

---

# EXAMINING THE COMMITMENTS AND DIFFICULTIES INHERENT IN MULTIMODAL FOUNDATION MODELS FOR STREET VIEW IMAGERY

---

A PREPRINT

Zhenyuan Yang <sup>\*1</sup>, Xuhui Lin <sup>\*2</sup>, Qinyi He <sup>†3</sup>, Ziyue Huang <sup>†1</sup>, Zhengliang Liu <sup>†4</sup>, Hanqi Jiang <sup>†4</sup>, Peng Shu<sup>4</sup>, Zihao Wu<sup>4</sup>, Yiwei Li<sup>4</sup>, Stephen Law<sup>5</sup>, Gengchen Mai<sup>6</sup>, Tianming Liu<sup>4</sup>, and Tao Yang<sup>‡3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Guanghua School of Management, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

<sup>2</sup>The Bartlett School of Sustainable Construction, University College London, London, WC1E 7HB, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>School of Architecture, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Computing, The University of Georgia, Athens, 30602, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Geography, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

<sup>6</sup>Department of Geography and the Environment, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, 78712, USA

August 26, 2024

## ABSTRACT

The emergence of Large Language Models (LLMs) and multimodal foundation models (FMs) has generated heightened interest in their applications that integrate vision and language. This paper investigates the capabilities of ChatGPT-4V and Gemini Pro for Street View Imagery, Built Environment, and Interior by evaluating their performance across various tasks. The assessments include street furniture identification, pedestrian and car counts, and road width measurement in Street View Imagery; building function classification, building age analysis, building height analysis, and building structure classification in the Built Environment; and interior room classification, interior design style analysis, interior furniture counts, and interior length measurement in Interior. The results reveal proficiency in length measurement, style analysis, question answering, and basic image understanding, but highlight limitations in detailed recognition and counting tasks. While zero-shot learning shows potential, performance varies depending on the problem domains and image complexities. This study provides new insights into the strengths and weaknesses of multimodal foundation models for practical challenges in Street View Imagery, Built Environment, and Interior. Overall, the findings demonstrate

---

\* Co-first authors.

† Co-second authors.

‡ Corresponding author. E-mail: yangtao128@tsinghua.edu.cn

foundational multimodal intelligence, emphasizing the potential of FMs to drive forward interdisciplinary applications at the intersection of computer vision and language.

## 1 Introduction

Large language models (LLMs) and multimodal foundation models (FMs) have demonstrated considerable promise in the realm of generalized intelligence across a spectrum of tasks and modalities. [1, 2, 3, 4] Through the strategic utilization of substantial datasets and computational resources, exemplified by models such as GPT-4V [5] and Gemini Pro [6], these models attain expansive capabilities through large-scale pretraining, facilitating seamless adaptation to novel data and tasks without prior exposure. [7, 8, 3] Nonetheless, the exploration of their capacity to interpret and utilize visual information has been relatively limited, particularly within specialized domains such as streetscape. This paper undertakes a comprehensive evaluation to scrutinize the capabilities and constraints of GPT-4V and Gemini Pro in the context of Street View Imagery, architecture, and urban planning .

## 2 Background

### 2.1 The Rise of LLMs and Multimodal Models

The transformative transformer architecture [9], foundational to LLMs, significantly advanced multiple domains, including natural language processing (NLP) and computer vision (CV). The introduction of transformers marked a breakthrough in NLP, paving the way for natural language processing by overcoming limitations inherent in earlier architectures such as Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory networks (LSTMs). The attention mechanisms [9] allow for the processing of long-range dependencies in text without encountering the aforementioned limitations, thereby significantly enhancing the efficiency and scalability of LLMs, providing a foundational basis for subsequent advancements. GPT series, adopted a probabilistic approach to generating text one token at a time, facilitating flexible and coherent text generation.

In the domain of computer vision, models like Vision Transformer (ViT) [10] and Masked Autoencoders (MAE) [11] played pivotal roles in advancing image classification tasks. The Segment Anything Model (SAM) [12] showcased remarkable generalization capabilities and zero-shot learning, particularly in adapting to diverse aerial and orbital images. Despite challenges, SAM's adaptability holds promise for remote sensing image processing.

The growing demand for multimodal models, integrating tasks from computer vision and NLP, is driven by the aspiration for Artificial General Intelligence (AGI). There emerged a necessity to extend LLMs to encompass multimodal intelligence by leveraging pretrained ViT as image encoders and LLMs as interfaces for vision-language inputs, aligning with human multimodal instructions. Models such as GPT-4V and Gemini Pro were specifically designed to process both images and text. Pre-trained on diverse datasets containing both text and images, these models demonstrate robust visual comprehension abilities, thereby expanding the horizons at the intersection of natural language processing, computer vision, and human-AI interaction.

## 2.2 Street View Imagery

Street view imagery, serving as a vital data source for capturing urban environmental details, plays a significant role across various fields such as urban planning, traffic management, and public safety. With the rapid advancement of Large Language Models (LLMs) and computer vision technologies, the application of street view imagery in conjunction with LLMs has begun to demonstrate immense potential, offering novel perspectives and tools for urban management and planning. Initially, street view imagery provides rich visual information on urban layouts, architectural features, and the utilization of public spaces. The application of LLMs and computer vision technologies enables the automatic identification and analysis of key elements within these images, such as road signs, traffic flow, and pedestrian density, thereby obtaining real-time data on the operational status of cities. This application significantly enhances the efficiency of urban data collection and analysis, providing accurate data support for urban planning and management decisions. Furthermore, the analysis of street view imagery combined with LLMs supports complex spatial analyses and pattern recognition tasks. For instance, by analyzing the architectural styles and spatial layouts in street view images, LLMs can assist urban planners in identifying historical and cultural areas within cities, evaluating the impact of urban renewal projects on the preservation of historical buildings. Additionally, by recognizing and tracking changes in street view imagery, such as variations in green coverage or the emergence of new constructions, LLMs can provide substantial evidence for urban development trends, supporting sustainable development planning. In the realm of traffic management, the application of street view imagery in conjunction with LLMs also reveals substantial potential. Real-time analysis of street images can monitor traffic volumes, identify congestion points, and even predict potential risk areas for traffic accidents, offering real-time data support for traffic planning and management. Moreover, street view imagery can be utilized for the automatic detection of road and traffic infrastructure damage, aiding city management departments in timely maintenance and repair. However, the application of street view imagery combined with LLMs faces challenges, including how to process and analyze the vast amount of street view image data, ensure the accuracy and reliability of analysis results, and address data privacy and security concerns. Future research needs to focus not only on enhancing technical performance but also on addressing these challenges.

In summary, the integration of street view imagery with Large Language Models provides robust technical support for urban planning and management, making the collection and analysis of urban data more efficient and accurate. As technology continues to evolve and its application scope expands, the future is poised to unlock more possibilities for smarter and more sustainable urban development.

## 2.3 Built Environment

The built environment refers to human-made surroundings that provide the setting for human activity, including buildings, infrastructure, and public spaces. It has significant impacts on human life, health, and sustainable development. Traditional research on the built environment primarily relies on field surveys, manual drawing, and statistical analysis, which often suffer from inefficiencies and subjectivity [13]. With the advancement of technologies such as remote sensing, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and Building Information Modeling (BIM), digital and information-based methods have started to be applied to the analysis and planning of the built environment [14]. Particularly in the past decade, deep learning methods have achieved significant progress in

fields like computer vision and remote sensing image analysis, bringing new breakthroughs to built environment research [15].

One major direction is using convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to extract information about the built environment from remote sensing images and street view images, such as building detection [16], road extraction [17], and land use classification[18]. These methods can automatically and efficiently generate extensive data on the built environment, providing crucial foundational information for urban planning and resource management. Another direction is using generative models (such as GANs) to generate or simulate urban landscapes and street designs [19], aiding in the formulation and evaluation of planning and design schemes.

Large models, especially multimodal vision-language pre-trained models, open new possibilities for built environment research. These models can jointly process data from multiple modalities, such as text and images, enabling more comprehensive and semantically rich scene understanding and generation [20]. Preliminary attempts in the built environment field include: using large models for cross-modal land use classification[21], urban functional area recognition[22], generating urban design scheme images from textual descriptions[23], and using visual question answering to analyze urban facilities and public spaces in street view images[24, 25]. The language understanding capabilities of large models can also be used to handle unstructured data, such as planning texts and design guidelines, assisting in tasks like planning approval and compliance checks[26, 27].

Despite the potential shown by large models in built environment research, the current work is still in its early stages and faces several challenges in practical application. For instance, how to integrate large models with existing planning and design processes and tools; how to evaluate and validate the feasibility and rationality of model-generated schemes; and how to address issues related to data privacy and ethics.

## 2.4 Interior

In the field of interior design, computer vision and machine learning technologies have been widely applied. Traditional methods primarily rely on handcrafted features or shallow learning models to analyze and understand interior images[28]. However, these methods often show limitations when dealing with the complexity and diversity of indoor scenes. In recent years, the advent of deep learning, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), has significantly advanced the understanding of indoor scenes. CNNs can automatically learn hierarchical feature representations of images, achieving remarkable performance improvements in tasks such as image classification, object detection, and semantic segmentation[29]. A series of CNN-based methods have been proposed for indoor scene classification[30], room type recognition [31], and indoor layout estimation[32].

Beyond analyzing interior images, the use of deep learning to generate realistic indoor scene images has also attracted attention. Several studies have explored the use of generative adversarial networks (GANs) to generate photorealistic indoor images from noise vectors or semantic layout maps [33, 34]. This provides a new approach for automated and diverse interior design generation. However, current methods for generating indoor images still need improvement in terms of semantic accuracy and detail realism.

The emergence of large models, particularly vision-language pre-trained models, has opened new possibilities for interior design. These models are pre-trained on vast amounts of image-text data,

learning cross-modal alignments and fusion representations, thereby possessing strong cross-modal understanding and generation capabilities [35]. Applying pre-trained large models to interior design tasks is expected to enable more intelligent and interactive design generation. For example, users can control the style and layout of generated interior design images through text descriptions, or use the visual question-answering capabilities of large models to edit and modify design images by asking questions[36]. Another interesting direction is using visual language navigation models to automatically generate guided tours and explanations for indoor spaces [37].

Although large models have shown promising potential in interior design, current research is still in the exploratory stage and faces several challenges in practical application. For instance, how to convert design images generated by large models into executable design plans; how to evaluate the rationality and usability of generated designs; and whether the generated designs comply with ergonomics and building codes. Moreover, the interpretability and controllability of large models need enhancement, necessitating research on incorporating more prior knowledge and constraints to guide the design generation process.

### **3 Experiments and Observation of FMs for Street Furniture**

#### **3.1 Brand Recognition**

##### **3.1.1 Data Source**

In the architectural style and architectural logo identification, the information of famous brand retail stores can be extracted. In this experiment, we selected three famous brands, namely Burger King, Apple, Starbucks and KFC. In the process of logo recognition, it is necessary to extract elements of architectural style and architectural features. The image identified this time comes from the storefront of the four major brands in Google Street View, and the image also includes the logo itself. The dataset can be accessed at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>.

##### **3.1.2 Evaluation and analysis**

In the brand recognition task, the main task of the model is to recognise the pattern and text of the store logo and accurately locate it with the brand name. GPT-4V’s recognition of the store focuses more on the materials and architectural style of the building and the description of the scene. However, even for common brands, GPT-4V has difficulty in recognising them. In contrast, GPT-4o not only accurately recognises brands and logos, but also analyses slogans, design elements, architectural styles, lighting, etc. Similar to GPT-4o, Gemini can also accurately identify common store brands, including the text and logo of the brand. Unlike GPT-4V, Gemini does not further analyse the light, architectural style, etc. in the picture after identifying the brand, but instead gives a brief introduction to the business of the brand, which is not in the prompt requirements, showing that Gemini has some divergent thinking and seems to have a better understanding of the real world. In sum, GPT-4o and Gemini both have good zero-shot performance in brand recognition. The latter seems to have stronger divergent thinking, while the former focuses more on the prompt task. The difference may be related to their training data and fine-tuning strategies.

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Observing the image, the architecture of the building is modern, with a curvature that softens the visual impact and provides a welcoming atmosphere. The use of glass as a primary facade material allows for transparency and interaction with the urban environment, potentially engaging pedestrians and inviting them inside.

The lighting design appears to be well-integrated, highlighting the building's curves and the signage, which would be a critical factor in attracting attention, especially during the evening hours. Also, the warm tones utilized in the signage contrast effectively against the cooler urban backdrop, creating an inviting glow.

Lastly, the street-level interaction seems to be active and inviting, **potentially contributing positively to the urban streetscape** by promoting pedestrian activity and social engagement.

**Reference answer:**

Burger King

Figure 1: Brand Recognition of Burger King in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The building appears to be a blend of classic and contemporary architectural styles, with a traditional red brick facade that includes classical details like cornices, pilasters, and large multi-pane windows with slight arches at the top. The ground level, which houses the retail store, features a more modern, minimalist design, with expansive glass windows and doors framed by stone or concrete, creating a transparent and welcoming storefront that invites passersby to look inside.

The large arched entrances are particularly noteworthy, as they give the building a sense of grandeur and openness, which is often a design choice aimed to attract customers into the store. The contrast between the traditional upper floors and the modern ground level creates a visually appealing aesthetic that connects the building's historic context with contemporary commercial use.

The interior lighting of the store is warm and evenly distributed, illuminating the space in a way that enhances the visibility of the products and creates a vibrant atmosphere. The entrance area is clear and unobstructed, which is a common characteristic of retail spaces designed to promote a seamless flow of customers.

Outdoor flags and banners are used to attract attention and can also serve as a promotional tool to convey information about products or campaigns within the store. Overall, the design integrates heritage elements with modern retail design strategies, resulting in both a functional and an architecturally pleasing environment.

