

# INTERPOLANT OF TRUNCATED MULTIPLE ZETA FUNCTIONS

KENTARO IHARA, YAYOI NAKAMURA, AND SHUJI YAMAMOTO

ABSTRACT. We introduce an analytic function  $\Psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; w)$  that interpolates truncated multiple zeta functions  $\zeta_N(s_1, \dots, s_r)$ . We represent this interpolant as a Mellin transform of a function  $G(q_1, \dots, q_r; w)$  and, using this expression, give the analytic continuation. Further, the harmonic product relations for  $\Psi$  and  $G$  are established via relevant Hopf algebra structures, and some properties of the function  $G$  are provided.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a tuple of complex variables  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$ , let  $\zeta(\mathbf{s})$  denote the *multiple zeta function* (of Euler–Zagier type) defined by

$$\zeta(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{j_1 > \dots > j_d > 0} \frac{1}{j_1^{s_1} \cdots j_d^{s_d}}.$$

It is known that this series converges and gives a holomorphic function on the region

$$\Re(s_1 + \dots + s_m) > m \quad (m = 1, \dots, d),$$

and this function continues meromorphically to the whole space  $\mathbb{C}^d$  [1, 9, 13]. Moreover, the multiple zeta functions satisfy the harmonic (or stuffle) product formula, the simplest example of which is

$$\zeta(s_1)\zeta(s_2) = \zeta(s_1, s_2) + \zeta(s_2, s_1) + \zeta(s_1 + s_2).$$

In general, the harmonic product formula expresses the product of two multiple zeta functions as a sum of multiple zeta functions (see §5 for the precise formulation). In fact, for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the same formula holds for the truncated sums

$$\zeta_N(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{N \geq j_1 > \dots > j_d > 0} \frac{1}{j_1^{s_1} \cdots j_d^{s_d}}$$

(here and throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  stands for the set of positive integers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ). In this paper, we investigate the analytic function  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  which interpolates these truncated sums, i.e., satisfies  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; N) = \zeta_N(\mathbf{s})$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

For positive integer points  $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , several authors have studied analytic functions interpolating  $\zeta_N(\mathbf{l})$  for  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . By studying such functions, one can deduce interesting consequences on the multiple zeta *values* (that is, the values  $\zeta(\mathbf{l})$  at  $\mathbf{l} \in$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M32, Secondary 16T05.

*Key words and phrases.* Multiple zeta function; Harmonic product.

This research was supported by JSPS KAKENHI JP18H05233, JP18K03221 and JP21K03185.

$\mathbb{N}^d$  with  $l_1 \geq 2$ ). For example, Kawashima [4] and Zlobin [14] had introduced the interpolating functions of the truncated multiple zeta-star values

$$\zeta_N^*(\mathbf{l}) := \sum_{N \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_d > 0} \frac{1}{j_1^{l_1} \cdots j_d^{l_d}}$$

to imply  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear relations among multiple zeta values. The main result in [4] provides a wide class of relations, called the Kawashima relation, which includes some of fundamental linear relations such as the duality relation [12, §9] and, more generally, the Ohno relation [10, Theorem 1].

The second author and Kusunoki [8] had constructed a function  $\Psi(\mathbf{l}; w)$  interpolating the multiple harmonic sums  $\zeta_N(\mathbf{l})$ . This is defined inductively by

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi(l_1, \dots, l_d; w) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\Psi(l_2, \dots, l_d; j-1)}{j^{l_1}} - \frac{\Psi(l_2, \dots, l_d; w+j-1)}{(w+j)^{l_1}} \right\}$$

with the initial value  $\Psi(\emptyset; w) := 1$  for  $d = 0$ . When  $d = 1$ ,  $\Psi(l; w)$  reduces to the classical polygamma function. For  $d \geq 1$ ,  $\Psi(\mathbf{l}; w)$  is a meromorphic function on  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  with poles at  $w = -1, -2, -3, \dots$  and with obvious zeros at  $w = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$ . By the interpolation property  $\Psi(\mathbf{l}; N) = \zeta_N(\mathbf{l})$  for  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we clearly have

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\mathbf{l}; N) = \zeta(\mathbf{l})$$

for admissible  $\mathbf{l}$  (i.e.,  $l_1 \geq 2$ ). In fact, it holds that  $\lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\mathbf{l}; w) = \zeta(\mathbf{l})$  if  $|\arg w| < \pi$ . See [7, 8] for details and applications of  $\Psi(\mathbf{l}; w)$ .

In this paper, we study a function  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  of *complex variables*  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$  and  $w$ , which extends the above  $\Psi(\mathbf{l}; w)$  and satisfies the interpolation property  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; N) = \zeta_N(\mathbf{s})$  for  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The content of each section is as follows: In §2, the function  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  is introduced and an expression in terms of the multiple zeta(-star) function is given (Proposition 2.1). In §3, a holomorphic function  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$  on a certain region  $U_d \times \mathbb{C}$  is introduced. We show some properties of  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$ , which will be used later. In §4, we prove the main result of this paper, namely, the Mellin integral expression of  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$ :

$$\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w) = \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^d \Gamma(s_m)} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 G(\mathbf{q}; w) \prod_{m=1}^d (-\log q_m)^{s_m-1} dq_1 \cdots dq_d$$

(see Theorem 4.1 for the precise statement). As a corollary, the analytic continuation of  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  is obtained. In §5, the harmonic product formulas for  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  and  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$  are discussed. By using certain Hopf algebras, we establish these formulas in a parallel way. In §6, we show miscellaneous results on the function  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$ .

Some of the results in this paper were obtained in the years starting in 2017 as a joint work by the 1st and 2nd authors. When the 2nd author gave a talk on these results at RIMS in 2019, Komori gave comments and papers [5, 6] on his recent research. It turned out that he also independently introduced an interpolation function for multiple zeta functions and proved a special case of the integral representation we gave in this paper.

**1.1. Notation.** Let  $X$  be a set. Throughout the paper, we use the following notation: For  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in X^d$ ,  $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_{d'}) \in X^{d'}$ , and  $0 \leq m \leq d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, \mathbf{x}) &:= (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d) \in X^{d+1}, \\ (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') &:= (x_1, \dots, x_d, x'_1, \dots, x'_{d'}) \in X^{d+d'}, \\ \mathbf{x}_{[m]} &:= (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in X^m, \\ \mathbf{x}^{[m]} &:= (x_{m+1}, \dots, x_d) \in X^{d-m}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have  $\mathbf{x}_{[0]} = \mathbf{x}^{[d]} = \emptyset$ , where  $\emptyset$  denotes the empty tuple. In typical cases, we will use the notation  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$  for  $X = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$  for  $X = \mathbb{C}$  or  $X = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

For a complex number  $s$ , the power function  $w^s$  is considered on the cut complex plane  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}$  by using the branch of logarithm with  $-\pi < \Im \log w < \pi$ .

## 2. INTERPOLANT $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$

For integers  $d \geq 0$ , we define the function  $\Psi^d(\mathbf{s}; w)$  of  $d + 1$  complex variables  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$  and  $w$  inductively by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi^0(\emptyset; w) &:= 1, \\ \Psi^d(\mathbf{s}; w) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\Psi^{d-1}(\mathbf{s}^{[1]}; j-1)}{j^{s_1}} - \frac{\Psi^{d-1}(\mathbf{s}^{[1]}; w+j-1)}{(w+j)^{s_1}} \right\} \quad (d > 0). \end{aligned}$$

We let the variable  $w$  vary in the domain  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq -1}$ , so that the powers  $(w+j)^s$  are well-defined following the convention in §1.1. In the following, we often omit the superscript  $d$  from  $\Psi^d$ .

