

# Unstable Invariant Measures and Connecting Orbits of Cooperative McKean-Vlasov SDEs

Chunlin Liu<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Baoyou Qu<sup>†2</sup>, Jinxiang Yao<sup>‡2</sup>, and Yanpeng Zhi<sup>§2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*CAS Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P.R. China.*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, DH1 3LE, U.K.*

## Abstract

A general framework for studying McKean-Vlasov SDEs via monotone dynamical systems is established in this paper. Under a cooperative condition, we show McKean-Vlasov SDEs admit a comparison principle with respect to the stochastic order, and generate monotone dynamical systems on the 2-Wasserstein space. Our main results prove the existence of unstable invariant measures, total orderedness of invariant measures, and the existence of monotone connecting orbits between order-related invariant measures for general cooperative McKean-Vlasov SDEs. To achieve our goals, we adopt the theory of monotone dynamical systems, extend the connecting orbit theorem, and deduce a dichotomy structure of equilibria. This method is different from existing approaches, like propagation of chaos and Fokker-Planck equations. A wide range of classical examples are covered by our framework, such as granular media equations in double-well and multi-well confinement potentials with quadratic interaction, double-well landscapes with perturbation, and higher dimensional equations, even driven by multiplicative noises.

**MSC2020 subject classifications:** Primary 60H10, 60B10; secondary 37C65, 60E15

**Keywords:** McKean-Vlasov SDEs, unstable invariant measures, connecting orbits, monotone dynamical systems, stochastic order, comparison principle

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Notations . . . . .	7
1.2	Main results . . . . .	7

---

\*chunlinliu@mail.ustc.edu.cn

†qubaoyou@gmail.com, baoyou.qu@durham.ac.uk

‡jxyao@mail.ustc.edu.cn, yao.jinxiang@durham.ac.uk

§yanpeng.zhi@durham.ac.uk

<b>2</b>	<b>Generation of Dynamical Systems</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	Preliminaries on dynamical systems . . . . .	11
2.2	Joint continuity of semigroups of McKean-Vlasov SDEs . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b>Comparison Principle and Generation of Monotone Dynamical Systems</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Preliminaries on monotone dynamical systems . . . . .	15
3.2	Stochastic order in Wasserstein spaces . . . . .	17
3.3	Comparison principle with respect to stochastic order . . . . .	21
<b>4</b>	<b>Connecting Orbit Theorem and Dichotomy Structure</b>	<b>25</b>
4.1	Statement of connecting orbit theorem and dichotomy structure . . . . .	25
4.2	Proof of connecting orbit theorem and dichotomy structure . . . . .	28
<b>5</b>	<b>Order-Related Invariant Measures with Shrinking Neighbourhoods</b>	<b>31</b>
5.1	Properties of measure-iterating map . . . . .	31
5.2	Existence of order-related invariant measures . . . . .	38
5.3	Shrinking neighbourhoods of invariant measures . . . . .	43
<b>6</b>	<b>Proof of Main Results</b>	<b>47</b>
	<b>Appendix A Proof of Theorem 4.1</b>	<b>49</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>56</b>

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the following McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equation (SDE) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t)) dt + \sigma(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t)) dW_t, \quad (1)$$

where  $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is an  $l$ -dimensional standard Brownian motion,  $\mathcal{L}(X_t)$  is the law of the random variable  $X_t$ . McKean-Vlasov SDEs fall in the class of those SDEs with coefficients depending on laws of solutions, and they dates back to McKean [46]. So far, McKean-Vlasov SDEs have been used as mathematical models in a variety of areas, varying from physics, biology, network dynamics, and control theory. We refer to Carmona-Delarue [11, 12] and references therein for a detailed exhibition of applications.

Invariant measures are one of the most important topics in the study of McKean-Vlasov SDEs. Roughly speaking, an invariant measure means a steady state of the system – if the initial condition is well-chosen obeying it, the solution has the identical law thereafter. The existence of invariant measures for McKean-Vlasov SDEs, as well as the possible uniqueness and associated global convergence, has been extensively studied. For the existence of invariant measures, see Bao-Scheutzow-Yuan [4] by a weakly dissipative condition, and Hammersley-Šiška-Szpruch [30] by a Lyapunov condition. To show the uniqueness of invariant measures,

Wang [64] uses a strongly dissipative condition, and Wang [65] imposes another Lyapunov-type condition. Meanwhile, the unique invariant measure is shown globally asymptotically stable, which means all solutions converge to it. For granular media equations, we refer to [5, 16, 41, 62] by the propagation of chaos method, and [6, 14, 15, 27, 58, 59] from the Fokker-Planck perspective.

McKean-Vlasov SDEs mainly differ from usual SDEs in possibility of non-unique invariant measures. By a usual SDE, we mean its coefficients do not depend on laws of solutions,

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t. \quad (2)$$

If the diffusion term  $\sigma$  is non-degenerate, no matter how small, one often expects a usual SDE has only one invariant measure. When allowing  $b, \sigma$  to depend on laws of solutions, multiple invariant measures survive if the noise is not too strong. Dawson [20] and Tugaut [61] present phase transitions on the number of invariant measures for granular media equations in double-well landscapes when  $\sigma$  varies, and Alecio [1] steps further to the multi-well landscapes. Carrillo-Gvalani-Pavliotis-Schlichting [13] and Delgadino-Gvalani-Pavliotis [21] also prove there are phase transitions on the number of invariant measures for weakly interacting diffusion processes on tori. For general McKean-Vlasov SDEs, Zhang [68] finds multiple invariant measures when the equation is locally dissipative at two or more points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . See also Tugaut [60] for the long-time behaviour of granular media equations under the existence of three invariant measures.

Like usual SDEs with non-degenerate noise, if a McKean-Vlasov SDE has only one invariant measure, it is common to expect that all solutions converge to this unique invariant measure (see e.g., [27, 64, 65]). Other than such simple dynamical behavior, some complicated dynamical phenomena may emerge when there are multiple invariant measures. The research in this aspect remains vague, and in this paper, we instead investigate the potential dynamics of McKean-Vlasov SDEs by the tools of monotone dynamical systems. Particularly, our attention is drawn on the unstable behavior near invariant measures and the connecting orbits between invariant measures.

A dynamical system, in this paper, means a continuous map  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow X$  on a state space  $X$  with the semigroup property,  $\Phi_{t+s} = \Phi_t \circ \Phi_s$  (see Definition 2.1). If there is a partial order relation “ $\leq_X$ ” on the state space  $X$ , we may resort to a delicate object – monotone dynamical system. “Monotone”, also called “order-preserving”, means the semiflow  $\Phi_t$  preserves the partial order relation  $\leq_X$ ,

$$x \leq_X y \text{ implies } \Phi_t(x) \leq_X \Phi_t(y) \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Consider the coordinate order in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$x \leq y \text{ if and only if } x_i \leq y_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}.$$

As a consequence of the comparison theorem for usual SDEs (see e.g., Geiß-Manthey [26]), if the function  $b: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  in (2) satisfies a cooperative condition (or say, Kamke-Müller condition,

quasi-monotone condition),

$$b_i(x) \leq b_i(y) \quad \text{for } x_i = y_i, x_j \leq y_j, j \neq i,$$

then the pathwise solution is order-preserving on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In this case, we call the equation a cooperative SDE, and its solution flow generates a monotone random dynamical system. For the study of dynamics of cooperative SDEs by means of monotone random dynamical systems, we refer to [2, 9, 10, 18, 23, 39, 47, 52] and references therein for details.

In general, the pathwise solutions of a McKean-Vlasov SDE cannot generate a random dynamical system (see e.g., Buckdahn-Li-Peng-Rainer [8]), we turn to consider the law of its solution on a space of probability measures. Let  $P_t^* \mu$  be the law of  $X_t$  with the initial condition obeying a probability measure  $\mu$ . Under natural conditions, we prove the McKean-Vlasov SDE (1) gives a dynamical system on the 2-Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_2)$  (see Proposition 2.3). In this sense, an invariant measure of a McKean-Vlasov SDE corresponds to an equilibrium of a dynamical system, i.e.,  $P_t^* \mu = \mu$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . For a suitable partial order coming into play, we use the stochastic order “ $\leq_{\text{st}}$ ” defined by

$$\mu \leq_{\text{st}} \nu \text{ if and only if } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\nu \text{ for all bounded increasing functions } f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where “increasing” means  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  whenever  $x \leq y$ . We refer to Shaked-Shanthikumar [51] and references therein for details on the stochastic order. With the cooperative condition below,

$$b_i(x, \mu) \leq b_i(y, \nu), \text{ for } x_i = y_i, x_j \leq y_j, j \neq i, \text{ and } \mu \leq_{\text{st}} \nu,$$

we prove a McKean-Vlasov SDE generates a monotone dynamical system on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with respect to the stochastic order (see Corollary 3.8). That is, for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\mu \leq_{\text{st}} \nu \text{ implies } P_t^* \mu \leq_{\text{st}} P_t^* \nu \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

The generation of monotone dynamical systems covers a wide range of McKean-Vlasov SDEs, to name a few, granular media equations confined in double-well and multi-well potentials with quadratic interaction.

Based on the establishment of monotone dynamical systems, we investigate the potential unstable dynamics near invariant measures of McKean-Vlasov SDEs. To the best of our knowledge, existing literature on McKean-Vlasov SDEs primarily focuses on characterizing attraction and stability of invariant measures (see e.g., Tugaut [60]). However, the side of instability has yet to explore, and this shifts our focus on the key concept in this paper – unstable invariant measures. An invariant measure  $\nu$  is called unstable, if there exists another order-related invariant measure  $\tilde{\nu}$  (i.e.,  $\tilde{\nu} >_{\text{st}} \nu$  or  $\tilde{\nu} <_{\text{st}} \nu$ ) such that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can find an initial condition  $\nu_\varepsilon$  in the open ball  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\nu, \varepsilon)$  of  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfying  $\mathcal{W}_2(P_t^* \nu_\varepsilon, \tilde{\nu}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (see Definition 1.2). This means we can find a solution with the initial condition infinitesimally close to  $\nu$ , would escape from  $\nu$  and eventually tend to  $\tilde{\nu}$ .

Our main result concerning unstable invariant measures is as follows. Assume the McKean-Vlasov SDE (1) is cooperative, and assume it is locally dissipative at  $n$  order-related points,  $a_1 <$

$a_2 < \dots < a_n$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then there are at least  $(n-1)$  order-related unstable invariant measures,  $\nu_1 <_{\text{st}} \nu_2 <_{\text{st}} \dots <_{\text{st}} \nu_{n-1}$ . They are inserted in the spacing of another  $n$  invariant measures,  $\mu_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , in an ordered way, namely  $\mu_i <_{\text{st}} \nu_i <_{\text{st}} \mu_{i+1}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . And each  $\mu_i$  has a shrinking neighbourhood around the Dirac measure  $\delta_{a_i}$  (see Theorem 1.1).

With the prior information of (1) that there are  $(2n-1)$  invariant measures in total, we can further prove the existence of  $(2n-2)$  monotone connecting orbits. By a connecting orbit from an invariant measure  $\nu$  to another invariant measure  $\mu$ , we mean a path  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of probability measures with  $\mu$  as its forward limit,  $\nu$  as its backward limit, and  $P_t^* \mu_s = \mu_{t+s}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  (see Definition 1.3). A monotone connecting orbit means increasing or decreasing with respect to the stochastic order. To be precise, we show that for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , there are a decreasing connecting orbit from  $\nu_i$  to  $\mu_i$  and an increasing connecting orbit from  $\nu_i$  to  $\mu_{i+1}$  (see Theorem 1.2).

Connecting orbit (also called heteroclinic orbit) is an important notion arising from the investigation of bifurcation and chaos phenomena in dynamical systems (see e.g., Chow-Hale [17]). The term refers an entire orbit which joins two equilibria in a phase space. Connecting orbits have been found in significant mathematical models in various areas, such as in biological, chemical, fluid mechanics models (see e.g., Balmforth [3], May-Leonard [45]). Here, in Theorem 1.2, we show the existence of connecting orbits for a general class of McKean-Vlasov SDEs.

This paper provides a framework to study cooperative McKean-Vlasov SDEs by monotone dynamical systems. Classical conclusions of monotone dynamical systems lie in Banach spaces, require nonempty interior of cones, and at least the semiflows are strictly monotone, or even stronger monotonicity requirement (see e.g., Hirsch-Smith [35]). But our situation in Section 4 is to deal with a general monotone semiflow on a convex compact subset, enclosed by two order-related equilibria, in a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space, and the cone has empty interior. Due to the absence of linear structure in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we need an extension. Let  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the space of finite signed Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite first moments, and we give a Kantorovich-type norm,

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} := \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu \right| : |f(0)| \leq 1, f \text{ is 1-Lipschitz} \right\},$$

where “1-Lipschitz” means  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$  is just a normed vector space (see Lemma 3.4), but not a Banach space (see Remark 3.3). To extend the stochastic order, we construct the following cone (see Lemma 3.5),

$$C := \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu \geq 0 \text{ for all nonnegative 1-Lipschitz increasing functions } f \right\},$$

and find it has empty interior (see Remark 3.5). Also, the strictly monotone requirement restricts the application of the classical theory of monotone dynamical systems to the monotone semiflows on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  generated by the cooperative McKean-Vlasov SDEs. Hence, our generalization of the results in monotone dynamical systems is necessary.

To promote the applicable scope of monotone dynamical systems onto McKean-Vlasov SDEs, we make the framework as inclusive as possible. When seeking invariant measures

of McKean-Vlasov SDEs, for  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we define  $\Psi(\mu)$  to be the unique invariant measure of the SDE,

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mu) dt + \sigma(X_t, \mu) dW_t.$$

We prove the measure-iterating map  $\Psi: \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is continuous and compact (see Proposition 5.3). To unveil the existence of order-related invariant measures, we show  $\Psi$  is order-preserving (see Lemma 5.8), which is facilitated by another comparison theorem of extrinsic-law-dependent SDEs (see Theorem 3.6). Along the way, we also prove  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is compactly embedded into  $\mathcal{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $p > q$  (see Lemma 5.4), and this helps to show an order interval enclosed by order-related invariant measures is compact. Such an order interval inherits equivalent topologies from  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$  and from  $(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_2)$ , by equivalent convergence inside (see Lemma 5.7). It is where we invoke our conclusions of monotone dynamical systems.

At last, we apply our main theorems to concrete equations, like the following on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$dX_t = -\nabla V(X_t) dt - (\nabla W * \mathcal{L}(X_t))(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t)) dW_t,$$

where  $V: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a potential function and  $W: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a local interaction. We will consider three examples on  $\mathbb{R}$  and one example in higher dimensions (see Theorem 1.3-1.6). In one dimension, we take  $W(x) = \frac{\beta}{2}x^2$  with  $\beta > 0$ , and the potential respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} V'(x) &= x(x-1)(x+1), && \text{(double-well landscape);} \\ V'(x) &= x(x-1)(x+1) + f(x), && \text{(double-well with perturbation);} \\ V'(x) &= x(x-1)(x+1)(x-2)(x+2), && \text{(multi-well landscape).} \end{aligned}$$

For  $d = 2$ , we take the potential and the interaction as

$$\nabla V(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^3 - x_2, x_2^3 - x_1), \quad W(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\beta}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2), \quad \beta > 0.$$

In these cases, the quantitative bounds of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$ , for which our theorems are applicable, are given, and the phase diagrams are presented (see Figure 1-2). Besides the examples above, the abstract theorems also apply to asymmetric potentials and polynomial potentials of higher orders.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we recall some pertinent results about dynamical systems, and show McKean-Vlasov SDEs generate dynamical systems on the 2-Wasserstein space. In Section 3, we give preliminary results concerning monotone dynamical systems, discuss the stochastic order, and consider its interplay with the Wasserstein metrics. Then under a cooperative condition, we prove a comparison theorem for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with respect to the stochastic order, and monotone semiflows are generated. Section 4 generalizes some results in monotone dynamical systems. We extend the connecting orbit theorem and deduce a dichotomy structure of equilibria for monotone semiflows. Section 5 is concerned with the existence of order-related invariant measures and their shrinking neighbourhoods if a McKean-Vlasov SDE is locally dissipative at several points. In Section 6, we prove our main results, and Appendix A provides a detailed proof of connecting orbit theorem for monotone mappings on an ordered topological vector space.

## 1.1 Notations

$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$	The set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$
$\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$	The set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite $p$ -th moments
$\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$	The set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite moments of all orders $p \geq 1$
$\leq_{\text{st}}$	The stochastic order between probability measures
$[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_p}$	The order interval consisting of probability measures $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mu_1 \leq_{\text{st}} \mu \leq_{\text{st}} \mu_2$
$\mathcal{W}_p$	The $p$ -Wasserstein distance
$\ \mu\ _p$	The $p$ -th root of the $p$ -th absolute moment of a probability measure $\mu$
$ b $	The 2-norm of a vector $b = (b_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , $ b  := (\sum_i b_i^2)^{1/2}$
$\sigma^\top$	The transpose of a matrix $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times l}$
$ \sigma $	The 2-norm of a matrix $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times l}$ , $ \sigma  := (\text{Trace}(\sigma\sigma^\top))^{1/2}$

## 1.2 Main results

In this subsection, we state our assumptions, some necessary definitions and main results.

**Assumption 1.** (i) The function  $b: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is continuous and one-sided Lipschitz, i.e., there exists  $K > 0$  such that, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\langle x - y, b(x, \mu) - b(y, \nu) \rangle \leq K |x - y|^2 + K |x - y| \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu).$$

(ii) The function  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times l}$  is Lipschitz continuous, namely, there exists  $K > 0$  such that, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$|\sigma(x, \mu) - \sigma(y, \nu)|^2 \leq K |x - y|^2 + K \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu, \nu).$$

**Assumption 2.** (i) The function  $b: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is cooperative in the following sense,

$$b_i(x, \mu) \leq b_i(y, \nu), \text{ for } x_i = y_i, x_j \leq y_j, j \neq i, \text{ and } \mu \leq_{\text{st}} \nu.$$

(ii) The function  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times l}$  satisfies, for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ , and for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^l |\sigma_{ik}(x, \mu) - \sigma_{ik}(y, \nu)|^2 \leq K |x_i - y_i|^2.$$

**Assumption 3.** (i) The function  $b: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  has polynomial growth, that is, there exist  $K > 0$ ,  $\kappa > 0$  such that, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$|b(x, \mu)| \leq K(1 + |x|^\kappa + \|\mu\|_2^\kappa).$$

(ii) The function  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times l}$  is non-degenerate, i.e., there exist  $0 < \underline{\sigma} < \bar{\sigma}$ , such that, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\underline{\sigma} I_d \leq \sigma \sigma^\top(x, \mu) \leq \bar{\sigma} I_d,$$

where  $I_d$  is the identity matrix on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Assumption 4.** The function  $b: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is weakly dissipative, i.e., there exist  $\alpha > \beta > 0, \gamma > 0$ , such that, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\langle x, b(x, \mu) \rangle \leq -\alpha |x|^2 + \beta \|\mu\|_2^2 + \gamma.$$

For the statements of our main results, we stress the following three definitions that are mentioned previously.

**Definition 1.1.** The equation (1) is called locally dissipative at  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with configuration  $(r_a, \bar{r}_a, g_a)$ , if

(i) the function  $g_a: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

$$2\langle x, b^a(x, \nu) \rangle + |\sigma^a(x, \nu)|_2^2 \leq -g_a(|x|^2, \|\nu\|_2^2), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $f^a(x, \nu) := f(x + a, \nu^{-a})$ ,  $f = b, \sigma$ ,  $d\nu^{-a}(y) = d\nu(y - a)$ ;

(ii)  $\bar{r}_a > r_a > 0$  and  $g_a$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} g_a(\cdot, \bar{r}_a^2) &\text{ is continuous and convex;} \\ \inf_{0 \leq w \leq \bar{r}_a^2} g_a(z, w) &= g_a(z, \bar{r}_a^2); \\ g_a(z, \bar{r}_a^2) &> 0, \quad \text{for all } z \geq r_a^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.2.** For a semigroup  $P_t^*$  on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , an invariant measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called unstable, if there exists another order-related invariant measure  $\tilde{\nu} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (i.e.,  $\tilde{\nu} >_{\text{st}} \nu$  or  $\tilde{\nu} <_{\text{st}} \nu$ ) such that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one can find some  $\nu_\varepsilon \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\nu, \varepsilon)$  satisfying  $\mathcal{W}_2(P_t^* \nu_\varepsilon, \tilde{\nu}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 1.3.** For a semigroup  $P_t^*$  on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , a path  $\{\mu_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called an increasing (decreasing) connecting orbit from an invariant measure  $\nu$  to another invariant measure  $\mu$  if

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^* \mu_s &= \mu_{t+s} \text{ for any } t \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R}; \\ \mu_s &\leq_{\text{st}} \mu_t \quad (\mu_s \geq_{\text{st}} \mu_t) \text{ for all } s \leq t; \\ \mathcal{W}_2(\mu_t, \nu) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow -\infty \text{ and } \mathcal{W}_2(\mu_t, \mu) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that Assumption 1, 2, 3, 4 hold, and there exists  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  for some  $n \geq 2$  such that the equation (1) is locally dissipative at  $a_i$  with configurations  $(r_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i}, g_{a_i})$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . If  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$  and

$$r_{a_i}^2 + r_{a_{i+1}}^2 \leq 2^{-1} |a_i - a_{i+1}|^2, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

then the equation (1) has at least  $(2n-1)$  order-related invariant measures in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\mu_1 <_{\text{st}} \nu_1 <_{\text{st}} \mu_2 <_{\text{st}} \dots <_{\text{st}} \mu_i <_{\text{st}} \nu_i <_{\text{st}} \mu_{i+1} <_{\text{st}} \dots <_{\text{st}} \mu_{n-1} <_{\text{st}} \nu_{n-1} <_{\text{st}} \mu_n,$$

satisfying



- (i) each  $\nu_i$  is unstable,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ;
- (ii) each  $\mu_i \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (iii) there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $P_t^* B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i}) \subset B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$  for all  $t \geq T$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume the conditions in Theorem 1.1 hold. If further there are exactly  $(2n - 1)$  invariant measures, then for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ , there are a decreasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{i,i}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\nu_i$  to  $\mu_i$  and an increasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{i,i+1}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\nu_i$  to  $\mu_{i+1}$ .

