# Multivariable Extremum Seeking Control for Dynamic Maps through Sliding Modes and Periodic Switching Function

Nerito Oliveira Aminde<sup>1</sup>, Tiago Roux Oliveira<sup>2</sup> and Liu Hsu<sup>3</sup>

*Abstract*— This paper presents the design of an extremum seeking controller based on sliding modes and cyclic search for real-time optimization of non-linear multivariable dynamic systems. These systems have arbitrary relative degree, compensated by the technique of time-scaling. The resulting approach guarantees global convergence of the system output to a small neighborhood of the optimum point. To corroborate with the theoretical results, numerical simulations are presented considering a system with two inputs and one output, which rapidly converges to the optimal parameters of the objective function.

*Keywords* – Extremum Seeking; Sliding-mode Control; Multivariable Dynamic Systems; Cyclic Search; Time-scaling.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Extremum seeking control is a form of adaptive control whose objective is to find input from a control system that maximizes or minimizes its output in real-time [5]. Since its emergence in 1922, it has already been applied to ABS brakes, mobile robots, vehicles and even particle accelerators [29]. Recently, the scope of its applications has been expanded, such as ESC analysis in the presence of delays [19], implemented in neuromuscular stimulation problems [24], non-cooperative games [23], [22], [21], biological reactors [25], [15] and traffic control for urban mobility [33].

Most of the publications in extemum seeking control concentrates on single-input-single-ouput (SISO) systems [34], [26], [17], [27], [18], [16]. However, many of the real-life problems and applications that require optimization involve multivariable systems [5]. Therefore, in recent years, several techniques of multivariable control systems were proposed [8], [32], [30].

In general, there are several methodologies available to perform extremum seeking control. One of the most recognized approaches is based on periodic excitation signals or dithers [11]. Another strategy involves extremum seeking control through monitoring functions and sliding modes [1], [13], where the monitoring function is used to address the lack of knowledge of the control direction.

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) Finance Code 001; Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, CNPq; Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, FAPERJ;

 $1N$ . O. Aminde is with Federal Center for Technological Education "Celso Suckow da Fonseca" (CEFET/RJ), Angra dos Reis, Rio de Janeiro – RJ, 23953-030, Brazil nerito.aminde@cefet-rj.br

<sup>2</sup>T. R. Oliveira is with Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering, State University of Rio de Janeiro (UERJ), Brazil tiagoroux@uerj.br

<sup>3</sup>L. Hsu is with Department of Electrical Engineering, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (COPPE/UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil lhsu@coppe.ufrj.br

Recently, a multivariable extremum seeking control based on periodic switching function and sliding modes was proposed in [28], in which the concept of cyclic search was introduced. Basically, this approach reduces a multivariable problem in scalar sub-problems. In [2], we expand this formulation to consider the monitoring function for static objective function [3] and dynamic linear systems [13], respectively.

In this paper, we propose a multivariable extremum seeking controller based on periodic switching function [9] and sliding modes for dynamic maps with arbitrary relative degrees, which for simplicity are considered stable linear dynamic systems in cascade with a nonlinear map. The relative degree is mitigated through time-scaling [14], which also allows the analysis and design of controllers by extremum seeking regardless of the order or relative degree of the model and exact knowledge of its parameters [13].

#### II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider the following uncertain linear subsystem with arbitrary relative degree  $n^*$ :

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
\dot{v} = u,\tag{1}
$$

$$
\dot{x} = Ax + Bv,\tag{2}
$$

<span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
z = Cx \tag{3}
$$

in cascade to the static system

<span id="page-0-2"></span>
$$
y = h(z),\tag{4}
$$

where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the control input,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the unmeasured output of the subsystem [\(1\)](#page-0-0)-[\(3\)](#page-0-1) and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  is the measured output [\(4\)](#page-0-2).

The integrator in [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is used to obtain a virtual control signal  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which increases the relative degree of the system [12], i.e.,  $n \geq n^* - 1$  instead of  $n > n^*$ . The increase in the relative degree causes the high-frequency switching to be retained only in the control signal  $u$ , while the virtual control  $v$  that triggers the plant is totally continuous, which allows attenuating the chattering [31] in the closed-loop system.

