

# UNIQUENESS OF $H$ -HARMONIC MOEBIUS INVARIANT INNER PRODUCTS ON THE BALL

PETR BLASCHKE AND MIROSLAV ENGLIŠ

ABSTRACT. We prove uniqueness of the Moebius invariant semi-inner product on hyperbolic-harmonic functions on the unit ball of the real  $n$ -space, i.e. on functions annihilated by the hyperbolic Laplacian on the ball.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $B^n$  be the unit ball in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n > 2$ . The orthogonal transformations

$$(1) \quad x \mapsto Ux, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad U \in O(n),$$

map both  $B^n$  and its boundary  $\partial B^n$  (the unit sphere) onto themselves, and so do the Moebius transformations

$$(2) \quad \phi_a(x) := \frac{a|x-a|^2 + (1-|a|^2)(a-x)}{1-2\langle x, a \rangle + |x|^2|a|^2}, \quad x \in B^n,$$

interchanging the origin  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$  with some point  $a \in B^n$ . The group  $G$  generated by the  $\phi_a$ ,  $a \in B^n$ , and  $O(n)$  via composition is called the Moebius group of  $B^n$ . The hyperbolic metric

$$ds = \frac{2|dx|}{1-|x|^2}$$

on  $B^n$  is invariant under the action of  $G$  (and is the unique Riemannian metric with this property, up to a constant factor), and so is the hyperbolic Laplacian

$$(3) \quad \Delta_h f(x) := (1-|x|^2)[(1-|x|^2)\Delta f(x) + 2(n-2)\langle x, \nabla f(x) \rangle].$$

Functions on  $B^n$  annihilated by  $\Delta_h$  are called hyperbolic-harmonic, or  $H$ -harmonic for short. The vector space  $\mathcal{H}$  of all  $H$ -harmonic functions is, by its very definition, invariant under composition with elements of  $G$ , i.e. with transformations (2) and (1) above.

In some sense,  $H$ -harmonic functions are a real-variable counterpart of the  $M$ -harmonic functions on the unit ball  $\mathbf{B}^n$  of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , whose theory is beautifully presented in Walter Rudin's classical monograph [Ru]. This class of functions is preserved, analogously, by compositions with elements of the "complex version"  $\mathbf{G}$  of the Moebius group, generated by the unitary maps of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and by the holomorphic analogues  $\varphi_a$  of the maps  $\phi_a$ , which again map  $\mathbf{B}^n$  onto itself and interchange  $a \in \mathbf{B}^n$  with the origin  $0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$ .

Due to the holomorphy of the elements of  $\mathbf{G}$ , another class preserved by the compositions just mentioned are the holomorphic functions on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . A notable result

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 31C05; Secondary 33C55, 32A36.

*Key words and phrases*.  $H$ -harmonic function, hyperbolic Laplacian, Dirichlet space, zonal harmonic.

Research supported by RVO funding for IČO 47813059 and 67985840.

in the theory of holomorphic functions on the complex unit ball is the fact that, up to a constant factor, there exists a unique semi-inner product on the holomorphic functions on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  which is invariant under the above action of the Moebius group  $\mathbf{G}$ : namely, the Dirichlet product, given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \text{ multi-index}} |\alpha| \frac{\alpha!}{|\alpha|!} f_{\alpha} \overline{g_{\alpha}},$$

where  $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$ ,  $g(z) = \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$  are the Taylor expansions of  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively, and we are using the usual multi-index notation.

It has been an open question — mentioned explicitly also on p. 180 of Manfred Stoll’s monograph [St] — whether an analogous result also holds in the real-variable setting, i.e. whether there exists, similarly, a Moebius-invariant semi-inner product on the space of  $H$ -harmonic functions on  $B^n$ ,  $n > 2$ , and whether it is unique.

The existence of such “hyperbolic Dirichlet” semi-inner product has recently been shown by the current authors and E.-H. Youssfi in [BEY], by a kind of “analytic continuation” of the corresponding weighted Bergman inner products, in the spirit of Rossi and Vergne. In this paper, we complement this construction by proving that, up to a constant factor, this  $G$ -invariant semi-inner product on  $H$ -harmonic functions is again unique. That is, the situation for  $H$ -harmonic functions on the real ball  $B^n$  is completely parallel to that for the holomorphic functions on the complex ball  $\mathbf{B}^n$ .

