

ON FINITE GROUPS WHOSE POWER GRAPH IS CLAW-FREE

PALLABI MANNA, SANTANU MANDAL, AND ANDREA LUCCHINI

ABSTRACT. A graph is called claw-free if it contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,3}$. The undirected power graph of a group G has vertices the elements of G , with an edge between g_1 and g_2 if one of the two cyclic subgroups $\langle g_1 \rangle, \langle g_2 \rangle$ is contained in the other. It is denoted by $P(G)$. The reduced power graph, denoted by $P^*(G)$, is the subgraph of $P(G)$ induced by the non-identity elements. The main purpose of this paper is to explore the finite groups whose reduced power graph is claw-free. In particular we prove that if $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then either G is solvable or G is an almost simple group. In the second case the socle of G is isomorphic to $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ for suitable choices of q . Finally we prove that if $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then the order of G is divisible by at most 5 different primes.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are numerous significant and well-known families of graphs that are likewise claw-free. This family includes line graphs, complement of triangle-free graphs, the graph of the icosahedron, the comparability graphs, and so on. The initial incentive to investigate the characteristics of claw-free graphs seems to have emerged from Beineke's characterization of line graphs in [3]. However, it was primarily in the late 1970s and early 1980s that the graph theory community began to pay attention to the class of claw-free graphs. In this time frame, the matching number of these graphs was noticed, and initial findings on Hamiltonian properties were validated. Still, perhaps more significant were the findings that the independence number can be found using a polynomial method and that Berge's Perfect Graph Conjecture holds true for the class of graphs that are free of claws.

In algebraic graph theory we enjoy fantastic applications of abstract algebra in graph theory. In this context, numerous graph structures to algebraic structures, such as groups, semigroups, rings, etc., were defined by various academics. This type of research aids in the characterization of the resultant graphs, the exploration of the algebraic structure from the isomorphic graph, and the recognition of a relationship between the related graphs and algebraic structures. Graph defined on groups has lot of collections such as the generating graph, enhanced power graph, commuting graph, soluble graph, and so on. From ancient to the latest collection, interested readers may follow the articles [2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 25]. The power graph is one of them. The concept of power graph was initially presented by Kelarev and Quinn in 2002 ([22]) as a directed graph.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C25, 20D10, 20D15, 20E32.

Key words and phrases. Power graph, claw-free graph, nilpotent groups, solvable groups, simple groups.

Definition 1.1. The directed power graph of a group G , denoted by $\vec{P}(G)$, is a graph whose vertices are the elements of the group G , and there is an arc $u \rightarrow v$ ($u \neq v$) if and only if $v = u^i$, for some positive integer i .

Later in 2009, Chakrabarty et al. defined the undirected power graph [11].

Definition 1.2. The undirected power graph (or simply power graph) of a group G , denoted by $P(G)$, is a graph whose vertices are the elements of the group G , in which two vertices u and v are connected by an edge if and only if either $u = v^i$ or $v = u^j$ for some positive integers i, j .

The reduced power graph, denoted by $P^*(G)$, is the graph $P(G) \setminus \{e\}$, where e is the identity of the group. In a variety of literature sources, power graphs have investigated in several ways. We refer to two survey publications [1, 24] for intriguing results regarding power graphs.

In terms of forbidden induced subgraphs, several important classes of graphs can be specified. Among other graph types, these classes include triangle-free graphs, claw-free graphs, chordal graphs, cograph, split, and threshold graphs. This topic was introduced for the different forbidden induced subgraphs of power graphs in an earlier study [15, 26]. In [9], the authors answered the question: “Which power graphs are cograph?”. Bera discussed the line graph characterization of the power graph in [4].

In the power graph, the identity element is adjacent to the other vertices of the graph. Therefore the condition ‘ $P(G)$ is claw-free’ can occur only if G is either a cyclic group of prime power order or a cyclic group of the form $C_{p^m q}$, where p, q are distinct primes and $m \geq 1$. However, the problem gets more intriguing if we remove the identity from the power graph.

This paper addresses the problem: “Identify the groups whose reduced power graph is claw-free”.

In Section 2 we introduce some preliminary considerations which allow, in particular, to obtain some information on finite nilpotent groups whose reduced power graph is claw-free. We prove that *if G is a p -group with p odd and $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then either G is cyclic or $\exp(G) = p$* . The situation is more complicate when $p = 2$: *if G is a non-cyclic 2-group and $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then either G is a dihedral group or $\exp(G) \leq 4$* . Moreover a *nilpotent group G whose reduce power-graph is claw-free is either a p -group or a cyclic group whose order is divisible by at most 2 different primes*. Finally we prove that if G is not nilpotent and $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then either G is indecomposable or there exist two distinct primes p and q such that $G = H \times K$ where $H \cong C_p$ and K is the semidirect product of a cyclic q -group Q and a cyclic p -subgroup P of $\text{Aut}(Q)$.

Our main result in the solvable case, proved in Section 3, is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a finite solvable group. If $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then $\pi(G) \leq 4$, denoting by $\pi(G)$ the number of distinct prime divisors of the order of G .*

The previous result is best possible. For example let $C = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, with $|x_1| = 31$, and $|x_2| = 61$; then $\langle x_1 \rangle$ has an automorphism α_1 of order 15 and $\langle x_2 \rangle$ has an automorphism α_2 of order 15. Let $\langle y \rangle \cong C_{15}$. Then we may define a semidirect product $G = C \rtimes \langle y \rangle \cong C_{31 \cdot 61} \rtimes C_{15}$, in which $(x_1 x_2)^y = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}$. It follows from Proposition 3.4 that $P^*(G)$ is claw-free.