**Reference answer:**

Apple

Figure 2: Brand Recognition of Apple in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The store in the image is a **Starbucks Coffee shop**. You can tell by the distinctive green and white logo, which features a twin-tailed mermaid, or siren, which is the brand's iconic emblem. The logo appears on the circular sign protruding from the building's face.

The storefront design adheres to the Starbucks brand's aesthetic with a simple and modern black signage board that includes the Starbucks Coffee lettering in white. This contrast allows for high readability and immediate brand recognition. Additionally, the window display includes merchandise and product presentation that is typical of Starbucks stores, encouraging passersby to see the offerings and potentially enter the shop.

The architecture of the building fits in with urban commercial design, featuring stone masonry and large display windows. Starbucks often locates its shops in buildings that are cohesive with the architectural character of the neighborhood, aiming to provide a seamless and inviting customer experience both from the outside and inside.

The interior lighting of the store is warm and evenly distributed, illuminating the space in a way that enhances the visibility of the products and creates a vibrant atmosphere. The entrance area is clear and unobstructed, which is a common characteristic of retail spaces designed to promote a seamless flow of customers.

**Reference answer:**

Starbucks coffee shop

Figure 3: Brand Recognition of Starbucks in GPT-4V



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The image provided shows a multi-story residential building with an exterior brick facade. From the image, it does not appear to have large openings or a garage on the ground level that would typically characterize a soft-story building. On the contrary, the visible ground floor seems to have structural walls with several windows and what seem to be residential entry points. There is no clear indication of the type of heavy, open space—like a parking area, large commercial space, or other open-floor concept—that is commonly associated with the soft-story condition.

Given the information visible in this image, the building does not exhibit the characteristics of a soft-story building. It appears structurally consistent across different levels, without the hallmark open space on the ground floor. It's important to note that a definitive classification would also require an understanding of the interior structural design, which cannot be ascertained from this image alone.

**Reference answer:**

KFC

Figure 4: Brand Recognition of KFC in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

The image depicts the exterior of a **Burger King** restaurant. The logo and brand are clearly recognizable due to the distinct styling and elements:

1. Brand Name: The name "Burger King" is prominently displayed on the facade of the building.
2. Logo: The logo includes the word "KING" inside a stylized hamburger. The logo design is simple yet effective, utilizing a hamburger to symbolize the core product of the brand.
3. Slogan: The slogan "FLAME GRILLING SINCE 1954" is also visible, reinforcing the brand's long history and signature cooking method.
4. Design Elements:

Architectural Style: The building features a modern design with large glass windows and a rounded facade, creating an inviting and contemporary appearance.

Lighting: Bright, illuminated signage is used, which is typical for fast-food restaurants to attract attention, especially in urban settings.

Color Scheme: The red and white color scheme is prominent, reinforcing the brand's identity and making the restaurant easily identifiable.

Overall, the combination of these elements clearly identifies this establishment as a Burger King restaurant.

**Reference answer:**

Burger King

Figure 5: Brand Recognition of Burger King in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

In the image, the store logo prominently displayed above the entrance is a stylized apple with a bite taken out of it, which is the logo of Apple Inc. This indicates that the store is an **Apple Store**. Apple Stores are known for their sleek and modern architectural design, often characterized by large glass windows and minimalist interior aesthetics. The exterior structure of the building combines classical architectural elements, such as symmetrical windows and decorative stonework, with the modern and transparent Apple Store design language, creating a visually appealing contrast.

**Reference answer:**

Apple

Figure 6: Brand Recognition of Apple in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

The image shows a storefront for "Starbucks Coffee," a well-known international coffeehouse chain. This particular store is integrated into a historic or classic architectural building featuring the following elements:

**1. Facade and Design:**

- The building has a classic architectural style with large, vertically stacked stone blocks.
- There are decorative elements on the facade, such as cornices and pilasters, giving it a grand and prestigious appearance.
- The entrance features large, clear glass windows and doors, inviting passersby to look inside.

**2. Storefront Signage:**

- The brand name "Starbucks Coffee" is prominently displayed above the windows and entrance in white letters on a black background.
- The iconic Starbucks logo, characterized by a circular design with a twin-tailed siren in the center, is also visible next to the store name and as a standalone hanging sign. The logo colors are green and white.

**3. Interior Visibility:**

- The interior is partially visible through the glass windows and doors, showing typical Starbucks decor, including seating areas and a counter where customers order their drinks.
- There appears to be a warm, inviting atmosphere inside, typical of Starbucks outlets.

In summary, this image reflects a well-maintained Starbucks store that blends modern commercial signage with historical architectural elements, creating a visually appealing and recognizable retail space.

**Reference answer:**

Starbucks coffee shop

Figure 7: Brand Recognition of Starbucks in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

The image depicts the exterior of a **KFC** (Kentucky Fried Chicken) restaurant. The identifiable elements include:

1. Logo: The logo features Colonel Sanders, the founder of KFC, depicted as a friendly, smiling elderly man with glasses, a goatee, and a bowtie. The background of the logo is predominantly red.
2. Brand Name: The acronym "KFC" is clearly visible on the signage, both on the main sign and a smaller sign visible in the background.
3. Design Elements: The use of vibrant red and white colors is a common design feature of KFC outlets, which aligns with their global branding strategy. The interior visible through the window also showcases typical fast-food restaurant seating and promotional displays for menu items.
4. Menu Items: The promotional poster highlights popular KFC items like "Popcorn Chicken," "Mini Breast Fillet," "Two Hot Wings," and "One Piece Original Recipe Chicken," further confirming the restaurant's identity. The menu details and pricing are standard practice for KFC promotional materials.

In summary, the store in the image is a KFC (Kentucky Fried Chicken) outlet, characterized by its distinctive logo, brand color scheme, and typical fast-food promotional displays.

**Reference answer:**

KFC

Figure 8: Brand Recognition of KFC in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The logo and brand of the store in the image is **Burger King**. Burger King is a global fast food restaurant chain that sells hamburgers, cheeseburgers, chicken, salads, and other items. The company was founded in 1954 in Jacksonville, Florida, and has since grown to over 18,000 locations in more than 100 countries. Burger King is the second-largest fast-food hamburger chain in the world, after McDonald's.

**Reference answer:**

Burger King

Figure 9: Brand Recognition of Burger King in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The image shows a retail store with a large glass front and a brick exterior. The glass front is divided into three sections, with the middle section being the largest. The middle section is framed by two large columns. There is a door in the middle of the glass front. The exterior of the store is decorated with several large flowerpots.

The logo on the glass front is **the Apple logo**, which is a bitten apple. The Apple logo is one of the most recognizable logos in the world. The Apple brand is a global technology company that designs, develops, and sells consumer electronics, computer software, and online services. Apple is a leader in the personal computer, smartphone, and tablet markets. The company's products are known for their innovative design and user-friendly interface.

**Reference answer:**

Apple

Figure 10: Brand Recognition of Apple in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The image shows a Starbucks coffee shop. The logo is a green circle with the words "Starbucks Coffee" written in white. The brand is a coffee shop that sells coffee, tea, and other beverages.

**Reference answer:**

Starbucks coffee shop

Figure 11: Brand Recognition of Starbucks in Gemini



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the logo and brand of the store.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The logo and brand of the store in the image is **KFC** (Kentucky Fried Chicken). The logo is a red and white circle with the letters "KFC" in the center. The brand is a fast food restaurant chain that specializes in fried chicken.

**Reference answer:**

KFC

Figure 12: Brand Recognition of KFC in Gemini

## 3.2 Counts of Pedestrians

### 3.2.1 Data Source

The task of counting pedestrians in street view images primarily aims to assess the fine-grained discrimination capabilities of multimodal models. Pedestrians are a significant component of street scenes, and accurately counting the number of people provides a measure of the model’s proficiency. In this section, we utilize data from a public dataset to evaluate this capability. The dataset can be accessed at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>.

### 3.2.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the pedestrian counting task, GPT-4V tends to give interval estimates, such as "at least 20 people," and can further update its interval estimates during the description. GPT-4o and Gemini tend not to describe the picture in detail and give point estimates instead of interval estimates. The interval boundaries of GPT-4v’s answers are usually more deviated from the correct answer than the point estimates of GPT-4o and Gemini, but because it gives a larger possible range, its answer is also reasonable. Among them, Gemini is completely correct in a pedestrian counting task with less noise in the foreground, but the answer given in a scene with more noise and a complex background deviates from the correct value relatively far. GPT-4o, on the other hand, performed more evenly in both the near and far-field pedestrian counting tasks. This difference between the two models may be related to their training data and fine-tuning strategies.

All three models showed good zero-shot performance, indicating that all three models have the ability to identify people in complex scenes and fine-grained recognition capabilities.

## 3.3 Counts of Cars

### 3.3.1 Data Source

The task of counting vehicles in street view images is designed to evaluate the fine-grained discrimination capabilities of multimodal models. Vehicles are a crucial component of street scenes, and accurately counting the number of vehicles provides a measure of the model’s proficiency. In this section, we utilize data from a public dataset to conduct this evaluation. The dataset is available at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>.

### 3.3.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the car counting task, GPT-4V refuses to give an answer when there are many cars in the image. For tasks with fewer vehicles, it can add the number of different types and colours of cars in the image to get an answer. The analysis process is reasonable, but it often ignores some of the vehicles in the image. This shows that GPT-4V has the ability to recognise the characteristics of vehicles on the street, but when there are too many vehicles or some of them are partially in the image, it will not be able to given an answer. In contrast, GPT-4o has improved its feature recognition ability in large-scale counting ranges and has a stronger ability to recognise partially occluded objects. For tasks with many vehicles in the image, GPT-4o no longer refuses to answer the question, but instead gives a reference value that is closer to the correct answer. At the same time, when there are relatively few vehicles, GPT-4o can count the vehicles with different characteristics and identify the

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

In the image, I can see at least **14 people**. There are numerous individuals standing on the sidewalk, some with luggage, and a person in a reflective vest who appears to be directing or assisting with traffic. It's possible that there could be more people that are not fully visible due to the angles and obstructions in the photo.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 people

Figure 13: Counts of more than 20 Pedestrians in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

In the image, there are **seven people** visible. They appear to be engaged in various activities, some interacting with papers or possibly informational pamphlets, and one person is standing apart, looking into the distance.

**Reference answer:**

5 people

Figure 14: Counts of 5 Pedestrians in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

In the image provided, there are quite a few individuals visible, but due to the distance and angle, not every person is fully distinguishable. I can provide an approximate count of those that are clearly discernible, but keep in mind there may be more people partially obscured or too far in the background to be counted accurately.

In the foreground and middle ground, I can identify approximately **15 people**. This includes those walking towards the camera, away from the camera, and those who are side-on. As for the background, including those near the building and gate, there is a group of people, but it's difficult to give an exact number due to the distance and potential overlap. If I were to include those as well, there are at least 20+ individuals in the entire scene, with the understanding that there may be more who are not easily countable due to the image's resolution and perspective.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 people

Figure 15: Counts of more than 20 Pedestrians in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

There are **7 people** in the image.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 people

Figure 16: Counts of more than 20 Pedestrians in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

There are **six people** visible in the image.

**Reference answer:**

5

Figure 17: Counts of 5 Pedestrians in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

There are 21 people in the image.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 people

Figure 18: Counts of more than 20 Pedestrians in GPT-4o



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

There are 23 people in the image.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 people

Figure 19: Counts of more than 20 Pedestrians in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

5

**Reference answer:**

5

Figure 20: Counts of 5 Pedestrians in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of people in it.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

There are 15 people in the image.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 people

Figure 21: Counts of more than 20 Pedestrians in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Sorry, I can't help with identifying or making assumptions about the number of cars in images.

**Reference answer:**

At least 30 cars

Figure 22: Counts of more than 30 cars in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Sorry, I can't help with identifying or making assumptions about elements within images.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 cars

Figure 23: Counts of more than 20 cars in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

In the image provided, I can identify 7 cars. There are 4 yellow taxis, 2 black SUVs, and one orange truck partially visible. The focus of the image appears to be a busy urban area, perhaps near an airport or a transportation hub, given the presence of taxis and people with luggage.

**Reference answer:**

At least 13 cars

Figure 24: Counts of more than 13 cars in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Counting the cars visible in the image, I can see a total of 50 cars.

**Reference answer:**

At least 30 cars

Figure 25: Counts of more than 30 cars in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

In the image, there are a total of 21 cars visible on the highway.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 cars

Figure 26: Counts of more than 20 cars in GPT-4o



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

In the image, I can count **a total of 10 cars:**

- 6 yellow taxis
- 1 black van
- 1 white medical car on the top right
- 1 black car at the top right
- 1 dark sedan behind the black van

Note: The orange truck is not counted as a car.

**Reference answer:**

At least 13 cars

Figure 27: Counts of more than 13 cars in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

There are 46 cars in the image.

**Reference answer:**

At least 30 cars

Figure 28: Counts of more than 30 cars in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

There are 26 cars in the image.

**Reference answer:**

At least 20 cars

Figure 29: Counts of more than 20 cars in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in counting. Please analyze this image and tell me the number of cars in it.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

There are 15 cars in the image.

**Reference answer:**

At least 13 cars

Figure 30: Counts of more than 13 cars in Gemini

vehicles that are partially visible in the picture, so the total result given is also more accurate than GPT-4o. When there are many vehicles, Gemini is able to give an answer, and the answer is usually accurate, which shows that it has the ability to recognise vehicles. When there are few vehicles, even partially occluded vehicles seem to be accurately included, but it does not provide a specific explanation of the estimation process, so this needs to be verified.

In sum, in the car counting task, Gemini and GPT-4o have shown high-level fine-grained recognition capabilities, especially in scenarios with large-scale counting and partially occluded vehicles.

