

The generator gradient estimator is an adjoint state method for stochastic differential equations

Quentin Badolle¹, Ankit Gupta¹, Mustafa Khammash^{1*}

¹Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering, ETH Zurich, Basel, Switzerland

* mustafa.khammash@bsse.ethz.ch

Abstract

Motivated by the increasing popularity of overparameterized Stochastic Differential Equations (SDEs) like Neural SDEs, Wang, Blanchet and Glynn recently introduced the *generator gradient estimator*, a novel unbiased stochastic gradient estimator for SDEs whose computation time remains stable in the number of parameters [1]. In this note, we demonstrate that this estimator is in fact an adjoint state method, an approach which is known to scale with the number of states and *not* the number of parameters in the case of Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs). In addition, we show that the generator gradient estimator is a close analogue to the exact Integral Path Algorithm (eIPA) estimator which was introduced by Gupta, Rathinam and Khammash for a class of Continuous-Time Markov Chains (CTMCs) known as stochastic chemical reactions networks (CRNs) [2].

Given a terminal time T , we consider a family of diffusions $\{X_\theta^x(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^d : s \in [t, T]\}$ indexed by an initial condition $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ at time t and a parameter $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The dynamics of the process $(X_\theta^x(t, s))_{s \in [t, T]}$ are generated by an Itô SDE given by:

$$X_\theta^x(t, s) = x + \int_t^s \mu_\theta(r, X_\theta^x(t, r))dr + \int_t^s \sigma_\theta(r, X_\theta^x(t, r))dB(r), \quad (1)$$

where μ_θ is the drift term and σ_θ the volatility term. We are interested in estimating the gradient of:

$$v_\theta(t, x) := E \left[\int_t^T \rho_\theta(s, X_\theta^x(t, s))ds + g_\theta(X_\theta^x(t, T)) \right], \quad (2)$$

where ρ_θ represents the reward rate and g_θ the terminal reward.

1 The generator gradient estimator as an adjoint state method

As in [1], without loss of generality, we focus on the gradient of v_θ at time $t = 0$. For ease of exposition, we introduce $X_\theta^x(t) := X_\theta^x(0, t)$. We denote a_θ the diffusion matrix given by:

$$a_\theta(t, x) := \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\theta(t, x) \sigma_\theta(t, x)^\top, \quad (3)$$

which we use to define the generator \mathcal{L}_θ of $(X_\theta^x(t))$ as:

$$\mathcal{L}_\theta f(t, x) := (\nabla f(t, x))^\top \mu_\theta(t, x) + \text{Tr}(\nabla^2 f(t, x) a_\theta(t, x)), \quad (4)$$

where f is a twice differentiable function. ∇ corresponds to a space gradient, ∇^2 to a space hessian and Tr to the trace operator. It was rigorously shown in [1] that the derivative of v_θ can be expressed as:

$$\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, x) = E \left[\int_0^T \left(\partial_{\theta_i} \mathcal{L}_\theta v_\theta(t, X_\theta^x(t)) + \partial_{\theta_i} \rho_\theta(t, X_\theta^x(t)) \right) dt + \partial_{\theta_i} g_\theta(X_\theta^x(T)) \right], \quad (5)$$

where $\partial_{\theta_i} \mathcal{L}_\theta$ has been defined as:

$$\partial_{\theta_i} \mathcal{L}_\theta f(t, x) := (\nabla f(t, x))^\top \partial_{\theta_i} \mu_\theta(t, x) + \text{Tr}(\nabla^2 f(t, x) \partial_{\theta_i} a_\theta(t, x)), \quad (6)$$

and ∂_{θ_i} denotes the element-wise partial derivative with respect to the i -th coordinate of θ .

We first provide an informal proof of eq. (5) to establish the connection with the adjoint state method (see [3, 4] for a presentation of this approach). Similarly to [1], we start from the Feynman-Kac formula which states that, under sufficient regularity conditions, v_θ is the solution of the Partial Differential Equation (PDE) given by (see section 7 in chapter 5 of [5]):

$$\partial_t v_\theta + \mathcal{L}_\theta v_\theta + \rho_\theta = 0, \quad v_\theta(T, \cdot) = g_\theta, \quad (7)$$

where ∂_t denotes a time derivative. Assuming enough smoothness, we formally differentiate the PDE in eq. (7) with respect to θ_i to obtain:

$$\partial_t \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta + \mathcal{L}_\theta \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta + \partial_{\theta_i} \mathcal{L}_\theta v_\theta + \partial_{\theta_i} \rho_\theta = 0, \quad \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(T, \cdot) = \partial_{\theta_i} g_\theta. \quad (8)$$

Given two functions f and g defined on \mathbb{R}^d and taking values in \mathbb{R} , we define the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as:

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(x)dx.$$

Introduce a family of probability mass functions $\{p(t, \cdot) : t \in [0, T]\}$ indexed by time, which we leave unspecified for the moment. Taking the inner product of eq. (8) with $p(t, \cdot)$ and integrating over $[0, T]$ leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \partial_t \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, \cdot), p(t, \cdot) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{L}_\theta \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, \cdot), p(t, \cdot) \rangle dt \\ + \int_0^T \langle \partial_{\theta_i} \mathcal{L}_\theta v_\theta(t, \cdot), p(t, \cdot) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle \partial_{\theta_i} \rho_\theta(t, \cdot), p(t, \cdot) \rangle dt = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By integration by parts, the first term on the left-hand side of eq. (9) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \partial_t \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, \cdot), p(t, \cdot) \rangle dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T \partial_t \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, x') p(t, x') dt dx' \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, x') p(t, x') \right]_0^T dx' - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, x') \partial_t p(t, x') dt dx' \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(T, x') p(T, x') - \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, x') p(0, x') \right) dx' - \int_0^T \langle \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, \cdot), \partial_t p(t, \cdot) \rangle dt. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Now choose $p(t, \cdot)$ to be $p_\theta(t, \cdot)$, the probability mass function of $(X_\theta^x(t))$ at time t and write δ_x the Kronecker delta. Observe in eq. (10) that:

$$\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(T, \cdot) = \partial_{\theta_i} g_\theta, \quad \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, \cdot) p_\theta(0, \cdot) = \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, \cdot) \delta_x.$$

Using the Fokker-Planck equation, we then have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \partial_t \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, \cdot), p_\theta(t, \cdot) \rangle dt &= \langle \partial_{\theta_i} g_\theta, p_\theta(T, \cdot) \rangle - \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, x) - \int_0^T \langle \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, \cdot), \mathcal{L}_\theta^* p_\theta(t, \cdot) \rangle dt \\ &= \langle \partial_{\theta_i} g_\theta, p_\theta(T, \cdot) \rangle - \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, x) - \int_0^T \langle \mathcal{L}_\theta \partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(t, \cdot), p_\theta(t, \cdot) \rangle dt, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where \mathcal{L}_θ^* is the adjoint operator of \mathcal{L}_θ . Notice that the third term in eq. (11) is the opposite of the second term in eq. (9). Therefore, plugging eq. (11) in eq. (9), we get:

$$\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, x) = \int_0^T \left\langle \partial_{\theta_i} \mathcal{L}_\theta v_\theta(t, \cdot), p_\theta(t, \cdot) \right\rangle dt + \int_0^T \left\langle \partial_{\theta_i} \rho_\theta(t, \cdot), p_\theta(t, \cdot) \right\rangle dt + \left\langle \partial_{\theta_i} g_\theta, p_\theta(T, \cdot) \right\rangle, \quad (12)$$

where $p_\theta(t, \cdot)$ is the solution of an adjoint state equation which is here the Fokker-Planck equation with initial condition δ_x . Eq. (12) is just another way to write eq. (5). This informal derivation draws an explicit connection between eq. (5) used for the generator gradient estimator and the well-known adjoint state method.

The generator gradient estimator based on eq. (5) relies on estimates of ∇v_θ and $\nabla^2 v_\theta$ obtained from auxiliary pathwise differentiation estimators. Remarkably, the number of such auxiliary estimators scales with the number of states d and *not* the number of parameters n , exactly as with the adjoint state method in the case of ODEs.

2 The generator gradient estimator as an analogue to the exact Integral Path Algorithm (eIPA) estimator

Observe that the derivation of eq. (5) does not rely on the explicit expression for the generator \mathcal{L}_θ . In fact, a similar expression was obtained in the context of a class of CTMCs known as CRNs [2] (see [6, 7, 8] for an introduction to these models). To make the parallel explicit, we need to introduce some notations. Let us consider a network with d molecular species. The state of the system at any time can be described by a vector in \mathbb{N}^d whose i -th component corresponds to the number of molecules of the i -th species. The chemical species interact through m chemical reactions and every time the k -th reaction fires, the state of the system is displaced by the d -dimensional stoichiometric vector $\zeta_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The time-homogeneous propensity function $\lambda_\theta = (\lambda_{\theta,k})_{k \in [1,m]}$ parameterised by a parameter $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ depends on the state of the system $x \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Given a terminal time T , we consider a family of Markov jump processes $\{X_\theta^x(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ indexed by an initial condition x at time $t = 0$ and the parameter θ . The generator of $(X_\theta^x(t))$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_\theta f(x) := \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_{\theta,k}(x) \Delta_{\zeta_k} f(x), \quad (13)$$

where, given a vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, Δ_z is the spatial finite difference operator: $\Delta_z f(x) := f(x + z) - f(x)$. Given a collection of independent, unit-rate Poisson processes $\{Y_k(t)\}_{k \in [1,m]}$, we associate to each reaction k a counting process $(R_{\theta,k}(t))$ defined as:

$$R_{\theta,k}(t) := Y_k \left(\int_0^t \lambda_{\theta,k}(X_\theta^x(s)) ds \right). \quad (14)$$