We also consider the multiple zeta-star function of Hurwitz type

$$\zeta^*(\mathbf{s}; w) := \sum_{j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_d > 0} \frac{1}{(w+j_1)^{s_1} \cdots (w+j_d)^{s_d}},$$

which absolutely converges (at least) if  $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_d) > 1$  and  $w \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq -1}$ . For  $d = 0$ , we set  $\zeta^*(\emptyset; w) := 1$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_d) > 1$  and  $w \notin \mathbb{R}_{\leq -1}$ , the series (2.1) absolutely converges and the identity*

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi(\mathbf{s}; w) = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i \zeta^*(s_i, \dots, s_1; w) \zeta(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_d)$$

holds. Moreover, for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have the interpolation property

$$\Psi(\mathbf{s}; N) = \zeta_N(\mathbf{s}).$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $d \geq 1$ . For  $d = 1$ , the convergence of (2.1) and the identity (2.2) are obvious. Moreover, for  $w = N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , (2.1) becomes the telescoping series

$$\Psi(s; N) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{j^s} - \frac{1}{(N+j)^s} \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{j^s} = \zeta_N(s).$$

For  $d \geq 2$ , we use the induction hypothesis to compute as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{s}; w) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\zeta_{j-1}(s_2, \dots, s_d)}{j^{s_1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{(w+j)^{s_1}} \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^{i-1} \zeta^*(s_i, \dots, s_2; w+j-1) \zeta(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_d) \right\} \\ &= \zeta(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d) + \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i \zeta^*(s_i, \dots, s_1; w) \zeta(s_{i+1}, \dots, s_d), \end{aligned}$$

and obtain the first statement. For  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we have

$$\Psi(\mathbf{s}; N) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\Psi(s_2, \dots, s_d; j-1)}{j^{s_1}} = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\zeta_{j-1}(s_2, \dots, s_d)}{j^{s_1}} = \zeta_N(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_d). \quad \square$$

### 3. INTEGRAND $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$

In this section, we introduce and study a function  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$ , which will be the main factor in the integral representation of  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$ .

For integers  $d \geq 0$ , let  $U_d$  denote the domain in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  defined by

$$U_d := \{(q_1, \dots, q_d) \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d \mid -\pi < \arg q_i + \dots + \arg q_j < \pi \ (1 \leq \forall i \leq \forall j \leq d)\}.$$

Note that the power functions  $(q_i \cdots q_j)^w$  on  $U_d$  are defined by the convention in §1.1, and the identity  $(q_i \cdots q_j)^w = q_i^w \cdots q_j^w$  holds for any  $i \leq j$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** We define the function  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  on  $U_d \times \mathbb{C}$  inductively by

$$G^0(\emptyset; w) := 1, \quad G^1(q; w) := \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^w}{1-q} & (q \neq 1), \\ w & (q = 1), \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.1) \quad G^d(\mathbf{q}; w) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-q_d} \{G^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; w) - G^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-2]}, q_{d-1}q_d; w)\} & (q_d \neq 1), \\ q_{d-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{d-1}} G^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; w) & (q_d = 1) \end{cases}$$

for  $d \geq 2$ . Again we will often omit the superscript  $d$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  is a holomorphic function on  $U_d \times \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* The statement holds trivially for  $d = 0$ , and also for  $d = 1$ ; at points of the form  $(1, w)$ , the holomorphy of  $G^1$  with respect to  $q$  follows from the following elementary fact: for a holomorphic function  $f(q)$  around a point  $q = a$ , the function

$$g(q) := \begin{cases} \frac{f(q)-f(a)}{q-a} & (q \neq a), \\ f'(a) & (q = a) \end{cases}$$

is also holomorphic around  $q = a$ . Indeed, if  $f(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n (q-a)^n$ , then one has  $g(q) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n (q-a)^{n-1}$ .

Let  $d \geq 2$  and assume that  $G^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; w)$  is holomorphic on  $U_{d-1} \times \mathbb{C}$ . Then it is obvious from the definition that  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  is holomorphic where  $q_d \neq 1$ . At a point  $(\mathbf{q}, w)$  with  $q_d = 1$ , it is also immediate from the definition that  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  is holomorphic with respect to the variables  $q_1, \dots, q_{d-1}, w$ , and the holomorphy with respect to  $q_d$  is shown as in the case of  $d = 1$ .  $\square$

When  $d = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^2(q_1, q_2; w) &= \frac{1}{1 - q_2} \left\{ \frac{1 - q_1^w}{1 - q_1} - \frac{1 - (q_1 q_2)^w}{1 - q_1 q_2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{q_1}{(1 - q_1)(1 - q_1 q_2)} - \frac{q_1^w}{(1 - q_1)(1 - q_2)} + \frac{(q_1 q_2)^w}{(1 - q_1 q_2)(1 - q_2)} \end{aligned}$$

generically, i.e., where none of  $q_1, q_2, q_1 q_2$  is equal to 1. For general  $d$ , we have the following expression.

**Proposition 3.3.** *For integers  $i$  and  $j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq d$ , set*

$$D_{ij} := \{ \mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid q_i \cdots q_j = 1 \}$$

and  $D := \bigcup_{i \leq j} D_{ij}$ . Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad G^d(\mathbf{q}; w) = \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l \frac{(q_1 \cdots q_l)^w}{q_{l+1} \cdots q_d} \prod_{1 \leq k \leq l} \frac{1}{1 - q_k \cdots q_l} \prod_{l < k \leq d} \frac{q_{l+1} \cdots q_k}{1 - q_{l+1} \cdots q_k}$$

for  $\mathbf{q} \in U_d \setminus D$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\tilde{G}^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  for the right hand side. Since  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  and  $\tilde{G}^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  are both meromorphic on  $U_d \times \mathbb{C}$ , it suffices to show the equality assuming  $|q_1|, \dots, |q_d| < 1$ . In this range,  $\tilde{G}^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  is expanded to the power series as

$$\tilde{G}^d(\mathbf{q}; w) = \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l (q_1 \cdots q_l)^w \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l \\ j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_d \geq 0}} q_1^{j_1} \cdots q_d^{j_d}.$$

We write  $A_l$  for the  $l$ -th term in the right hand side. For  $l = 0, \dots, d-2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q_d)A_l &= (-1)^l (q_1 \cdots q_l)^w \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l \\ j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_{d-1} \geq 0}} q_1^{j_1} \cdots q_{d-1}^{j_{d-1}} \cdot (1 - q_d) \sum_{j_d=0}^{j_{d-1}-1} q_d^{j_d} \\ &= (-1)^l (q_1 \cdots q_l)^w \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l \\ j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_{d-1} \geq 0}} q_1^{j_1} \cdots q_{d-1}^{j_{d-1}} (1 - q_d^{j_{d-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

We also compute the terms for  $l = d - 1$  and  $d$  together to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - q_d)(A_{d-1} + A_d) \\
&= (-1)^{d-1}(q_1 \cdots q_{d-1})^w \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_{d-1}} q_1^{j_1} \cdots q_{d-1}^{j_{d-1}} \cdot (1 - q_d) \left\{ \sum_{j_d=0}^{\infty} q_d^{j_d} - q_d^w \sum_{j_d=j_{d-1}}^{\infty} q_d^{j_d} \right\} \\
&= (-1)^{d-1}(q_1 \cdots q_{d-1})^w \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_{d-1}} q_1^{j_1} \cdots q_{d-1}^{j_{d-1}} (1 - q_d^{w+j_{d-1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

By combining these computations, we see that

$$(1 - q_d)\tilde{G}^d(\mathbf{q}; w) = \tilde{G}^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; w) - \tilde{G}^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-2]}, q_{d-1}q_d; w),$$

that is,  $\tilde{G}^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  satisfies the same recurrence relation as  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$ . Hence the desired identity follows by induction on  $d$ .  $\square$

As a bi-product of the above proof, we obtain the following series expansion:

**Corollary 3.4.** *For  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in U_d$  with  $|q_1|, \dots, |q_d| < 1$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad G(\mathbf{q}; w) &= \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l (q_1 \cdots q_l)^w \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l \\ j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_d \geq 0}} q_1^{j_1} \cdots q_d^{j_d} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^d (-1)^{l-1} (q_1 \cdots q_{l-1})^w (1 - q_l^w) \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l > j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_d \geq 0} q_1^{j_1} \cdots q_d^{j_d}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first expression is given in the proof of Proposition 3.3. The second expression follows from the decomposition of the nested sum

