the PMF peaks in Figure 5a, and by the greater difficulty in removing water molecules around the double-walled nanotubes compared to the SWCNT, allowing the diclofenac molecule to be adsorbed.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this study, we utilized MD simulations to investigate the impact of water molecular structure near CNTs on the adsorption of K-DCF, a prominent example of emerging contaminants. Our results reveal that the structuring of water molecules and the number of CNT walls significantly influence the adsorption dynamics. We observed that water molecules form hydration layers around the CNTs, which affect the accessibility of active sites and the strength of interaction between pollutants and adsorbents. In single-walled nanotubes, K-DCF molecules exhibited a random walk until adsorption occurred, while in the double-walled case the adsorption process was more complex and often hindered by an energy barrier created by the structured water layers.

The energy barrier for adsorption in DWCNTs, highlighted by the Potential of Mean Force (PMF) analysis, was higher compared to SWCNTs. This difference is attributed to the stronger interaction of water molecules with DWCNTs, as indicated by the lower  $V_{45}$  indices and higher work required to create a vacuum cavity at the water-nanotube interface. These subtle differences in water structuring and energetics explain the observed adsorption behaviors and highlight the importance of considering nanoscale water behavior in the design of nanomaterials for water purification.

Our findings emphasize that both the structural characteristics of CNTs and the presence of confined water play crucial roles in the adsorption efficiency of contaminants. This knowledge can guide the development of more effective and selective nanomaterials, ultimately enhancing the removal of pollutants and ensuring safer water resources. Future research should continue to explore the interplay between water structuring and nanomaterial properties to optimize water treatment technologies. Additionally, the approach employed in this work has broader implications for understanding the role of water in biological processes. For instance, hydration layers are critical in protein folding, where water influences the structural stability and function of proteins. Similarly, water molecules around active sites affect substrate binding and catalytic efficiency in enzyme activity. By studying water behavior in these contexts, we could gain insights into fundamental biological processes such as signal transduction, where water mediates interactions between biomolecules, and drug delivery, where hydration layers influence the bioavailability and efficacy of therapeutic agents. Thus, the insights from this study would not only be helpful in advancing water purification technologies but might also enhance our understanding of




FIG. 5. Potential of Mean Force around for a) dry and b) hydrated CNT nanotubes, c) Probability of finding  $N$  water molecules inside the observation sphere, comparisons for SWCNT and DWCNT systems and, d) Distribution of  $V_{4s}$ .

the central role of water in various biological processes.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Without public funding this research would be impossible. J. R. B. thanks the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), under grant numbers 405479/2023-9, 441728/2023-5, and 304958/2022-0, as well as from the Rio Grande do Sul Research Foundation (FAPERGS), grant number 21/2551-0002024-5. M. H. K. thanks CNPq Universal grant number 306709/2021-0 and FAPERGS PqG Grant number 21/2551-0002023-7. P. R. B. C. acknowledge support from the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel Brasil (CAPES), Financing Code 001. C.A.M., N.A.L. and G.A.A. gratefully acknowledge funds from UNS and CONICET.

<sup>1</sup>D. Laage, T. Elsaesser, and J. T. Hynes, "Water dynamics in the hydration shells of biomolecules," *Chemical Reviews* **117**, 10694–10725 (2017).

<sup>2</sup>J. R. Bordin, A. V. Ilha, P. R. B. Côrtes, W. da Silva Oliveira, L. A. Pinheiro, E. E. de Moraes, T. G. Grison, and M. H. Köhler, "Molecular modeling of aquaporins and artificial transmembrane channels: a mini-review and perspective for plants," *Theoretical and Experimental Plant Physiology* (2023), 10.1007/s40626-023-00284-2.

<sup>3</sup>M. H. Köhler, L. B. Barbosa, R. C. da Silva, and M. C. Barbosa, "Role of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic sites in the dynamic crossover of the protein-hydration water," *Physica A* **468**, 733–739 (2017).

<sup>4</sup>S. Khodadadi and A. P. Sokolov, "Atomistic details of protein dynamics and the role of hydration water," *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects* **1861**, 3546–3552 (2017).