### **3.4 Road Width Measurement**

#### **3.4.1 Data Source**

The estimation of street width plays a crucial role in assessing the ability of multimodal models to identify important parameters in urban streetscapes. When processing streetscape images, streets are integral components, and estimating their scale measures the capability of large-scale models. In this section, the data utilized is sourced from public datasets, accessible at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>.

#### **3.4.2 Evaluation and analysis**

In the road width measurement task, we gave the general length of a single lane, the general height of a floor, and the general height of a person as a reference in the prompt, and asked the three models to infer the length of the single-sided motor lane based on the information in the figure. GPT-4V often refused to answer and ignored the prompts about the length of the lane. Based on the answers and reasoning process of GPT-4V, it has certain difficulties in identifying and inferring the direction of traffic. Gemini tends to give answers directly without reasoning, and its answers are usually 1-2 times higher than the real ones. According to the information about the traffic in the figure, this is also because it does not correctly identify the direction of traffic, thus adding up the lanes of different lanes together. GPT-4o showed excellent ability in this task. It was able to identify lanes that were partially blocked by cars in the foreground and partially obscured in the background, and it was able to integrate information such as the direction of the vehicles in the picture and road signs to determine the lane direction, thus giving answers that were close to the real values in each task. Although in the case of ambiguous vehicle information and no obvious road signs, it may misjudge the lane direction and thus give incorrect measurements, this is reasonable based on the data in the picture.

In sum, GPT-4o can use the information in the figure to the greatest extent to eliminate the interference caused by the occlusion of the near field and the blurring of the far field, and give more accurate answers. Gemini’s application of comprehensive information is still insufficient, and GPT-4V’s comprehensive information ability is even more lacking. GPT-4o has better zero-shot performance and achieved high quantitative accuracy in terms of road width measurement when given a reference for road length. However, its own quantitative accuracy regarding width measurement needs to be further tested when there is no reference for road length.

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

To estimate the width of the road in the image provided, we'll use the reference dimensions given. As there are no discernable people or cars very close to measure against and no clearly visible floor separations on buildings to use directly, we must rely on approximations based on visible lane markings and the general proportions of vehicles in relation to the lanes they occupy.

The image shows a multi-lane road with lanes in both directions. We're focusing on the lanes that occupy the largest proportion of the field of view, which appears to be the three lanes on the right side of the median, moving towards the bottom of the image.

Given that a standard single traffic lane is approximately 3.7 meters wide, we can estimate the width of the multi-lane motor road by counting the visible lanes. However, since the resolution and angle of the image might not provide a precise perspective, we can only approximate.

There appear to be three lanes of traffic in the direction closest to the bottom of the image.

Multiplying the number of lanes by the average width of a lane gives us the width for the single-sided motor lane on that side of the road:

$$3 \text{ lanes} * 3.7 \text{ meters per lane} = 11.1 \text{ meters}$$

Please note that this is a rough estimate and actual widths may vary due to perspective distortion, the angle of the photograph, and the possible presence of shoulder areas or variations in lane width not accounted for in this approximation.

**Reference answer:**

road=20m

Figure 31: A 20m Road Width Measurement in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

I'm **unable to measure** the exact width of the road or any objects in the image, as I'm an AI with no capability to analyze real-world distances directly through images. I can only provide estimations based on the information provided and the perceived proportions in the image, which can be highly inaccurate.

From the image, we can see that there are multiple lanes on the road, divided by lane markings. Assuming an average single lane width of 3.7 meters as suggested, we would need to count the visible lanes and estimate the total width of the road for the direction in the largest view. However, due to perspective, lanes further away will appear smaller, which complicates the estimation process.

Without known references or exact measurements, any estimation I provide is speculative and should not be used for any planning or technical purposes. If precise measurements are required, it would be best to refer to official city planning documents or use specialized measurement tools on-site.

**Reference answer:**

road=12m

Figure 32: A 12m Road Width Measurement in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

I'm sorry, but I am not able to provide measurements or identify features in the real world, such as the width of a road, based on an image. The actual width of a lane can vary due to several factors, including regional standards, design considerations, and perspective distortion in the photograph. My responses are generated based on a dataset of information and I do not have the ability to measure real-world objects in images.

**Reference answer:**

road=24m

Figure 33: A 24m Road Width Measurement in GPT-4V



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

In the provided image, we can see a wide road with multiple lanes. To determine the width of the motor lane, we can make use of the reference objects.

Each lane of the road typically measures 3.7 meters.

In the image:

- The road section visible appears to have 4 lanes per direction, making a total of 8 lanes.
- Assuming the standard width of a single lane (with the adjacent lanes), taking into account a small additional space for lane demarcations, the estimated width of the road in one direction would be approximately  $(4 \times 3.7 = 14.8)$  meters.

Therefore, the width of the road in one direction is **approximately 15 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

road=20m

Figure 34: A 20m Road Width Measurement in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

To estimate the width of the road in the image, we need to determine the number of lanes and use the standard width of a single lane, which is typically 3.7 meters.

In the image, we can see a multi-lane road with traffic moving in one direction (with respect to our viewpoint):

1. The road has 4 lanes.
2. The standard width for a single lane is 3.7 meters.

To calculate the total width of the road:

$$\backslash \text{Width of the road} = \text{Number of lanes} \times \text{Width of a single lane} \backslash$$

$$\backslash \text{Width of the road} = 4 \backslash \text{lanes} \times 3.7 \backslash \text{meters/lane} \backslash$$

$$\backslash \text{Width of the road} = 14.8 \backslash \text{meters} \backslash$$

Therefore, the width of the single-sided motor lane in the image is **approximately 14.8 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

road=12m

Figure 35: A 12m Road Width Measurement in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

To analyze the width of the road in the provided image, let's consider the components in the image:

1. Markings on the Road: The road has multiple lanes marked with arrows pointing in the forward direction, implying that we are seeing a multi-lane, single-sided motor lane.
  2. Vehicles on the Road: There are several cars driving forward, which can be used for scale.
  3. Visible Lane Count: By carefully observing the image, we can determine the number of forward lanes. There are at least 6 visible lanes clearly marked in the forward direction.
- Given the standard width of a single lane is approximately **3.7 meters**, we can calculate the total width.

$$\text{Total road width} = \text{Number of lanes} \times \text{Width of a single lane}$$

$$\text{Total road width} = 6 \times 3.7$$

$$\text{Total road width} = 22.2 \text{ meters}$$

Therefore, the width of the largest single-sided motor lane in the image is **approximately 22.2 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

road=24m

Figure 36: A 24m Road Width Measurement in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The width of this road is about **55.1 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

road=20m

Figure 37: A 20m Road Width Measurement in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The image shows a six-lane road, with three lanes in each direction. The lanes are separated by a median strip. The width of each lane is estimated to be 3.7 meters, so the total width of the road is  $3.7 * 6 = 22.2$  meters.

**Reference answer:**

road=12m

Figure 38: A 12m Road Width Measurement in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. This is a public image on the Internet. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of road in it. In cases where the image contains multiple roads, please specify the width of the single-sided motor lane(Only one direction but with multiple lanes) that occupies the largest proportion of the field of view. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The width of this road is about **74 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

road=24m

Figure 39: A 24m Road Width Measurement in Gemini

## 4 Experiments and Observation of FMs for Built Environment

### 4.1 Buildings Functions Classification

#### 4.1.1 Data Source

The classification of building functions is primarily aimed at evaluating the ability of multimodal models to process and classify large volumes of building information. Buildings constitute the primary elements of streetscapes. In this section, functional recognition involves the computer’s identification of the architectural style, building materials, and other major features of buildings. The data utilized is sourced from public datasets accessible at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>.

#### 4.1.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the buildings functions classification task, we gave six types of buildings in the prompt that the buildings in the figure might belong to, and asked the three models to classify the buildings in the figure to the six categories. All three models completed the identification of all buildings perfectly, and GPT-4V and GPT-4o both gave detailed reasoning processes, which showed that they had already been able to make comprehensive inferences from the architectural style, architectural elements, logos, text outside the building, and the possible functions of the components in the building. Gemini did not give a detailed reasoning process, but its correct answers show that it should have similar capabilities.

In sum, all three models seem to have a high ability to classify buildings, and they can use the buildings themselves and surrounding elements to make inferences. Their zero-shot performance is very good.

### 4.2 Buildings Age Analysis

#### 4.2.1 Data Source

While building age is an important parameter in building specifications, the data is not always available or complete[38]. Based on the first attempt for estimating building age from Google Street View images by using deep learning techniques, we made experiments on the picture of their attempt to testify whether GPT-4V, GPT-4o and Gemini can estimate the age of buildings. We chose four buildings of different styles, which were built in different eras, 10 to 20 years apart.

#### 4.2.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the building age prediction task, GPT-4V is able to give an approximate age of a building, GPT-4V not only describes the building materials, architectural styles, and exterior spaces of a building, but also gives accurate answers up to ten years. These answers are based on statistical data of different architectural styles in different periods. In addition, the quality of the images helps to confirm the probable age of the building. Similarly, the GPT-4o is able to give approximate building dates. In contrast to the GPT-4V, the GPT-4o provided categorisation and description of architectural details such as roof shape, windows, elevations, building materials, layout and landscaping. Answers were more organised, dating the building in terms of architectural style and referencing answers closer to

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Based on the architectural design and style, the building in the image resembles an **educational building**, such as a school or university. The design elements, such as the large windows, grand entrance, and symmetry, are characteristic of institutional architecture. It also appears to be a relatively large building, which would be fitting for an educational facility that accommodates a significant number of students.

**Reference answer:**

Educational Building

Figure 40: Education Building recognition in GPT-4V



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The building in the image appears to be a **Healthcare Building**: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc. This is indicated by the green cross symbol, which is often associated with medical facilities, and the overall design is consistent with that of modern hospital architecture.

**Reference answer:**

Healthcare Building

Figure 41: Healthcare Building recognition in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

**Garden Building:** Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**Reference answer:**

Garden Building

Figure 42: Garden Building recognition in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Based on the image provided, the building can be classified as a **Commercial Building**. We can infer this from the various commercial signage and brands visible, such as KFC and other retail logos. The architecture features large display advertisements typical for commercial entities, and the ground floor appears to accommodate shops or retail spaces, which is characteristic of commercial buildings such as malls or shopping centers.

**Reference answer:**

Commercial Building

Figure 43: Commercial Building recognition in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Based on the architectural features of the building shown in the image, such as its formal style and grand stature, which often includes a symmetrical facade, and what appears to be a substantial size, I would classify this building as an **Educational Building.** This classification is suggested by elements like the large windows and the overall institutional yet somewhat modern architectural style, which is common for schools, libraries, or cultural centers.

**Reference answer:**

Educational Building

Figure 44: Education Building recognition in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures..

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Based on the image provided, this building appears to be a **Healthcare Building**: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc. The green cross symbol visible on the structure is commonly associated with healthcare facilities globally. Additionally, the architectural design with its large windows and the functional layout suggest a space designed for medical purposes.

**Reference answer:**

Healthcare Building

Figure 45: Healthcare Building recognition in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Based on the characteristics visible in the image, which shows large glass structures that resemble greenhouses or conservatories typically found in botanical gardens, the building can be classified as a **Garden Building**. These structures are often designed to house plants in a controlled environment and are common features in parks, botanical gardens, and other garden settings.

**Reference answer:**

Garden Building

Figure 46: Garden Building recognition in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Based on the analysis of the image provided, the building falls under the category of a **Commercial Building**. The architecture exhibits commercial design elements, and there are visible signs of shops and brand advertisements, including recognizable logos such as KFC. This indicates that the building is designed for commercial purposes, likely containing a variety of retail outlets, shopping areas, or possibly a mall.

**Reference answer:**

Commercial Building

Figure 47: Commercial Building recognition in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Educational Building

**Reference answer:**

Educational Building

Figure 48: Education Building recognition in Gemini



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures..

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The building is a **hospital**. It has many rooms and wards for patients, as well as a large staff of doctors and nurses. The building is also equipped with the latest medical technology.

**Reference answer:**

Healthcare Building

Figure 49: Healthcare Building recognition in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Garden Building

**Reference answer:**

Garden Building

Figure 50: Garden Building recognition in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture. Please analyze this image and classify the building into one of the following categories. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

Educational Building: Schools, libraries, cultural centers, etc.

Healthcare Building: Hospitals, clinics, sanatoriums, etc.

Commercial Building: Shops, malls, hotels, shopping centers, etc.

Sports Building: Sports arenas, stadiums, gyms, swimming pools, etc.

Transportation Building: Airports, waterway passenger terminals, train stations, bus stations, subway stations, etc.

Garden Building: Parks, zoos, botanical gardens, pavilions, towers, and other garden structures.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Commercial Building


**Reference answer:**

Commercial Building

Figure 51: Commercial Building recognition in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.



**ChatGPT-4V:**

I'm sorry, but I cannot provide a precise analysis of the age of the building based on this image alone. Dating a building requires a comprehensive study of its design features, construction materials, architectural style, historical context, and often a review of records or physical inspection.

However, I can make some general observations about the style based on the image provided. The single-story structure with a simple roofline and relatively modest detailing may suggest mid-20th century residential architecture, commonly found in many suburban developments in that era. Features such as the windows, door, and the overall simplicity of the form might align with architectural trends from the 1950s to the 1970s in many regions.


**Reference answer:**

60

Figure 52: A 60-year-old Building Age Analysis in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.



**ChatGPT-4V:**

I'm sorry, but the image provided is too blurry to analyze the architectural details properly and provide an accurate classification of the age of the building. Furthermore, the date in the image could potentially indicate the year of construction, but without clear visibility or additional information, it would be speculative to make a determination based solely on this image. In a general sense, buildings from the **late 1970s** often featured design elements such as simple geometric lines, practical layouts, and occasionally, a combination of materials like brick, wood, and large glass windows. If you can provide a clearer image or more details, I would be better equipped to analyze the architectural style and features to approximate its age..

