

ISLES 2024: The first longitudinal multimodal multi-center real-world dataset in (sub-)acute stroke

Evamaria. O. Riedel¹, Ezequiel de la Rosa², The Anh Baran¹, Moritz Hernandez Petzsche¹, Hakim Baazaoui³, Kaiyuan Yang², David Robben⁴, Joaquin Oscar Seia⁵, Roland Wiest^{6,7}, Mauricio Reyes^{8,9}, Ruisheng Su¹⁰, Claus Zimmer¹, Tobias Boeckh-Behrens¹, Maria Berndt¹, Bjoern Menze², Benedikt Wiestler^{1,11,12}, Susanne Wegener^{3,13*}, Jan S. Kirschke^{1,11*}

¹ Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, TUM University Hospital, School of Medicine and Health, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.

² Department of Quantitative Biomedicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

³ Division of Vascular Neurology and Neurorehabilitation, Department of Neurology, University Hospital of Zurich and University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

⁴ icometrix, Leuven (3000), Belgium.

⁵ University of Girona, Girona, Spain.

⁶ Support Center of Advanced Neuroimaging (SCAN), University Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, Inselspital, Bern, Switzerland.

⁷ University Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University Hospital Bern, Inselspital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

⁸ ARTORG Center for Biomedical Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

⁹ Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Bern, University of Bern, Switzerland.

¹⁰ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, Eindhoven, Netherlands.

¹¹ TranslaTUM - Central Institute for Translational Cancer Research, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.

¹² AI for Image-Guided Diagnosis and Therapy, School of Medicine and Health, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

¹³ University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

* Equal contributions.

Abstract:

Stroke remains a leading cause of global morbidity and mortality, placing a heavy socioeconomic burden. Over the past decade, advances in endovascular reperfusion therapy and the use of CT and MRI imaging for treatment guidance have significantly improved patient outcomes and are now standard in clinical practice. To develop machine learning algorithms that can extract meaningful and reproducible models of brain function for both clinical and research purposes from stroke images - particularly for lesion identification, brain health quantification, and prognosis - large, diverse, and well-annotated public datasets are essential. While only a few datasets with (sub-)acute stroke data were previously available, several large, high-quality datasets have recently been made publicly accessible. However, these existing datasets include only MRI data. In contrast, our dataset is the first to offer comprehensive longitudinal stroke data, including acute CT imaging with angiography

and perfusion, follow-up MRI at 2-9 days, as well as acute and longitudinal clinical data up to a three-month outcome. The dataset includes a training dataset of n = 150 and a test dataset of n = 100 scans. Training data is publicly available, while test data will be used exclusively for model validation.

We are making this dataset available as part of the 2024 edition of the Ischemic Stroke Lesion Segmentation (ISLES) challenge (<https://www.isles-challenge.org/>), which continuously aims to establish benchmark methods for acute and sub-acute ischemic stroke lesion segmentation, aiding in creating open stroke imaging datasets and evaluating cutting-edge image processing algorithms.

Keywords: ISLES Challenge, longitudinal, dataset, ischemic stroke, segmentation, lesion evolution, final infarct, CCT, CTA, CTP, MRI, DWI

1. Background & Summary/Introduction

Stroke is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, imposing a substantial socioeconomic burden ¹⁻⁵. Over the past decade, the advent of endovascular reperfusion therapy has significantly improved outcomes for patients with significant vessel occlusions ⁶⁻⁸. Utilization of computer tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) image-based guidance for revascularization treatment decisions has even further advanced patient outcomes and has become integrated into clinical practices and endorsed by national guidelines.

Clinical decisions regarding the treatment of ischemic stroke patients hinge on accurately estimating core (irreversibly damaged tissue) and penumbra (salvageable tissue) volumes ⁹. The current clinical standard for estimating perfusion volumes involves deconvolution analysis, which entails generating perfusion maps through perfusion CT deconvolution and applying thresholds to these maps ¹⁰. However, variations in deconvolution algorithms, their technical implementations, and the thresholds used in software packages can significantly affect the estimated lesion sizes ¹¹. Furthermore, due to the irreversible damage of penumbral tissue, the core tissue tends to expand over time. This expansion is unique to each patient and influenced by factors such as thrombus location and collateral circulation. Understanding the rate of core expansion and its determinants is crucial in clinical practice for evaluating the necessity of transferring a patient to a comprehensive stroke center based on transportation times. Additionally, since not all mechanical thrombectomy reperfusion treatments achieve complete reperfusion, predicting infarct growth can provide interventional radiologists with insights into the potential benefits of additional reperfusion attempts. Therefore, anticipating core evolution from acute imaging data is essential for informed clinical decision-making ¹², despite studies showing clinical benefit also in patients with demarcated infarcts and late time windows.

