Characterization of Equimatchable Even-Regular Graphs

Xiao Zhao^{*1}, Haojie Zheng^{†1}, Fengming Dong^{‡2}, Hengzhe Li^{§1}, and Yingbin Ma^{¶1}

¹College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, P.R. China ²National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Abstract

A graph is called equimatchable if all of its maximal matchings have the same size. Due to Eiben and Kotrbcik, any connected graph with odd order and independence number $\alpha(G)$ at most 2 is equimatchable. Akbari et al. showed that for any odd number r, a connected equimatchable r-regular graph must be either the complete graph K_{r+1} or the complete bipartite graph $K_{r,r}$. They also determined all connected equimatchable 4-regular graphs and proved that for any even r, any connected equimatchable r-regular graph is either $K_{r,r}$ or factor-critical. In this paper, we confirm that for any even $r \geq 6$, there exists a unique connected equimatchable r-regular graph G with $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ and odd order.

Keywords: Equimatchable, Regular graphs, Factor-critical

AMS subject classification 2020: 05C70, 05C75

1 Introduction

All the graphs considered in this paper are connected, finite, simple and undirected. For any graph G, let V(G) and E(G) denote its vertex set and edge set, respectively. For any $E' \subseteq E(G)$, let V(E') denote the set of vertices $x \in V(G)$ such that x is an end of some edge in E'. A matching is a set of edges with no end vertices in common. A matching M of G is called a *perfect matching* if every vertex of G is incident with an edge of M. For any non-empty subset A of V(G), let G[A] denote the subgraph induced by A, G - A denote the graph $G[V(G) \setminus A]$ when $A \neq V(G)$, and $N_G(A)$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to at least one vertex of A. For any vertex v in G, also write $N_G(v)$ for $N_G(\{v\})$. Let $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$. For any subsets X and Y of V(G), let $\partial_G(X)$ (or simply $\partial(X)$) denote the set of edges each of which has one end in X, let $E_G(X, Y) = \partial_G(X) \cap \partial_G(Y)$. We also simply write $E_G(x, Y)$ for $E_G(\{x\}, Y)$ and E(X, Y) for $E_G(X, Y)$.

^{*}Email: zhaoxiao05@126.com

[†]Email: zhj9536@126.com

[‡]Corresponding author. Emails: fengming.dong@nie.edu.sg and donggraph@163.com.

[§]Email: lihengzhe@htu.edu.cn

[¶]Email: mayingbincw@htu.cn

A graph G is called *factor-critical* if G - v has a perfect matching for every $v \in V(G)$. A graph G is called *equimatchable* if all of its maximal matchings have the same size. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, a matching M is said *isolating* v if v is an isolated vertex of G - V(M). A matching M isolating a vertex v is called minimal if no subset of M isolates v. The following result due to Eiben and Kotrbcik [4] characterized the structure of $G - (V(M) \cup \{v\})$ if v is a vertex isolated by a minimal matching M in a 2-connected factor-critical equimatchable graph G.

Theorem 1.1 ([4]). Let G be a 2-connected factor-critical equimatchable graph. For any vertex v in G and any minimal matching M_v isolating v, $G - (V(M_v) \cup \{v\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some positive integer t.

The concept of equimatchability was introduced in 1974 independently by Grünbaum [5], Lewin [9], and Meng [10]. In particular, Grünbaum [5] asked for a characterization of equimatchable graphs. In 1984, a structural characterization of 2-connected equimatchable graphs was provided by Lesk, Plummer and Pulleyblank [11] as follows.

Theorem 1.2 ([11]). Any 2-connected equimatchable graph is either bipartite, or factor-critical or K_{2t} for some $t \ge 1$.

A subset S of V(G) is called an *independent set* of G if any two vertices in S are not adjacent in G. The *independence number of* G, denoted by $\alpha(G)$, is the size of a largest independent set of G. Due to Eiben and Kotrbcik [3], all graphs G with odd order and $\alpha(G) \leq 2$ are equimatchable.

Theorem 1.3 ([3]). Any connected graph G with $\alpha(G) \leq 2$ and odd order is equimatchable.

A consequence follows directly from Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. Any 2-connected graph G with $\alpha(G) \leq 2$ and odd order is factor-critical.

Now we focus on the study of regular and connected graphs which are equimatchable. Kawarabayashi, Plummer and Saito [8] showed that the only connected equimatchable 3-regular graphs are K_4 and $K_{3,3}$. Akbari, et al [1] proved that if r is an odd positive integer, then the only connected equimatchable r-regular graphs are K_{r+1} and $K_{r,r}$. They also found that K_5 , $K_{4,4}$, $\overline{C_7}$, F_4 and $\overline{F_4}$, where F_r is the graph in Figure 1, are the only connected equimatchable 4-regular through a computer searching. For equimatchable r-regular graphs, where r is even, they also obtained the conclusion in Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.5 ([1]). If r is even, connected equimatchable r-regular graphs are factor-critical except $K_{r,r}$.

Theorem 1.5 tells that for any connected equimatchable r-regular graph G, where r is even, if |V(G)| is even, then $G \cong K_{r,r}$; otherwise, G is factor-critical. Thus, it is still unknown what are the connected equimatchable r-regular graphs G with $\alpha(G) \ge 3$ and odd number |V(G)|, where $r (\ge 6)$ is even. In this article, we provide a structural characterization for such graphs.

For any even $r \ge 2$, let F_r denote the graph obtained from the complete bipartite graph $K_{r,r}$ by deleting all edges in a matching M of size $\frac{r}{2}$ and adding a new vertex u and new edges joining u to all vertices in V(M), as show in Figure 1, where the dotted lines represent edges in M.



Figure 1: Graph F_r

In this article, we will prove the following conclusion.

Theorem 1.6. Let G be a r-regular connected graph with odd order, where r is even and $r \ge 6$. If $\alpha(G) \ge 3$, then G is equimatchable if and only if $G \cong F_r$.

Let r be a fixed even number with $r \ge 6$ and \mathcal{G} be the set of connected equimatchable r-regular graphs G with odd orders and $\alpha(G) \ge 3$. Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$. Then G is factor-critical by Theorem 1.5. Let I be an independent set of G with $|I| = \alpha(G)$, and let $v \in I$. Then, G - v has a perfect matching M^v . The set M^v can be partitioned into M_1^v and M_2^v , where M_1^v is the set of edges $uu' \in M^v$ with $u \in I$. The vertex set V can be partitioned into I, W, T' and T'', where $W = V(M_1^v) \setminus I$ and $T' = \{u_1, u_2 : u_1 u_2 \in M_2^v, E_G(\{u_1, u_2\}, W) \neq \emptyset\}$. These notations $G, M^v, M_1^v, M_2^v, v, I, W, T'$ and T''will be applied throughout this article.

Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$. In Section 2, we find some basic properties on graphs G, including Proposition 2.6 which will be applied repeatedly in the following section. In Section 3, we show that if $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$, then there exists at most one vertex $w \in W$ such that $w \in N_G(y_1) \cup N_G(y_2)$ for some edge $y_1y_2 \in M_2^v$. In Section 4, we show that if $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$, then r = 6 and $V = I \cup W \cup X$, where $X \subseteq V \setminus I$ is a clique with |X| = 3, $|X \cap W| = 1$ and $N_G(X) \subseteq X \cup I$. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.6 in Section 5.

2 Properties on graphs in \mathcal{G}

Since each graph in \mathcal{G} is factor-critical and equimatchable, the next result follows.

Proposition 2.1. For any $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ and maximal matching M of G, $\alpha(G) \leq \frac{|V|-1}{2}$ and $|M| = \frac{|V|-1}{2}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha(G) > \frac{|V|-1}{2}$. Then $|I| \ge \frac{|V|+1}{2}$ for some independent set I of G. Observe that

$$|\partial(I)| = r \times |I| \ge \frac{r(|V|+1)}{2}, \qquad |\partial(V \setminus I)| \le r \times |V \setminus I| \le \frac{r(|V|-1)}{2},$$

contradicting the fact that $\partial(I) = \partial(V \setminus I)$. By Theorem 1.5, G is factor-critical, implying that $|M| = \frac{|V|-1}{2}$ for some maximal matching. Since G is also equimatchable, $|M| = \frac{|V|-1}{2}$ for each maximal matching M.

Hence the result holds.

Proposition 2.2. For any $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ and any matching M of G, $\alpha(G - V(M)) \leq \frac{|V| - |V(M)| + 1}{2}$. Thus, if G - V(M) is bipartite with a bipartition (A, B), then $-1 \leq |A| - |B| \leq 1$.

Proof. Assume that M is a matching of G such that $\alpha(G - V(M)) > \frac{|V|+1}{2} - |M|$. Let H denote the graph G - V(M). Then, $\alpha(H) > \frac{|V(H)|+1}{2}$, implying that $|M'| < \frac{|V(H)|-1}{2}$ for each matching M' of H. It follows that for any matching M_0 of G with $M \subseteq M_0$, $|M_0| < |M| + \frac{|V(H)|-1}{2} = \frac{|V|-1}{2}$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.1. Thus, the result holds.

Lemma 2.3. For any $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ and subset X of V, if $V \setminus (I \cup W) \subseteq X \subseteq V \setminus I$ and $|X \cap W| \ge 2$, then G[X] has no perfect matching.

Proof. Suppose that $V \setminus (I \cup W) \subseteq X \subseteq V \setminus I$, $|X \cap W| \ge 2$ and G[X] has a perfect matching M. Then $|V(G - V(M))| = |V| - |V(M)| \le |I| + |W| - 2 = 2\alpha - 3$. However, $I \subseteq V(G - V(M))$ and $\alpha(G - V(M)) \ge |I| = \alpha > \frac{|V| - |V(M)| + 1}{2}$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.2.

By the assumption in Page 3, M_2^v is the set of edges $u_1u_2 \in M^v$ with $\{u_1, u_2\} \cap I = \emptyset$. We further partition M_2^v into $M_{2,0}^v, M_{2,1}^v$ and $M_{2,2}^v$, where $M_{2,0}^v$ is the set of edges $u_1u_2 \in M_2^v$ such that $|N_G(\{u_1, u_2\}) \cap W| = 0$, and $M_{2,1}^v$ be the set of edges $u_1u_2 \in M_2^v \setminus M_{2,0}^v$ such that $|N_G(u_j) \cap W| \le 1$ for j = 1, 2. Obviously, $T' = V(M_{2,1}^v \cup M_{2,2}^v)$ and $T'' = V(M_{2,0}^v)$. For each $w \in W$, let $T_w = \{w\} \cup \{u_1, u_2 : u_1u_2 \in M_{2,1}^v, w \in N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)\}$. Clearly, $|T_w|$ is odd.