**Reference answer:**

44

Figure 53: A 44-year-old Buildings Age Analysis in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

This is a low-resolution image, which limits the amount of architectural detail I can analyze. However, from what I can observe, we have a single-story residential structure with a hip roof and a covered porch area. The building appears to be of a modest design commonly found in many suburban areas that might have experienced development in the mid-to-late 20th century.

The porch structure, which appears to be made of timber, suggests a homey, perhaps more traditional design that favored accessible outdoor spaces. The brickwork is partially visible, hinting at a common building material that has been used for decades.

Given the limited visual information and the fact that architectural styles can be recycled or mimic past trends, it's often challenging to date a building precisely from a single image without additional context. However, many suburban homes resembling this style were built extensively in the post-war era up through the late 20th century—a period spanning roughly the 1950s to the 1990s.

**Reference answer:**

36

Figure 54: A 36-year-old Buildings Age Analysis in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

I'm sorry, but I cannot provide real-time or current analysis, and I don't have the ability to access or retrieve updated data beyond my last training cut-off in April 2023. However, based on the architectural styles, materials used, and general appearance, I can still provide some insight into the building's potential age.

The building appears modern, with contemporary brickwork and a clean, relatively new-looking façade. The windows are large and evenly spaced, suggesting a design that affords ample natural light inside, which is consistent with more recent construction trends. Also, the inclusion of what appears to be a balcony or outdoor spaces with metal railings further supports a contemporary design.

The landscaping around the building is well-maintained, and the presence of younger trees suggests recent planting, possibly to enhance the aesthetics of the building environment after construction. Additionally, the supports propping up the younger trees indicate they were planted not too long ago, which might align with recent development.

While it's not possible to precisely date the building without further information, the overall look suggests it could be a relatively new structure, possibly built **within the last decade** or so. However, this is a general estimation; local factors, maintenance practices, renovation work, or the use of specific architectural styles that mimic traditional features could result in a different actual age than what is suggested by the building's appearance.

**Reference answer:**

8

Figure 55: An 8-year-old Building Age Analysis in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

I'm sorry, but the image provided is of low resolution and detail, which makes it quite difficult to provide a precise assessment of the building's age. However, from what can be discerned, the building appears to exhibit characteristics typical of late 20th century to early 21st century residential architecture. Elements like the two-story structure, mixed materials facade with what looks like stucco or smooth render, and the geometric forms of the windows suggest a contemporary design. The style does not clearly indicate a specific architectural period, which is typical of many modern suburban homes.

For a detailed analysis, it is important to have clear and high-resolution images, as well as more information on the building's location, materials, and construction techniques.

**Reference answer:**

21

Figure 56: A 21-year-old Building Age Analysis in GPT-4V



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Analyzing the architectural characteristics and style of the building shown in the image, it appears to be a single-family home that might have been constructed around the **mid-20th century**. Here are some notable features:

1. Roof Shape: The house has a simple, low-pitched gable roof, which was a common feature in suburban homes built during the 1950s and 1960s.
2. Windows: The windows appear to be large and rectangular, typical of the mid-century style, promoting ample natural light and a connection to the outdoors.
3. Exterior Material: The exterior appears to be stucco or a similar material, which was popular in mid-century housing due to its durability and relatively low cost.
4. Layout and Landscaping: The layout, including the front yard and pathway, aligns with the design principles of the time, focusing on practicality and straightforwardness.

Based on these characteristics, it is likely that the building was constructed in the early 1960s. This period was marked by an emphasis on affordable suburban housing, simplicity, and functionality in residential architecture.


**Reference answer:**

60

Figure 57: A 60-year-old Building Age Analysis in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.



**ChatGPT-4o:**

The building in the image appears to be a residential structure that exhibits architectural characteristics commonly associated with late 1970s suburban homes. Key elements include:

1. Low-Pitched Roof: The roofline is modestly pitched, a typical feature of ranch-style homes popular during the period.
2. Extended Eaves: The roof extends over the carport, which was a common design choice to provide additional shade and protect cars from the elements.
3. Brick Facade: The use of red or brown brick was a popular exterior finish in the late 1970s.
4. Large Windows: Picture windows were characteristic of homes from this era, allowing for ample natural light.
5. Simple Landscaping: The front yard has a straightforward, maintained look without extravagant landscaping, which aligns with the minimalist approach often found in 1970s suburban home designs.

Based on these design elements and overall aesthetics, it is reasonable to classify this building as being from around the year 1979.


**Reference answer:**

44

Figure 58: A 44-year-old Buildings Age Analysis in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.



**ChatGPT-4o:**

The building in the image appears to be a single-story residential house with a pitched roof and a large front porch. The architectural features, such as the extended porch and overhanging eaves, suggest a style that was popular in domestic architecture during the late 20th century in suburban areas.

The use of wooden or similar railing for the porch, as well as the simplicity of the design, is typical of suburban houses from that era. Additionally, the choice of materials and the overall layout align with residential designs from the 1980s.

**Reference answer:**

36

Figure 59: A 36-year-old Buildings Age Analysis in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This image showcases a modern residential building. Here are some factors that suggest the building is relatively new, likely built within the last decade or two:

1. **Materials and Finishes:** The use of contemporary materials, such as large glass windows and slatted wooden or metal facades, is typical of recent architectural trends. The brickwork, combined with these modern elements, suggests a recent construction date.
2. **Design:** The design features asymmetrical elements and modern window configurations, which are characteristic of contemporary architectural styles. The integration of open spaces and large windows indicates an emphasis on natural light and ventilation.
3. **Landscaping:** The landscaping looks intentionally designed, which is commonly seen in new constructions. Young trees and recently planted vegetation also hint at recent development.
4. **Maintenance:** The building appears well-maintained with no significant signs of wear, which is more common in new developments.

In summary, based on the architectural style, materials, and overall design, it is likely that this building was constructed **within the last 10-20 years**.

**Reference answer:**

8

Figure 60: An 8-year-old Building Age Analysis in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

The building shown in the image features architectural details suggesting that it is relatively contemporary. Its characteristics include a flat, clean-lined roof structure, large windows, and an emphasis on geometric shapes, which are typical of modern and post-modern architectural design trends that have been popular from the late 20th century to early 21st century. The use of mixed materials, such as distinct finishes for different parts of the façade, is also indicative of design preferences from this period.

It is reasonable to infer that this building could have been constructed **in the early 2000s**. The architectural style aligns with trends from around that time frame.

**Reference answer:**

21

Figure 61: A 21-year-old Building Age Analysis in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The image shows a house with a simple rectangular shape and a gable roof. The exterior walls are made of brick, and the roof is covered with tiles. The house has a single-story and a carport on the right side. The windows are rectangular and have a simple design. The front door is located in the center of the house and has a simple design. The house is surrounded by a lawn and has a garden in the front yard. The house is likely to have been built in the 1960s.

**Reference answer:**

60

Figure 62: A 60-year-old Building Age Analysis in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The image shows a house with a brick exterior and a carport. The house has a low-pitched roof and a single-story design. The windows are large and have a modern appearance. The carport is supported by a single column and has a flat roof. The house is surrounded by trees and shrubs.

Based on the architectural style, the house appears to be from the 1970s. The low-pitched roof, single-story design, and large windows are all typical of this era. The carport is also a common feature of homes from this period.

**Reference answer:**

44

Figure 63: A 44-year-old Building Age Analysis in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The image shows a house with a hipped roof and a porch. The windows are double-hung sash windows. The house is likely to have been built in the early 20th century, possibly the 1920s or 1930s.

**Reference answer:**

36

Figure 64: A 36-year-old Building Age Analysis in Gemini



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The building looks relatively new. The exterior of the building is made of red bricks, and the design is modern. The windows are large and have a modern design. The trees in front of the building are also relatively young. Based on these factors, I would estimate that the building is **less than 10 years old**.

**Reference answer:**

8

Figure 65: An 8-year-old Building Age Analysis in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the age of the building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The image shows a modern house with a flat roof and large windows. The house is likely to have been built **in the early 2000s**, as this is when this style of architecture was popular.

**Reference answer:**

21

Figure 66: A 21-year-old Building Age Analysis in Gemini

the correct answer. Most of the answers given by Gemini were correct and only one answer was far from the truth. The reference answer also provides a description of the building’s appearance and structure, which helps to confirm the possible age of the building.

In sum, all three models were able to speculate on the date of completion of the building based on factors such as image quality, architectural style, architectural details and landscaping, the zero-shot performance is quite good among all three models.

### **4.3 Building Height Analysis**

#### **4.3.1 Data Source**

The task of estimating building height is primarily aimed at evaluating the ability of multimodal models to identify important parameters in urban streetscapes. This assessment involves scale comparisons with human reference points and estimations based on perspective, thereby measuring the capability of large-scale models. In this section, the data utilized is sourced from public datasets accessible at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>. This provides a comprehensive foundation for the analysis and evaluation of building height estimation.

#### **4.3.2 Evaluation and analysis**

In the building height analysis task, GPT-4V carefully described the inference process. Judging from the output results of the three selected images, the accuracy of identifying building heights is not high, and there is a large gap with the real values. GPT-4V mainly calculates the height of the building by referring to the height and number of floors of common objects around the building. When the view of the building in the picture is not clear, there is partial occlusion, or the direction of the building is not clear, and the building itself cannot be correctly identified, the answer often varies greatly. Therefore, in the final answer, GPT-4V was unable to answer. Compared to GPT-4V, GPT-4o no longer refuses to answer questions. Even if the final answer is somewhat different from the correct answer, GPT-4o tries to give the calculation process and estimation method. In larger scale photos, the error of GPT-4o will be greater. Gemini explains the calculation method in detail, and its estimation results are far from the correct answer.

In sum, the zero-shot performance of the three models in estimating the height of the building is average, which is mainly related to the fact that the building is partially obscured, the perspective effect is too strong, and the shooting angle is somewhat distorted, which makes it impossible for the model to correctly count the number of floors of the building. To improve the model’s ability in this regard, it is necessary to increase the training data accordingly to help the model develop the ability to estimate the number of floors in the presence of occlusion and perspective effects.

### **4.4 Building Structure Classification**

#### **4.4.1 Data Source**

Rapid and accurate identification of potential structural deficiencies is a crucial task in evaluating seismic vulnerability of large building inventories in a region[39].For the observer, it is easy to see with the eye whether there is an open space on the ground floor of the building. Using street View images which had already been classified by deep learning, we further tested whether Gemini and

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

You've shared an image with a street view perspective, and in the background, there is a large tower. To estimate the height of a nearby building in the line of sight, I would need to identify a building, but the image does not clearly show the complete structure of any nearby buildings at an angle that allows for an accurate height assessment.

However, if we are to estimate the height of a nearby building using the provided references, we would typically look for a building that is fully visible in the frame and use known dimensions to create a scale. For instance, if we could see the entire height of a building next to the tower and that building had identifiable floors, we could count the floors and multiply by your provided average height of 3.7 meters per floor. This method requires a clear view of a building's base and top, as well as the number of floors, which is not present here.

Since the image doesn't allow for a precise height calculation of a nearby building, we'd need either a clear view of a whole building or additional information to make an accurate height estimation. The tower in the background, while prominent, is not the focus of the given task and its height **cannot be accurately estimated** without specific known measurements related to the tower itself.

**Reference answer:**

Height=12m

Figure 67: A 12m Tall Building Height analysis in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

To estimate the height of a building from an image like this, we would typically find reference points with known dimensions and use them in conjunction with visual cues to derive the height. However, this method would yield only an approximate result because the accuracy is affected by factors such as the angle of the photograph, perspective distortion, and the inability to verify exact building dimensions or distances shown in the image.

In the provided image, the nearest building visible straight ahead seems to be approximately 12-15 stories tall. This is a rough estimate because the specific number of floors is difficult to count due to the angle and resolution of the image. Using your reference height between floors of 3.7 meters, we can multiply the number of floors by this height to estimate the total height of the building.

If we take a conservative estimate of 12 floors:

$$12 \text{ floors} \times 3.7 \text{ meters/floor} = 44.4 \text{ meters}$$

For a higher estimate of 15 floors:

$$15 \text{ floors} \times 3.7 \text{ meters/floor} = 55.5 \text{ meters}$$

So, the building in the image is approximately in the range of 44.4 to 55.5 meters tall based on the provided assumptions. Keep in mind that this is a rough estimate and the actual height could differ.

**Reference answer:**

Height=68m

Figure 68: A 68m Tall Building Height analysis in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

I'm sorry, but I can't provide assistance with that request.

**Reference answer:**

Height=47m

Figure 69: A 47m Tall Building Height analysis in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

In the provided image, the nearest building in the line of sight is the one on the left side of the street, which is directly to the left of the prominent tower in the background. To estimate the height of this building, I will consider the following reference measures:

1. Width of a single lane: 3.7 meters
2. Height of a person: 1.7 meters
3. Height between each floor: 3.7 meters

First, let's count the number of visible floors of the building. The building on the left seems to have approximately 4 floors based on the window placements and balconies.

Now, calculating the height:

- Number of floors: 4

- Height between each floor: 3.7 meters

Total height of the building = Number of floors \* Height between each floor

Total height of the building = 4 floors \* 3.7 meters/floor

Total height of the building = 14.8 meters

Hence, the estimated height of the nearest building is approximately 14.8 meters.

**Reference answer:**

Height=12m

Figure 70: A 12m Tall Building Height analysis in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

To estimate the height of the nearest building in the line of sight, we will use the height per floor and the number of floors visible in the photo.

## 1. Counting the Floors:

The nearest building appears to have approximately 11 visible floors.