Segmentation-based volumetric analyses of stroke lesions are commonly conducted for research purposes and have demonstrated predictive capabilities for clinical outcomes ¹³⁻¹⁵. While segmentations in MR diffusion images can be obtained with publicly available neural networks ¹⁶, segmentations in CT are, until now, typically done manually, and the quality of annotations is greatly affected by inter-observer variability per se, the rater's prior neuroimaging experience, and the considerable time and effort invested in this labor-intensive task during clinical routines. Machine learning and deep learning methods have demonstrated their ability to enhance clinical interpretation in stroke of e.g., CT perfusion data and have already been integrated into clinical practice ^{12,17-20}. Hence, automated annotation of stroke lesions could standardize segmentation and automate guidance for therapeutic decisions, outcome prediction, or stroke etiology classification in clinical practice.

The Ischemic Stroke Lesion Segmentation (ISLES) challenge (<https://www.isles-challenge.org/>), active for over eight years now, aims to set benchmark methods for acute and sub-acute ischemic stroke lesion segmentation. It has been vital in developing open stroke imaging datasets and evaluating advanced image processing algorithms. Accurate segmentation of ischemic lesions in stroke is crucial during acute stages to guide treatment decisions, such as determining a patient's eligibility

for thrombectomy. It is also vital during sub-acute and chronic stages to assess disease outcomes, conduct clinical follow-ups, and define optimal therapeutic and rehabilitation strategies to maximize recovery opportunities.

The current edition, ISLES'24, is already the sixth Ischemic Stroke Lesion Segmentation (ISLES) challenge. Prior ISLES challenges have been hosted in 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2022. The first ISLES challenge took place in 2015 and comprised the Stroke Perfusion Estimation (SPES) aimed at the segmentation of acute perfusion lesions from pre-interventional MRI and the Sub-acute Stroke Lesion Segmentation (SISS) focusing on sub-acute lesion segmentation from post-interventional MRI ²¹. **ISLES'16** and **ISLES'17** addressed lesion outcome prediction after ischemic stroke based on Multispectral MRI, necessitating the segmentation of follow-up stroke lesions from acute multimodal MR imaging and the estimation of patient outcome disability scores ²². In **ISLES'18** ¹⁹, acute stroke segmentation was tackled indirectly and across different modalities by predicting the core tissue delineated in concurrent MRI using acute CT perfusion. After a break of three years, **ISLES'22** focused on the segmentation of brain infarct lesions from acute and sub-acute multimodal stroke scans as well as from acute, sub-acute, and chronic stroke in channel-weighted T1 images ²³. The past ISLES events received significant attention from the research community, with many participating teams developing promising methods for acute and sub-acute ischemic stroke lesion segmentation and providing large datasets as essential references for the scientific community.

After being instrumental in stroke image analysis for over eight years, contributing to creating open stroke imaging datasets and benchmarking cutting-edge image processing algorithms, **ISLES'24** now aims to benchmark final post-treatment infarct segmentation algorithms utilizing solely pre-treatment CT data. ISLES'24 aspires identifying leading final infarct segmentation algorithms, providing outputs that could enhance clinical decision-making in optimizing reperfusion treatments. To achieve this goal, ISLES'24 utilizes standard-of-care acute stroke CT imaging (including non-contrast CT, CT angiography, and perfusion CT) along with sub-acute stroke MRI (follow-up DWI with delineated infarct labels), complemented by clinical and demographic tabular data. It is essential to mention that, unlike the conventional clinical approach to core estimation, participants in this challenge will utilize non-contrast CT (NCCT) and CT angiography (CTA) modalities. NCCT may reveal infarct areas that are not visible in CTP (e.g., in patients with spontaneous reperfusion), whereas CTA can offer information on thrombus location. Additionally, the diverse composition of the dataset, complemented by clinical and demographic tabular data, allows integration with other datasets, like the acute and early sub-acute ischemic stroke cohort from the Johns Hopkins Comprehensive Stroke Center ²⁴ as well as a cohort from South Carolina ²⁵. Whereas the dataset by Liu et al. (n = 1679) includes MRI data with diffusion-weighted, fluid-attenuated, T1- and T2- weighted, perfusion weighted and susceptibility weighted sequences and the dataset by Absher et al. (n = 1715) offers MRI data with diffusion-weighted, fluid-attenuated and T1-weighted sequences, our dataset is the first to provide acute CT imaging in all three modalities as well as follow-up MRI and acute and longitudinal clinical data up to a three-month outcome.