The next result pertains to granular media equations in a double-well potential with quadratic interaction.

**Theorem 1.3** (Double-well landscapes, Figure 1). Consider the following one-dimensional McKean-Vlasov SDE,

$$dX_t = -[X_t(X_t - 1)(X_t + 1) + \beta(X_t - \mathbf{E}X_t)] dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t. \quad (3)$$

If the parameter  $\beta$  and the Lipschitz function  $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$\beta > \frac{27(9 + \sqrt{17})}{128}, \quad 0 < \underline{\sigma} < \sigma(x)^2 < \frac{51\sqrt{17} - 107}{256} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

then

- (i) there are exactly three invariant measures,  $\mu_{-1}, \mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\mu_{-1} <_{\text{st}} \mu_0 <_{\text{st}} \mu_1$ , and  $\mu_0$  is unstable;
- (ii) there are a decreasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{0,-1}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu_{-1}$  and an increasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{0,1}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu_1$ .




Figure 1: Phase diagram for double-well landscapes

Now we present more examples, such as multi-well landscapes, double-well with perturbation and higher dimensional case.

**Theorem 1.4** (Multi-well landscapes, Figure 2). Consider the following one-dimensional McKean-Vlasov SDE,

$$dX_t = -[X_t(X_t - 1)(X_t + 1)(X_t - 2)(X_t + 2) + \beta(X_t - \mathbf{E}X_t)] dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t. \quad (4)$$

If the parameter  $\beta$  and the Lipschitz function  $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$\beta > 8\sqrt{5 + \sqrt{13}}, \quad 0 < \underline{\sigma} < \sigma(x)^2 < \frac{4(13\sqrt{13} - 35)}{27} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

then

- (i) there are exactly five invariant measures,  $\mu_{-2}, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\mu_{-2} <_{\text{st}} \mu_{-1} <_{\text{st}} \mu_0 <_{\text{st}} \mu_1 <_{\text{st}} \mu_2$ , and  $\mu_{-1}, \mu_1$  are unstable;
- (ii) there are a decreasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{-1,-2}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\mu_{-1}$  to  $\mu_{-2}$  and an increasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{-1,0}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\mu_{-1}$  to  $\mu_0$ ; there are a decreasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{1,0}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\mu_1$  to  $\mu_0$  and an increasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{1,2}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\mu_1$  to  $\mu_2$ .




Figure 2: Phase diagram for multi-well landscapes

**Theorem 1.5** (Double-well with perturbation). *Consider the following one-dimensional McKean-Vlasov SDE,*

$$dX_t = -[X_t(X_t - 1)(X_t + 1) + f(X_t) + \beta(X_t - \mathbf{E}X_t)] dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t. \quad (5)$$

*If the parameter  $\beta$  and the Lipschitz functions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy*

$$\beta > \frac{65\sqrt{5}}{48}, \quad |f(x)| < \frac{1}{3}, \quad 0 < \underline{\sigma} < \sigma(x)^2 < \frac{9}{200} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

*then there are three order-related invariant measures,  $\mu_{-1} < \nu < \mu_1$ , in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\nu$  unstable.*

**Theorem 1.6** (Higher dimensional case). *Consider the following two-dimensional McKean-Vlasov SDE,*

$$\begin{aligned} dX_1(t) &= [X_2(t) - X_1^3(t) - \beta(X_1(t) - \mathbf{E}X_1(t))] dt + \sigma_1(X_1(t)) dW_1(t), \\ dX_2(t) &= [X_1(t) - X_2^3(t) - \beta(X_2(t) - \mathbf{E}X_2(t))] dt + \sigma_2(X_2(t)) dW_2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

*If the parameter  $\beta$  and the Lipschitz functions  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy*

$$\beta > \frac{27}{2}, \quad 0 < \underline{\sigma} < \sigma_1(x_1)^2 + \sigma_2(x_2)^2 < \frac{5}{16} \quad \text{for all } (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

*then there are three order-related invariant measures,  $\mu_{-1} < \nu < \mu_1$ , in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with  $\nu$  unstable.*

## 2 Generation of Dynamical Systems

We review some results from the theory of dynamical systems, and show a McKean-Vlasov SDE generates a dynamical system on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  under the Lipschitz-type conditions. The key point that we will verify is the joint continuity of the semigroup  $P^*$ .

## 2.1 Preliminaries on dynamical systems

Denote  $\mathbb{T}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{T} : x \geq 0\}$ ,  $\mathbb{T}_- = \{x \in \mathbb{T} : x \leq 0\}$ , where  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space with a metric  $d$ . Firstly, we give the definition of a continuous-time dynamical system, or named semiflow.

**Definition 2.1.** A map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a semiflow, if

- (i)  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow X$  is continuous;
- (ii)  $\Phi(0, x) = x$ ;
- (iii)  $\Phi(t, \Phi(s, x)) = \Phi(t + s, x)$  for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $x \in X$ .

We will henceforth also denote  $\Phi(t, x)$  by  $\Phi_t(x)$ . For any  $x \in X$ , the *positive orbit*  $O_+(x, \Phi)$  of  $x$  is  $\{\Phi_t(x) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ . A *negative orbit*  $O_-(x, \Phi)$  of  $x$  is a net  $\{x_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}_-}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_0 = x$  and  $\Phi_t(x_s) = x_{t+s}$ , for any  $0 \leq t \leq -s$ . An *entire orbit*  $O(x, \Phi)$  of  $x$  is a net  $\{x_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  in  $X$  such that  $\Phi_t(x_s) = x_{t+s}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Notice that the negative orbit and entire orbit of a point  $x$  may not exist or not be unique. A point  $x \in X$  is an *equilibrium* of  $\Phi$ , if  $\Phi_t(x) = x$  for any  $t \geq 0$ .

A subset  $B \subset X$  is said to be *positively invariant*, if  $\Phi_t(B) \subset B$ , for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and *invariant*, if  $\Phi_t(B) = B$ , for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . It is not difficult to see that  $B \subset X$  invariant is equivalent to, for any  $x \in B$ , there exists an entire orbit  $\{x_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset B$  such that  $x_0 = x$ . For any set  $B \subset X$ , the  *$\omega$ -limit set* of  $B$  is defined by

$$\omega(B, \Phi) := \{y \in X : \text{there exist sequences } t_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } y_k \in B \text{ such that } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{t_k}(y_k) = y\}.$$

Now, we give a basic property of  $\omega$ -limit sets which is easy to check by definition, one may also see Hale [29, Lemma 3.2.1].

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $B$  is a positively invariant compact subset of  $X$ , then  $\omega(B, \Phi)$  is a nonempty invariant compact subset of  $B$ .*

Next, we give some corresponding definitions for discrete-time dynamical systems, or named mappings. For a continuous mapping  $\Psi : X \rightarrow X$  and any  $x \in X$ , the *positive orbit*  $O_+(x, \Psi)$  of  $x$  is the set  $\{\Psi^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ . A *negative orbit*  $O_-(x, \Psi)$  of  $x$  is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_-}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_0 = x$  and  $\Psi(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ , for any  $n \leq -1$ . An *entire orbit*  $O(x, \Psi)$  of  $x$  is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $X$  such that  $\Psi(x_n) = x_{n+1}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\Psi(x) = x$ , we say  $x$  is a *fixed point* of  $\Psi$ .

## 2.2 Joint continuity of semigroups of McKean-Vlasov SDEs

Let  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the set of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  having finite  $p$ -th moments,

$$\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|\mu\|_p = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

For  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , equip  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with the  $p$ -Wasserstein metric defined as below,

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mu, \nu) := \inf \left\{ (\mathbf{E} |X - Y|^p)^{1/p} : \mathcal{L}(X) = \mu, \mathcal{L}(Y) = \nu \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$  represents the law of a random variable. Then  $(\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_p)$  is a complete metric space (see Villani [63, Theorem 6.18]).

Let  $C(0, T; \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  be the set of continuous maps  $\mu: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , on which a natural metric defined by

$$\mathcal{W}_{p,T}(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathcal{W}_p(\mu_t, \nu_t)$$

makes the space  $C(0, T; \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  complete. Let  $C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  be the set of continuous maps  $\mu: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We say a sequence  $\{\mu^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  converges to  $\nu$  if

$$\mathcal{W}_{p,t}(\mu^n, \nu) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

It is well-known that the space  $C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is complete under some compatible metric.

Let  $\mu \in C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , and consider a (extrinsic-)law-dependent SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as follows.

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mu_t) dt + \sigma(X_t, \mu_t) dW_t, \quad X_0 = \xi, \quad (7)$$

where  $b: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times l}$ , and  $W_t$  is a standard  $l$ -dimensional Brownian motion.

Under Assumption 1, the SDE (7) admits a unique strong solution  $\{X_t^{\mu, \xi}\}_{t \geq 0}$ . By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy (BDG) inequality and Gronwall's inequality, following the ideas in Karatzas-Shreve [38, Chapter 5, Theorem 2.9], for any  $T > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C_T > 0$  such that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t^{\mu, \xi}|^2 \right] \leq C_T \left( 1 + \mathbf{E}[|\xi|^2] + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mu_t\|_2^2 \right).$$

The law of the solution  $\{\mathcal{L}(X_t^{\mu, \xi})\}_{t \geq 0}$  is also in  $C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . This induces a map

$$\Phi: C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad \mu \mapsto \mathcal{L}(X^{\mu, \xi}), \quad (8)$$

and actually, the following lemma shows that  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Under Assumption 1, the map  $\Phi$  defined in (8) has a unique fixed point. Moreover, the fixed point of  $\Phi$  is the limit of  $\Phi^n(\mathcal{L}(\xi))$  in the space  $C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu, \nu \in C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . We consider a family of equivalent metrics and select an appropriate one. For  $\lambda > 0$  and  $t > 0$ , define a new metric by

$$\mathcal{W}_{2,t,\lambda}(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} e^{-\lambda s} \mathcal{W}_2(\mu_s, \nu_s),$$

and it is equivalent to  $\mathcal{W}_{2,t}$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{W}_2^2(\Phi(\mu)_t, \Phi(\nu)_t) \\ & \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathbf{E}[|X_t^{\mu, \xi} - X_t^{\nu, \xi}|^2] \quad (\text{definition of Wasserstein metric}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t (-2\lambda)e^{-2\lambda s} \mathbf{E}[|X_s^{\mu,\xi} - X_s^{\nu,\xi}|^2] ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t 2e^{-2\lambda s} \mathbf{E}[\langle X_s^{\mu,\xi} - X_s^{\nu,\xi}, b(X_s^{\mu,\xi}, \mu_s) - b(X_s^{\nu,\xi}, \nu_s) \rangle] ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-2\lambda s} \mathbf{E}[|\sigma(X_s^{\mu,\xi}, \mu_s) - \sigma(X_s^{\nu,\xi}, \nu_s)|^2] ds \quad (\text{It\^o's formula}) \\
&\leq \int_0^t (4K - 2\lambda)e^{-2\lambda s} \mathbf{E}[|X_s^{\mu,\xi} - X_s^{\nu,\xi}|^2] ds + \int_0^t 2Ke^{-2\lambda s} \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu_s, \nu_s) ds \\
&\hspace{15em} (\text{Assumption 1, Young's inequality}).
\end{aligned}$$

Choose  $\lambda = 2K$  and fix  $\lambda$  henceforth, so that

$$e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{W}_2^2(\Phi(\mu)_t, \Phi(\nu)_t) \leq 2K \int_0^t e^{-2\lambda s} \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu_s, \nu_s) ds, \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

and hence,

$$\mathcal{W}_{2,t,\lambda}^2(\Phi(\mu), \Phi(\nu)) \leq 2K \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_{2,s,\lambda}^2(\mu, \nu) ds, \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

To show the existence of a fixed point of  $\Phi$ , we set a sequence  $\{\mu^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  in  $C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ ,

$$\mu_t^0 \equiv \mathcal{L}(\xi), \quad \mu^n = \Phi(\mu^{n-1}), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then by the estimate (9), we have

$$\mathcal{W}_{2,t,\lambda}^2(\mu^{n+1}, \mu^n) \leq 2K \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_{2,s,\lambda}^2(\mu^n, \mu^{n-1}) ds, \text{ for all } t \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For any  $t \geq 0$ , there exists some  $K_0(t) > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{W}_{2,t,\lambda}(\mu^1, \mu^0) \leq K_0(t)$ . It follows from induction that

$$\mathcal{W}_{2,t,\lambda}^2(\mu^{n+1}, \mu^n) \leq \frac{K_0(t)(2Kt)^n}{n!},$$

so we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{2,t,\lambda}^2(\mu^{n+1}, \mu^n) < \infty, \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

This means  $\{\mu^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , and hence, it has a limit  $\tilde{\mu}$ . The limit  $\tilde{\mu}$  is exactly a fixed point of  $\Phi$ , since (9) implies  $\Phi$  is continuous.

As for the uniqueness of the fixed point, we assume  $\mu, \nu$  are two fixed points of  $\Phi$ . Then by (9), we get

$$\mathcal{W}_{2,t,\lambda}^2(\mu, \nu) \leq 2K \int_0^t \mathcal{W}_{2,s,\lambda}^2(\mu, \nu) ds, \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Gronwall's inequality yields  $\mathcal{W}_{2,t,\lambda}(\mu, \nu) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$ , so  $\mu = \nu$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** Lemma 2.2 guarantees the existence and uniqueness of solutions of McKean-Vlasov SDEs. See Wang [64, Theorem 2.1] for another proof of existence and uniqueness of solutions of McKean-Vlasov SDEs. Also, this lemma is necessitated by the proof of the comparison theorem (Theorem 3.7).

Consider a McKean-Vlasov SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as follows.

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t)) dt + \sigma(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t)) dW_t, \quad X_0 = \xi. \quad (10)$$

Under Assumption 1,  $X$  is a solution of the equation (10) if and only if  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is a fixed point of  $\Phi$  defined in (8). Itô's formula together with BDG inequality and Gronwall's inequality gives that, for any  $T > 0$ , there exists  $C_T > 0$  such that

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t^\xi|^2 \right] \leq C_T (1 + \mathbf{E}[|\xi|^2]). \quad (11)$$

Then the SDE (10) generates a semigroup  $P_t^*: \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$P_t^* \mu := \mathcal{L}(X_t^\xi), \text{ with } \mathcal{L}(\xi) = \mu.$$

Actually,  $P_t^*$  is well-defined and has the semigroup property (see Wang [64]). To show  $P_t^*$  gives a semiflow on the metric space  $(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_2)$  (see Definition 2.1), it suffices to show it is jointly continuous on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Under Assumption 1, the semigroup  $P_t^*$  of the McKean-Vlasov SDE (10) is a semiflow on  $(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_2)$ .*

*Proof.* We only need to show that for a sequence  $(t_n, \mu_n) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $t_n \rightarrow t$  and  $\mathcal{W}_2(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{W}_2(P_{t_n}^* \mu_n, P_t^* \mu) \rightarrow 0$ .

Firstly, we will prove the following two claims.

**Claim 1.** For any  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , there exists  $C_T > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{W}_2(P_t^* \mu, P_t^* \nu) \leq C_T \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu)$ .

**Claim 2.** For any  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $P_t^* \mu$  is continuous in  $t$ , i.e., for any  $t_n \rightarrow t$ , we have  $\mathcal{W}_2(P_{t_n}^* \mu, P_t^* \mu) \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proof of Claim 1:** Choose  $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(\xi) = \mu, \mathcal{L}(\eta) = \nu$  and  $\mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu, \nu) = \mathbf{E}[|\xi - \eta|^2]$ . Let  $X_t^\xi, X_t^\eta$  be the solutions of (10) with initial conditions  $\xi, \eta$  respectively. Set  $\hat{X}_t := X_t^\xi - X_t^\eta$  and

$$\hat{f}_t := f(X_t^\xi, \mathcal{L}(X_t^\xi)) - f(X_t^\eta, \mathcal{L}(X_t^\eta)), \quad f = b, \sigma.$$

Itô's formula to  $|\hat{X}_t|^2$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} d|\hat{X}_t|^2 &= (2\langle \hat{X}_t, \hat{b}_t \rangle + \|\hat{\sigma}_t\|_2^2) dt + 2\langle \hat{X}_t, \hat{\sigma}_t dW_t \rangle \\ &\leq 4K|\hat{X}_t|^2 dt + 2K\mathcal{W}_2^2(\mathcal{L}(X_t^\xi), \mathcal{L}(X_t^\eta)) dt + 2\langle \hat{X}_t, \hat{\sigma}_t dW_t \rangle \\ &\leq 4K|\hat{X}_t|^2 dt + 2K\mathbf{E}[|\hat{X}_t|^2] dt + 2\langle \hat{X}_t, \hat{\sigma}_t dW_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[|\hat{X}_t|^2] &\leq \mathbf{E}[|\xi - \eta|^2] + \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^t (4K|\hat{X}_s|^2 + 2K\mathbf{E}[|\hat{X}_s|^2]) ds \right] \\ &\leq \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu, \nu) + 6K \int_0^t \mathbf{E}[|\hat{X}_s|^2] ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality, for any  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\mathcal{W}_2^2(P_t^* \mu, P_t^* \nu) \leq \mathbf{E}[|\hat{X}_t|^2] \leq e^{6KT} \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu, \nu).$$

Taking  $C_T = e^{3KT}$ , we finish the proof of Claim 1.

**Proof of Claim 2:** Note that the solution is continuous a.s., so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_{t_n}^\xi - X_t^\xi|^2 = 0$ , a.s. Then it follows from (11) and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_2(P_{t_n}^* \mu, P_t^* \mu) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{E}[|X_{t_n}^\xi - X_t^\xi|^2])^{1/2} = 0.$$

Now we continue the proof of this lemma. Note that there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $\sup_{n \geq 1} t_n \vee t \leq T$ . By Claim 1, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_2(P_{t_n}^* \mu_n, P_t^* \mu) &\leq \mathcal{W}_2(P_{t_n}^* \mu_n, P_{t_n}^* \mu) + \mathcal{W}_2(P_{t_n}^* \mu, P_t^* \mu) \\ &\leq C_T \mathcal{W}_2(\mu_n, \mu) + \mathcal{W}_2(P_{t_n}^* \mu, P_t^* \mu), \end{aligned}$$

which together with Claim 2 implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_2(P_{t_n}^* \mu_n, P_t^* \mu) = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3 Comparison Principle and Generation of Monotone Dynamical Systems

In this section, we first summarize some preliminary materials involving ordered spaces and monotone dynamical systems. After that, some properties of the stochastic order are examined, and Wasserstein spaces are extended to a normed vector space. We construct a cone inside to extend the stochastic order as well. With the cooperative condition, we prove a comparison theorem for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with respect to the stochastic order, and prove a single equation generates a monotone semiflow  $P_t^*$  on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### 3.1 Preliminaries on monotone dynamical systems

Now, we recall the definition of partial order relations.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $S$  be a topological space. A set  $\mathcal{R} \subset S \times S$  is called a partial order relation if the following hold:

- (i) (Reflexivity)  $(x, x) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $x \in S$ ;
- (ii) (Antisymmetry)  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(y, x) \in \mathcal{R}$  imply  $x = y$ ;
- (iii) (Transitivity)  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $(y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$  imply  $(x, z) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

We write  $x \leq y$  if  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be a closed partial order relation if it is a closed subset of  $S \times S$ . The space  $S$  is called an ordered space if it is a topological space together with a closed partial order relation  $\mathcal{R} \subset S \times S$ .