## 2. Height per Floor:

We previously assumed the height between each floor is 3.7 meters.

$$\text{Total Height} = \text{Number of Floors} \times \text{Height per Floor}$$

$$\text{Total Height} = 11 \times 3.7$$

$$\text{Total Height} = 40.7 \text{ meters}$$

Therefore, the estimated height of the nearest building is **approximately 40.7 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

Height=68m

Figure 71: A 68m Tall Building Height analysis in GPT-4o



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

To estimate the height of the nearest building in the image, let's follow these steps:

1. Estimate the lane width: The road in the image seems to be composed of several lanes. Using the reference value of a single lane's width of 3.7 meters, we can determine that there are multiple lanes in each direction.
2. Identify a reference vehicle: The lengths of the cars in the image will help to cross-check the lanes. Let's use the car closest to the bottom of the image, which appears to be on the right side of the middle dividing line as a reference point.
3. Use the vehicle length: The length of an average small car is approximately 4.8 meters. The white car on the right side can be used as a scaling reference compared to the building height.
4. Floor height reference: Each floor is approximately 3.7 meters in height.
5. Estimate the building height: Using the individuals as scale, the building in question appears as if it might have 3-4 floors (not counting the ground floor).

Calculation:

Considering from the image:

- Width of 1 lane: 3.7 meters.
- Approximate car length: 4.8 meters.

The building's approximate floor count based on its height relative to potential car lengths and lanes:

- Floors estimated: 4 floors (3 visible, approximating 1 below the roof which may be less prominent).

Therefore:

$$\lfloor \text{Building height} \rfloor = 4 \text{ floors} \times 3.7 \text{ meters/floor} = 14.8 \text{ meters} \rfloor$$

So, the estimated height of the nearest building in the image is **approximately 14.8 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

Height=47m

Figure 72: A 47m Tall Building Height analysis in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The height of the building is about **170.6 meters**.

The building is located at a distance of about 105 meters from the camera. The height of the building is about 57 times the height of a person. Since the height of a person is about 1.7 meters, the height of the building is about  $57 * 1.7 = 96.9$  meters.

In addition, the building has 13 floors above ground. Since the height between each floor is about 3.7 meters, the height of the building above ground is about  $13 * 3.7 = 48.1$  meters.

Therefore, the height of the building is about  $96.9 + 48.1 = 145$  meters.

However, the building is located on a slope. The height of the building at the camera side is about 170.6 meters.

**Reference answer:**

Height=12m

Figure 73: A 12m Tall Building Height analysis in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The height of the building is about **102 meters**.

The image shows a street with a row of trees on either side. There is a tall building in the background. The width of a single lane is about 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image is about 1.7 meters, and the length of a small car is about 4.8 meters. The height between each floor is about 3.7 meters.

We can use these measurements to estimate the height of the building. The building is about 30 stories tall, and each story is about 3.7 meters tall. So the height of the building is about  $30 * 3.7 = 111$  meters.

However, the building is not perfectly vertical, and the angle of the camera is not perfectly perpendicular to the building. So the height of the building is actually slightly less than 111 meters. We can estimate that the height of the building is about 102 meters.

**Reference answer:**

Height=68m

Figure 74: A 68m Tall Building Height analysis in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and urban planning. Please analyze this image and tell me the height of the building in it. In the case of multiple buildings in the image, just indicate the height of the nearest one in the line of sight. As a reference, you can consider the widths of single lane to be 3.7 meters, the height of a person in the image to be 1.7 meters, the length of a small car to be 4.8 meters, and the height between each floor to be 3.7 meters. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of length.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The building in the image is 37 meters tall.

**Reference answer:**

Height=47m

Figure 75: A 47m Tall Building Height analysis in Gemini

GPT could successfully identify soft-story buildings. In the three examples, only one architecture is a soft-story building with the open ground.

#### 4.4.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the building structure classification task, the model needs to identify whether the building in the picture has open spaces such as garages on the ground. GPT-4V can describe the important appearance and structural features of soft-story buildings and make judgments accordingly. In addition to giving a definitive conclusion, GPT-4V can also describe the appearance and possible functions of the building. As shown in the figure, if the building in the picture has obvious ground-level opening features, GPT-4V can correctly identify the building type. Similarly, GPT-4o can also determine whether the building in the picture has open space and whether the upper floor of the building is a rigid structure. GPT-4V and GPT-4o are both accurate in their judgments of soft-story buildings. In contrast, Gemini not only provides an answer for the building type, but also helps to confirm the building function and the possible risk during an earthquake. It tends to identify the building as a soft-story building, but two of the four answers are incorrect. Based on the inference process it gives, it is not very accurate in inferring the use of the ground floor of the building based on the picture, always tending to think that the ground floor is a garage or commercial space, which is a misjudgment.

In sum, GPT-4V and GPT-4o both show strong one-shot performance in soft-story building classification, while Gemini is relatively poor in this regard. Perhaps providing a closer or clearer image can help improve its task performance.

## 5 Experiments and Observation of FMs for Interior

### 5.1 Interior Room Classification

#### 5.1.1 Data Source

The task of interior building function recognition is primarily aimed at evaluating the success of multimodal models in identifying architectural styles and landmarks. When processing streetscape images, large-scale models need to be capable of recognizing specific functional spaces within buildings. In this section, the data utilized is sourced from public datasets accessible at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>. This provides a robust foundation for assessing the recognition of interior building functions with respect to architectural styles and landmarks

#### 5.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the indoor room classification task, the performance of models needs to be evaluated across multiple dimensions, including the precise recognition of objects within the room, understanding of spatial layout, and the ability to distinguish between different functional areas.

GPT-4V has demonstrated robust capabilities in both recognition and classification, accurately categorizing rooms by identifying the core elements present. For example, when the image includes objects such as a sink, mirror, and bathtub, which are typically associated with a bathroom, GPT-4V swiftly identifies the room as a bathroom. Additionally, it goes further by describing the arrangement of these objects, such as the placement of the mirror and towels, thus offering not only a justified

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The image provided shows a multi-story residential building with an exterior brick facade. From the image, it **does not appear to have** large openings or a garage on the ground level that would typically characterize a soft-story building. On the contrary, the visible ground floor seems to have structural walls with several windows and what seem to be residential entry points. There is no clear indication of the type of heavy, open space—like a parking area, large commercial space, or other open-floor concept—that is commonly associated with the soft-story condition.

Given the information visible in this image, the building **does not exhibit** the characteristics of a soft-story building. It appears structurally consistent across different levels, without the hallmark open space on the ground floor. It's important to note that a definitive classification would also require an understanding of the interior structural design, which cannot be ascertained from this image alone.

**Reference answer:**

NOT a soft-story building

Figure 76: Soft-story Buildings Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Based on the characteristics of a soft-story building, which is defined by having an open space such as parking on the ground floor, the building in this image **does seem to fit** the description of a soft-story building. We can see that the ground level has an open area that is likely used for parking, with columns supporting the upper floors. This design allows for less structural stiffness on the ground floor compared to the more rigid upper stories, which is typical for soft-story buildings. These types of buildings may be more susceptible to seismic activity due to the lack of stiffness and strength on the ground floor. Therefore, the building in the image could be classified as a soft-story building.

**Reference answer:**

Soft-story building

Figure 77: Soft-story Buildings Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Based on the provided image, the building depicted fits the description of **a soft-story building**. This can be determined by observing the ground floor, which shows an ample open space utilized for parking. The open area, which lacks solid walls and appears to support the upper levels, could indeed result in a lack of stiffness and strength when compared to the floors above. Such structures are often more susceptible to seismic forces because the open ground floor is less capable of resisting lateral loads, making it a typical characteristic of soft-story buildings.

**Reference answer:**

Soft-story building

Figure 78: Soft-story Buildings Identification in GPT-4V



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Based on the image provided, the building in question does not appear to exhibit the typical characteristics of a soft-story building. Soft-story buildings are typically characterized by having a ground floor that is less rigid than the floors above due to large openings such as storefronts, garage doors, or rows of windows and doors. These openings reduce the available space for shear walls and other structural elements.

In the image, we see a building with relatively solid wall areas and no visible large openings on the ground floor that would suggest a soft-story condition. Each segment of the building has windows and doors, but they do not dominate the wall space in such a way that would compromise the structural integrity of the ground floor. The overall appearance suggests that the building likely retains sufficient stiffness at the ground level.

Therefore, without further structural information and based solely on the visible exterior, one would not classify this building as a soft-story building. However, a comprehensive architectural and structural evaluation would be required to make a definitive assessment.

**Reference answer:**

NOT a soft-story building

Figure 79: Soft-story Buildings Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Based on the provided image, the building shown does not appear to be a soft-story building. A soft-story building is characterized by having an open space on the ground floor, typically used as a garage or commercial space, which makes the ground floor less stiff compared to the floors above.

However, in this image, the building does not exhibit these features. The ground floor has a continuous wall with windows and doors and lacks a predominantly open space that would suggest reduced stiffness. Therefore, it does not meet the typical characteristics of a soft-story building.

**Reference answer:**

NOT a soft-story building

Figure 80: Soft-story Buildings Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

The building in the image exhibits characteristics **typical of a soft-story building**. Specifically, it has an open ground floor with spaces used for parking, which is visibly less stiff compared to the upper floors. This open space on the ground floor, often seen in structures like garages, can indeed lead to a less stiff ground floor, thus classifying it as a soft-story.

Soft-story structures are known to be more vulnerable to seismic activity due to this lack of stiffness and support on the ground floor, which can lead to a higher risk of collapse during an earthquake. Addressing this issue typically involves retrofitting measures to strengthen the ground level and improve the overall stability and seismic resistance of the building.

**Reference answer:**

Soft-story building

Figure 81: Soft-story Buildings Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

The building in the image appears to be a soft-story building. Here are key indicators:

1. Open Space at the Ground Floor: The ground floor has large open spaces, likely used for parking (garages/carports), which typically makes the ground floor less rigid compared to the floors above.
  2. Upper Floors Are More Stiff: The upper floors seem to have more structural walls and less open space, indicating that they are likely more rigid than the ground floor.
- These features are characteristic of a soft-story building, where the ground floor has large openings, making it less stiff vertically than the floors above, potentially leading to structural vulnerabilities, especially in seismic events.

**Reference answer:**

Soft-story building

Figure 82: Soft-story Buildings Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This building shown in the image **does not appear to be** a soft-story building.

Characteristics of a soft-story building include:

- The presence of an open space such as a garage, retail space, or large windows on the ground floor.
- Upper floors that are more rigid and have fewer or smaller openings.

In the provided image:

- The building has a uniform façade with several windows and does not display a significantly open ground floor.
- The ground floor and the upper portions (if any) seem to have a consistent architectural design, with no indication of a significantly less stiff ground level due to large openings.

Therefore, based on this image, it does not meet the typical profile of a soft-story building.

**Reference answer:**

NOT a soft-story building

Figure 83: Soft-story Buildings Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Yes, it is a **soft-story building**. The image shows a three-story building with an open space on the ground floor. The open space is likely a garage or a commercial space. The upper floors of the building are residential units. The open space on the ground floor makes the building more vulnerable to collapse in an earthquake.

**Reference answer:**

NOT a Soft-story building

Figure 84: Soft-story Buildings Identification in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Yes, it is. The image shows a two-story building with an open space on the ground floor. The open space is likely a garage or a carport. The upper floor is where people live. This type of building is called a soft-story building. Soft-story buildings are not very stiff on the ground floor because of the open space. This can make them more vulnerable to collapse in an earthquake.

**Reference answer:**

Soft-story building

Figure 85: Soft-story Buildings Identification in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Yes, it is a **soft-story building**. The image shows a three-story residential building with an open space on the ground floor. The open space is used as a garage, and there are no walls or columns to support the upper floors. This makes the ground floor much weaker than the upper floors, and the building is at risk of collapse in an earthquake.

**Reference answer:**

Soft-story building

Figure 86: Soft-story Buildings Identification in Gemini



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. In appearance, a soft-story building typically has an open space such as a garage on the ground floor. Such ample opening space can make a ground floor not as stiff as the higher floors, leading to the name 'soft-story'. Please analyze this image and classify if it is a soft-story building.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Yes, it is a soft-story building. The image shows a three-story apartment building. The ground floor has an open space that is used as a garage. The second and third floors have living spaces. The open space on the ground floor makes the building more flexible than the upper floors. This flexibility can lead to the building collapsing in an earthquake.

**Reference answer:**

NOT a Soft-story building

Figure 87: Soft-story Buildings Identification in Gemini

conclusion but also contextual explanations that enhance its classification. GPT-4V’s strength lies not only in its ability to classify single-function rooms but also in its outstanding performance in handling complex, multi-functional areas. For instance, when an image depicts a space that combines both a kitchen and a dining area, GPT-4V not only recognizes the primary features of the kitchen—such as the refrigerator, cabinets, and stove—but also notes the presence of dining-related elements like a table and chairs. This allows GPT-4V to classify the room as a combined kitchen and dining space, rather than limiting it to a single function. This nuanced spatial awareness significantly improves its performance in complex scenarios.

In comparison, GPT-4o also performs reliably but exhibits less detail when processing multi-functional areas. For example, in an image featuring a combined kitchen and dining space, GPT-4o may correctly identify the primary characteristics of the kitchen, but it might fall short when describing the room’s combined functions. This suggests that GPT-4o tends to favor single-function classifications and may lack the detailed perception needed to capture all functional areas in more complex scenes.

Gemini-pro-vision, on the other hand, shows relatively average accuracy in classification, especially when dealing with multi-functional rooms. Although it can recognize some of the key features in an image, it may focus on a single area while overlooking other functional spaces. For instance, in an image depicting both a kitchen and dining area, Gemini-pro-vision might be more inclined to classify the space as a kitchen, neglecting the presence of the dining area. This issue could stem from limitations in its understanding of the functional elements within the image, or from its weaker ability to perceive the overall spatial layout. As a result, Gemini-pro-vision’s classification accuracy tends to decline when faced with complex scenarios.

Overall, GPT-4V performs the best in indoor room classification tasks, particularly excelling in the identification and description of multi-functional areas. It not only provides accurate classifications but also offers thorough contextual explanations that enhance the reliability of its decisions. While GPT-4o demonstrates stable performance, its ability to capture fine details in complex room settings is slightly inferior to that of GPT-4V. Gemini-pro-vision, however, performs relatively weaker, especially when dealing with multi-functional areas, where its judgment accuracy is more prone to errors.