In the holomorphic case, the proof of uniqueness relies heavily on manipulations with Taylor coefficients, see e.g. Section 6.4 in the book of Kehe Zhu [Zh]. This approach seems not to be directly applicable for the real ball; instead, our method proceeds by evaluation of certain integrals involving zonal harmonics. These may be of independent interest and may find potential applications elsewhere; besides, the same idea can also be used to give another proof of uniqueness also in the holomorphic case.

In Section 2 below, we collect some preliminaries on  $H$ -harmonic functions and zonal harmonics. Section 3 contains technical computations involving the zonal polynomials, which are then used in Section 4 to give the proof of uniqueness of the “hyperbolic-Dirichlet” semi-inner product. In the final section, Section 5, we briefly present the alternative proof for the holomorphic case.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Each orthogonal transformation  $U \in O(n)$  maps the unit sphere  $\partial B^n$  onto itself, and the normalized surface measure  $d\sigma$  on  $\partial B^n$  is invariant under  $U$ . It follows that the composition with elements of  $O(n)$ ,

$$(4) \quad T_U : f \longmapsto f \circ U^{-1}$$

is a unitary representation of  $O(n)$  on  $L^2(\partial B^n, d\sigma)$ . The decomposition of this representation into irreducible subspaces is realized by the spherical harmonics; see e.g. [ABR], especially Chapter 5. Namely, for an integer  $m \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{H}^m$  be the space of restrictions to the unit sphere  $\partial B^n$  of harmonic polynomials on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  homogeneous of degree  $m$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^m$  is invariant under the action (4), is  $O(n)$ -irreducible (i.e. has no proper  $O(n)$ -invariant subspaces) and

$$(5) \quad L^2(\partial B^n, d\sigma) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^m.$$

Associated to each  $\mathcal{H}^m$  is the space of “solid harmonics”

$$\mathbf{H}^m = \{f \in C(\overline{B^n}) : f \text{ is } H\text{-harmonic on } B^n \text{ and } f|_{\partial B^n} \in \mathcal{H}^m\}.$$

It is then a fact that any  $f_m \in \mathbf{H}^m$  is necessarily of the form

$$(6) \quad f_m(r\zeta) = S_m(r^2)r^m f_m(\zeta), \quad f_m|_{\partial B^n} \in \mathcal{H}^m, \quad 0 \leq r \leq 1, \zeta \in \partial B^n,$$

where

$$(7) \quad S_m(t) := \frac{(n-1)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m} {}_2F_1\left(m, 1 - \frac{n}{2} \middle| t\right)$$

with the Gauss hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1$  and the Pochhammer symbol  $(x)_m := x(x+1)\dots(x+m-1)$ . Furthermore, any  $H$ -harmonic function  $f$  on  $B^n$  can be uniquely written in the form

$$(8) \quad f = \sum_m f_m, \quad f_m \in \mathbf{H}^m,$$

with the sum converging uniformly on compact subsets of  $B^n$ . The components  $f_m$  can be recovered from  $f$  by

$$(9) \quad f_m(r\zeta) = \int_{\partial B^n} f(r\eta) Z_m(\zeta, \eta) d\sigma(\eta),$$

where the zonal harmonics  $Z_m(x, y)$  are defined by the generating function

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} t^m Z_m(x, y) = \frac{1 - t^2|x|^2|y|^2}{(1 - 2t\langle x, y \rangle + t^2|x|^2|y|^2)^{n/2}}, \quad \|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1, 0 \leq t < 1.$$

In particular,  $Z_m(x, y)$  is homogeneous of degree  $m$  in both  $x$  and  $y$ , and has the reproducing property

$$(10) \quad f_m(x) = \int_{\partial B^n} f_m(y) Z_m(x, y) d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \partial B^n, f_m \in \mathcal{H}^m.$$

See Chapter 8 in [ABR] for a nice exposition on spherical harmonics.

The reader is referred to [St] for a detailed exposition of the facts above, with a convenient overview and further developments in [St2] and [Ur]. Note however that, for ease of notation, our  $S_m(t)$  is  $S_m(\sqrt{t})$  in the notation of these references.

### 3. PREPARATORY CALCULATIONS

We proceed to compute an integral involving zonal harmonics which we will need later.