Lemma 2.4. For any $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$,

- (i). there is no M_2^v -augmenting path connecting two vertices w_1 and w_2 in W. In particular, W is an independent set, and there is no path $w_1u_1u_2w_2$, where $u_1u_2 \in M_2^v$;
- (ii). for any $w \in W$, $E_G(T_w, W \setminus \{w\}) = \emptyset$;
- (iii). for each $w \in W$, $G[T_w]$ is connected with $\alpha(G[T_w]) \leq 2$ and equimatchable. It is factor-critical whenever it is 2-connected; and
- (iv). there is at most one vertex $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$ such that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \ge 2$, and thus $|M_{2,2}^v| \le 1$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $P = w_1 u_1 u'_1 \cdots u_s u'_s w_2$ is a M_2^v -augmenting path of G connecting $w_1, w_2 \in W$. Let M' be the matching $\{w_1 u_1, u'_1 u_2, \cdots, u'_{s-1} u_s, u'_s w_2\}$. Let $M'' := M_2^v \setminus E(G[V(P)])$. Observe that $M' \cup M''$ is a perfect matching of G[X], where $X := V(M' \cup M'')$ is a subset of $V \setminus I$ with $|X \cap W| = 2$ and $V \setminus (I \cup W) \subseteq X$, a contradiction to Lemma 2.3.

(ii). Let $w_1 \in W \setminus \{w\}$. Clearly, $w_1 w \notin E(G)$. Suppose that $w_1 u \in E(G)$ for some $u \in T_w \setminus \{w\}$. By definition of T_w , $u \notin N_G(w)$ and $uu' \in M_{2,1}^v$ for some vertex $u' \in N_G(w)$, implying that $w_1 uu'w$ is path, contradicting the result in (i). Thus, the result holds. (iii) By definition, $G[T_w]$ is connected. For any independent set S of $G[T_w]$, the result in (ii) implies that $S \cup (W \setminus \{w\})$ is an independent set of G. Thus, $|S| + |W \setminus \{w\}| = |S| + \alpha(G) - 2 \le \alpha(G)$, implying that $|S| \le 2$, Thus, $\alpha(G[T_w]) \le 2$. By Theorem 1.3, $G[T_w]$ is equimatchable. If $G[T_w]$ is 2-connected, Theorem 1.2 implies that $G[T_w]$ is either bipartite or factor-critical. Suppose that $G[T_w]$ is bipartite. But, as $G[T_w]$ is a 2-connected graph of odd order, we have $\alpha(G[T_w]) \ge 3$, a contradiction. Thus, $G[T_w]$ is factor-critical.

(iv) Suppose that $u_i \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$ such that $|N_G(u_i) \cap W| \ge 2$ for both i = 1, 2. Then, $u_i u'_i \in M^v_{2,2}$ for some vertices u'_1 and u'_2 . By the result in (i), $N_G(u'_i) \cap W = \emptyset$ for both i = 1, 2, implying that $W \cup \{u'_1, u'_2\}$ is an independent set larger than I, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.5. For any $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$, the following properties hold:

(i). $N_G(u) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ for each $u \in V \setminus I$; and

(ii). $V(M_2^v) \setminus N_G(W)$ is a clique of G, implying that T'' is a clique of G and $|T''| \leq r$.

Proof. (i). For any $u \in V \setminus I$, if $N_G(u) \cap I = \emptyset$, then $I \cup \{u\}$ is an independent set larger than $\alpha = |I|$, a contradiction.

(ii). Note that $V_0 := V(M_2^v) \setminus N_G(W)$ consists of vertices in T'' and vertices $u' \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$ with the property that $N_G(u') \cap W = \emptyset$ and $uu' \in M_2^v$ for some vertex $u \in N_G(W)$. Thus,

$$\alpha(G) \ge \alpha(G[W \cup V_0]) \ge |W| + \alpha(G[V_0]) = |M_1^v| + \alpha(G[V_0]) = \alpha(G) - 1 + \alpha(G[V_0]),$$

implying that $\alpha(G[V_0]) \leq 1$ and thus V_0 is a clique.

Now we are going to introduce an important result which will be applied repeatedly later.

Proposition 2.6. Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$, and I and I' be two disjoint independent sets of G with $|I| = |I'| = \alpha(G)$, and u be a vertex in $V \setminus (I \cup I')$. If $G - (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$ has a perfect matching and $|N_G(u') \cap I'| \leq 1$ for each $u' \in V \setminus (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$, then $G - (I \cup \{u\})$ does not have any odd clique X with the properties that $|X| \geq 3$, $|X \cap I'| = 1$ and $N_G(X) \subseteq \{u\} \cup X \cup I$.

Proof. Suppose that there is an odd clique X of $G - (I \cup \{u\})$ such that $|X| \ge 3$, $|X \cap I'| = 1$, and $N_G(X) \subseteq \{u\} \cup X \cup I$. We will prove that the existence of such a clique X leads to a contradiction.

Claim 1: There is no matching $M_1 := \{uz, x_1z_1, x_2z_2\}$, where $z, z_1, z_2 \in I$ and $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Suppose that such a matching M_1 exists. Note that $X \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$ is an odd clique and $G[X] - \{x_1, x_2\}$ has a near-perfect matching M_2 . Let x' be the vertex in $X \setminus (V(M_2) \cup \{x_1, x_2\})$. By the given condition, $G - (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$ has a perfect matching M'. Since $N_G(X) \subseteq X \cup I \cup \{u\}$, we have $M'' := M' \setminus E(G[X])$ is a perfect matching of the subgraph $G[V \setminus (I \cup I' \cup X \cup \{u\})]$. Then, $M_0 := M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M''$ is a matching of G such that the vertex set of $G - V(M_0)$ can be partitioned into two independent sets I_0 and W_0 , where $I_0 = I \setminus \{z, z_1, z_2\}$ and $W_0 = (I' \setminus X) \cup \{x'\}$, whose sizes differs by 3, a contradiction to Proposition 2.2.

Claim 2: |X| = r - 1 and, for each $x \in X$, $|N_G(x) \cap I| = 2 - |N_G(u) \cap \{x\}| \le 2$.

Since X is an odd clique and G is r-regular, we have $|X| \leq r-1$. Suppose that $|X| \leq r-2$. Then $|X| \leq r-3$ as |X| is odd. Thus, $N_G(X) \subseteq X \cup I \cup \{u\}$ implying that $|N_G(x) \cap I| \geq 3$ for each $x \in X$. Since $|N_G(u) \cap I| \neq \emptyset$, by Hall's Theorem [6, 7], there exists a matching mentioned in Claim 1, a contradiction. Thus, |X| = r-1.

For each $x \in X$, since X is a clique of size r-1 and $N_G(x) \subseteq I \cup X \cup \{u\}$, we have $|N_G(x) \cap I| = 2 - |N_G(u) \cap \{x\}| \le 2$. The claim holds.

Claim 3: For $V_0 = X \cup I_0$ or $V_0 = X \cup I_0 \cup \{u\}$, where I_0 is a proper subset of I, if $G_0 := G - V_0 \cong K_{t,t}$ for some $t \ge 1$, then $V(G_0) = (I \cup I' \cup \{u\}) \setminus V_0$, i.e., $V = I \cup I' \cup X \cup \{u\}$.

Obviously, $(I \cup I' \cup \{u\}) \setminus V_0 \subseteq V(G_0)$. Suppose that $V(G_0) \neq (I \cup I' \cup \{u\}) \setminus V_0$. Then, $V \neq I \cup I' \cup X \cup \{u\}$. Since $G - (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$ has a perfect matching M' and $N_G(X) \subseteq I \cup X \cup \{u\}$, there exists an edge y_1y_2 in the set $M' \setminus E(G[X])$. Since $G_0 \cong K_{t,t}$, and $I' \setminus X$ is an independent set of G_0 , we have $I' \setminus X \subseteq N_G(y_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, implying that $d_G(y_i) \geq |I' \setminus X| = \alpha - 1 \geq 2$, contradicting the condition $|N_G(u') \cap I'| = 1$ for each $u' \in V \setminus (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$. Thus, Claim 5 holds.

Claim 4: If $|N_G(u) \cap X| \le r-3$, then there exists $I_1 \subseteq I$ with $|I_1| = 2$ such that $N_G(x) \cap I \subseteq I_1$ for each $x \in X \cup \{u\}$, and $G - (X \cup I_1) \cong K_{t,t}$ for some $t \ge \alpha - 1$.

Assume that $|N_G(u) \cap X| \leq r-3$. Since |X| = r-1 by Claim 2, there exists two vertices $x_1, x_2 \in X \setminus N_G(u)$. By Claim 2 again, $|N_G(x_i) \cap I| = 2$ for both i = 1, 2. By Claim 1 and Hall's Theorem [6, 7], there exists $I_1 := \{z_1, z_2\} \subseteq I$ such that $N_G(x_i) \cap I = I_1$ for both i = 1, 2, and $N_G(x) \cap I \subseteq I_1$ for each $x \in X \cup \{u\}$. Observe that $G[(X \cup I_1)] - x_1$ has a perfect matching M_0 , which is a minimal matching isolating x_1 . By Theorem 1.1, $G_0 := G - (V(M_0) \cup \{x_1\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some $t \geq 1$. Obviously, G_0 is the graph $G - (X \cup I_1)$ and $I_2 := \{u\} \cup (I \setminus I_1) \subseteq V(G_0)$. As $N_G(u) \cap I \subseteq I_1, I_2$ is an independent set in G_0 , implying that $G_0 \cong K_{t,t}$, where $t \geq |I_2| = \alpha - 1$. Claim 4 holds.

Claim 5: $|N_G(u) \cap X| \ge r - 2$.

Suppose that $|N_G(u) \cap X| \le r-3$. By Claim 4, $G - (X \cup I_1) \cong K_{t,t}$, where $t \ge \alpha - 1$ and $I_1 \subseteq I$ with $|I_1| = 2$. By Claim 3, $V = I \cup I' \cup X \cup \{u\}$.

Since $V = I \cup I' \cup X \cup \{u\}$ and $G_0 = G - (X \cup I_1)$, $V(G_0)$ can be partitioned into two independent sets: $(I \setminus I_1) \cup \{u\}$ and $I' \setminus X$, implying that $G_0 \cong K_{\alpha-1,\alpha-1}$. It follows that $I' \setminus X \subseteq N_G(u)$, and thus

$$r = d_G(u) \ge |N_G(u) \cap I| + |N_G(u) \cap (I' \setminus X)| \ge 1 + \alpha - 1 = \alpha,$$

implying $\alpha \leq r$. However, for each $z \in I \setminus I_1$, by Claim 4, $N_G(z) \cap (X \cup \{u\}) = \emptyset$, implying that $N_G(z) \subseteq I' \setminus X$ and so $r = d_G(z) \leq |I' \setminus X| = \alpha - 1$, implying that $\alpha \geq r + 1$, a contradiction. Claim 5 holds.