The random time change representation of $(X_\theta^x(t))$ is given by [7, 9]:

$$X_\theta^x(t) = x + \sum_{k=1}^m \zeta_k R_{\theta,k}(t). \quad (15)$$

We redefine v_θ as:

$$v_\theta(t, x) := E \left[g(X_\theta^x(T - t)) \right], \quad (16)$$

where g corresponds to a terminal reward. Theorem 3.3 in [2] gives an expression for $\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta$ which is rigorously derived. Using the time-homogeneity of $(X_\theta^x(t))$ to rewrite the formula given there, we get:

$$\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, x) = \sum_{k=1}^m E \left[\int_0^T \Delta_{\zeta_k} v_\theta(t, X_\theta^x(t)) \partial_{\theta_i} \lambda_{\theta,k}(X_\theta^x(t)) dt \right]. \quad (17)$$

This expression can straightforwardly be extended to include some dependence of the terminal reward g on θ , a reward rate ρ_θ and time-dependent propensities. To make the comparison between eq. (17) and eq. (5)-(6)

transparent, let us rewrite eq. (5) for a time-homogeneous SDE, in the absence of reward rate and in the case when the terminal reward is independent of θ :

$$\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta(0, x) = E \left[\int_0^T \left((\nabla v_\theta(t, X_\theta^x(t)))^\top \partial_{\theta_i} \mu_\theta(X_\theta^x(t)) + \text{Tr}(\nabla^2 v_\theta(t, X_\theta^x(t)) \partial_{\theta_i} a_\theta(X_\theta^x(t))) \right) dt \right]. \quad (18)$$

In particular, the spatial finite difference terms $\Delta_{\zeta_k} v_\theta$ in eq. (17) are direct analogues to ∇v_θ and $\nabla^2 v_\theta$ in eq. (18), and the parametric partial derivatives $\partial_{\theta_i} \lambda_{\theta,k}$ are the equivalent of $\partial_{\theta_i} \mu_\theta$ and $\partial_{\theta_i} a_\theta$.

Estimation of $\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta$ based on eq. (17) as part of the exact Integral Path Algorithm (eIPA) introduced in [2] shares key ideas with the generator gradient estimator. First, eIPA replaces the unknown quantities v_θ by so called auxiliary processes which should be compared to the estimates of $\partial_{\theta_i} v_\theta$ obtained from pathwise differentiation estimators (see the discussion around eq. (2.6) in [1] and subsection 3.4 in [2]). Secondly, to control the computation time per sample, the auxiliary processes of eIPA are generated at a given jump time for reaction k only if a certain Bernoulli random variable equals 1 (again, see subsection 3.4 in [2]). This is similar in spirit to the integral randomisation strategy used by the generator gradient estimator (see the paragraph related to eq. (2.9) in [1]). Strikingly, it was illustrated in [2] that the eIPA estimator exhibits low variance when compared to other unbiased estimators, as is the case for the generator gradient estimator (see the numerical examples in section 4 of [1] and [2]).

References

1. Wang S, Blanchet J, and Glynn P. An Efficient High-dimensional Gradient Estimator for Stochastic Differential Equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10065v1 2024
2. Gupta A, Rathinam M, and Khammash M. Estimation of parameter sensitivities for stochastic reaction networks using tau-leap simulations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis* 2018; 56:1134–67
3. C ea J. Conception optimale ou identification de formes, calcul rapide de la d eriv ee directionnelle de la fonction co ˆut. *ESAIM: Mod elisation math ematique et analyse num erique* 1986; 20:371–402
4. Plessix RE. A review of the adjoint-state method for computing the gradient of a functional with geophysical applications. *Geophysical Journal International* 2006; 167:495–503
5. Karatzas I and Shreve S. *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*. Vol. 113. springer, 2014
6.  rdi P and T  oth J. *Mathematical models of chemical reactions: theory and applications of deterministic and stochastic models*. Manchester University Press, 1989
7. Anderson DF and Kurtz TG. *Stochastic analysis of biochemical systems*. Vol. 674. Springer International Publishing, 2015
8. Wilkinson DJ. *Stochastic modelling for systems biology*. CRC press, 2018
9. Kurtz TG. Representations of Markov processes as multiparameter time changes. *The Annals of Probability* 1980 :682–715