In Section 4 we investigate the structure of finite groups G with claw-free reduced power graph, when the solvability assumption is removed. Our main result is:

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a finite group. If G is not solvable and $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then G is an almost simple group.*

Section 5 contains a complete classification of finite simple groups whose reduced power graph is claw-free. It turns out that if G is a finite non-abelian simple group and $P^*(G)$ is claw free, then $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, q)$. More precisely:

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be a finite non-abelian simple group. Then $P^*(G)$ is claw-free if and only if $G \cong \text{PSL}(2, q)$ and each of the two numbers $\frac{q \pm 1}{(2, q-1)}$ is either a prime-power or the product of a prime and a prime-power.*

Combining Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 we finally prove the following:

Theorem 1.6. *Let G be a finite group. If $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then $\pi(G) \leq 5$.*

The bound given in the previous statement is best possible. For example the order of $\text{PSL}(2, 64)$ is divisible by the five primes 2, 3, 5, 7, 13, and $P^*(\text{PSL}(2, 64))$ is claw-free (see Theorem 5.10).

This paper uses standard notations. To learn the fundamental concepts and symbols of group theory that are not covered here, the reader can consult any of [20, 28].

2. SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Assume that $P^*(G)$ contains a claw made by 3 pendent vertices a_1, a_2, a_3 and a central vertex b . For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, the directed graph $\vec{P}(G)$ contains at least one of the two edges $a_i \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow a_i$. Moreover it cannot be that $\vec{P}(G)$ contains $a_{i_1} \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow a_{i_2}$, for $a_{i_1} \neq a_{i_2}$, otherwise it would also contain the edge $a_{i_1} \rightarrow a_{i_2}$. It follows that there are two possible types of claws in $P^*(G)$:

- (1) the subgraph of $\vec{P}(G)$ induced by the four vertices a_1, a_2, a_3 and b consists of the three edges: $b \rightarrow a_1, b \rightarrow a_2, b \rightarrow a_3$. In this case we will say that a_1, a_2, a_3, b induces a claw of first type and we will use the symbol $(b \rightarrow a_1, a_2, a_3)$.
- (2) the subgraph of $\vec{P}(G)$ induced by the four vertices a_1, a_2, a_3 and b consists of the three edges: $a_1 \rightarrow b, a_2 \rightarrow b, a_3 \rightarrow b$. In this case we will say that a_1, a_2, a_3, b induces a claw of second type and we will use the symbol $(a_1, a_2, a_3 \rightarrow b)$.

Notice that if $(b \rightarrow a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is a claw of first type, then $\langle a_1 \rangle, \langle a_2 \rangle, \langle a_3 \rangle$ are incomparable subgroups of the cyclic group $\langle b \rangle$: this implies that $|b|$ cannot be a prime power, since the subgroup lattice of a cyclic p -group is a chain. In particular if every non-trivial element of G has prime-power order, then $P^*(G)$ does not contain claws of first type. Recall that a finite group is called an EPPO group if every non-identity element is of prime-power order. EPPO groups were first introduced in 1950 by Higman [18]; in 1960 Suzuki classified the simple EPPO groups [30] and a complete classification can be found in [17]. Assume that G is an EPPO group. Since $P^*(G)$ cannot contain claws of the first type, we deduce that $P^*(G)$ is claw-free if and only if G does not contain three distinct cyclic subgroups with non-trivial

intersection. In particular $P^*(G)$ is claw-free if all the non-trivial elements of G have prime order (this occurs if and only if G has exponent p , or G is a Frobenius group of order $p^a q$ and the Sylow p -subgroup of G has exponent p , or $G = A_5$ [12]).

Remark 2.1. Let g be a non-trivial element of a finite group G .

- (1) If g has ordne $p_1 p_2 p_3$ where p_1, p_2, p_3 are distinct primes, then $(g \rightarrow g^{p_1}, g^{p_2}, g^{p_3})$ is a claw of first type in $P^*(G)$.
- (2) If g has ordne $p_1^2 p_2^2$ where p_1, p_2 are distinct primes, then $(g \rightarrow g^{p_1^2}, g^{p_2^2}, g^{p_1 p_2})$ is a claw of first type in $P^*(G)$.

Remark 2.2. If $G = \langle g \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order $p^a q$, where p and q are distinct primes, then $P^*(G)$ is claw-free.

Proof. Assume that there exists a claw in $P^*(G)$ with pendants a_1, a_2, a_3 . Since the subgroup lattice of $\langle g^q \rangle$ is a chain, at most one of the three elements a_1, a_2, a_3 can belong to $\langle g^q \rangle$. So we may assume $a_1 = g^{p^{n_1}}$ and $a_2 = g^{p^{n_2}}$ with $n_1 \leq n_2$. But in this case $P^*(G)$ contains the edge $a_1 \rightarrow a_2$. \square

Remark 2.3. Let p be an odd prime and $G = C_{p^2} \times C_p$ or let $p = 2$ and $G = C_4 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Then $P^*(G)$ contains a claw of the second type.

Proof. The group G contains an element g of order p^2 and three pairwise distinct subgroups $\langle a_1 \rangle, \langle a_2 \rangle, \langle a_3 \rangle$ of order p , not contained in $\langle g \rangle$. It can be easily seen that $(a_1 g, a_2 g, a_3 g \rightarrow g^p)$ is a claw in $P^*(G)$. \square

Notice that the first part of the previous statement is not true when $p = 2$. Indeed if $G = C_4 \times C_4$, then every element of order 2 in G is contained in exactly two cyclic subgroups of order 4 and therefore $P^*(G)$ is a claw-free graph. As a consequence, $P^*(C_4 \times C_2)$ is also claw-free. However, when $p = 2$, the following weaker version of Remark 2.3 holds.

