

Blow-up solutions for mean field equations with Neumann boundary conditions on Riemann surfaces

Zhengni Hu Thomas Bartsch Mohameden Ahmedou

September 2, 2024

Abstract: On a compact Riemann surface (Σ, g) with a smooth boundary $\partial\Sigma$ and $\mathring{\Sigma} = \Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$, we consider the following mean field equations with Neumann boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = \lambda \left(\frac{V e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^u dv_g} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \right) & \text{in } \mathring{\Sigma} \\ \partial_{\nu_g} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Sigma \end{cases},$$

We find conditions on potential functions $V : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that solutions exist for the parameter λ when it is in a small right (or left) neighborhood of a critical value $4\pi(m+k)$ for $k \leq m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and blow up as λ approaches the critical parameter. The blow-up occurs exactly at k points in the interior of Σ and $(m-k)$ points on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$.

Key Words: Mean field equations; Blow-up solutions; Lyapunov-Schmidt reductions

2020 AMS Subject Classification: 35B33, 35J61, 35R01.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Main results	7
3	Preliminaries	12
4	The Lyapunov-Schmidt Reduction	30
5	Variational reduction and the expansion of the energy functional	49
6	The proof of the main theorem	54
7	Appendix	59

1 Introduction

Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemann surface with smooth boundary $\partial\Sigma$. We are interested in the existence of blow-up solutions of the following mean field equations with the Neumann boundary condition:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_g u = \lambda \left(\frac{V e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^u dv_g} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \right) & \text{in } \mathring{\Sigma}, \\ \partial_{\nu_g} u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Sigma. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathring{\Sigma} = \Sigma \setminus \partial\Sigma$ denotes the interior of Σ , Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator, dv_g is the volume element in (Σ, g) , $|\Sigma|_g = \int_{\Sigma} dv_g$, the potential function $V : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth positive, and ν_g is the unit outward normal of $\partial\Sigma$. The mean field equation (1.1) arises in many problems: the Kazdan-Warner problem [21, 29, 38]; the prescribed Gauss curvature problem [10, 12, 13, 16, 19, 36]; the Chern-Simons-Higgs gauge theory [7, 22, 23, 39, 43]; statistical mechanics [8, 9, 14, 31, 33]; and the Keller-Segel system for chemotaxis collapse [4, 18, 30, 41, 45].

Considering the blow-up analysis in [32] for Riemann surfaces with boundaries, we have the compactness and concentration phenomenon for (1.1). More precisely, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1 (Lemma 3.1. of [32]). *Assume that $\lambda > 0$ and (u_n) be a sequence of solutions of (1.1) with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$. Up to a subsequence, one of the following alternatives holds:*

- (i) *There exists a constant $C_\lambda > 0$ such that $\|u_n\| \leq C_\lambda$;*
- (ii) *there exists a finite singular set $\mathcal{S} = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subset \Sigma$ such that for any $1 \leq j \leq m$, there is a sequence of points $\{p_{j,n}\} \subset \Sigma$ satisfying $p_{j,n} \rightarrow p_j$, $u_n(p_{j,n}) \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, if \mathcal{S} has k points in Σ and $(m - k)$ points on $\partial\Sigma$, then $\lambda_n \rightarrow 4(m + k)\pi$.*

If (ii) of Lemma 1.1 holds, we say $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence of blow-up solutions of (1.1) with parameter λ . The blow-up phenomenon for (1.1) can only appear when the pa-

parameter $\lambda \in 4\pi\mathbb{N}_+$, which is the so-called critical value set. The existence of solutions for $\lambda \notin 4\pi\mathbb{N}_+$ have been fully addressed in [32] for general Riemann surfaces with smooth boundaries via the min-max scheme introduced by Djadli and Malchiodi in [24]. When λ lies in the critical value set, it is more complicated to understand the solutions of mean field equations. Most studies focus on mean field equations equipped with Dirichlet boundary conditions on bounded domains of \mathbb{R}^2 or compact Riemann surfaces without boundaries. In [1], the authors establish sufficient conditions for solutions for critical value λ by the approach of “critical point at the infinity”. They calculate the topological degree d_λ under the assumption that a quantity related to Green’s function does not vanish, thereby identifying conditions that guarantee $d_\lambda \neq 0$. Moreover, the study of blow-up solutions of mean field equations has garnered significant interest. The blow-up solutions are constructed in [6, 20, 26, 35, 37, 40, 42] for the mean field equation on domains, and in [3, 25, 27] for the mean field equations on a compact surface, and the references therein. The most general condition for the existence of blow-up solutions is the existence of a “stable critical” set for a reduced function, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$ in our work.

This paper aims to construct solutions of the mean field equations (1.1) with Neumann boundary conditions for λ close to one of the critical parameter values $\lambda_{k,m} := 4\pi(m+k)$ for $k \leq m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, which blow up at k points in the interior and $(m-k)$ points on the boundary as λ approaches $\lambda_{k,m}$.

As in [25, 26], our approach to finding blow-up solutions of (1.1) is based on variational methods combined with a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, which is the so-called “localized energy method” (see [40]). The main difficulty in the Neumann boundary conditions scenario is that the blow-up point may appear on the boundary. The estimates for the interior case generally cannot be applied directly to the boundary case. The paper modifies the approximation solutions presented in [25] for Riemann surfaces and applies the techniques of Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction and the variational method to obtain a sufficient condition for the existence of blow-up solutions. Furthermore, depending on the sign of a quantity related to the potential function and Gaussian curvature of the surface, we can construct bubbling solutions for λ in

a small left (or right) neighborhood of $\lambda_{k,m}$.

We begin by defining “stable” critical point sets to state the main results. We use the following definition of stability of critical points (see [20, 26, 34]):

Definition 1.1. *Let $D \subset \mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta$, where $k \leq m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\Delta := \{\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in \Sigma^m : \xi_i = \xi_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}$. And $F : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 -function and K be a compact subset of critical points of F , i.e*

$$K \subset\subset \{x \in D : \nabla F(x) = 0\}.$$

A critical set K is stable if for any closed neighborhood U of K in $\mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, if $G : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 -function with $\|F - G\|_{C^1(U)} < \varepsilon$, then G has at least one critical point in U .

For the one-point blow-up case, we establish the following theorem for interior blow-ups:

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be a C^1 -stable critical point set of the Robin’s function $R^g(\xi)$ (defined in Section 3.2) on $\mathring{\Sigma}$. If $\Delta_g \log V(\xi) - 2K_g(\xi) + \frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|_g} > 0$ (< 0 resp.) where K_g is the Gaussian curvature of (Σ, g) then for all λ in a small right (left resp.) neighborhood of 8π , there is a bubbling solution u_λ solves (1.1). As $\lambda \rightarrow 8\pi^+$ ($\lambda \rightarrow 8\pi^-$ resp.), u_λ blow up precisely at points ξ , such that $\xi \in K$ (up to a subsequence),*

$$\frac{\lambda V e^{u_\lambda}}{\int_\Sigma V e^{u_\lambda} dv_g} \rightarrow 8\pi \delta_\xi,$$

which is convergent as measures in Σ and δ_ξ is the Dirac mass on Σ concentrated at point ξ .