<sup>5</sup>K. Shikata, K. Kasahara, N. M. Watanabe, H. Umakoshi, K. Kim, and N. Matubayasi, "Influence of cholesterol on hydrogen-bond dynamics of water molecules in lipid-bilayer systems at varying temperatures," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **161** (2024), 10.1063/5.0208008.

<sup>6</sup>S. Mondal and B. Bagchi, "From structure and dynamics to biomolecular functions: The ubiquitous role of solvent in biology," *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **77**, 102462 (2022).

<sup>7</sup>B. Bagchi, "Water dynamics in the hydration layer around proteins and micelles," *Chem. Reviews* **105**, 3197–3219 (2005).

<sup>8</sup>P. Fenter and S. S. Lee, "Hydration layer structure at solid–water interfaces," *MRS Bulletin* **39**, 1056–1061 (2014).

<sup>9</sup>L. Weng, S. L. Stott, and M. Toner, "Exploring dynamics and structure of biomolecules, cryoprotectants, and water using molecular dynamics simulations: Implications for biostabilization and biopreservation," *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering* **21**, 1–31 (2019).

<sup>10</sup>S. Pal and A. Chattopadhyay, "Hydration dynamics in biological membranes: Emerging applications of terahertz spectroscopy," *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **12**, 9697–9709 (2021).

<sup>11</sup>United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs - Sustainable Development, "Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development," <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda> (2015).

<sup>12</sup>United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs - Sustainable Development, "The sustainable development goals report 2022," <https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/report/2022/> (2022).