Let  $S$  be a topological space with a closed partial order relation “ $\leq$ ”. If  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , we write  $x < y$ . For  $x, y \in S$  with  $x \leq y$ , the *order interval* is defined by  $(x, y]_S = \{z \in S : x < z \leq y\}$ ,  $[x, y]_S = \{z \in S : x \leq z \leq y\}$  and similarly we can define  $[x, y)_S, (x, y)_S$ . Points  $x, y \in S$  are said to be *order-related*, if  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$  holds. A *totally ordered set* (which is also referred as *chain*)  $A$  in  $S$  means that, any two points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $A$  are order-related. A net  $\{x_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}} \subset S$  ( $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_-, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_-$ ) is called *increasing* (*decreasing*), if  $x_t \leq x_s$  ( $x_t \geq x_s$ ) for any  $t, s \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $t \leq s$ . Given two subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$ , we write  $A \leq B$  ( $A < B$ ) when  $x \leq y$  ( $x < y$ ) holds for each choice of  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . The reversed signs  $\geq, >$  are used in the usual way.

A closed partial order relation in a topological vector space can be induced by a cone. To be more precise, let  $(V, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological vector space. A *cone*  $C$  is a closed convex subset of  $V$  such that  $\lambda C \subset C$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  and  $C \cap (-C) = \{0\}$ . We call  $(V, C)$  an ordered topological vector space, as the cone  $C$  can induce a closed partial order as follows. For  $x, y \in V$ ,  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in C$ . It follows that  $x < y$  if and only if  $y - x \in C \setminus \{0\}$ . In addition,  $[x, y]_V$  is clearly a convex closed subset of  $V$ .

Let  $X$  be a complete metric space with a closed partial order relation “ $\leq$ ”. Given  $A \subset X$ ,  $x \in A$  is said to be *maximal* (*minimal*) in  $A$ , if there is no point  $y \in A$  such that  $y > x$  ( $y < x$ ). For a point  $x \in X$  and a set  $A \subset X$ , we denote  $x \leq A$  ( $x \geq A$ ), if  $x \leq y$  ( $x \geq y$ ) for any  $y \in A$ . A point  $x \in X$  is an *upper bound* (*lower bound*) of  $A$  if  $x \geq A$  ( $x \leq A$ ). An upper bound  $x_0$  of  $A$  is said to be the *supremum* of  $A$ , denoted by  $x_0 = \sup A$ , if any other upper bound  $x$  satisfies  $x \geq x_0$ . Similarly, a lower bound  $x_0$  of  $A$  is said to be the *infimum* of  $A$ , denoted by  $x_0 = \inf A$ , if any other lower bound  $x$  satisfies  $x \leq x_0$ . Clearly, if the supremum (infimum) of  $A$  exists, it must be unique, but may not belongs to  $A$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume that  $A \subset X$  is compact. Then,*

- (i) *every increasing (decreasing) net  $\{x_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{T}}$  in  $A$  converges as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $A$  is totally ordered, then  $\sup A$  ( $\inf A$ ) exists and  $\sup A \in A$  ( $\inf A \in A$ );*
- (iii) *the set  $A$  contains a maximal element and a minimal element;*
- (iv) *if a positive (negative) orbit  $O_+(x, \Phi)$  ( $O_-(x, \Phi)$ ) of a semiflow  $\Phi$  on  $X$  contained in  $A$  is increasing or decreasing, then it must converge to an equilibrium as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  ( $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ).*

*The corresponding result is also true for mappings.*

*Proof.* For the proof of items (i)-(iii), we refer to Hirsch-Smith [35, Lemma 1.1]. Here, we give the proof of (iv). We only prove the case for increasing negative orbit of a semiflow  $\Phi$ , as other cases are similar. Suppose  $\{x_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}_-}$  is an increasing negative orbit, that is,  $\Phi_t(x_s) = x_{t+s}$ , for any  $0 \leq t \leq -s$ , and  $x_{s_1} \leq x_{s_2}$  for any  $s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 0$ . By (i), we have  $x_s$  converges to some point  $x \in A$  as  $s \rightarrow -\infty$ . Then for any fixed  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\Phi_t(x) = \Phi_t(\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} x_s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \Phi_t(x_s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} x_{t+s} = x$ . Therefore,  $x$  is an equilibrium.  $\square$

Now, we give the definition of an unstable equilibrium of a semiflow  $\Phi$  on a complete metric space  $X$  with a closed partial order relation “ $\leq$ ”.



**Definition 3.2.** An equilibrium  $x \in X$  is called unstable for a semiflow  $\Phi$  on  $X$ , if there exists another order-related equilibrium  $y$  (i.e.,  $y < x$  or  $y > x$ ) such that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , one can find  $z_\epsilon \in B(x, \epsilon)$  satisfying  $\Phi_t(z_\epsilon)$  converges to  $y$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 3.1.** Such instability in Definition 3.2 implies that the equilibrium is not *Lyapunov stable* (i.e., having an arbitrarily small positively invariant neighborhood) and does not *attract points locally* (i.e., all points within a certain neighborhood are attracted by it). This violates all the key conditions for the equilibrium to be a possible local attractor (see e.g., Hale [29]).

Finally, we give the definition of monotone dynamical systems.

**Definition 3.3.** A semiflow  $\Phi$  on  $X$  is called monotone (strictly monotone), if  $x < y$  implies  $\Phi_t(x) \leq \Phi_t(y)$  ( $\Phi_t(x) < \Phi_t(y)$ ) for any  $t > 0$ . Similarly, a continuous mapping  $\Psi : X \rightarrow X$  is monotone (strictly monotone), if  $x < y$  implies  $\Psi(x) \leq \Psi(y)$  ( $\Psi(x) < \Psi(y)$ ). A monotone semiflow or mapping is also called an order-preserving semiflow or mapping.

## 3.2 Stochastic order in Wasserstein spaces

Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the set of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the topology induced by weak convergence. Fix the coordinate order on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$x \leq y \text{ if and only if } x_i \leq y_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\},$$

and a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called increasing if  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  for  $x \leq y$ . Now we give the definition of the stochastic order.

**Definition 3.4.** The stochastic order “ $\leq_{\text{st}}$ ” is a partial order on  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , defined by

$$\mu \leq_{\text{st}} \nu \text{ if and only if } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\nu \text{ for all bounded increasing functions } f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Reflexivity and transitivity are obvious, and antisymmetry can be obtained by taking  $f = \mathbf{1}_{(x, \infty)}$ , where  $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $(x, \infty) = \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i, \infty)$ . This partial order is also known as stochastic domination, and for simplicity, we just write  $\mu \leq \nu$  if the context is clear. As a basic fact, Strassen’s theorem (see e.g., Lindvall [40, equation (3)]) says,  $\mu \leq \nu$  if and only if there exist two random variables  $X, Y$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \mu, \quad \mathcal{L}(Y) = \nu, \quad X \leq Y \text{ a.s.}$$

According to Hiai-Lawson-Lim [32, Proposition 3.11], test functions  $f$  can be taken as bounded continuous increasing. If  $\mu, \nu$  both have finite first moments, it is equivalent for test functions ranging over all 1-Lipschitz increasing functions (see Fritz-Perrone [24, Theorem 4.2.1]), where a 1-Lipschitz function  $f$  means  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We may confine test functions in such different sets whenever convenient. The following lemma shows the stochastic order is closed in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *The stochastic order is a closed partial order relation in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with the weak convergence topology, and also closed in the  $p$ -Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_p)$  for  $p \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ ,  $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ , weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and suppose  $\mu_n \leq \nu_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Hiai-Lawson-Lim [32, Proposition 3.11], we only need to show

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\nu \text{ for all bounded continuous increasing functions } f.$$

This follows from  $\int f \, d\mu_n \leq \int f \, d\nu_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For the Wasserstein space, the closedness of the stochastic order results from that convergence in  $\mathcal{W}_p$  implies the weak convergence.  $\square$

Then, we show that an order interval is bounded by endpoints in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as follows.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Given  $p \geq 1$ , let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then the order interval*

$$[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}} := \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mu_1 \leq_{\text{st}} \mu \leq_{\text{st}} \mu_2\}$$

*is bounded in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $N > 0$ , let

$$f_{i,N}^+(x) = (|x_i|^p \wedge N) \mathbf{1}_{\{x_i \geq 0\}}(x), \quad f_{i,N}^-(x) = -(|x_i|^p \wedge N) \mathbf{1}_{\{x_i \leq 0\}}(x), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Then  $f_{i,N}^+, f_{i,N}^-$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and  $N > 0$  are bounded increasing functions.

For any  $\mu \in [\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}}$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{i,N}^+ \, d\mu \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{i,N}^+ \, d\mu_2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{i,N}^- \, d\mu \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{i,N}^- \, d\mu_1, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d (|x_i|^p \wedge N) \, d\mu(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d (|x_i|^p \wedge N) \, d\mu_1(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d (|x_i|^p \wedge N) \, d\mu_2(x).$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and by the monotone convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^p \, d\mu(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^p \, d\mu_1(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^p \, d\mu_2(x).$$

Note that

$$|x|^p \leq (2^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \vee 1) \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^p \leq 2^{|\frac{p}{2}-1|} |x|^p, \quad \text{for all } x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We get

$$\|\mu\|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p \, d\mu(x) \leq 2^{|\frac{p}{2}-1|} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p \, d\mu_1(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p \, d\mu_2(x) \right) \leq 2^p (\|\mu_1\|_p^p + \|\mu_2\|_p^p).$$

Therefore,  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}}$  is bounded by  $2(\|\mu_1\|_p + \|\mu_2\|_p)$  in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

Next, we extend Wasserstein spaces to a normed vector space equipped with a Kantorovich-type norm, and find a cone inducing a partial order, which coincides with the stochastic order when restricted on  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . There is a dual representation of the  $p$ -Wasserstein metric (see e.g., Rachev [50, Theorem 1]),

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \, d\nu : f, g \text{ bounded Lipschitz, } f(x) + g(y) \leq |x - y|^p \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Particularly,  $\mathcal{W}_1$  has a neater form, called Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality (see e.g., Villani [63, (5.11)]),

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\mu, \nu) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\nu : f \text{ is 1-Lipschitz} \right\}. \quad (12)$$

This duality enables us to construct a norm on the space  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of finite signed Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with finite first moments,

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \, d|\mu|(x) < \infty \right\}.$$

Extend the 1-Wasserstein metric on  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} := \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \, d\mu \right| : |f(0)| \leq 1, f \text{ is 1-Lipschitz} \right\}, \quad (13)$$

and then the following lemma implies  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$  is a normed vector space.

**Lemma 3.4.** *The map  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1}$  defined in (13) is a norm on  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$  is a normed vector space. Moreover,  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} = \mathcal{W}_1(\mu, \nu)$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check  $\|\lambda\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} = |\lambda| \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1}$  and  $\|\mu + \nu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} \leq \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} + \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1}$ . By the dual representation (12) of  $\mathcal{W}_1$ , we have  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} = \mathcal{W}_1(\mu, \nu)$  for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . It remains to show that  $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} = 0$  implies  $\mu = 0$ . Take  $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and define  $f_{n,i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_n: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_{n,i}(u) := \begin{cases} 0, & u \leq x_i, \\ n(u - x_i), & x_i < u < x_i + 1/n, \\ 1, & u \geq x_i + 1/n, \end{cases} \quad f_n(u_1, \dots, u_d) := \prod_{i=1}^d f_{n,i}(u_i). \quad (14)$$

Then  $|f_n(0)| \leq 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{n}f_n$  is 1-Lipschitz, and  $f_n \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{(x, \infty)}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} = 0$ , it follows  $\int f_n \, d\mu = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the dominated convergence theorem shows  $\mu((x, \infty)) = 0$ , and this means  $\mu = 0$  as  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is arbitrary.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** The 1-Wasserstein space  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a closed convex subset of  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$ , since  $(\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_1)$  is a complete metric space, and since  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} = \mathcal{W}_1(\mu, \nu)$  for all  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Remark 3.3.** The space  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$  is NOT a Banach space. Let  $d = 1$ , and  $\mu_n = \delta_{1/n^2} - \delta_0$ ,  $\nu_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{\nu_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$ , but its limit is not of finite mass. Assume  $\|\nu_n - \nu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$ . Define  $f_m: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_m(u) := \begin{cases} 0, & u \leq 0, \\ m^2 u, & 0 < u < 1/m^2, \\ 1, & u \geq 1/m^2, \end{cases}$$

and we have  $\int f_m d\nu_n \geq m$  for  $n \geq m$ . Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and it gives  $|\nu|(\mathbb{R}) \geq \int f_m d\nu \geq m$ . As  $m$  can be arbitrarily large, we obtain  $|\nu|(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$ . Indeed, the limit of  $\{\nu_n\}$  lives in the dual of some Lipschitz function space (see Bouchitté-Champion-Jimenez [7]).

To recover the stochastic order, a candidate cone in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is as below,

$$C := \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu \geq 0 \text{ for all nonnegative 1-Lipschitz increasing functions } f \right\}. \quad (15)$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *The set  $C$  given in (15) is a cone in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In other words,  $C$  is a closed convex subset of  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\lambda C \subset C$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $C \cap (-C) = \{0\}$ . Moreover, for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\mu \leq_{\text{st}} \nu$  if and only if  $\nu - \mu \in C$ .*

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $C$  is convex, and  $\lambda C \subset C$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . To see  $C \cap (-C) = \{0\}$ , we only need to show  $\mu = 0$  if  $\int f d\mu = 0$  for any nonnegative 1-Lipschitz increasing function  $f$ . Consider the functions  $f_n$  given in (14). For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\frac{1}{n} f_n$  is nonnegative 1-Lipschitz increasing. Also,  $f_n \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{(x, \infty)}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from the dominated convergence theorem that  $\mu((x, \infty)) = 0$ . This gives  $\mu = 0$  since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is arbitrary.

To show  $C$  is closed, suppose  $\|\mu_n - \mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\mu_n \in C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We need to show  $\mu \in C$  as well. Let  $f$  be a nonnegative 1-Lipschitz increasing function. Then there exists some  $\lambda \geq 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{\lambda} |f(0)| \leq 1$ . We observe  $|\int \frac{1}{\lambda} f d\mu_n - \int \frac{1}{\lambda} f d\mu| \leq \|\mu_n - \mu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} \rightarrow 0$ , so  $\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mu_n \geq 0$ , which shows  $\mu \in C$  as  $f$  is arbitrary.

Next, we want to show the partial order “ $\leq_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ ” in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  induced by  $C$  is equivalent to the stochastic order on  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . It is easy to see  $\mu \leq_{\text{st}} \nu$  implies  $\nu - \mu \in C$ . Conversely, notice  $\mu, \nu$  have finite first moments, and by Fritz-Perrone [24, Theorem 4.2.1], we only need to show  $\int f d\mu \leq \int f d\nu$  for any 1-Lipschitz increasing function  $f$ . Actually,  $\nu - \mu \in C$  gives

$$\int (f \vee (-n) + n) d\mu \leq \int (f \vee (-n) + n) d\nu \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

so we have

$$\int f \vee (-n) d\mu \leq \int f \vee (-n) d\nu \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $|f(x)| \leq |f(0)| + |x|$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we invoke the dominated convergence theorem to get  $\int f d\mu \leq \int f d\nu$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** For any  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , denote

$$[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{M}_1} := \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mu_1 \leq_{\mathcal{M}_1} \mu \leq_{\mathcal{M}_1} \mu_2\}.$$

Even if  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we do not have  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{M}_1} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In fact, let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $x < y < z$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\delta_x <_{\mathcal{M}_1} \delta_y <_{\mathcal{M}_1} \delta_z$  and hence

$$\delta_x <_{\mathcal{M}_1} \delta_z - \delta_y + \delta_x <_{\mathcal{M}_1} \delta_z.$$

So  $\delta_z - \delta_y + \delta_x \in [\delta_x, \delta_z]_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ . However,  $\delta_z - \delta_y + \delta_x \notin \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Remark 3.5.** The cone  $C$  has no interior point. Indeed, for any  $\mu \in C$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can show there is some  $\nu \notin C$  such that  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} < \varepsilon$ . Actually, there exists some  $N > 0$  such that  $\int_{\{|x| > N\}} (1 + |x|) d|\mu| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Set  $y = (N + 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and let

$$d\nu(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq N\}} \cdot d\mu(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2(2+N)} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| > N\}} \cdot d\delta_y(x).$$

By the construction of  $\nu$ , we have  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\mathcal{W}_1} < \varepsilon$ . Consider  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined below,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) := \begin{cases} 0, & x_1 \leq N, \\ x_1 - N, & N < x_1 < N + 1, \\ 1, & x_1 \geq N + 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is nonnegative 1-Lipschitz increasing and  $\int f d\nu = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2(2+N)} < 0$ , which implies  $\nu \notin C$ .

### 3.3 Comparison principle with respect to stochastic order

In this subsection, we consider two McKean-Vlasov SDEs with their diffusion terms given by the same function,

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= b(X(t), \mathcal{L}(X(t))) dt + \sigma(X(t), \mathcal{L}(X(t))) dW(t), & X(0) &= \xi, \\ dY(t) &= c(Y(t), \mathcal{L}(Y(t))) dt + \sigma(Y(t), \mathcal{L}(Y(t))) dW(t), & Y(0) &= \eta, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and we prove a comparison theorem in the sense of the stochastic order. Our comparison theorem is in a distinct partial order relation, while the existing versions state pathwise order-preserving results (see e.g., Huang-Liu-Wang [37, Theorem 1.1]).

**Assumption 5.** (i) The function  $c: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is continuous and one-sided Lipschitz (with the same parameter  $K$  for the function  $b$  in Assumption 1 (i)).

(ii) The functions  $b, c: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfy

$$b_i(x, \mu) \leq c_i(y, \nu), \text{ for } x_i = y_i, x_j \leq y_j, j \neq i, \text{ and for } \mu \leq_{\text{st}} \nu.$$

For the purpose, we start with a comparison theorem for (extrinsic)-law-dependent SDEs,

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= b(X(t), \mu(t)) dt + \sigma(X(t), \mu(t)) dW(t), & X(0) &= \xi, \\ dY(t) &= c(Y(t), \nu(t)) dt + \sigma(Y(t), \nu(t)) dW(t), & Y(0) &= \eta. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\mu, \nu \in C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , and let  $X, Y$  be the solutions of the SDEs given in (17). Under Assumption 1, 2, 5, if  $\xi, \eta$  are square integrable with  $\mathcal{L}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{L}(\eta)$  and  $\mu(t) \leq \nu(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(X(t)) \leq \mathcal{L}(Y(t))$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Strassen's theorem, we may assume  $\xi \leq \eta$  a.s., and it suffices to show  $X(t) \leq Y(t)$  a.s., for any  $t \geq 0$ . We first establish this result under a stronger assumption that

$$b_i(x, \mu) < c_i(y, \nu) \quad \text{for } x_i = y_i, x_j \leq y_j, j \neq i, \text{ and for } \mu \leq \nu. \quad (18)$$

Subsequently, we will complete the proof using an approximation argument.