We further address boundary blow-up scenarios with only one blow-up point through the following theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let K be a C^1 -stable critical point set of the Robin’s function $R^g(\xi)$ (defined in Section 3.2) on $\partial\Sigma$. If one of the following conditions holds in a small closed neighborhood of K :*

- i) $\Delta_g \log V(\xi) - 2K_g(\xi) + \frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|_g} > 0$ (< 0 resp.) and $\partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi) \geq 0$ (≤ 0 resp.)
- ii) $\Delta_g \log V(\xi) - 2K_g(\xi) + \frac{8\pi}{|\Sigma|_g} = 0$ and $\partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi) > 0$ (< 0 resp.)

then for all λ in a small right (left resp.) neighborhood of 8π , there is a bubbling solution u_λ solves (1.1). As $\lambda \rightarrow 4\pi^+$ ($\lambda \rightarrow 4\pi^-$ resp.), u_λ blow up precisely at points ξ with $\xi \in K$ (up to a subsequence),

$$\frac{\lambda V e^{u_\lambda}}{\int_\Sigma V e^{u_\lambda} dv_g} \rightarrow 4\pi \delta_\xi,$$

which is convergent as measures on Σ .

For scenarios of multiple blow-ups, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $m \geq k \geq 0$ satisfying that*

$$m+k > \frac{|\Sigma|_g}{4\pi} \sup_\Sigma (2K_g - \Delta_g \log V) \quad \left(\text{or } m+k < \frac{|\Sigma|_g}{4\pi} \sup_\Sigma (2K_g - \Delta_g \log V) \text{ resp.} \right),$$

there exists a solution u_λ of (1.1) for λ a small right (or left resp.) neighborhood of $\lambda_{k,m} := 4\pi(m+k)$ blowing up at points ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m , where $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ is a global minimum point of the reduced function $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$ on $\Xi_{k,m}$ (defined in Section 2). Moreover, there exists a sequence of blow-up solutions u_λ of (1.1) with $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}$ such that

$$\lambda \frac{V e^{u_\lambda}}{\int_\Sigma V e^{u_\lambda}} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m 8\pi \delta_{\xi_i} + \sum_{i=k+1}^m 4\pi \delta_{\xi_i},$$

which is convergent in the sense of measures on Σ .

The paper is organized as follows:

Section 1 provides a concise overview of the background and the key conclusions of this study. Section 2 details the main theorems and analyzes the conditions applied in different settings. Section 3 is dedicated to constructing approximate solutions using Liouville-type equations and providing their asymptotic estimates. Section 4 discusses applying the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method, which transforms our

problem into a finite-dimensional one. The asymptotic behavior of the reduced energy functional is analyzed in Section 5, highlighting its connection to the reduced function $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$. The proofs of our main theorems are presented in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 includes important estimates as an appendix.

2 Main results

In this section, we will discuss the definitions of quantities $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and \mathcal{B} whose signs decide the solutions we constructed in the left or right side of the critical value $\lambda_{k,m}$ and illustrate the main theorems that we obtain.

Given any integers $0 \leq k \leq m$, we define a scaling function

$$\tau_i(x) = V(x)e^{\varrho(\xi_i)H^g(x,\xi_i) + \sum_{l \neq i} \varrho(\xi_l)G^g(x,\xi_l)},$$

where for any $H^g(\cdot, \xi_i)$ is the regular part of the Green's function $G^g(\cdot, \xi_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Let K_g be the Gaussian curvature of the Riemann surface (Σ, g) , $\lambda_{k,m} = 4\pi(m+k)$ and $\varrho(x) = \begin{cases} 8\pi & \text{if } \xi \in \mathring{\Sigma} \\ 4\pi & \text{if } \xi \in \partial\Sigma \end{cases}$, through the whole paper.

We define

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) = \sum_{i=k+1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \sqrt{\tau_i(\xi_i)} \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{2} (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i)),$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\xi) = & - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{4} \log(\tau_i(\xi_i)) (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i)) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \\ & + \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(8 \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_r(\xi_i)} V e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) G^g(x, \xi_i)} dv_g - \right. \\ & \left. \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i) + 8 \sum_{i=k+1}^m \tau_i(\xi_i) \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i)}{r^2} - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \log \frac{1}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem shows that there exists a sequence of bubbling solutions of (1.1) blowing up at the stable critical points of a function $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$ related to the Neumann Green's function.

The reduced function $\mathcal{F}_{k,m} : \Xi_{k,m} := \mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) R^g(\xi_i) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \varrho(\xi_j) G^g(\xi_i, \xi_j) + \sum_{i=1}^m 2\varrho(\xi_i) \log V(\xi_i),$$

where R^g is the Regular part of the Green's function and G^g is the Green's function (refer to the details to Section 3) and the thick diagonal $\Delta := \{\xi \in \Sigma^m : \exists \xi_i = \xi_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Given integers $0 \leq k \leq m$. Let $K \subset \mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta$ be a C^1 -stable critical points set of $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$. $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{B}$ are defined by (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), respectively. If one of the following conditions is satisfied in a closed neighborhood U around K :*

a1. $\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) > 0$ (< 0 resp.) and $\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \geq 0$ (≤ 0 resp.)

a2. $\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) > 0$ (< 0 resp.)

a3. $\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = 0$, $\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) = 0$ and $\mathcal{B}(\xi) > 0$ (< 0 resp.)

then for all λ in a small right (left resp.) neighborhood of $\lambda_{k,m}$, there is a bubbling solution u_λ solves (1.1). As $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}^+$ ($\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}^-$ resp.), u_λ blow up precisely at points ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m , such that $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in K$ (up to a subsequence),

$$\frac{\lambda V e^{u_\lambda}}{\int_\Sigma V e^{u_\lambda} dv_g} \rightharpoonup \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \delta_{\xi_i},$$

which is weakly convergent as measures in Σ .

It is clear that Theorem 1.2-1.4 are the special cases of Theorem 2.1.

Based on the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \partial\Xi_{k,m}$, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.2. *Given integers $0 \leq k \leq m$. Suppose there exists a point $\xi^* \in K_{min}$ which is defined by*

$$(2.4) \quad K_{min} := \left\{ x \in \Xi_{k,m} : \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) = \inf_{\Xi_{k,m}} \mathcal{F}_{k,m} \right\}$$

and a closed neighborhood U around ξ^* where at least one of the conditions **a1**, **a2**, or **a3** from Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. Then, the conclusions in Theorem 2.1 hold. Furthermore, the bubbling solution u_λ has k local maximum points $\xi_{\lambda,i}$ in $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $m - k$ local maximum points $\xi_{\lambda,i}$ on $\partial\Sigma$ for $i = k + 1, \dots, m$ such that up to a subsequence $(\xi_{\lambda,1}, \dots, \xi_{\lambda,m})$ converges to $\xi^* := (\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*)$, the global minimum point of $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$, with

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi_{\lambda,1}, \dots, \xi_{\lambda,m}) = \min_{\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k}} \mathcal{F}_{k,m} = \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi^*).$$

Remark 1. Lemma 7.3 implies that $K_{min} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, the existence of blow-up solutions as stated in Corollary 2.2 is guaranteed under any one of the conditions **a1**, **a2**, or **a3**.