2. Methods

2.1. Ethical statement

This non-interventional multi-center study used data from studies approved by their local ethics committees. It was executed in agreement with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its updated version ²⁶. Due to defacing and rigorous anonymization, the ethics committee at the receiving site (University of Zurich) approved the sharing of the de-identified data.

2.2. Patient selection and image acquisition

Patients 18 years or older who underwent a (sub-)acute CT stroke imaging protocol followed by intracranial interventional reperfusion therapy and follow-up MR imaging of the brain for suspected acute or sub-acute stroke as part of the clinical imaging routine were included in this study. The (sub-)acute CT protocol consisted of native eCT (NCCT), CT angiography (CTA), and CT perfusion (CTP). MR imaging occurred 2-9 days after CT imaging and subsequent intracranial interventional reperfusion therapy. It consisted, at the minimum, of a Fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence, Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) consisting of a trace image at a b-value up to 1000 s/mm² and corresponding apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map.

To minimize random effects of treatment success, with minor exceptions only patients with a complete recanalization, rated as TICl 2c or 3 were included in this study.

Images were obtained by healthcare professionals as part of the clinical imaging routine for stroke patients at two different stroke centers in Germany and Switzerland and were intentionally chosen to be heterogeneous in lesion size, quantity, and location in order to guarantee the best possible and generalized training of the algorithms. As in ISLES'22, a large subset of patients had posterior circulation infarction; in the ISLES'24 dataset includes mainly patients with anterior or medial circulation infarction. **Figure 1** depicts a sample case. Provided for each case are (sub-)acute CT (NCCT, CTA, CTP) and then longitudinal MR imaging (DWI, ADC).




Fig. 1: An example from our longitudinal stroke dataset features a patient who underwent acute and follow-up stroke imaging at our clinic. This patient experienced a sudden collapse followed by left-sided weakness. Upon arrival at our stroke unit, neurologists identified significant left-sided hemiparesis, rightward head and gaze deviation, dysarthria, left-sided neglect, and an NIHSS score of 17. Initial imaging, performed with CT approximately 1 hour and 20 minutes after symptom onset, revealed vessel occlusions in the right anterior cerebral artery and the right middle cerebral artery. The CT scan itself took about 10 minutes. Intracranial intervention commenced around 50 minutes after the initial CT scan and lasted approximately 1 hour and 30 minutes. MRI imaging was conducted 4 days after the initial (sub-acute) CT imaging. For the challenge, the provided imaging includes NCCT, CTA, and CTP, along with DWI and ADC acquired 2-9 days after the initial imaging for each case. NCCT = native cranial computed tomography, CTA = CT Angiography, rCBV = relative Cerebral Blood Volume, rCBF = relative Cerebral Blood Volume, Tmax = Time-to-Maximum, MTT = Mean Transit Time, DWI = Diffusion Weighted Imaging, ADC = Apparent Diffusion Coefficient.

Follow-up imaging is often performed with CT, which misses accurate determination especially for small infarcts. In this case MRI for follow up imaging has an advantage by also revealing small infarcts which would not or only vaguely be visible in the CT. In the hyper-acute phase of ischemic stroke (up to 4.5 hours post-onset), restricted diffusion is evident (high signal on DWI and low signal on ADC), often with FLAIR showing no changes in affected tissue (FLAIR-DWI mismatch)²⁷. Moving beyond this hyper-acute phase, typically 0 to 7 days post-onset, DWI and FLAIR show high signals with reduced ADC values in the affected brain tissue. In the subacute stage (1 to 3 weeks post-onset), the high DWI signal begins to decrease, and ADC values initially normalize (pseudonormalization). In the chronic stage (starting three weeks after onset), the DWI signal varies but tends to be isointense to hypointense depending on the underlying T2 signal, while ADC values remain high, reviewed in²³. To our knowledge there is so far no dataset with acute CT imaging and MRI follow up. The dataset here is the first to provide acute CT imaging and longitudinal follow up by MRI, also complemented with clinical baseline and outcome data, intervention times and outcomes.