Claim 6: $|N_G(u) \cap I| \leq 2$ and $N_G(u) \cap I' \neq \emptyset$.

By Claim 5, $|N_G(u) \cap X| \ge r-2$. Since $d_G(u) = r$, we have $|N_G(u) \cap I| \le 2$. If $N_G(u) \cap I' = \emptyset$, then $\{u\} \cup I'$ is an independent set of G, implying that $\alpha \ge |I'| + 1$, i.e., $|I'| \le \alpha - 1$, a contradiction. Thus, the claim holds.

Claim 7: There exists $z \in I$ such that $G - (X \cup \{u, z\}) \cong K_{t,t}$, where $t \ge \alpha - 1$.

By Claim 5, $|N_G(u) \cap X| \ge r-2 \ge 4$. By Claim 6, $|N_G(u) \cap I| \le 2$. Thus, by Claim 1 and Hall's Theorem [6, 7], there are $x_1, x_2 \in N_G(u) \cap X$ such that $N_G(x_1) \cap I = N_G(x_2) \cap I = \{z\}$ for some $z \in I$. Clearly, $G[(X \cup \{z, u\})] - x_1$ has a perfect matching M_1 with $\{x_2z, x_3u\} \subseteq M_1$, where $x_3 \in (N_G(u) \cap X) \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$. Observe that M_1 is a minimal matching isolating x_1 . By Theorem 1.1, $G' := G - (V(M_1) \cup \{x_1\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some $t \ge 1$. Since $I \setminus \{z\}$ is an independent set in G_0 of size $\alpha(G) - 1 \ge 2$, we have $G' \cong K_{t,t}$, where $t \ge \alpha(G) - 1$.

Observe that G' is actually the graph $G - (X \cup \{u, z\})$. The claim holds.

Claim 8: $\alpha = r$.

By Claims 3 and 7, we have $V = I \cup I' \cup X \cup \{u\}$ and $G_0 := G - (X \cup \{u, z\}) = G[I \cup I'] - \{z, w\}$, where w is the vertex in $X \cap I'$. Thus, $V(G_0)$ can be partitioned into two independent sets: $I \setminus \{z\}$ and $I' \setminus \{w\}$, implying that $G_0 \cong K_{\alpha-1,\alpha-1}$. Since G is connected and r-regular, $\delta(G_0) \leq r - 1$, implying that $\alpha - 1 \leq r - 1$, i.e., $\alpha \leq r$.

By Claim 6, $I' \setminus N_G(u) \neq \emptyset$. For each $w' \in I' \setminus N_G(u)$, since $N_G(w') \subseteq V \setminus (I' \cup X \cup \{u\}) = I$, we have $r = d_G(w') \leq |I|$, implying that $\alpha \geq r$. Hence $\alpha = r$. Claim 8 holds.

Now we are going to complete the proof. By Claim 2, $|N_G(x') \cap I| = 2$ for each $x' \in X \setminus N_G(u)$. By Claims 2 and 5, we have |X| = r - 1 and $|N_G(u) \cap X| \ge r - 2$. Thus, $|X \setminus N_G(u)| \le 1$. By Claim 6, $|N_G(u) \cap I| \le 2$. Thus, $|N_G(u) \cap I| + |N_G(X \setminus N_G(u)) \cap I| \le 4 < r = \alpha$, where the last equality follows from Claim 8, implying that $I \setminus N_G(X \cup \{u\}) \ne \emptyset$. For each $z'' \in I \setminus N_G(X \cup \{u\})$, we have

$$r = d_G(z'') \le |I' \setminus X| = \alpha - 1, \tag{1}$$

implying that $\alpha \ge r+1$, contradicting Claim 8. Hence the result follows.

We end this section by applying Proposition 2.6 to prove that $T' \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $N_G(W) \cap V(M_2^v) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.7. For any $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $T' \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose that $T' = \emptyset$. If $T'' = \emptyset$, then, $\alpha(G) = \frac{|V|+1}{2}$, contradicting Proposition 2.1. Thus, $T'' \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, |T''| is even. By Lemma 2.5 (ii), T'' is a clique. Assume that $T'' \cong K_q$. Since $N_G(W) \subseteq I$ and $N_G(T'') \subseteq I$, $\partial(I) = \partial(W \cup T'')$ implies that

$$\alpha(G) \times r = |\partial(I)| = |\partial(W)| + |\partial(T'')| = (\alpha(G) - 1) \times r + q(r - q + 1), \tag{2}$$

implying that $q = r \ge 6$. Now assume that u and u' are any two vertices in T''. Let $I' := W \cup \{u'\}$ and $X := T'' \setminus \{u\}$. Observe that I' is an independent set with $I' \cap I = \emptyset$ and |I'| = |I|, and $G - (I \cup I' \cup \{u\}) = G[T'' \setminus \{u, u'\}]$ is a complete graph with even order and thus has a perfect matching. By the definition of T'', we have $N_G(z) \cap I' = \{u'\}$ for each $z \in V \setminus (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$. But, Xis an odd clique of $G - (I \cup \{u\})$ with $|X| = q - 1 \ge 5$ and $N_G(X) \subseteq I \cup X \cup \{u\}$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.6.

3 When $|N_G(u) \cap W| \le 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$

In this section, we show that $|N_G(V \setminus (I \cup W)) \cap W| \le 1$ if $|N_G(u) \cap W| \le 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$.

Recall that $M_{2,1}^v$ is the set of edges $u_1u_2 \in M_2^v$ such that $|N_G(u_i) \cap W| \leq 1$ for i = 1, 2, where $|N_G(u_i) \cap W| = 1$ holds for at least one $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and for each $w \in W$, $T_w = \{w\} \cup \{u_1, u_2 : u_1u_2 \in M_{2,1}^v, w \in N_G(u_1) \cup N_G(u_2)\}$. Obviously, $G[T_w]$ is connected for each $w \in W$. Lemma 2.4 (ii) shows that for each pair of vertices $w_1, w_2 \in W$, $T_{w_1} \cap T_{w_2} = \emptyset$. Thus, $V \setminus (I \cup T'')$ can be partitioned into $V(M_{2,2}^v)$ and T_w 's for all $w \in W$. By Lemma 2.4 (iv), $M_{2,2}^v$ contains at most one edge (i.e., $|N_G(u) \cap W| \geq 2$ holds for at most one vertex $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$). However, if $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$, then $M_{2,2}^v = \emptyset$, and $V \setminus (I \cup T'')$ can be partitioned into T_w 's for all $w \in W$.

3.1 Relation between T_{w_1} and T_{w_2}

In this subsection, we mainly study the relation between T_w and T', or between T_w and $T_{w'}$, where $w, w' \in W$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$. If $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$, then the following properties hold:

- (i). for any $w_1, w_2 \in W$, $G[T_{w_1} \cup T_{w_2} \cup T'']$ does not have any perfect matching, and in particular, $G[T_{w_1} \cup T_{w_2}]$ does not have any perfect matching;
- (ii). for any $w_1, w_2 \in W$, if T_{w_1} and T_{w_2} are both cliques, then $E_G(T_{w_1}, T_{w_2}) = \emptyset$;
- (iii). for any $w \in W$, $\alpha(G[T_w \cup T'']) \leq 2$; and $\alpha(G[T_w]) = 2$ holds for at most one vertex $w \in W$; and
- (iv). for $w \in W$, if $G[T_w \cup T'']$ is connected but not 2-connected, then for any cut-vertex u of $G[T_w \cup T'']$, $G[T_w \cup T''] u$ has exactly two components O_1 and O_2 such that both $O_1 \cup \{u\}$ and O_2 are cliques of G.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 (ii), $E_G(T_w, W \setminus \{w\}) = \emptyset$ for any vertex w of W.

(i). Suppose that M' is a perfect matching of $G[T_{w_1} \cup T_{w_2} \cup T'']$. Let $M'' := M_2^v \setminus E(G[T_{w_1} \cup T_{w_2} \cup T''])$. Then $M' \cup M''$ is a perfect matching of G[X], where $X := V(M' \cup M'')$ is a subset of $V \setminus I$ with $|X \cap W| = 2$ and $V \setminus (I \cup W) \subseteq X$, a contradiction to Lemma 2.3.

- (ii) If $E_G(T_{w_1}, T_{w_2}) \neq \emptyset$, then $G[T_{w_1} \cup T_{w_2}]$ has a perfect matching M, contradicting (i).
- (iii). If $\alpha(G[T_w \cup T'']) \ge 3$, then

$$\alpha(G) \ge \alpha(G[T_w \cup T'']) + \alpha(G[W \setminus \{w\}) \ge 3 + \alpha(G) - 2 = \alpha(G) + 1 > \alpha(G), \tag{3}$$

a contradiction. Similarly, if $\alpha(T_{w_i}) = 2$ for both i = 1, 2, then

$$\alpha(G) \ge \alpha(G[T_{w_1} \cup T_{w_2}]) + \alpha(G[W \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}]) \ge 4 + \alpha(G) - 3 > \alpha(G), \tag{4}$$

a contradiction. Thus, (iii) holds.

(iv). Let $X = T_w \cup T''$.

Assume that G[X] is not 2-connected. Let u be a cut-vertex of G[X]. Then, G[X] - u has at least two components. If it has more than two components, then $\alpha(G[X]) \ge 3$, a contradiction. Let O_1 and O_2 be the vertex sets of the two components of G[X] - u. Since $\alpha(G[X]) = 2$ and u is a cut-vertex of G[X], it can be verified easily that O_i is a clique for both i = 1, 2, and $G[O_i \cup \{u\}]$ is also a clique for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. (iv) holds.

3.2 $|T_w| \ge 3$ for only one vertex $w \in W$

Let W' be the set of vertices $w \in W$ with $|T_w| \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.7, $W' \neq \emptyset$. Let w_0 be a vertex in W' such that T_w is a clique for each $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$, and if T_{w_0} is also a clique, then $|E_G(T_{w_0}, T'')| \geq |E_G(T_w, T'')|$ for each $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$. By Lemma 3.1 (iii), such a vertex w_0 exists. Thus, $N_G(T'') \not\subseteq I \cup T''$ if and only if $E(T'', T_{w_0}) \neq \emptyset$. In this subsection, we will show that $W' = \{w_0\}$ and $G[T'' \cup T_{w_0}]$ is connected. The notations w_0 and W' will be applied in the remainder of this section.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{T_w : w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}\} \cup \{T_{w_0} \cup T''\}.$

Lemma 3.2. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with the property that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$. Assume that $w_0 \in W'$ is the vertex introduced above and $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is connected. For any $X \in \mathcal{X}$,

- (i). if $|X| \ge r+1$, then $|\partial(X)| \ge r+1$;
- (ii). if $|X| \le r-1$, then either $|\partial(X)| \ge 2r+1$ or G[X] is isomorphic to some graph in $\{K_3, K_{r-1}, K_{r-1}-e\}$, where r = 6 when $G[X] \cong K_3$; and
- (iii). if G[X] is not 2-connected, then $|\partial(X)| \ge 2r 1$.