Remark 2.4. Let $G = C_2 \times C_8$. Then $P^*(G)$ contains a claw of the second type.

Proof. If a in an element of G of order 8 and b is an element of order 2 with $b \neq a^4$, then $(a, ab, a^2 b \rightarrow a^4)$ is a claw in $P^*(G)$. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be an abelian p -group. If G is cyclic or has exponent p , then $P^*(G)$ is claw-free. Moreover, if G is neither cyclic nor elementary abelian, then $P^*(G)$ is claw-free if and only if $p = 2$ and either $G \cong C_4 \times C_2$ or $G \cong C_4 \times C_4$.*

Proposition 2.6. *Let p be an odd prime. If G is a finite p -group, then $P^*(G)$ is claw-free if and only if either G is cyclic or G has exponent p .*

Proof. Assume that G is not cyclic and contains an element g of order p^2 and that $P^*(G)$ is claw-free. By the previous corollary, G is non-abelian. First assume that G is not of maximal class. By [5, Exercise 5, §10], there exists a non-cyclic abelian subgroup H of G that contains g . By Remark 2.3, $P^*(H)$ contains a claw, so we get a contradiction. So we may assume that G is of maximal class. But then G contains a normal subgroup N with $N \cong C_p \times C_p$. Let $K = NC$, with $C = \langle g \rangle$. It cannot be $N \cap C = 1$. Indeed in this case $(Z(K) \cap N)C$ is a non-cyclic abelian group of exponent p^2 and its reduce power graph contains a claw. We remains with the case when K is a non-abelian p -group of exponent p^2 . But then $K \cong C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p = \langle a, b | a^{p^2} = b^p = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{p+1} \rangle$ and $P^*(K)$ contains the claw $(a, ab, a^2 b \rightarrow a^p)$. \square

It is possible to prove a weaker result when $p = 2$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a non-cyclic 2-group. If $P^*(G)$ is claw-free then either G has exponent at most 4 or G is a dihedral group.*

Proof. Assume that G is a non-cyclic 2 group containing an element x of order 8. By [5, Proposition 10.30] either G is a dihedral group (in which case it can be easily checked that $P^*(G)$ is claw-free) or one of the following holds:

- 1) $G \cong Q_{2^{n+1}}$ is a generalized quaternion group of order 2^{n+1} , with $n \geq 3$. In this case G contains a cyclic normal subgroup N of order 2^n , a unique involution and all the elements in $G \setminus N$ have order 4. Thus $P^*(G)$ contains a claw.
- 2) $G \cong \text{SD}_{2^{n+1}}$ is a semidihedral group of order 2^{n+1} , with $n \geq 3$. In this case G contains a subgroup H isomorphic to Q_{2^n} , so $P^*(H)$ contains a claw.
- 3) G contains an elements y of order 8 such that $\langle x \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle$ has order 4. Let $K = \langle x, y \rangle$. Then K is a group of order 16, containing two different cyclic subgroups of order 8. There are two possibilities: $K \cong C_8 \times C_2$ or $K \cong \langle a, b \mid a^8 = 1, b^2 = 1, a^b = a^5 \rangle$. In the first case we already know that $P^*(K)$ is not-claw free. In the second case, it can be easily checked that $(a, ab, a^2b \rightarrow a^4)$ is a claw in $P^*(K)$. \square

We need the following lemma to obtain more information on the 2-groups of exponent 4 whose reduced power graph is claw-free.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that G is a finite 2-group of exponent 4. If $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then G is Q_8 -free.*

Proof. We prove by induction on $|G|$ that if G has exponent 4 and a section X/Y of G is isomorphic to the quaternion group Q_8 , then $P^*(G)$ contains a claw. We may assume $Y \neq 1$, otherwise $X \cong Q_8$ and $P^*(Y)$ contains a claw. Let $Z = \langle z \rangle$ be a subgroup of order 2 contained in $Y \cap Z(X)$. By induction $P^*(X/Z)$ contains a claw $(a_1Z, a_2Z, a_3Z \rightarrow bZ)$. For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, since $4 = |a_iZ| \leq |a_i| \leq 4$, we have $|a_i| = 4$, which implies in particular $\langle a_i \rangle \cap Z = 1$. Moreover b has order 2, $\langle b \rangle Z \cong C_2 \times C_2$ and $\{a_1^2, a_2^2, a_3^2\} \subseteq \{b, bz\}$. Thus we may assume $a_1^2 = a_2^2$, but then $(a_1, a_2, a_1z \rightarrow a_1^2)$ is a claw in $P^*(G)$. \square

Combining the previous lemma with the classification of the quaternion-free 2-group (see [21] and [31]), we obtain the following result:

Proposition 2.9. *Let G be a non-abelian 2-group of exponent 4. If $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then $G = N\langle x \rangle$, where N is an abelian normal subgroup of G . Moreover $|x| \leq 4$ and either N is elementary abelian or $N \leq C_4 \times C_4$.*

Proof. Assume that G is a non-abelian 2-group of exponent 4 and that $P^*(G)$ is claw-free. By the previous lemma, G is Q_8 -free. By [21, Proposition 1.6], if G were modular, then the condition $\exp(G) = 4$ would imply that G is abelian. So G is non-modular and one of the three conditions (a), (b), (c) described in [21, Theorem 1.7] occurs. We may exclude case (c), since it implies the existence of an element in G of order at least 16. In cases (a) and (b) $G = N\langle x \rangle$, where N is a normal abelian subgroup. Since $P^*(N)$ is claw-free, either $N \leq C_4 \times C_4$ or N is an elementary abelian 2-group. \square

Although it is difficult to give a complete description of the finite p -groups whose reduced power graph is claw-free, it is easy to describe the nilpotent groups that have this property and are not p -groups. For this purpose, the following easy observation is needed.