Remark 2. The quantities $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ and \mathcal{B} originate from [25], where they are used to construct blow-up solutions for singular mean-field equations on closed Riemann surfaces. In our paper, we largely adhere to the idea proposed in [25], with additional estimates for cases of boundary blow-up. Specifically, the quantity \mathcal{A}_1 appears to deal with the case $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$, and the potential V is non-constant. Notably, when Σ is a closed surface, $\mathcal{A}_1 \equiv 0$, then it reduces to the result in [25].

The quantity \mathcal{A}_2 can be re-written as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) := \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{2} \tau_i(\xi_i) (\Delta_g \log \tau_i(\xi_i) + |\nabla \log \tau_i(\xi_i)|^2 - 2K_g(\xi_i)).$$

For $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ as a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$, we observe that $\nabla \log \tau|_{x=\xi_i} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{2} \tau_i(\xi_i) \left(\Delta_g \log V - 2K_g + \frac{4\pi(k+m)}{|\Sigma|_g} \right) \Big|_{x=\xi_i}$$

for any ξ is a critical point of $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$. This observation leads us to Theorem 1.4.

The blow up analysis in [15] indicates the relationship among $\lambda_i - \lambda_{k,m}$, $\{\xi_{ij}\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_{ij}\}$ in the case when $k = m$:

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{k,m} = \frac{2}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\Delta_g \log V(\xi_{i,j}) - 2K_g(\xi_{ij}) + \frac{8\pi m}{|\Sigma|_g} \right) V^{-1}(\xi_{ij}) \frac{\varepsilon_{ij}}{e^{\varepsilon_{ij}}} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\varepsilon_{ij}}),$$

where ε_{ij} and ξ_{ij} are local maxima and local maximum points of the blow-up solutions u_i around blow-up point ξ_j as $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}$, respectively.

The sign of \mathcal{A}_2 determines the parameter λ lies in the right or left neighborhood of the critical value $\lambda_{k,m}$, which coincides with our conclusion.

Suppose that (Σ, g) has constant Gaussian curvature K_g and geodesic curvature of $\partial\Sigma$, k_g . According to the Gauss-Bonnet formula:

$$|\Sigma|_g K_g + |\partial\Sigma|_g k_g = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma),$$

Theorem 1.4 asserts that for $m + k > 2 - \frac{|\partial\Sigma|_g k_g}{2\pi}$, there exists a family of bubbling solutions u_λ for λ in a small right neighborhood of $\lambda_{k,m}$. Specially, on a flat torus Σ where $K_g \equiv 0$, given any $m \geq 1$ and $V \equiv 1$, the bubbling solution u_λ exists for λ in a small right neighborhood of $\lambda_{k,m}$. However, there are instances where $\mathcal{A}_2 \equiv 0$. For the unit sphere and the unit hemisphere with $m = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) &= \frac{\varrho(\xi_1)}{2} V(\xi_1) e^{\varrho(\xi_1) R^g(\xi_1)} (\Delta_g \log V(\xi_1)) \\ &= \frac{\varrho(\xi_1)}{2} e^{\varrho(\xi_1) R^g(\xi_1)} \Delta_g V(\xi_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{A}_2 may vanish at some point.

We also consider Riemann surfaces with boundary, which implies \mathcal{A}_1 may not vanish. Suppose only one point blow-up exists on the boundary, i.e., $m = 1, k = 0$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) = 4\pi \sqrt{V(\xi)e^{4\pi R^g(\xi)}} \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = 4\pi V(\xi)e^{4\pi R^g(\xi)} \left(\Delta_g \log V(\xi) - 2K_g(\xi) + \frac{4\pi}{|\Sigma|_g} \right).$$

In the case of hemisphere $\mathbb{S}_+^2 = \{(x, y, z) : x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1, z \geq 0\}$, $K_g \equiv 1$ and $k_g = 0$ on the boundary. For any $m + k > \chi(\mathbb{S}_+^2) = 1$, we have that for any $\Delta_g \log V(\xi) \geq 0$ in a small neighborhood of the stable critical set K_{\min} and $m \geq 2$ or $m = 1, k = 1$, Corollary 2.2 yields the existence of bubbling solutions for λ in a small right neighborhood of $\lambda_{k,m}$. For the case $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}_+^2$, and $m = 1, k = 0$, the expressions for $\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{0,1}(\xi)$ are given by:

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = 4\pi V(\xi)e^{4\pi R^g(\xi)} \Delta_g \log V(\xi)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{0,1}(\xi) = 8\pi \log V(\xi) + 16\pi^2 R^g(\xi), \text{ for } \xi \in \partial\mathbb{S}_+^2.$$

If $\Delta_g \log V(\xi) > 0$ with $\partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi) \geq 0$ in a small neighborhood of K_{\min} in $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^2$, then condition \mathbf{a}_1 is satisfied. When $\Delta_g \log V(\xi) \equiv 0$ in a small neighborhood of K_{\min} in $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^2$, and if $V : \mathbb{S}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies $\partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi) > 0$, then condition \mathbf{a}_2 holds true. Due to the invariance of the rotation around the z -axis, H^g is constant along the boundary $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^2$. We define the set

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{S}_+^2} := \left\{ V \in C^2(\mathbb{S}_+^2, \mathbb{R}_+) : V \text{ is invariant under rotation along the } z\text{-axis} \right. \\ \left. \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi) > 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{S}_+^2 \right\}$$

It is evident that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{S}_+^2} \neq \emptyset$ since $V(x, y, z) = 2 - z$ is in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{S}_+^2}$. For any $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{S}_+^2}$, condition \mathbf{a}_2 can be satisfied by taking the stable critical point set $K = \partial\mathbb{S}_+^2$.

More detailed estimates are imperative when $\mathcal{A}_1 \equiv \mathcal{A}_2 \equiv 0$ in a small neighborhood of stable critical point set. Initially, $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$ was introduced in [11] to analyze mean field equations on bounded domains. This concept was further applied by [25],

where $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$ was utilized to develop blow-up solutions in cases where $\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)$ vanishes on compact Riemann surfaces. As for the examples involving the unit sphere and flat torus, we refer to [25, Section 1].

3 Preliminaries

3.1 Isothermal Coordinate

Riemann surfaces are locally conformal flat and one can find isothermal coordinates where the metric is conformal to the Euclidean metric (see [5, 17, 28, 44], for instance). In our paper, we construct a family of isothermal coordinates based on the isothermal coordinates applied in [25] and [46], which maps an open neighborhood in Σ onto an open or half-disk in \mathbb{R}^2 .

We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_r &= \{y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y_1^2 + y_2^2 < r\}, \\ \mathbb{B}_r^+ &= \mathbb{B}_r \cap \{y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y_2 \geq 0\}, \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{R}_+^2 &= \{y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y_2 \geq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}$, there exists an isothermal coordinate system $(U(\xi), y_\xi)$ such that y_ξ maps $U(\xi)$ around ξ in Σ onto an open disk $B^\xi := \mathbb{B}_{2r_\xi}$ and transforms g to $e^{\varphi_\xi} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ with $y_\xi(\xi) = 0$, $\overline{U(\xi)} \subset \mathring{\Sigma}$.