Proof. (i). Since $N_G(x) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ for any vertex x of X, $|X| \ge r+1$ implies that $|\partial(X)| \ge |X| \ge r+1$.

(ii). Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$ with $|X| \leq r - 1$. Suppose that $|\partial(X)| \leq 2r$. By the definition, |X| is odd. Observe that

$$|\partial(X)| = r|X| - 2|E(G[X])| \ge r|X| - |X|(|X| - 1) = |X|(r - |X| + 1).$$
(5)

Suppose that $|\partial(X)| \leq 2r$. (5) implies that $|X| \in \{3, r-1\}$ and, if |X| = 3, then $G[X] \cong K_3$ and r = 6; otherwise, $G[X] \cong K_{r-1}$ or $G[X] \cong K_{r-1} - e$. The result holds.

(iii). Assume that G[X] is not 2-connected. By (ii), the result holds when $|X| \leq r - 1$.

Now consider the case $|X| \geq r$. Since G[X] is not 2-connected, X is not a clique, and thus $X = T_{w_0} \cup T''$. By Lemma 3.1 (iv), for any cut-vertex u of G[X], G[X] - u has exactly two components O_1 and O_2 such that both $O_1 \cup \{u\}$ and O_2 are cliques of G. If $|O_2| \geq r$, then for any vertex $u' \in N_G(u) \cap O_2$, $N_G(u') \cap I \neq \emptyset$, implying that $d_G(u') \geq |O_2| - 1 + 2 \geq r + 1$, a contradiction too. Similarly, $|O_1| \leq r - 1$. Observe that

$$|\partial(X)| \ge |O_1|(r - |O_1|) + 1 + |O_2|(r - |O_2|) \ge 2r - 1.$$
(6)

The result holds.

9

Lemma 3.3. Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ with the property that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$. Assume that $w_0 \in W'$ is the vertex introduced before Lemma 3.2. Then $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is connected.

Proof. Suppose that $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is not connected. By definition, $G[T_{w_0}]$ is connected, implying that $T'' \neq \emptyset$ and $E(T_{w_0}, T'') = \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.1 (iii), T_{w_0} is a clique, implying that T_w is a clique for each $w \in W'$ by the assumption of w_0 . Since $E(T_{w_0}, T'') = \emptyset$, we have $E(T_w, T'') = \emptyset$ for each $w \in W$ by the assumption of w_0 . By Lemma 3.1 (ii), $E(T_w, T_{w'}) = \emptyset$ for each pair of $w, w' \in W'$. It follows that $|\partial(V \setminus I)| = |\partial(T'')| + \sum_{w \in W} |\partial(T_w)|$.

For each $w \in W'$, since T_w is a clique, we have $3 \leq |T_w| \leq r-1$, and thus $|\partial(T_w)| = |T_w|(r-T_w+1) \geq 2r-2$. By Lemma 2.5 (ii), T'' is a clique, implying that $|T''| \leq r$ by the fact that G is r-regular and |T''| is even. Thus, $|\partial(T'')| \geq |T''|(r-|T''|+1) \geq r$.

Since $|\partial(I)| = |\partial(V \setminus I)|$, we have

$$r\alpha(G) = |\partial(I)| = |\partial(T'')| + \sum_{w \in W} |\partial(T_w)| \ge r + |W'|(2r-2) + (|W| - |W'|)r = r\alpha(G) + (r-2)|W'|,$$
(7)

a contradiction. Thus, the result holds.

Lemma 3.4. Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ with the property that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$. Assume that $E(T_{w_0} \cup T'', T_w) = \emptyset$ for each $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$. If $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is 2-connected, then $|W'| \leq 2$; otherwise, |W'| = 1.

Proof. Let $X_0 = T_{w_0} \cup T''$. For each $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$, by Lemma 3.1 (iii), T_w is a clique, implying that $3 \leq |T_w| \leq r-1$, and $|\partial(T_w)| = |T_w|(r-|T_w|+1) \geq 2(r-1)$, where the equality holds whenever $|T_w| = r-1$. Note that $|\partial(I)| = |\partial(V \setminus I)|$. For any $w_1, w_2 \in W' \setminus \{w\}$, $E(T_{w_1}, T_{w_2}) = \emptyset$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii). Since $E(T_{w_0} \cup T'', T_w) = \emptyset$ for each $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$, we have

$$r|I| = |\partial(I)| = |E(I, X_0)| + \sum_{w \in W \setminus \{w_0\}} |E(I, T_w)|$$

$$= |\partial(X_0)| + \sum_{w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}} |\partial(T_w)| + (|W| - |W'|)r$$

$$\geq |\partial(X_0)| + (|W'| - 1)(2r - 2) + (|W| - |W'|)r$$

$$= r(|W| + 1) + (r - 2)|W'| - (3r - 2) + |\partial(X_0)|.$$
(8)

By Lemma 3.2, when $G[X_0]$ is 2-connected, $|\partial(X_0)| \ge r+1$; otherwise, $|\partial(X_0)| \ge 2r-1$. Thus, (8) implies that $|W'| \le 2$ when $G[X_0]$ is 2-connected, and |W'| = 1 otherwise. The result holds.

Lemma 3.5. Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ with the property that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$. If $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is not 2-connected, then $V = I \cup W \cup T_{w_0} \cup T''$, i.e., $W' = \{w_0\}$.

Proof. Let $X_0 = T_{w_0} \cup T''$. By Lemma 3.3, $G[X_0]$ is connected. Now assume that $G[X_0]$ is not 2connected. Suppose that $W' \neq \{w_0\}$. Lemma 3.1 (iii) implies that T_w is a clique for any $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$. By Lemma 3.4, there exists $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$ such that $E(X_0, T_w) \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 1: There exists a cut vertex $u \in X_0$, such that $G[X_0] - u$ has exactly two odd components O_1 and O_2 . Suppose the claim fails. By Lemma 3.1 (iv), for any cut-vertex u of $G[X_0]$, $G[X_0] - u$ has exactly two components O_1 and O_2 such that both $O_1 \cup \{u\}$ and O_2 are cliques of G. As the claim fails, both O_1 and O_2 must be even cliques. We also have $|N_G(u) \cap V(O_2)| \ge 2$; otherwise, the only vertex in $N_G(u) \cap V(O_2)$ is a cut-vertex of $G[X_0]$ which makes the claim hold. Then $E(X_0, T_w) \neq \emptyset$ implies that $G[X_0 \cup T_w]$ has a perfect matching, contradicting the result of Lemma 3.1 (i). Thus, the claim holds.

Claim 2: $E_G(X_0, T_w) = E_G(u, T_w)$ for each $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$.

By Claim 1, for any $u' \in X_0 \setminus \{u\}$, $G[X_0] - u'$ has a perfect matching as both $|O_1|$ and $|O_2|$ are odd. For any $w \in W' \setminus \{w_0\}$, if there is an edge $zu' \in E(G)$ with $z \in T_w$ and $u' \in X_0 \setminus \{u\}$, then $G[X_0 \cup T_w]$ has a perfect matching, contradicting the result of Lemma 3.1 (i). Thus, the claim holds.

Now we are going to apply Proposition 2.6. Choose $y_i \in O_i$ for i = 1, 2. Since $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$, $I' := \{y_1, y_2\} \cup (W \setminus \{w_0\})$ is an independent set of G with $|I'| = |I| = \alpha(G)$ and $I' \cap I = \emptyset$. By Claim 2, for any $w \in W \setminus \{w_0\}$, we have $E_G(X_0, T_w) = E_G(u, T_w)$. By the selection of y_1 and y_2 , $|N_G(z) \cap \{y_1, y_2\}| \leq 1$ for each $z \in X_0 \setminus \{y_1, y_2, u\}$. Hence, for any $u' \in V \setminus (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$, Claim 1 implies that $|N_G(u') \cap I'| \leq 1$. It is also clear that $G - (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$ has a perfect matching which can be obtained by combining $M_2^v \setminus E(G[X_0])$ with perfect matchings in both $G[O_1] - y_1$ and $G[O_2] - y_2$.

Let $X = T_w$. Obviously, $|X \cap I'| = 1$. For any $w' \in W' \setminus \{w_0, w\}$, by Lemma 3.1 (iii), $T_{w'}$ is a clique, and then $E_G(X, T_{w'}) = \emptyset$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii). Thus, by Claim 1, $N_G(X) \subseteq \{u\} \cup X \cup I$. The existence of such a set X contradicts Proposition 2.6.

Hence the result holds.

Lemma 3.6. Let
$$G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$$
 such that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$. If $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is 2-connected, then $V = I \cup W \cup T_{w_0} \cup T''$, i.e., $W' = \{w_0\}$, and $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is isomorphic to some graph in $\{K_3, K_{r-1}, K_{r-1} - e\}$, where $r = 6$ if $G[T_{w_0} \cup T''] \cong K_3$.

Proof. Let $X_0 = T_{w_0} \cup T''$. Assume that $G[X_0]$ is 2-connected. By Lemma 3.4, $|W'| \leq 2$. Thus, $W' = \{w_0\}$ or $W' = \{w_0, w_1\}$. If $W' = \{w_0, w_1\}$, let $X_1 = T_{w_1}$, implying that X_1 is a clique by Lemma 3.1 (iii).

Claim 1: If $W' = \{w_0, w_1\}$, then $E_G(X_0, X_1) = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $E_G(X_0, X_1) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.1 (iii), $\alpha(G[X_0]) \leq 2$. Since $G[X_0]$ is 2-connected, by Corollary 1.4, $G[X_0]$ is factor-critical. Then, for any edge u_0u_1 with $u_0 \in X_0$ and $u_1 \in X_1$, $G[X_i] - u_i$ has a perfect matching for both i = 1, 2, implying that $G[X_0 \cup X_1]$ has a perfect matching, a contradiction to Lemma 3.1 (i). Thus, the claim holds.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0\}$ when |W'| = 1, and $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$ otherwise.

Claim 2: $|\partial(X_0)| \le 2r$, and if $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$, then $|\partial(X_0)| + |\partial(X_1)| = 3r$.