Let us begin to prove this theorem under the assumption (18). For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ , set stopping times

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i^{(1)} &:= \inf\{t > 0: X_i(t) > Y_i(t)\}, \quad \tau^{(1)} := \min_{1 \leq i \leq d} \tau_i^{(1)}, \\ \tau_i^{(2)} &:= \inf\{t > \tau_i^{(1)}: X_i(t) < Y_i(t)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . It follows  $\tau_i^{(2)} > \tau_i^{(1)}$  on the event  $\{\tau_i^{(1)} < \infty\}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_i &:= \begin{cases} \inf\left\{t > \tau^{(1)}: |b_i(X(t), \mu(t)) - c_i(Y_1(t), \dots, Y_{i-1}(t), X_i(t), Y_{i+1}(t), \dots, Y_d(t), \nu(t))| \right. \\ \left. < \frac{1}{2} |b_i(X(\tau^{(1)}), \mu(\tau^{(1)})) - c_i(Y(\tau^{(1)}), \nu(\tau^{(1)}))| \right\} & \text{on } \{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)}\}, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \kappa_i &:= \tau_i^{(2)} \wedge \tilde{\tau}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_i(X(t), \mu(t)) &< c_i(Y_1(t), \dots, Y_{i-1}(t), X_i(t), Y_{i+1}(t), \dots, Y_d(t), \nu(t)) \\ &\text{for } t \in [\tau^{(1)}, \kappa_i], \text{ on the event } \{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote  $\lambda_n = 2^{-n}$ ,  $\int_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{\lambda_n} u^{-2} du = \lambda_n^{-1}$ , so there exists a continuous function  $f_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  supported in the interval  $[\lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_n]$  such that

$$0 \leq f_n(u) \leq \frac{2\lambda_n}{u^2}, \quad \int_{\lambda_{n+1}}^{\lambda_n} f_n(u) du = 1.$$

Define  $F_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$F_n(u) := \begin{cases} 0, & u < 0, \\ \int_0^u \int_0^v f_n(w) dw dv, & u \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

then  $F_n$  is increasing, twice continuously differentiable, and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq F_n(u) \leq u^+, \quad F_n(u) \uparrow u^+ \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ 0 \leq F_n'(u) \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq F_n''(u) \leq \frac{2\lambda_n}{u^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We argue by contradiction, and assume  $\mathbf{P}(\tau^{(1)} < T) > 0$  for some  $T > 0$ . Fixing some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ , Itô's formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_n[X_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T) - Y_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T)] \\
&= F_n[X_i(\tau^{(1)} \wedge T) - Y_i(\tau^{(1)} \wedge T)] \\
&\quad + \int_{\tau^{(1)} \wedge T}^{(\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T} F_n'[X_i(s) - Y_i(s)][b_i(X(s), \mu(s)) - c_i(Y(s), \nu(s))] ds \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau^{(1)} \wedge T}^{(\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T} F_n''[X_i(s) - Y_i(s)] \sum_{k=1}^l [\sigma_{ik}(X(s), \mu(s)) - \sigma_{ik}(Y(s), \nu(s))]^2 ds \\
&\quad + \int_{\tau^{(1)} \wedge T}^{(\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T} F_n'[X_i(s) - Y_i(s)] \sum_{k=1}^l [\sigma_{ik}(X(s), \mu(s)) - \sigma_{ik}(Y(s), \nu(s))] dW_k(s) \\
&=: G_1 + G_2 + G_3 + G_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimate these terms separately, on the event  $\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_1 &= F_n(0) = 0, \\
G_2 &= \int_{\tau^{(1)}}^{(\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T} F_n'[X_i(s) - Y_i(s)][b_i(X(s), \mu(s)) \\
&\quad - c_i(Y_1(s), \dots, Y_{i-1}(s), X_i(s), Y_{i+1}(s), \dots, Y_d(s), \nu(s))] ds \\
&\quad + \int_{\tau^{(1)}}^{(\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T} F_n'[X_i(s) - Y_i(s)][c_i(Y_1(s), \dots, Y_{i-1}(s), X_i(s), Y_{i+1}(s), \dots, Y_d(s), \nu(s)) \\
&\quad \quad \quad - c_i(Y(s), \nu(s))] ds \\
&\leq \int_{\tau^{(1)}}^{(\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T} K[X_i(s) - Y_i(s)]^+ ds, \\
G_3 &\leq KT\lambda_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Also note that  $\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau^{(1)}}$ -measurable, and hence,

$$\mathbf{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}} G_4 \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}} \mathbf{E} [G_4 | \mathcal{F}_{\tau^{(1)}}] \right] = 0.$$

Adding them up, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E} \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}} F_n[X_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T) - Y_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T)] \right\} \\
&\leq \int_0^t K \mathbf{E} \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}} [X_i((\tau^{(1)} + s) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T) - Y_i((\tau^{(1)} + s) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T)]^+ \right\} ds + KT\lambda_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the monotone convergence theorem as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E} \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}} [X_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T) - Y_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T)]^+ \right\} \\
&\leq \int_0^t K \mathbf{E} \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}} [X_i((\tau^{(1)} + s) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T) - Y_i((\tau_i^{(1)} + s) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T)]^+ \right\} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Gronwall's inequality that

$$\mathbf{E} \left\{ \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}} [X_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T) - Y_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T)]^+ \right\} \leq 0,$$

and thus, for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$X_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T) \leq Y_i((\tau^{(1)} + t) \wedge \kappa_i \wedge T) \text{ on the event } \{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\} \text{ a.s. for all } t \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

By the assumption  $\mathbf{P}(\tau^{(1)} < T) > 0$ , there is some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$  such that

$$\mathbf{P}(\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T) > 0. \quad (20)$$

Note that  $\kappa_i > \tau_i^{(1)}$  on the event  $\{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < \infty\}$ . Then for any  $\omega \in \{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}$ ,  $\kappa_i(\omega) \wedge T > \tau_i^{(1)}(\omega) = \tau^{(1)}(\omega)$ . By the definition of  $\tau_i^{(1)}$ , we know that

$$X_i(t)(\omega) > Y_i(t)(\omega) \text{ for any } t \in (\tau^{(1)}(\omega), \kappa_i(\omega) \wedge T), \text{ and for all } \omega \in \{\tau^{(1)} = \tau_i^{(1)} < T\}.$$

This contradicts with (19) and (20), so it follows  $\tau^{(1)} \geq T$  a.s. As  $T > 0$  is arbitrary, this implies  $X(t) \leq Y(t)$ , a.s., for  $t \geq 0$ .

Next, we back to the original condition that

$$b_i(x, \mu) \leq c_i(y, \nu) \quad \text{for } x_i = y_i, x_j \leq y_j, j \neq i, \text{ and for } \mu \leq \nu.$$

Let  $X^{(m)}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the solution of the SDE

$$dX^{(m)}(t) = [b(X^{(m)}(t), \mu(t)) - \lambda_m] dt + \sigma(X^{(m)}(t), \mu(t)) dW(t), \quad X^{(m)}(0) = \xi,$$

where  $\lambda_m = 2^{-m}$ . Since for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b_i(x, \mu) - \lambda_m < b_i(y, \nu) - \lambda_{m+1}$  and  $b_i(x, \mu) - \lambda_m < c_i(y, \nu)$  for  $x_i = y_i, x_j \leq y_j, j \neq i$ , and for  $\mu \leq \nu$ , it follows from the previous argument under the assumption (18) that

$$X^{(1)}(t) \leq \dots \leq X^{(m)}(t) \leq X^{(m+1)}(t) \leq \dots \leq \tilde{X}(t) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} X^{(m)}(t) \leq Y(t) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

and the result follows from the fact that  $\tilde{X}$  is a modification of  $X$ . This can be seen from

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t [b(X^{(m)}(s), \mu(s)) - \lambda_m] ds &\rightarrow \int_0^t b(\tilde{X}(s), \mu(s)) ds \quad \text{a.s. as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \int_0^t \sigma(X^{(m)}(s), \mu(s)) dW(s) &\rightarrow \int_0^t \sigma(\tilde{X}(s), \mu(s)) dW(s) \quad \text{in probability as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

Now we pass the comparison theorem to the case of intrinsic-law dependence, namely to the McKean-Vlasov SDEs.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X, Y$  be the solutions of the two McKean-Vlasov SDEs in (16). Under Assumption 1, 2, 5, if  $\xi, \eta$  are square integrable with  $\mathcal{L}(\xi) \leq \mathcal{L}(\eta)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(X(t)) \leq \mathcal{L}(Y(t))$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*



*Proof.* In the same manner as in (8), we define  $\Phi_b, \Phi_c: C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \rightarrow C(0, \infty; \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  by

$$\Phi_b(\mu) := \mathcal{L}(X^{\xi, \mu}), \quad \Phi_c(\nu) := \mathcal{L}(Y^{\eta, \nu}),$$

where  $X^{\xi, \mu}, Y^{\eta, \nu}$  are the solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} dX^{\xi, \mu}(t) &= b(X^{\xi, \mu}(t), \mu(t)) dt + \sigma(X^{\xi, \mu}(t), \mu(t)) dW(t), & X^{\xi, \mu}(0) &= \xi, \\ dY^{\eta, \nu}(t) &= c(Y^{\eta, \nu}(t), \nu(t)) dt + \sigma(Y^{\eta, \nu}(t), \nu(t)) dW(t), & Y^{\eta, \nu}(0) &= \eta. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_b^n(\mathcal{L}(\xi))(t) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X(t)) \\ \Phi_c^n(\mathcal{L}(\eta))(t) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y(t)) \end{aligned} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

Also, it follows from Theorem 3.6 that, if  $\mu(t) \leq \nu(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$  then  $\Phi_b(\mu)(t) \leq \Phi_c(\nu)(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$ . The conclusion is given by closedness of the stochastic order (Lemma 3.2).  $\square$

When considering only one equation, Assumption 5 is covered by Assumption 2 as a cooperative condition for McKean-Vlasov SDEs. Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 3.7 imply the following.

**Corollary 3.8.** *With Assumption 1, 2, the following McKean-Vlasov SDE,*

$$dX(t) = b(X(t), \mathcal{L}(X(t))) dt + \sigma(X(t), \mathcal{L}(X(t))) dW(t),$$

*generates a monotone semiflow  $P_t^*$  on  $(\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_2)$  with respect to the stochastic order.*

**Remark 3.6.** Notice that the cooperative conditions for usual SDEs hold automatically in  $\mathbb{R}$ . But our cooperative condition says  $b(x, \mu)$  is increasing with respect to  $\mu$ , which is nontrivial even in one dimension.

## 4 Connecting Orbit Theorem and Dichotomy Structure

In this section, we consider monotone dynamical systems on convex compact subsets of a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space. Compared to classical results, the requirements on the additional order structure in Banach spaces (e.g., the cone which induces the partial order has nonempty interior) and the stronger monotonicity of systems are relaxed. For a general class of monotone semiflows, we extend the connecting orbit theorem and provide a dichotomy result of the structure of equilibria. They play a crucial role in the study of the dynamics of cooperative McKean-Vlasov SDEs.

### 4.1 Statement of connecting orbit theorem and dichotomy structure

In this subsection, we will formulate our main results of monotone dynamical systems, which will be proved in the next subsection. Hereafter in this section, we fix the following settings.

- (M1)  $(V, \mathcal{T})$  is a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space with a cone  $C \subset V$ , which induces a closed partial order relation  $\leq$  on  $V$  (as we introduced in Section 3.1);
- (M2)  $(S, d)$  is a non-singleton convex compact metric subspace of  $(V, \mathcal{T})$ , where  $d$  is a metric on  $S$  inducing the relative topology on  $S$ ;
- (M3)  $p := \inf S$ ,  $q := \sup S$  exist and belong to  $S$ ;
- (M4)  $\Phi$  is a monotone semiflow on  $S$ ;
- (M5)  $p$  and  $q$  are equilibria of  $\Phi$ .

In particular, (M1)(M2) imply  $S$  is a complete metric space with closed partial order relation  $\leq$ .

Monotone dynamical systems, which are also called order-preserving systems, are a class of dynamical systems, namely, those enjoying a comparison principle with respect to a closed partial order relation on the state space. The theory of such systems grew out of the groundwork of Hirsch [33, 34] and Matano [42, 44]. Large quantities of mathematical models of ordinary, functional and partial differential equations or difference equations can generate such systems, which occur in many biological, chemical, physical and economic models. We refer to [35, 53, 54, 55, 69] and references therein for details.

Connecting orbit theorem is a fundamental result in the theory of monotone dynamical systems, which is crucial in analyzing the existence of further equilibria (fixed points) for strictly monotone semiflows (mappings) on a compact order interval  $[a, b]$  contained in a Banach space with endpoints  $a, b$  as two order-related equilibria (fixed points) (see [19, 67, 31, 36, 43] for related results and applications). To the best of our knowledge, connecting orbit theorem for semiflows is obtained for strictly monotone semiflows on a compact order interval in a Banach space (see Hess [31, Proposition 9.1] and Dancer-Hess [19, Remark 1.2]). However, the Banach space structure and strictly monotone requirement restrict the application of this theorem to the monotone semiflows on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  generated by the cooperative McKean-Vlasov SDEs. In the following, we are going to extend connecting orbit theorem to monotone semiflows on a convex compact subset of a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space (see Theorem 4.2). The “strictly monotone” requirement is weakened to “monotone”. The phase space of the semiflow is also extended from a compact order interval in a Banach space to a convex compact subset of a topological vector space.

In order to prove the connecting orbit theorem for monotone semiflows (Theorem 4.2), firstly we need a connecting orbit theorem for monotone mappings (see Theorem 4.1). The proof of Theorem 4.1 is a natural extension of the existing result for monotone mappings in Banach spaces (see Dancer-Hess [19, Proposition 1]). We give the detail in Appendix for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume that (M1)-(M3) hold. Let  $\Psi : S \rightarrow S$  be a continuous monotone map. If  $p, q$  are two fixed points of  $\Psi$ , then at least one of the following holds:*

- (a)  $\Psi$  has a fixed point distinct from  $p, q$  in  $S$ ;
- (b) there exists an increasing entire orbit  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  from  $p$  to  $q$ , i.e.,  $\Psi(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ ,  $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow q$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x_n \rightarrow p$ , as  $n \rightarrow -\infty$ ;
- (c) there exists a decreasing entire orbit  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  from  $q$  to  $p$ , i.e.,  $\Psi(x_n) = x_{n+1}$ ,  $x_n \geq x_{n+1}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow p$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x_n \rightarrow q$ , as  $n \rightarrow -\infty$ .

With the help of the consequence for mappings in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the connecting orbit result for semiflows.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume that (M1)-(M5) hold. Then at least one of the following holds:*

- (a)  $\Phi$  has an equilibrium distinct from  $p, q$  in  $S$ ;
- (b) there exists an increasing entire orbit  $\{x_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $p$  to  $q$ , i.e.,  $\Phi_t(x_s) = x_{t+s}$  for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x_s \leq x_t$ , for all  $s \leq t$ , and  $x_t \rightarrow q$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $x_t \rightarrow p$ , as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ;
- (c) there exists a decreasing entire orbit  $\{x_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $q$  to  $p$ , i.e.,  $\Phi_t(x_s) = x_{t+s}$  for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x_s \geq x_t$ , for all  $s \leq t$ , and  $x_t \rightarrow p$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $x_t \rightarrow q$ , as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ .

Now, we give the definition of an arc.

**Definition 4.1.** An arc is a topological space which is homeomorphic to  $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Denote  $a$  and  $b$  as the points of the arc that correspond to the real numbers 0 and 1, then the arc is also called an arc from  $a$  to  $b$ .

The following lemma can be found in Wilder [66, Theorem I.11.15].

**Lemma 4.3.** *For a connected compact metric space  $L$ , if there exists two points  $x, y \in L$  with  $x \neq y$  such that,  $L \setminus \{z\}$  is not connected for any  $z \in L \setminus \{x, y\}$ , then  $L$  is an arc from  $x$  to  $y$ .*

A dichotomy result of the structure of equilibria is obtained as a corollary of Theorem 4.2.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Assume that (M1)-(M5) hold. Then at least one of the following holds:*

- (a) there exists an unstable equilibrium in  $S$ ;
- (b) there exists a totally ordered arc from  $p$  to  $q$  consisting of equilibria in  $S$ .

**Remark 4.1.** For a mapping on a Banach space with a cone which has nonempty interior, under the assumption that the mapping is strongly monotone (the neighborhoods of images of two order-related points can be separated by the partial order) and all equilibria are Lyapunov stable, Dancer-Hess [19] and Takáč [56, 57] proved that (b) holds in Theorem 4.4, and every positive orbit must converge to some equilibrium. Remark 3.5 shows that the cone with nonempty interior and strongly monotone requirements cannot be satisfied when we study the dynamics of cooperative McKean-Vlasov SDEs. Here, we drop these additional assumptions, and give a dichotomy structure of the equilibria for general monotone semiflows.

## 4.2 Proof of connecting orbit theorem and dichotomy structure

Hereafter in this subsection, for  $x \in S$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , denote  $B_S(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in S : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ . For any subset  $A \subset S$ , denote by  $\bar{A}$  and  $\partial A$  the closure and boundary of  $A$  relative to the topology on  $S$ , respectively. For  $x, y \in S$  with  $x \leq y$ , denote  $[x, y]_S := \{z \in S : x \leq z \leq y\}$  and  $[x, y]_V := \{z \in V : x \leq z \leq y\}$ . Clearly,  $[x, y]_S = [x, y]_V \cap S$ . Since  $[x, y]_V$  is a convex closed subset of  $V$  and  $(S, d)$  is a convex compact metric subspace of  $(V, \mathcal{T})$ , one has  $[x, y]_S$  is also a convex compact metric subspace of  $(V, \mathcal{T})$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* Assume that there is no further equilibrium of  $\Phi$  distinct from  $p, q$  in  $S$ . We are going to prove (b) or (c) holds.

Define

$$S_l := \{x \in S : \Phi_t(x) \geq x, \text{ for any } t \geq 0\}.$$

Then  $S_l$  is compact, since  $S_l \subset S$  is closed and  $S$  is compact. Besides, the monotonicity of  $\Phi$  entails that  $S_l$  is positively invariant. Similarly, we can define

$$S_u := \{x \in S : \Phi_t(x) \leq x, \text{ for any } t \geq 0\},$$

which is also a positively invariant compact subset of  $S$ . Lemma 2.1 entails that the  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega(S_l, \Phi)$  (resp.  $\omega(S_u, \Phi)$ ) is a nonempty invariant compact subset of  $S_l$  (resp.  $S_u$ ). We claim that,

$$\text{either } \omega(S_l, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset \quad \text{or else, } \quad \omega(S_u, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (21)$$

Before we prove (21), we show that how it implies (b) or (c) holds in Theorem 4.2. In fact, if  $\omega(S_l, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset$ , take  $y \in \omega(S_l, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\}$ . Since  $\omega(S_l, \Phi)$  is invariant, there exists an entire orbit  $\{y_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \omega(S_l, \Phi)$  such that  $y_0 = y$ . The fact that  $\omega(S_l, \Phi) \subset S_l$  implies that,  $y_s \leq y_t$  for all  $s \leq t$ . By virtue of Lemma 3.1 (iv),  $y_t$  converges to an equilibrium, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  respectively. Since there is no further equilibrium of  $\Phi$  distinct from  $p, q$  in  $S$ , one has  $y_t \rightarrow q$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $y_t \rightarrow p$ , as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . Thus, we have obtained (b) in Theorem 4.2. Similarly,  $\omega(S_u, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset$  implies (c) in Theorem 4.2.

Now, we focus on the proof of (21). Firstly, we give the following claims.

**Claim 1.** If  $x_n \in S$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > \dots \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\Phi_{t_n} x_n \geq$  (resp.  $\leq, =$ )  $x_n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\Phi_t(x) \geq$  (resp.  $\leq, =$ )  $x$  for any  $t \geq 0$ .

**Proof of Claim 1:** We only prove the case  $\geq$ , as other cases are similar. In fact, for any  $t > 0$ , we have  $t = k_{n,t} t_n + \tau_{n,t}$ ,  $k_{n,t} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau_{n,t} \in [0, t_n)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_t(x) - x &= (\Phi_t(x) - \Phi_t(x_n)) + (\Phi_t(x_n) - x_n) + (x_n - x) \\ &= (\Phi_t(x) - \Phi_t(x_n)) + (\Phi_{\tau_{n,t}}(\Phi_{k_{n,t} t_n}(x_n)) - \Phi_{k_{n,t} t_n}(x_n)) \\ &\quad + (\Phi_{k_{n,t} t_n}(x_n) - x_n) + (x_n - x). \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the continuity of the semiflow  $\Phi$ , we have  $\Phi_t(x) - \Phi_t(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\tau_{n,t} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by the continuity of the semiflow and the compactness of  $S$ , we have

$$\Phi_{\tau_{n,t}}(\Phi_{k_{n,t} t_n}(x_n)) - \Phi_{k_{n,t} t_n}(x_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\Phi_{t_n} x_n \geq x_n$  and  $\Phi$  is monotone, one has  $\Phi_{k_n, t_n} x_n \geq x_n$ . Hence, by the closedness of the partial order  $\leq$ , (i.e., the cone  $C$  which induces the partial order is closed), we obtain that  $\Phi_t(x) - x \geq 0$ . Thus, we have proved Claim 1.

**Claim 2.** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, if  $\Phi_t(x) = x$  for some  $t \in (0, \delta)$  and  $x \in S$ , then  $x \in B_S(p, \epsilon) \cup B_S(q, \epsilon)$ .

**Proof of Claim 2:** Otherwise, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > \dots \rightarrow 0$ ,  $x_n \in S$  such that  $\Phi_{t_n} x_n = x_n$  and  $x_n \notin B_S(p, \epsilon) \cup B_S(q, \epsilon)$ . Since  $S$  is compact, we assume  $x_{n_k}$  converges to some point  $x \in S$  and  $x \notin B_S(p, \epsilon) \cup B_S(q, \epsilon)$ . By virtue of Claim 1, we have  $\Phi_t(x) = x$  for any  $t \geq 0$ . That is,  $x$  is an equilibrium of  $\Phi$  distinct from  $p, q$  in  $S$ , a contradiction. The proof of Claim 2 is completed.

