

# Comment on the relation between the velocity- and position-Verlet integrators

Liyan Ni<sup>1,2</sup> and Zhonghan Hu<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Frontier Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shandong University, Qingdao, 266237, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup>Qingdao Institute for Theoretical and Computational Sciences (QITCS), Shandong University, Qingdao, 266237, P. R. China

About three decades ago, Tuckerman *et al.* suggested applying the Trotter factorization of the Liouville propagator to systematically generate time-reversible symplectic molecular dynamics integrators[1]. Their formulation has been widely adapted to producing novel algorithms for simulations with or without an external coupling to thermo- and barostats (e.g. Refs.[2, 3] and references therein). In the original work[1], they showed how to use the Trotter factorization to easily derive the well known velocity-Verlet integrator[4], which updates the position and velocity at the time  $t = n\tau$ ,  $(x(n\tau), v(n\tau)) = (x_n, v_n)$  with the time-interval  $\tau$  fixed and  $n \geq 0$  an integer, according to (Ref.[1], Eq.(2.18))

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n + \tau v_n + \frac{\tau^2}{2} f(x_n) \\ v_{n+1} = v_n + \frac{\tau}{2} [f(x_n) + f(x_{n+1})] \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where  $f(x)$  is the position-dependent force reduced by mass. Interestingly, the Trotter factorization was also used to derive an entirely new integrator, which they named the position-Verlet integrator, updating the position and velocity,  $(y(n\tau), w(n\tau)) = (y_n, w_n)$ , according to (Ref.[1], Eq.(2.22))

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = y_n + \tau w_n + \frac{\tau^2}{2} f(y_n + w_n\tau/2) \\ w_{n+1} = w_n + \tau f(y_n + w_n\tau/2) \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

Eq. (2) differs from Eq. (1) in that the force is always evaluated at the position of a half time-step:  $y_n + w_n\tau/2$ .

By setting identical initial conditions:  $x_0 = y_0$  and  $v_0 = w_0$  for either the Lennard-Jones fluid[1] or the harmonic oscillator giving the linear force:  $f(x) = -x$ [5], it was demonstrated numerically that the two integrators, Eqs. (1) and (2) outputted distinct trajectories, as expected. However, Toxvaerd[6, 7] argued that both integrators reduce to the Verlet algorithm (Eq.(7) of ref.[6])

$$r_{n+2} = 2r_{n+1} - r_n + \tau^2 f(r_{n+1}), \quad (3)$$

which, by discretizing time, approximates the Newton's equation of motion governing the continuous evolution of the position  $r$  only. In the viewpoint of Ref.[6], all formulations, i.e., Eqs. (1) to (3) in our notation, generate discrete trajectories that identically follow the exact time evolution of a slight perturbed Hamiltonian[8]. Since no explicit expression for any nontrivial perturbed Hamiltonian was available at that time, it was unknown to what extent this viewpoint remains valid

although it appears to be certainly informative. Fortunately, the complete and explicit perturbed Hamiltonian for any discrete dynamics of the harmonic oscillator has now been solved exactly[9] and thus allows a direct evaluation of the relation between Eq. (1) and (2) in terms of their continuous Hamiltonian representations. As opposed to the view of Ref.[6] that the difference between the velocity- and position-Verlet integrators is "only a question of notation", we now clarify the relation between the two integrators in the following.

i) For a system with a constant  $f(x)$  independent of  $x$ , it is obvious that Eqs. (1) and (2) produce the same trajectories for both velocity and position. The discrete trajectories always overlap the exact solutions to the Newton's equation of motion.

ii) For the harmonic oscillator with  $f(x) = -x$ , both Eqs. (1) and (2) reduce to Eq. (3) for the discrete evolution of the position or velocity. The Hamiltonians of the two integrators differ but yield the identical second-order differential equation governing the evolution of position or velocity alone. Once the input initial conditions are set to be:  $x_0 = y_0$  and  $v_0 = (1 - \tau^2/4)w_0$ , the outputs always satisfy simple relations:  $x_n = y_n$  and  $v_n = (1 - \tau^2/4)w_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , as shown in Tab. I. Similar relations exist whenever the velocity rather than position is focused. The existence of such simple relations stems from the fact that the perturbed Hamiltonians remain "harmonic", i.e., linear combinations of the squares of the generalized coordinate  $q$  and momentum  $p$ .

TABLE I. The first several steps accurate up to at least six digits generated by the velocity-Verlet ( $x_n$  and  $v_n$ ) and position-Verlet ( $y_n$  and  $w_n$ ) integrators subject to  $\tau = 0.2$ ,  $x_0 = y_0 = 0$ , and  $x_1 = y_1 = 0.1$  for the harmonic and anharmonic systems.

n	$f(x) = -x$		$f(x) = -x^3$			
	$x_n, y_n$	$v_n, 0.99w_n$	$x_n$	$v_n$	$y_n$	$w_n$
0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0.500013
1	0.1	0.49	0.1	0.4999	0.1	0.499987
2	0.196	0.4604	0.19996	0.499000	0.199930	0.499313
3	0.28416	0.412384	0.299600	0.495512	0.299481	0.496193

iii) For a general system described by a complex force field, while the velocity-Verlet integrator still identifies with the Verlet integrator, the position-Verlet integrator does not. Tab. I also numerically demonstrates that no simple relation between the position- and velocity-Verlet integrators is found for an anharmonic oscillator.