The matrices  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $Bn\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are uncertain, the subsystem of order  $n$  and consequent relative degree is assumed unknown. In order to ensure the existence and uniqueness of solutions, it is assumed that the uncertain nonlinear map  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  to be optimized (maximized or minimized) is locally Lipschitz continuous and sufficiently smooth. It is also assumed that the initial instant is  $t = 0$  s. For each solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-0)-[\(4\)](#page-0-2), there is a maximum time interval of definition given by  $[0, t_M)$ , where  $t_M$  can be finite or infinite.

# *A. Control objective*

The control objective is to design a control law  $u$  through output feedback that takes the system to the extremum point of the objective function  $y = h(z)$  in [\(4\)](#page-0-2), without losing generality and starting from any initial conditions, keeping it as close as possible to this point. The objective function is assumed to have only a maximum, denoted by  $y^* = h(z^*)$ . This challenge can be conceived under the extremum seeking of a control system, where  $y$  represents the output of the objective function, and  $v$  is interpreted as the output of an integrator, whose input is determined by a  $u$  vector of control signals to be designed.

Furthermore, the same problem can be redefined as a trajectory tracking problem, in which the control direction is unknown [20].

#### <span id="page-1-6"></span>*B. Analysis by Singular Perturbation*

In [4], the multivariable extremum seeking control was designed via sliding modes, periodic switching function and cyclic search for optimization problems in real-time, but for static maps. Here it is intended to show that the results obtained can be extended to dynamic maps.

To ensure this generalization, initially consider a simple integrator system with a nonlinear static map

$$
\dot{v} = u \,, \tag{5}
$$

$$
y = h(v), \tag{6}
$$

which can be effectively controlled using extremum seeking control via periodic switching function.

By considering singular perturbation method [10], it can be shown that extremum seeking control via periodic switching and cyclic search [4] is robust to fast unmodeled dynamics such that the disturbed system [\(5\)](#page-1-0)-[\(6\)](#page-1-1) can be rewritten in sensor block form [10, p. 50]:

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\dot{v} = u, \tag{7}
$$

$$
\eta \dot{x} = Ax + Bv, \tag{8}
$$

$$
y = h(Cx), \tag{9}
$$

satisfying the inequality

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
|y - y^*| \le \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\eta} + \varepsilon), \tag{10}
$$

where  $\eta > 0$  is a constant sufficiently small. The demonstration of [\(10\)](#page-1-2) follows the same steps as in [6], [7], [4], considering  $y^*$  as a setpoint.

# *C. Redesign of the controller via time-scaling*

Using a suitable time-scale [13]

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \eta\,,\tag{11}
$$

one can rewrite the system  $(7)-(9)$  $(7)-(9)$  $(7)-(9)$  as

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
v' = \eta u \tag{12}
$$

$$
x' = Ax + Bv, \tag{13}
$$

$$
z = Cx, \t(14)
$$

$$
y = h(z), \tag{15}
$$

where  $v' := \frac{dv}{d\tau}$  e  $x' := \frac{dx}{d\tau}$ .

This means that  $\exists \eta^* > 0$  such that de control input u can be scaled in  $(12)$  to control the original system  $(2)$ – $(4)$  in a different time-scale dilated by  $t = \eta \tau$ ,  $\forall \eta \in (0, \eta^*]$ .

The practical meaning is that, since the periodic switching function-based extremum seeking control, initially proposed for systems of relative degree one, is robust to unmodeled dynamics that are stable and fast when ( $\eta \rightarrow 0$ ) then it can be effective to control dynamics systems of any relative degree as long as they are properly staggered. As a predictable consequence, the cost to consider is that the closed loop response slows down as  $\eta \to 0$ .

As mentioned earlier, only the output is considered measurable, while the linear output of the subsystem  $z$  and the state  $x$  are not available for feedback. To achieve the expected results, it is necessary to assume and delimit some hypotheses presented below, considering the controlled plant  $(1)-(4)$  $(1)-(4)$  $(1)-(4)$ , or equivalently  $(12)-(15)$  $(12)-(15)$  $(12)-(15)$ :

(H1) (*About the uncertainties*): All uncertain plant parameters belong to a compact set  $\Omega$ .

<span id="page-1-0"></span>( $H2$ ) (*Differentiability of h*): The nonlinear function  $h$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is locally Lipschitz continuous in x and sufficiently smooth, i.e., h is continuously differentiable over all domain  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

<span id="page-1-1"></span>(H3) (*About the linear subsystem*): the matrix A in [\(2\)](#page-0-3) must have its eigenvalues in the left-half plane, i.e., it must be stable.