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l \\ j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_d \geq 0}} = \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l > j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_d \geq 0} + \sum_{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l \leq j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_d \geq 0}$$

for  $l = 0, \dots, d$ .  $\square$

We will need the following lemma, which gives an estimate of  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$  when some entry of  $\mathbf{q}$  tends to zero, in the next section. Let  $\theta$  be a number with  $0 < \theta < \pi/d$  and set

$$C_\theta := \{q \in \mathbb{C}^\times \mid -\theta \leq \arg q \leq \theta, |q| \leq 2\}.$$

Note that  $C_\theta^d \subset U_d$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a positive real number. Then  $(q_1 \cdots q_d)^\sigma G(\mathbf{q}; w)$  is bounded on  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in C_\theta^d$  uniformly for  $w$  in any compact subset of the region  $\Re(w) > -\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* We define a function  $f(\mathbf{q}; w)$  of  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(w) > -\sigma$  and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in (\{0\} \cup C_\theta)^d$  by

$$f(\mathbf{q}; w) := \begin{cases} (q_1 \cdots q_d)^\sigma G^d(\mathbf{q}; w) & (q_1 \cdots q_d \neq 0), \\ 0 & (q_1 \cdots q_d = 0). \end{cases}$$

Then it suffices to prove that  $f(\mathbf{q}; w)$  is a continuous function. Since it is even holomorphic on  $U_d \times \mathbb{C}$ , it remains to show the continuity at each point  $(\mathbf{q}_0, w_0) = (q_{01}, \dots, q_{0d}, w_0)$  with  $q_{01} \cdots q_{0d} = 0$ . Put

$$I := \{i \mid q_{0i} = 0\}, \quad J := \{j \mid q_{0j} \neq 0\} = \{1, \dots, d\} \setminus I.$$

Then  $I \neq \emptyset$  by assumption.

For  $\delta > 0$  and  $r_j > 0$  ( $j \in J$ ), define a subset  $S = S_{\delta, (r_j)}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  by

$$S := \left\{ (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \begin{array}{l} -\theta \leq \arg q_i \leq \theta, \quad |q_i| < \delta \quad (i \in I), \\ |q_j - q_{0j}| = r_j \quad (j \in J) \end{array} \right\}.$$

If  $r_j$  are sufficiently small, we have  $S \subset U_d$ . In what follows, we prove the following claim: we can choose  $r_j > 0$  for  $j \in J$  so that there is no solution of the equation  $\prod_{j \in J'} q_j = 1$  with  $\emptyset \neq J' \subset J$  and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in S$ . Then, in particular, we have  $S \subset U_d \setminus D$  for any sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ .

To prove the above claim, fix a numbering  $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$  of the elements of  $J$  (hence  $n = \#J$ ). Then, for  $m = 1, \dots, n$ , one can inductively choose  $r_{j_m} > 0$  so that there is no solution of

$$\prod_{j \in J'} q_j \cdot q_{j_m} \cdot \prod_{j \in J''} q_{0j} = 1$$

with  $J' \subset \{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}\}$ ,  $J'' \subset \{j_{m+1}, \dots, j_n\}$  and  $|q_j - q_{0j}| = r_j$  for  $j \in J'$  and  $j = j_m$ . Indeed, in the  $m$ -th step, one can avoid the solutions of the form  $q_{0j_m} \prod_{j \in J''} q_{0j} = 1$  by replacing  $q_{0j_m}$  with  $q_{j_m}$ , not producing other solutions by choosing sufficiently small  $r_{j_m} > 0$ .

We return to the proof of Lemma 3.5. Take an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\sigma'$  be a real number with  $\Re(w_0) > -\sigma' > -\sigma$ . Then, by the expression

$$f(\mathbf{q}; w) = (q_1 \cdots q_d)^\sigma \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l \frac{(q_1 \cdots q_l)^w}{q_{l+1} \cdots q_d} \prod_{1 \leq k \leq l} \frac{1}{1 - q_k \cdots q_l} \prod_{l < k \leq d} \frac{q_{l+1} \cdots q_k}{1 - q_{l+1} \cdots q_k}$$

from Proposition 3.3 and by the assumption that  $I \neq \emptyset$ , we see that, for a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ ,  $|f(\mathbf{q}; w)| < \varepsilon$  holds for all  $w$  with  $\Re(w) > -\sigma'$  and  $\mathbf{q} \in S$  ( $\subset U_d \setminus D$ ). If we put

$$W = \left\{ (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \begin{array}{l} -\theta \leq \arg q_i \leq \theta, \quad |q_i| < \delta \quad (i \in I), \\ |q_j - q_{0j}| < r_j/2 \quad (j \in J) \end{array} \right\} \subset U_d,$$

Cauchy's integral formula shows that

$$f(\mathbf{q}; w) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|p_j - q_{0j}| = r_j} f(\mathbf{p}; w) \prod_{j \in J} \frac{dp_j}{p_j - q_j}$$

holds for  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in W$ , where the integral is taken over  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_d)$  such that  $p_i = q_i$  for  $i \in I$  and  $|p_j - q_{0j}| = r_j$  for  $j \in J$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{p}$  varies on  $S$ , hence the estimates  $|f(\mathbf{p}; w)| < \varepsilon$  and

$$|p_j - q_j| \geq |p_j - q_{0j}| - |q_j - q_{0j}| > r_j/2 \quad (j \in J)$$

imply

$$|f(\mathbf{q}; w)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(2\pi)^n} \prod_{j \in J} \frac{2\pi r_j}{r_j/2} = 2^n \varepsilon$$

for all  $w$  with  $\Re(w) > -\sigma'$  and  $\mathbf{q} \in W$ . This proves the continuity of  $f(\mathbf{q}; w)$  at  $(\mathbf{q}_0, w_0)$  as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$

In this section, we provide the following integral representation of  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *For  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_d) > 1$  and  $\Re(w) > -1$ , the function  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  is represented by the integral*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{s}; w) &= \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^d \Gamma(s_m)} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 G(\mathbf{q}; w) \prod_{m=1}^d (-\log q_m)^{s_m-1} dq_1 \cdots dq_d \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^d \Gamma(s_m)} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty G(e^{-x_1}, \dots, e^{-x_d}; w) \prod_{m=1}^d (x_m^{s_m-1} e^{-x_m}) dx_1 \cdots dx_d. \end{aligned}$$

*The integral of the right hand side converges absolutely for  $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_d) > 0$  and  $\Re(w) > -1$ , uniformly on any compact set, and hence gives an analytic continuation of  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  to that region.*

*Proof.* We first prove the statement on the convergence of the integral by using Lemma 3.5. For any  $0 < \sigma < 1$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(w) > -\sigma$ , we can take a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $|G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)| \leq C|q_1 \cdots q_d|^{-\sigma}$  for all  $\mathbf{q} \in (0, 1]^d$ . Then we have

$$\left| G(\mathbf{q}; w) \prod_{m=1}^d (-\log q_m)^{s_m-1} \right| \leq C \prod_{m=1}^d \left( q_m^{-\sigma} (-\log q_m)^{\Re(s_m)-1} \right),$$

which implies the desired integrability.