**Lemma 1.** *For  $x, y \in \partial B^n$ , i.e.  $|x| = |y| = 1$ , we have*

$$\langle \phi_a(x), \phi_a(y) \rangle = 1 - \frac{(1 - \langle x, y \rangle)(1 - |a|^2)^2}{(1 - 2\langle a, x \rangle + |a|^2)(1 - 2\langle a, y \rangle + |a|^2)}.$$

*Proof.* This follows by a tedious yet totally routine calculation.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *For  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $|x| = |y| = 1$ ,*

$$2\langle x, y \rangle Z_m(x, y) = \frac{m+1}{m + \frac{n}{2}} Z_{m+1}(x, y) + \frac{m+n-3}{m + \frac{n}{2} - 2} Z_{m-1}(x, y).$$

*Proof.* The well known three term recurrence relation for the underlying ultraspherical (or Gegenbauer) polynomials cf. [ABR, Theorem 5.38] and [BE, §10.9 (18) and (13)] says that

$$2\langle x, y \rangle Z_m(x, y) = \frac{m+1}{m+\frac{n}{2}} Z_{m+1}(x, y) + \frac{m+n-3}{m+\frac{n}{2}-2} |x|^2 |y|^2 Z_{m-1}(x, y).$$

Specializing to  $|x| = |y| = 1$  yields the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** For any  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$Z_m(y, \nabla_u) |u|^{2k} = m! \binom{k}{m} 2^m |u|^{2(k-m)} Z_m(y, u).$$

*Proof.* Using in turn the Leibnitz rule, the fact that  $Z_m(x, y)$  is harmonic in each argument, and the binomial theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_m(y, \nabla_u) |u|^{2k} &= Z_m(y, \nabla_u) \Delta_x^k e^{\langle u, x \rangle} |_{x=0} \\ &= \Delta_x^k Z_m(y, x) e^{\langle u, x \rangle} |_{x=0} \\ &= (|u|^2 + 2\langle u, \nabla_x \rangle + \Delta_x)^k Z_m(y, x) |_{x=0} \\ &= (|u|^2 + 2\langle u, \nabla_x \rangle)^k Z_m(y, x) |_{x=0} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} |u|^{2(k-j)} 2^j \langle u, \nabla_x \rangle^j Z_m(y, x) |_{x=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $Z_m$  is homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m$  we have

$$\langle u, \nabla_x \rangle^j Z_m(y, x) |_{x=0} = \delta_{j,m} m! Z_m(y, u).$$

Thus

$$Z_m(y, \nabla_u) |u|^{2k} = \binom{k}{m} |u|^{2(k-m)} 2^m m! Z_m(y, u),$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** Let  $u, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then

$$\int_{\partial B^n} Z_m(x, y) e^{\langle u, x \rangle} d\sigma(x) = \frac{Z_m(u, y)}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m 2^m} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2} + m \mid \frac{|u|^2}{4}\right).$$

*Proof.* Expanding the exponential into power series and integrating term by term shows that

$$\int_{\partial B^n} e^{\langle u, x \rangle} d\sigma(x) = {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2} \mid \frac{|u|^2}{4}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|u|^{2k}}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_k 4^k k!}.$$

Differentiating under the integral sign, we get by Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B^n} Z_m(y, x) e^{\langle u, x \rangle} d\sigma(x) &= \int_{\partial B^n} Z_m(y, \nabla_u) e^{\langle u, x \rangle} d\sigma(x) \\ &= Z_m(y, \nabla_u) \int_{\partial B^n} e^{\langle u, x \rangle} d\sigma(x) = Z_m(y, \nabla_u) {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2} \mid \frac{|u|^2}{4}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_m(y, \nabla_u) |u|^{2k}}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_k 4^k k!} = Z_m(y, u) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{|u|^{2(k-m)} 2^m}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_k 4^k (k-m)!} \\ &= Z_m(y, u) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|u|^{2k}}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_{k+m} 4^{k+m} k!} = \frac{Z_m(y, u)}{2^m \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|u|^{2k}}{\left(\frac{n}{2} + m\right)_k 4^k k!} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{Z_m(y, u)}{2^m \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2} + m \mid \frac{|u|^2}{4}\right),$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then*

$$\int_{\partial B^n} \int_{\partial B^n} Z_m(x, y) e^{\langle u, x \rangle} e^{\langle v, y \rangle} d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) = \frac{Z_m(u, v)}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m^2 4^m} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2} + m \mid \frac{|u|^2}{4}\right) {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2} + m \mid \frac{|v|^2}{4}\right).$$