**(H4)** (*Unique maximum in*  $h(z)$ ): It is assumed that there is  $z^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $y^* = h(z^*)$  is the only maximum of  $h(z)$ , where the gradient and hessian matrices satisfy, respectively:

$$
\left. \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right|_{z=z^*} = 0 \text{ and } \left. \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z^2} \right|_{z=z^*} < 0,
$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(H5) (*Radial unboundedness of* h): Assume that the function  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is radially unbounded in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This guaranties that if |y| is bounded, then  $||x||$  must be bounded.

Hypothesis H1 is fundamental and crucial in nonlinear systems. Hypotheses H2, H3 and H5 are required to ensure no finite-time escape in the closed-loop system, while hypothesis H4 demonstrates maximum properties of a nonlinear function, being vital in optimization problems.

# III. MULTIVARIABLE EXTREMUM SEEKING CONTROLLER VIA PERIODIC SWITCHING FUNCTION

Figure [1](#page-2-0) represents the diagram of the proposed multivariable extremum seeking control scheme, which uses sliding modes and output feedback with a periodic switching function and cyclic search.



Fig. 1. Multivariable extremum seeking control via periodic switching function and cyclic search for dynamic maps.

The control law is given by

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
u(t) = \rho(t)\sigma(t)\text{sgn}\left(\sin\left[\frac{\pi}{\varepsilon}s(t)\right]\right) ,\qquad (16)
$$

such that

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
s(t) = e(t) + \lambda \int_0^t \text{sgn}(e(\tau))d\tau \tag{17}
$$

where  $\sigma(t)$  is the cyclic search function and  $\rho(t)$  is the modulation function, both functions to be defined in sections [III-A](#page-2-1) and [III-C,](#page-3-0) respectively, and  $\lambda, \varepsilon > 0$  are appropriate constants.

The reference tracking error  $e(t)$  is defined by

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
e(t) = y(t) - y_m(t), \qquad (18)
$$

where  $y_m > 0$  is a monotonically increasing ramp as a function of time, generated through the following reference trajectory

<span id="page-2-5"></span>
$$
\dot{y}_m = p, \quad y_m(0) = p_0, \tag{19}
$$

where  $p > 0$  is design constant. To avoid an unlimited reference signal,  $y_m(t)$  can be saturated in the controller by an upper bound of  $y^*$ , without affecting its performance.

The modulation function  $\rho(t)$  will be designed so that  $y(t)$ can track the ramp  $y_m(t)$ ,  $\forall t$ , until the directional or global extremum point is reached. Thus,  $y$  is oriented to reach the neighborhood of the directional or global maximum  $y^* =$  $h(x^*)$  and to remain close to the ideal value. For this, a new modulation function  $\rho(t)$  is suggested, such that the sliding mode  $\dot{s} = 0$  occurs in finite time on one of the surfaces  $s = k\varepsilon$ , for some k integer.

From [\(17\)](#page-2-2), one has

$$
\dot{s} = \dot{e} + \lambda \operatorname{sgn}(e) = 0. \tag{20}
$$

Thus, it is ensured that the error  $e$  tends to zero, that is,  $y = h(z)$  tracks  $y_m$  (and consequently, y must approach the directional or global extremum  $y^*$ ) while y remains outside the neighborhood of  $y^*$ , where the high-frequency gain is non-zero. In contrast, once y approaches  $y^*$ , the high-frequency gain approaches zero, which results in loss of controllability. This way, tracking of  $y_m$  is stopped. However, the neighborhood of the extremum point is reached as desired. The control strategy ensures that  $y$  remains close

to  $y^*$ ,  $\forall t$ . Results and demonstrations of the scalar case can be found in [20].