Remark 2.10. If $G \cong C_p \times C_p \times C_q$, with p and q different primes, then $P^*(G)$ contains a claw of second type.

Proof. The group G contains three different subgroups $\langle a_1 \rangle, \langle a_2 \rangle, \langle a_3 \rangle$ of order p and a subgroup $\langle b \rangle$ of order q . It suffices to notice that $(a_1b, a_2b, a_3b \rightarrow b)$ is a claw in $P^*(G)$. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose that G is a finite nilpotent group and that $P^*(G)$ is claw-free. Then either G is a p -group or G is cyclic. In the second case $|G| = p^nq$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and p, q are distinct primes.*

Proof. If $\pi(G) \geq 3$, then $\pi(\langle g \rangle) = 3$ for some $g \in G$, and it follows from Remark 2.1 (1) that $P^*(G)$ contains a claw. Hence we may assume that $G \cong P \times Q$, where P is a p -group and Q is a q -group. Suppose that Q is not cyclic. Then either Q contains a subgroup isomorphic to $C_q \times C_q$ or Q is a generalized quaternion group. It can be easily seen that if Q is a generalized quaternion group, then $P^*(Q)$ contains a claw. On the other hand if Q contains $C_q \times C_q$ then G contains $C_q \times C_q \times C_p$ and $P^*(G)$ contains a claw by the previous remark. So if G is claw-free then Q (and P for the same reason) is cyclic. Hence G itself is cyclic and the conclusion follows from Remark 2.1 (2). \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let G be a finite group, g a non-trivial element of G and $C = C_G(g)$. If $P^*(C)$ is claw-free then $\pi(C) \leq 2$. Moreover if $\pi(C) = 2$, then one of the Sylow subgroups of C is cyclic and normal.*

Proof. It is not restrictive to assume $|g| = p$, with p a prime. Assume that q is a different prime divisor of $|C|$ and let Q be a Sylow p -subgroup of C . Since $\langle g \rangle Q$ is nilpotent, by Proposition 2.11, Q is cyclic. Moreover Q is normal in C , otherwise C would contain three different Sylow q -subgroups, say $\langle y_1 \rangle, \langle y_2 \rangle, \langle y_3 \rangle$ and $P^*(C)$ would contain the claw $(gy_1, gy_2, gy_3 \rightarrow g)$. If q_1 and q_2 were two different prime divisors of $|C|$, with $p \notin \{q_1, q_2\}$, then the q_1 -Sylow subgroup and the q_2 -Sylow subgroup would both be normal and C would contain an element y of order q_1q_2 . But then gy would have order pq_1q_2 and C would contain a claw by Remark 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.13. *Assume that G is a finite non-nilpotent group that can be decomposed as direct product of non-trivial factors. If $P^*(G)$ is claw free, then there exist two distinct primes p and q such that $G = H \times K$ where $H \cong C_p$ and K is the semidirect product of a cyclic q -group Q and a cyclic p -subgroup P of $\text{Aut}(Q)$. Moreover either $P \cong C_p$ or $p = 2$ and $P \cong C_4$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G = H \times K$, with $H \neq 1$ and K not nilpotent. Then, for a suitable prime divisor p of $|K|$, K contains a Sylow p -subgroup, say P , which is not normal in K .

We claim that H is a p -group. Indeed, assume that H contains an element $\langle x \rangle$ of prime order q , with $q \neq p$. Then the reduced power graph $\langle x \rangle \times P$ is claw-free, and by Proposition 2.11, P is cyclic. Since P is not normal in K , K contains three distinct Sylow p -subgroups $P_1 = \langle y_1 \rangle, P_2 = \langle y_2 \rangle, P_3 = \langle y_3 \rangle$. But then $P^*(G)$ contains the claw $(xy_1, xy_2, xy_3 \rightarrow x)$.

Since G is not nilpotent, there exists a prime $q \neq p$ dividing $|K|$. Since K centralizes the elements of H , it follows from the Proposition 2.12 and its proof that q is the unique prime divisor of $|K|$ different from p and that the Sylow q -subgroup Q of G is cyclic and normal in C .

Since $H \times Q$ is nilpotent and its reduced power graph is claw-free, H is a cyclic p -group. Moreover we have proved that $K = QP$ with P a p -group and Q a normal cyclic q -subgroup. We claim that $|H| = p$. Otherwise H contains an element x of order p^2 and K an element y of order p and an element z of order q . In this case $(x, xy, x^p z \rightarrow x^p)$ is a claw in $P^*(G)$. We have also $C_P(Q) = 1$, otherwise K contains an element of order pq and $C_p \times C_p \times C_q$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of G . Thus $P \leq \text{Aut}(Q)$ is cyclic. Finally, since $P^*(H \times P)$ is claw-free, either $P \cong C_p$ or $p = 2$ and $P \cong C_4$. \square

3. SOLVABLE GROUPS

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3. A key ingredient in the proof is the following property of Frobenius complements.

Lemma 3.1. [27, Lemma 2.1] *Suppose X is a Frobenius complement. Then every Sylow subgroup of X is cyclic or generalized quaternion. If X has an odd order, then any two elements of prime order commute, and X is metacyclic. If X has an even order, then X contains a unique involution.*

The proof will also use the following remark.