- <sup>13</sup>R. Kumar, M. Qureshi, D. K. Vishwakarma, N. Al-Ansari, A. Kuriqi, A. El-beltagi, and A. Saraswat, "A review on emerging water contaminants and the application of sustainable removal technologies," *Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering* **6**, 100219 (2022).
- <sup>14</sup>V. Geissen, H. Mol, E. Klumpp, G. Umlauf, M. Nadal, M. van der Ploeg, S. E. van de Zee, and C. J. Ritsema, "Emerging pollutants in the environment: a challenge for water resource management," *International soil and water conservation research* **3**, 57–65 (2015).
- <sup>15</sup>K. Y. Bell, M. J. Wells, K. A. Traexler, M.-L. Pellegrin, A. Morse, and J. Bandy, "Emerging pollutants," *Water Environment Research* **83**, 1906–1984 (2011).
- <sup>16</sup>T. Deblonde, C. Cossu-Leguille, and P. Hartemann, "Emerging pollutants in wastewater: a review of the literature," *International journal of hygiene and environmental health* **214**, 442–448 (2011).
- <sup>17</sup>P. Klimaszuk and P. Rzymiski, "Water and aquatic fauna on drugs: what are the impacts of pharmaceutical pollution?" *Water Management and the Environment: Case Studies*, 255–278 (2018).
- <sup>18</sup>S. E. Jørgensen and B. Halling-Sørensen, "Drugs in the environment," *Chemosphere* **40**, 691–699 (2000).
- <sup>19</sup>G. Reichert, S. Hilgert, S. Fuchs, and J. C. R. Azevedo, "Emerging contaminants and antibiotic resistance in the different environmental matrices of latin america," *Environmental Pollution* **255**, 113140 (2019).
- <sup>20</sup>H. Wang, H. Xi, L. Xu, M. Jin, W. Zhao, and H. Liu, "Ecotoxicological effects, environmental fate and risks of pharmaceutical and personal care products in the water environment: A review," *Science of The Total Environment* **788**, 147819 (2021).
- <sup>21</sup>J. Svanfelt, J.-M. Kallio, J. Eriksson, and L. Kronberg, "Environmental fate and hazards of the pharmaceutical diclofenac in aquatic environments," in *Contaminants of Emerging Concern in the Environment: Ecological and Human Health Considerations* (American Chemical Society, 2010) pp. 243–255.
- <sup>22</sup>J.-y. Lin, Y. Zhang, Y. Bian, Y.-x. Zhang, R.-z. Du, M. Li, Y. Tan, and X.-s. Feng, "Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (nsaids) in the environment: Recent updates on the occurrence, fate, hazards and removal technologies," *Science of The Total Environment* **904**, 166897 (2023).
- <sup>23</sup>N. Ellepola, T. Viera, P. L. Patidar, and G. Rubasinghege, "Fate, transformation and toxicological implications of environmental diclofenac: Role of mineralogy and solar flux," *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **246**, 114138 (2022).
- <sup>24</sup>M. N. Ajima, O. A. Ogo, B. S. Audu, and K. C. Ugwoegbu, "Chronic diclofenac (dcf) exposure alters both enzymatic and haematological profile of african catfish, *clarias gariepinus*," *Drug and Chemical Toxicology* **38**, 383–390 (2014).
- <sup>25</sup>T. H. Galligan, J. W. Mallord, V. M. Prakash, K. P. Bhusal, A. B. M. S. Alam, F. M. Anthony, R. Dave, A. Dube, K. Shastri, Y. Kumar, and et al., "Trends in the availability of the vulture-toxic drug, diclofenac, and other nsaids in south asia, as revealed by covert pharmacy surveys," *Bird Conservation International* **31**, 337–353 (2021).
- <sup>26</sup>M. Herrero-Villar, E. Delepouille, L. Suárez-Regalado, C. Solano-Manrique, C. Juan-Sallés, J. J. Iglesias-Lebrija, P. R. Camarero, F. González, E. Álvarez, and R. Mateo, "First diclofenac intoxication in a wild avian scavenger in europe," *Science of The Total Environment* **782**, 146890 (2021).
- <sup>27</sup>C. Trombini, J. Blasco, and M. Hampel, "Ibuprofen and diclofenac: Effects on freshwater and marine aquatic organisms - are they at risk?" in *Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs in Water* (Springer International Publishing, 2020) p. 161–189.
- <sup>28</sup>K. Swiacka, K. Smolarz, J. Maculewicz, and M. Caban, "Effects of environmentally relevant concentrations of diclofenac in *mytilus trossulus*," *Science of The Total Environment* **737**, 139797 (2020).
- <sup>29</sup>S. Joachim, R. Beaudouin, G. Daniele, A. Geffard, A. Bado-Nilles, C. Tebby, O. Palluel, O. Dedourge-Geffard, M. Fieu, M. Bonnard, M. Palos-Ladeiro, C. Turiès, E. Vulliet, V. David, P. Baudoin, A. James, S. Andres, and J. Porcher, "Effects of diclofenac on sentinel species and aquatic communities in semi-natural conditions," *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **211**, 111812 (2021).
- <sup>30</sup>J. C. Wolf, "A critical review of morphologic findings and data from 14 toxicological studies involving fish exposures to diclofenac," *Toxicologic Pathology* **49**, 1024–1041 (2021).