If X_1 exists, then X_1 is a clique and $E(X_0, X_1) = \emptyset$ by Claim 1. Thus,

$$r\alpha(G) = r \cdot |I| = |\partial(X_0)| + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{X_0\}} |\partial(X)| + r \cdot (\alpha(G) - 1 - |W'|).$$
(9)

By (9), if $|\mathcal{X}| = 1$, then it is clear that $|\partial(X_0)| = 2r$. If $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$, by (9), $|\partial(X_0)| + |\partial(X_1)| = 3r$. But, as $|\partial(X_1)| \ge 2r - 2$ by Lemma 3.2 (ii), we have $|\partial(X_0)| \le r + 2$. The claim holds.

Claim 3: If $|X_0| \leq r - 1$, then $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0\}$ and the result holds.

Assume that $|X_0| \leq r - 1$. By Lemma 3.2 (ii), either $|\partial(X_0)| \geq 2r + 1$ or $G[X_0]$ is isomorphic to some graph in $\{K_3, K_{r-1}, K_{r-1} - e\}$, where r = 6 when $G[X] \cong K_3$. It follows that $|\partial(X_0)| \geq 2r - 2$. If $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$, then X_1 is a clique and $|\partial(X_1)| \geq 2r - 2$ by Lemma 3.2 (ii), implying that $|\partial(X_1)| + |\partial(X_1)| > 3r$, contradicting Claim 2. Thus, $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0\}$. By Lemma 3.2 (ii) again, the claim holds.

Hence it remains to show that $|X_0| \ge r$ cannot happen.

Claim 4: If $|X_0| \ge r+1$ and $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$, then $|X_1| = r-1$.

As $|X_0| \ge r+1$, by Lemma 3.2 (i), $|\partial(X_0)| \ge r+1$. If $|X_1| < r-1$, then Lemma 3.2 (ii) implies that either $|\partial(X_1)| \ge 2r+1$ or $G[X_1] \cong K_3$ when r=6. But, if r=6 and $G[X_1] \cong K_3$, $|\partial(X_1)| = 3(r-2) = 12 = 2r$. Thus, we always have $|\partial(X_1)| \ge 2r$, implying that

$$r\alpha(G) \ge |\partial(X_0)| + |\partial(X_1)| + r(|W| - 2) \ge r + 1 + 2r + r(\alpha(G) - 3) > r\alpha(G),$$
(10)

a contradiction. The claim holds.

Claim 5: If $|X_0| \ge r+1$, then $N_G(z) \not\subseteq X_0$ for each $z \in I$.

Suppose that $N_G(z) \subseteq X_0$ for some $z \in I$. Then, $N_G(z) \subseteq X_0 \setminus \{u\}$ for some $u \in X_0$, and $G[X_0] - u$ has a minimal matching M_0 isolating z, as $G[X_0]$ is factor-critical by Corollary 1.4. By Theorem 1.1, $G_0 := G - (V(M_0) \cup \{z\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some $t \ge 1$. Note that $I_0 := I \setminus \{z\}$ and $W_0 := (W \setminus \{w_0\}) \cup \{u\}$ are two independent sets in G_0 with size $\alpha(G) - 1 \ge 2$. Thus, $G_0 \cong K_{t,t}$ with $t \ge \alpha(G) - 1$. Since $u \notin N_G(z)$ and $N_G(u) \cap I \ne \emptyset$, we have $I_0 \subseteq N_G(u)$. Thus,

$$r = d_G(u) = |N_G(u) \cap X_0| + |N_G(u) \cap I| \ge 2 + |I| - 1 = |I| + 1,$$
(11)

implying that $|I| \leq r - 1$. If $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$, then $X_1 \subseteq V(G_0)$. By Claim 4, $G[X_1]$ is isomorphic to K_{r-1} , contradicting the result that G_0 is bipartite. Hence $W' = \{X_0\}$ and $V = I \cup W \cup X_0$. It follows that for each $w \in W \setminus \{w_0\}$, $N_G(w) \subseteq I$, implying that $|I| \geq r$, a contradiction to (11). The claim holds.

Claim 6: $|X_0| \le r - 1$.

Suppose that $|X_0| \ge r$. Since $|X_0|$ is odd, we have $|X_0| \ge r+1$. As $|E_G(x, I)| \ge 1$ for each $x \in X_0$, Claim 2 implies that $|E_G(x_0, I)| = 1$ for some $x_0 \in X_0$. Let z_0 be the vertex in $I \cap N_G(x_0)$. By Claim 5, $N_G(z_0) \not\subseteq X_0$. Choose a vertex y_0 in $N_G(z_0) \setminus X_0$ such that $y_0 \in W \setminus \{w_0\}$ if $E_G(z_0, W \setminus \{w_0\}) \ne \emptyset$, as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: (a) $y_0 \in N_G(z_0) \cap W$, and (b) $N_G(z_0) \cap W = \emptyset$

Since G[X] is factor-critical by Corollary 1.4, $G[X] - x_0$ has a perfect matching M'. Thus, M' has a minimal subset M_0 such that x_0 is isolated by the matching $M'' := \{z_0y_0\} \cup M_0$. By Theorem 1.1, $G_1 := G - (V(M'') \cup \{x_0\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some $t \ge 1$. Observe that both $I_1 := I \setminus \{z_0\}$ and $W_1 := W \setminus \{w_0, y_0\}$ are independent sets of G_1 . As $|I_1| = \alpha(G) - 1 \ge 2$, we have $G_1 \cong K_{t,t}$, where $t \ge \alpha(G) - 1$. Assume that (A, B) is a bipartition of G_1 with $I_1 \subseteq A$. Clearly, |A| = |B|.

We claim that $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0\}$. If $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$, then X_1 is a clique of size r - 1 by Claim 4. Then, $X_1 \setminus \{y_0\} \subseteq V(G_1)$, implying that G_1 contains a clique of size at least $r - 1 \ge 4$, contradicting the fact that G_1 is bipartite. $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0\}$ and $N_G(z_0) \notin X_0$ imply that $E_G(z_0, W \setminus \{w_0\}) \neq \emptyset$.

Note that $V(G_1) = I_1 \cup W_1 \cup (X_0 \setminus (V(M_0) \cup \{x_0\}))$. Since G_1 is bipartite, for each edge u_1u_2 in $G[X_0] - (V(M_0) \cup \{x_0\})$, we have $u_i \in A$ and $u_{3-i} \in B$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$. As $I_1 \subseteq A$, and $|I_1| = |W_1| + 2$, we have $|A| \neq |B|$, no matter whether $W_1 \subseteq A$ or $W_1 \subseteq B$. Thus Claim 6 holds.

By Claims 6 and 3, the result holds.

4 $|V| = 2\alpha(G) + 1$ if $|N_G(u) \cap W| \le 1$ for each $u \in V \setminus (I \cup W)$

In this section, we will show that for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$, if $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in T'$, then r = 6and $V = I \cup W \cup X$, where $X \subseteq V \setminus I$ is a clique with |X| = 3, $|X \cap W| = 1$ and $N_G(X) \subseteq X \cup I$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$ with the property that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in V(M_2^v)$. Then, r = 6 and $V = I \cup W \cup X$, where $X \subseteq V \setminus I$ is a clique with |X| = 3, $|X \cap W| = 1$ and $N_G(X) \subseteq X \cup I$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is connected, where w_0 is the vertex introduced before Lemma 3.2. By Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, $V = I \cup W \cup T_{w_0} \cup T''$, i.e., $W' = \{w_0\}$. Let $X = T_{w_0} \cup T''$.

Claim 1: $G[T_{w_0} \cup T'']$ is 2-connected.

It is known that G[X] is connected. Since $W' = \{w_0\}$, we have

$$r\alpha(G) = |\partial(I)| = |E_G(X, I)| + r|W \setminus \{w_0\}| = |\partial(X)| + r(\alpha(G) - 2),$$
(12)

implying that $|\partial(X)| = 2r$. Suppose G[X] is not 2-connected. By Lemma 3.1 (iv), for any cut-vertex u of G[X], G[X] - u has exactly two components O_1 and O_2 such that both $O_1 \cup \{u\}$ and O_2 are

cliques of G. Clearly, $|O_i| \leq r$ for each i = 1, 2. Since $N_G(z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ for each $z \in X$, then $|O_i| = r$ implies that $E_G(u, O_i) = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus, $|O_i| \leq r - 1$ for both i = 1, 2. Since $O_1 \subseteq N_G(u)$, $N_G(u) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(u) \cap O_2 \neq \emptyset$, we have $|O_1| \leq r - 2$.

Since O_i is a clique and $N_G(O_i) \subseteq O_i \cup \{u\} \cup I$ for i = 1, 2,

$$|E_G(O_1, I)| = |O_1|(r - |O_1|), \text{ and } |E_G(O_2, I)| \ge |O_2|(r - |O_2|).$$
 (13)

Clearly, $|O_i|(r - |O_i|) = r - 1$ when $|O_i| \in \{1, r - 1\}$, and $|O_i|(r - |O_i|) \ge 2r - 4$ when $2 \le |O_i| \le r - 2$. Thus, if $|O_1| > 1$, then $|O_1|(r - |O_1|) \ge 2r - 4$, implying that

$$|\partial(X)| = |E_G(u,I)| + |E_G(O_1,I)| + |E_G(O_2,I)| \ge 1 + (2r-4) + (r-1) = 3r - 4 > 2r,$$
(14)

contradicting (12). If $|O_1| = 1$ and $2 \le |O_2| < r - 1$, then

$$|\partial(X)| = |E_G(u, I)| + |E_G(O_1, I)| + |E_G(O_2, I)| \ge 1 + r - 1 + 2r - 4 = 3r - 4 > 2r,$$
(15)

contradicting (12). If $|O_1| = |O_2| = 1$, then,

$$|\partial(X)| = |E_G(u,I)| + |E_G(O_1,I)| + |E_G(O_2,I)| = (r-2) + (r-1) + (r-1) = 3r - 4 > 2r, \quad (16)$$

contradicting (12). Finally, for the case that $|O_1| = 1$ and $|O_2| = r - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial(X)| &= |E_G(u,I)| + |E_G(O_1,I)| + |E_G(O_2,I)| \\ &= r - 1 + (r - 1 - |N_G(u) \cap O_2|) + 2(r - 1) - |N_G(u) \cap O_2| \\ &\ge 4(r - 1) - 2(r - 2) = 2r, \end{aligned}$$
(17)

where the equality holds if and only if $|N_G(u) \cap O_2| = r - 2$. If $|O_1| = 1$, $|O_2| = r - 1$ and $|N_G(u) \cap O_2| = r - 2$, G[X] is the graph shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: $O_1 = \{y_0\}, O_2$ is a clique of size r - 1 and $|N_G(u) \cap O_2| = O_2 \setminus \{x_0\}$

Now we are going to apply Proposition 2.6 to show that the above conclusion leads to a contradiction. Let $I' = \{x_0, y_0\} \cup (W \setminus \{w_0\})$, where y_0 is the only vertex in O_1 and x_0 is the only vertex in $O_2 \setminus N_G(u)$, see Figure 3. Clearly, $I' \cap I = \emptyset$, and I' is an independent set of G. Observe that $G - (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$ has a perfect matching, since it is actually the graph $G[O_2 \setminus \{x_0\}] \cong K_{r-2}$. As $V_0 := V \setminus (I \cup I' \cup \{u\}) = O_2 \setminus \{x_0\}$, for any vertex $u' \in V_0$, we have $N_G(u') \cap I' = \{x_0\}$. Now let $X' := O_2$. Observe that X' is an odd clique of $G - (I \cup \{u\})$ with the property that |X'| = r - 1 > 3, $X' \cap I' = \{x_0\}$, and $N_G(X') \subseteq \{u\} \cup X' \cup I$. By Proposition 2.6, such a clique X' of G - I should not exist, a contradiction. Thus, Claim 1 holds.