## <span id="page-2-1"></span>*A. Design of the cyclic directional search*

<span id="page-2-0"></span>The cyclic directional search is designed as in [3], [28], so that the change of the search direction takes place periodically. Let  $\sigma(t)$  be a periodic function with period  $T_s$ . Let the interval  $T = [T_l, T_u)$  represent the interval of one cycle so that,  $T_s = T_u - T_l$  and let the set of initial instants of each directional search be denoted as  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ . Let  $\Delta \tau_i = [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}), \forall i = 1, ..., n$ , be the  $n$  directional search sub-intervals within each cycle. Let the orthonormal basis be denoted by  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , so that  $a_i^T = [0, \dots 0, 1, 0, \dots 0]$ , with the unit element at the ith position of the vector. Thus, the cyclic search direction in [\(16\)](#page-2-3) can be defined as follows:

<span id="page-2-6"></span>
$$
\sigma(t) = a_i, \quad \forall t \in \Delta \tau_i, \quad \forall i = 1, ..., n. \tag{21}
$$

For simplicity, we choose equal duration  $T_s/n$  for each sub-interval  $\Delta \tau_i$ . During the *i*th sub-interval, the controller will search in the *i*th direction before switching to the next direction in the next sub-interval ([28]). That is, the multivariable cyclic controller works as a scalar controller in each sub-interval  $\Delta \tau_i$ .

Since the search is cyclic with period  $T_s$ , to each cycle is attributed an index  $\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ . For the  $\kappa$ th cycle, a directional extremum can occur for each ith search direction within the cycle. Such extremum is denoted  $y^*(\kappa) =$  $h(x_i^*(\kappa))$ , where  $x_i^*(\kappa) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an extremum point along the ith search direction of the  $\kappa$ th cycle. When the system approaches the global extremum or some directional extremum, the controllability is "lost" in the sense that the control gains become too low to ensure the desired output tracking by sliding mode. Thus, the following concepts and hypothesis are introduced.

**(H6)** (*Low controllability regions*  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}$  *and*  $\mathcal{D}(\kappa)_{\Delta_i}$ ):

Let  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta} := \{x : ||x - x^*|| < \Delta/2\}$  and, for each *i*-th directional search  $\kappa$ -th cycle, indexed by  $\kappa_i$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\kappa_i)_{\Delta_i} := \{x :$  $||x_i(\kappa_i) - x_i^*(\kappa_i)|| < \Delta_i/2$ ,  $x_j(\kappa_i) = \text{constant}, \ j \neq i$ . Such regions will be referred to as  $\Delta$ -vicinity, for simplicity.

Then, assume that there exists a positive function  $L_h(\cdot)$ , bounded away from zero, such that, for any given s.s. constants  $\Delta > 0$  and  $\Delta_i > 0$ ,

$$
0 < \underline{k}_p \le L_h(\Delta) \le \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right\|, \ \forall x \notin \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}, \text{ and}
$$
\n
$$
0 < \underline{k}_{pi} \le L_h(\Delta_{iJ}) \le \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} \right|, \ \forall x_i \notin \mathcal{D}(\kappa)_{\Delta_{iJ}}.
$$

From the continuity, assumption (H1),  $L_h(\Delta)$  and  $L_h(\Delta_{iJ})$ tend to zero as  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_{iJ}$  tend to zero. Note that the  $\Delta$ 's can be chosen arbitrarily small if  $L_h$  is allowed to be correspondingly small, due to Assumption (H1). However, smaller  $L<sub>h</sub>$  will demand higher control gain. For simplicity, one can choose  $\Delta = \Delta_i$ .

It is convenient to relate the parameter  $\Delta$  or  $\Delta_i$  with the small parameter  $\varepsilon$  so that  $||x - x^*|| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  in  $\mathcal{D}_\Delta$  and  $|x -$ 

 $x_i^*(\kappa_i) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}$  in the *i*-th directional domain  $\mathcal{D}(\kappa_i)_{\Delta_i}$ , as a consequence of the equation [\(9\)](#page-1-3) [4], for static map,  $\eta = 0$ .

In what follows, we drop the periodic search cycle index  $\kappa$  to avoid clutter, by considering the analysis takes place within a generic cycle.

## *B. The singular case*  $\eta = 0$

In this case, the differential equation [\(8\)](#page-1-3) is replaced by the algebraic equation  $x = -A^{-1}Bv$  and, from [\(7\)](#page-1-3) and [\(9\)](#page-1-3), the first derivative of the output  $y$  with respect to time is given by

$$
\dot{y} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}^T u \tag{22}
$$

where the high frequency gain is given by the gradient vector, i.e.,

$$
k_p(z) := [k_{p_1} \cdots k_{p_n}], \quad e \quad k_{p_i}(z) := \frac{\partial h}{\partial z_i} CA^{-1}B. \tag{23}
$$

As in [20], the signs associated with the elements  $k_{pi} :=$  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial z_i}$  of  $k_p$  can be interpreted as control directions. Hypothesis H6 allows the consideration of a non-linear control system with state-dependent high-frequency gain, which changes signal around the optimum point continuously.