For $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$ there exists an isothermal coordinate system $(U(\xi), y_\xi)$ around ξ such that the image of y_ξ is a half disk $\mathbb{B}_{2r_\xi}^+$, $y_\xi(U(\xi) \cap \partial\Sigma) = \mathbb{B}_{2r_\xi}^+ \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2$ and transforming g to $e^{\varphi_\xi} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$. In this case, we take $B^\xi = \mathbb{B}_{2r_\xi}^+$. For $\xi \in \Sigma$ and $0 < r \leq 2r_\xi$ we set

$$B_r^\xi := B^\xi \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y| < r\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_r(\xi) := y_\xi^{-1}(B_r^\xi).$$

Recall that $\varphi_\xi : B^\xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is related to K_g , the Gaussian curvature of Σ , by the equation

$$(3.1) \quad -\Delta\varphi_\xi(y) = 2K_g(y_\xi^{-1}(y))e^{\varphi_\xi(y)} \quad \text{for all } y \in B^\xi.$$

We may assume that y_ξ and φ_ξ depend smoothly on ξ , and that $\varphi_\xi(0) = 0$ and $\nabla\varphi_\xi(0) = 0$, as in [25].

Additionally, the isothermal coordinates reserve the Neumann boundary conditions, i.e., for any $x \in y_\xi^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{2r_\xi}^+ \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2)$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad (y_\xi)_*(\nu_g(x)) = -\exp\left(-\frac{\varphi_\xi(y)}{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \Big|_{y=y_\xi(x)}.$$

3.2 Green's functions

For any $\xi \in \Sigma$, we define the Green's function for (1.1) by following equations:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_g G^g(x, \xi) = \delta_\xi - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} & x \in \mathring{\Sigma} \\ \partial_{\nu_g} G^g(x, \xi) = 0 & x \in \partial\Sigma. \\ \int_\Sigma G^g(x, \xi) dv_g(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Remark 3. We give several important properties of Green's functions (see Lemma 6 in [46]):

- a. there exists a unique Green function $G^g(\cdot, \xi) \in L^1(\Sigma)$ solves (3.3) in the distributional sense;
- b. for any distinct points $x, \xi \in \Sigma$, $G^g(x, \xi) = G^g(\xi, x)$;
- c. the representation formula holds, for any $h \in C^2(\Sigma)$,

$$(3.4) \quad h(x) - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma h = \int_\Sigma G^g(\cdot, x)(-\Delta_g h + \int_{\partial\Sigma} G^g(\cdot, x) \partial_{\nu_g} h;$$

d. for any distinct points $x, \xi \in \Sigma$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|G^g(x, \xi)| \leq C(1 + |\log d_g(x, \xi)|), \quad |\nabla_{g, \xi} G^g(x, \xi)| \leq C d_g^{-1}(x, \xi),$$

where $d_g(x, \xi)$ denotes the geodesic distance between x and ξ .

Observe that we have for $\zeta \in U(\xi)$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{d_g(x, \zeta)}{|y_\xi(x) - y_\xi(\zeta)|} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\varphi_\xi(y_\xi(\zeta))}$, which implies that

$$(3.5) \quad R^g(\zeta) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \zeta} \left(G^g(x, \zeta) + \frac{4}{\varrho(\zeta)} \log |y_\xi(x) - y_\xi(\zeta)| \right) + \frac{2}{\varrho(\zeta)} \varphi_\xi(y_\xi(\zeta)),$$

and particularly, by the assumptions $\varphi_\xi(y_\xi(\xi)) = \varphi_\xi(0) = 0$,

$$R^g(\xi) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi} \left(G^g(x, \xi) + \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi)} \log |y_\xi(x)| \right).$$

Next, we will construct a regular part $H^g(x, \xi)$ of the Green function $G^g(x, \xi)$ such that $H^g(\xi, \xi) = R^g(\xi)$. Let χ be a radial cut-off function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ such that

$$\chi(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & |s| \leq 1 \\ 0 & |s| \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

Setting $\chi_\xi(x) = \chi(4|y_\xi(x)|/r_\xi)$, we define

$$\Gamma_\xi^g(x) := \Gamma^g(x, \xi) = -\frac{4}{\varrho(\xi)} \chi_\xi(x) \log |y_\xi(x)| = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \chi_\xi(x) \log |y_\xi(x)| & \text{if } \xi \in \mathring{\Sigma} \\ -\frac{1}{\pi} \chi_\xi(x) \log |y_\xi(x)| & \text{if } \xi \in \partial\Sigma \end{cases}.$$

Then, for $\xi \in \Sigma$ the function $H_\xi^g := H^g(\cdot, \xi) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined to be the unique solution of the Neumann problem

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g H_\xi^g = \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi)} (\Delta_g \chi_\xi) \log |y_\xi| + \frac{8}{\varrho(\xi)} \langle \nabla \chi_\xi, \nabla \log |y_\xi| \rangle_g + \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} & \text{in } \mathring{\Sigma} \\ \partial_{\nu_g} H_\xi^g = \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi)} (\partial_{\nu_g} \chi_\xi) \log |y_\xi| + \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi)} \chi_\xi \partial_{\nu_g} \log |y_\xi| & \text{on } \partial\Sigma. \\ \int_\Sigma H_\xi^g dv_g = \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi)} \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \log |y_\xi| dv_g \end{cases}$$

Remark 4. For the regular part $H^g(x, \xi)$, we will list some important properties that we have to use (see Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 7.2).

- a. $G^g(x, \xi) = \Gamma_\xi^g(x) + H^g(x, \xi)$ and $H^g(\xi, \xi) = R^g(\xi)$;
- b. for any fixed $\xi \in \Sigma$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $H^g(x, \xi)$ is $C^{1,\alpha}$ in Σ w.r.t. x . Moreover, $H^g(x, \xi)$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\alpha}$ for any ξ in any compact subset of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ or $\partial\Sigma$.

3.3 The associated function $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$

Denote

$$\Delta = \{\xi := (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} : \xi_i = \xi_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}.$$

Define a function

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,m} : \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m 2\varrho(\xi_i) \log V(\xi_i) + \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i)^2 R^g(\xi_i) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^m \varrho(\xi_i)\varrho(\xi_j) G^g(\xi_i, \xi_j).$$

We define configuration space for any $\delta > 0$

$$(3.7) \quad M_\delta = \left\{ \xi \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta : \min_{i=1,2,\dots,k} d_g(\xi_i, \partial\Sigma) \geq \delta, \min_{i \neq j} d_g(\xi_i, \xi_j) \geq \delta \right\}.$$

Observe that for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $G^g(x, \xi) \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi\})$ and $H^g(x, \xi)$ is $C^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma)$, too. Thus $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$ is of $C^{1,\alpha}$ class in M_δ for any $\delta > 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}_{k,m} \in C^1(\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta)$.

3.4 Approximation of Solutions

It is well known that

$$u_{\tau,\eta}(y) = \log \frac{8\tau^2}{(\tau^2 + |y - \eta|^2)^2}$$

for $(\tau, \eta) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ are all the solutions to the Liouville-type equation,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = e^u \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^u < \infty. \end{cases}$$

For all $x \in U(\xi)$, denote that

$$U_{\tau, \xi}(x) = u_{\tau, 0}(y_\xi(x)) = \log \frac{8\tau^2}{(\tau^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2)^2},$$

for any $\tau > 0$. We try to construct approximate solutions to (1.1) applying $U_{\tau, \xi}$ with proper choices of τ and ξ . And for any $f \in L^1(\Sigma)$, we denote $\bar{f} = \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma f dv_g$.