CT image acquisition was performed on the following devices: Somatom Force, Somatom Xcite (Siemens Healthcare), Somatom AS+ (Siemens), Brilliance 64, and Ingenuity (Philips Healthcare). MR Image acquisition was carried out on 3 T Philips MRI scanners (Achieva, Ingenia), a 3 T Siemens MRI scanner (Verio) or on 1.5 T Siemens MAGNETOM MRI scanners (Avanto, Aera). The resolution of raw images for CT scans as well as MRI acquisition parameters and a summary of infarct volumes and the number of unconnected infarcts will be complemented.

For every subject, as available there is clinical tabular data released with the images, including demographics (age and sex), medical history (atrial fibrillation, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia), medication (anticoagulation, statins, platelet aggregation inhibitors), laboratory values (glucose, leucocytes, CRP, INR), setup (wake-up, in-house, referral from external clinic), times (onset to door, alert to door, door to imaging, door to groin, door to first series, time of intervention, door to recanalization), clinical scores like NIHSS (at admission, after 24 hours, at discharge) and mRS (at admission, premonitory, at discharge, at three months) and the outcome of recanalization (TICI postinterventional, almost exclusively 2c or 3). Parameters are divided into baseline parameters before intracranial interventional recanalization and outcome parameters after recanalization (TICI postinterventional, NIHSS and mRS at 24 hours, NIHSS and mRS at discharge, mRS at three months). In terms of anonymization, laboratory values were randomly altered by $\pm 5\%$.

2.3. Demographics

	Train Set	Test Set	All
Patients	n = 150	n = 100	n = 250
Center 1	66.6%	50.0%	60.0%
Center 2	33.3%	50.0%	40.0%
Age	71.6 ± 14.3	70.4 ± 15.4	71.1 ± 14.8
Gender			
Female	52.7%	42.0%	48.8%
Male	47.3%	57.0%	51.2%
Medical history			
Atrial fibrillation	28.0%	33.0%	30.0%
Hypertension	57.3%	63.0%	59.6%
Diabetes mellitus	16.9%	17.0%	16.9%
Hyperlipidemia	32.7%	42.0%	36.4%
Medication			
Anticoagulation	18.10%	15.50%	17.10%
Lipid lowering drugs	29.90%	36.40%	32.50%
Platelet aggregation inhibitors	26.40%	23.30%	25.10%
Laboratory values			
Glucose	111.9 ± 37.7	105.1 ± 52.6	109.0 ± 44.6
Leucocytes	9.4 ± 3.0	9.4 ± 4.3	9.4 ± 3.5
CRP	1.2 ± 2.0	1.8 ± 2.7	1.4 ± 2.3
INR	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.2
Setup			
Wake-up	28.7%	22.0%	26.0%
In-house	6.0%	1.0%	4.0%
Referral from external clinic	16.1%	9.0%	13.3%
NIHSS			
NIHSS at admission	11.1 ± 6.3	12.1 ± 6.6	11.5 ± 6.4
NIHSS after 24 hours	6.1 ± 5.9	6.0 ± 6.0	6.1 ± 5.9
NIHSS at discharge	6.0 ± 8.7	3.9 ± 6.1	5.3 ± 8.0
mRS			
mRS premorbid	1.1 ± 1.8	0.6 ± 1.2	1.0 ± 1.7
mRS at admission	4.0 ± 1.1	4.0 ± 1.2	4.0 ± 1.2
mRS at 24 h	3.5 ± 1.5	3.2 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 1.6
mRS at discharge	2.5 ± 1.8	2.0 ± 1.8	2.3 ± 1.9
mRS at three months	2.0 ± 2.1	1.6 ± 2.1	1.9 ± 2.1
Outcome of recanalization			
TICI postinterventional 2c	19.0%	16.0%	18.0%
TICI postinterventional 3	79.0%	84.0%	80.7%

Tab. 1: Demographics from our test and training set. If not indicated else values are given as mean ± standard deviation. Times will be complemented.

2.4. Data pre-processing

The imaging data underwent irreversible anonymization before releasing the dataset and was in compliance with the ethical approval acquired for this challenge. All images were released as NIFTI files using the BIDS convention²⁸. Scans were defaced using in-house developed scripts based on TotalSegmentator²⁹.