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 4 twice.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *For  $b, c > 0$  and  $-1 < x < 1$ , we have*

$$\int_0^\infty t^{b-1} {}_0F_1\left(c \mid \frac{t^2 x}{(1+x)^2}\right) e^{-t} dt = \Gamma(b) (1+x)^b {}_2F_1\left(b, 1+b-c \mid c \mid x\right).$$

*Proof.* Expanding the  ${}_0F_1$  function into its Taylor series and integrating term by term yields

$$\int_0^\infty t^{b-1} {}_0F_1\left(c \mid \frac{t^2 x}{(1+x)^2}\right) e^{-t} dt = \Gamma(b) {}_2F_1\left(\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b+1}{2} \mid \frac{4x}{(1+x)^2}\right).$$

Now just apply the well known transform for  ${}_2F_1$  functions [Olv, 15.8.15].  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *For  $a \in B^n$ ,*

$$\int_{\partial B^n} \int_{\partial B^n} \frac{Z_m(x, y) d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y)}{(1+|a|^2 - 2\langle a, x \rangle)(1+|a|^2 - 2\langle a, y \rangle)} = \frac{(n-2)_m m!}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)_m} |a|^{2m} {}_2F_1\left(m+1, 2 - \frac{n}{2} \mid \frac{n}{2} + m \mid |a|^2\right)^2.$$

*Proof.* The proof stems from the following representation

$$\frac{1}{(1+|a|^2 - 2\langle a, x \rangle)(1+|a|^2 - 2\langle a, y \rangle)} = \frac{1}{(1+|a|^2)^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha\langle u, x \rangle} e^{\beta\langle u, y \rangle} e^{-\alpha} e^{-\beta} d\alpha d\beta,$$

where

$$u = \frac{2a}{1+|a|^2}.$$

Interchanging the order of integration and applying Corollary 5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial B^n} \int_{\partial B^n} \frac{Z_m(x, y)}{(1+|a|^2 - 2\langle a, x \rangle)(1+|a|^2 - 2\langle a, y \rangle)} d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+|a|^2)^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{(\alpha\beta)^m Z_m(u, u)}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m^2 4^m} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2} + m \mid \frac{\alpha^2 |u|^2}{4}\right) {}_0F_1\left(\frac{n}{2} + m \mid \frac{\beta^2 |u|^2}{4}\right) e^{-\alpha} e^{-\beta} d\alpha d\beta \\ &= \frac{Z_m(u, u) m!^2}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m^2 4^m} (1+|a|^2)^{2m} {}_2F_1\left(m+1, 2 - \frac{n}{2} \mid \frac{n}{2} + m \mid |a|^2\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used Lemma 6. Now it suffices to realize that

$$Z_m(u, u) = |u|^{2m} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m (n-2)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)_m m!} = \frac{4^m |a|^{2m}}{(1+|a|^2)^{2m}} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m (n-2)_m}{\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)_m m!}.$$

$\square$

**Proposition 8.** *For any  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $a \in B^n$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial B^n} \int_{\partial B^n} Z_m(x, y) \langle \phi_a(x), \phi_a(y) \rangle d\sigma(x) d\sigma(y) \\ &= \delta_{m,0} - (1-|a|^2)^{2n-4} \left[ \Phi_m(|a|^2) - \frac{m+1}{2m+n} \Phi_{m+1}(|a|^2) - \frac{m+n-3}{2m+n-4} \Phi_{m-1}(|a|^2) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi_m(x) := \frac{(n-2)_m m!}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)_m} x^m {}_2F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}-1, m+n-2 \middle| x\right)^2, \quad \Phi_{-1}(x) := 0.$$

*Proof.* Combine Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Corollary 7 and apply the Euler transform (cf. [BE, §2.1 (22)])

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z\right) = (1-z)^{c-a-b} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} c-a, c-b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| z\right)$$

to the resulting  ${}_2F_1$  functions.  $\square$

#### 4. UNIQUENESS

Let us now look for semi-inner products (and the corresponding semi-norms) on spaces of  $H$ -harmonic functions on the unit ball  $B^n$  of the real  $n$ -space  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , which are invariant under Moebius maps  $\phi_a$  from (2) as well as under orthogonal rotations (1). By Schur's lemma from representation theory (see e.g. Proposition 1 in [EY] for the detailed argument in the setting of the unitary group  $U(n)$  instead of  $O(n)$ ), the rotation invariance implies that any such semi-norm which is finite on each  $\mathbf{H}^m$  must come in the form

$$(11) \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_m c_m \|f_m\|_{\partial B^n}^2,$$

where  $f(z) = \sum_m f_m(z)$  is the decomposition (8) of an  $H$ -harmonic function  $f$  into its Peter-Weyl components under the action of  $O(n)$  recalled in the preceding section,  $\|\cdot\|_{\partial B^n}$  denotes the norm in  $L^2(\partial B^n, d\sigma)$ , and  $c_m \geq 0$  are some coefficients. For typographic reasons, we often write  $[f]_m$  instead of  $f_m$ . By polarization, the associated semi-inner product is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_m c_m \langle f_m, g_m \rangle_{\partial B^n}$$

for any  $f, g$  whose semi-norm (11) is finite.