Next we will introduce a projection operator P to project $U_{\tau, \xi}$ into space $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^1$. $PU_{\tau, \xi}$ is defined as the solution of the following problem:

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_g PU_{\tau, \xi}(x) = -\chi_\xi \Delta_g U_{\tau, \xi} + \overline{\chi_\xi \Delta_g U_{\tau, \xi}}, & x \in \mathring{\Sigma}, \\ \partial_{\nu_g} PU_{\tau, \xi} = 0, & x \in \partial\Sigma, \\ \int_\Sigma PU_{\tau, \xi} dv_g = 0, \end{cases}$$

The solution of (3.9) exists uniquely in $\bar{\mathbb{H}}^1$ and $PU_{\tau, \xi} \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ by the elliptic standard estimate. So $PU_{\tau, \xi}$ is well-defined.

Given any $k \leq m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we try to construction an approximate solutions to (1.1) with

$$(3.10) \quad (\rho, \xi) \in (0, +\infty) \times M_\delta$$

for any $\delta > 0$. For technical reasons, we define a scaling function

$$(3.11) \quad \tau_i(x) = V(x) e^{\varrho(\xi_i) H^g(x, \xi_i) + \sum_{l \neq i} \varrho(\xi_l) G^g(x, \xi_l)}.$$

and $\rho_i > 0$ with

$$(3.12) \quad \rho_i^2 = \rho^2 \tau_i(\xi_i), \text{ for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

We denote $\tau_i = \tau_i(\xi_i)$, $\chi_i = \chi(4|y_{\xi_i}|/r_{\xi_i})$, $\varphi_i = \varphi_{\xi_i}$, $U_i = U_{\rho_i, \xi_i}$, $W_i = PU_i$ and $W = \sum_{i=1}^m W_i$. To make W be a good approach to the solutions to (1.1), we assume that

$$(3.13) \quad |\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}| \leq \rho^2 |\log \rho|.$$

We consider the manifold

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m PU_i : \rho \in (0, +\infty), \xi_i \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k \text{ and } \xi_i \in \partial\Sigma \text{ for } i = k+1, \dots, m \right\}.$$

The solution u can decompose into two parts: one part is $\sum_{i=1}^m PU_i$ on the manifold \mathcal{M} ; another part is the remainder term ϕ_ξ^ρ , i.e.

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^m PU_i + \phi_\xi^\rho,$$

where ϕ_ξ^ρ goes to 0 as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

For any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in M_\delta$ with fixed $\delta > 0$, we can choose a uniform radius r_{ξ_i} for any $\xi_i \in \Sigma$, denoted by $4r_0$. W.L.O.G, we can assume that r_0 is sufficiently small such that $U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \cap U_{r_0}(\xi_j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. We define

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) = \sum_{i=k+1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \sqrt{\tau_i} \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i),$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{2} (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\xi) = & - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{4} \log(\tau_i) (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i)) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \\ & + \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(8 \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_r(\xi_i)} V e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) G^g(x, \xi_i)} dv_g - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i + 8 \sum_{i=k+1}^m \tau_i \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i)}{r^2} \right. \\ & \left. - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \log \frac{1}{r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can expand the energy functional for approximate solutions $J_\lambda(W)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that (3.10)-(3.13), there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that we have the expansion*

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} J_\lambda(W) &= -\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda \log \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + 2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}) \log \rho \\ &\quad - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \rho + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho - \mathcal{B}(\xi) \rho^2 + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi) \rho^2}{2\lambda_{k,m}} + o(\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

which is $C((0, \rho_0) \times M_\delta)$ for (ρ, ξ) as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

Proof.

$$\int_\Sigma |\nabla W|_g^2 dv_g = \int_\Sigma (-\Delta_g W) W dv_g = \sum_{i,l=1}^m \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} W_l dv_g,$$

providing that for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have $\int_\Sigma W_l dv_g = 0$. By the representation formula, for any $i, l = 1, \dots, m$

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} PU_i(\xi_l) &= \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma PU_i dv_g + \int_\Sigma G^g(\cdot, \xi_l) (-\Delta_g) PU_i dv_g + \int_{\partial \Sigma} G^g(\cdot, \xi_l) \partial_{\nu_g} PU_i d\varrho_g \\ &= \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} G^g(\cdot, \xi_l) dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 7.5, Lemma 7.7 and the representation formula, we derive that

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} h_{\rho_i, \xi_l} dv_g \\ &= \int_\Sigma h_{\rho_i, \xi_l} (-\Delta_g) PU_i dv_g + \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma h_{\rho_i, \xi_l} dv_g \int_\Sigma \chi_i (-\Delta_g U_i) dv_g \\ &= \varrho(\xi_l) h_{\rho_i, \xi_l} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|) \end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \frac{8\rho_i^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{\rho_i^2}{|y|^2} \right)}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy = \varrho(\xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).$$

Lemma 7.5, Corollary 7.6 and Lemma 7.7 yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} P U_i d v_g \\
= & \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2)^2} (\chi_i (U_i(x) - \log(8\rho_i^2)) \\
& + \varrho(\xi_i) H^g(x, \xi_i) + h_{\rho_i, \xi_i} - 2\rho_i^2 F_{\xi_i} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|)) d v_g(x) \\
= & \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}|^2)^2} \left(\chi_i \log \frac{|y_{\xi_i}|^4}{(\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}|^2)^2} + \varrho(\xi_i) G^g(x, \xi_i) + h_{\rho_i, \xi_i} \right. \\
& \left. - 2\rho_i^2 F_{\xi_i} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|) \right) d v_g \\
(3.15) \text{ and } (3.16) \quad = & -2 \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi^2(|y|/r_0) \frac{8\rho_i^2 \log\left(1 + \frac{\rho_i^2}{|y|^2}\right)}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} d y + \varrho(\xi_i) P U_i(\xi_i) \\
& + \varrho(\xi_i) h_{\rho_i, \xi_i} - 2\rho_i^2 \varrho(\xi_i) F_{\xi_i}(\xi_i) + o(\rho^2) \\
(3.17) \quad = & -2\varrho(\xi_i) + \varrho(\xi_i) P U_i(\xi_i) + \varrho(\xi_i) h_{\rho_i, \xi_i} - 2\rho_i^2 \varrho(\xi_i) F_{\xi_i}(\xi_i) + o(\rho^2) \\
= & -2\varrho(\xi_i) - 4\varrho(\xi_i) \log \rho_i + \varrho^2(\xi_i) H^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) + 2\varrho(\xi_i) h_{\rho_i, \xi_i} \\
& - 4\rho_i^2 \varrho(\xi_i) F_{\xi_i}(\xi_i) + o(\rho^2).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $i \neq l$, by Lemma 7.5 and Lemma 7.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} P U_l d v_g \\
= & \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2)^2} (\chi_l (U_l(x) - \log(8\rho_l^2)) \\
& + \varrho(\xi_l) H^g(x, \xi_l) + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|)) d v_g(x) \\
= & \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}|^2)^2} \left(\chi_l \log \frac{|y_{\xi_l}|^4}{(\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}|^2)^2} + \varrho(\xi_l) G^g(x, \xi_l) + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} \right. \\
& \left. - 2\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|) \right) d v_g \\
(3.15) \quad = & \varrho(\xi_l) P U_l(\xi_l) + \varrho(\xi_l) h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_l^2 \varrho(\xi_l) F_{\xi_l} + o(\rho^2) \\
= & \varrho(\xi_i) \varrho(\xi_l) G^g(\xi_l, \xi_i) + \varrho(\xi_l) h_{\rho_i, \xi_i} + \varrho(\xi_i) h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_i^2 \varrho(\xi_l) F_{\xi_i}(\xi_l) \\
& - 2\rho_l^2 \varrho(\xi_i) F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i) + o(\rho^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\log \tau_i = \log V(\xi_i) + \varrho(\xi_i) H^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) + \sum_{l \neq i} \varrho(\xi_l) G^g(\xi_i, \xi_l)$,