Now, take an integer  $N_0 \geq 1$  such that

$$B_S(p, \frac{1}{N_0}) \cap B_S(q, \frac{1}{N_0}) = \emptyset. \quad (22)$$

For any  $n \geq N_0 + 1$ , by Claim 2, there exists  $t_n > 0$  small enough such that, if  $\Phi_{t_n}(x) = x$  for some  $x \in S$ , then

$$x \in B_S(p, \frac{1}{n}) \cup B_S(q, \frac{1}{n}). \quad (23)$$

We can choose  $t_n$  such that

$$1 > t_{N_0+1} > t_{N_0+2} > t_{N_0+3} > \dots \rightarrow 0. \quad (24)$$

Now, denote the set of the fixed points of the mapping  $\Phi_{t_n}$  by

$$E_{t_n} := \{x \in S : \Phi_{t_n}(x) = x\}.$$

Since  $E_{t_n}$  is closed and  $S$  is compact, we have  $E_{t_n} \cap \overline{B_S(p, \frac{1}{n})}$  is compact. Obviously,  $p \in E_{t_n} \cap \overline{B_S(p, \frac{1}{n})}$ , so it is not empty. Therefore, Lemma 3.1 (iii) entails that,  $E_{t_n} \cap \overline{B_S(p, \frac{1}{n})}$  contains a maximal element  $l_n$ . Moreover, since  $E_{t_n} \cap \overline{B_S(q, \frac{1}{n})} \cap [l_n, q]_S$  is compact, Lemma 3.1 (iii) again implies that, it contains a minimal element  $u_n$ . Since (22) and  $n \geq N_0 + 1$ , we have  $B_S(p, \frac{1}{n}) \cap B_S(q, \frac{1}{n}) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $l_n \neq u_n$ . By the way  $u_n$  is taken, we have  $l_n \leq u_n$ . So,  $l_n < u_n$ . Moreover, we claim that

$$E_{t_n} \cap [l_n, u_n]_S = \{l_n, u_n\}. \quad (25)$$

In fact, suppose on the contrary that there exists  $x \in E_{t_n} \cap [l_n, u_n]_S$  and  $x \neq l_n, u_n$ . By (23), one has  $x \in B_S(p, \frac{1}{n})$  or  $x \in B_S(q, \frac{1}{n})$ . If  $x \in B_S(p, \frac{1}{n})$ , then  $x > l_n$  contradicts the way  $l_n$  is taken. Otherwise, if  $x \in B_S(q, \frac{1}{n})$ , then  $x < u_n$  contradicts the way  $u_n$  is taken. Hence, we proved (25).

By (25), we can apply Theorem 4.1 to the monotone map  $\Phi_{t_n}$  on the convex compact subspace  $[l_n, u_n]_S$ , and obtain that (b) or (c) holds in Theorem 4.1. Without loss of generality, we assume that, for any  $n \geq N_0 + 1$ , (b) occurs in Theorem 4.1 for  $\Phi_{t_n}$ . That is, there exists an increasing entire orbit  $\{x_n^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  from  $l_n$  to  $u_n$  for any  $n \geq N_0 + 1$ , i.e.,  $\Phi_{t_n}(x_n^j) = x_n^{j+1}$ ,  $x_n^j \leq x_n^{j+1}$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $x_n^j \rightarrow u_n$ , as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x_n^j \rightarrow l_n$ , as  $j \rightarrow -\infty$ . We are going to prove  $\omega(S, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset$  in (21) occurs (Otherwise, if there exists a subsequence

$\{n_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  of  $\{n\}_{n \geq N_0}$  such that (c) occurs in Theorem 4.1 for each  $\Phi_{t_{n_i}}$  on  $[l_{n_i}, u_{n_i}]_S$ , the proof of  $\omega(S_u, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset$  in (21) is similar).

Next, we give the following claim.

**Claim 3.** There exists a sequence  $z_i \in S_l \setminus \{p, q\}$  such that  $z_i \rightarrow p$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof of Claim 3:** By continuity of semiflow  $\Phi$  and  $p$  being an equilibrium of  $\Phi$ , there exists  $\delta_i > 0$  for  $i \geq 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{N_0 + 1} > \delta_0 > \delta_1 > \delta_2 > \dots \rightarrow 0, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\Phi_t(B_S(p, \delta_i)) \subset B_S(p, \delta_{i-1}), \text{ for any } t \in [0, 1], i \geq 1. \quad (27)$$

Now, fix  $i \geq 1$ . Together with the fact that  $\{x_n^j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an increasing entire orbit from  $l_n \in \overline{B_S(p, \frac{1}{n})}$  to  $u_n \in \overline{B_S(q, \frac{1}{n})}$ , (27) implies that, for any  $n \geq N_0 + 1$  with  $\frac{1}{n} < \delta_i$ , there exists  $j_{n,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x_n^{j_{n,i}} \in B_S(p, \delta_{i-1}) \setminus B_S(p, \delta_i)$ . Since  $S$  is compact, we can assume that  $x_{n_k}^{j_{n_k,i}}$  converges to some point  $z_i$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Clearly,  $z_i \in \overline{B_S(p, \delta_{i-1})} \setminus B_S(p, \delta_i)$ . By virtue of  $\Phi_{t_{n_k}}(x_{n_k}^{j_{n_k,i}}) \geq x_{n_k}^{j_{n_k,i}}$  and (24), Claim 1 implies that  $z_i \in S_l$ . Hence, we proved Claim 3.

Finally, by Claim 3, we are going to prove  $\omega(S_l, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset$ , i.e., (21). In fact, by the definition of  $S_l$  and  $z_i \in S_l \setminus \{p, q\}$ , Lemma 3.1 (iv) implies that  $\Phi_t(z_i)$  converges to some equilibrium of  $\Phi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since there is no further equilibrium of  $\Phi$  except  $\{p, q\}$ , one has for any  $i \geq 1$ ,  $\Phi_t(z_i) \rightarrow q$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, (22) entails that  $q \notin B_S(p, \frac{1}{N_0})$ . By (26),  $z_i \in \overline{B_S(p, \delta_{i-1})} \subset B_S(p, \frac{1}{N_0+1})$ , for any  $i \geq 1$ . Since  $d(\Phi_t(z_i), p) \rightarrow d(q, p) > \frac{1}{N_0+1}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , by the continuity of  $d(\Phi_t(z_i), p)$  with respect to  $t$ , for any  $i \geq 1$ , there exists  $t_i > 0$  such that

$$d(\Phi_{t_i}(z_i), p) = \frac{1}{N_0 + 1}. \quad (28)$$

Since  $S$  is compact, one can assume  $\Phi_{t_{i_k}}(z_{i_k}) \rightarrow v$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . By the continuity of the semiflow  $\Phi$  on the compact space  $S$  and the fact that  $p$  is an equilibrium of  $\Phi$ , one has for any fixed time  $T > 0$ , there exists  $\delta_T > 0$  such that,  $\Phi_t(B_S(p, \delta_T)) \subset B_S(p, \frac{1}{2(N_0+1)})$  for any  $t \in [0, T]$ . Together with the fact that  $z_i \rightarrow p$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and (28), we have  $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, by definition of  $\omega(S_l, \Phi)$ , one has  $v \in \omega(S_l, \Phi)$ . In addition,  $d(v, p) = \frac{1}{N_0+1}$  and  $q \notin B_S(p, \frac{1}{N_0})$  give  $v \neq p, q$ . Hence, we proved  $\omega(S_l, \Phi) \setminus \{p, q\} \neq \emptyset$ , which completes our proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.4.* Assume (b) and (c) in Theorem 4.2 never happen for semiflow  $\Phi$  on the convex compact set  $[x, y]_S$  where  $x < y$  are two order-related equilibrium in  $S$  (Otherwise, (a) in Theorem 4.4 holds). We are going to prove (b) holds in Theorem 4.4.

Considering the compact metric space  $S$  as the whole space, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the collection of  $M \subset S$  consisting of equilibria of  $\Phi$ , such that  $p, q \in M$  and  $M$  is totally ordered.  $\mathcal{M}$  is nonempty since  $\{p, q\} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Now, order  $\mathcal{M}$  by inclusion. Then each chain  $(M_\alpha)$  in  $(\mathcal{M}, \subset)$  has an upper bound, namely  $\bigcup_\alpha M_\alpha$ , in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed, if  $x, y \in \bigcup_\alpha M_\alpha$ , then there exists an  $\alpha$  such that  $x, y \in M_\alpha$ , and hence  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$ , as  $M_\alpha$  is totally ordered. Thus, by Zorn's lemma,  $(\mathcal{M}, \subset)$  has a maximal element, say  $\tilde{M}$ .

By the closedness of the partial order  $\leq$ , total orderedness of a set  $M$  implies that  $\overline{M}$  is also totally ordered. Thus,  $\tilde{M}$  is closed. By virtue of the compactness of  $S$ , we have  $\tilde{M}$  is compact.

We are going to prove that  $\tilde{M}$  is a connected subset of  $S$ . To show this we argue by contradiction. Suppose that there exist  $U, W \subset \tilde{M}$  which are nonempty and relatively open (closed) such that  $U \cap W = \emptyset$  and  $\tilde{M} = U \cup W$ . We may as well assume that  $p \in U$ . Noticing that  $\tilde{M}$  is a closed subset of  $S$ , we have  $U$  and  $W$  are also closed subset of  $S$ . Then  $U$  and  $W$  are compact. By Lemma 3.1 (ii),  $w_* := \inf W$  exists and  $w_* \in W$ . Define  $U_0 := \{u \in U : u \leq w_*\}$ .  $U_0$  is nonempty, since  $p \in U_0$ . The fact that  $U_0$  is a closed subset of  $U$  entails that  $U_0$  is compact. By Lemma 3.1 (ii) again,  $u_* := \sup U_0$  exists and  $u_* \in U_0$ . Clearly,  $u_* \leq w_*$ . By virtue of  $U \cap W = \emptyset$ , one has  $u_* < w_*$ . Applying Theorem 4.2 to semiflow  $\Phi$  on  $[u_*, w_*]_S$ , since we assumed that (b) and (c) of Theorem 4.2 never happen in the beginning of this proof, there exists another equilibrium  $v \in [u_*, w_*]_S$  and  $v \neq u_*, w_*$ . That is,  $u_* < v < w_*$ .

We claim that  $v \notin \tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{M} \cup \{v\}$  is still a totally order set. In fact, if  $x \in W$ ,  $v < w_* \leq x$ . If  $x \in U_0$ ,  $v > u_* \geq x$ . If  $x \in U \setminus U_0$ , then  $w_* < x$  by the total orderedness of  $\tilde{M}$ . Thus,  $v < w_* < x$ . Therefore, we have proved the claim. The claim implies that  $\tilde{M}$  is not a maximal element in  $(\mathcal{M}, \subset)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\tilde{M}$  is connected.

For any  $z \in \tilde{M}$  with  $z \neq p, q$ ,  $\tilde{M} \setminus \{z\} = ((\tilde{M} \setminus \{z\}) \cap \{u \in S : u \leq z\}) \cup ((\tilde{M} \setminus \{z\}) \cap \{u \in S : u \geq z\})$ , where  $(\tilde{M} \setminus \{z\}) \cap \{u \in S : u \leq z\}$  and  $(\tilde{M} \setminus \{z\}) \cap \{u \in S : u \geq z\}$  are two disjoint nonempty relatively closed subset of  $\tilde{M} \setminus \{z\}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{M} \setminus \{z\}$  is disconnected. By virtue of Lemma 4.3, we have  $\tilde{M}$  is an arc from  $p$  to  $q$ .  $\square$

## 5 Order-Related Invariant Measures with Shrinking Neighbourhoods

In this section, our attention returns to the McKean-Vlasov equation,

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t)) dt + \sigma(X_t, \mathcal{L}(X_t)) dW_t. \quad (29)$$

We derive the existence of order-related invariant measures from the comparison theorem, which fits into the settings of the theory of monotone dynamical systems. And we prove the order-related invariant measures have shrinking neighbourhoods with local dissipative conditions. This serves to exclude the existence of a totally ordered arc in Theorem 4.4, and thus, give the existence of an unstable invariant measure.

### 5.1 Properties of measure-iterating map

Given a metric space  $\mathbb{X}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$ ,  $B_b(\mathbb{X})$  and  $C_b(\mathbb{X})$  the collections of all Borel-measurable sets in  $\mathbb{X}$ , all bounded Borel-measurable functions from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and all bounded continuous functions from  $\mathbb{X}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  respectively. For any fixed  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we consider the following SDE:

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \mu) dt + \sigma(X_t, \mu) dW_t, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (30)$$

If SDE (30) has a unique solution  $X_t^{\mu,x}$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then it generates a Markov transition kernel and Markov semigroup by the following way:

$$P_t^\mu(x, \Gamma) := \mathbf{P}\{X_t^{\mu,x} \in \Gamma\}, \quad \text{for any } \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

$$P_t^\mu f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) P_t^\mu(x, dy), \quad \text{for any } f \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and the dual of  $P_t^\mu$

$$P_t^{\mu,*} \nu := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_t^\mu(x, \cdot) \nu(dx), \quad \text{for any } \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Under Assumption 1, 3, 4, by Theorem 4.8 in Feng-Qu-Zhao [22], we know that SDE (30) has a unique invariant measure  $\rho^\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Now define a measure-iterating map  $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$\Psi(\mu) = \rho^\mu \quad (\text{the unique invariant measure of SDE (30)}). \quad (31)$$

For any  $p \geq 1$  and  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , set

$$d_p(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{\{f: |f(x)| \leq 1 + |x|^p\}} \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\mu - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f d\nu \right| \right\} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|^p) d|\mu - \nu|(x). \quad (32)$$

It is easy to check that  $(\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d), d_p)$  is a complete metric space.

Now we give the following lemma (see Theorem 1.3 in Hairer-Mattingly [28] and Lemma 2.2 in Feng-Qu-Zhao [22]).

**Lemma 5.1.** *Assume that  $\mathbb{X}$  is a metric space and  $P(x, \Gamma)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ ,  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X})$  is a discrete Markovian transition kernel. If there exist a function  $V : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , a probability measure  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X})$  and nonnegative constants  $\gamma, K, \eta, R$  such that*

$$PV(x) \leq \gamma V(x) + K \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{X}, \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\{x: V(x) \leq R\}} P(x, \Gamma) \geq \eta \nu(\Gamma) \quad \text{for all } \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{X}),$$

then for any  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{X})$ , we have

$$\rho_{\beta, V}(P^* \mu_1, P^* \mu_2) \leq \zeta \rho_{\beta, V}(\mu_1, \mu_2),$$

where

$$\zeta = \max \left\{ 1 - \eta + \beta K, \frac{2 + \beta(\gamma R + 2K)}{2 + \beta R} \right\},$$

and

$$\rho_{\beta, V}(\mu_1, \mu_2) := \sup_{\{f: |f| \leq 1 + \beta V\}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{X}} f d\mu_1 - \int_{\mathbb{X}} f d\mu_2 \right|.$$

Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Under Assumption 1, 3, 4, for any fixed  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have*

- (i) *for any  $p \geq 2$ , there exist  $C, \lambda > 0$  depending only on  $(K, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}, \kappa, d, p)$  and the bound of  $\|\mu\|_2$  such that*

$$d_p(P_t^\mu(x, \cdot), \Psi(\mu)) \leq C(1 + |x|^p) e^{-\lambda t}; \quad (33)$$



(ii)  $\Psi(\mu)$  has the following estimates:

$$\|\Psi(\mu)\|_p^p \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \|\mu\|_2^2 + \frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d}{2\alpha}, & p = 2, \\ \frac{2}{p\alpha} \left(\frac{p-2}{p\alpha}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (2\beta\|\mu\|_2^2 + 2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}(d+p-2))^{\frac{p}{2}}, & p > 2. \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

*Proof.* (i). Let  $V_p(x) := |x|^p$ . First we consider the case  $p = 2$ . Applying Itô's formula to  $|X_t^{\mu,x}|^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d|X_t^{\mu,x}|^2 &= (2\langle X_t^{\mu,x}, b(X_t^{\mu,x}, \mu) \rangle + |\sigma(X_t^{\mu,x}, \mu)|^2) dt + 2\langle X_t^{\mu,x}, \sigma(X_t^{\mu,x}, \mu) dW_t \rangle \\ &\leq (-2\alpha|X_t^{\mu,x}|^2 + 2\beta\|\mu\|_2^2 + 2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d) dt + 2\langle X_t^{\mu,x}, \sigma(X_t^{\mu,x}, \mu) dW_t \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Then we have

$$de^{2\alpha t}|X_t^{\mu,x}|^2 \leq e^{2\alpha t}(2\beta\|\mu\|_2^2 + 2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d) dt + 2e^{2\alpha t}\langle X_t^{\mu,x}, \sigma(X_t^{\mu,x}, \mu) dW_t \rangle.$$

Hence

$$P_t^\mu V_2(x) = \mathbf{E}[|X_t^{\mu,x}|^2] \leq e^{-2\alpha t}V_2(x) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\|\mu\|_2^2 + \frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d}{2\alpha}. \quad (36)$$

In the case of  $p > 2$ , Itô's formula to  $|X_t^{\mu,x}|^p$  together with (35) gives

$$\begin{aligned} d|X_t^{\mu,x}|^p &= \frac{p}{2}|X_t^{\mu,x}|^{p-2} d|X_t^{\mu,x}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) |X_t^{\mu,x}|^{p-4} d\langle |X_t^{\mu,x}|^2, |X_t^{\mu,x}|^2 \rangle_t \\ &\leq -p\alpha|X_t^{\mu,x}|^p dt + \left(p\beta\|\mu\|_2^2 + p\gamma + \frac{p\bar{\sigma}(d+p-2)}{2}\right) |X_t^{\mu,x}|^{p-2} dt \\ &\quad + p|X_t^{\mu,x}|^{p-2} \langle X_t^{\mu,x}, \sigma(X_t^{\mu,x}, \mu) dW_t \rangle \\ &\leq -\frac{p\alpha}{2}|X_t^{\mu,x}|^p dt + \left(\frac{p-2}{p\alpha}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (2\beta\|\mu\|_2^2 + 2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}(d+p-2))^{\frac{p}{2}} dt \\ &\quad + p|X_t^{\mu,x}|^{p-2} \langle X_t^{\mu,x}, \sigma(X_t^{\mu,x}, \mu) dW_t \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Similarly, we have

$$P_t^\mu V_p(x) = \mathbf{E}[|X_t^{\mu,x}|^p] \leq e^{-\frac{p\alpha}{2}t}V_p(x) + \frac{2}{p\alpha} \left(\frac{p-2}{p\alpha}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (2\beta\|\mu\|_2^2 + 2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}(d+p-2))^{\frac{p}{2}}. \quad (38)$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.10 in Feng-Qu-Zhao [22], the density  $p_t^\mu(x, y)$  of the transition kernel  $P_t^\mu(x, \cdot)$  has the following lower bound estimation: there exist  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 > 0$  depending only on  $(K, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \underline{\sigma}, \bar{\sigma}, \kappa, d)$  and the bound of  $\|\mu\|_2$  such that, for all  $t \leq 1$ ,

$$p_t^\mu(x, y) \geq \eta_1 t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\{-\eta_2(1 + |x|^{2(d+1)\kappa})(1 + |x-y|^{2\kappa}) - \eta_3 t^{-1}(1 + |x-y|^2)\}.$$

Note that for any  $M > 0$  and any  $t \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\{(x,y): |x| \leq M, |y| \leq 1\}} p_t^\mu(x, y) &\geq \eta_1 t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\{-\eta_2(1 + M^{2(d+1)\kappa})(1 + (M+1)^{2\kappa}) \\ &\quad - \eta_3 t^{-1}(1 + (M+1)^2)\} \\ &=: \bar{\eta}(M, t) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $B_1$  be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\text{Leb}(\cdot)$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $\nu(\cdot) := \text{Leb}(\cdot \cap B_1)/\text{Leb}(B_1)$  is a probability measure and

$$\inf_{\{x:|x|\leq M\}} P_t^\mu(x, \Gamma) \geq \bar{\eta}(M, t)\text{Leb}(B_1)\nu(\Gamma) \quad \text{for all } \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Note for  $t = 1$ , we already know that for any  $p \geq 2$  and  $R > 0$ ,

$$P_1^\mu V_p \leq \gamma_p V_p + K_p, \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\{x:V_p(x)\leq R\}} P_t^\mu(x, \Gamma) \geq \eta_{p,R}\nu(\Gamma) \quad \text{for all } \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\eta_{p,R} = \bar{\eta}(R^{1/p}, 1)\text{Leb}(B_1)$  and

$$\gamma_p = \begin{cases} e^{-2\alpha}, & p = 2, \\ e^{-\frac{p\alpha}{2}}, & p > 2, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad K_p = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\|\mu\|_2^2 + \frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d}{2\alpha}, & p = 2, \\ \frac{2}{p\alpha} \left(\frac{p-2}{p\alpha}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (2\beta\|\mu\|_2^2 + 2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}(d+p-2))^{\frac{p}{2}}, & p > 2. \end{cases}$$

Now choose

$$R = \frac{3K_p}{1 - \gamma_p} > \frac{2K_p}{1 - \gamma_p}, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \min \left\{ \frac{\eta_{p,R}}{2K_p}, 1 \right\} < \frac{\eta_{p,R}}{K_p},$$

then Lemma 5.1 yields that for any  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\rho_{\beta, V_p}(P_1^{\mu,*} \mu_1, P_1^{\mu,*} \mu_2) \leq \zeta_p \rho_{\beta, V_p}(\mu_1, \mu_2), \quad (39)$$

where

$$\zeta_p = \max \left\{ 1 - \eta_{p,R} + \beta K_p, \frac{2 + \beta(\gamma_p R + 2K_p)}{2 + \beta R} \right\} < 1.$$

Note also that for any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$P_t^\mu V_p \leq V_p + K_p, \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\{x:V_p(x)\leq R\}} P_t^\mu(x, \Gamma) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

then it follows from Lemma 5.1 that for any  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\rho_{\beta, V_p}(P_t^{\mu,*} \mu_1, P_t^{\mu,*} \mu_2) \leq \tilde{\zeta}_p \rho_{\beta, V_p}(\mu_1, \mu_2), \quad (40)$$

where

$$\tilde{\zeta}_p = \max \left\{ 1 + \beta K_p, \frac{2 + \beta(R + 2K_p)}{2 + \beta R} \right\} < \infty.$$

By (39) and (40), we know that for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\rho_{\beta, V_p}(P_t^{\mu,*} \mu_1, P_t^{\mu,*} \mu_2) \leq \frac{\tilde{\zeta}_p}{\zeta_p} e^{(\log \zeta_p)t} \rho_{\beta, V_p}(\mu_1, \mu_2).$$

Note that  $\beta \leq 1$ , we have

$$\beta d_p(\mu_1, \mu_2) \leq \rho_{\beta, V_p}(\mu_1, \mu_2) \leq d_p(\mu_1, \mu_2),$$

then let  $\lambda_p := -\log \zeta_p > 0$ , we know that for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$d_p(P_t^{\mu,*} \mu_1, P_t^{\mu,*} \mu_2) \leq \frac{\tilde{\zeta}_p}{\beta \zeta_p} e^{-\lambda_p t} d_p(\mu_1, \mu_2).$$

Hence, SDE (30) has at most one invariant measure in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Note that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $s \geq t \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_p(P_t^\mu(x, \cdot), P_s^\mu(x, \cdot)) &= d_p(P_t^{\mu,*} \delta_x, P_t^{\mu,*} P_{s-t}(x, \cdot)) \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{\zeta}_p}{\beta \zeta_p} e^{-\lambda_p t} (2 + |x|^p + \mathbf{E}[|X_{s-t}^{\mu,x}|^p]) \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{\zeta}_p(2 + K_p)}{\beta \zeta_p} (1 + |x|^p) e^{-\lambda_p t}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Then  $\{P_t^\mu(x, \cdot)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a Cauchy sequence (process) in the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d), d_p)$  and its limit is an (and hence the unique) invariant measure in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is decreasing as  $p$  increases, the invariant measures in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are the same for all  $p \geq 1$ . We conclude (33) by letting  $s \rightarrow \infty$  in (41).