Data pre-processing consisted of image co-registration to compensate for head motion and temporal resampling (1 frame/second) of the 4D CTP series. Then, perfusion maps (CBF, CBV, MTT, and Tmax) were derived from the 4D CTP series using the clinical, FDA-approved software icobrain cva^{18,20}. CTA, CTP (including perfusion maps) and DWI images were linearly co-registered to the NCCT. MRI were skull-stripped using HD-BET³⁰. All images are released 'raw' (i.e., solely anonymized and defaced) and preprocessed (i.e. resampled and coregistered to the NCCT modality).

2.5. Ground Truth stroke lesion segmentation

A hybrid human-algorithm annotation scheme to segment all cases was used. MR input data was anonymized by conversion to Neuroimaging Informatics Technology Initiative (NIFTI) format (<https://nifti.nimh.nih.gov/nifti-1>), in agreement with the Brain Imaging Data Structure (BIDS) convention (<https://bids.neuroimaging.io>).

To segment stroke lesions, first a deep-learning ensemble model from leading ISLES'22 participants was run over the follow-up MRI data¹⁶. Second, after visual inspection by an experienced neuroradiologist from the University Hospital of Munich (TUM Clinic) or the University Hospital of Zurich (UZH), cases with annotations of suboptimal quality were manually revised by a medical resident with special stroke lesion segmentation training as needed using the software ITK-SNAP³¹ (www.itksnap.org).

2.6. Inter-rater Analysis

The inter-rater agreement analysis of the infarct stroke segmentations against two expert raters was evaluated using the Dice similarity coefficient and volume difference metrics. When comparing the segmentations to external rater I, a Dice score of 0.90 ± 0.09 and a volumetric difference of 2.37 ± 2.59 ml were obtained. The comparison with external rater II showed a Dice score of 0.86 ± 0.13 and a stroke infarct volume difference of 6.56 ± 13.37 ml²³.

3. Data Records

2.1. Data repository and storage

The complete training data set ($n = 150$) is available under the Creative Commons license CC BY-NC (Attribution-NonCommercial) using the portal Swizz Open Data (<https://opendata.swiss/en>). Further information about the ISLES challenges can be accessed at <http://www.isles-challenge.org>.

2.2. Data structure and file formats

All medical imaging files were exported from the Picture Archiving and Communication System (PACS) in the NIFTI format, while segmentation masks were generated and stored in the same NIFTI format. The data in the ISLES 2024 dataset was separated into a training dataset ($n = 150$ cases) and a test dataset ($n = 100$ cases). The train set contains $n = 100$ scans from Center 1 and $n = 50$ cases from Center 2 and is publicly available. The test set contains $n = 50$ scans from Center 1 and $n = 50$ scans from Center 2 and is kept hidden from the public to prevent participants from employing model overfitting strategies.

Acute imaging data was collected upon patient admission and includes the diagnostic CT trilogy of NCCT, CTA, and CTP, along with CTP-derived perfusion maps: Cerebral Blood Flow (CBF), cerebral blood volume (CBV), mean transit time (MTT), and time-to-maximum (Tmax). Follow-up imaging data was obtained 2 to 9 days later, comprising DWI and ADC. The dataset is available in both raw and preprocessed formats, providing participants the flexibility to develop algorithms with varying levels of freedom. If available, clinical data as described above, are provided in JSON format along with the datasets. The CT and MRI scans of the publicly available training set, as well as the test set, are sourced from the following centers:

Center 1: University Hospital of the Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

Center 2: University Hospital of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Stemming from two centers and from different scanners models and manufacturers the herein described dataset allows the development of robust and generalizable stroke lesion segmentation algorithms. The training and test datasets have been divided to ensure that both the training and the data sets include a similar range of stroke lesion patterns, from extensive territorial infarcts to minor punctate ischemia. The scans display lesions in the vascular territories supplied by mainly the anterior cerebral arteries and the middle cerebral arteries. Spatial lesion distribution across vascular territories will be provided.

4. Technical Validation

The medical imaging data presented here was sourced from the picture archiving and communication systems of the respective institutions, ensuring full compliance with the legal standards and quality controls for medical imaging acquisition in Germany, the European Union, and Switzerland. It also adheres to the industrial standards set by the scanner vendors. Our objective was to curate a dataset that reflects real-world

stroke scenarios. Therefore, only cases with severe motion artifacts, making the images unfit for diagnostic use, were excluded from the dataset. We did not exclude cases based on other quality concerns, such as signal loss or spatial distortions, relying on the imaging standards maintained in clinical practice at the participating centers. Additionally, no preference was given to cases based on whether they were acquired at 1.5 T or 3 T.