It was shown in [BEY] that the choice  $c_m = (n-1)_m / \Gamma(m)$ , that is,

$$(12) \quad \|f\|_{\circ}^2 := \sum_{m>0} \frac{(n-1)_m}{\Gamma(m)} \|f_m\|_{\partial B^n}^2,$$

leads to a semi-norm which is Moebius invariant, i.e.  $\|f \circ \phi\|_{\circ} = \|f\|_{\circ}$  for any  $\phi \in G$ . Our main result in this paper is the following.

**Theorem 9.** *If a semi-norm of the form (11) is Moebius invariant, then it is a constant multiple of  $\|\cdot\|_{\circ}$ .*

*Proof.* Invariance under Moebius maps  $\phi_a$  means that

$$\|f \circ \phi_a\| = \|f\| \quad \forall a \in B^n$$

for all  $H$ -harmonic  $f$  (both sides being allowed to be possibly infinite). Since the functions  $z_j S_1(|z|^2)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are  $H$ -harmonic by (6), this in particular implies

$$(13) \quad \|\phi_{a,j} S_1(|\phi_a|^2)\| \quad \text{is independent of } a \in B^n,$$

where  $\phi_{a,j}$  denotes the  $j$ -th coordinate of  $\phi_a$ . Summing over  $j$  and using (11), it follows that the function

$$(14) \quad F(a) := \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_m c_m \|\phi_{a,j}\|_{\partial B^n}^2, \quad a \in B^n,$$

is constant. (Note that  $\phi_a$  maps  $\partial B^n$  into itself and  $S_1(1) = 1$ .) Quite generally, for any  $f$   $H$ -harmonic on  $B^n$  and continuous on the closure, we have by (6) and (10),

$$(15) \quad f_m(z) = S_m(|z|^2) \int_{\partial B^n} f(\zeta) Z_m(z, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta), \quad \forall z \in B^n,$$

with  $Z_m(x, y)$  the zonal harmonic of degree  $m$  from the preceding section. Feeding this into (14) yields

$$F(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_m c_m \int_{\partial B^n} \int_{\partial B^n} \int_{\partial B^n} \phi_{a,j}(\zeta) Z_m(\xi, \zeta) \overline{\phi_{a,j}(\eta) Z_m(\xi, \eta)} d\sigma(\zeta) d\sigma(\eta) d\sigma(\xi),$$

or, using the reproducing property (10) of  $Z_m$  again for the  $\xi$ -integration,

$$\begin{aligned} F(a) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_m c_m \int_{\partial B^n} \int_{\partial B^n} \phi_{a,j}(\zeta) Z_m(\eta, \zeta) \overline{\phi_{a,j}(\eta)} d\sigma(\zeta) d\sigma(\eta) \\ &= \sum_m c_m \int_{\partial B^n} \int_{\partial B^n} \langle \phi_a(\zeta), \phi_a(\eta) \rangle Z_m(\eta, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) d\sigma(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 8, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_0 - F(a)}{(1 - |a|^2)^{2n-4}} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \left[ \Phi_m(|a|^2) - \frac{m+1}{2m+n} \Phi_{m+1}(|a|^2) - \frac{m+n-3}{2m+n-4} \Phi_{m-1}(|a|^2) \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ c_m - \frac{m}{2m+n-2} c_{m-1} - \frac{m+n-2}{2m+n-2} c_{m+1} \right] \Phi_m(|a|^2) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{m}{2m+n-2} (c_m - c_{m-1}) - \frac{m+n-2}{2m+n-2} (c_{m+1} - c_m) \right] \Phi_m(|a|^2) \end{aligned}$$

with  $c_{-1} := 0$ . Setting  $a = 0$ , this becomes  $c_0 - F(0) = c_0 - c_1$ , so  $F$  being constant means that  $F(a) \equiv c_1$ . Introducing the notation  $d_m := c_m - c_{m-1}$ , we can thus continue with

$$(16) \quad \frac{d_1}{(1 - |a|^2)^{2n-4}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{m+n-2}{2m+n-2} d_{m+1} - \frac{m}{2m+n-2} d_m \right] \Phi_m(|a|^2).$$