Now we are going to complete the proof. By Claim 1, G[X] is 2-connected. Then, by Lemma 3.6, $V = I \cup W \cup X$, $G[X] \cong K_3$ when r = 6, or $G[X] \cong K_{r-1}$ or $G[X] \cong K_{r-1} - e$. If $G[X] \cong K_{r-1}$, we have $|\partial(X)| = 2r - 2$, contradicting (12). Thus, we need only to show that the conclusion $G[X] \cong K_{r-1} - e$ leads to a contradiction.

Claim 2: There is no matching M_0 of G with $M_0 \subseteq E_G(I, X)$ and $|M_0| = 4$.

If G has a matching $M_0 \subseteq E_G(I, X)$ with $|M_0| = 4$, then $G[X \setminus V(M_0)]$ has a near-perfect matching M_1 . Note that the vertex set of $G - V(M_0 \cup M_1)$ can be partitioned into independent sets $I_1 := I \setminus V(M_0)$ and $W_1 := (W \setminus X) \cup \{x'\}$, where x' is the vertex in $X \setminus V(M_0 \cup M_1)$. Observe that $|I_1| = \alpha(G) - 4$ while $|W_1| = \alpha(G) - 1$, a contradiction to Proposition 2.2. Thus, Claim 2 holds.

Let x_1 and x_2 be the two non-adjacent vertices in G[X]. Then, for any $x \in X$, $|N_G(x) \cap I| = 3$ if $x \in \{x_1, x_2\}$, and $|N_G(x) \cap I| = 2$ otherwise. By Claim 2 and Hall's Theorem [6, 7], there exists $I_0 \subseteq I$ with $|I_0| = 3$ such that $N_G(x) \cap I \subseteq I_0$ for each $x \in X$. Clearly, $N_G(x_i) \cap I = I_0$ for i = 1, 2.

Claim 3: There is no matching $M_0 \subseteq E_G(I, X \setminus \{x_1, x_2\})$ such that $V(M_0) \cap I = I_0$.

Suppose that $M_0 \subseteq E_G(I, X \setminus \{x_1, x_2\})$ is a matching such that $V(M_0) \cap I = I_0$. Then, $|M_0| = 3$ and the graph $H := G[X] - (V(M_0) \cap X)$ is isomorphic to $K_{r-4} - e$ and the two non-adjacent vertices in H are x_1 and x_2 . Thus, $H - \{x_1, x_2\}$ has a perfect matching M'. Note that $M' = \emptyset$ when r = 6. Then, x_1 is isolated by the minimal matching $M'' := M_0 \cup M'$. By Theorem 1.1, $G - (V(M'') \cup \{x_1\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some $t \ge 1$. However, the vertex set of $G - (V(M'') \cup \{x_1\})$ can be partitioned into independent sets $I \setminus V(M_0)$ and $(W \setminus X) \cup \{x_2\}$ whose sizes differs by 3, a contradiction. Thus, Claim 3 holds.

By Hall's Theorem [6, 7], Claim 3 implies that there exists $I' := \{z_1, z_2\} \subseteq I_0$ such that $N_G(x) \cap I = I'$ for each $x \in X_0 := X \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$, as shown in Figure 4. Let x_3 be a vertex in X_0 . Clearly, $G[X] - \{x_i : X \in X_0 \in X \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$, as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: $N_G(x) \cap I = \{z_1, z_2\}$ for each $x \in X_0$, and $N_G(x_i) \cap I = \{z_i : i \in [3]\}$

 $i \in [3]$ } is isomorphic to K_{r-4} and thus has a perfect matching N_1 . Then, $N_2 := \{x_1z_1, x_2z_2\} \cup N_1$ is a minimal matching of G isolating x_3 . By Theorem 1.1, $H_0 := G - (V(N_2) \cup \{x_3\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$. Observe that $V(H_0)$ is partitioned into independent sets $I_2 := I \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ and $W_2 := W \setminus X$. Thus, $H_0 \cong K_{t,t}$, where $t = \alpha(G) - 2$. As $z_3 \in I_2$, we have $W_2 \subseteq N_G(z_3)$. Since $N_G(z_3) \cap X = \{x_1, x_2\}$,

$$r = d_G(z_3) = 2 + |W_2| = 2 + \alpha(G) - 2 = \alpha(G), \tag{18}$$

implying that $\alpha(G) = r$. Let $z \in I \setminus \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$. Note that $N_G(z) \subseteq W \cup X$. Since $N_G(x) \subseteq X \cup I_0$ for each $x \in X$, we have $N_G(z) \cap X = \emptyset$, implying that $N_G(z) \subseteq W \setminus X = W_2$. It follows that $\alpha - 2 = |W_2| \ge d_G(z) = r$, implying that $\alpha \ge r + 2$, a contradiction to (18).

Hence the result holds.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.6

In this section, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.6. By Lemma 2.4 (iv), there exists at most one edge $uu' \in M_2^v$ such that $|E_G(u, W)| \ge 2$. We first provide some properties on T_w 's for $w \in W$ under the assumption that such an edge exists.

Lemma 5.1. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and let $uu' \in M_2^v$ such that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \ge 2$. Then,

- (i). $N_G(u') \cap W = \emptyset;$
- (ii). $T'' \cup \{u'\}$ is a clique;
- (iii). for any $w \in W'$, T_w is a clique and $N_G(u') \cap T_w = \emptyset$; and
- (iv). $N_G(T'') \subseteq I \cup \{u, u'\}.$

Proof. (i). If $w' \in N_G(u') \cap W$, then there is a path wuu'w', where $w \in (N_G(u) \cap W) \setminus \{w'\}$, a contradiction to Lemma 2.4 (i).

(ii). By (i), $N_G(u') \cap W = \emptyset$. Thus, $T'' \cup \{u'\} \subseteq V(M_2^v) \setminus N_G(W)$, implying that $T'' \cup \{u'\}$ is a clique by Lemma 2.5 (ii).

(iii). We first prove the following claim.

Claim 1: For any $w \in W$, $\alpha(G[T_w \cup \{u'\}]) \leq 2$.

Otherwise, if I_0 is an independent set of $G[T_w \cup \{u'\}]$ with $|I_0| \ge 3$, then, by Lemma 2.4 (ii), $I_0 \cup (W \setminus \{w\})$ is an independent set of G of size $\alpha(G) + 1$, a contradiction. The claim holds.

Let $w \in W'$. Note that $T_w \setminus \{w\}$ can be partitioned into three subsets:

(a).
$$S_1(w) := \{x, y : xy \in M_2^v, xy \neq uu', \{x, y\} \subseteq N_G(w)\};$$

- (b). $S_2(w) := \{x : xy \in M_2^v, xy \neq uu', \{x, y\} \cap N_G(w) = \{x\}\};$ and
- (c). $S_3(w) := \{x : xy \in M_2^v, xy \neq uu', \{x, y\} \cap N_G(w) = \{y\}\}.$

Let $w_0 \in (W \cap N_G(u)) \setminus \{w\}$. By (i), we have $w \notin N_G(u')$. If $x \in N_G(u') \cap (S_1(w) \cup S_3(w))$, then $w_0uu'xyw$ is an M_2^v -augmenting path connecting w_0 and w, where y is the vertex with $xy \in M_2^v$, a contradiction to Lemma 2.4 (i). Hence $N_G(u') \cap (S_1(w) \cup S_3(w)) = \emptyset$.

We claim that $S_2(w) = \emptyset$. Otherwise, there exists $xy \in M_2^v$ with $xy \neq uu'$ and $\{x, y\} \cap N_G(w) = \{x\}$. As $N_G(u') \cap S_3(w) = \emptyset$, $u'y \notin E(G)$, implying that $\{w, u', y\}$ is an independent set, a contradiction to Claim 1. Thus, $N_G(u') \cap T_w = \emptyset$.

Since $N_G(u') \cap T_w = \emptyset$, for any independent set I_0 of $G[T_w]$ with $|I_0| = 2$, then $I_0 \cup \{u'\}$ is an independent set of size 3, a contradiction to Claim 1 too. Thus, $G[T_w]$ is a clique and (iii) holds.

(iv). It suffices to show that $E_G(T'', T_w) = \emptyset$ for each $w \in W'$.

Suppose that $yz \in E_G(T'', T_w)$, where $w \in W'$, $y \in T_w$ and $z \in T''$. Let $w_0 \in N_G(u) \cap W \setminus \{w\}$. By (ii) and (iii), both T_w and $T'' \cup \{u'\}$ are odd cliques. It follows that $G[T'' \cup T_w \cup \{w_0, u, u'\}]$ has a perfect matching M_0 with $w_0u, yz \in M_0$. Let $M' = M_0 \cup (M_2^v \setminus E(G[T_w \cup T'' \cup \{u, u', w'\}))$. Then the vertex set of G - V(M') can be partitioned into two independent sets I and $W \setminus \{w_0, w\}$ whose sizes differ by 3, contradicting Proposition 2.2.

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, we need to establish the following result on a necessary and sufficient condition for a connected r-regular and equimatchable graph to be F_r .

Lemma 5.2. Let G = (V, E) be a connected r-regular and equimatchable graph, where $r \ge 6$ is even. Then $G \cong F_r$ if and only if for some vertex $u, V \setminus \{u\}$ can be partitioned into independent sets X and Y with |X| = |Y|.

Proof. The necessity is obvious. We are now going to prove the sufficiency.

Let $X_0 = N_G(u) \cap X$ and $Y_0 = N_G(u) \cap Y$. We first prove the following claims.

Claim 1: $|X_0| = |Y_0| = \frac{r}{2}$ and $|X| = |Y| \ge r$.