Next we prove the identity (4.1). If  $\Re(s_1), \dots, \Re(s_d) > 1$ , we may substitute the series expression (3.3) into the integral of (4.1) and exchange the order of integral and



summation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 G(\mathbf{q}; w) \prod_{m=1}^d (-\log q_m)^{s_m-1} dq_1 \cdots dq_d \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l \\ j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_d \geq 0}} \prod_{m=1}^l \int_0^1 q_m^{w+j_m} (-\log q_m)^{s_m-1} dq_m \\
&\quad \times \prod_{m=l+1}^d \int_0^1 q_m^{j_m} (-\log q_m)^{s_m-1} dq_m \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_l \\ j_{l+1} > \cdots > j_d \geq 0}} \prod_{m=1}^l \frac{\Gamma(s_m)}{(w+j_m+1)^{s_m}} \times \prod_{m=l+1}^d \frac{\Gamma(s_m)}{(j_m+1)^{s_m}} \\
&= \prod_{m=1}^d \Gamma(s_m) \sum_{l=0}^d (-1)^l \zeta^*(s_l, \dots, s_1; w) \zeta(s_{l+1}, \dots, s_d) = \prod_{m=1}^d \Gamma(s_m) \cdot \Psi(\mathbf{s}; w),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is the identity (2.2). Thus (4.1) holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.**  $\Psi^d(\mathbf{s}; w)$  has a holomorphic continuation to the domain  $\mathbb{C}^d \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq -1})$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider the second integral expression in (4.1) for  $(\mathbf{s}; w)$  such that  $\Re(s_i) > 0$  and  $\Re(w) > -1$ . Just as in the well-known proof of the analytic continuation of the Riemann zeta function, we change the domain of integration to the  $d$ -fold product of the Hankel contour  $\gamma$ . This is possible by Lemma 3.5, and thus we obtain (4.2)

$$\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w) = \prod_{m=1}^d \frac{\Gamma(1-s_m)}{2\pi i e^{\pi i s_m}} \int_{\gamma} \cdots \int_{\gamma} G(e^{-x_1}, \dots, e^{-x_d}; w) \prod_{m=1}^d (x_m^{s_m-1} e^{-x_m}) dx_1 \cdots dx_d.$$

This expression gives the holomorphic continuation to  $(\mathbf{s}; w) \in \mathbb{C}^d \times \{\Re(w) > -1\}$ .

To obtain the continuation with respect to  $w$ , we proceed by induction on  $d$ . There is no problem for  $d = 0$ . When  $d > 0$ , the definition (2.1) implies the difference equation

$$(4.3) \quad \Psi^d(\mathbf{s}; w+1) - \Psi^d(\mathbf{s}; w) = \frac{\Psi^{d-1}(\mathbf{s}^{[1]}; w)}{(w+1)^{s_1}}.$$

By using this equation and the induction hypothesis on  $\Psi^{d-1}$ , we can extend the domain of definition of  $\Psi^d$  recursively. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** From the above argument, one can also see that  $\Psi^d(\mathbf{s}; w)$  can be continued to a multi-valued holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^d \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1})$ . Moreover, for  $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , the monodromy around negative integers become trivial and  $\Psi^d(\mathbf{l}; w)$  is a single-valued meromorphic function with poles at  $w = -1, -2, \dots$ . This is the interpolating function studied by Kusunoki and the second author [8].

## 5. HARMONIC PRODUCT

In this section, we discuss the *harmonic product rule*, or the *harmonic relation*, for the functions  $\Psi^d(\mathbf{s}; w)$ , the simplest nontrivial example of which is

$$(5.1) \quad \Psi(t; w)\Psi(s; w) = \Psi(t, s; w) + \Psi(s, t; w) + \Psi(t + s; w).$$

There is the corresponding harmonic relation for the integrands  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$ . For example, the above relation (5.1) corresponds to

$$(5.2) \quad G(p; w)G(q; w) = G(p, q; w) + G(q, p; w) + G(pq; w),$$

which can be verified by explicitly computing both sides. Note that (5.2) implies (5.1) through the integral representation (4.1); the term  $G(pq; w)$  is integrated via the change of variables  $(p, q) = (r^x, r^{1-x})$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)\Gamma(s)} \iint_0^1 G(pq; w)(-\log p)^{t-1}(-\log q)^{s-1} dp dq \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)\Gamma(s)} \iint_0^1 G(r; w)(-x \log r)^{t-1}(-(1-x) \log r)^{s-1}(-\log r) dx dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(t)\Gamma(s)} B(t, s) \int_0^1 G(r; w)(-\log r)^{t+s-1} dr \\ &= \Psi(t + s; w). \end{aligned}$$

The general harmonic relations for  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  and  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$  are formulated as follows.

**Definition 5.1.** (1) Let  $\mathfrak{H}_s$  denote the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space freely generated by all tuples  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$  of complex numbers  $s_i$ :

$$\mathfrak{H}_s := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{C}^d} \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{s}.$$

The *harmonic product*  $*$  is a bilinear product on  $\mathfrak{H}_s$  defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset * \mathbf{s} &= \mathbf{s} * \emptyset := \mathbf{s}, \\ \mathbf{t} * \mathbf{s} &:= (t_1, \mathbf{t}^{[1]} * \mathbf{s}) + (s_1, \mathbf{t} * \mathbf{s}^{[1]}) + (t_1 + s_1, \mathbf{t}^{[1]} * \mathbf{s}^{[1]}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_c)$  and  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d)$  (recall that  $\mathbf{s}^{[1]}$  stands for  $(s_2, \dots, s_d)$ ). This equips  $\mathfrak{H}_s$  with a commutative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra structure, with the empty tuple  $\emptyset$  being the unit element (cf. [2]).

(2) Let  $\mathfrak{H}_q$  denote the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space freely generated by all tuples  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d)$  of positive real numbers  $q_i$ :

$$\mathfrak{H}_q := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{q} \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^d} \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{q}.$$

The *harmonic product*  $\underline{*}$  is a bilinear product on  $\mathfrak{H}_q$  defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \underline{*} \mathbf{q} &= \mathbf{q} \underline{*} \emptyset := \mathbf{q}, \\ \mathbf{p} \underline{*} \mathbf{q} &:= (p_1, \mathbf{p}^{[1]} \underline{*} \mathbf{q}) + (q_1, \mathbf{p} \underline{*} \mathbf{q}^{[1]}) + (p_1 q_1, \mathbf{p}^{[1]} \underline{*} \mathbf{q}^{[1]}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_c)$  and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d)$ . This equips  $\mathfrak{H}_q$  with a commutative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra structure, with the empty tuple  $\emptyset$  being the unit element.

- (3) We extend the maps  $\mathbf{s} \mapsto \Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  and  $\mathbf{q} \mapsto G(\mathbf{q}; w)$  to linear maps

$$\Psi(-; w): \mathfrak{H}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad G(-; w): \mathfrak{H}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

respectively.

**Theorem 5.2.** *The linear maps  $\Psi(-; w): \mathfrak{H}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $G(-; w): \mathfrak{H}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra homomorphisms with respect to the harmonic product. In other words, the harmonic relations*

$$\Psi(\mathbf{t}; w)\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w) = \Psi(\mathbf{t} * \mathbf{s}; w), \quad G(\mathbf{p}; w)G(\mathbf{q}; w) = G(\mathbf{p} * \mathbf{q}; w)$$

hold.

We comment on several possible methods to prove these harmonic relations:

- (1) One can show the harmonic relation of  $G(-; w)$  directly (not shortly, though) from the definition. Then it is possible to deduce the harmonic relation of  $\Psi(-; w)$  by the integral expression (4.1), just as in the case of (5.1) and (5.2).
- (2) Conversely, the harmonic relation of  $\Psi(-; w)$  implies that of  $G(-; w)$  via the inverse Mellin transform. To show the former, one might use the same argument as Kawashima's proof of the harmonic relation of Kawashima functions [4, Theorem 5.3]: if the interpolation  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; w)$  of the values at non-negative integers  $w = N$  is unique in some sense, then the relation of  $\Psi(-; w)$  follows from that of  $\Psi(\mathbf{s}; N) = \zeta_N(\mathbf{s})$ , which is evident. In Kawashima's proof, the uniqueness of the interpolation was provided by the theory of Newton series interpolation.
- (3) By the expression (2.2), one can deduce the harmonic relation of  $\Psi(-; w)$  from those of  $\zeta^*(-; w)$  and  $\zeta(-)$ . The harmonic relation of  $G(-; w)$  is obtained in a parallel way via the expression (3.3). In fact, this implication is purely algebraic once a Hopf algebra structure is recognized.