Remark 3.2. Let x be an element of G of prime order p . If $C_G(x)$ contains three cyclic subgroups $\langle a_1 \rangle, \langle a_2 \rangle, \langle a_3 \rangle$ of prime orders, say p_1, p_2, p_3 , and $p \notin \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$, then $(a_1 x, a_2 x, a_3 x \rightarrow x)$ is a claw in $P^*(G)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G = P \rtimes Q$, where P is a non-cyclic elementary abelian p -group and Q is a q -group, with p and q different primes. If $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then $C_P(y) = 1$ for every $1 \neq y \in Q$.*

Proof. Assume that, for some $1 \neq y \in Q$, $C := C_P(y) \neq 1$. If C is not cyclic, then it contains at least $p + 1$ subgroups of order p , but then, by Remark 3.2, $P^*(G)$ contains a claw. So $C = \langle x \rangle$, with $|x| = p$. Moreover $1 = [x^z, y^z] = [x, y^z]$ for every $z \in P$: this implies that $|P : C| \leq 2$, otherwise we have at least 3 different conjugates of $\langle y \rangle$, all commuting with x . If $p \neq 2$, we would have $C = P = \langle x \rangle$, against the assumption that P is not cyclic. We reach the same conclusion if $p = 2$. Indeed if $C \neq P$, then $P \cong C_2 \times C_2$ and y centralizes a subgroup of C of order 2. This would imply that y centralizes P and $C = P$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that G is a minimal counterexample. Since $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, $P^*(H)$ is claw-free for every subgroup H of G . In particular $\pi(H) \leq 4$ for every proper subgroup H of G . Let N be a maximal normal subgroup of G . Then $|G : N| = p_1$ is a prime and p_1 does not divide N , otherwise $\pi(G) = \pi(N) \leq 4$. In particular $G = N \rtimes C_{p_1}$ and $\pi(N) = 4$. Now let N/M be a chief factor of G . Then N/M is a p_2 -group for some prime p_2 . Moreover, if P_1 is a Sylow p_1 -subgroup of G , then $MP_1 < G$ and therefore $\pi(MP_1) \leq 4$. Since $\pi(G) = \pi(MP_1) \cup \{p_2\}$, we must have that $p_2 \notin \pi(MP_1)$ and therefore $G = (M \rtimes P_2) \rtimes P_1$, being P_2 a Sylow p_2 -subgroup of N . Repeating the argument, we may conclude that $G = (((P_5 \rtimes P_4) \rtimes P_3) \rtimes P_2) \rtimes P_1$ where P_i is a Sylow p_i -subgroup of G and it is elementary abelian; more precisely P_i is isomorphic to a chief factor of G .

We distinguish two cases:

1) There exists $1 \neq x \in P_5$ such that $C_G(x) > P_5$. Then there exists y of order q (with $q \in \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$) such that $[x, y] = 1$. By Lemma 3.3, $P_5 = \langle x \rangle$. So

$X := C_G(x) = C_G(P_5)$ is a normal subgroup of G . By Remark 3.2, X must contain a unique subgroup of order q , so $\langle y \rangle$ is characteristic in X (and therefore normal in G). But then we may assume $P_5 P_4 = \langle xy \rangle$. Now consider $Y = C_G(xy)$: it must be $Y = \langle x, y \rangle$ (otherwise we would have in G an element of order divisible by 3 different primes). This $G/C_G(xy) \cong P_3 P_2 P_1$ is abelian, a contradiction.

2) $H = P_4 P_3 P_2 P_1$ acts fixed point freely on P_5 . But then H is a Frobenius complement, and we may apply Lemma 3.1. If $|H|$ is even, then H contains a unique involution and this involution commutes with all the elements of H of prime order. In this case we may assume $p_4 = 2$. If $|H|$ is odd, then every two elements of H of prime order commute. In any case, G would contain cyclic subgroups of order $p_4 p_3$, $p_4 p_2$, $p_4 p_1$, containing the same subgroup of order p_4 . \square

In order to see that the bound $\pi(G) \leq 4$ in Theorem 1.3 is best possible, we analyze what happens if $|G| = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$, with p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 four distinct primes.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that the order of G is the product of four distinct primes. Then $P^*(G)$ is claw-free if and only if $G \cong C_{p_1 p_2} \rtimes C_{p_3 p_4}$, where p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 are distinct primes, and the centralizer in G of every non-trivial element of $C_{p_1 p_2}$ coincides with $C_{p_1 p_2}$.*

Proof. Since all the Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic, G is solvable (see [20, Corollary 5.15]). Let F be the Fitting subgroup of G and assume that $P^*(G)$ is claw-free. Then F is cyclic, so, since $P^*(F)$ is claw-free, we must have $\pi(F) \leq 2$. Thus we may assume that either $|F| = p_1$ or $|F| = p_1 p_2$.

First assume $|F| = p_1$. By [28, 5.4.4], $C_G(F) = F$, so G/F is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F)$. But $\text{Aut}(C_{p_1})$ is cyclic, so G/F is cyclic of order $p_2 p_3 p_4$. Hence G contains an element of order $p_2 p_3 p_4$, in contradiction with Remark 2.1 (1).

Assume now that $|F| = \langle x \rangle$ is cyclic of order $p_1 p_2$. Again we use the fact that $C_G(F) = F$ to deduce that G/F is an abelian group. This implies that $G = F \rtimes K$, with $K \cong C_{p_3} C_{p_4}$. Now let $1 \neq y \in F$. By Proposition 2.12, $\pi(C_G(y)) \leq 2$. Since $F \leq C_G(y)$, we deduce $F = C_G(y)$.