- <sup>31</sup>R. E. GREEN, I. NEWTON, S. SHULTZ, A. A. CUNNINGHAM, M. GILBERT, D. J. PAIN, and V. PRAKASH, "Diclofenac poisoning as a cause of vulture population declines across the indian subcontinent," *Journal of Applied Ecology* **41**, 793–800 (2004).
- <sup>32</sup>J. L. Oaks, M. Gilbert, M. Z. Virani, R. T. Watson, C. U. Meteyer, B. A. Rideout, H. L. Shivaprasad, S. Ahmed, M. J. Iqbal Chaudhry, M. Arshad, S. Mahmood, A. Ali, and A. Ahmed Khan, "Diclofenac residues as the cause of vulture population decline in pakistan," *Nature* **427**, 630–633 (2004).
- <sup>33</sup>J. Schwaiger, H. Ferling, U. Mallow, H. Wintermayr, and R. Negele, "Toxic effects of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac," *Aquatic Toxicology* **68**, 141–150 (2004).
- <sup>34</sup>R. Triebkorn, H. Casper, A. Heyd, R. Eikemper, H.-R. Köhler, and J. Schwaiger, "Toxic effects of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac," *Aquatic Toxicology* **68**, 151–166 (2004).
- <sup>35</sup>N. B. Mohd Zanuri, M. G. Bentley, and G. S. Caldwell, "Assessing the impact of diclofenac, ibuprofen and sildenafil citrate (viagra®) on the fertilisation biology of broadcast spawning marine invertebrates," *Marine Environmental Research* **127**, 126–136 (2017).
- <sup>36</sup>S. Han, K. Choi, J. Kim, K. Ji, S. Kim, B. Ahn, J. Yun, K. Choi, J. S. Khim, X. Zhang, and J. P. Giesy, "Endocrine disruption and consequences of chronic exposure to ibuprofen in japanese medaka (*oryzias latipes*) and freshwater cladocerans *daphnia magna* and *moina macrocopa*," *Aquatic Toxicology* **98**, 256–264 (2010).
- <sup>37</sup>M. B. Leão, J. R. Bordin, and C. F. de Matos, "Specific surface area versus adsorptive capacity: an application view of 3d graphene-based materials for the removal of emerging water pollutants," *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution* **234** (2023), 10.1007/s11270-023-06146-6.
- <sup>38</sup>J. R. Bordin, C. F. de Matos Jauris, P. R. B. Cortes, W. S. Araujo, L. S. Moreira, A. P. dos Santos, M. B. Leao, E. E. Moraes, M. J. Piotrowski, and M. H. Köhler, "Computational condensed matter science contributions to addressing water emerging contaminant pollution: A comprehensive review," *J. Phys. Cond. Matt.* **XX**, XXX (2024).
- <sup>39</sup>C. F. de Azevedo, F. M. Machado, N. F. de Souza, L. L. Silveira, E. C. Lima, R. Andrezza, and C. P. Bergamnn, "Comprehensive adsorption and spectroscopic studies on the interaction of carbon nanotubes with diclofenac anti-inflammatory," *Chemical Engineering Journal* **454**, 140102 (2023).
- <sup>40</sup>N. Schewe, R. Wagner, M. Franzreb, and P. Thissen, "Role of the hydration shell in the ph-dependent adsorption of maleic acid," *J. Phys. Chem. C* **125**, 12305–12315 (2021).
- <sup>41</sup>M. Suzuki, "Role of adsorption in water environment processes," *Water Science and Technology* **35**, 1–11 (1997), adsorption in the Water Environment and Treatment Processes.
- <sup>42</sup>Z. Yin, C. Cui, H. Chen, Duoni, X. Yu, and W. Qian, "The application of carbon nanotube/graphene-based nanomaterials in wastewater treatment," *Small* **16** (2019), 10.1002/sml.201902301.
- <sup>43</sup>A. Thakur, A. Kumar, and A. Singh, "Adsorptive removal of heavy metals, dyes, and pharmaceuticals: Carbon-based nanomaterials in focus," *Carbon* **217**, 118621 (2024).
- <sup>44</sup>C.-Y. Hsu, A. M. Rheima, M. S. Mohammed, M. M. Kadhim, S. H. Mohammed, F. H. Abbas, Z. T. Abed, Z. M. Mahdi, Z. S. Abbas, S. K. Hachim, F. K. Ali, Z. H. Mahmoud, and E. Kianfar, "Application of carbon nanotubes and graphene-based nanoadsorbents in water treatment," *Bio-NanoScience* **13**, 1418–1436 (2023).
- <sup>45</sup>S. Manimegalai, S. Vickram, S. R. Deena, K. Rohini, S. Thanigaivel, S. Manikandan, R. Subbaiya, N. Karmegam, W. Kim, and M. Govarthan, "Carbon-based nanomaterial intervention and efficient removal of various contaminants from effluents – a review," *Chemosphere* **312**, 137319 (2023).
- <sup>46</sup>S. Mishra and B. Sundaram, "Efficacy and challenges of carbon nanotube in wastewater and water treatment," *Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management* **19**, 100764 (2023).
- <sup>47</sup>R. Li, L. Zhang, and P. Wang, "Rational design of nanomaterials for water treatment," *Nanoscale* **7**, 17167–17194 (2015).
- <sup>48</sup>P. R. Côrtes, M. Bitencourt Leão, G. L. R. Reis, D. D. de Vargas, G. F. Murillo, M. H. Köhler, and C. Ferreira de Matos Jauris, "Unraveling the phosphorus adsorption mechanisms in three-dimensional reduced graphene oxide materials," *Langmuir* (2024).
- <sup>49</sup>Q. Ibrahim and S. Gharbia, "Adsorption performance of g-c3n4/graphene, and mil-101 (fe)/graphene for the removal of pharmaceutical contaminants: A molecular dynamics simulation study," (2024).