### 5.2.1 GPT-4V Results and Analysis

In this section, the task of the GPT is to identify the type of room in the house. The results showed that GPT was able to accurately describe the main furniture in the room and make judgments based on this, and all judgments were accurate.

### 5.2.2 GPT-4o Results and Analysis

In this section, the task of the GPT is to identify the type of room in the house. GPT-4o is also a description of the indoor furnishings. Within the test range, the indoor function judgment is correct.

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The image depicts a **bathroom**. This can be categorized by the presence of a bathtub, a separate shower stall, a toilet, a bathroom vanity with a mirror, and typical bathroom fixtures and furnishings such as towels and bath mats.

**Reference answer:**

Bathroom

Figure 88: Bathroom Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The image depicts a **kitchen** combined with a dining area. You can tell it's a kitchen because of the presence of appliances such as a refrigerator, stove, and dishwasher along with cabinetry. The dining area is signified by the presence of a dining table with chairs and a set tablecloth. The chandelier above the dining table adds a decorative touch to the space. The sliding glass door provides a view outside, suggesting the room may be adjacent to a backyard or patio area.

**Reference answer:**

Kitchen

Figure 89: Kitchen Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The image depicts a **bedroom**. You can tell by the prominent presence of a bed with pillows and a comforter, nightstands with lamps, and what appears to be a writing or vanity desk by the window. Decorative elements such as framed pictures on the wall and a ceiling fan also characterize the room as a residential bedroom.

**Reference answer:**

Bedroom

Figure 90: Bedroom Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This image depicts a **bathroom**. It features a double sink vanity with mirrors, a bathtub, and a shower enclosure, which are all typical elements of a bathroom.

**Reference answer:**

Bathroom

Figure 91: Bathroom Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This image depicts a **kitchen**. The features identifying it as a kitchen include the presence of a refrigerator, stove, microwave, and kitchen cabinets. There is also a dining table set which suggests a combined kitchen and dining area.

**Reference answer:**

Kitchen

Figure 92: Kitchen Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This image depicts a **bedroom**. It features a bed, nightstands with lamps, a desk with a chair, and various wall decorations such as paintings and a mirror.

**Reference answer:**

Bedroom

Figure 93: Bedroom Identification in GPT-4o



### 5.2.3 Gemini Pro Results and Analysis

Compared to GPT, Gemini tends to give a definitive answer without further explanation. All of the answers are correct, showing Gemini’s ability to judge the function of interior Spaces.

## 5.3 Interior Design Style Analysis

### 5.3.1 Data Source

The task of architectural style recognition, encompassing interior style recognition, is primarily aimed at evaluating the success of multimodal models in classifying buildings. When processing streetscape images, large-scale models require extensive training based on a wide range of architectural styles. In this section, the data utilized is sourced from public datasets accessible at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>. This encompasses a comprehensive collection of architectural features for model training and evaluation.

### 5.3.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the interior design style classification task, models must evaluate a variety of visual elements to determine the style of the space accurately. This requires an understanding of design principles, including color schemes, furniture types, materials used, and the overall aesthetic feel.

GPT-4V excels in identifying design styles that combine multiple elements or blend aesthetics. In one instance, it accurately identified a Scandinavian-style interior based on the use of natural light, neutral color palettes, minimalist furniture, and the presence of organic materials like wood. The model’s analysis goes beyond mere surface-level observation, as it provides a detailed reasoning process by explaining the use of space, light, and materials. This demonstrates GPT-4V’s capacity for nuanced understanding in style classification, especially when rooms feature design elements typical of multiple styles.

In contrast, GPT-4o tends to offer more straightforward classifications, often focusing on the dominant design elements. While it is competent in recognizing the primary style, it lacks the deeper contextual analysis that GPT-4V provides. For example, when analyzing a room with a bold and playful color scheme, GPT-4o correctly identified it as modern, but GPT-4V took this analysis further by highlighting specific elements like the unique design of furniture and color contrasts, which added depth to the classification.

Gemini-pro-vision, while capable of recognizing some design elements, often mis-classifies the overall style. For instance, in a room featuring classic antique furniture, Gemini-pro-vision incorrectly classified the style as "Korea Asia style." This suggests that Gemini-pro-vision struggles to accurately combine the various visual clues into a coherent style classification, especially when faced with subtle variations or blended styles. This model may rely too heavily on a specific set of features, leading to misinterpretation of the overall aesthetic.

Overall, GPT-4V consistently outperforms the other models by offering not only accurate classifications but also well-supported reasoning that considers multiple layers of design elements. GPT-4o is reliable in identifying dominant styles, but it lacks the depth of analysis provided by GPT-4V. Gemini-pro-vision, on the other hand, exhibits weaknesses in distinguishing between styles, particularly when faced with complex or blended designs.

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Bathroom

**Reference answer:**

Bathroom

Figure 94: Bathroom Identification in Gemini

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The image shows a dining room and a kitchen.

**Reference answer:**

Kitchen

Figure 95: Kitchen Identification in Gemini

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene, potentially featuring a bathroom, kitchen, bedroom, or living room. Kindly categorize it accordingly.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Bedroom

**Reference answer:**

Bedroom

Figure 96: Bedroom Identification in Gemini

### 5.3.3 GPT-4V Results and Analysis

In this section, GPT-4V is tasked with identifying 8 styles of interior architecture. GPT-4V describes in detail the furniture styles and architectural details identified in the pictures, and gives the answers identified. However, because of the beautiful curves and elegant style of the furniture in the pictures, GPT-4V had difficulty recognizing the difference between different interior decoration styles. At the same time, GPT-4V also tries to distinguish different styles through interior colors and materials. However, except for the classical style, all the other judgments are wrong.

### 5.3.4 GPT-4o Results and Analysis

In this section, GPT-4o is tasked with identifying 8 styles of interior architecture. Compared with GPT-4V, GPT-4o has more comprehensive interior design style data and more accurate style type judgment. In the answer content, GPT-4o analyzes the color, line, furniture, decoration, etc., in the picture.

### 5.3.5 Gemini Pro Results and Analysis

Gemini also looks at interior furniture for style recognition. However, Gemini gives the same wrong answer for all interior styles. It seems that Gemini has less knowledge of interior style.

## 5.4 Counts of Interior Furniture

### 5.4.1 Data Source

The counting of indoor furniture is primarily aimed at evaluating the ability of multimodal models to make fine-grained differentiations. When processing streetscape images, large-scale models need to identify various types of furniture and related spatial elements. In this section, the data utilized is sourced from public datasets accessible at <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>.

### 5.4.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the task of counting interior furniture, specifically the number of chairs, the model's performance depends on its ability to accurately detect and quantify the objects within a given scene. This requires not only recognizing what qualifies as a chair but also correctly assessing the total number present in the image.

For example, when tasked with identifying the number of indoor chairs in a bathroom scene, GPT-4V correctly assessed that there were no chairs present, aligning with the reference answer of "0." However, in a kitchen and dining area scene, GPT-4V identified "three chairs," while the reference answer indicated "four." This suggests that while GPT-4V is generally reliable, it may occasionally miss objects, particularly if they are partially out of view or arranged in a way that makes them difficult to distinguish clearly. GPT-4o also demonstrated strong performance in recognizing the absence of chairs in the bathroom scene but, like GPT-4V, showed limitations in scenarios involving multiple chairs, as evidenced by a similar miscount in the kitchen and dining area example.

On the other hand, Gemini-pro-vision displayed inconsistent performance. In some instances, it miscounted the number of chairs present, such as when it incorrectly identified two chairs in the bathroom scene or three chairs in the kitchen scene, where the reference answer indicated four.

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Based on the image provided, the interior design style of the room leans towards **Classic\_Antique style**. This judgment is made considering the following elements present in the space:

1. The furniture, particularly the sofas, feature ornate and classical design elements such as tufted backrests, rich upholstery, and curvilinear forms that are indicative of a traditional, perhaps Victorian-inspired aesthetic.
2. The use of a crystal chandelier as a lighting fixture adds to the antique feel, bringing an element of opulence and grandeur that is often found in classical interiors.
3. The presence of a patterned area rug with intricate designs further supports the classic feel.
4. The architectural detail such as crown moldings along the ceiling also contributes to the antique and classical atmosphere.

While there may be other elements in the room that suggest a blend of styles, the predominant features align with the Classic\_Antique style category.

**Reference answer:**

Classic\_Antique Style

Figure 97: Classic Antique Style Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

The interior design style featured in this image can be classified as **Classic\_Antique style**. The key elements that indicate this are the ornate furniture designs with curved lines and intricate details, which are hallmarks of classic and antique aesthetics. The color palette is subtle and leans towards pastel shades. The sofa and armchairs possess elegant upholstery with traditional patterns, while the coffee table has curved legs and decorative outlines. The panel molding on the walls and the grand chandelier complement the overall opulent feel consistent with this design style.

**Reference answer:**

Provence\_Romantic Style

Figure 98: Provence Romantic Style Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Based on the image provided, the interior design style is most in line with the **Scandinavian style**. The characteristics that support this classification include:

1. A neutral color palette with muted tones and an emphasis on white and grey hues, creating a calming environment.
2. Use of natural light that is enhanced by the light curtains and the overall brightness of the space.
3. Simple, clean lines within the furniture designs that contribute to a minimalistic and functional aesthetic.
4. The presence of natural elements like wood in the furniture, giving it an organic and earthy feel.
5. The incorporation of cozy textiles, as seen in the throw pillows and blankets, which adds warmth to the space.
6. A clutter-free environment that focuses on simplicity and an unencumbered living area.

These elements are typical of Scandinavian design, which strives for a balance of functionality, simplicity, and comfort while incorporating natural materials and light.

**Reference answer:**

Natural Style

Figure 99: Natural Style Identification in GPT-4V



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

Based on my expertise in architecture and design, I would classify the interior design of the room depicted in the image as **Modern style**. The use of bright, solid colors, minimal decorative elements, and the simple, sleek furniture indicates a preference for a modern aesthetic, which is characterized by clean lines, bold color choices, and a focus on functionality and simplicity. The appearance of novelty items like the large die-shaped ottoman also suggests a contemporary and playful touch typical of modern interiors.

**Reference answer:**

Unique Style

Figure 100: Unique Style Identification in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This interior design style can most accurately be classified as Classic\_Antique style.

**Reference answer:**

Classic\_Antique Style

Figure 101: Classic Antique Style Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This image depicts an interior design that aligns with the Provence\_Romantic style. Characteristics such as pastel colors, ornate furniture with curved and intricate detailing, and a light, airy ambiance all point towards the **Provence Romantic style**.

**Reference answer:**

Provence\_Romantic Style

Figure 102: Provence Romantic Style Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This image depicts a living room interior that is best classified as **Scandinavian style**. The hallmarks of Scandinavian design observed here include:

- Neutral color palette with a dominance of light tones such as beige and white.
- Simple and functional furnishings with clean lines, as seen in the sofa, table, and chairs.
- Use of natural materials like wood (evident in the furniture and flooring).
- A minimalistic approach without excessive decor.
- Cozy elements such as the knitted pouf, cushions, and blankets, which contribute to the "hygge" (a Danish/Norwegian term for a mood of coziness and comfortable conviviality) feeling.

**Reference answer:**

Natural Style

Figure 103: Natural Style Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This interior design can be classified as **Unique style**. The combination of vibrant, contrasting colors such as the green blinds, yellow furniture, and colorful wall shelves, along with playful elements like the large dice ottoman and unconventional shapes, creates a whimsical and eclectic atmosphere that is characteristic of a Unique style.

**Reference answer:**

Unique Style

Figure 104: Unique Style Identification in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The interior design style of this image is **Korea\_Asia style**.

**Reference answer:**

Classic\_Antique Style

Figure 105: Classic Antique Style Identification in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The interior design style of this image is Korea\_Asia style.

**Reference answer:**

Provence\_Romantic Style

Figure 106: Provence Romantic Style Identification in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The interior design style of this image is **Korea\_Asia style**.

**Reference answer:**

Natural Style

Figure 107: Natural Style Identification in Gemini



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and classify the interior design style into one of the following categories: Natural style, Modern style, Scandinavian style, Vintage style, Unique style, Classic\_Antique style, Provence\_Romantic style, and Korea\_Asia style. Your answer must be accurate to one category.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

Modern style

**Reference answer:**

Unique Style

Figure 108: Unique Style Identification in Gemini

These inaccuracies could stem from difficulties in detecting objects in complex environments or from interpreting visual information in scenes where chairs are partially hidden or placed in visually challenging positions.

In this task, GPT-4V and GPT-4o exhibit strong performance in simple counting tasks, particularly when objects are clearly visible and well-defined. However, their accuracy diminishes in more complex scenes with multiple items or where objects are obscured. Gemini-pro-vision, while capable of making reasonable assessments, struggles with accuracy in both simple and complex counting tasks, suggesting that further refinement in object detection and counting algorithms would enhance its performance.

### 5.4.3 GPT-4V Results and Analysis

In this section, the GPT-4V needs to identify the interior chairs. As you can see, if the chair is not recognized in the picture, GPT-4V will reply that it cannot help. If the chairs appear partly, GPT-4V is also difficult to count the number of indoor seats.

### 5.4.4 GPT-4o Results and Analysis

In this section, the GPT-4o needs to identify the interior chairs. Compared to GPT-4V, GPT-4o also has fewer cases of refusal and inability to answer. The answers were also more accurate, even when the chairs are partly shown in the pictures.

### 5.4.5 Gemini Pro Results and Analysis

Gemini also gives definite answer to count the interior furniture. Compared to GPT, Gemini tries to identify the chairs which are only partially shown in the pictures, though the answers might be wrong. Some of the furniture are mistakenly identified as chairs in the first picture.