From [\(23\)](#page-3-1) and hypothesis H6,  $k_p$  ( $\forall z \notin \mathcal{D}_{\Delta}$ ), and  $k_{p_i}$  $(\forall z \notin \mathcal{D}_{\Delta_{\kappa_i}})$  satisfy

$$
0 < \underline{k}_p \le ||k_p|| \,, \, |k_{p_i}| \,;\tag{24}
$$

where the lower bound  $\underline{k}_p \leq L_h |CA^{-1}B|$  is a constant, considering all permissible uncertainties in  $h(.)$ ,  $A, B \in C$ .

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>*C. Design of the modulation function for*  $\eta = 0$

From  $(12)$ ,  $(18)$  and  $(19)$ , the time derivative of the sliding manifold  $s(t)$  (hiding t) one has:

$$
\dot{s} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} u_i - p + \lambda \operatorname{sign}(e), \qquad (25)
$$

and for the  $i$ -th search direction,

$$
\dot{s} = k_{p_i}(x)(u_i + d_s), \tag{26}
$$

where

$$
d_s := (k_{p_i}(x))^{-1} (-p + \lambda \operatorname{sgn}(e)) . \tag{27}
$$

Suppose we start at  $t = \tau_i$ , that is, at the beginning of the *i*th search direction, we have controllability error, with  $k_{p_i} \geq$  $L_h(\Delta_i)$ , to be considered as *controllability condition* (see (H6)). Considering  $d_s$  as a disturbance, it can be increased in absolute value by:

$$
\bar{d}_s := L_h^{-1} (p + \lambda) \ge |d_s| \,. \tag{28}
$$

which allows us to define the modulation function  $\rho$  as being

$$
\rho = \bar{d}_s + \gamma,\tag{29}
$$

with  $\gamma > 0$  being an arbitrarily small positive constant. This approach relies on the results obtained and published in [4].

#### *D. Modulation function design for*  $\eta \neq 0$

For  $\eta \neq 0$  in [\(8\)](#page-1-3), the time scaling in [\(11\)](#page-1-5) allows the original plant to be considered [\(1\)](#page-0-0)–[\(4\)](#page-0-2), on a different timescale, controlled by the controller [\(16\)](#page-2-3) properly scaled by  $\eta u$  in [\(12\)](#page-1-4). In the sense to incorporate it, the modulation function must be redesigned to satisfy

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\rho \ge \eta[|d_s| + \gamma] \tag{30}
$$

instead of [\(29\)](#page-3-2).

Analyzing the singular perturbation method presented in Section [II-B,](#page-1-6) if [\(16\)](#page-2-3) were used again, a limiting upper bound for the tracking error  $e(t)$  could be obtained directly, for  $\eta$ sufficiently small.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>*Proposition 1:* Consider the systems [\(1\)](#page-0-0)–[\(4\)](#page-0-2), search direction [\(21\)](#page-2-6), reference trajectory [\(19\)](#page-2-5) and control law [\(16\)](#page-2-3). Outside the regions  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta_i}$ , if the modulation function  $\rho$  in [\(16\)](#page-2-3) is designed as

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
\rho := \frac{\eta}{L_h} [p + \lambda] + \eta \gamma \,, \tag{31}
$$

satisfying [\(30\)](#page-3-3), while  $z \notin \mathcal{D}_{\Delta_i}$ , one has: (a) the sliding mode  $s = k\varepsilon$  is reached in regardless of the control and search direction, and (b) there is no escape in finite time ( $t_M \rightarrow$  $+\infty$ ).

*Proof:* Considering the singular perturbation argument and time scaling [\(11\)](#page-1-5), which shows that the systems [\(7\)](#page-1-3)- [\(9\)](#page-1-3) [\(12\)](#page-1-4)-[\(15\)](#page-1-4) are equivalent for  $\eta$  sufficiently small, so the demonstration for the original plant [\(1\)](#page-0-0)-[\(4\)](#page-0-2) follows the same steps as those presented in the proof in [4, Proposition 1], for the case of relative degree one.