Acknowledgments: EOR is supported by the TUM KKF Clinician Scientist Program.

Author contributions:

EOE manuscript preparation, data preparation, data review, segmentation corrections, and image rating.

EDLR code development, project design, data review, and manuscript preparation.

TAB, MHP image rating, lesion segmentation

HB data acquisition, data review

KY, DR, JOS code development

MR, BM, RW, RS project design

SW data acquisition, project design

CZ, TBB, MB data acquisition, manuscript review

BW code development, project design, manuscript review

JSK code development, data acquisition, segmentation correction, project design, data review, manuscript preparation

All authors revised and approved of the final manuscript.

Funding:

EOE and BM are supported by the Helmut Horten Foundation. SW is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant No. 310030_200703), the UZH Clinical Research Priority Program (CRPP) Stroke, and the Swiss Heart Foundation.

Conflict of Interests:

Independently of this work TBB consults for MicroVention, Balt, and Acandis and has received speaker honoraria from Philips and Phenox.

CZ disclosed no relevant relationships regarding activities related to this article but has served on scientific advisory boards for Philips and Bayer Schering, is a co-editor on the Advisory Board of Clinical Neuroradiology, and has received speaker honoraria from Bayer-Schering and Philips. CZ's institution has received research support and investigator fees for clinical studies from numerous companies, including Biogen Idec, Quintiles, MSD Sharp & Dome, Boehringer Ingelheim, and others. SW has received speaker honoraria from Springer, Teva Pharma, as well as consultancy fees from Bayer and Novartis.

JOS is employed by Methinks AI.

BW has received speaker honoraria from Philips.

JSK is the cofounder of Bonescreen GmbH, which is unrelated to this work. JSK has received speaker honoraria from Novartis, unrelated to this work.

EdIR (DR) was (is) employed by icometrix.

All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9859):2095-2128.
2. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Neurol*. 2021;20(10):795-820.
3. Prevention CfDca. Stroke Facts. <https://www.cdc.gov/stroke/facts.htm>. Published 2023. Accessed 16.02.2024.
4. Statistics NCfH. Multiple Cause of Death 2018-2021 on CDC WONDER Database. <https://wonder.cdc.gov/mcd.html>. Accessed 16 Feb 2024.
5. Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2019 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;139(10):e56-e528.
6. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(1):11-20.
7. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(11):1019-1030.
8. Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E, et al. Thrombectomy within 8 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372(24):2296-2306.
9. Albers GW, Marks MP, Kemp S, et al. Thrombectomy for Stroke at 6 to 16 Hours with Selection by Perfusion Imaging. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(8):708-718.
10. Lin L, Bivard A, Krishnamurthy V, Levi CR, Parsons MW. Whole-Brain CT Perfusion to Quantify Acute Ischemic Penumbra and Core. *Radiology*. 2016;279(3):876-887.
11. Fahmi F, Marquering HA, Streekstra GJ, et al. Differences in CT perfusion summary maps for patients with acute ischemic stroke generated by 2 software packages. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2012;33(11):2074-2080.
12. Robben D, Boers AMM, Marquering HA, et al. Prediction of final infarct volume from native CT perfusion and treatment parameters using deep learning. *Med Image Anal*. 2020;59:101589.
13. Freyschlag CF, Boehme C, Bauer M, et al. The Volume of Ischemic Brain Predicts Poor Outcome in Patients with Surgically Treated Malignant Stroke. *World Neurosurg*. 2019;123:e515-e519.
14. Meng X, Ji J. Infarct volume and outcome of cerebral ischaemia, a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2021;75(11):e14773.
15. Zecavati N, Singh R, Farias-Moeller R, Olsen C, Carpenter JL, Kadom N. The utility of infarct volume measurement in pediatric ischemic stroke. *J Child Neurol*. 2014;29(6):811-817.
16. Ezequiel de la Rosa MR, Sook-Lei Liew, Alexandre Hutton, Roland Wiest, Johannes Kaesmacher, Uta Hanning, Arsany Hakim, Richard Zubal, Waldo Valenzuela, David Robben, Diana M. Sima, Vincenzo Anania, Arne Brys, James A. Meakin, Anne Mickan, Gabriel Broocks, Christian Heitkamp, Shengbo Gao, Kongming Liang, Ziji Zhang, Md Mahfuzur Rahman Siddiquee, Andriy Myronenko, Pooya Ashtari, Sabine Van Huffel, Hyun-su Jeong, Chi-ho Yoon, Chulhong Kim, Jiayu Huo, Sebastien Ourselin, Rachel Sparks, Albert Clèrigues, Arnau Oliver, Xavier Lladó, Liam Chalcraft, Ioannis Pappas, Jeroen Bertels, Ewout Heylen, Juliette Moreau, Nima Hatami, Carole Frindel, Abdul Qayyum, Moona Mazher, Domenec Puig, Shao-Chieh Lin, Chun-Jung Juan, Tianxi Hu, Lyndon Boone, Maged Goubran, Yi-Jui Liu, Susanne Wegener, Florian Kofler, Ivan Ezhov, Suprosanna Shit, Moritz R. Hernandez Petzsche, Bjoern Menze, Jan S. Kirschke, Benedikt Wiestler. A Robust Ensemble Algorithm for Ischemic Stroke Lesion Segmentation: Generalizability and Clinical Utility Beyond the ISLES Challenge. *arXiv:240319425v2*. 2024.
17. Clèrigues A, Valverde S, Bernal J, Freixenet J, Oliver A, Lladó X. Acute ischemic stroke lesion core segmentation in CT perfusion images using fully convolutional neural networks. *Comput Biol Med*. 2019;115:103487.

