

# Geometrical structures of digital fluctuations in parameter space of neural networks trained with adaptive momentum optimization

Igor V. Netay <sup>\*†</sup>i.netay@kryptonite.ru

## Abstract

We present results of numerical experiments for neural networks with stochastic gradient-based optimization with adaptive momentum. This widely applied optimization has proved convergence and practical efficiency, but for long-run training becomes numerically unstable. We show that numerical artifacts are observable not only for large-scale models and finally lead to divergence also for case of shallow narrow networks. We argue this theory by experiments with more than 1600 neural networks trained for 50000 epochs. Local observations show presence of the same behavior of network parameters in both stable and unstable training segments. Geometrical behavior of parameters forms double twisted spirals in the parameter space and is caused by alternating of numerical perturbations with next relaxation oscillations in values for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> momentum.

## Introduction

Stochastic gradient-based optimization with adaptive momentum was introduced in [1] and is currently widely applied. It uses estimations for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> momentum with exponential decay with parameters  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . The optimization is provided by well known conditions on  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  to make the learning convergent. There are also some guaranties of convergence (see [1, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2]).

At the same time, it turns out that Adam optimization is unstable (see [2, 3]). The spikes of loss arise and reveal the difference between predicted mathematical convergence and resulting experiment results. The main reason of the difference is that real numbers quantization introduce inaccuracies in all arithmetic operations. There are some approaches to minimize spikes (see [4]).

Although digital noise in arithmetic operations behave irregularly, its influence on the network behavior gets some regular geometrical patterns. We will

---

<sup>\*</sup>Joint Stock "Research and production company "Kryptonite"

<sup>†</sup>Institut for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

show below (see §3) how do these patterns look like. These noisy numerical effects are the main obstacle for convergence. The numerical instability problem is not a specificity of adaptive momentum optimization (for instance, SGD has also some fluctuating behavior [2]). Conversely, this observable geometrical behavior is the feature of Adam optimizer. In §2 we describe unstable behavior and show that parameters can oscillate in fragments of training with monotonic loss.

## 1 Related work

Local training behavior of neural networks and some useful visualization approaches is presented in [5] for loss functions. Results on global neural networks landscape can be found in [6]. In [7] behavior of single-layer networks was studied in terms of local landscape and spurious valleys. A review on dynamics for different gradient descent optimizations with some visualization can be found in [8].

Some theory for local spikes for adaptive momentum learning is given in [3]. There spikes are studied and found for big neural networks. It was conjectured there that spikes appear mainly for big models for long-scale training. Here we show that the same effects occur also for networks with just a few neurons. It was also checked (see [2]) that the same happens for “middle-sized” well known networks like `LeNet`. Some sufficient mathematical conditional for numerical stability of deep neural networks can be found in [9, 10].

We consider behavior of neural networks due to numerical errors and arising geometrical structures. Training limitations due to numerical instability are studied in [2, 11, 12, 13]. Details and problems for 16-bit network instability can be found in [14].

## 2 Structure of oscillations

Analysis of the loss function landscape is an interesting part of global neural network behavior analysis (see [5]). Here we pay attention to monotonic smooth fragments of loss. These landscape fragments are not usually being studied in details for their seeming simplicity.

Let us see how network trainable parameters behave on smooth simple loss fragment at a large scale (see Fig. 1).

The loss value collects all the inaccuracies from the whole network parameters and then backpropagates them back to each trainable parameter, so the parameters oscillate together. At the same time, parameters have separate exponential decaying averaging. This causes common wave-like pseudo-periodic behavior.

Each numeric parameter should be considered as an approximation of real value and not as a real value. Each computational operation is a bit noisy. So, in the neighborhood of a particular weight and bias values there is some level

of digital noise in parameters. Exponential decay causes subtraction of close numbers, and if the difference becomes close to the noise level, then numeric perturbations of some relatively stable level occur. After this, the parameter values and loss exponentially tend back to convergence domain until they get back to unstable behavior area.

This explains the small oscillations during the network training. Actually, even for smooth monotonic approximately linear behavior, weights can oscillate (see Fig. 1).




Figure 1: Common behavior of some pair of parameters and loss for (12, 24) (left) and (16, 29) (right).

### 3 Structure of fluctuations

Actually, the fluctuating network behavior is explained likely small oscillations with the same periods and geometric characteristics. The only geometric difference is that the noise level grows high enough to imply loss explosions.

But there are meaningful corollaries:

- perturbation level exceeds loss level and can make observable effects on loss values,
- usually, it is easy to see both “fast” and “slow” oscillations.

Here fast and slow oscillations are pseudo-periodic behavior with a big period and periodic behavior with small period. Their period correspondence with Adam parameters will be discussed below (see§4).

Slow oscillations of parameters occur with fluctuations of loss, and fast oscillations can be seen in the short exponential decay of exploded loss. See simultaneous common behavior of some weights and biases on Fig 3. (Here weights of  $1^{st}$  for correspond to  $1^{st}$  loss on Fig2 and so on).




Figure 2: Loss behavior for (17, 12), (20, 20), (26, 26), (27, 27).




Figure 3: Behavior of some parameters for (17, 12), (27, 27), (20, 20), (27, 27).

We illustrate common behavior of triples of neural network parameters with fluctuations with parametric plots (see Fig. 4,5,6). These illustrations contain given above cases of parameters (Fig. 2) in the same range of epochs (the number of epoch corresponds to the point color) and some other cases for pairs of neurons number and number of dataset.