*Remark 1:* Considering that the project is carried out considering a slow time-scale  $nt$ , it is natural that the reference trajectory parameter  $p$  is rescaled appropriately, i.e.,  $\eta p$ .

### *E. Global Convergence*

In this result, it is demonstrated that the multivariable controller based on sliding modes and output feedback drives z towards the region  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}$ , where the unknown maximizer  $z^*$  is located, as defined in (H6). However, this does not guarantee that  $z(t)$  stays around  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}$ ,  $\forall t$ . Nevertheless, it is possible to generate oscillations around  $y^*$  of the order  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\eta}+\varepsilon).$ 

*Theorem 1:* Consider the system [\(1\)](#page-0-0)–[\(4\)](#page-0-2), control law [\(16\)](#page-2-3)–[\(17\)](#page-2-2), reference trajectory [\(19\)](#page-2-5) and modulation function [\(31\)](#page-3-4). Assume that hypotheses  $(H1) - (H6)$  are satisfied, then: (i) the region  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta}$  in (H4) is globally attractive, achieved in finite time and (ii) for  $L<sub>h</sub>$  sufficiently small, oscillations around the maximum value  $y^*$  of y can be made in the order  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\eta}+\varepsilon)$ . Since the  $y_m$  signal can be saturated at [\(19\)](#page-2-5), all closed-loop signals remain uniformly bounded.

<span id="page-3-2"></span>*Proof:* Similar to the demonstration of Proposition 1, the demonstration of this theorem follows the steps presented in the proof in [4, Theorem 1], for the case of relative degree one, combined with the demonstration in [6], [7].

#### IV. ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

To illustrate, let us take as an example a plant with an unknown objective function, in series with a linear dynamic system described by

$$
\dot{v} = u \,,\tag{32}
$$

$$
\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} v, \tag{33}
$$

$$
z = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right] x, \tag{34}
$$

and output function

$$
y = h(z) = 2 - (z_1^2 + z_2^2 - 2\epsilon z_1 z_2)
$$
 (35)

The static function [\(35\)](#page-4-0) consists of the particular representation of functions of the type

$$
y = h(z) = y^* + \frac{1}{2}(z - z^*)^T H(z - z^*)
$$
 (36)

where

$$
H = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 2\epsilon \\ 2\epsilon & 2 \end{array} \right] < 0
$$

is the negative defined Hessian matrix.

Note that the desired parameters of the objective function [\(35\)](#page-4-0) are  $z^* = (0, 0)$  and  $y^* = 2$ , for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , a condition for  $h(z)$  has a maximum point. Note that the objective function is convex and based on [\(36\)](#page-4-1).

The control law  $(16)$ – $(17)$  can be applied with the modulation function defined in [\(29\)](#page-3-2). The following simulation parameters were chosen:  $p = 1$ ,  $p_0 = 0$ ,  $L_h = 0.1 \lambda = 4$  $\varepsilon = 0.02$ ,  $\gamma = 0.1 \eta = 0.01$  and  $T_s = 5s$ . For  $\tau = 0.1s$  we have  $t = 10s$  according to [\(11\)](#page-1-5).

Figure [2](#page-4-2) illustrates the result of the proposed multivariable extremum seeking control scheme that converges to the neighborhood of the optimal point  $z^* = (0,0)$  and  $y^* = 2$ , starting from the initial condition  $z(0) = (-2, 4)$ . On the other hand, Figure [3](#page-4-3) shows the phase portrait for the initial condition  $z_0 = (-2, 4)$  and respective convergence to the equilibrium point, corroborating the illustration in Figure [2.](#page-4-2)

The switching of control signals  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  is illustrated in Figure [4.](#page-4-4) These signals have gain defined by the modulation function [\(29\)](#page-3-2). Furthermore, high-frequency switching is notorious, which could cause the unwanted phenomenon called "*chattering*". However, the system [\(2\)](#page-0-3)–[\(3\)](#page-0-1) receives the filtered control signals  $z$ . In the same figure you can observe the behavior of the cyclic search function ( $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ ), where the last two staggered search periods are highlighted, with the appropriate scaling. It is important to observe alternation in the activation in each half cycle.