(3.18)

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla W|_g^2 d v_g = -\lambda_{k,m}(2 + 4 \log \rho) - \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + 2\lambda_{k,m} c_{\rho, \xi} - 4F_{\rho, \xi}(\xi) + o(\rho^2),$$

where $c_{\rho, \xi} = \sum_{i=1}^m h_{\rho_i, \xi_i}$, and $F_{\rho, \xi}(\xi) = \sum_{i,l=1}^m \rho^2 \tau_i \varrho(\xi_l) F_{\xi_i}(\xi_l)$. Lemma 7.7 implies

that

(3.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} V e^W dv_g \\
&= \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} V(x) \exp \left\{ U_i - \log(8\rho_i^2) + \varrho(\xi_i) H^g(x, \xi_i) + \sum_{l \neq i} \varrho(\xi_l) G^g(x, \xi_l) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=1}^m h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2 \sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} \right\} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|)) dv_g \\
&= \frac{1}{8\rho^2 \tau_i} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} e^{U_i} \tau_i(x) e^{\sum_{l=1}^m (h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l})} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|)) dv_g \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{8\rho^2 \tau_i} \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{U_i} \tau_i(x) e^{\sum_{l=1}^m (h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l})} dv_g - \int_{A_{2r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \frac{\tau_i(x)}{|y_{\xi_i}|^4} dv_g \right) + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_{2r_0}(\xi_i) = U_{2r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus U_{r_0}(\xi_i)$.

Applying Corollary 7.6 for $f(x) = \tau_i(x) e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(x)} e^{\sum_{l=1}^m (h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(x))}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{U_i} \tau_i(x) e^{\sum_{l=1}^m (h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l})} dv_g = \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i e^{\sum_{l=1}^m (h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i))} + \varrho(\xi_i) \rho_i \mathfrak{f}_2(\xi_i) \\
& \left(4\tau_i e^{\sum_{l=1}^m (h_{\rho_l, \xi_l} - 2\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i))} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi_i}|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_{\xi_i} \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{1}{|y_{\xi_i}|^3} dv_g \right. \\
& + 4\mathfrak{f}_2(\xi_i) \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi_i}|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_{\xi_i} \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{(y_{\xi_i})_2}{|y_{\xi_i}|^3} dv_g - \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{4} \Delta_g f(\xi_i) (2 \log \rho_i + 1) \\
& \left. - 2\Delta_g f(\xi_i) \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi_i}|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_{\xi_i} \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{\log |y_{\xi_i}|}{|y_{\xi_i}|} dv_g + 8 \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{f - P_2(f)}{|y_{\xi_i}|^4} dv_g \right) \rho_i^2 + o(\rho^2);
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.7 deduces that

$$\int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} V e^W dv_g = \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} V e^{\sum_{l=1}^m \varrho(\xi_l) G^g(x, \xi_l)} dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|).$$

Since for any $x \in U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus \{\xi_i\}$ $\frac{\tau_i(x)}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^4} = V(x) e^{\sum_{l=1}^m \varrho(\xi_l) G^g(x, \xi_l)}$,

$$\int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \frac{\tau_i(x)}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^4} dv_g = \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} V(x) e^{\sum_{l=1}^m \varrho(\xi_l) G^g(x, \xi_l)} dv_g.$$

We observe that $\mathfrak{f}_2(\xi_i) = \tau_i e^{c_{\rho, \xi}} \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|)$, $-\Delta_g f(\xi_i) = -e^{c_{\rho, \xi}} \Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) + 2e^{c_{\rho, \xi}} K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) e^{-2 \sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i)} = \lambda_{k, m} - 2\rho^2 \sum_{i, l=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) = \lambda_{k, m} - 2F_{\rho, \xi}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad 8\rho^2 e^{-c_{\rho, \xi}} \int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g &= \lambda_{k, m} + \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \rho - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho \\
&\quad + B_{\chi} \rho^2 - 2F_{\rho, \xi} + o(\rho^2)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) = \sum_{i=k+1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \sqrt{\tau_i} \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i)$, $\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{2} (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i))$
and

(3.21)

$$\begin{aligned} B_\chi &= \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_0} \chi'(s/r_0) s^{-2} ds + 8 \sum_{i=k+1}^m \tau_i \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_0} \chi'(s/r_0) s^{-2} ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{4} \log(\tau_i) (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i)) - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_0} \chi'(s/r_0) \log s ds \right) \\ &\quad + 8 \sum_{i=1}^m \int_\Sigma \left(V e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) G^g(x, \xi_i)} - \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{P_2(\tau_i e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}})}{|y_{\xi_i}|^4} \right) dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

For any $r \leq r_0$, we divide the last integral in B_χ into two integrals on $\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_r(\xi_i)$
and $\cup_{i=1}^m U_r(\xi_i)$. Using integrating by part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_r(\xi_i)} 8 \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{P_2(\tau_i e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}})}{|y_{\xi_i}|^4} dv_g = \sum_{i=1}^m 8 \tau_i \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi \setminus B_r^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{1}{|y|^4} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{i=k+1}^m 8 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(0) \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi \setminus B_r^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{y_2}{|y|^4} dy \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m 4 (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i)) \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi \setminus B_r^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{1}{|y|^2} dy \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i + 8 \sum_{i=k+1}^m \tau_i \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i) \right) \left(\frac{1}{r_0} \chi'(s/r_0) s^{-2} ds + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_0} \chi'(s/r_0) \log s ds + \log r \right). \end{aligned}$$

The expression B_χ can be reformulated as follows:

(3.22)

$$\begin{aligned} B_\chi &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{4} \log(\tau_i) (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i(\xi_i)) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_r(\xi_i)} V e^{\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) G^g(x, \xi_i)} dv_g - \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i + 8 \sum_{i=k+1}^m \tau_i \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i) \right) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \log \frac{1}{r} + 8 \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{U_r(\xi_i)} e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{\tau_i e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} - P_2(\tau_i e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}})}{|y_{\xi_i}|^4} dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

The equations (3.21) and (3.22) show that B_χ is dependent with the choice of χ and r . Since for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ $e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} - P_2(\tau_i e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}}) = o(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^{2+\alpha})$ as $x \rightarrow \xi_i$, the dominated convergence theorem yields that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{U_r(\xi_i)} e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} \frac{\tau_i e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} - P_2(\tau_i e^{\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}})}{|y_{\xi_i}|^4} dv_g = 0.$$

So $B_\chi = \mathcal{B}(\xi)$ which is defined by (2.3).