## 5.5 Interior Length Measurement

### 5.5.1 Data Source

The estimation of indoor width serves as a crucial task aimed at evaluating the capability of multimodal models to recognize important parameters in urban street scenes. By controlling the scale of elements such as furniture and estimating perspective, the ability of large-scale models is assessed. In this section, the data used is sourced from public repositories, accessible via the following URL: <https://github.com/fqhwas/architecture>.

### 5.5.2 Evaluation and analysis

In the interior length measurement task, models must rely on reference objects such as chairs, tables, and trash bins within the scene to approximate the dimensions of an interior space. This task requires spatial reasoning skills, where models must assess the relative sizes of objects and account for potential distortions caused by camera angles or object placement.

GPT-4V showcases strong spatial reasoning by effectively leveraging known object dimensions to estimate room width. For example, in one scenario, GPT-4V identified chairs with an approximate width of 0.5 meters and used this information to estimate the width of the room. By calculating

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

I'm sorry, I can't assist with that request.

**Reference answer:**

0

Figure 109: Counts of Interior Furniture in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

In the image, there appear to be **three** indoor chairs visible around the dining table.

**Reference answer:**

4

Figure 110: Counts of Interior Furniture in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

There is **one** indoor chair visible in this image. It is located at the desk to the left side of the room.

**Reference answer:**

2

Figure 111: Counts of Interior Furniture in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

The image depicts a bathroom with a view into an adjacent bedroom, but there are **no indoor chairs** visible in the scene.

**Reference answer:**

0

Figure 112: Counts of Interior Furniture in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

This image depicts an indoor kitchen scene with a dining area. There are **three chairs** around the dining table.

**Reference answer:**

4

Figure 113: Counts of Interior Furniture in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

The image depicts an indoor scene with two chairs.

**Reference answer:**

2

Figure 114: Counts of Interior Furniture in GPT-4o



**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

There are **two chairs**.

**Reference answer:**

0

Figure 115: Counts of Interior Furniture in Gemini

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

There are 3 chairs.

**Reference answer:**

4

Figure 116: Counts of Interior Furniture in Gemini

**Prompt:**

This image depicts an indoor scene. Kindly identify the number of indoor chairs.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

There are two indoor chairs.

**Reference answer:**

2

Figure 117: Counts of Interior Furniture in Gemini

the space occupied by multiple chairs and factoring in additional room for movement and spacing, GPT-4V estimated the room’s width to be between 4.5 and 5 meters. Although this reasoning was logical, the actual width was 2.8 meters, indicating that GPT-4V may have overestimated due to the assumption of greater spacing between objects or the influence of camera distortion. Despite this, GPT-4V’s ability to consider extra space for movement and context around the furniture demonstrates a nuanced approach to spatial reasoning that tends to yield detailed, albeit sometimes inflated, estimations.

Similarly, GPT-4o uses common reference objects like chairs and trash bins to make its measurements. For instance, in one case, it estimated an aisle width of 1.3 meters using the dimensions of a standard chair, slightly under the reference answer of 1.6 meters. However, in another scenario, it overestimated a room’s width to be 3.5 meters when the correct answer was 2.8 meters. These fluctuations indicate that GPT-4o’s method, while logically sound and grounded in direct observations, may sometimes misjudge the spatial relationships between objects, leading to both over and underestimations. GPT-4o’s approach, compared to GPT-4V, is generally more conservative in adding extra space around objects, which makes its estimates closer to the actual values in simpler scenes but less accurate in more complex settings.

Gemini-pro-vision, on the other hand, tends to lean on the conservative side of estimations, resulting in frequent underestimations of room dimensions. For example, when estimating an aisle width based on a trash bin’s width, Gemini-pro-vision calculated the width as 1.8 meters, above the reference value of 1.6 meters, but still relatively close. However, when estimating a room’s width using a sofa as a reference, Gemini-pro-vision underestimated the space at 1.8 meters, while the correct width was 2.9 meters. This pattern of underestimation indicates that Gemini-pro-vision may struggle with interpreting more complex spatial relationships or scenes where objects are of non-standard sizes. Its reliance on conservative estimates often results in inaccuracies, especially in more intricate or varied environments.

In summary, while all three models employ logical methodologies based on known reference dimensions, their success in estimating interior space varies. GPT-4V tends to provide the most comprehensive reasoning, incorporating context and extra space considerations, but sometimes overestimates due to these factors. GPT-4o typically stays closer to the correct dimensions but exhibits variability, particularly in more complex scenes. Gemini-pro-vision, though often conservative, struggles with accuracy in complex environments due to its tendency to underestimate space. These results suggest that further refinement in how models handle spacing assumptions and camera distortions could lead to improved accuracy in interior dimension estimation tasks.

### 5.5.3 GPT-4V Results and Analysis

GPT’s estimates are more accurate than Gemini’s. As can be seen from GPT’s answer, GPT chose several criteria to calculate, including the standard of aisle width, the basic size of the interior door, the size of the magazine, and so on. Multiple calculation results are compared and a relatively accurate result is finally obtained.

### 5.5.4 GPT-4o Results and Analysis

The GPT-4o takes the same reference dimensions as the GPT-4V, which also take the common furniture in the room, and calculates it based on the approximate proportions given in the photos.

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

To estimate the width of the interior aisle in this image, we can use reference objects whose dimensions are fairly standard. However, since the image does not provide a direct scale or clear view of the reference objects' dimensions, any measurement would be an educated guess.

One potential reference is the floor tiles, if they have standard dimensions, but these aren't visible here. Another reference could be the door width, as standard office or internal doors usually are around 0.80 to 0.90 meters wide. However, without a clear view of a door, we can't use this reference either.

Instead, we have a standard fire extinguisher present, which can be roughly around 0.15 to 0.20 meters in width. The emergency exit sign on the door is usually about the same width across or slightly wider. Judging by this scale relative to the fire extinguisher and assuming the fire extinguisher is approximately 0.20 meters wide:

If we take the fire extinguisher's width as 0.20 meters and visually use it as a measuring unit against the width of the corridor, it seems that the corridor is about 4 to 5 times the width of the fire extinguisher. Hence, we can estimate the width of the corridor to be approximately:

$$4 \times 0.20\text{m} = 0.80\text{m} \text{ to } 5 \times 0.20\text{m} = 1.00\text{m}$$

Therefore, the aisle width could be roughly estimated to be **between 0.80 and 1.00 meters**, which would be a typical range for corridors in many buildings, allowing for comfortable passage of individuals and small groups. Again, this estimate is highly speculative, as the method is not precise without clearer measurements or standardized reference points.

**Reference answer:**

Width=1.6

Figure 118: Interior Length Measurement in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

To estimate the width of the room in the image provided, we typically use reference objects whose dimensions we know or can estimate. Common reference objects include pieces of furniture like chairs and tables, whose standard dimensions can give us a basis for estimation. Standard conference room chairs are usually around 0.5 meters in width. Counting the visible chairs across one side of the table, we see approximately four chairs. Slight inaccuracy must be considered given potential space between the chairs, so we'll estimate around 2 meters for the chairs and the spacings between them.

The table usually is a bit longer than the sum width of the chairs. If we estimated 2 meters for the chairs, the table might be approximately 2.5 to 3 meters in width.

The width of the table and surrounding space should be considered as well. If we assume there is at least 1 meter of free space on either side of the table to allow for comfortable movement, this adds around 2 meters to the overall room width.

Adding these up, we get an approximate room width of:

Room Width = Table Width + Space on Each Side

Room Width  $\approx$  2.5 to 3 meters (table) + 2 meters (space on sides)

**Room Width  $\approx$  4.5 to 5 meters**

This is a rough estimate and could be influenced by the actual dimensions of the furniture and the distortion caused by the camera's lens. For accurate measurements, physical measurement tools would be necessary.

**Reference answer:**

Width=2.8

Figure 119: Interior Length Measurement in GPT-4V

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**ChatGPT-4V:**

While I can provide a rough estimate of the width of the sofa by using reference items in the image, please note that this method will not yield a precise measurement due to variations in object sizes and the lack of a reliable scale. However, I can offer an educated guess based on common dimensions of items such as magazines and typical furniture proportions.

It appears there is a magazine on the sofa. A standard magazine size might be around 8.5 inches by 11 inches (about 21.6 cm by 27.9 cm). If we assume the magazine has a width of approximately 21.6 cm and use it as a reference for scaling, we can estimate the width of the sofa.

By eyeballing the magazine's width compared to the width of one seat cushion, it seems like the width of one cushion might be equivalent to about 2.5 magazine widths. Therefore:

$$2.5 \text{ (magazine widths)} \times 21.6 \text{ cm (width of one magazine)} = 54 \text{ cm (width of one cushion)}$$

This sofa has three seat cushions, so multiplying the width of one cushion by three gives:

$$54 \text{ cm} \times 3 = 162 \text{ cm}$$

The end arms are not completely visible, but let's estimate each to be about half the width of a seat cushion:

$$54 \text{ cm} / 2 = 27 \text{ cm (estimated width of one armrest)}$$

Add the width of the two armrests to the total width of the cushions:

$$162 \text{ cm (cushion width)} + 27 \text{ cm (one armrest)} + 27 \text{ cm (other armrest)} = 216 \text{ cm}$$

So, a rough estimate for the total width of this sofa could be approximately **216 cm or 2.16**

**meters**. Keep in mind that this is a very rough guess and actual measurements could vary.

**Reference answer:**

Width=2.9

Figure 120: Interior Length Measurement in GPT-4V

The difference is that the dimensions of the reference furniture are more precise, resulting in most of the answers for GPT-4o being closer to the correct value.

### 5.5.5 Gemini Pro Results and Analysis

In answering the question, Gemini specifically described the reference objects in the room as trash cans, tables and chairs. In the case of indoor width identification, Gemini’s data was generally accurate, but perspective effects and incorrect item identification led to incorrect data estimates.

## 6 Discussion

The experimental results of this study provide valuable insights into the current capabilities and limitations of multimodal foundation models (FMs) like ChatGPT-4V and Gemini Pro in analyzing urban environments. These findings have significant implications for the fields of urban planning, architecture, and computer vision.

Our experiments revealed impressive capabilities in several areas, particularly in tasks involving length measurement, style analysis, and basic image understanding. This proficiency suggests that FMs could be valuable tools in various urban planning and architectural applications. For instance, the ability to accurately measure road widths and building heights could revolutionize urban mapping and analysis, allowing for rapid, large-scale urban surveys. City planners could potentially use these models to quickly assess the capacity of road networks, identify areas where road widening might be necessary, or evaluate the impact of high-rise buildings on urban skylines and sunlight exposure.

The models’ capacity to identify architectural styles and interior design elements opens up new possibilities in historical preservation and real estate. In historical preservation, these models could assist in cataloging architectural heritage across large urban areas, helping to identify buildings of historical significance that may have been overlooked. In real estate, automated style classification could enhance property listings, allowing for more accurate and detailed descriptions of properties, potentially improving matching between buyers’ preferences and available properties.

Furthermore, the ability to identify soft-story buildings, albeit with some limitations, shows potential for improving urban resilience. In earthquake-prone regions, rapid identification of vulnerable structures could prioritize retrofitting efforts and inform emergency response planning. While the models’ current accuracy is not sufficient for definitive assessments, they could serve as an initial screening tool, directing human experts to potentially problematic structures for more detailed evaluation.

Despite these promising capabilities, our study also revealed several limitations that need to be addressed:

- **Fine-grained Recognition:** Both models struggled with tasks requiring detailed recognition, such as accurately counting pedestrians or vehicles in complex street scenes. This limitation is particularly evident in bustling urban environments where objects may partially occlude each other.



**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Determining the exact width of the interior aisle in the image requires a reference object of known size. Let's use one of the available references, such as the width of the chair or the red trash bin.

Assuming:

- The typical width of a standard office chair is about 0.6 meters (60 cm).
- The width of a standard commercial trash bin is around 0.3 meters (30 cm).

The bin seems to occupy a small portion of the overall aisle width when observed in relation to the rest of the hallway's width. On the other hand, the hallway appears to be slightly wider than the length of two chairs placed side by side.

Considering these references:

- If the trash bin takes up a small fraction of the aisle and the aisle can reasonably accommodate two rows of chairs (which would be around 1.2 meters together), the calculated width of the aisle is likely correct.

Based on these observations:

- The width of the interior aisle is approximately 1.2 meters to 1.5 meters. Given the visual estimation leaning slightly beyond two chair widths.

Thus, the likely width of the aisle is about 1.3 meters.

**Reference answer:**

Width=1.6

Figure 121: Interior Length Measurement in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

Determining the exact width of the room from a photo can be challenging without precise references, but we can make an educated estimate by using some common dimensions.

Here are some typical dimensions we can use as references:

1. **Width of a standard chair:** approximately 0.5 meters.
2. **Width of the table:** typically varies but often around 1 to 1.5 meters.

Let's observe the room:

- There are five chairs visible on one side of the table and about two-thirds of the sixth chair visible.

- This suggests there might be around seven chairs in total on each long side of the table.

If the width of a standard chair is about 0.5 meters:

- Seven chairs \* 0.5 meters = 3.5 meters (length of one side of the table).

Adding some extra space on either side of the table for walking might account for an additional meter or so on each side.

Thus, estimating the room width can be done as follows:

- Table width (1 to 1.5 meters) + space on both sides ( $\approx$  1 meter each) = 1 meter + 1.5 meters + 1 meter = 3.5 meters approximately.

So, the width of the room is roughly **around 3.5 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

Width=2.8

Figure 122: Interior Length Measurement in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**ChatGPT-4o:**

To estimate the width of the sofa, we need to use an item with a known or approximate standard size as a reference. In this image, the book on the right side of the sofa will serve as a useful reference, as standard sizes of books are well known.

A standard book typically measures around 0.25 meters (25 cm) in width.