Conversely assume $C_G(P_1) = C_G(P_2) = F$. Then the nontrivial subgroups of G are precisely the following: $F, P_1, P_2, p_1 p_2$ subgroups of order $p_3, p_1 p_2$ subgroups of order p_4 and $p_1 p_2$ subgroups of order $p_3 p_4$. In particular every subgroup of prime order in G is properly contained in a unique cyclic subgroup of G and therefore $P^*(G)$ is claw-free. \square

4. NON SOLVABLE GROUPS

Before to prove Theorem 1.4 we need some preliminary results. The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.12.

Proposition 4.1. *Let G be a finite group. If $P^*(G)$ is claw-free and $\pi(G) \geq 3$, then $Z(G) = 1$. In particular if $P^*(G)$ is claw-free and G is not solvable, then $Z(G) = 1$.*

Proposition 4.2. *If $P^*(G)$ is claw-free, then either G is almost simple or $F(G) = F^*(G)$, where $F(G)$ and $F^*(G)$ are the Fitting subgroup and the generalized Fitting subgroup of G respectively.*

Proof. Suppose $F^*(G) \neq F(G)$. Then G contains a subnormal quasisimple subgroup X . By Proposition 4.1 $Z(X) = 1$ and therefore X is a non-abelian simple

group. Moreover $F^*(X) = XC$, with $C = C_{F^*(G)}(X)$. It follows from Theorem 2.13 that $C = 1$. So we conclude that $X = F^*(G)$ is a non-abelian simple group and therefore G is almost simple. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let G be a minimal counterexample. In particular G is not almost simple, so by Proposition 4.2 $F^*(G) = F(G)$. We will use several times in the proof that this implies $F(G) \neq 1$ and $C_G(F(G)) = Z(F(G))$.

Let $R(G)$ be the solvable radical of G and Q a Sylow subgroup of $R(G)$. By the Frattini argument, $G = R(G)N_G(Q)$. Assume that $N_G(Q) < G$. Then $N_G(Q)$ is not solvable, and $P^*(N_G(Q))$ is claw-free, hence by the minimality of G , it follows that $N_G(Q)$ is almost simple. But this is not possible, since Q is normal in $N_G(Q)$. Thus all the Sylow subgroups of $R(G)$ are normal in G . But then $R(G)$ is nilpotent and therefore $F(G) = R(G)$. If $F(G)$ is not a p -group, then by Proposition 2.11, $F(G)$ is cyclic. Since $C_G(F(G)) \leq F(G)$, we would have that $G/F(G) \leq \text{Aut}(F(G))$ is abelian. Thus G is solvable, a contradiction. So $F(G)$ is a p -group, for a suitable prime p .

Let $N/F(G)$ be a minimal normal subgroup of $G/F(G)$. Since $F(G) = R(G)$, $N/F(G)$ is non-solvable. If $N \neq G$, then, by the minimality of G , N is almost simple, in contradiction with the fact that $F(G)$ is a non-trivial nilpotent normal subgroup of N . Thus $G = N$ and $G/F(G)$ is isomorphic to a non-abelian simple group S .

We claim that $p = 2$. Indeed if $p \neq 2$, then a Sylow 2-subgroup P of G is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of S . In particular P contains a subgroup isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$. By Lemma 3.1, the action of P on $F(G)$ is not fixed-point-free, hence $F(G)P$ is not claw-free since it contains a subgroup isomorphic to $C_p \times C_2 \times C_2$.

Suppose that $F(G)$ contains an element g of order 4. Then the subgroup X generated by the elements of order 2 in $Z(F(G))$ has order at most 4 (otherwise $C_F(g)$ would contain a subgroup isomorphic to $C_4 \times C_2 \times C_2$). It follows from Proposition 4.1, that $C_G(X) = F$. But then G/F is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(X)$, which is solvable, a contradiction. So F is an elementary abelian 2-group.

Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . Notice that P is non-abelian, otherwise $P \leq C_G(F(G)) = F(G)$, in contradiction with the fact that $P/F(G)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of a non-abelian simple group. Moreover P cannot be a dihedral group; otherwise F would be a normal subgroup of index at least 4 of a dihedral group, and therefore F would be cyclic and $G/F \leq \text{Aut}(F)$ would be abelian. It follows from Proposition 2.7 that P has exponent 4, so we may apply Proposition 2.9 to deduce that $P = N\langle x \rangle$, with N an abelian normal subgroup of P . Moreover, since $P/F(G)$ is isomorphic to a Sylow 2-subgroup of a non-abelian simple group, $P/F(G)$ is not cyclic.

First assume that $N \leq C_4 \times C_4$. This implies $F(G) \leq C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. Since $G/F(G)$ is a non-abelian simple group, the unique possibility is that $F(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ and $G/F(G) \cong \text{SL}(3, 2)$. In particular G is perfect of order 1344. Using the library of perfect groups available in GAP [16], it can be checked that there are two possibilities. Either $G \cong \text{AGL}(3, 2)$ or $F(G)$ is the Frattini subgroup of G . In the first case in G there are 16 subgroups of order 6 containing the same involution, in the second case G contains an element of order 8. So in both the cases, $P^*(G)$ is not claw-free.