(3.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{k,m} \log \int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g &= \lambda_{k,m} \log(\lambda_{k,m}/8) - 2\lambda_{k,m} \log \rho + \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \rho - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho + \mathcal{B}(\xi) \rho^2 \\ &\quad - 2F_{\rho, \xi} + \lambda_{k,m} c_{\rho, \xi} - \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi) \rho^2}{2\lambda_{k,m}} + o(\rho^2) \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.18) and (3.23), we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda_{k,m}}(W) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla W|_g^2 dv_g - \lambda_{k,m} \log \int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g \\ &= -\lambda_{k,m} \left(1 + \log\left(\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \rho + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho \\ &\quad - \mathcal{B}(\xi) \rho^2 + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi) \rho^2}{2\lambda_{k,m}} + o(\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\lambda}(W) &= J_{\lambda_{k,m}}(W) + (\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda) \log \int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g \\ &= J_{\lambda_{k,m}}(W) + (\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda) (\log(\lambda_{k,m}/8) - 2 \log \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3 |\log \rho|) \\ &= -\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda \log\left(\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + 2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}) \log \rho \\ &\quad - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \rho + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho - \mathcal{B}(\xi) \rho^2 + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi) \rho^2}{2\lambda_{k,m}} + o(\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that (3.10)-(3.13), there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that we have the expansion for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_i$*

$$(3.24) \quad \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} J_{\lambda}(W) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\rho)$$

which is $C((0, \rho_0) \times M_{\delta})$ for (ρ, ξ) as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We will prove the C^1 -expansion of $J_{\lambda}(W)$ for ξ by Lemma 7.8. The results of Lemma 7.8 implies that for any $i, l = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_i$

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l(x) &= \delta_{il} \left(-2\chi_i \frac{\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2} + \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(x, \xi_i) - 4 \log |y_{\xi_i}| \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \chi_i \right) \\ &\quad - 2\chi_l \frac{\rho_l^2 \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \log \pi(\xi_l)}{\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}(x)|^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|), \end{aligned}$$

in $C(\Sigma)$. For any $l \neq i$,

$$\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l(x) = -2\varrho(\xi_i) \frac{\chi_l \rho_l^2}{\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}(x)|^2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} G(\xi_l, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|),$$

in $C(\Sigma)$ and

$$\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_i(x) = \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} G(x, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|),$$

in $C_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_i\})$. Since $\int_{\Sigma} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} J(W) &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(-\Delta_g W - \frac{\lambda V e^W}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} + \frac{\lambda}{|\Sigma|_g} \right) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(-\Delta_g W - \frac{\lambda V e^W}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \right) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g, \end{aligned}$$

for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_i$. Applying $\int_{\Sigma} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W = 0$ again,

$$\int_{\Sigma} (-\Delta_g) W \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g = \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{q=1}^m \int_{\Sigma} \chi_l e^{-\varphi_l \circ y_{\xi_l}} e^{U_l} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l dv_g.$$

We observe that $\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \varphi_i(y_{\xi_i}(x))|_{x=\xi_i} = 0$, $G^g(\xi_l, \xi_l) = G^g(\xi_l, \xi_l)$, $\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) = 2\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(x, \xi_i)|_{x=\xi_i}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i^2 e^{U_i} e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} dv_g &= 8\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi(|y|)^2 \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy \\ &= \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}} 8\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} (\log \tau_i) \frac{\rho_i^2 (|y|^2 - \rho_i^2)}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^3} dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) \\ &\stackrel{(7.2) \text{ and } (7.3)}{=} 8\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} (\log \tau_i) \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 7.6 and (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma} \sum_{l, q \neq i} \chi_l e^{-\varphi_l \circ y_{\xi_l}} e^{U_l} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l dv_g \\ &= \sum_{l \neq i} \int_{\Sigma} \chi_l e^{-\varphi_l \circ y_{\xi_l}} e^{U_l} \left(-2\varrho(\xi_i) \frac{\chi_l \rho_l^2}{\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}(x)|^2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} G^g(\xi_l, \xi_l) \right) dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|) \\ &= -\sum_{l \neq i} \varrho(\xi_l) \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} G^g(\xi_l, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|), \\ &\int_{\Sigma} \sum_{l \neq i} \sum_{q=i} \chi_l e^{-\varphi_l \circ y_{\xi_l}} e^{U_l} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l dv_g = \sum_{l \neq i} \varrho(\xi_l) \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} G^g(\xi_l, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|), \\ &\int_{\Sigma} \sum_{l=i} \sum_{q \neq i} \chi_l e^{-\varphi_l \circ y_{\xi_l}} e^{U_l} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l dv_g = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma} \sum_{l=i} \sum_{q=i} \chi_l e^{-\varphi_l \circ y_{\xi_l}} e^{U_l} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l dv_g \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \left(\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} (\chi_i (U_i - \log(8\rho_i^2))) + \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(x, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|) \right) dv_g, \\ &= \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i^2 e^{U_i} e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} dv_g - \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i^2 e^{U_i} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} dv_g - \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \log \tau_i \\ &\quad + \varrho(\xi_i)^2 \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(x, \xi_i) \Big|_{x=\xi_i} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|). \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \varrho(\xi_i)^2 \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) - \sum_{l \neq i} \varrho(\xi_i) \varrho(\xi_l) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} G^g(\xi_i, \xi_l) \\ &\quad - \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \log V(\xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|), \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_{\Sigma} (-\Delta_g W) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \mathcal{F}_{k,m} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|).$$

We observe that

$$(3.26) \quad \tau_i(x) = \tau_i(\xi_i) + \langle \nabla(\tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1})(0), y_{\xi_i}(x) \rangle + \mathcal{O}(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2), \text{ for any } x \in U_{2r_0}(\xi_i).$$

$$\text{Lemma 7.7 implies } Ve^W = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau_i(x)}{8\tau_i\rho^2} e^{U_i} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|)), & x \in U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \\ \mathcal{O}(1), & x \in \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \end{cases}.$$

$$\int_{\Sigma} Ve^W \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g = \frac{1}{8\rho_i^2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_l)} \chi_l \tau_l(x) e^{U_l} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|)) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) \right).$$

By Corollary 7.6 and (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \sum_{l \neq i} \chi_l \tau_l(x) e^{U_l} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l &= \sum_{l \neq i} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_l)} \chi_l \tau_l(x) e^{U_l} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|) \\ &= \sum_{l \neq i} \tau_l(\xi_l) \varrho(\xi_l) \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} G^g(\xi_l, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|), \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \sum_{l \neq i} \chi_l \tau_l(x) e^{U_l} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_l dv_g = - \sum_{l \neq i} \tau_l(\xi_l) \varrho(\xi_l) \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} G^g(\xi_l, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_i dv_g \\ &= \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} \left(\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} (\chi_i (U_i - \log(8\rho_i^2))) + \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(x, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|) \right) dv_g \\ &= \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} dv_g - \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i e^{U_i} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \tau_i(x) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} (-\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \log \tau_i + \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(x, \xi_i)) dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|) \\ &= \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} dv_g - \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \log \tau_i \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|) \\ &= \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} dv_g - \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \log \tau_i + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|), \end{aligned}$$

where we applied $\varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} H^g(x, \xi_i) = \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \log \tau_i(x)$. We observe that

$$e^{\varphi_i(y)} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} (\tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(y))|_{y=0} = \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \tau_i(x)|_{x=\xi_i} + \partial_{x_j} \tau_i(x)|_{x=\xi_i} = \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \tau_i.$$