Figure [5](#page-5-0) illustrates the representation of the objective function in three-dimensional space, indicating the output trajectories for two different initial conditions,  $z_0 = (-2, 4)$ in black and  $z_0 = (0, 5)$  in blue. Notably, both converge to  $y^* = 2.$ 



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Fig. 2. Vector parameters  $z$  converge to  $(0, 0)$  starting from the initial condition  $z(0) = (-2, 4)$  and plant output converges to  $y^* = 2$ .

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-1"></span>

<span id="page-4-3"></span>Fig. 3. Phase portrait shows the convergence to the equilibrium point marked with a red asterisk), starting from the initial condition  $z_0 = (-2, 4)$ .



<span id="page-4-4"></span>Fig. 4. (a) control signals  $u_1$  and (b) the cyclical search direction  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ , with period  $T_s = 5s$  scaled by  $\eta = 0.01$ .



Fig. 5. Output trajectories going to the optimal point  $y^* = 2$ , from two initial conditions  $z_0 = (-2, 4)$  and  $z_0 = (0, 5)$ .

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a multivariable extremum seeking control strategy based on a periodic switching function and cyclic search for linear dynamic maps with arbitrary relative degree was presented. Relative degree is mitigated through temporal scaling. A convex and non-linear objective function was considered. The resulting approach guarantees global convergence of the system output to a small neighborhood of the extremum using only output feedback. Through simulation, it was possible to demonstrate the performance of the proposed real-time extremum seeking control system.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] N. O. Aminde, T. R. Oliveira, and L. Hsu. Global output-feedback extremum seeking control via monitoring functions. *52nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*, pages 1031–1036, 2013.
- [2] N. O. Aminde, T. R. Oliveira, and L. Hsu. Controle extremal para mapeamentos dinâmicos multivariáveis usando função de monitoração e busca cíclica. *XV Simpósio Brasileiro de Automação Inteligente (SBAI)*, pages 1881–1887, 2021.
- [3] N. O. Aminde, T. R. Oliveira, and L. Hsu. Multivariable extremum seeking control via cyclic search and monitoring function. *Int J Adapt Control Signal Process*, 35:1217–1232, 2021.
- [4] N. O. Aminde, T. R. Oliveira, and L. Hsu. Multivariable sliding mode-based extremum seeking control via periodic switching function and cyclic search. *16th International Workshop on Variable Structure Systems (VSS)*, pages 202–207, 2022.
- [5] K. B. Ariyur and M. Krstic.´ *Real-Time Optimization by Extremum-Seeking Control*. John Wiley & Sons, 2003.
- [6] R. R. Costa and L. Hsu. Unmodeled dynamics in adaptive control systems revisited. *Systems & Control Letters*, 16:341–348, 1991.
- [7] R. R. Costa and L. Hsu. Robustness of VS-MRAC with respect to unmodeled dynamics and external disturbances. *International Journal of Adaptive Control and Signal Processing*, 6:19–33, 1992.
- [8] A. Ghaffari, M. Krstić, and D. Nesić. Multivariable Newton-based extremum seeking. *Automatica*, 48(8):1759–1767, 2012.
- [9] Z. Guo, T. R. Oliveira, J. Guo, and Z. Wang. Performance-guaranteed adaptive asymptotic tracking for nonlinear systems with unknown sign-switching control direction. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 68:1077–1084, 2023.
- [10] P. Kokotovic, H. K. Khalil, and J. O'Reilly. ´ *Singular Perturbation Methods in Control: Analysis and Design*. SIAM, New York, 2 edition, 1999.
- [11] M. Krstić and H. H. Wang. Stability of extremum seeking feedback for general nonlinear dynamic systems. *Automatica*, 36(4):595–601, 2000.
- [12] A. Levant. Comparison of extremum seeking control algorithms for robotic application: higher-order sliding modes, differentiation and output feedback controls. *Int. J. Contr*, 76:924–941, 2003.
- [13] T. R. Oliveira, N. O. Aminde, and L. Hsu. Monitoring function based extremum seeking control for uncertain relative degrees with light source seeking experiments. In *53rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*, pages 3456–3462, 2014.