Since G is r-regular, and both X and Y are independent sets,

$$|E_G(u,X)| = r|X| - |E_G(X,Y)| = r|Y| - |E_G(X,Y)| = |E_G(u,Y)|,$$
(19)

implying that $|X_0| = |E_G(u, X)| = |E_G(u, Y)| = |Y_0|$ and thus $|X_0| = |Y_0| = \frac{r}{2}$. For any $x \in X$, we have $N_G(x) \subseteq Y \cup \{u\}$, implying that $r \leq |Y| + 1$, and so $|Y| \geq r - 1$. Thus, there exists $y \in Y \setminus N_G(u)$. Observe that $N_G(y) \subseteq X$, implying that $r = d_G(y) \leq |X| = |Y|$. Thus, Claim 1 holds.

Claim 2: For any $x_1, x_2 \in X_0$, $G - \{u, x_1, x_2\}$ has no matching M_1 with $V(M_1) \cap Y = N_G(x_1) \cap Y$. Similarly, For any $y_1, y_2 \in Y_0$, $G - \{u, y_1, y_2\}$ has no matching M_1 with $V(M_1) \cap X = N_G(y_1) \cap Y$.

Suppose the claim fails. Then $G - \{u, x_1, x_2\}$ has a matching M' such that $V(M_1) \cap Y = N_G(x_1) \cap Y$. Then $M_0 := \{ux_2\} \cup M'$ is a minimal matching of G isolating x_1 . By Theorem 1.1, $G - (V(M_0) \cup \{x_1\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some positive integer t, contradicting the fact that the vertex set of $G - (V(M_0) \cup \{x_1\})$ can be partitioned into two independent sets $X \setminus (V(M') \cup \{x_1, x_2\})$ and $Y \setminus V(M')$ which have different. Hence Claim 2 holds.

Let
$$X_1 = (N_G(Y_0) \cap X) \setminus X_0, Y_1 = (N_G(X_0) \cap Y) \setminus Y_0, X_2 = X \setminus (X_0 \cup X_1) \text{ and } Y_2 = Y \setminus (Y_0 \cup Y_1).$$

Claim 3: Both $G[X_1 \cup Y_0]$ and $G[Y_1 \cup X_0]$ are complete bipartite graphs, as shown in Figure 5.

We claim that $Y_1 \subseteq N_G(x)$ for each $x \in X_0$. If it is not true, then there exit $y_0 \in Y_1$ such that $x_1y_0 \in E(G)$ and $x_2y_0 \notin E(G)$ for some pair of vertices $x_1, x_2 \in X_0$.

Let $Y' := N_G(x_1) \cap Y$ and $G_0 := G - \{u, x_1, x_2\}$. Obviously, $y_0 \in Y'$ and $N_{G_0}(y_0) = N_G(y_0) \setminus \{x_1\}$, implying that $d_{G_0}(y_0) = r - 1$. Note that |Y'| = r - 1. Thus, $|Y' \setminus Y_0| \ge 2$. Let $y_1 \in Y' \setminus (Y_0 \cup \{y_0\})$.



Figure 5: $X_1 = (N_G(Y_0) \cap X) \setminus X_0, Y_1 = (N_G(X_0) \cap Y) \setminus Y_0, X_2 = X \setminus (X_0 \cup X_1) \text{ and } Y_2 = Y \setminus (Y_0 \cup Y_1)$

Obviously, $N_G(y_1) \subseteq X$, implying that $d_{G_0}(y_1) \ge r-2$. For any $y \in Y'$, we also have $d_{G_0}(y) \ge r-3$. Since |Y'| = r - 1, $|N_{G_0}(S)| \ge |S|$ for each $S \subseteq Y'$. By Hall's Theorem [6, 7], G_0 has a matching M' with $V(M') \cap Y = Y'$, a contradiction to Claim 2.

Thus, $Y_1 \subseteq N_G(x)$ for each $x \in X_0$, implying that $G[Y_1 \cup X_0]$ is a complete bipartite graph. Similarly, $G[X_1 \cup Y_0]$ is a complete bipartite graph. Claim 3 holds.

Claim 4: For any $x \in X_0$, if $Y' = N_G(x) \cap Y$, then $|N_G(Y') \cap X| \leq r$. Similarly, for any $y \in Y_0$, if $X' = N_G(y) \cap X$, then $|N_G(X') \cap Y| \leq r$.

Let x' be any vertex in $X_0 \setminus \{x\}$. By Claim 2, $G - \{u, x, x'\}$ does not have a matching M' such that $V(M') \cap Y = Y'$. Since $G - \{u, x, x'\}$ is bipartite with a bipartition (X', Y), where $Z := X \setminus \{x, x'\}$, by Hall's Theorem [6, 7], there exists $S \subseteq Y'$ such that $|N_G(S) \cap Z| < |S|$.

Suppose that that $|N_G(Y') \cap X| \ge r+1$. Then $|N_G(Y') \cap Z| \ge r-1$. As |Y'| = r-1, and $|N_G(S) \cap Z| < |S|$, we have $S \ne Y'$ and so $|S| \le r-2$. For each $y \in Y'$, $|N_G(y) \cap Z| \ge r-|\{u, x, x'\}| = r-3$, implying that $|N_G(S) \cap Z| \ge r-3$. Thus, $|N_G(S) \cap Z| < |S|$ implies that $|S| \ge r-2$. Hence |S| = r-2, and $|N_G(S) \cap Z| = r-3$.

Let $Z' := N_G(S) \cap Z$. Then |Z'| = r - 3. For each $y \in S$, $N_G(y) \subseteq \{u, x, x'\} \cup Z'$. Since $d_G(y) = r$, we have $N_G(y) = \{u, x, x'\} \cup Z'$, implying that $|Y_0| = |N_G(u) \cap Y| \ge |S| = r - 2 > \frac{r}{2}$, a contradiction. Claim 4 holds.

Claim 5: $G[X_0 \cup Y_0]$ is not a complete bipartite graph.

Suppose that $G[X_0 \cup Y_0]$ is a complete bipartite graph. Then, for each $x_0 \in X_0$, $N_G(x_0) = \{u\} \cup Y_0 \cup Y_1$, implying that $|Y_1| = \frac{r}{2} - 1$.

Since $N_G(u) = X_0 \cup Y_0$, u is isolated by a perfect matching M_0 of $G[X_0 \cup Y_0]$. By Theorem 1.1, $G_0 := G - (V(M_0) \cup \{u\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some positive integer t. Clearly, G_0 is a complete bipartite graph with a bipartition $(X_1 \cup X_2, Y_1 \cup Y_2)$, where $X_2 = X \setminus (X_0 \cup X_1)$ and $Y_2 = Y \setminus (Y_0 \cup Y_1)$, see Figure 5. Thus, for each $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$, $N_G(x_1) = Y_0 \cup Y_1 \cup Y_2$ but $N_G(x_2) = Y_1 \cup Y_2$. Since $d_G(x_1) = d_G(x_2)$, we have $X_2 = \emptyset$. Similarly, $Y_2 = \emptyset$.

For each $x_1 \in X_1$, we have $N_G(x_1) = Y_0 \cup Y_1$. Thus, $r = |Y_0| + |Y_1|$, implying that $|Y_1| = r - |Y_0| = \frac{r}{2}$, contradicting the conclusion that $|Y_1| = \frac{r}{2} - 1$. Claim 5 holds.

Claim 6: $E(X_0, Y_0) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose that $E(X_0, Y_0) = \emptyset$. Then, for any $x_0 \in X_0$, $N_G(x_0) = \{u\} \cup Y_1$, implying that $|Y_1| = r-1$. Similarly, $|X_1| = r - 1$. We claim that $G[X_1 \cup Y_1]$ is a complete bipartite graph. Suppose that $x_1y_1 \notin E(G)$, where $x_1 \in X_1$ and $y_1 \in Y_1$. Since $|X_1| = |Y_1| = r - 1 > \frac{r}{2}$ and $G[X_0 \cup Y_1]$ is a complete bipartite graph by Claim 3, $G[X_0 \cup Y_1]$ has a matching M_1 with $X_0 \subseteq V(M_1)$ and $y_1 \notin V(M_1)$. Similarly, $G[Y_0 \cup X_1]$ has a matching M_2 with $Y_0 \subseteq V(M_2)$ and $x_1 \notin V(M_2)$. Clearly, $M_1 \cup M_2$ is a minimal matching of G isolating u. By Theorem 1.1, $G_0 := G - (V(M_1 \cup M_2) \cup \{u\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some positive integer t. Note that the vertex set of G_0 can be partitioned into two independent sets $(X_1 \setminus V(M_2)) \cup X_2$ and $(Y_1 \setminus V(M_1)) \cup Y_2$. Since $x_1 \in X_1 \setminus V(M_2)$ and $y_1 \in Y_1 \setminus V(M_1)$, we have $x_1y_1 \in E(G_0)$, contradicting the assumption that $x_1y_1 \notin E(G)$.

Thus, $G[X_1 \cup Y_1]$ is a complete bipartite graph. It follows that for any $x_1 \in X_1$, $N_G(x_1) = Y_0 \cup Y_1$ and so $r = |Y_0| + |Y_1| = \frac{r}{2} + r - 1 > r$, a contradiction. Claim 6 holds.

Claim 7: $|X_1| = \frac{r}{2}$ and $|Y_1| = \frac{r}{2}$.

By Claim 5, $G[X_0 \cup Y_0]$ is not a complete bipartite graph. Assume that $x_0y_0 \notin E(G)$, where $x_0 \in X_0$ and $y_0 \in Y_0$. Since $N_G(x_0) \subseteq \{u\} \cup (Y_0 \setminus \{y_0\}) \cup Y_1$ and $d_G(x_0) = r$, we have $r \leq 1 + (\frac{r}{2} - 1) + |Y_1|$, implying that $|Y_1| \geq \frac{r}{2}$. Similarly, $|X_1| \geq \frac{r}{2}$.

By Claim 6, $E_G(X_0, Y_0) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $x_0y_0 \in E(G)$, where $x_0 \in X_0$ and $y_0 \in Y_0$. Let $Y' = N_G(x_0) \cap Y$. Obviously, $y_0 \in Y'$. By Claim 3, $Y_1 \subseteq Y'$. By Claim 3 again, $X_0 \cup X_1 \subseteq N_G(Y_1) \cup N_G(y_0) \subseteq N_G(Y')$. By Claim 4, $|N_G(Y') \cap X| \leq r$, implying that $|X_0| + |X_1| \leq r$, i.e., $|X_1| \leq \frac{r}{2}$. Due to the conclusion in the previous paragraph, we have $|X_1| = \frac{r}{2}$. Similarly, $|Y_1| = \frac{r}{2}$. The claim holds.