Now assume that N is an elementary abelian 2-group. Since $P/F(G)$ is not cyclic, N is not contained in $F(G)$. This implies $N \not\leq C_P(F(G))$. Let $y \in F(G) \setminus$

$C_P(N)$. Then $\langle y \rangle N$ is non-abelian and therefore there exists $n \in N$ such that $z = yn$ has order 4. Since P contains no subgroup isomorphic to $C_4 \times C_2 \times C_2$, we deduce $|C_N(z)| \leq 4$. Since $F(G)$ is abelian, $F(G) \cap N \leq C_N(z) = C_N(y) \leq C_2 \times C_2$. This would imply $F(G) \leq C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$. We can conclude as in the previous paragraph. \square

5. NON-ABELIAN SIMPLE GROUPS

It is well known that a finite non-abelian simple group contains a four-subgroup, since no simple group has a cyclic group or a quaternion group for its Sylow 2-subgroup. Moreover if G is a finite non-abelian simple group and $P^*(G)$ is claw-free then no subgroup of G can be isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_p$ with p an odd prime. This implies that the centralizer of every four-subgroup is a 2-group. Thus a key role in the study of finite simple groups whose reduced-power graph is claw-free is played by the following result:

Theorem 5.1. [29, Theorem 1] *A finite simple group in which the centralizer of every four-subgroup is a 2-group is isomorphic with one of the following:*

- (1) $\text{PSL}(2, q)$, $\text{Sz}(q)$, J_1 , M_{11} , $\text{PSL}(3, 4)$, ${}^2F_4(2)'$,
- (2) $\text{PSL}(3, q)$, $\text{PSU}(3, q)$ or $G_2(q)$, for suitable odd $q \geq 3$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.5 it suffices to investigate the groups listed in the statement of the previous theorem.

Lemma 5.2. $P^*(J_1)$ is not claw-free.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.1, noticing that J_1 contains a subgroup isomorphic to $C_2 \times A_5$ [14]. \square

Lemma 5.3. $P^*(M_{11})$ is not claw-free.

Proof. It suffice to notice that any involution of M_{11} is contained in three different cyclic subgroups of order 4. \square

Lemma 5.4. Let $G = \text{Sz}(q)$, where $q = 2^{2k+1}$, where $k \geq 1$ is a positive integer. Then $P^*(G)$ is never claw-free.

Proof. According to [30], a Sylow 2-subgroup of G has order q^2 ; it contains $q - 1$ elements of order 2, and $q^2 - q$ elements of order 4. So, at least $\frac{q}{2}$ subgroups of order 4 share a common subgroup of order 2. Since $q/2 \geq 3$, this implies that the reduced power graph of a Sylow 2-subgroup of G always contains a claw. \square

Lemma 5.5. $P^*(\text{PSL}(3, 4))$ is not claw-free.

Proof. It suffices to prove that in $\text{PSL}(3, 4)$ every involution is the square of precisely 12 elements of order 4. \square

Lemma 5.6. If q is odd, then $P^*(\text{PSL}(3, q))$ is not claw-free.

Proof. $\text{PSL}(3, q)$ contains a subgroup $H \cong \text{SL}(2, q)$. If $q \neq 3$, then H is not solvable and $Z(H)$ has order 2. But then $P^*(H)$ contains a claw by Proposition 4.1. It is not difficult to check that $\text{PSL}(3, 3)$ contains 3 different cyclic subgroups of order 4 containing the same subgroup of order 2. \square

Lemma 5.7. If q is odd, then $P^*(G_2(q))$ is not claw-free.

Proof. When q is odd, $G_2(q)$ contains a subgroup H isomorphic to $SL(3, q)$ [23]. If $Z(H) = 1$, then $H \cong PSL(3, q)$ and we conclude from the previous lemma that $P^*(H)$ contains a claw. If $Z(H) \neq 1$, then the same conclusion is ensured by Proposition 4.1. \square

Lemma 5.8. *$P^*({}^2F_4(2)')$ is not claw-free.*

Proof. It suffices to notice that ${}^2F_4(2)'$ contains a subgroup $H \cong PSL(3, 3)$ [14] and that $P^*(H)$ is claw-free by Lemma 5.6. \square

Lemma 5.9. *If q is odd, then $P^*(PSU(3, q))$ is not claw-free.*

Proof. $PSU(3, q)$ contains a subgroup $H \cong SL(2, q)$. If $q \neq 3$, then H is not solvable and $Z(H)$ has order 2. But then $P^*(H)$ contains a claw by Proposition 4.1. If $q = 3$ the conclusion follows from the fact that every element of $PSU(3, 3)$ of order 2 is contained in 4 different cyclic subgroups of order 4. \square

Theorem 5.10. *Let $G = PSL(2, q)$ and let $d = (q - 1, 2)$. Then $P^*(G)$ is claw-free if and only if each of the two numbers $(q \pm 1)/d$ is either a prime power or the product of some prime with a prime-power.*

Proof. Let Ω be the set of positive integers that are either prime-power or of the form $p^n q$, where p and q are distinct primes and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover let $z_1 = (q - 1)/d$ and $z_2 = (q + 1)/d$. Assume that $q = p^t$, with p a prime, and let K be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . By [19, II, Satz 8.5] G contains a cyclic subgroup H_1 of order z_1 and a cyclic subgroup H_2 of order z_2 and the conjugates of K, H_1, H_2 give rise to a partition of G . Thus $P^*(G)$ is claw-free if and only if $P^*(K), P^*(H_1)$ and $P^*(H_2)$ are claw-free. On the other hand $P^*(K)$ is claw-free since K is an elementary abelian p -group, while, by Remark 2.1, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $P^*(H_i)$ is claw-free if and only if $z_i \in \Omega$. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Assume that $P^*(G)$ is claw-free. If G is solvable, then $\pi(G) \leq 4$ by Theorem 1.3. So assume that G is almost simple. Let $S = \text{soc}(G)$. By Theorem 1.5, $S = PSL(2, q)$, with $q = p^f$ for a suitable prime p . Let $d = (q - 1, 2)$. Since $|S| = q(q - 1)(q + 1)/d$, it follows from Theorem 1.5 that $\pi(S) \leq 5$. Recall that the order of G/S divides $2f$. Assume that r is a prime dividing $|G|$ but not $|S|$. Then r divides f . Let $u = f/r$ and let g be an element of order r in f . Then $C_S(g) \cong PSL(2, p^u)$ and G contains a subgroup H isomorphic to $PSL(2, p^u) \times C_r$. However $|PSL(2, p^u)|$ is divisible by at least two different primes and is coprime with r , so $P^*(H)$ contains a claw by Theorem 2.13. \square

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Pallabi Manna expresses gratitude to the Department of Atomic Energy (DAE), India for providing funding (in the form of post-doctoral fellowship) during this work. Santanu Mandal acknowledges the infrastructure provided by VIT Bhopal University, India.