- [14] T. R. Oliveira, L. R. Costa, J. M. Y. Catunda, A. V. Pino, W. Barbosa, and M. N. de Souza. Time-scaling based sliding mode control for neuromuscular electrical stimulation under uncertain relative degrees. *Medical Engineering* & *Physics*, 44:53–62, 2017.
- [15] T. R. Oliveira, J. Feiling, S. Koga, and M. Krstić. Extremum seeking for unknown scalar maps in cascade with a class of parabolic partial differential equations. *International Journal of Adaptive Control and Signal Processing*, 35(7):1162–1187, 2020.
- [16] T. R. Oliveira, J. Feiling, and M. Krstic. Extremum seeking for maximizing higher derivatives of unknown maps in cascade with reaction-advection-diffusion PDEs. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 52:210–215, 2019.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[17] T. R. Oliveira and M. Krstic. Newton-based extremum seeking under actuator and sensor delays. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 48:304–309, 2015.
- [18] T. R. Oliveira and M. Krstic. Extremum seeking boundary control for PDE-PDE cascades. *Systems* & *Control Letters*, 155:105004/1– 105004/15, 2021.
- [19] T. R. Oliveira and M. Krstic.´ *Extremum Seeking through Delays and PDEs*. SIAM, 2022.
- [20] T. R. Oliveira, A. J. Peixoto, and L. Hsu. Global real-time optimization by output-feedback extremum-seeking control with sliding modes. *Journal of Franklin Institute*, 349:1397–1415, 2012.
- [21] T. R. Oliveira, V. H. P. Rodrigues, M. Krstic, and T. Basar. Nash equilibrium seeking in heterogeneous noncooperative games with players acting through heat PDE dynamics and delays. *60th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*, pages 1167–1173, 2021.
- [22] T. R. Oliveira, V. H. P. Rodrigues, M. Krstic, and T. Basar. Nash equilibrium seeking with players acting through heat PDE dynamics. *American Control Conference (ACC)*, pages 684–689, 2021.
- [23] T.R. Oliveira, V.H.P. Rodrigues, M. Krstić, and T. Basar. Nash equilibrium seeking in quadratic noncooperative games under two delayed information-sharing schemes. *J Optim Theory Appl*, 191:700–735, 2021.
- [24] P. Paz, T. R. Oliveira, A. V. Pino, and A. P. Fontana. Model-free neuromuscular electrical stimulation by stochastic extremum seeking. *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, 28(1):238–253, 2020.
- [25] R. W. S. Pessoa, F. Mendes, T. R. Oliveira, K. Oliveira-Esquerre, and M. Krstic. Numerical optimization based on generalized extremum seeking for fast methane production by a modified ADM1. *Journal of Process Control*, 84:56–69, 2019.
- [26] D. Rusiti, G. Evangelisti, T. R. Oliveira, M. Gerdts, and M. Krstic. Stochastic extremum seeking for dynamic maps with delays. *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, 3:61–66, 2019.
- [27] D. Rusiti, T. R. Oliveira, M. Krstic, and M. Gerdts. Robustness to delay mismatch in extremum seeking. *European Journal of Control*, 62:75–83, 2021.
- [28] Y. B. Salamah and U. Özguner. Sliding mode multivariable extremum seeking control with application to wind farm power optimization. *American Control Conference (ACC)*, pages 5321 – 5326, 2018.
- [29] Y. Tan, W. H. Moase, C. Manzie, D. Nesić, and I.M.Y. Mareels. Extremum seeking from 1922 to 2010. In *29th Chinese Control Conference (CCC)*, pages 14–26, Beijing, China, July, 2010.
- [30] S. F. Toloue and M. Moallem. Multivariable sliding-mode extremum seeking control with application to alternator maximum power point tracking. *Transations on Industrial Electronics*, 64(8), 2017.
- [31] V. Utkin, J. Guldner, and J. Shi. *Sliding mode control in electromechanical systems*. Taylor & Francis Ltd, 1999.
- [32] Y. Xiao, M. Y. Li, and J. E. Seem. Multi-variable extremum seeking control for mini-split air-conditioning system. *International Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Conference*, pages 1–13, 2014.
- [33] H. Yu, S. Koga, T. R. Oliveira, and M. Krstic. Extremum seeking for traffic congestion control with a downstream bottleneck. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, 143(3):031007, 2021.
- [34] Y. Zhu, E. Fridman, and T. R. Oliveira. Sampled-data extremum seeking with constant delay: a time-delay approach. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 68:432–439, 2023.