For the harmonic oscillator, the perturbed Hamiltonians corresponding to the discrete motion in Eq. (1) at the condition of  $0 < \tau < 2$ , read[9]

$$\mathcal{H}_v(q, p, \tau|m) = \zeta_m [(1 - \tau^2/4)q^2 + p^2], \quad (4)$$

\* zhonghanhu@sdu.edu.cn

where  $m$  is an arbitrary integer and the  $q$ - and  $p$ -independent coefficient  $\zeta_m = 2(m\pi + \text{asin}(\tau/2))/(\tau\sqrt{4 - \tau^2})$ . Given  $q_0 = x_0$  and  $p_0 = v_0$ , the discrete points,  $(x_n, v_n)$  generated by Eq. (1) always lie on the continuous trajectory produced by Hamilton's canonical equations of motion:

$$\frac{dq(t)}{dt} \equiv \dot{q} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p}; \quad \frac{dp(t)}{dt} \equiv \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q}, \quad (5)$$

that is, the solutions satisfy  $q(t = n\tau) = x_n$  and  $p(t = n\tau) = v_n$ . The Hamiltonian representations are surprisingly not unique, which physically states that there are multiple continuous trajectories intersecting at all the discrete points, as shown in Fig.1 of Ref.[9]. On the other hand, the corresponding perturbed Hamiltonians for the position-Verlet integrator read[9] instead

$$\mathcal{H}_p(q, p, \tau|m) = \zeta_m [q^2 + (1 - \tau^2/4)p^2]. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, the continuous evolution of  $(q, p)$  in the phase space follows different perturbed Hamiltonians. However, the Hamiltonians of Eqs. (4) and (6) remain linear combinations of  $q^2$  and  $p^2$  with modified coefficients. Consequently, Eq. (5), combined with either Eq.(4) or Eq. (6), yields the identical second-order differential equation for  $q$  and  $p$ :

$$\ddot{q} = -4\zeta_m^2(1 - \tau^2/4)q; \quad \ddot{p} = -4\zeta_m^2(1 - \tau^2/4)p. \quad (7)$$

The continuous propagation of  $q$  or  $p$  alone remains the same for the two integrators. Thus, if the trajectory of the position is focused by choosing initial conditions:  $q(0) = x_0 = y_0$  and  $\dot{q}(0) = 2\zeta_m v_0 = 2\zeta_m(1 - \tau^2/4)w_0$ , the simple relations hold at all later times:

$$x_n = y_n = q(n\tau); \quad v_n = (1 - \tau^2/4)w_n = \frac{\dot{q}(n\tau)}{2\zeta_m}, \quad (8)$$

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Otherwise, focusing on the trajectory of

the velocity gives

$$(1 - \tau^2/4)x_n = y_n = -\frac{\dot{p}(n\tau)}{2\zeta_m}; \quad v_n = w_n = p(n\tau). \quad (9)$$

The validity of Eqs. (8) and (9) can be directly confirmed by Eqs. (1) and (2) subject to  $f(x) = -x$ . In fact, for the harmonic oscillator, Eqs. (1) and (2) with either the velocity or position eliminated, identically reduce to the Verlet form of Eq. (3) with  $r$  interpreted correspondingly as either the position or velocity.

However, no simple relation between the two integrators can be found for any complex system producing nonlinear forces. For such a general system, the position-Verlet integrator differs from the velocity-Verlet integrator in that it does not reduce to the Verlet form of Eq. (3) any more. The evolution derived from Eq. (2) reads alternatively

$$y_{n+2} = 2y_{n+1} - y_n + \tau^2 [f(y_n + \tau w_n/2) + f(y_{n+1} + \tau w_{n+1}/2)]/2, \quad (10)$$

where the last term is not equal to  $f(y_{n+1})$  in general unless a linear force is considered. Instead of evaluating the forces of Eq. (10) at half time steps, Eqs. (4) and (5) of Ref.[6] incorrectly deduced the evolution of the position from the force at the full time step. The difference between the two integrators for an anharmonic oscillator can be demonstrated numerically. Tab. I clearly shows that, even if the initial velocities of the two integrators are chosen such that the first two positions match, difference appears soon at later times for the anharmonic oscillator giving  $f(x) = -x^3$ .

We appreciate the discussion with Søren Toxvaerd although we disagree with each other. This work was supported by NSFC (Grant No. 22273047).

- 
- [1] M. Tuckerman, B. J. Berne, and G. J. Martyna, "Reversible multiple time scale molecular dynamics," J. Chem. Phys. **97**, 1990–2001 (1992).
- [2] D. Z. Li, X. Han, Y. C. Chai, C. Wang, Z. J. Zhang, Z. F. Chen, J. Liu, and J. S. Shao, "Stationary state distribution and efficiency analysis of the langevin equation via real or virtual dynamics," J. Chem. Phys. **147**, 184104 (2017).
- [3] Daan Frenkel and Berend Smit, *Understanding Molecular Simulation: From Algorithms to Applications*, 3rd ed. (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, 2023).
- [4] W. C. Swope, H. C. Andersen, P. H. Berens, and K. R. Wilson, "A computer simulation method for the calculation of equilibrium constants for the formation of physical clusters of molecules: Application to small water clusters," J. Chem. Phys. **76**, 637–649 (1982).
- [5] M. Tuckerman, B. J. Berne, and G. J. Martyna, "Reply to comment on: Reversible multiple time scale molecular dynamics," J. Chem. Phys. **99**, 2278–2279 (1993).
- [6] S. Toxvaerd, "Comment on: Reversible multiple time scale molecular dynamics," J. Chem. Phys. **99**, 2277–2277 (1993).
- [7] In a private communication with Prof. Søren Toxvaerd in May, 2021, Toxvaerd insisted that his comment[6] was correct.
- [8] H. Yoshida, "Symplectic integrators for hamiltonian systems: basic theory," Symposium-International Astronomical Union **152**, 407–411 (1992).
- [9] L. Ni, Y. Zhao, and Z. Hu, "Non-unique hamiltonians for discrete symplectic dynamics," J. Chem. Phys. **161**, DOI: 10.1063/5.0221014 (2024).