We see that points in the parameter space form two spirals in three-dimensional space with opposite rotation orientation. Slow oscillations form spirals turns. Fast oscillations form twists of spirals in each turn, when they become close. This corresponds to behavior inside one fluctuation.




Figure 4: Common behavior of some parameters for (26, 26), (27, 27).

## 4 Correspondence between spirals parameters and optimizers hyper-parameters

It appears that parameters of spirals correspond to parameters of Adam optimizer  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . Fluctuations have period near to  $\frac{1}{1-\beta_2}$  and fast oscillations inside a fluctuation have period near to  $\frac{1}{1-\beta_1}$ .

The notion of period here requires some more detailed explanation. As it was mentioned above, fluctuations are rather pseudo-periodic, than periodic (see examples on Fig. 2). So, the distance from a spike to the next spike is close to a linear dependence on logarithm of spike magnitude. The coefficient of this linear dependence changes linearly with  $\frac{1}{1-\beta_2}$ .

## 5 Conclusion

For experiments 1600 neural networks were trained for 50000 epochs each one. We can see common geometrical patterns for groups of network parameters.

For training neural network with adaptive momentum optimizers, possible periods of fluctuations can be estimated, and fluctuations can be predicted before they achieve high amplitude. Local trainable parameter behavior analysis could be a useful tool for numerical stability estimation.

Although there are some estimations and proof of convergence for some cases (see [1, Corollary 4.2]), we see in experiments that even simple one-layer




Figure 5: Common behavior of some parameters for  $(21, 21)$ ,  $(17, 12)$  (2 pictures).

networks fail to converge. This means that mathematical model fails, and computations are just inexact. So, the main reason of global divergence lies in numerical inexactness.

Actually, there is a way to automatically estimate numerical inaccuracies [15] for particular tensor elements during computations with floating point numbers.

## 6 Future work

It would be interesting to study dynamics of training and fluctuations for other popular optimizers like SGD.

## 7 Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to his Kryptonite colleagues Vasily Dolmatov, Dr. Nikita Gabdullin and Dr. Anton Raskovalov for fruitful discussions of topic and results.




Figure 6: Common behavior of some parameters for  $(20, 20)$ ,  $(34, 34)$ .




Figure 7: Common behavior of some pair of parameters and loss for  $(12, 5)$ .

## References

- [1] Diederik Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, San Diego, CA, USA, 2015.
- [2] Igor V. Netay. Influence of digital fluctuations on behavior of neural networks. *Indian Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Neural Networking (IJAINN)*, 3:1–7, December 2022.
- [3] Igor Molybog, Peter Albert, Moya Chen, Zachary DeVito, David Esiobu, Naman Goyal, Punit Singh Koura, Sharan Narang, Andrew Poulton, Ruan Silva, et al. A theory on adam instability in large-scale machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.09871*, 2023.
- [4] Jeffrey M Ede and Richard Beanland. Adaptive learning rate clipping stabilizes learning. *Machine Learning: Science and Technology*, 1(1):015011, 2020.
- [5] Hao Li, Zheng Xu, Gavin Taylor, Christoph Studer, and Tom Goldstein. Visualizing the loss landscape of neural nets. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 31, 2018.
- [6] Quynh N. Nguyen, Mahesh Chandra Mukkamala, and Matthias Hein. On the loss landscape of a class of deep neural networks with no bad local valleys. *ArXiv*, abs/1809.10749, 2018.
- [7] Luca Venturi, Afonso S. Bandeira, and Joan Bruna. Spurious valleys in one-hidden-layer neural network optimization landscapes. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 20(133):1–34, 2019.
- [8] Sebastian Ruder. An overview of gradient descent optimization algorithms. *ArXiv*, abs/1609.04747, 2016.
- [9] Leonid Berlyand, Pierre-Emmanuel Jabin, and C. Alex Safsten. Stability for the training of deep neural networks and other classifiers. *ArXiv*, abs/2002.04122, 2020.
- [10] N. Mohamed Thoiyab, P. Muruganantham, Grienggrai Rajchakit, Nallappan Gunasekaran, Bundit Unyong, Usa Humphries, Pramet Kaewmesri, and Chee Peng Lim. Global Stability Analysis of Neural Networks with Constant Time Delay via Frobenius Norm. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2020:1–14, October 2020.
- [11] Clemens Karner, Vladimir A. Kazeev, and Philipp Christian Petersen. Limitations of neural network training due to numerical instability of backpropagation. *ArXiv*, abs/2210.00805, 2022.



- [12] Yuxin Sun, DONG LAO, Ganesh Sundaramoorthi, and Anthony Yezzi. Surprising instabilities in training deep networks and a theoretical analysis. In S. Koyejo, S. Mohamed, A. Agarwal, D. Belgrave, K. Cho, and A. Oh, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 35, pages 19567–19578. Curran Associates, Inc., 2022.
- [13] Vegard Antun, Matthew J. Colbrook, and Anders Christian Hansen. The difficulty of computing stable and accurate neural networks: On the barriers of deep learning and smale’s 18th problem. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 119, 2021.
- [14] Juyoung Yun. Stable adam optimization for 16-bit neural networks training. volume abs/2307.16189, 2023.
- [15] Igor V Netay. Algorithms and data structures for numerical computations with automatic precision estimation. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2403, 2024.