By visually measuring how many times the width of the book fits along the width of the sofa, we can make an educated approximation.

Based on the image:

- The width of the sofa appears to be approximately equal to the width of about 8 books.

So, if one book is approximately 0.25 meters wide, then:

$$\text{Width of sofa} = 8 \times 0.25 \text{ meters}$$

$$\text{Width of sofa} \approx 2 \text{ meters}$$

Therefore, the approximate width of the sofa is **around 2 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

Width=2.9

Figure 123: Interior Length Measurement in GPT-4o

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The width of the aisle appears to be **approximately 1.8 meters**. This estimate is based on the width of the trash bin, which is typically around 0.5 meters, and the width of the chairs, which are typically around 0.6 meters.

**Reference answer:**

Width=1.6

Figure 124: Interior Length Measurement in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The width of the room is about **4.2 meters**.

The reference objects used are the width of the trash bin and the length of the meeting table. According to the image, the width of the trash bin is about 0.42 meters, and the length of the meeting table is about 2.8 meters. Assuming that the trash bin is placed in the center of the room, the width of the room can be calculated as the length of the meeting table plus the width of the trash bin on both sides, which is about 4.2 meters.

**Reference answer:**

Width=2.8

Figure 125: Interior Length Measurement in Gemini

**Prompt:**

Suppose you are an expert in architecture and design. Please analyze this image and tell me the width of the interior aisle in it. When determining width, you can use available references within the image, such as books, trash bins, and chairs. Please provide the results in meters as the unit of measurement.

**Gemini-pro-vision:**

The width of the sofa is about 3.6 meters.

The sofa is about 3 times the width of the trash bin. The trash bin is 0.6 meters wide, so the sofa is about  $3 * 0.6 = 1.8$  meters wide.

The sofa is also about 2.4 times the width of the chair. The chair is 0.75 meters wide, so the sofa is about  $2.4 * 0.75 = 1.8$  meters wide.

Therefore, the width of the sofa is about **1.8 meters**.

**Reference answer:**

Width=2.9

Figure 126: Interior Length Measurement in Gemini

- **Consistency Across Domains:** The models' performance varied significantly across different tasks and image types. This inconsistency could pose challenges in real-world applications where a wide variety of scenarios need to be analyzed.
- **Handling Partial Information:** In several cases, particularly with interior furniture counting and soft-story building identification, the models struggled when presented with partial or obscured views.
- **Quantitative Accuracy:** While the models often provided reasonable estimates for measurements, there were instances of significant discrepancies from actual values, particularly in tasks requiring precise measurements.

These limitations suggest that for applications requiring high precision or detailed object detection, these models should be used in conjunction with other specialized tools and techniques, rather than as standalone solutions.

The findings of this study have several implications for the future development of AI in urban studies. The varied performance across different urban analysis tasks underscores the need for closer collaboration between AI researchers and urban planning experts. This collaboration could involve joint development of task-specific datasets that capture the nuances of urban environments, as well as the creation of evaluation metrics that align with the practical needs of urban planners and architects.

The inconsistencies in performance highlight the importance of diverse, high-quality training data. This diversity should encompass not only a wide range of urban environments and architectural styles from different regions and cultures but also varying conditions such as different times of day, weather conditions, and seasons. Additionally, the training data should include examples of partial or obstructed views to improve the models' robustness in real-world scenarios.

As these models become more capable, it's crucial to consider the ethical implications of their use in urban planning and design. Privacy concerns arise when analyzing street-level imagery that may capture individuals or private properties. There's also the potential for bias in urban development decisions if the models perform inconsistently across different neighborhoods or architectural styles. Developing ethical guidelines and transparency measures for the use of AI in urban planning should be a priority.

Despite limitations, the models' ability to quickly analyze various aspects of urban environments suggests potential for rapid, large-scale urban studies. This could be particularly valuable in fast-growing cities or in post-disaster scenarios where quick assessments are crucial. For instance, after a natural disaster, these models could be used to quickly assess damage to buildings and infrastructure, helping to prioritize response efforts.

Based on these findings, several avenues for future research emerge, including:

- Developing specialized fine-tuning techniques to improve model performance on specific urban analysis tasks.
- Investigating methods to enhance model consistency across different urban contexts and image types.
- Exploring the integration of these models with other urban data sources (e.g., GIS data, satellite imagery) for more comprehensive urban analysis.

- Conducting longitudinal studies to assess how these models can track and analyze urban changes over time.
- Investigating potential biases in these models' urban analysis capabilities and developing strategies to mitigate them.
- Enhancing the interpretability and explainability of model decisions in urban analysis tasks.
- Developing frameworks for effective collaboration between urban planning professionals and AI systems.

These research directions aim to address the current limitations of FMs in urban analysis while capitalizing on their potential to transform urban planning and architectural practices. As these technologies continue to evolve, they hold great promise for enhancing our understanding and management of urban environments, provided they are developed and deployed with careful consideration of their limitations and ethical implications.

## 7 Conclusion

The evaluation of ChatGPT-4V and Gemini Pro across various domains, including Street View Imagery, Built Environment, and Interior, reveals both significant potential and notable limitations of these multimodal foundation models (FMs). Their proficiency in tasks such as length measurement, style analysis, question answering, and basic image understanding underscores their utility in these areas. These capabilities suggest their potential role in enhancing urban planning, environmental monitoring, and interior analysis.

However, challenges persist in fine-grained recognition, precise counting in complex settings, and maintaining consistent performance across diverse domains and image complexities. Overcoming these limitations necessitates ongoing development and domain-specific training. Progress in FMs will hinge on their seamless integration with emerging technologies, targeted improvements through specialized training, and strict adherence to ethical and responsible AI practices.

Overcoming current limitations requires interdisciplinary collaboration and enhancements in AI algorithms and training methodologies. As AI evolves, its application in areas such as Street View Imagery, Built Environment, and Interior design presents promising opportunities for innovation. This progress demands a balanced approach that addresses ethical considerations, data privacy, and security. The advancement of AI in these fields is set for transformative growth, necessitating a commitment to responsible development and implementation.

## References

- [1] Peng Shu, Huaqin Zhao, Hanqi Jiang, Yiwei Li, Shaochen Xu, Yi Pan, Zihao Wu, Zhengliang Liu, Guoyu Lu, Le Guan, et al. Llms for coding and robotics education. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.06116*, 2024.
- [2] Jiaqi Wang, Zihao Wu, Yiwei Li, Hanqi Jiang, Peng Shu, Enze Shi, Huawen Hu, Chong Ma, Yiheng Liu, Xuhui Wang, et al. Large language models for robotics: Opportunities, challenges, and perspectives. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.04334*, 2024.



- 
- [3] Lin Zhao, Lu Zhang, Zihao Wu, Yuzhong Chen, Haixing Dai, Xiaowei Yu, Zhengliang Liu, Tuo Zhang, Xintao Hu, Xi Jiang, et al. When brain-inspired ai meets agi. *Meta-Radiology*, page 100005, 2023.
- [4] Huaqin Zhao, Zhengliang Liu, Zihao Wu, Yiwei Li, Tianze Yang, Peng Shu, Shaochen Xu, Haixing Dai, Lin Zhao, Gengchen Mai, et al. Revolutionizing finance with llms: An overview of applications and insights. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.11641*, 2024.
- [5] Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [6] Gemini Team, Rohan Anil, Sebastian Borgeaud, Yonghui Wu, Jean-Baptiste Alayrac, Jiahui Yu, Radu Soricut, Johan Schalkwyk, Andrew M Dai, Anja Hauth, et al. Gemini: a family of highly capable multimodal models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11805*, 2023.
- [7] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36, 2024.
- [8] Hong Wang. *Efficient Natural Language Processing with Limited Data and Resources*. PhD thesis, UC Santa Barbara, 2023.
- [9] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [10] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020.
- [11] Kaiming He, Xinlei Chen, Saining Xie, Yanghao Li, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Masked autoencoders are scalable vision learners. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 16000–16009, 2022.
- [12] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. Segment anything. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02643*, 2023.
- [13] Susan L Handy, Marlon G Boarnet, Reid Ewing, and Richard E Killingsworth. How the built environment affects physical activity: views from urban planning. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 23(2):64–73, 2002.
- [14] Wai Yeung Yan, Ahmed Shaker, and Nagwa El-Ashmawy. Urban land cover classification using airborne lidar data: A review. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 158:295–310, 2015.
- [15] Xiao Xiang Zhu, Devis Tuia, Lichao Mou, Gui-Song Xia, Liangpei Zhang, Feng Xu, and Friedrich Fraundorfer. Deep learning in remote sensing: A comprehensive review and list of resources. *IEEE geoscience and remote sensing magazine*, 5(4):8–36, 2017.
- [16] Ryuhei Hamaguchi and Shuhei Hikosaka. Building detection from satellite imagery using ensemble of size-specific detectors. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pages 187–191, 2018.

- [17] Guangliang Cheng, Ying Wang, Shibiao Xu, Hongzhen Wang, Shiming Xiang, and Chunhong Pan. Automatic road detection and centerline extraction via cascaded end-to-end convolutional neural network. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 55(6):3322–3337, 2017.
- [18] Ce Zhang, Isabel Sargent, Xin Pan, Huapeng Li, Andy Gardiner, Jonathon Hare, and Peter M Atkinson. An object-based convolutional neural network (ocnn) for urban land use classification. *Remote sensing of environment*, 216:57–70, 2018.
- [19] Adrian Albert, Jasleen Kaur, and Marta C Gonzalez. Using convolutional networks and satellite imagery to identify patterns in urban environments at a large scale. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1357–1366, 2017.
- [20] Yan Zhang, Fan Zhang, and Nengcheng Chen. Migratable urban street scene sensing method based on vision language pre-trained model. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 113:102989, 2022.
- [21] Ashesh Jain, Hema S Koppula, Bharad Raghavan, Shane Soh, and Ashutosh Saxena. Car that knows before you do: Anticipating maneuvers via learning temporal driving models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3182–3190, 2015.
- [22] Bolei Zhou, Agata Lapedriza, Aditya Khosla, Aude Oliva, and Antonio Torralba. Places: A 10 million image database for scene recognition. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 40(6):1452–1464, 2017.
- [23] Sachith Seneviratne, Damith Senanayake, Sanka Rasnayaka, Rajith Vidanaarachchi, and Jason Thompson. Dalle-urban: Capturing the urban design expertise of large text to image transformers. In *2022 International Conference on Digital Image Computing: Techniques and Applications (DICTA)*, pages 1–9. IEEE, 2022.
- [24] Zhizhao Duan, Hao Cheng, Duo Xu, Xi Wu, Xiangxie Zhang, Xi Ye, and Zhen Xie. Cityllava: Efficient fine-tuning for vlms in city scenario. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.03194*, 2024.
- [25] Shihao Wang, Zhiding Yu, Xiaohui Jiang, Shiyi Lan, Min Shi, Nadine Chang, Jan Kautz, Ying Li, and Jose M Alvarez. Omnidrive: A holistic llm-agent framework for autonomous driving with 3d perception, reasoning and planning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.01533*, 2024.
- [26] Zhilun Zhou, Yuming Lin, Depeng Jin, and Yong Li. Large language model for participatory urban planning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.17161*, 2024.
- [27] He Zhu, Wenjia Zhang, Nuoxian Huang, Boyang Li, Luyao Niu, Zipei Fan, Tianle Lun, Yicheng Tao, Junyou Su, Zhaoya Gong, et al. Plangpt: Enhancing urban planning with tailored language model and efficient retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19273*, 2024.
- [28] Lap-Fai Yu, Sai-Kit Yeung, and Demetri Terzopoulos. The clutterpalette: An interactive tool for detailing indoor scenes. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics*, 22(2): 1138–1148, 2015.
- [29] Angela Dai, Angel X Chang, Manolis Savva, Maciej Halber, Thomas Funkhouser, and Matthias Nießner. Scannet: Richly-annotated 3d reconstructions of indoor scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5828–5839, 2017.

- [30] Mouna Afif, Riadh Ayachi, Yahia Said, and Mohamed Atri. Deep learning based application for indoor scene recognition. *Neural Processing Letters*, 51:2827–2837, 2020.
- [31] Angel Chang, Angela Dai, Thomas Funkhouser, Maciej Halber, Matthias Niessner, Manolis Savva, Shuran Song, Andy Zeng, and Yinda Zhang. Matterport3d: Learning from rgb-d data in indoor environments. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.06158*, 2017.
- [32] Chuhan Zou, Alex Colburn, Qi Shan, and Derek Hoiem. Layoutnet: Reconstructing the 3d room layout from a single rgb image. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2051–2059, 2018.
- [33] Daniel Ritchie, Kai Wang, and Yu-an Lin. Fast and flexible indoor scene synthesis via deep convolutional generative models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6182–6190, 2019.
- [34] Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1125–1134, 2017.
- [35] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- [36] Xinpeng Wang, Chandan Yeshwanth, and Matthias Nießner. Sceneformer: Indoor scene generation with transformers. In *2021 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV)*, pages 106–115. IEEE, 2021.
- [37] Muhammad Zubair Irshad, Chih-Yao Ma, and Zsolt Kira. Hierarchical cross-modal agent for robotics vision-and-language navigation. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 13238–13246. IEEE, 2021.
- [38] Yan Li, Yiqun Chen, Abbas Rajabifard, Kouros Khoshelham, and Mitko Aleksandrov. Estimating building age from google street view images using deep learning (short paper). In *10th international conference on geographic information science (GIScience 2018)*. Schloss-Dagstuhl-Leibniz Zentrum für Informatik, 2018.
- [39] Qian Yu, Chaofeng Wang, Frank McKenna, Stella X Yu, Ertugrul Taciroglu, Barbaros Cetiner, and Kincho H Law. Rapid visual screening of soft-story buildings from street view images using deep learning classification. *Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration*, 19: 827–838, 2020.