18. de la Rosa E, Sima DM, Kirschke JS, Menze B, Robben D. Detecting CTP truncation artifacts in acute stroke imaging from the arterial input and the vascular output functions. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(3):e0283610.
19. Hakim A, Christensen S, Winzeck S, et al. Predicting Infarct Core From Computed Tomography Perfusion in Acute Ischemia With Machine Learning: Lessons From the ISLES Challenge. *Stroke*. 2021;52(7):2328-2337.
20. de la Rosa E, Sima DM, Menze B, Kirschke JS, Robben D. AIFNet: Automatic vascular function estimation for perfusion analysis using deep learning. *Med Image Anal*. 2021;74:102211.
21. Maier O, Menze BH, von der Gablentz J, et al. ISLES 2015 - A public evaluation benchmark for ischemic stroke lesion segmentation from multispectral MRI. *Med Image Anal*. 2017;35:250-269.
22. Winzeck S, Hakim A, McKinley R, et al. ISLES 2016 and 2017-Benchmarking Ischemic Stroke Lesion Outcome Prediction Based on Multispectral MRI. *Front Neurol*. 2018;9:679.
23. Hernandez Petzsche MR, de la Rosa E, Hanning U, et al. ISLES 2022: A multi-center magnetic resonance imaging stroke lesion segmentation dataset. *Sci Data*. 2022;9(1):762.
24. Liu CF, Leigh R, Johnson B, et al. A large public dataset of annotated clinical MRIs and metadata of patients with acute stroke. *Sci Data*. 2023;10(1):548.
25. Absher J, Goncher S, Newman-Norlund R, et al. The stroke outcome optimization project: Acute ischemic strokes from a comprehensive stroke center. *Sci Data*. 2024;11(1):839.
26. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *Jama*. 2013;310(20):2191-2194.
27. Thomalla G, Cheng B, Ebinger M, et al. DWI-FLAIR mismatch for the identification of patients with acute ischaemic stroke within 4.5 h of symptom onset (PRE-FLAIR): a multicentre observational study. *Lancet Neurol*. 2011;10(11):978-986.
28. Gorgolewski KJ, Auer T, Calhoun VD, et al. The brain imaging data structure, a format for organizing and describing outputs of neuroimaging experiments. *Sci Data*. 2016;3:160044.
29. Wasserthal J, Breit HC, Meyer MT, et al. TotalSegmentator: Robust Segmentation of 104 Anatomic Structures in CT Images. *Radiol Artif Intell*. 2023;5(5):e230024.
30. Isensee F, Schell M, Pflueger I, et al. Automated brain extraction of multisequence MRI using artificial neural networks. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2019;40(17):4952-4964.
31. Yushkevich PA, Piven J, Hazlett HC, et al. User-guided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: significantly improved efficiency and reliability. *Neuroimage*. 2006;31(3):1116-1128.