- <sup>50</sup>J. Yang, Z. Shen, J. He, and Y. Li, "Efficient separation of small organic contaminants in water using functionalized nanoporous graphene membranes: Insights from molecular dynamics simulations," *Journal of Membrane Science* **630**, 119331 (2021).
- <sup>51</sup>W. S. Araújo, C. R. Caldeira Rêgo, D. Guedes-Sobrinho, A. Cavalheiro Dias, I. Rodrigues do Couto, J. R. Bordin, C. Ferreira de Matos, and M. J. Piotrowski, "Quantum simulations and experimental insights into glyphosate adsorption using graphene-based nanomaterials," *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces* **16**, 31500–31512 (2024), pMID: 38842224, <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.4c05733>.
- <sup>52</sup>M. B. Leão, L. F. O. Vendrame, S. B. Fagan, I. Zanella, I. M. Jauris, J. R. Bordin, and C. F. de Matos, "Combining multi-scale simulations and experiments to unveil the adsorption of methylene blue in graphene tridimensional-based materials," *Mol. Syst. Des. Eng.* **8**, 666–680 (2023).
- <sup>53</sup>D. Moscato, G. Mandelli, M. Bondanza, F. Lipparini, R. Conte, B. Menucci, and M. Ceotto, "Unraveling water solvation effects with quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics semiclassical vibrational spectroscopy: The case of thymidine," *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **146**, 8179–8188 (2024).
- <sup>54</sup>M. Chow, E. Lambros, X. Li, and S. Hammes-Schiffer, "Nuclear-electronic orbital qm/mm approach: Geometry optimizations and molecular dynamics," *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* **19**, 3839–3848 (2023).
- <sup>55</sup>L. R. Franco, K. C. F. Toledo, T. A. Matias, C. M. Araujo, K. Araki, and K. Coutinho, "Theoretical investigation of solvent and oxidation/deprotonation effects on the electronic structure of a mononuclear ru-aqua-polypyridine complex in aqueous solution," *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **25**, 24475–24494 (2023).
- <sup>56</sup>K. Bux and S. T. Moin, "Solvation of cholesterol in different solvents: a molecular dynamics simulation study," *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **22**, 1154–1167 (2020).
- <sup>57</sup>M. H. Köhler, J. R. Bordin, C. F. de Matos, and M. C. Barbosa, "Water in nanotubes: The surface effect," *Chemical Engineering Science* **203**, 54–67 (2019).
- <sup>58</sup>T. A. Ho and A. Striolo, "Molecular dynamics simulation of the graphene-water interface: comparing water models," *Molecular Simulation* **40**, 1190–1200 (2014).
- <sup>59</sup>M. H. Köhler and L. B. da Silva, "Size effects and the role of density on the viscosity of water confined in carbon nanotubes," *Chemical Physics Letters* **645**, 38–41 (2016).
- <sup>60</sup>A. I. Jewett, D. Stelter, J. Lambert, S. M. Saladi, O. M. Roscioni, M. Ricci, L. Autin, M. Maritan, S. M. Bashusqeh, T. Keyes, *et al.*, "Moltemplate: A tool for coarse-grained modeling of complex biological matter and soft condensed matter physics," *Journal of molecular biology* **433**, 166841 (2021).
- <sup>61</sup>J. P. Lu, "Elastic properties of carbon nanotubes and nanopipes," *Physical review letters* **79**, 1297 (1997).
- <sup>62</sup>R. Saito, R. Matsuo, T. Kimura, G. Dresselhaus, and M. Dresselhaus, "Anomalous potential barrier of double-wall carbon nanotube," *Chemical physics letters* **348**, 187–193 (2001).
- <sup>63</sup>H. M. Berman, J. Westbrook, Z. Feng, G. Gilliland, T. N. Bhat, H. Weissig, I. N. Shindyalov, and P. E. Bourne, "The protein data bank," *Nucleic acids research* **28**, 235–242 (2000).
- <sup>64</sup>E. O. Levina, N. V. Penkov, N. N. Rodionova, S. A. Tarasov, D. V. Barykina, and M. V. Vener, "Hydration of the carboxylate group in anti-inflammatory drugs: Atr-ir and computational studies of aqueous solution of sodium diclofenac," *ACS omega* **3**, 302–313 (2018).
- <sup>65</sup>R. Fuentes-Azcatl and M. C. Barbosa, "Potassium bromide, kbr/e: new force field," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **491**, 480–489 (2018).