8. STATEMENTS AND DECLARATIONS

Conflict of interest: On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

9. DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data were created or analyzed in this study.

REFERENCES

1. J. Abawajy, A. Kelarev and M. Chowdhury, Power graphs: a survey, *Electron. J Graph Theory Appl.* (2013), 125-147.
2. G. Arunkumar, P. J. Cameron, R. K. Nath and L. Selvaganesh, Super Graphs on Groups, I, *Graphs Combin.* **38(100)** (2022), 1-14.
3. L.W. Beineke, Characterizations of derived graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **9** (1970),129-135.
4. S. Bera, Line graph characterization of power graphs of finite nilpotent groups, *Comm. Algebra* **50** (2022), no. 11, 4652-4668.
5. Y. Berkovich, *Groups of prime power order. Vol. 1.* With a foreword by Zvonimir Janko. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 46. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2008.
6. P. Bhowal, P. J. Cameron, R. K. Nath and B. Sambale, Solvable conjugacy class graph of groups, *Discrete Math.* **344(8)** (2023), 113467.
7. S. Biswas, P. J. Cameron, A. Das and H. K. Dey, On the difference of the enhanced power graph and the power graph of a finite group, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, **208** (2024), 105932.
8. T. C. Burness, A. Lucchini and D. Nemmi, On the soluble graph of a finite group, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **194** (2023), 105708.
9. P. J. Cameron, P. Manna and R. Mehatari, On finite groups whose power graph is a cograph, *J. Algebra* **591** (2021), 59-74.
10. P. J. Cameron, Graphs defined on groups, *Int. J. Group Theory* **11(2)** (2022), 53-107.
11. I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh and M.K. Sen, Undirected power graphs of semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **78** (2009) 410-426.
12. Kai Nah, M. Deaconescu, Mong-Lung Lang and Wu Jie Shi, Corrigendum and addendum to: "Classification of finite groups with all elements of prime order" *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1989), no. 3, 625-629.
13. M. J. Collins, The characterisation of the Suzuki groups by their Sylow 2-subgroups, *Math. Z.* **123**, (1971), 32-48.
14. J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R.A Parker, R.A. Wilson, *ATLAS of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1985).
15. A. Doostabadi, A. Erfanian and M. Farrokhi D. G., On power graphs of finite groups with forbidden induced subgraphs, *Indag. Math. (N. S.)* (2014).
16. The GAP group, GAP-groups, algorithms and programming, Version 4.11.1, 2021. Available at: <http://www.gap-system.org>.
17. H. Heineken, On groups all of whose elements have prime power order, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* 106A (2006), no. 2, 191-198.
18. G. Higman, Finite groups in which every element has prime power order *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 32 (1957), pp. 335-342.
19. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Berlin-Heidelberg, Springer (1967)
20. I. M. Isaacs, *Finite group theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 92. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. xii+350 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4344-4
21. Z. Janko, Finite quaternion-free 2-groups, *Israel J. Math.* 154 (2006), 157-184.
22. A. Kelarev and S.J. Quinn, Directed graphs and combinatorial properties of semigroups, *J. Algebra* **251(1)** (2002) 16-26.
23. P. B. Kleidman, The maximal subgroups of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ with q odd, the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$ and of their automorphism groups, *J. Algebra*, **117** (1988), 30-71.
24. A. Kumar, L. Selvaganesh, P. J. Cameron and T. Tamizh Chelvam, Recent developments on the power graph of finite groups - a survey, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* **18**, (2021), 65-94.
25. A. Lucchini, The independence graph of a finite group, *Monatsh. Math.* **193** (2020), 845-856.
26. Pallabi Manna, Peter J. Cameron and Ranjit Mehatari, Forbidden subgraphs of power graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.*, **28(3)** (2021), Paper NO. 3.4, 14pp.

27. G. L. Morgan and C. W. Parker, The diameter of the commuting graph of a finite group with trivial centre, *J. Algebra*, **393** (2013), 41-59.
28. D. J. S. Robinson, A course in the theory of groups, *Springer, second ed.*, New York, (1996).
29. S. A. Syskin, Finite groups with primary centralizers of four-subgroups, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **42** (1978), no. 5, 1132–1150, 1184.
30. M. Suzuki, On a class of doubly transitive groups, *Ann. Math.*, **75** (1962), 105-145.
31. B. Wilkens, On quaternion-free 2-groups, *J. Algebra*, **258** (2002), no. 2, 477-492.

PALLABI MANNA, HARISH CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, PRAYAGRAJ - 211019, INDIA
Email address: mannapallabimath001@gmail.com

SANTANU MANDAL, SCHOOL OF COMPUTING SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, VIT BHOPAL UNIVERSITY, BHOPAL-466114, INDIA
Email address: santanu.vumath@gmail.com

A. LUCCHINI, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "TULLIO LEVI-CIVITA",
VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY
Email address: lucchini@math.unipd.it