In what follows, we explain the third method in more detail.

Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be an abelian semigroup with an operation " $\cdot$ ". Let  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}(X)$  denote the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space freely generated by all tuples  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$  of elements  $x_i \in X$ :

$$\mathfrak{H}(X) := \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{x} \in X^d} \mathbb{Q} \mathbf{x}.$$

$\mathfrak{H}(X) = (\mathfrak{H}(X), *, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon, R)$  will be a commutative Hopf algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with following operators (see, e.g., [3]):

$$*: \mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H} \quad (\text{product})$$

defined inductively by

$$\emptyset * \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} * \emptyset := \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y} := (x_1, \mathbf{x}^{[1]} * \mathbf{y}) + (y_1, \mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y}^{[1]}) + (x_1 y_1, \mathbf{x}^{[1]} * \mathbf{y}^{[1]}),$$

$$\eta: \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}, \quad \eta(1) = \emptyset \quad (\text{unit}),$$

$$\Delta: \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H}, \quad \Delta(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{l=0}^d (x_1, \dots, x_l) \otimes (x_{l+1}, \dots, x_d) \quad (\text{coproduct}),$$

$$\varepsilon: \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad \varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (\mathbf{x} \neq \emptyset), \\ 1 & (\mathbf{x} = \emptyset), \end{cases} \quad (\text{counit}),$$

$$R: \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}, \quad R(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^d (x_d, \dots, x_1)^* \quad (\text{antipode})$$

where

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d)^* = \sum_{\square=, \text{ or } \cdot} (x_1 \square \dots \square x_d).$$

Let  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{H}(X), \mathbb{C})$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of all  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear maps from  $\mathfrak{H}(X)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{H}(X), \mathbb{C})$ , let  $F_1 \odot F_2 \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{H}(X), \mathbb{C})$  be a convolution product of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  defined by

$$F_1 \odot F_2 = m(F_1 \otimes F_2) \Delta: \mathfrak{H} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H} \xrightarrow{F_1 \otimes F_2} \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{m} \mathbb{C}$$

with the usual product  $m$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

When the semigroup  $(X, \cdot)$  is the additive group  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$  or the multiplicative group  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0}, \cdot)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{H}(X) = \mathfrak{H}_s$  or  $\mathfrak{H}_q$ , respectively. Actually, we use Hopf subalgebras of them defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_s^\circ &:= \mathfrak{H}(\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(s) > 1\}, +) \subset \mathfrak{H}_s, \\ \mathfrak{H}_q^\circ &:= \mathfrak{H}(\{q \in \mathbb{R}^\times \mid 0 < q < 1\}, \cdot) \subset \mathfrak{H}_q. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, by analyticity, it is sufficient to prove Theorem 5.2 on these subalgebras.

For  $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_{\leq -1}$ , let  $H_w: \mathfrak{H}_q^\circ \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $Z_w: \mathfrak{H}_s^\circ \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear maps defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_w(q_1, \dots, q_d) &:= \sum_{j_1 > \dots > j_d \geq 0} q_1^{j_1+w} \dots q_d^{j_d+w} \quad (0 < q_i < 1), \\ Z_w(s_1, \dots, s_d) &:= \sum_{j_1 > \dots > j_d > 0} \frac{1}{(w+j_1)^{s_1} \dots (w+j_d)^{s_d}} \quad (s_i \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(s_i) > 1). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 5.3.** *The linear maps  $G(-; w)$  and  $\Psi(-; w)$  are represented by using convolution product, that is,*

$$G(-; w) = (H_w R) \odot H_0, \quad \Psi(-; w) = (Z_w R) \odot Z_0.$$

*Proof.* These equalities hold immediately from (3.3) and (2.2).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* It is easily verified that the map  $H_w: \mathfrak{H}_q^\circ \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism, and the antipode  $R$  is also a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra automorphism of  $\mathfrak{H}_q^\circ$  (since  $*$  is commutative). Since the set  $\text{Alg}(\mathfrak{H}(X), \mathbb{C})$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra homomorphisms from  $\mathfrak{H}(X)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  becomes a group under the convolution product  $\odot$  (cf. Theorem 4.0.5 of [11]),

$G(-; w) = (H_w R) \odot H_0 \in \text{Alg}(\mathfrak{H}_q^\circ, \mathbb{C})$  holds. Similarly, we obtain  $\Psi(-; w) = (Z_w R) \odot Z_0 \in \text{Alg}(\mathfrak{H}_s^\circ, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus, we have Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

## 6. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$

In this section, we study several properties of  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$ , for example, the Newton series expansion, special values at  $\mathbf{q} = (1, \dots, 1)$ , and additivity and multiplicativity on  $w$ .

**6.1. Newton series expansion.** We introduce the following expression of  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  as the Newton series:

**Proposition 6.1.** *For  $d \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in U_d$  with  $|1 - q_1 \cdots q_l| < 1$  ( $l = 1, \dots, d$ ), it holds*

$$G^d(\mathbf{q}; w) = \left( \prod_{l=1}^{d-1} q_l^{d-l} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{w}{d+j} (-1)^j \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_d \geq 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_d = j}} \prod_{l=1}^d (1 - q_1 \cdots q_l)^{i_l}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $q^w = (1 - (1 - q))^w = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{w}{j} (-1)^j (1 - q)^j$  by the binomial expansion,  $1 - q^w$  can be represented as

$$1 - q^w = (1 - q) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{w}{j+1} (-1)^j (1 - q)^j.$$

This gives the claim for  $d = 1$ . Then we proceed by induction on  $d$ . Substituting the induction hypothesis for  $G^{d-1}$  into the definition (3.1) of  $G^d$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G^d(\mathbf{q}; w) &= \left( \prod_{l=1}^{d-2} q_l^{d-1-l} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{w}{d-1+j} (-1)^j \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{d-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_{d-1} = j}} \prod_{l=1}^{d-2} (1 - q_1 \cdots q_l)^{i_l} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(1 - q_1 \cdots q_{d-1})^{i_{d-1}} - (1 - q_1 \cdots q_d)^{i_{d-1}}}{1 - q_d}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim for  $G^d$  follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1 - q_1 \cdots q_{d-1})^{i_{d-1}} - (1 - q_1 \cdots q_d)^{i_{d-1}}}{1 - q_d} \\ &= -q_1 \cdots q_{d-1} \sum_{\substack{i'_{d-1}, i'_d \geq 0 \\ i'_{d-1} + i'_d = i_{d-1} - 1}} (1 - q_1 \cdots q_{d-1})^{i'_{d-1}} (1 - q_1 \cdots q_d)^{i'_d}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

## 6.2. Special values of $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$ at some $\mathbf{q}$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** *For  $\Re(w) > 0$ , we have*

$$\lim_{(q_1, \dots, q_d) \rightarrow (0, \dots, 0)} G^d(q_1, \dots, q_d; w) = \begin{cases} 1 & (d = 1), \\ 0 & (d \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* This follows from (3.2).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.** *The following hold:*

$$(6.1) \quad G^d(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_d; w) = \binom{w}{d},$$

$$(6.2) \quad G^d(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-1}, q; w) = \frac{1}{(q-1)^d} \left( q^w - \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \binom{w}{l} (q-1)^l \right).$$

Here we assume that  $q \neq 1$  in (6.2).