- <sup>66</sup>H.-J.-C. Berendsen, J.-R. Grigera, and T. P. Straatsma, "The missing term in effective pair potentials," *Journal of Physical Chemistry* **91**, 6269–6271 (1987).
- <sup>67</sup>S. Nosé, "A molecular dynamics method for simulations in the canonical ensemble," *Molecular physics* **52**, 255–268 (1984).
- <sup>68</sup>W. G. Hoover, "Canonical dynamics: Equilibrium phase-space distributions," *Physical review A* **31**, 1695 (1985).
- <sup>69</sup>A. P. Thompson, H. M. Aktulga, R. Berger, D. S. Bolintineanu, W. M. Brown, P. S. Crozier, P. J. In't Veld, A. Kohlmeyer, S. G. Moore, T. D. Nguyen, *et al.*, "Lammps-a flexible simulation tool for particle-based materials modeling at the atomic, meso, and continuum scales," *Computer Physics Communications* **271**, 108171 (2022).
- <sup>70</sup>H. C. Andersen, "Rattle: A "velocity" version of the shake algorithm for molecular dynamics calculations," *Journal of computational Physics* **52**, 24–34 (1983).
- <sup>71</sup>J. P. Abal, R. F. Dillenburg, M. H. Köhler, and M. C. Barbosa, "Molecular dynamics simulations of water anchored in multilayered nanoporous mos2 membranes: implications for desalination," *ACS Applied Nano Materials* **4**, 10467–10476 (2021).
- <sup>72</sup>M. H. Köhler, J. R. Bordin, and M. C. Barbosa, "2D nanoporous membrane for cation removal from water: Effects of ionic valence, membrane hydrophobicity, and pore size," *J. Chem. Phys.* **148**, 222804 (2018).
- <sup>73</sup>J. R. Bordin, A. Diehl, M. C. Barbosa, and Y. Levin, "Ion fluxes through nanopores and transmembrane channels," *Physical Review E* **85**, 031914 (2012).
- <sup>74</sup>N. A. Loubet, A. R. Verde, and G. A. Appignanesi, "A water structure indicator suitable for generic contexts: Two-liquid behavior at hydration and nanoconfinement conditions and a molecular approach to hydrophobicity and wetting," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **160** (2024).
- <sup>75</sup>N. A. Loubet, A. R. Verde, and G. A. Appignanesi, "A structural determinant of the behavior of water at hydration and nanoconfinement conditions," arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.08087 (2023).
- <sup>76</sup>J. M. Montes de Oca, F. Sciortino, and G. A. Appignanesi, "A structural indicator for water built upon potential energy considerations," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **152** (2020).
- <sup>77</sup>E. Guardia, R. Rey, and J. Padró, "Potential of mean force by constrained molecular dynamics: a sodium chloride ion-pair in water," *Chemical Physics* **155**, 187–195 (1991).
- <sup>78</sup>T. W. Allen, O. S. Andersen, and B. Roux, "Molecular dynamics—potential of mean force calculations as a tool for understanding ion permeation and selectivity in narrow channels," *Biophysical chemistry* **124**, 251–267 (2006).
- <sup>79</sup>J. P. Abal, J. R. Bordin, and M. C. Barbosa, "Salt parameterization can drastically affect the results from classical atomistic simulations of water desalination by mos 2 nanopores," *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **22**, 11053–11061 (2020).
- <sup>80</sup>L. S. Moreira, D. D. de Vargas, and M. H. Köhler, "Modeling water transport properties in carbon nanotubes: Interplay between force-field flexibility and geometrical parameters," *Physical Review E* **108**, 034116 (2023).
- <sup>81</sup>C. Gavazzoni, N. Giovambattista, P. A. Netz, and M. C. Barbosa, "Structure and mobility of water confined in alpo4-54 nanotubes," *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **146** (2017).
- <sup>82</sup>M. Ghasemi, A. Shafiei, and J. Foroozesh, "A systematic and critical review of application of molecular dynamics simulation in low salinity water injection," *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science* **300**, 102594 (2022).
- <sup>83</sup>M. Shahbabaee and D. Kim, "Advances in nanofluidics for water purification and filtration: molecular dynamics (md) perspective," *Environmental Science: Nano* **8**, 2120–2151 (2021).