Claim 8: $X_2 = Y_2 = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $X_2 \neq \emptyset$. By the definition of X_2 , for each $x \in X_2$, we have $N_G(x) \subseteq Y_1 \cup Y_2$, implying that $r \leq |Y_1| + |Y_2| = \frac{r}{2} + |Y_2|$ and thus $|Y_2| \geq \frac{r}{2}$. Obviously, $|Y_2| = |X_2| \geq \frac{r}{2}$.

Claims 5 and 6 imply that there exists $x_0 \in X_0$ and $y_0 \in Y_0$ such that $x_0y_0 \notin E(G)$. Since G is connected, we have $E_G(X_2 \cup Y_2, X_1 \cup Y_1) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $x'y' \in E(G)$, where $x' \in X_2$ and $y' \in Y_1$.

Since $G[X_0 \cup Y_1]$ is complete bipartite graph by Claim 3, $G[(X_0 \cup Y_1) \setminus \{x_0, y'\}]$ has a perfect matching M'. Similarly, $G[Y_0 \cup X_1]$ has a matching M'' with $V(M'') \cap Y_0 = Y_0 \setminus \{y_0, y_1\}$, where $y_1 \in Y_0 \setminus \{y_0\}$. Thus, $M_0 := \{uy_1, x'y'\} \cup M' \cup M''$ is a minimal matching of G isolating x_0 . Observe that the vertex set of $G_0 := G - (V(M_0) \cup \{x_0\})$ can be partitioned into independent sets $(X_2 \setminus \{x'\}) \cup (X_1 \setminus V(M''))$ and $\{y_0\} \cup Y_2$. By Theorem 1.1, $G_0 \cong K_{t,t}$ where $t = |X_2| + 1 \ge \frac{r}{2} + 1$, contradicting the fact that $d_{G_0}(y_0) = |X_1 \setminus V(M'')| = 2$. The claim holds.

By Claim 8, $X = X_0 \cup X_1$ and $Y = Y_0 \cup Y_1$. By Claims 1 and 7, $|X_0| = |X_1| = |Y_0| = |Y_1|$. Then, for each $x \in X_1$, we have $r = d_G(x) \le |Y_0| + |Y_1| = r$, implying that $Y_0 \cup Y_1 \subseteq N_G(x)$. Similarly, $X_0 \cup X_1 \subseteq N_G(y)$ for each $y \in Y_1$. By Claim 3, we have $|N_G(x) \cap Y_0| = \frac{r}{2} - 1$ for each $x \in X_0$ and $|N_G(y) \cap X_0| = \frac{r}{2} - 1$ for each $y \in Y_0$. Hence $G \cong F_r$. We are now ready to prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.6: Let $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}$. We first prove the following claims.

Claim 1: $|N_G(u) \cap W| \ge 2$ for some $u \in V(M_2^v)$.

Suppose that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \leq 1$ for each $u \in M_2^v$. By Proposition 4.1, r = 6 and $V = I \cup W \cup X$, where $X \subseteq V \setminus I$ is a clique with |X| = 3, $|X \cap W| = 1$ and $N_G(X) \subseteq X \cup I$, as shown in Figure 6 (a).



Figure 6: $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with $|V| = 2\alpha(G) + 1$

Let $X = \{w_1, x_1, y_1\}$, where $w_1 \in W$. Note that $N_G(X) \subseteq X \cup I$ by Lemma 2.4 (ii). Let $I_0 = N_G(w_1) \cap I$. Clearly, $|I_0| = r - 2 = 4$ and $G_0 := G - \{w_1, x_1, y_1\}$ is bipartite. If there exists a matching M' in G_0 with $I_0 \subseteq V(M')$, then $M'' := M' \cup \{x_1y_1\}$ is a minimal matching in G isolating w_1 . By Theorem 1.1, $G - (V(M'') \cup \{w_1\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some $t \ge 1$. However, the vertex set of $G - (V(M'') \cup \{w_1\})$ can be partitioned into two independent sets $I \setminus V(M')$ and $W \setminus (\{w_1\} \cup V(M'))$ whose sizes differ by 2, a contradiction.

Thus, G_0 has no matching M' with $I_0 \subseteq V(M')$. For each $z \in I_0$, since $N_G(z) \subseteq W \cup \{x_1, y_1\}$, we have $|N_G(z) \cap (W \setminus \{w_1\})| \ge r - 3 = 3$. Thus, $|W_0| \ge 3$, where $W_0 := N_{G_0}(I_0) \cap (W \setminus \{w_1\})$. Since $|I_0| = 4$, by Hall's Theorem [6, 7], we have $|W_0| < |I_0| = 4$, implying that $|W_0| = 3$, and $N_G(z) = W_0 \cup \{w_1, x_1, y_1\}$ for each $z \in I_0$.

Write $I_0 = \{z_i : i \in [4]\}$ and $W_0 = \{w_2, w_3, w_4\}$. Clearly, w_1 is isolated by the matching $M_0 := \{x_1z_1, y_1z_2, w_2z_3, w_3z_4\}$. By Theorem 1.1, $G_0 := G - (V(M_0) \cup \{w_1\})$ is isomorphic to K_{2t} or $K_{t,t}$ for some $t \ge 1$. Observe that the vertex set of G_0 can be partitioned into two independent sets $I_1 := I \setminus I_0$ and $W_1 := W \setminus \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$. Thus, $t = |I_1| = \alpha(G) - 4$, and so $\alpha(G) = 4 + t$.

Note that $w_4 \in W_1$ and $N_G(w_4) \subseteq I$. Since $I_0 \subseteq N_G(w_4)$, we have $|N_G(w_4) \cap I_1| = |I_1| = 2$, implying that $t = |I_1| = 2$ and $\alpha(G) = 6$. As $|W| = \alpha(G) - 1 = 5$, there is a vertex $w' \in W \setminus \{w_i : i \in [4]\}$. Since $N_G(I_0) \cap W = \{w_i : i \in [4]\}$, we have $N_G(w') \subseteq I \setminus I_0 = I_1$, implying that $|I_1| \ge d_G(w') = 6$, a contradiction to the conclusion that $|I_1| = 2$. Hence Claim 1 holds.

By Claim 1, there exists $uu' \in M_2^v$ such that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \ge 2$, as shown in Figure 6 (b). Let $I' := W \cup \{u'\}.$

Claim 2: $G - (I \cup \{u\})$ does not have any odd clique X with the properties that $|X| \ge 3$, $|X \cap I'| = 1$

and $N_G(X) \subseteq \{u\} \cup X \cup I$.

By Lemma 5.1 (i), I' is an independent set of G. By Lemma 5.1 (iii) and Lemma 2.4 (i) and (ii), u is the only vertex in $V \setminus (I \cup W)$ with the property that $|N_G(u) \cap W| \ge 2$. Also note that $M_2^v \setminus \{uu'\}$ is a perfect matching of the subgraph $G - (I \cup I' \cup \{u\})$.

By Proposition 2.6, Claim 2 holds.

Claim 3: $G \cong F_r$.

If $T'' \neq \emptyset$, then by Lemma 5.1 (ii), $X := T'' \cup \{u'\}$ is an odd clique with the property that $|X| \ge 3$, $X \cap I' = \{u'\}$ and $N_G(X) \subseteq I \cup X \cup \{u\}$, contradicting Claim 2. Thus, $T'' = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $w \in W'$. By Lemma 5.1 (iii), $X := T_w$ is an odd clique. It is clear that $|X| \ge 3$. By Lemma 5.1 (iii) and Lemma 2.4 (i) and (ii), $X \cap I' = \{w\}$ and $N_G(X) \subseteq I \cup X \cup \{u\}$, contradicting Claim 2. Thus, $W' = \emptyset$.

Since $T'' = \emptyset$ and $W' = \emptyset$, $V = I \cup W \cup \{u, u'\}$, as shown in Figure 6 (b). By Lemma 5.1 (i), $W_0 := W \cup \{u'\}$ is an independent set. Thus, $V \setminus \{u\}$ can be partitioned into independent sets two Iand W_0 of G with the same size. Finally, by Lemma 5.2, we have $G \cong F_r$. Claim 2 holds.

Theorem 1.6 follows from Claim 3.

6 Remarks and Problems

Theorem 1.6 contributes the final piece of solutions to the characterization of connected equimatchable *r*-regular graphs. On the study of equimatchable graphs which may be not regular, Büyükolak et al. [2] provided a complete structural characterization of equimatchable graphs without triangles, by identifying the equimatchable triangle-free graph families.

However, the structure of equimatchable graphs with triangles has not been fully characterized yet. F_r is a special class of equimatchable graphs with triangles, which is constructed from complete bipartite graphs. It is worth to explore whether equimatchable graphs with triangles can be constructed from triangle-free equimatchable graphs.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by NSFC(No. 12101203, 12371340), and Postgraduate Education Reform and Quality Improvement Project of Henan Normal University (No. XY2024JX02), and the Ministry of Education, Singapore, under its Academic Research Tier 1 (RG19/22). Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Ministry of Education, Singapore.

References

- S. Akbari, A. H. Ghodrati, M. A. Hosseinzadeh and A. Iranmanesh, Equimatchable Regular Graphs, J. Graph Theory 87 (1)(2018), 35 – 45.
- Y. Büyükolak, S. Zkan, and D. Gzüpek, Triangle-free equimatchable graphs, J. Graph Theory 99 (2022), 461 – 484.
- [3] E. Eiben and M. Kotrbcik, Equimatchable factor-critical graphs and independence number 2, arXiv:1501.07549.
- [4] E. Eiben and M. Kotrbcik, Equimatchable graphs on surfaces, J Graph Theory 81 (1) (2016), 35 49.
- [5] B. Grünbaum, Matchings in polytopal graphs, Networks. 4 (1974), 175 190.
- [6] Hall, P., On representatives of subsets. Classic Papers in Combinatorics (1987), pp. 58 62.
- [7] P. Hall, (1935), On Representatives of Subsets, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1) (1935), 26 30,
- [8] K. Kawarabayashi, M. D. Plummer, and A. Saito, On two equimatchable graph classes, *Discrete Math* 266 (2003), 263 274.
- [9] M. Lewin, Matching-perfect and cover-perfect graphs, Israel J. Math. 18 (1974), 345 347.
- [10] D. H. C. Meng, Matchings and coverings for graphs, Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University, 1974.
- M. Lesk, M. D. Plummer, and W. R. Pulleyblank, Equi-matchable graphs, Graph Theory and Combinatorics Processdings of Cambridge Combinatorial Conference in Honour of Paul Erdős, B. Bollobás(Eds.), Academic Press, London, 1984, pp. 239 – 254.