*Proof.* We prove (6.1) and (6.2) simultaneously by induction on  $d$ . The case  $d = 1$  is obvious. For  $d \geq 2$ , by the definition of  $G^d$  and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^d(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-1}, q; w) &= \frac{1}{1-q} \left\{ G^{d-1}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-1}; w) - G^{d-1}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-2}, q; w) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} \left\{ \binom{w}{d-1} - \frac{1}{(q-1)^{d-1}} \left( q^w - \sum_{l=0}^{d-2} \binom{w}{l} (q-1)^l \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q-1)^d} \left( q^w - \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \binom{w}{l} (q-1)^l \right), \end{aligned}$$

which shows (6.2) for  $G^d$ . Moreover, by letting  $q \rightarrow 1$ , we obtain

$$G^d(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_d; w) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(q-1)^d} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{w}{l} (q-1)^l - \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \binom{w}{l} (q-1)^l \right) = \binom{w}{d}.$$

Thus (6.1) holds for  $G^d$ . □

**Corollary 6.4.** *The generating function of  $G^d(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-1}, q; w)$  is given by*

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} G^d(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-1}, q; w) X^d = \frac{((1+X)^w - q^w)X}{1-q+X}.$$

*Proof.* By (6.2), we have

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} G^d(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-1}, q; w) X^d = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{X}{q-1} \right)^d \left( q^w - \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \binom{w}{l} (q-1)^l \right).$$

Then the claim follows from the identities

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{X}{q-1} \right)^d q^w = \frac{q^w X}{q-1-X}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{X}{q-1} \right)^d \sum_{l=0}^{d-1} \binom{w}{l} (q-1)^l &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{w}{l} (q-1)^l \sum_{d=l+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{X}{q-1} \right)^d \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{w}{l} \frac{X^{l+1}}{q-1-X} = \frac{(1+X)^w X}{q-1-X}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 6.5.** *Assume that  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{d-1}) \in U_{d-1}$  satisfies that  $q_m \cdots q_{d-1} \neq 1$  for  $m = 1, \dots, d-1$ . Then*

$$(6.3) \quad G^d(\mathbf{q}, 1; w) = \frac{(-1)^{d-1} w \prod_{m=1}^{d-1} q_m^w}{\prod_{m=1}^{d-1} (1 - \prod_{k=m}^{d-1} q_k)} + \sum_{l=1}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^{d-1-l} \left( \prod_{m=l}^{d-1} q_m \right) G^l(\mathbf{q}_{[l-1]}, \prod_{m=l}^{d-1} q_m; w)}{\prod_{m=l}^{d-1} (1 - \prod_{k=m}^{d-1} q_k)}.$$

*Proof.* By definition (3.1), it holds that

$$G^d(\mathbf{q}, 1; w) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_d} G^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-2]}, q_{d-1} q_d; w) \right) \Big|_{q_d=1}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_d} G^l(\mathbf{q}_{[l-1]}, q_l \cdots q_{d-1} q_d; w) \right) \Big|_{q_d=1} \\ &= \frac{q_l \cdots q_{d-1}}{1 - q_l \cdots q_{d-1}} G^l(\mathbf{q}_{[l-1]}, q_l \cdots q_{d-1}; w) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{1 - q_l \cdots q_{d-1}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_d} G^{l-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[l-2]}, q_{l-1} \cdots q_{d-1} q_d; w) \right) \Big|_{q_d=1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $l = 2, \dots, d-1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial q_d} G^1(q_1 \cdots q_{d-1} q_d; w) \right) \Big|_{q_d=1} \\ &= \frac{q_1 \cdots q_{d-1}}{1 - q_1 \cdots q_{d-1}} G^1(q_1 \cdots q_{d-1}; w) - \frac{w(q_1 \cdots q_{d-1})^w}{1 - q_1 \cdots q_{d-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining these together, the formula (6.3) follows.  $\square$

**6.3. Property of  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  as a function in  $w$ .** We introduce the additivity and multiplicativity of  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; w)$  with respect to  $w$ .

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d) \in U_d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ .*

(1) *It holds that*

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &G^d(\mathbf{q}; u+v) \\ &= G^d(\mathbf{q}; u) + q_1^u G^d(\mathbf{q}; v) + q_1^u \sum_{l=1}^{d-1} G^{d-l} \left( \prod_{m=2}^{l+1} q_m, \mathbf{q}^{[l+1]}; u \right) G^l(q_1 q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[l+1]}^{[2]}; v), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{q}_{[l]}^{[m]} := (\mathbf{q}_{[l]})^{[m]} = (q_{m+1}, \dots, q_l)$  for  $0 \leq m \leq l \leq d$ .

(2) If  $(q_1^u, \dots, q_d^u) \in U_d$ , it holds that

$$(6.5) \quad G^d(\mathbf{q}; uv) = \sum_{l=1}^d \sum_{d=j_0 \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_l=1} \prod_{n=1}^l G^{j_{n-1}-j_n+1} \left( \prod_{m=n}^{j_n} q_m, \mathbf{q}_{[j_{n-1}]}^{[j_n]}; u \right) G^l(q_1^u, \dots, q_l^u; v).$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $F^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)$  denote the right-hand side of (6.4). For  $d = 1$ , we have

$$F^1(q; u, v) = \frac{1-q^u}{1-q} + q^u \frac{1-q^v}{1-q} = \frac{1-q^{u+v}}{1-q} = G^1(q; u+v).$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that  $F^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)$  satisfies the same recursive relation as  $G^d(\mathbf{q}; u+v)$ , that is, it holds that

$$(1-q_d)F^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v) = F^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; u, v) - F^{d-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}; u, v)$$

for  $d \geq 2$ , where we set  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]} := (q_1, \dots, q_{d-2}, q_{d-1}q_d)$ . This is easily checked for  $d = 2$ , and for  $d \geq 3$ , this follows from the identities below:

$$\begin{aligned} (1-q_d)G^d(\mathbf{q}; u) &= G^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; u) - G^{d-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}; u), \\ (1-q_d)G^d(\mathbf{q}; v) &= G^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; v) - G^{d-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}; v), \\ (1-q_d)G^{d-l}(q_2 \cdots q_{l+1}, \mathbf{q}^{[l+1]}; u)G^l(q_1q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[l+1]}^{[2]}; v) \\ &= G^{d-l-1}(q_2 \cdots q_{l+1}, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[l+1]}; u)G^l(q_1q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[l+1]}^{[2]}; v) \\ &\quad - G^{d-l-1}(q_2 \cdots q_{l+1}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}^{[l+1]}; u)G^l(q_1q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[l+1]}^{[2]}; v) \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq l \leq d-3$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (1-q_d) &\left( G^2(q_2 \cdots q_{d-1}, q_d; u)G^{d-2}(q_1q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[2]}; v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G^1(q_2 \cdots q_d; u)G^{d-1}(q_1q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[d]}^{[2]}; v) \right) \\ &= \left\{ G^1(q_2 \cdots q_{d-1}; u) - G^1(q_2 \cdots q_d; u) \right\} G^{d-2}(q_1q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[2]}; v) \\ &\quad + G^1(q_2 \cdots q_d; u) \left\{ G^{d-2}(q_1q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[2]}; v) - G^{d-2}(q_1q_2, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}^{[2]}; v) \right\} \\ &= G^1(q_2 \cdots q_{d-1}; u)G^{d-2}(q_1q_2, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[2]}; v) \\ &\quad - G^1(q_2 \cdots q_d; u)G^{d-2}(q_1q_2, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}^{[2]}; v). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)$  denote the right-hand side of (6.5). For  $d = 1$ , it holds

$$H^1(q; u, v) = \frac{1-q^u}{1-q} \frac{1-(q^u)^v}{1-q^u} = \frac{1-q^{uv}}{1-q} = G^1(q; uv).$$

Thus, again, it is sufficient to show the relation

$$(6.6) \quad (1-q_d)H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v) = H^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; u, v) - H^{d-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}; u, v)$$

for  $d \geq 2$ . In fact, we will prove a refinement of this identity.