Denote by  $a_{m,k}$  the Taylor coefficients of the function  $\Phi_m(x)/x^m$ :

$$\frac{(n-2)_m m!}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)_m} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{n}{2}-1, m+n-2 \middle| x\right)^2 =: \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{m,k} x^k.$$

Note that  $a_{m,k} > 0$ , since  $n > 2$  and all Taylor coefficients of the  ${}_2F_1$  are therefore strictly positive real numbers. Comparing the Taylor coefficients at like powers of  $|a|^2$  in (16), we obtain for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\frac{(2n-4)_k}{k!} d_1 = \sum_{m=0}^k a_{m,k-m} \left[ \frac{m+n-2}{2m+n-2} d_{m+1} - \frac{m}{2m+n-2} d_m \right],$$

or

$$a_{k,0} \frac{k+n-2}{2k+n-2} d_{k+1} = \frac{(2n-4)_k}{k!} d_1 + a_{k,0} \frac{k}{2k+n-2} d_k - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} a_{m,k-m} \left[ \frac{m+n-2}{2m+n-2} d_{m+1} - \frac{m}{2m+n-2} d_m \right].$$

For  $k=0$ , this reads  $a_{0,0}d_1 = d_1$ , which is always fulfilled since  $a_{0,0} = 1$ . For  $k > 0$ , this gives a recurrence formula which uniquely determines  $d_2, d_3, \dots$  from  $d_1$ . Since we know from (12) that

$$(17) \quad d_m = \frac{(n-1)_m}{\Gamma(m)} - \frac{(n-1)_{m-1}}{\Gamma(m-1)} = \frac{n-1}{n-2} \frac{(n-2)_m}{\Gamma(m)}$$

solves this recurrence for  $d_1 = n-1$ , it follows that, necessarily,

$$d_m = \alpha \frac{n-1}{n-2} \frac{(n-2)_m}{\Gamma(m)}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N},$$

with some constant  $\alpha > 0$  (explicitly,  $\alpha = d_1/(n-1)$ ), and, consequently,

$$c_m = c_0 + \alpha \frac{(n-1)_m}{\Gamma(m)}.$$

On the other hand, similarly to (13), we must have for all  $a \in B^n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\langle \mathbf{1}, z_j S_1(|z|^2) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1} \circ \phi_a, (z_j S_1(|z|^2)) \circ \phi_a \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1}, \phi_{a,j} S_1(|\phi_a|^2) \rangle,$$

or, using (11),

$$\sum_m c_m \langle [\mathbf{1}]_m, [z_j]_m \rangle_{\partial B^n} = \sum_m c_m \langle [\mathbf{1}]_m, [\phi_{a,j}]_m \rangle_{\partial B^n},$$

that is, since  $[\mathbf{1}]_m = \delta_{m0} \mathbf{1}$ ,

$$0 = c_0 \langle \mathbf{1}, [\phi_{a,j}]_0 \rangle_{\partial B^n},$$

or, since, quite generally,  $[f]_0 = f(0) \mathbf{1}$  (by (15) and (8)),

$$0 = c_0 \phi_{a,j}(0) = c_0 a_j.$$

As  $a \in B^n$  is arbitrary, necessarily  $c_0 = 0$ .

Consequently,

$$c_m = \alpha \frac{(n-1)_m}{\Gamma(m)},$$

proving the claim. □

*Remark 10.* It would be amusing to have a direct proof that the quantities (17) are a solution to (16). This is tantamount to

$$(1-z)^{4-2n} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n-2)_m}{m!} \Phi_m(z)$$

for  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $|z| < 1$ .