(ii). By (33), (36) and (38), we conclude that

$$\|\Psi(\mu)\|_2^2 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t^\mu V_2(0) \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \|\mu\|_2^2 + \frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d}{2\alpha},$$

and for  $p > 2$ ,

$$\|\Psi(\mu)\|_p^p = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_t^\mu V_p(0) \leq \frac{2}{p\alpha} \left( \frac{p-2}{p\alpha} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} (2\beta \|\mu\|_2^2 + 2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}(d+p-2))^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

□

Now consider the map  $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined in (31).

**Proposition 5.3.** *Under Assumption 1, 3, 4, the map  $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is continuous and compact.*

We first give the following compact embeddings between the Wasserstein spaces, which is used in the proof of Propostion 5.3. We denote a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  weakly converging to  $\mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *For any  $p > q \geq 1$ , the map  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a compact embedding, i.e., any bounded set in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is pre-compact in  $\mathcal{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that any sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  with  $R := \sup_{n \geq 1} \|\mu_n\|_p < \infty$  has a Cauchy subsequence in  $\mathcal{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For any  $N > 0$ , let  $B_N = B(0, N)$  be the open ball centered at 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with radius  $N$ . By Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \mu_n(B_N^c) \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{N^p} \int_{B_N^c} |x|^p d\mu_n(x) \leq \frac{R^p}{N^p}.$$

Then  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is tight and hence weakly pre-compact. Thus there exist a subsequence of  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  which is still denoted by  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and some  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\mu_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\mu(x) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then by Theorem 6.9 in Villani [63],  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_q(\mu_n, \mu) = 0$  is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu(x). \quad (42)$$

Choose  $f_N(x) = |x|^p \wedge N$ . Since  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_N(x) d\mu(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_N(x) d\mu_n(x) \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu_n(x) = R^p. \quad (43)$$

Note that  $f_N(x) \uparrow |x|^p$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Then the monotone convergence theorem yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_N(x) d\mu(x) \leq R^p.$$

Hence  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then we know that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu(x) \right| = 0.$$

For any  $N > 0$ ,  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$  implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu(x).$$

Note also that for all  $n \geq 1$ , Chebyshev's inequality gives

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu_n(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu_n(x) \right| \leq \int_{B_N^c} |x|^q d\mu_n(x) \leq \frac{R^p}{N^{p-q}}.$$

Then for any  $N > 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu_n(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu(x) \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu_n(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu_n(x) \right| \\ & \quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu_n(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu(x) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu(x) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{R^p}{N^{p-q}} + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q \wedge N d\mu(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^q d\mu(x) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  in (44), we get (42).  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** (i) In the proof of Lemma 5.4, we already show that for any  $p > q \geq 1$  and  $R > 0$ , the bounded closed ball  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_0, R)} := \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|\mu\|_p \leq R\}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is compact in  $\mathcal{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

(ii) In contrast, the space  $L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  is not compactly embedded into  $L^q(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  if  $p > q \geq 1$ . For example, consider  $\Omega = [0, 1]$  with the Lebesgue measure, and let

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^k \mathbf{1}_{[k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})}(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For  $p > q \geq 1$ , we have  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $L^p([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ , but

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_{L^q} = \begin{cases} 0, & n = m, \\ 2^{1-1/q}, & n \neq m, \end{cases}$$

implies  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not pre-compact in  $L^q([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$ .

Now we give the proof of Proposition 5.3. We use the notation  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_p} \mu$  for a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  converging to  $\mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  under the metric  $\mathcal{W}_p$ .

*Proof of Proposition 5.3. Compactness:* For any  $M > 0$ , consider the following ball with radius  $M$  in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ :

$$\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \delta_0) = \|\mu\|_2 \leq M\}.$$

It suffices to show that  $\Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)})$  is pre-compact in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By (34) in Theorem 5.2, for any  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$\sup_{\mu \in \Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)})} \|\mu\|_p < \infty.$$

The pre-compactness of  $\Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)})$  in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  follows from Lemma 5.4.

**Continuity:** For any sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and for  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_2} \mu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we need to prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_2(\Psi(\mu_n), \Psi(\mu)) = 0$ . Since we have shown that  $\{\Psi(\mu_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is pre-compact, it is equivalent to prove that any Cauchy subsequence of  $\{\Psi(\mu_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $\Psi(\mu)$ . It suffices to show that  $\Psi$  is a closed map, i.e., for any  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_2} \mu$  and  $\Psi(\mu_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_2} \nu$ , we have  $\nu = \Psi(\mu)$ .

We first show that  $\Psi(\mu_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_1} \Psi(\mu)$ . By Remark 2.6 in Feng-Qu-Zhao [22], for any  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\mathcal{W}_1(\mu_1, \mu_2) \leq \frac{1}{2} d_2(\mu_1, \mu_2), \quad (45)$$

where  $d_2$  is defined as in (32). Note that  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_2} \mu$ . It is easy to show that  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|\mu_n\|_2 \vee \|\mu\|_2 < \infty$ . In fact,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \|\mu_n\|_2 = \sup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{W}_2(\mu_n, \delta_0) \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{W}_2(\mu_n, \mu) + \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \delta_0) < \infty.$$

Then according to (33) in Theorem 5.2 and (45), there exist  $C, \lambda > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{W}_1(\Psi(\mu_n), \mathcal{L}(X_t^{\mu_n, 0})) \vee \mathcal{W}_1(\Psi(\mu), \mathcal{L}(X_t^{\mu, 0})) \leq C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

Hence, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{W}_1(\Psi(\mu_n), \mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu_n, 0})) \vee \mathcal{W}_1(\Psi(\mu), \mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu, 0})) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Similar to the proof of Claim 1 in Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[|X_t^{\mu_n, 0} - X_t^{\mu, 0}|^2] \leq 2K \mathcal{W}_2^2(\mu_n, \mu)t + 4K \int_0^t \mathbf{E}[|X_s^{\mu_n, 0} - X_s^{\mu, 0}|^2] ds.$$

Then the Gronwall's inequality shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}_1(\mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu_n,0}), \mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu,0})) &\leq \mathcal{W}_2(\mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu_n,0}), \mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu,0})) \\ &\leq (\mathbf{E}[|X_T^{\mu_n,0} - X_T^{\mu,0}|^2])^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2KT}e^{2KT}\mathcal{W}_2(\mu_n, \mu).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}_1(\Psi(\mu_n), \Psi(\mu)) &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \mathcal{W}_1(\Psi(\mu_n), \mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu_n,0})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathcal{W}_1(\mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu_n,0}), \mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu,0})) + \mathcal{W}_1(\mathcal{L}(X_T^{\mu,0}), \Psi(\mu)) \right\} \\ &\leq \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

So  $\Psi(\mu_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_1} \Psi(\mu)$  by the arbitrariness of  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Note that  $\Psi(\mu_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_2} \nu$  and hence  $\Psi(\mu_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_1} \nu$ . Then we get  $\nu = \Psi(\mu)$ .  $\square$

## 5.2 Existence of order-related invariant measures

We first show the existence of order-related invariant measures of McKean-Vlasov SDEs. For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , denote by  $\nu^a$  the shift probability of  $\nu$  by  $a$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) d\nu^a(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - a) d\nu(x), \quad \text{for any bounded measurable function } f. \quad (46)$$

Then for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we can obtain that  $(\nu^a)^b = \nu^{a+b}$ . It is easy to prove that  $\mathcal{W}_p(\mu^a, \nu^a) = \mathcal{W}_p(\mu, \nu)$  for any  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Now set

$$f^a(x, \nu) := f(x + a, \nu^{-a}), \quad f = b, \sigma, \quad \text{for all } a, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (47)$$

and we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Suppose that Assumption 1, 3, 4 hold. If for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists a measurable function  $g_a : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying*

- for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with some  $p \geq 1$

$$2\langle x, b^a(x, \nu) \rangle + |\sigma^a(x, \nu)|_2^2 \leq -g_a(|x|^p, \|\nu\|_p^p); \quad (48)$$

- there exists  $r_a > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}g_a(\cdot, r_a^p) &\text{ is continuous and convex;} \\ \inf_{0 \leq w \leq r_a^p} g_a(z, w) &= g_a(z, r_a^p), \quad \text{for all } z \geq 0; \\ g_a(z, r_a^p) &> 0, \quad \text{for all } z > r_a^p.\end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Then equation (29) has an invariant measure in  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Moreover, if  $b$  satisfies the cooperative condition (Assumption 2), and for some  $n \geq 2$ , there exist  $\{(a_i, r_{a_i}, g_{a_i})\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $(a_i, r_{a_i}, g_{a_i})$  satisfies (48), (49) for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and

$$a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n \quad \text{and} \quad r_{a_i}^p + r_{a_{i+1}}^p < 2^{1-p}|a_i - a_{i+1}|^p, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad (50)$$

then equation (29) has  $n$  order-related invariant measures  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots < \mu_n$ , satisfying

- (i)  $\mu_i \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})} \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ;
- (ii)  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})}$  and  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, r_{a_{i+1}})}$  are disjoint for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Before the proof of this theorem, we need the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Suppose that Assumption 1, 3, 4 hold. Then if  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is an invariant measure of (29), we have  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . More precisely, for any  $p > 2$ ,*

$$\|\mu\|_p \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}(d+p-2)}{\alpha - \beta}}.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is an invariant measure if and only if  $\mu$  is a fixed point of  $\Psi$ . By (34) in Theorem 5.2, we know that

$$\|\mu\|_2^2 = \|\Psi(\mu)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \|\mu\|_2^2 + \frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d}{2\alpha} \Rightarrow \|\mu\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d}{2(\alpha - \beta)}},$$

and hence for any  $p > 2$

$$\|\mu\|_p \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}(d+p-2)}{\alpha - \beta}}.$$

□

**Lemma 5.7.** *Given  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , suppose that, for any  $p \geq 1$ , there exists  $C_p > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}} \|\mu\|_p \leq C_p.$$

*Then for any sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the following convergences are equivalent:*

- $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_p} \mu$  for all  $p \geq 1$ ;
- $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_p} \mu$  for some  $p \geq 1$ ;
- $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.9 in Villani [63], for any fixed  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_p} \mu$  is equivalent to that  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu_n(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu(x). \quad (51)$$

Hence, to prove Lemma 5.7, it suffices to show that if  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ , then (51) holds.

Let

$$a_{N,n} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^p \wedge N) d\mu_n(x), \quad b_n := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu_n(x), \quad c_N := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^p \wedge N) d\mu(x).$$

Since  $\mu_n$  weakly converges to  $\mu$ , we know that for any  $N > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{N,n} = c_N$ . Hence

$$\sup_{N \geq 1} c_N \leq \sup_{N, n \geq 1} a_{N,n} \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \|\mu_n\|_p^p \leq C_p^p.$$

By monotone convergence theorem, we know that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} c_N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu(x) \leq C_p^p.$$

Now choose  $p' > p$ , we have for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$|a_{N,n} - b_n| \leq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d: |x|^p \geq N\}} |x|^p d\mu_n(x) \leq N^{-\frac{p'-p}{p}} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d: |x|^p \geq N\}} |x|^{p'} d\mu_n(x) \leq C_{p'}^{p'} N^{-\frac{p'-p}{p}}.$$

Then  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} a_{N,n} = b_n$  uniformly in  $n$ . By Moore-Osgood theorem, we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} c_N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^p d\mu(x).$$

□

**Remark 5.2.** By the proof of Lemma 5.7, we have a stronger result. Suppose that  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for some  $p > 1$  with  $\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}} \|\mu\|_p < \infty$ . Then for any sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the following convergences are equivalent:

- $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_q} \mu$  for all  $1 \leq q < p$ ;
- $\mu_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_q} \mu$  for some  $1 \leq q < p$ ;
- $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** *Suppose that Assumption 1, 2, 3 and 4 hold. Then the map  $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by (31) is monotone, i.e., if  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ , we have  $\Psi(\mu_1) \leq \Psi(\mu_2)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.6, we know that for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X_t^{\mu_1, 0}) \leq \mathcal{L}(X_t^{\mu_2, 0})$ . On the other hand, according to (33) in Theorem 5.2 and (45), we have  $\mathcal{L}(X_t^{\mu_i, 0}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}_1} \Psi(\mu_i)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $i = 1, 2$ . Finally, the closedness of the partial order (see Lemma 3.5) gives  $\Psi(\mu_1) \leq \Psi(\mu_2)$ . □

Now let us give the proof of Theorem 5.5.

*Proof of Theorem 5.5.* Recall the map  $\Psi$  defined by (31), and it is easy to check that  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is an invariant measure of (29) if and only if it is a fixed point of  $\Psi$ . By Lemma 5.6, to prove that there is an invariant measure in  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)}$ , we only need to show that  $\Psi$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)}$ .

For any fixed  $M \geq \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d}{2(\alpha - \beta)}} \vee r_a$ , consider the following closed ball in  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ :

$$\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|\mu\|_2 \leq M\}.$$



It follows from (34) in Theorem 5.2 that  $\Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}) \subset \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)} \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\sup \{ \|\mu\|_q : \mu \in \Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}) \} < \infty, \quad \text{for any } q \geq 2. \quad (52)$$

Since  $M \geq r_a$ , then  $\delta_a \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}$  and hence  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}$  is nonempty. Now fixing  $\mu \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}$  in (30), the Itô's formula to  $|X_t^{\mu, a} - a|^2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t^{\mu, a} - a|^2 &= \int_0^t \left( 2 \langle X_s^{\mu, a} - a, b^a(X_s^{\mu, a} - a, \mu^a) \rangle + |\sigma^a(X_s^{\mu, a} - a, \mu^a)|^2 \right) ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\mu, a} - a, \sigma(X_s^{\mu, a}, \mu) \rangle dW_s \\ &\leq - \int_0^t g_a(|X_s^{\mu, a} - a|^p, r_a^p) ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\mu, a} - a, \sigma(X_s^{\mu, a}, \mu) \rangle dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides and by the convexity of  $g_a(\cdot, r_a^p)$ , we have that for any  $t > 0$ ,

$$g_a \left( \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbf{E}[|X_s^{\mu, a} - a|^p] ds, r_a^p \right) \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbf{E}[g_a(|X_s^{\mu, a} - a|^p, r_a^p)] ds \leq -\frac{1}{t} \mathbf{E}[|X_t^{\mu, a} - a|^2] \leq 0. \quad (53)$$

According to (33), there exist  $C > 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$\left| \mathbf{E}[|X_s^{\mu, a} - a|^p] - \|\Psi(\mu)^a\|_p^p \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x - a|^p (P_s^\mu(a, dx) - d\Psi(\mu)(x)) \right| \leq C(1 + |a|^p)e^{-\lambda s}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbf{E}[|X_s^{\mu, a} - a|^p] ds = \|\Psi(\mu)^a\|_p^p.$$

Then the continuity of  $g_a(\cdot, r_a^p)$  and (53) yield  $g_a(\|\Psi(\mu)^a\|_p^p, r_a^p) \leq 0$ . Hence, by (49),  $\|\Psi(\mu)^a\|_p^p \leq r_a^p$ , which means that  $\Psi(\mu) \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)}$ .

Now we have proved that

$$\Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}) \subset \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}. \quad (54)$$

Set

$$\mathcal{M} := \overline{\text{Cov} \left( \Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}) \right)}^{\mathcal{W}_{2\nu p}},$$

the closed convex hull of  $\Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)})$  with respect to the metric  $\mathcal{W}_{2\nu p}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_{2\nu p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then it follows from (52) and Lemma 5.7 that

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}} \|\mu\|_q = \sup \{ \|\mu\|_q : \mu \in \Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}) \} < \infty, \quad \text{for any } q \geq 2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M} = \overline{\text{Cov} \left( \Psi(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}) \right)}^{\mathcal{W}_1}.$$

Then by Remark 5.1,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a compact convex subset in  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a closed convex subset of  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_1)$  (see Remark 3.2), where  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_1)$  is a normed vector space (see Lemma 3.4), then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a compact convex subset of a locally convex topological vector

space. On the other hand, it is easy to show that  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)}$  and  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}$  are closed convex sets with respect to  $\mathcal{W}_{2\vee p}$ , then by (54), we have

$$\mathcal{M} = \overline{\text{Cov}\left(\overline{\Psi\left(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}\right)}\right)}^{\mathcal{W}_{2\vee p}} \subset \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_a, r_a)} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}.$$

Hence  $\Psi(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ . By Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 5.7, we also know that  $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is  $\mathcal{W}_1$  continuous. Then by Tychonoff fixed-point theorem,  $\Psi$  has a fixed point in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Now we are in the position to prove that there are  $n$  order-related invariant measures. Set

$$\mathcal{M}_i := \overline{\text{Cov}\left(\overline{\Psi\left(\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_0, M)}\right)}\right)}^{\mathcal{W}_{2\vee p}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

for some fixed  $M \geq \sqrt{\frac{2\gamma + \bar{\sigma}d}{2(\alpha - \beta)}} \vee r_{a_1} \vee r_{a_2} \vee \dots \vee r_{a_n}$ . Similarly, we can also show that  $\{\mathcal{M}_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are  $n$  compact convex subsets of  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{W}_1)$  and

$$\mathcal{M}_i \subset \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})} \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \Psi(\mathcal{M}_i) \subset \mathcal{M}_i, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (55)$$

Since  $r_{a_i}^p + r_{a_{i+1}}^p < 2^{1-p}|a_i - a_{i+1}|^p$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_i \cap \mathcal{M}_{i+1} = \emptyset$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Otherwise, there is a

$$\mu \in \mathcal{M}_i \cap \mathcal{M}_{i+1} \subset \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})} \cap \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_p}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, r_{a_{i+1}})}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \delta_{a_i}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x - a_i|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\geq 2^{1-p}|a_i - a_{i+1}|^p - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x - a_{i+1}|^p d\mu(x) \\ &= 2^{1-p}|a_i - a_{i+1}|^p - \mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \delta_{a_{i+1}}). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Then  $2^{1-p}|a_i - a_{i+1}|^p \leq \mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \delta_{a_i}) + \mathcal{W}_p^p(\mu, \delta_{a_{i+1}}) \leq r_{a_i}^p + r_{a_{i+1}}^p$ , which gives a contradiction. Hence (ii) holds.