Let  $J_d$  denote the set of sequences  $\mathbf{j} = (j_0, j_1, \dots, j_l)$  satisfying  $d = j_0 \geq j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_l = l$ . For such a sequence  $\mathbf{j}$ , let  $H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_{\mathbf{j}}$  be the corresponding term in  $H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)$ , that is,

$$H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_{\mathbf{j}} := \prod_{n=1}^l G^{j_{n-1}-j_n+1} \left( \prod_{m=n}^{j_n} q_m, \mathbf{q}_{[j_{n-1}]}^{[j_n]}; u \right) G^l(q_1^u, \dots, q_l^u; v).$$

For each sequence  $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_l) \in J_{d-1}$ , we define a subset  $J_d(\mathbf{i})$  of  $J_d$  as follows. When  $l < d-1$ , let  $t$  be the minimum number with  $i_t < d-1$ , so that  $\mathbf{i} = (\underbrace{d-1, \dots, d-1}_t, i_t, \dots, i_l)$ . Then we set

$$J_d(\mathbf{i}) := \left\{ (\underbrace{d, \dots, d}_s, \underbrace{d-1, \dots, d-1}_{t-s}, i_t, \dots, i_l) \mid 1 \leq s \leq t \right\}.$$

When  $l = d-1$ , we set

$$J_d(\underbrace{d-1, \dots, d-1}_d) := \left\{ (\underbrace{d, \dots, d}_s, \underbrace{d-1, \dots, d-1}_{d-s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d-1 \right\} \cup \left\{ (\underbrace{d, \dots, d}_{d+1}) \right\}.$$

Then, since  $J_d$  is the disjoint union of  $J_d(\mathbf{i})$  where  $\mathbf{i}$  runs over  $J_{d-1}$ , the equality (6.6) follows from the identity

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_d(\mathbf{i})} (1 - q_d) H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_{\mathbf{j}} = H^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; u, v)_{\mathbf{i}} - H^{d-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}; u, v)_{\mathbf{i}}$$

for  $\mathbf{i} \in J_{d-1}$ , which will be shown below.

First we consider  $\mathbf{i} = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_l)$  with  $l < d-1$ . Let  $t$  be the minimum number with  $i_t < d-1$ . For  $\mathbf{j} = (\underbrace{d, \dots, d}_s, \underbrace{d-1, \dots, d-1}_{t-s}, i_t, \dots, i_l) \in J_d(\mathbf{i})$  with  $1 \leq s \leq t-1$ ,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - q_d) H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_{\mathbf{j}} \\ &= (1 - q_d) \prod_{n=1}^{s-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot G^2(q_s \cdots q_{d-1}, q_d; u) \cdot \prod_{n=s+1}^{t-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_{d-1}; u) \\ & \quad \times G^{d-i_t}(q_t \cdots q_{i_t}, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[i_t]}; u) \cdot \prod_{n=t+1}^l G^{i_{n-1}-i_n+1}(q_n \cdots q_{i_n}, \mathbf{q}_{[i_{n-1}]}^{[i_n]}; u) \cdot G^l(q_1^u, \dots, q_l^u; v) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{s-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot \left\{ G^1(q_s \cdots q_{d-1}; u) - G^1(q_s \cdots q_d; u) \right\} \cdot \prod_{n=s+1}^{t-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_{d-1}; u) \\ & \quad \times G^{d-i_t}(q_t \cdots q_{i_t}, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[i_t]}; u) \cdot \prod_{n=t+1}^l G^{i_{n-1}-i_n+1}(q_n \cdots q_{i_n}, \mathbf{q}_{[i_{n-1}]}^{[i_n]}; u) \cdot G^l(q_1^u, \dots, q_l^u; v). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $\mathbf{j} = (\underbrace{d, \dots, d}_t, i_t, \dots, i_l)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - q_d)H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_{\mathbf{j}(t)} \\
&= (1 - q_d) \prod_{n=1}^{t-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot G^{d-it+1}(q_t \cdots q_{i_t}, \mathbf{q}^{[i_t]}; u) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{n=t+1}^l G^{i_{n-1}-i_n+1}(q_n \cdots q_{i_n}, \mathbf{q}_{[i_{n-1}]}^{[i_n]}; u) \cdot G^l(q_1^u, \dots, q_l^u; v) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{t-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot \left\{ G^{d-it}(q_t \cdots q_{i_t}, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[i_t]}; u) - G^{d-it}(q_t \cdots q_{i_t}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}^{[i_t]}; u) \right\} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{n=t+1}^l G^{i_{n-1}-i_n+1}(q_n \cdots q_{i_n}, \mathbf{q}_{[i_{n-1}]}^{[i_n]}; u) \cdot G^l(q_1^u, \dots, q_l^u; v).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing these terms together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_d(\mathbf{i})} (1 - q_d)H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_{\mathbf{j}} &= \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{t-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_{d-1}; u) \cdot G^{d-it}(q_t \cdots q_{i_t}, \mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}^{[i_t]}; u) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \prod_{n=1}^{t-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot G^{d-it}(q_t \cdots q_{i_t}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}^{[i_t]}; u) \right\} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{n=t+1}^l G^{i_{n-1}-i_n+1}(q_n \cdots q_{i_n}, \mathbf{q}_{[i_{n-1}]}^{[i_n]}; u) \cdot G^l(q_1^u, \dots, q_l^u; v) \\
&= H^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; u, v)_{\mathbf{i}} - H^{d-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}; u, v)_{\mathbf{i}},
\end{aligned}$$

which establishes (6.7) in the present case.

Next we consider  $\mathbf{i} = (\underbrace{d-1, \dots, d-1}_d) \in J_{d-1}$ . For  $\mathbf{j} = (\underbrace{d, \dots, d}_s, \underbrace{d-1, \dots, d-1}_{d-s})$

with  $1 \leq s \leq d-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - q_d)H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_{\mathbf{j}} &= (1 - q_d) \prod_{n=1}^{s-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot G^2(q_s \cdots q_{d-1}, q_d; u) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{n=s+1}^{d-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_{d-1}; u) \cdot G^{d-1}(q_1^u, \dots, q_{d-1}^u; v) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{s-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot \left\{ G^1(q_s \cdots q_{d-1}; u) - G^1(q_s \cdots q_d; u) \right\} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{n=s+1}^{d-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_{d-1}; u) \cdot G^{d-1}(q_1^u, \dots, q_{d-1}^u; v).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $\mathbf{j} = \underbrace{(d, \dots, d)}_{d+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - q_d)H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_j \\
&= (1 - q_d) \prod_{n=1}^d G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot G^d(q_1^u, \dots, q_d^u; v) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{d-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot (1 - q_d^u) G^d(q_1^u, \dots, q_d^u; v) \\
&= \prod_{n=1}^{d-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot \left\{ G^{d-1}(q_1^u, \dots, q_{d-1}^u; v) - G^{d-1}(q_1^u, \dots, (q_{d-1}q_d)^u; v) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing these terms together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J_d(\mathbf{i})} (1 - q_d)H^d(\mathbf{q}; u, v)_j &= \prod_{n=1}^{d-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_{d-1}; u) \cdot G^{d-1}(q_1^u, \dots, q_{d-1}^u; v) \\
&\quad - \prod_{n=1}^{d-1} G^1(q_n \cdots q_d; u) \cdot G^{d-1}(q_1^u, \dots, (q_{d-1}q_d)^u; v) \\
&= H^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}_{[d-1]}; u, v)_i - H^{d-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{[d-1]}; u, v)_i
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Thus we have proven (6.7) for all  $\mathbf{i} \in J_{d-1}$ , and hence (6.6).  $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 6.6, the right-hand sides of (6.4) and (6.5) turn out to be symmetric with respect to  $u$  and  $v$  (for the latter, we also need to assume  $(q_1^v, \dots, q_d^v) \in U_d$ ).