## 5. THE HOLOMORPHIC CASE

In the holomorphic situation, we are looking for semi-inner products (and corresponding semi-norms) on spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit ball  $\mathbf{B}^n$  of the complex  $n$ -space  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , which are invariant under the holomorphic Moebius maps  $\varphi_a$  interchanging the origin with  $a \in \mathbf{B}^n$ , as well as under unitary rotations  $z \mapsto Uz$ ,  $U \in U(n)$ . By Schur's lemma again, the rotation invariance implies that any such norm must come in the form

$$(18) \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_m c_m \|f_m\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2,$$

where  $f(z) = \sum_m f_m(z)$  is the decomposition of a holomorphic function  $f$  into its homogeneous components of the Taylor expansion,  $\|\cdot\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}$  denotes the norm in  $L^2(\partial\mathbf{B}^n, d\sigma)$  with respect to the normalized surface measure  $d\sigma$  on the sphere  $\partial\mathbf{B}^n$ , and  $c_m \geq 0$  are some coefficients. For typographic reasons, we again often write  $[f]_m$  instead of  $f_m$ .

Invariance under Moebius maps  $\varphi_a$  means that

$$\|f \circ \varphi_a\| = \|f\| \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{B}^n$$

for all holomorphic  $f$  (both sides being allowed to be possibly infinite). Since the coordinate functions  $z_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , are holomorphic, this in particular implies

$$(19) \quad \|\varphi_{a,j}\| \quad \text{is independent of } a \in \mathbf{B}^n,$$

where  $\varphi_{a,j}$  denotes the  $j$ -th coordinate of  $\varphi_a$ . Summing over  $j$  and using (18), it follows that the function

$$(20) \quad F(a) := \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_m c_m \|\varphi_{a,j}\|_m^2, \quad a \in \mathbf{B}^n,$$

is constant. Quite generally, for any  $f$  holomorphic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  and continuous on the closure,

$$(21) \quad f_m(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} f(\zeta) \mathcal{Z}_m(z, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),$$

where

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{Z}_m(x, y) = \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{m!} \langle x, y \rangle^m$$

is the reproducing kernel of the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $m$  with respect to the  $L^2(\partial\mathbf{B}^n, d\sigma)$  inner product. Feeding this into (20) yields

$$F(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_m c_m \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} \varphi_{a,j}(\zeta) \mathcal{Z}_m(\xi, \zeta) \overline{\varphi_{a,j}(\eta) \mathcal{Z}_m(\xi, \eta)} d\sigma(\zeta) d\sigma(\eta) d\sigma(\xi),$$

or, using the reproducing property of  $\mathcal{Z}_m$  for the  $\xi$ -integration,

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} F(a) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_m c_m \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} \varphi_{a,j}(\zeta) \mathcal{Z}_m(\eta, \zeta) \overline{\varphi_{a,j}(\eta)} d\sigma(\zeta) d\sigma(\eta) \\ &= \sum_m c_m \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} \langle \varphi_a(\zeta), \varphi_a(\eta) \rangle \mathcal{Z}_m(\eta, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) d\sigma(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$(24) \quad 1 - \langle \varphi_a(\zeta), \varphi_a(\eta) \rangle = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle)}{(1 - \langle \zeta, a \rangle)(1 - \langle a, \eta \rangle)}.$$

Hence

$$F(a) = \sum_m c_m \left[ \delta_{m0} - (1 - |a|^2) \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n} \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n} \frac{(1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle) \mathcal{Z}_m(\eta, \zeta)}{(1 - \langle \zeta, a \rangle)(1 - \langle a, \eta \rangle)} d\sigma(\zeta) d\sigma(\eta) \right].$$

Applying (21) to the holomorphic function

$$f(z) = \frac{1 - \langle z, \eta \rangle}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle} = \sum_k [\langle z, a \rangle^k - (1 - \delta_{k0}) \langle z, \eta \rangle \langle z, a \rangle^{k-1}],$$

the  $\zeta$ -integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} F(a) &= \sum_m c_m \left[ \delta_{m0} - (1 - |a|^2) \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n} \frac{\langle \eta, a \rangle^m - (1 - \delta_{m0}) \langle \eta, \eta \rangle \langle \eta, a \rangle^{m-1}}{(1 - \langle a, \eta \rangle)} d\sigma(\eta) \right] \\ &= \sum_m c_m \left[ \delta_{m0} - (1 - |a|^2) \int_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n} \frac{\frac{m!}{(n)_m} \mathcal{Z}_m(\eta, a) - (1 - \delta_{m0}) \frac{(m-1)!}{(n)_{m-1}} \mathcal{Z}_{m-1}(\eta, a)}{(1 - \langle a, \eta \rangle)} d\sigma(\eta) \right], \end{aligned}$$

so applying (21) one more time finally gives

$$(25) \quad F(a) = \sum_m c_m \left[ \delta_{m0} - (1 - |a|^2) \left( \frac{m!}{(n)_m} |a|^{2m} - (1 - \delta_{m0}) \frac{(m-1)!}{(n)_{m-1}} |a|^{2m-2} \right) \right].$$