Now define  $\tilde{\Psi} : [\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)]^{\otimes n} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)]^{\otimes n}$  by

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) := (\Psi(\mu_1), \Psi(\mu_2), \dots, \Psi(\mu_n)).$$

Set

$$E := \{(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) \in [\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)]^{\otimes n} : \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n\}.$$

By Lemma 5.8 and (55), we know that

$$\tilde{\Psi}((\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_n) \cap E) \subset (\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_n) \cap E.$$

According to Lemma 3.4, we know that the product space  $([\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)]^{\otimes n}, \mathcal{W}_1^{\otimes n})$  is also a normed vector space. Then  $\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_n$  is a compact convex subset of  $[\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)]^{\otimes n}$  and hence a compact convex subset of  $[\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)]^{\otimes n}$ . By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.2, we know that  $E$  is a closed convex subset of  $[\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)]^{\otimes n}$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_n) \cap E$  is a compact convex subset of a locally convex topological vector space. Since  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$  and  $\Psi$  is

monotone, it is easy to check that  $(\Psi(\delta_{a_1}), \Psi(\delta_{a_2}), \dots, \Psi(\delta_{a_n})) \in (\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_n) \cap E$ , which means  $(\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_n) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ . Note that the continuity of  $\tilde{\Psi}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{W}_1^{\otimes n}$  follows from the continuity of  $\Psi$  with respect to  $\mathcal{W}_1$ . Summarize all the results above and by Tychonoff fixed-point theorem, we know that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  has a fixed point  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$  in  $(\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{M}_n) \cap E$ , i.e.,

$$\Psi(\mu_i) = \mu_i, \mu_i \in \mathcal{M}_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and } \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n.$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}_i \cap \mathcal{M}_{i+1} = \emptyset$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we conclude that the equation (29) has  $n$  distinct invariant measures  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  such that  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_n$ . Then (i) follows.  $\square$

### 5.3 Shrinking neighbourhoods of invariant measures

In Theorem 5.5, we prove the existence of order-related invariant measures. The following result shows further the existence of their shrinking neighbourhoods.

**Theorem 5.9.** *Suppose that Assumption 1, 3, 4 hold. If the locally dissipative condition holds at  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with configuration  $(r_a, \bar{r}_a, g_a)$ , i.e., there is a measurable function  $g_a$  such that*

- for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$2\langle x, b^a(x, \nu) \rangle + \|\sigma^a(x, \nu)\|_2^2 \leq -g_a(|x|^2, \|\nu\|_2^2); \quad (57)$$

- there exists  $\bar{r}_a > r_a > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_a(\cdot, \bar{r}_a^2) &\text{ is continuous and convex,} \\ \inf_{0 \leq w \leq \bar{r}_a^2} g_a(z, w) &= g_a(z, \bar{r}_a^2); \\ g_a(z, \bar{r}_a^2) &> 0, \text{ for all } z \geq r_a^2. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Then the equation (29) has an invariant measure in  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a) \cap \mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover,  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, \bar{r}_a)$  and  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a)$  are positively invariant sets, i.e.,

$$P_t^* B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, \bar{r}_a) \subset B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, \bar{r}_a) \text{ and } P_t^* B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a) \subset B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a), \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \quad (59)$$

and there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$P_t^* B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, \bar{r}_a) \subset B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a), \text{ for all } t \geq T. \quad (60)$$

If in addition that  $b$  satisfies the cooperative condition (Assumption 2) and the equation (29) is locally dissipative at  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with configurations  $\{(r_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i}, g_{a_i})\}_{i=1}^n$  respectively, and

$$a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n \text{ and } r_{a_i}^2 + r_{a_{i+1}}^2 \leq 2^{-1}|a_i - a_{i+1}|^2, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad (61)$$

then equation (29) has  $n$  order-related invariant measures  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_n$ , satisfying

- (i)  $\mu_i \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;

(ii)  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i})$  and  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, \bar{r}_{a_{i+1}})$  are disjoint for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ;

(iii) there exists  $T > 0$  such that  $P_t^* B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i}) \subset B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$  for all  $t \geq T$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* According to the proof of Theorem 5.5, we only need to prove (59), (60), and the disjointness of  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i})$  and  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, \bar{r}_{a_{i+1}})$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

By (58), we know that there exists  $0 < \underline{r}_a < r_a$  such that

$$g_a(z, \bar{r}_a^2) > 0, \quad \text{for all } z \geq \underline{r}_a^2. \quad (62)$$

To prove (59), it suffices to prove that  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r)}$  is positively invariant for all  $\underline{r}_a \leq r < \bar{r}_a$ , which implies that  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r)$  is positively invariant for all  $\underline{r}_a < r \leq \bar{r}_a$ .

For any fixed  $\underline{r}_a \leq r < \bar{r}_a$  and  $\mu \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r)}$ , let

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu := \sup \{t \geq 0 : P_s^* \mu \in \overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r)} \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq t\}.$$

To prove that  $\overline{B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r)}$  is positive invariant, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{T}_\mu = \infty$ . By Claim 2 in Proposition 2.3, we know that  $\alpha_t := \|(P_t^* \mu)^a\|_2^2$  is continuous in  $t$ . Then it is equivalent to show that

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu = \sup \{t \geq 0 : \alpha_s \leq r^2 \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq t\} = \infty.$$

Otherwise, if  $\mathcal{T}_\mu < \infty$ , then it follows from the continuity of  $\alpha$  and definition of  $\mathcal{T}_\mu$  that there exists  $T_0 \geq \mathcal{T}_\mu$  and  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$\alpha_{T_0} = r^2, \quad \text{and} \quad r^2 < \alpha_t \leq \bar{r}_a^2 \text{ for all } T_0 < t \leq T_0 + \epsilon_0. \quad (63)$$

Denote by  $X_t^\mu$  the solution of (29) with initial distribution  $\mu$  at starting time 0. Then  $\mathcal{L}(X_t^\mu) = P_t^* \mu$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Applying Itô's formula to  $|X_t^\mu - a|^2$  on  $[T_0, T_0 + \epsilon_0]$ , we have for any  $T_0 < t \leq T_0 + \epsilon_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t^\mu - a|^2 &= |X_{T_0}^\mu - a|^2 + \int_{T_0}^t \left( 2 \langle X_s^\mu - a, b^a(X_s^\mu - a, (P_s^* \mu)^a) \rangle + |\sigma^a(X_s^\mu - a, (P_s^* \mu)^a)|^2 \right) ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{T_0}^t \langle X_s^\mu - a, \sigma(X_s^\mu, P_s^* \mu) \rangle dW_s \\ &\leq |X_{T_0}^\mu - a|^2 - \int_{T_0}^t g_a(|X_s^\mu - a|^2, \bar{r}_a^2) ds + 2 \int_{T_0}^t \langle X_s^\mu - a, \sigma(X_s^\mu, P_s^* \mu) \rangle dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides and by the convexity of  $g_a(\cdot, \bar{r}_a^2)$ , we get

$$\alpha_{T_0 + \epsilon_0} \leq r^2 - \int_{T_0}^{T_0 + \epsilon_0} g_a(\alpha_t, \bar{r}_a^2) dt. \quad (64)$$

Then by (62), (63) and (64), we conclude that  $\alpha_{T_0 + \epsilon_0} < r^2$  which contradicts (63).

To prove (60), by (59), it is enough to show that there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$P_T^* \mu \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a), \quad \text{for all } \mu \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, \bar{r}_a) \setminus B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a).$$

For any fixed  $\mu \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, \bar{r}_a) \setminus B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a)$ , i.e.,  $r_a^2 \leq \|\mu^a\|_2^2 < \bar{r}_a^2$ , let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu := \sup \{t \geq 0 : P_s^* \mu \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, \bar{r}_a) \setminus B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_a, r_a), \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq t\}.$$

Then by (59), it suffices to prove that  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu < T$ . Assume again that  $\alpha_t := \|(P_t^* \mu)^a\|_2^2$ , we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu = \sup \{t \geq 0 : r_a^2 \leq \alpha_s < \bar{r}_a^2, \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq t\}.$$

Applying Itô's formula to  $|X_t^\mu - a|^2$  on  $[0, \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu]$ , similar to (64), we obtain that

$$\alpha_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu} < \bar{r}_a^2 - \int_0^{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu} g_a(\alpha_t, \bar{r}_a^2) dt. \quad (65)$$

Note that  $\theta := \inf_{r_a^2 \leq z \leq \bar{r}_a^2} g_a(z, \bar{r}_a^2) > 0$  and  $\alpha_{\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu} \geq r_a^2$ , then we have

$$r_a^2 < \bar{r}_a^2 - \theta \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu.$$

Choose  $T := \frac{\bar{r}_a^2 - r_a^2}{\theta}$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_\mu < T$ .

Finally, by (61) and (56) for  $p = 2$ , we know that  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$  and  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, r_{a_{i+1}})$  are disjoint for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Then by (60),  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i})$  and  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, \bar{r}_{a_{i+1}})$  are also disjoint. In fact, if there exists  $\nu \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i}) \cap B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, \bar{r}_{a_{i+1}})$ , we conclude that  $P_t^* \nu \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i}) \cap B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, r_{a_{i+1}})$  for  $t \geq T$ , which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.** The prototype of the locally dissipative condition comes from Zhang [68], where the condition is used to show the existence of multiple invariant measures. We rather utilise a partial order on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and derive the existence of order-related invariant measures. Even further, under the locally dissipative conditions, we obtain shrinking neighbourhoods of invariant measures under semiflow  $P_t^*$ .

As an example, we illustrate how to fulfill the locally dissipative condition in Theorem 5.9 for double-well landscapes.

**Proposition 5.10.** *We consider the following one-dimensional McKean-Vlasov SDE:*

$$dX_t = -[X_t(X_t - 1)(X_t + 1) + \beta(X_t - \mathbf{E}X_t)] dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t. \quad (66)$$

If

$$\beta > \frac{27(9 + \sqrt{17})}{128} \text{ and } \sigma(x)^2 < \frac{51\sqrt{17} - 107}{256} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (67)$$

then the equation (66) is locally dissipative at  $\pm 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sigma(x)^2 < \bar{\sigma}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We will construct  $g_1, g_{-1}$  and  $\bar{r}_1 > r_1 > 0$ ,  $\bar{r}_{-1} > r_{-1} > 0$  such that (57), (58) and (61) hold.

**Case a=1.** We know that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$2xb^1(x, \mu) + |\sigma(x)|^2 \leq -(2|x|^4 - 6|x|^3 + (4 + 2\beta)|x|^2 - 2\beta|x| \|\mu\|_2 - |\bar{\sigma}|^2).$$

Hence we choose  $g_1$  as follows: for any  $z, w \geq 0$ ,

$$g_1(z, w) = 2z^2 - 6z^{\frac{3}{2}} + (4 + 2\beta)z - 2\beta z^{\frac{1}{2}}w^{\frac{1}{2}} - |\bar{\sigma}|^2. \quad (68)$$

Obviously,  $g_1$  is continuous and  $g_1(z, \cdot)$  is decreasing for any  $z \geq 0$  and hence

$$\inf_{0 \leq w \leq r^2} g_1(z, w) = g_1(z, r^2), \quad \text{for any } r > 0.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial g_1(z, w)}{\partial z} = 4z - 9z^{\frac{1}{2}} + 4 + 2\beta - \beta w^{\frac{1}{2}}z^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_1(z, w)}{\partial z^2} = 4 - \frac{9}{2}z^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\beta w^{\frac{1}{2}}z^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Then by calculation, we know that for any  $w > 0$ ,  $g_1(\cdot, w)$  is convex if and only if

$$\beta \geq \frac{27}{16w^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (69)$$

Note that for any  $0 < r_1 < 1$ ,

$$g_1(r_1^2, r_1^2) = 2r_1^2(r_1 - 1)(r_1 - 2) - |\bar{\sigma}|^2,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z}(r_1^2, r_1^2) = 4r_1^2 - 9r_1 + 4 + \beta > \beta - 1.$$

Now for any fixed  $0 < r_1 < 1$ , if we choose

$$\beta > \frac{27}{16r_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\sigma}| < \sqrt{2r_1^2(r_1 - 1)(r_1 - 2)},$$

then

$$g_1(r_1^2, r_1^2) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z}(r_1^2, r_1^2) > 0.$$

Thus, by the continuity of  $g_1$  and  $\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z}$  at  $(r_1^2, r_1^2)$ , there exists  $\bar{r}_1 > r_1$  such that

$$\beta > \frac{27}{16\bar{r}_1}, \quad g_1(r_1^2, \bar{r}_1^2) > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z}(r_1^2, \bar{r}_1^2) > 0.$$

Then by (69),  $g_1(\cdot, \bar{r}_1^2)$  is convex. Hence,

$$\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z}(z, \bar{r}_1^2) \geq \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial z}(r_1, \bar{r}_1^2) > 0 \quad \text{for all } z \geq r_1,$$

and thus

$$g_1(z, \bar{r}_1^2) \geq g_1(r_1, \bar{r}_1^2) > 0 \quad \text{for all } z \geq r_1.$$

Hence, for any  $0 < r_1 < 1$  and choose  $g_1$  as in (68). Then there exists  $\bar{r}_1 > r_1$  such that (57) and (58) hold if

$$\beta > \frac{27}{16r_1}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\sigma}| < \sqrt{2r_1^2(r_1 - 1)(r_1 - 2)}.$$

**Case a=-1.** Similarly, for any  $0 < r_{-1} < 1$ , choose  $g_{-1} = g_1$  as in (68), and then there exists  $\bar{r}_{-1} > r_{-1}$  such that (57) and (58) hold if

$$\beta > \frac{27}{16r_{-1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{\sigma}| < \sqrt{2r_{-1}^2(r_{-1}-1)(r_{-1}-2)}.$$

Notice

$$\max_{r \in (0,1)} \sqrt{2r^2(r-1)(r-2)} = \sqrt{2r^2(r-1)(r-2)} \Big|_{r=\frac{9-\sqrt{17}}{8}} = \frac{\sqrt{51\sqrt{17}-107}}{16}.$$

Summarizing all the results above, we choose  $r_1 = r_{-1} = \frac{9-\sqrt{17}}{8} =: r$ , and then under (67), there exist  $\bar{r}_1 = \bar{r}_{-1} =: \bar{r} > r$  such that (57), (58), and (61) in Theorem 5.9 hold. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6 Proof of Main Results

Before proceeding to the separate proofs of our main theorems, we put at the beginning the common arguments. They serve to verify the settings (M1)-(M5) proposed in Section 4 with the prior information that  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$  are two order-related invariant measures of the McKean-Vlasov SDE (1).

- (M1) Lemma 3.4 gives that  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$  is a normed space, and Lemma 3.5 shows that  $C$  defined in (15) is a cone in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- (M2) It is obvious that the order interval  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$  is not a singleton and is convex in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Under Assumption 1, 3, 4, by Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 3.3, we see that  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $p \geq 1$ , and thus a subset of  $\mathcal{P}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Lemma 5.4, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 5.7 result in the compactness of  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . As Remark 3.2 tells that  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$  is compact in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 5.7, the 2-Wasserstein metric on  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$  induces its relative topology in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Thus,  $([\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_2}, \mathcal{W}_2)$  is a non-singleton convex compact metric subspace of  $(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}_1})$ .
- (M3) Since the partial order induced by the cone  $C$  in the normed space  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  coincides with the stochastic order when restricted on  $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (Lemma 3.5), the infimum and the supremum of  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$  in  $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are exactly endpoints  $\mu_1, \mu_2$ .
- (M4) Under Assumption 1, 2, the semigroup  $P_t^*$  generated by (1) is a monotone semiflow on  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$  by Corollary 3.8.
- (M5)  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are invariant measures (equilibria) of  $P_t^*$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The statements (ii) and (iii) follow from Theorem 5.9 (i)(iii). By Theorem 4.4, to show the existence of unstable invariant measures  $\nu_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , we need to exclude the existence of a totally ordered arc from  $\mu_i$  to  $\mu_{i+1}$  consisting of invariant

measures. Assume otherwise there is a totally ordered arc from  $\mu_i$  to  $\mu_{i+1}$  consisting of invariant measures. Using Theorem 5.9 (ii),  $\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}$  have disjoint open neighbourhoods, i.e.,  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i}) \cap B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, r_{a_{i+1}}) = \emptyset$ . So we can find an invariant measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  with

$$\tilde{\mu} \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_i) \setminus B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i}), \quad \text{for some } r_i \in (r_{a_i}, \bar{r}_{a_i}).$$

By (iii), however, we have  $\tilde{\mu} = P_t^* \tilde{\mu} \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$  for all  $t \geq T$ . This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , we prove there is a decreasing orbit from  $\nu_i$  to  $\mu_i$ , and the existence of increasing orbits from  $\nu_i$  to  $\mu_{i+1}$  can be shown similarly.

First, we claim that  $\nu_i \notin B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$ . Assume otherwise,  $\nu_i \in B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$ , and apply Theorem 4.2 to the order interval  $[\nu_i, \mu_{i+1}]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$ . Since there is no other invariant measures in  $[\nu_i, \mu_{i+1}]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$ , the situation in Theorem 4.2 (a) cannot happen. By Theorem 5.9 (iii),  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$  and  $B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_{i+1}}, r_{a_{i+1}})$  are positively invariant under  $P_t^*$ , so the cases of Theorem 4.2 (b)(c) cannot happen either. Now we must have  $\nu_i \notin B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$ .

In the order interval  $[\mu_i, \nu_i]_{\mathcal{P}_2}$ , the total number of invariant measures excludes Theorem 4.2 (a), and  $\nu_i \notin B_{\mathcal{P}_2}(\delta_{a_i}, r_{a_i})$  excludes Theorem 4.2 (b). It remains only Theorem 4.2 (c) to hold, i.e., there is a decreasing connecting orbit  $\{\mu_{i,i}(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  from  $\nu_i$  to  $\mu_i$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By Proposition 5.10, the equation (3) is locally dissipative at  $\pm 1$ . It follows from Theorem 1.1 that, there exist three invariant measures,  $\mu_{-1} < \mu_0 < \mu_1$  with  $\mu_0$  unstable. By Alecio [1, Proposition 2.5], the equation (3) has at most three invariant measures, which means exactly  $\mu_{-1}, \mu_0, \mu_1$ , and this proves (i). And (ii) directly follows from Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Take

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(z, w) &= 2z^3 - 10z^2 + (8 + 2\beta)z - 2\beta z^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{\frac{1}{2}} - \bar{\sigma}^2, & r_0 &= \frac{\sqrt{15 - 3\sqrt{13}}}{3}, \\ g_2(z, w) &= 2z^3 - 20z^{\frac{5}{2}} + 70z^2 - 100z^{\frac{3}{2}} + (48 + 2\beta)z - 2\beta z^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{\frac{1}{2}} - \bar{\sigma}^2, & r_2 &= r_0, \\ g_{-2}(z, w) &= g_2(z, w), & r_{-2} &= r_0. \end{aligned}$$

Through a similar calculation in Proposition 5.10, locally dissipative conditions hold at  $0, \pm 2$  for the equation (4). Theorem 1.1 gives five invariant measures,  $\mu_{-2} < \mu_{-1} < \mu_0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2$  with  $\mu_{-1}, \mu_1$  unstable. By Alecio [1, Theorem 1.10], the equation (4) has at most five invariant measures, that is, exactly  $\mu_{-2}, \mu_{-1}, \mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2$ , and this proves (i). The rest of the proof is a direct application of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Take

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z, w) &= 2z^2 - 6z^{\frac{3}{2}} + (4 + 2\beta)z - \frac{2}{3}z^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2\beta z^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{\frac{1}{2}} - \bar{\sigma}^2, & r_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}, \\ g_{-1}(z, w) &= g_1(z, w), & r_{-1} &= r_1. \end{aligned}$$



Similar to the calculation in Proposition 5.10, local dissipative conditions hold at  $\pm 1$  for the equation (5). As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, there are three invariant measures,  $\mu_{-1} < \nu < \mu_1$  with  $\nu$  unstable.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Take

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(1,1)}(z, w) &= z^2 - 6z^{\frac{3}{2}} + (4 + 2\beta)z - 2\beta z^{\frac{1}{2}} w^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2(\bar{\sigma}_1^2 + \bar{\sigma}_2^2), & r_{(1,1)} &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ g_{(-1,-1)}(z, w) &= g_{(1,1)}(z, w), & r_{(-1,-1)} &= r_{(1,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar calculation in Proposition 5.10, the equation (6) is locally dissipative at  $(1, 1), (-1, -1)$ . It follows from Theorem 1.1 that, there are three invariant measures,  $\mu_{-1} < \nu < \mu_1$  with  $\nu$  unstable.  $\square$

## Appendix A Proof of Theorem 4.1

In the appendix, we finish the proof of Theorem 4.1. Thanks to the fixed point index lemma for convex compact subsets of Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces (Lemma A.1), the proof of Theorem 4.1 is a natural extension of the existing result for monotone mappings in compact order intervals in Banach spaces (see Dancer-Hess [19, Proposition 1]). We give the detail here for the sake of completeness. Now, we fix the following settings.