**Example 6.7.** In the case of  $d = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
G^2(q_1, q_2; u + v) &= G^2(q_1, q_2; u) + q_1^u G^2(q_1, q_2; v) + q_1^u G^1(q_2; u) G^1(q_1 q_2; v) \\
&= G^2(q_1, q_2; v) + q_1^v G^2(q_1, q_2; u) + q_1^v G^1(q_2; v) G^1(q_1 q_2; u).
\end{aligned}$$

**Example 6.8.** In the case of  $d = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
G^1(q; uv) &= \frac{1 - q^u}{1 - q} \frac{1 - q^{uv}}{1 - q^u} = G^1(q; u) G^1(q^u; v) \\
&= \frac{1 - q^v}{1 - q} \frac{1 - q^{uv}}{1 - q^v} = G^1(q; v) G^1(q^v; u)
\end{aligned}$$

hold. In cases of  $d = 2$  and  $d = 3$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
G^2(q_1, q_2; uv) &= G^2(q_1, q_2; u) G^1(q_1^u; v) + G^1(q_1 q_2; u) G^1(q_2; u) G^2(q_1^u, q_2^u; v), \\
G^3(q_1, q_2, q_3; uv) &= G^3(q_1, q_2, q_3; u) G^1(q_1^u; v) \\
&\quad + (G^1(q_1 q_2; u) G^2(q_2, q_3; u) + G^2(q_1 q_2, q_3; u) G^1(q_2 q_3; u)) G^2(q_1^u, q_2^u; v) \\
&\quad + G^1(q_1 q_2 q_3; u) G^1(q_2 q_3; u) G^1(q_3; u) G^3(q_1^u, q_2^u, q_3^u; v).
\end{aligned}$$

**Example 6.9.** By (6.4) with  $v = 1$ , we have the following difference formula:

$$G^d(\mathbf{q}; u + 1) - G^d(\mathbf{q}; u) = q_1^u G^{d-1}(\mathbf{q}^{[1]}; u).$$

Note that its Mellin transform yields the difference equation (4.3) of  $\Psi$ .

**6.4. Applications to binomial coefficients.** The identity (6.1) allows us to deduce some formulas for binomial coefficients from corresponding ones for the function  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$ . We show the following Corollaries 6.10 and 6.11 as examples of this procedure. Though these formulas for binomial coefficients can be directly proven, it may be of some interest to notice such a relationship with our function  $G(\mathbf{q}; w)$ .

**Corollary 6.10.** For  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we have

$$\binom{u+v}{d} = \sum_{j=0}^d \binom{v}{j} \binom{u}{d-j}$$

and

$$\binom{uv}{d} = \sum_{l=0}^d \left( \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_l = d}} \binom{u}{i_1} \binom{u}{i_2} \cdots \binom{u}{i_l} \right) \binom{v}{l}.$$

*Proof.* Putting  $\mathbf{q} = (1, \dots, 1)$  in formulas in Proposition 6.6, we obtain the claim.  $\square$

For  $c, d \geq 0$ , let  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  be tuples of length  $c$  and  $d$  respectively. For  $m \geq 0$ , let  $D^m(c, d)$  be the number of terms of length  $m$  in the expansion of  $\mathbf{p} * \mathbf{q}$ . For example, since  $(p) * (q) = (p, q) + (q, p) + (pq)$ , we have  $D^1(1, 1) = 1$ ,  $D^2(1, 1) = 2$  and  $D^m(1, 1) = 0$  for  $m \geq 3$ . Note that  $D^m(c, d)$  depends only on  $c$  and  $d$ .

**Corollary 6.11.** For  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,

$$(6.8) \quad \binom{w}{c} \binom{w}{d} = \sum_{m=0}^{c+d} D^m(c, d) \binom{w}{m}$$

holds.

*Proof.* If either  $c$  or  $d$  is zero, (6.8) is trivial. For  $c, d > 0$ , since

$$\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_c * \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_d = \sum_{m=0}^{c+d} D^m(c, d) \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_m,$$

it follows from Theorem 5.2 that

$$G^c(1, \dots, 1; w) G^d(1, \dots, 1; w) = \sum_{m=0}^{c+d} D^m(c, d) G^m(1, \dots, 1; w).$$

Thus, by (6.1), we have the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.12.** For  $c, d, m \geq 1$ ,  $D^m(c, d)$  is characterized by the recurrence formula

$$(6.9) \quad D^m(c, d) = D^{m-1}(c-1, d) + D^{m-1}(c, d-1) + D^{m-1}(c-1, d-1)$$

with

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{cases} D^0(c, d) = \delta_{0c}\delta_{0d} & (c, d \geq 0), \\ D^m(c, 0) = \delta_{mc}, \quad D^m(0, d) = \delta_{md} & (c, d, m \geq 0). \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, the generating function of  $D^m(c, d)$  is as follows:

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{c, d, m \geq 0} D^m(c, d) x^c y^d z^m = \frac{1}{1 - xz - yz - xyz}.$$

*Proof.* The recurrence formula (6.9) and the initial condition (6.10) are directly derived by the definition of  $D^m(c, d)$ . The generating function (6.11) is implied easily from (6.9) and (6.10).  $\square$

**Remark 6.13.** The numbers

$$D(c, d) = \sum_{m=0}^{c+d} D^m(c, d)$$

are known as Delannoy numbers, whose generating function is  $\frac{1}{1-x-y-xy}$  ([A008288] in OEIS<sup>1</sup>).

**Example 6.14.** Since

$$(p_1, p_2) \star (q) = (p_1, p_2, q) + (p_1, q, p_2) + (q, p_1, p_2) + (p_1, p_2, q) + (p_1, q, p_2),$$

we have  $D^3(2, 1) = 3$ ,  $D^2(2, 1) = 2$ ,  $D^1(2, 1) = D^0(2, 1) = 0$ . Thus, by (6.8), we have

$$\binom{w}{2} \binom{w}{1} = 3 \binom{w}{3} + 2 \binom{w}{2}.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Akiyama, S. Egami, and Y. Tanigawa, An analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions and their values at non-positive integers, *Acta Arith.* **98** (2001), 107–116.
- [2] M. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, *J. Algebra* **194** (1997), 477–495.
- [3] M. Hoffman, Quasi-shuffle products, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **11** (2000), 49–68.
- [4] G. Kawashima, A class of relations among multiple zeta values, *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), 755–788.
- [5] Y. Komori, Finite multiple zeta values, multiple zeta functions and multiple Bernoulli polynomials, *Kyushu J. Math.* **72** (2018), 333–342.
- [6] Y. Komori, Finite multiple zeta values, symmetric multiple zeta values, symmetric multiple zeta values and unified multiple zeta functions, *Tohoku Math. J.* **73** (2021), 221–255.
- [7] Y. Kusunoki, Y. Nakamura and Y. Sasaki, Functional relation formula for analytic continuation of multiple polylogarithm, *Acta Arith.* **195** (2020), 131–148.
- [8] Y. Kusunoki and Y. Nakamura, An interpolation function of multiple harmonic sum, *Res. Number Theory* **8** (2022), article No. 81, 11 pp.

---

<sup>1</sup>The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <https://oeis.org>

- [9] K. Matsumoto, On analytic continuation of various multiple zeta-functions, *Number Theory for the Millenium (Urbana, 2000)*, Vol. II, M. A. Bennett et al. (eds.), A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002, 417–440.
- [10] Y. Ohno, A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values, *J. Number Theory* **74** (1999), 39–43.
- [11] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York 1969.
- [12] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, in *First European Congress of Mathematics, Volume II*, Progress in Math. **120**, 1994, 497–512.
- [13] J. Zhao, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1275–1283.
- [14] S. A. Zlobin, Relations for Multiple Zeta Values, *Matematicheskie Zametki* **84** (2008), 825–837; translation in *Mathematical Notes* **84** (2008), 771–892.

(K. Ihara) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, KINDAI UNIVERSITY, 3-4-1 KOWAKAE, HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN  
*Email address:* k-ihara@math.kindai.ac.jp

(Y. Nakamura) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, KINDAI UNIVERSITY, 3-4-1 KOWAKAE, HIGASHI-OSAKA, OSAKA 577-8502, JAPAN  
*Email address:* yayoi@math.kindai.ac.jp

(S. Yamamoto) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KEIO UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOUHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA 223-8522, JAPAN  
*Email address:* yamashu@math.keio.ac.jp