For  $a = 0$ , this becomes

$$F(0) = \sum_m c_m [\delta_{m0} - (\delta_{m0} - \delta_{m1})] = c_1.$$

Subtracting  $c_0$  from both sides of  $F(a) - F(0) = 0$ , we thus get from (25)

$$\frac{c_0 - c_1}{1 - |a|^2} = \sum_m c_m \left( \frac{m!}{(n)_m} |a|^{2m} - (1 - \delta_{m0}) \frac{(m-1)!}{(n)_{m-1}} |a|^{2m-2} \right).$$

Equating coefficients at like powers of  $|a|^2$  on both sides, we see that

$$c_0 - c_1 = \frac{m!}{(n)_m} (c_m - c_{m+1}) \quad \forall m.$$

Letting

$$b_m := \frac{c_1 - c_0}{n} m \frac{(n)_m}{m!},$$

we have

$$b_m - b_{m+1} = \frac{c_1 - c_0}{n} \frac{(n)_m}{m!} \left[ m - (m+1) \frac{n+m}{m+1} \right] = (c_0 - c_1) \frac{(n)_m}{m!}.$$

It follows that  $b_m - c_m$  is independent of  $m$ , so

$$c_m = b_m + (c_0 - b_0) = c_0 + \frac{c_1 - c_0}{n} m \frac{(n)_m}{m!}.$$

Similarly as in (19), we must have for all  $a \in \mathbf{B}^n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\langle \mathbf{1}, z_j \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1} \circ \varphi_a, z_j \circ \varphi_a \rangle = \langle \mathbf{1}, \varphi_{a,j} \rangle,$$

or, using (18),

$$\sum_m c_m \langle [\mathbf{1}]_m, [z_j]_m \rangle_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n} = \sum_m c_m \langle [\mathbf{1}]_m, [\varphi_{a,j}]_m \rangle_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n},$$

that is, as  $[\mathbf{1}]_m = \delta_{m0}\mathbf{1}$ ,

$$0 = c_0 \langle \mathbf{1}, [\varphi_{a,j}]_0 \rangle_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n},$$

or, since, quite generally,  $[f]_0 = f(0)\mathbf{1}$ ,

$$0 = c_0 \varphi_{a,j}(0) = c_0 a_j.$$

As  $a \in \mathbf{B}^n$  is arbitrary, necessarily  $c_0 = 0$ .

Consequently,

$$c_m = \frac{c_1}{n} m \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{m!},$$

i.e. up to a constant factor the unique Moebius-invariant inner product on the space of holomorphic functions is the usual Dirichlet inner product on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [ABR] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, W. Ramey, *Harmonic Function Theory*, 2nd edition, Springer 2001.
- [BE] H. Bateman, A. Erdélyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. I-III*, McGraw-Hill, New York - Toronto - London 1953–1955.
- [BEY] P. Blaschke, M. Engliš, E.-H. Youssfi: *A Moebius invariant space of  $H$ -harmonic functions on the ball*, arXiv:2404.07384.
- [EY] M. Engliš, E.-H. Youssfi:  *$M$ -harmonic reproducing kernels on the ball*, J. Funct. Anal. **286** (2024), 110187.
- [Olv] F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert, C.W. Clark, *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010; available also online at <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>.
- [Ru] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg 2008.
- [St] M. Stoll, *Harmonic and subharmonic function theory on the hyperbolic ball*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2016.
- [St2] M. Stoll: *The reproducing kernel of  $\mathcal{H}^2$  and radial eigenfunctions of the hyperbolic Laplacian*, Math. Scand. **124** (2019), 81–101.
- [Ur] A.E. Ureyen:  *$\mathcal{H}$ -harmonic Bergman projection on the real hyperbolic ball*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **519** (2023), 126802.
- [Zh] K. Zhu, *Spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit ball*, Springer 2005.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY IN OPAVA, NA RYBNÍČKU 1, 74601 OPAVA, CZECH REPUBLIC

*Email address:* Petr.Blaschke@math.slu.cz

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY IN OPAVA, NA RYBNÍČKU 1, 74601 OPAVA, CZECH REPUBLIC and MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ŽITNÁ 25, 11567 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

*Email address:* englis@math.cas.cz