- (H1)  $(V, \mathcal{T})$  is a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space;
- (H2)  $(S, d)$  is a convex compact metric subspace of  $(V, \mathcal{T})$ , where  $d$  is a metric on  $S$  inducing the relative topology on  $S$ .

Hereafter, for any subset  $G \subset S$ , the closure  $\bar{G}$  denotes the closure of  $G$  relative to the topology on  $S$ . In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following fixed point index lemma. For the proof of this lemma, we refer to [25, 48, 49].

**Lemma A.1.** *Assume that (H1)-(H2) hold. Then there exists an integer valued function  $i(f, G)$  defined for any relatively open subset  $G \subset S$  and continuous map  $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow S$  with no fixed point in  $\bar{G} \setminus G$ , satisfying*

- (i) *(Additivity). If  $G = S$ , and  $G_1, G_2$  are relatively open in  $S$ ,  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$  and all fixed points of  $f$  lie in  $G_1 \cup G_2$ , then  $i(f, S) = i(f, G_1) + i(f, G_2)$ ;*
- (ii) *(Homotopy Invariance). If  $F : \bar{G} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  is a continuous map, and  $F_\lambda(x) := F(x, \lambda)$  has no fixed point in  $\bar{G} \setminus G$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , then  $i(F_0, G) = i(F_1, G)$ ;*
- (iii) *(Normalisation). If there exists  $y \in G$  such that  $f(x) = y$  for all  $x \in \bar{G}$ , then  $i(f, G) = 1$ .*

Besides (H1)-(H2), assume further there is a cone  $C \subset V$ , which induces a closed partial order relation  $\leq$  on  $V$  (as we introduced in Section 3.1). A point  $x \in S$  is called a (strict) *subsolution* of a mapping  $\Psi : S \rightarrow S$ , if  $\Psi(x) \geq x$  ( $\Psi(x) > x$ ). Similarly,  $x \in S$  is said to be a (strict) *supersolution* of  $\Psi : S \rightarrow S$ , if  $\Psi(x) \leq x$  ( $\Psi(x) < x$ ).

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Assume that there is no further fixed point of  $\Psi$  distinct from  $p = \inf S, q = \sup S$  in  $S$ . We are going to prove (b) or (c) holds.

Define maps

$$F_\lambda(x) := \lambda\Psi(x) + (1 - \lambda)p,$$

and

$$\tilde{F}_\lambda(x) := \lambda\Psi(x) + (1 - \lambda)q,$$

for any  $x \in S$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Clearly,  $F$  and  $\tilde{F}$  are continuous maps from  $S \times [0, 1]$  to  $S$ . Noticing that  $F_1 = \tilde{F}_1 = \Psi$ ,  $F_0(x) = p$  and  $\tilde{F}_0(x) = q$  for any  $x \in S$ .

We claim that, if  $F_\lambda(x) = x$  for some  $x \in S \setminus \{p, q\}$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\Psi(x) > x. \tag{70}$$

Similarly, if  $\tilde{F}_\lambda(x) = x$  for some  $x \in S \setminus \{p, q\}$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\Psi(x) < x. \tag{71}$$

We only prove the former case, as the latter one is same. In fact, if  $F_\lambda(x) = x$  for some  $x \in S \setminus \{p, q\}$ , then one has  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ . By  $\lambda(\Psi(x) - x) = (1 - \lambda)(x - p)$ , we have  $\Psi(x) > x$ , (70) is proved.

Let  $r > 0$  be such that  $r < \frac{1}{2}d(p, q)$ . Define  $B_S(y, \epsilon) = \{x \in S : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ , for any  $y \in S$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .  $\overline{B_S(y, \epsilon)}$  and  $\partial B_S(y, \epsilon)$  means the closure and boundary of  $B_S(y, \epsilon)$  in  $S$ . Now, we give the following claim.

**Claim 1.** Either there exists a strict subsolution  $x_\epsilon$  on  $\partial B_S(p, \epsilon)$  for any  $0 < \epsilon < r$ , or else there exists a strict supersolution  $x_\epsilon$  on  $\partial B_S(q, \epsilon)$  for any  $0 < \epsilon < r$ .

**Proof of Claim 1:** If there exists  $0 < \epsilon_0 < r$  such that there is no strict supersolution on  $\partial B_S(q, \epsilon_0)$ . By (71),  $\tilde{F}_\lambda(x) \neq x$ , for any  $x \in \partial B_S(q, \epsilon_0)$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Considering the continuous map  $\tilde{F}$  on  $\overline{B_S(q, \epsilon_0)} \times [0, 1]$ , Lemma A.1 (ii)(iii) entail that

$$i(\Psi, B_S(q, \epsilon_0)) = i(\tilde{F}_1, B_S(q, \epsilon_0)) = i(\tilde{F}_0, B_S(q, \epsilon_0)) = 1.$$

Similarly, we also have  $i(\Psi, S) = 1$ . By Lemma A.1 (i),

$$i(\Psi, S) = i(\Psi, B_S(q, \epsilon_0)) + i(\Psi, B_S(p, \epsilon)),$$

which implies that  $i(\Psi, B_S(p, \epsilon)) = 0$ , for any  $0 < \epsilon < r$ . Suppose on the contrary that, there exists  $0 < \epsilon_1 < r$  such that there is no strict subsolution on  $\partial B_S(p, \epsilon_1)$ . By (70),  $F_\lambda(x) \neq x$ , for any  $x \in \partial B_S(p, \epsilon_1)$ ,  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Considering the continuous map  $F$  on  $\overline{B_S(p, \epsilon_1)} \times [0, 1]$ , Lemma A.1 (ii)(iii) entail that

$$i(F_0, B_S(p, \epsilon_1)) = i(F_1, B_S(p, \epsilon_1)) = i(\Psi, B_S(p, \epsilon_1)) = 0. \tag{72}$$

Recall that  $F_0(x) = p$ , for any  $x \in \overline{B_S(p, \epsilon_1)}$ . Thus, (72) contradicts Lemma A.1 (iii). Hence, we obtain Claim 1.

Without loss of generality, we assume that the first case in Claim 1 holds, that is, there exists a strict subsolution  $x_\epsilon$  on  $\partial B_S(p, \epsilon)$  for any  $0 < \epsilon < r$ . Then, we can choose a sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  in  $S$  such that  $x_k \rightarrow p$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$p < x_k < \Psi(x_k) \leq \Psi^2(x_k) \leq \Psi^3(x_k) \leq \dots .$$

Since there is no further fixed point of  $\Psi$  distinct from  $p, q$  in  $S$ , it follows from Lemma 3.1 (iv) that for each  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\Psi^n(x_k) \rightarrow q$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By continuity of  $\Psi$  and  $p$  being a fixed point of  $\Psi$ , there exists  $\delta_i > 0$  for  $i \geq 0$  such that

$$r > \delta_0 > \delta_1 > \delta_2 > \dots \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\Psi(B_S(p, \delta_i)) \subset B_S(p, \delta_{i-1}) \text{ for any } i \geq 1. \quad (73)$$

(73) entails that, for any  $x_i \in B_S(p, \delta_i)$  with  $i > 1$ , there exists  $j(i) \geq i - 1$  such that  $y_i := \Psi^{j(i)}(x_i) \in B_S(p, \delta_0) \setminus B_S(p, \delta_1)$ . Since  $S$  is compact,  $y_i$  has a subsequence  $y_{i'}$  converging to some point  $u_0 \in \overline{B_S(p, \delta_0)} \setminus B_S(p, \delta_1)$ . Since  $y_i \leq \Psi(y_i)$ , we have

$$p < u_0 \leq \Psi(u_0) \leq \Psi^2(u_0) \leq \dots . \quad (74)$$

Together with the fact that there is no further fixed point of  $\Psi$  distinct from  $p, q$  in  $S$ , Lemma 3.1 (iv) implies that  $\Psi^n(u_0) \rightarrow q$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $S$  is compact and  $j(i') \geq i' - 1$ , one has a subsequence  $\Psi^{j(i'')-1}(x_{i''})$  of  $\Psi^{j(i')-1}(x_{i'})$  converging to some point  $u_{-1}$  such that  $\Psi(u_{-1}) = u_0$ . And  $\Psi^{j(i'')-1}(x_{i''}) \leq \Psi^{j(i'')}(x_{i''})$  entails that  $u_{-1} \leq u_0$ . Since  $S$  is compact and  $j(i) \geq i - 1$ , recursively, we get an increasing negative orbit  $\{u_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_-}$ . That is to say,

$$\dots \leq u_{-2} \leq u_{-1} \leq u_0 \text{ and } \Psi(u_i) = u_{i+1}, \text{ for any } i \leq -1.$$

Lemma 3.1 (iv) guarantees that  $u_i$  converges to a fixed point of  $\Psi$ , as  $i \rightarrow -\infty$ . Recall that  $u_0 \in \overline{B_S(p, \delta_0)}$  and  $\delta_0 < r < \frac{1}{2}d(p, q)$ , which entails that  $u_0 < q$ . Since there is no further fixed point of  $\Psi$  in  $S$  except  $p, q$ , we have  $u_i$  converges to  $p$  as  $i \rightarrow -\infty$ . Therefore, together with (74), we have proved Theorem 4.1 (b).  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. ALECIO, *Phase transitions of McKean-Vlasov SDEs in multi-well landscapes*, arXiv: 2307.16846, (2023).
- [2] X. BAI, J. JIANG, AND T. XU, *Quasimonotone random and stochastic functional differential equations with applications*, *Sci. China Math.*, 66 (2023), pp. 2021–2056.
- [3] N. J. BALMFORTH, *Solitary waves and homoclinic orbits*, in *Annual review of fluid mechanics*, Vol. 27, Annual Reviews, Palo Alto, CA, 1995, pp. 335–373.
- [4] J. BAO, M. SCHEUTZOW, AND C. YUAN, *Existence of invariant probability measures for functional McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, *Electron. J. Probab.*, 27 (2022), pp. Paper No. 43, 14.
- [5] S. BENACHOUR, B. ROYNETTE, D. TALAY, AND P. VALLOIS, *Nonlinear self-stabilizing processes. I. Existence, invariant probability, propagation of chaos*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 75 (1998), pp. 173–201.
- [6] S. BENACHOUR, B. ROYNETTE, AND P. VALLOIS, *Nonlinear self-stabilizing processes. II. Convergence to invariant probability*, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 75 (1998), pp. 203–224.
- [7] G. BOUCHITTÉ, T. CHAMPION, AND C. JIMENEZ, *Completion of the space of measures in the Kantorovich norm*, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (7)*, 4\* (2005), pp. 127–139.
- [8] R. BUCKDAHN, J. LI, S. PENG, AND C. RAINER, *Mean-field stochastic differential equations and associated PDEs*, *Ann. Probab.*, 45 (2017), pp. 824–878.
- [9] F. CAO AND J. JIANG, *On the global attractivity of monotone random dynamical systems*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138 (2010), pp. 891–898.
- [10] T. CARABALLO, I. D. CHUESHOV, AND P. E. KLOEDEN, *Synchronization of a stochastic reaction-diffusion system on a thin two-layer domain*, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 38 (2006/07), pp. 1489–1507.
- [11] R. CARMONA AND F. DELARUE, *Probabilistic theory of mean field games with applications. I*, vol. 83 of *Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling*, Springer, Cham, 2018. Mean field FBSDEs, control, and games.
- [12] ———, *Probabilistic theory of mean field games with applications. II*, vol. 84 of *Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling*, Springer, Cham, 2018. Mean field games with common noise and master equations.
- [13] J. A. CARRILLO, R. S. GVALANI, G. A. PAVLIOTIS, AND A. SCHLICHTING, *Long-time behaviour and phase transitions for the McKean-Vlasov equation on the torus*, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 235 (2020), pp. 635–690.

- [14] J. A. CARRILLO, R. J. MCCANN, AND C. VILLANI, *Kinetic equilibration rates for granular media and related equations: entropy dissipation and mass transportation estimates*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 19 (2003), pp. 971–1018.
- [15] ———, *Contractions in the 2-Wasserstein length space and thermalization of granular media*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 179 (2006), pp. 217–263.
- [16] P. CATTIAUX, A. GUILLIN, AND F. MALRIEU, *Probabilistic approach for granular media equations in the non-uniformly convex case*, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 140 (2008), pp. 19–40.
- [17] S. N. CHOW AND J. K. HALE, *Methods of bifurcation theory*, vol. 251 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [18] I. CHUESHOV, *Monotone random systems theory and applications*, vol. 1779 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [19] E. DANCER AND P. HESS, *Stability of fixed points for order-preserving discrete-time dynamical systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 419 (1991), pp. 125–139.
- [20] D. A. DAWSON, *Critical dynamics and fluctuations for a mean-field model of cooperative behavior*, J. Statist. Phys., 31 (1983), pp. 29–85.
- [21] M. G. DELGADINO, R. S. GVALANI, AND G. A. PAVLIOTIS, *On the diffusive-mean field limit for weakly interacting diffusions exhibiting phase transitions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 241 (2021), pp. 91–148.
- [22] C. FENG, B. QU, AND H. ZHAO, *Entrance measures for semigroups of time-inhomogeneous SDEs: possibly degenerate and expanding*, arXiv: 2307.07891, (2023).
- [23] F. FLANDOLI, B. GESS, AND M. SCHEUTZOW, *Synchronization by noise for order-preserving random dynamical systems*, Ann. Probab., 45 (2017), pp. 1325–1350.
- [24] T. FRITZ AND P. PERRONE, *Stochastic order on metric spaces and the ordered Kantorovich monad*, Adv. Math., 366 (2020), pp. 107081, 46.
- [25] M. FURI AND M. P. PERA, *On the fixed point index in locally convex spaces*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect., 106 (1987), pp. 161–168.
- [26] C. GEIB AND R. MANTHEY, *Comparison theorems for stochastic differential equations in finite and infinite dimensions*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 53 (1994), pp. 23–35.
- [27] A. GUILLIN, W. LIU, L. WU, AND C. ZHANG, *Uniform Poincaré and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for mean field particle systems*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 32 (2022), pp. 1590–1614.
- [28] M. HAIRER AND J. C. MATTINGLY, *Yet another look at Harris’ ergodic theorem for Markov chains*, in Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications VI, vol. 63 of Progr. Probab., Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011, pp. 109–117.

- [29] J. K. HALE, *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*, vol. 25 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
- [30] W. R. P. HAMMERSLEY, D. ŠIŠKA, AND L. SZPRUCH, *McKean-Vlasov SDEs under measure dependent Lyapunov conditions*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 57 (2021), pp. 1032–1057.
- [31] P. HESS, *Periodic-parabolic boundary value problems and positivity*, vol. 247 of Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [32] F. HIAI, J. LAWSON, AND Y. LIM, *The stochastic order of probability measures on ordered metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 464 (2018), pp. 707–724.
- [33] M. W. HIRSCH, *The dynamical systems approach to differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 11 (1984), pp. 1–64.
- [34] ———, *Stability and convergence in strongly monotone dynamical systems*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 383 (1988), pp. 1–53.
- [35] M. W. HIRSCH AND H. SMITH, *Monotone dynamical systems*, in Handbook of differential equations: ordinary differential equations. Vol. II, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2005, pp. 239–357.
- [36] S.-B. HSU, H. SMITH, AND P. WALTMAN, *Competitive exclusion and coexistence for competitive systems on ordered banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 348 (1996), pp. 4083–4094.
- [37] X. HUANG, C. LIU, AND F.-Y. WANG, *Order preservation for path-distribution dependent SDEs*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 17 (2018), pp. 2125–2133.
- [38] I. KARATZAS AND S. E. SHREVE, *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, vol. 113 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, second ed., 1991.
- [39] Z. LIAN AND Y. WANG, *On random linear dynamical systems in a Banach space. I. Multiplicative ergodic theorem and Krein-Rutman type theorems*, Adv. Math., 312 (2017), pp. 374–424.
- [40] T. LINDVALL, *On Strassen’s theorem on stochastic domination*, Electron. Comm. Probab., 4 (1999), pp. 51–59.
- [41] F. MALRIEU, *Convergence to equilibrium for granular media equations and their Euler schemes*, Ann. Appl. Probab., 13 (2003), pp. 540–560.
- [42] H. MATANO, *Asymptotic behavior and stability of solutions of semilinear diffusion equations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 15 (1979), pp. 401–454.

- [43] —, *Existence of nontrivial unstable sets for equilibriums of strongly order preserving systems*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 30 (1984), pp. 645–673.
- [44] —, *Strongly order-preserving local semidynamical systems—theory and applications*, in Semigroups, theory and applications, Vol. I (Trieste, 1984), vol. 141 of Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986, pp. 178–185.
- [45] R. M. MAY AND W. J. LEONARD, *Nonlinear aspects of competition between three species*, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 29 (1975), pp. 243–253.
- [46] H. P. MCKEAN, JR., *A class of Markov processes associated with nonlinear parabolic equations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 56 (1966), pp. 1907–1911.
- [47] J. MIERCZYŃSKI AND W. SHEN, *Principal Lyapunov exponents and principal Floquet spaces of positive random dynamical systems. I. General theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 365 (2013), pp. 5329–5365.
- [48] M. NAGUMO, *Degree of mapping in convex linear topological spaces*, Amer. J. Math., 73 (1951), pp. 485–496.
- [49] R. NUSSBAUM, *The fixed point index for locally condensing maps*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 87 (1971), pp. 217–258.
- [50] S. RACHEV, *The Monge-Kantorovich mass transference problem and its stochastic applications*, Theory Probab. Appl., 29 (1984), pp. 647–676.
- [51] M. SHAKED AND J. G. SHANTHIKUMAR, *Stochastic orders*, Springer Series in Statistics, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [52] W. SHEN AND Y. WANG, *Carrying simplices in nonautonomous and random competitive Kolmogorov systems*, J. Differential Equations, 245 (2008), pp. 1–29.
- [53] W. SHEN AND Y. YI, *Almost automorphic and almost periodic dynamics in skew-product semiflows*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 136 (1998), pp. x+93.
- [54] H. L. SMITH, *Monotone dynamical systems*, vol. 41 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995. An introduction to the theory of competitive and cooperative systems.
- [55] —, *Monotone dynamical systems: reflections on new advances & applications*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 37 (2017), pp. 485–504.
- [56] P. TAKÁČ, *Convergence to equilibrium on invariant  $d$ -hypersurfaces for strongly increasing discrete-time semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl., 148 (1990), pp. 223–244.
- [57] —, *Domains of attraction of generic  $\omega$ -limit sets for strongly monotone discrete-time semigroups*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 423 (1992), pp. 101–173.

- [58] Y. TAMURA, *On asymptotic behaviors of the solution of a nonlinear diffusion equation*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., 31 (1984), pp. 195–221.
- [59] —, *Free energy and the convergence of distributions of diffusion processes of McKean type*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., 34 (1987), pp. 443–484.
- [60] J. TUGAUT, *Convergence to the equilibria for self-stabilizing processes in double-well landscape*, Ann. Probab., 41 (2013), pp. 1427–1460.
- [61] —, *Phase transitions of McKean-Vlasov processes in double-wells landscape*, Stochastics, 86 (2014), pp. 257–284.
- [62] A. Y. VERETENNIKOV, *On ergodic measures for McKean-Vlasov stochastic equations*, in Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods 2004, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 471–486.
- [63] C. VILLANI, *Optimal transport*, vol. 338 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. Old and new.
- [64] F.-Y. WANG, *Distribution dependent SDEs for Landau type equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 128 (2018), pp. 595–621.
- [65] —, *Exponential ergodicity for non-dissipative McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, Bernoulli, 29 (2023), pp. 1035–1062.
- [66] R. WILDER, *Topology of Manifolds*, R.I.: Amer. Math. Soc., American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1949.
- [67] J. WU, H. I. FREEDMAN, AND R. K. MILLER, *Heteroclinic orbits and convergence of orderpreserving set-condensing semiflows with applications to integrodifferential equations*, J. Integral Equations Appl., 7 (1995), pp. 115–133.
- [68] S.-Q. ZHANG, *Existence and non-uniqueness of stationary distributions for distribution dependent SDEs*, Electron. J. Probab., 28 (2023), pp. 1–34.
- [69] X.-Q. ZHAO, *Dynamical Systems in Population Biology, second edition*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2017.