Then via Corollary 7.6, (7.2) and (7.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} dv_g &= \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi(|y|/r_0) \tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(y) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi(|y|/r_0) \tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(y) \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} e^{\varphi_i(y)} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi(|y|/r_0) e^{\varphi_i(y)} \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} (\tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(y)) dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) \\ &= \varrho(\xi_i) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \tau_i + \mathcal{O}(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\int_{U_{\tau_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{U_i} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W_i dv_g = \mathcal{O}(\rho)$. Combining the estimates above, we have

$$(3.27) \quad \int_{\Sigma} V e^W \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g = \mathcal{O}(\rho^{-1}).$$

By (3.20) and (3.27),

$$(3.28) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \frac{V e^W}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g = \frac{\mathcal{O}(\rho^{-1})}{\frac{\lambda_{k,m} + \mathcal{O}(\rho)}{8\rho^2}} = \mathcal{O}(\rho).$$

Hence, we deduce that $\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} J_{\lambda}(W) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\rho)$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that (3.10)-(3.13), there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that we have the following expansions*

$$(3.29) \quad \partial_{\rho} J_{\lambda}(W) = \frac{2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})}{\rho} - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \rho + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho + 2\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) \rho + o(\rho).$$

which is $C((0, \rho_0) \times M_{\delta})$ for (ρ, ξ) as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. And

$$(3.30) \quad \partial_{\rho}^2 J_{\lambda}(W) = -\frac{2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})}{\rho^2} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + 3\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + 2\mathcal{A}_2 \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) + o(1),$$

which is $C((0, \rho_0) \times M_{\delta})$ for (ρ, ξ) as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

$$(3.31) \quad \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_{\rho} J_{\lambda}(W) = \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|),$$

which is $C((0, \rho_0) \times M_{\delta})$ for (ρ, ξ) as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 7.9, for any $l = 1, \dots, m$

$$(3.32) \quad \rho_l \partial_{\rho_l} W_l = \rho \sqrt{\tau_l} \partial_{\tau} P U_{\tau, \xi_l} |_{\tau=\rho_l} = -\chi_l \frac{4\rho_l^2}{\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}(x)|^2} - 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o(\rho^2)$$

and

$$(3.33) \quad \rho_l^2 \partial_{\rho_l}^2 W_l = \rho^2 \tau_l \partial_{\tau}^2 P U_{\tau, \xi_l} |_{\tau=\rho_l} = \chi_l \frac{4\rho_l^2(\rho_l^2 - |y_{\xi_l}|^2)}{(\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}|^2)^2} - 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^2 + o(\rho^2).$$

We calculate the first derivative of $J_{\lambda}(W)$ w.r.t. ρ .

$$\partial_{\rho} J_{\lambda_{k,m}}(W) = \int_{\Sigma} (-\Delta_g W) \partial_{\rho} W dv_g - \lambda_{k,m} \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W \partial_{\rho} W dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g}$$

Since $\int_{\Sigma} \partial_{\rho} W = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} (-\Delta_g W) \partial_{\rho} W dv_g = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \partial_{\rho} W dv_g \\
&= \sum_{i,l=1}^m \sqrt{\tau_l} \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \partial_{\rho_l} W_l dv_g \\
&\stackrel{(3.32)}{=} \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i,l=1}^m \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \left(-\chi_l \frac{4\rho_l^2}{\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}(x)|^2} - 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o(\rho^2) \right) dv_g \\
&\stackrel{(7.6) \text{ and } (7.7)}{=} \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i,l=1}^m \left(-2\varrho(\xi_i) + \varrho(\xi_i) h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o(\rho^2) \right) \\
&= -\frac{2\lambda_{k,m}}{\rho} - \frac{4F_{\rho, \xi}}{\rho} + \frac{\lambda_{k,m} c_{\rho, \xi}^1}{\rho} + o(\rho),
\end{aligned}$$

where δ_{il} is the Kronecker symbol and $c_{\rho, \xi}^1 = \sum_{i=1}^m h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1$. By Lemma 7.7, we can deduce that

$$(3.34) \quad V(x) e^{W(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{c_{\rho, \xi} - 2 \sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(x)} \frac{\tau_i(x)}{8\tau_i \rho^2} e^{U_i} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|)) + \mathcal{O}(1) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{r_0}(\xi_i)}.$$

By Corollary 7.6, (3.32) and (3.34), it follows the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} V e^W \partial_{\rho} W dv_g = \sum_{i,l=1}^m \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)} V e^W \partial_{\rho} W_l dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|) \\
&= \sum_{i,l=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho, \xi}}}{8\tau_i \rho^2} \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{-2 \sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(x)} e^{U_i} \frac{1}{\rho} \left(-\chi_l \frac{4\rho_l^2}{\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}(x)|^2} - 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o(\rho^2) \right) dv_g \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|) \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho, \xi}}}{2\rho} \left(\frac{\varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i e^{-2 \sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i)}}{2\rho_i^2} + \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{8} (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=k+1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho, \xi}}}{2\rho} \frac{\varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i)}{4\rho_i} - 4 \sum_{i,l=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho, \xi}}}{8\rho_i^2 \rho} \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i e^{-2 \sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i)} \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,l=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho, \xi}}}{8\rho_i^2 \rho} \varrho(\xi_i) \tau_i e^{-2 \sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i)} h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \\
&= e^{c_{\rho, \xi}} \left(\frac{-\lambda_{k,m}}{4\rho^3} - \frac{1}{16\rho} \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) (\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i) \tau_i) - \sum_{i=k+1}^m \frac{1}{8\rho^2} \varrho(\xi_i) \sqrt{\tau_i} \partial_{\nu_g} \log V(\xi_i) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\lambda_{k,m} \sum_{l=1}^m h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1}{8\rho^3} \right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \\
&= \frac{e^{c_{\rho, \xi}}}{8\rho^2} \left(\frac{-2\lambda_{k,m}}{\rho} - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) - \rho \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + \frac{\lambda_{k,m} c_{\rho, \xi}^1}{\rho} \right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with (3.20),

$$(3.35) \quad \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W \partial_{\rho} W dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} = \frac{\frac{e^{c_{\rho, \xi}}}{8\rho^2} \left(\frac{-2\lambda_{k,m}}{\rho} - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) - \rho \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + \frac{\lambda_{k,m} c_{\rho, \xi}^1}{\rho} \right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)}{\frac{e^{c_{\rho, \xi}}}{8\rho^2} (\lambda_{k,m} + \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \rho - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho + \mathcal{B}(\xi) \rho^2 - 2F_{\rho, \xi} + o(\rho^2))} + \left(-\frac{\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + \frac{2\mathcal{B}(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} - \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \right)^2 \right) \rho - \frac{2\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \rho \log \rho + \frac{c_{\rho, \xi}^1}{\rho} - \frac{4F_{\rho, \xi}}{\lambda_{k,m} \rho} + o(\rho).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\rho J_\lambda(W) &= \int_\Sigma (-\Delta_g W) \partial_\rho W dv_g - \lambda_{k,m} \frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_\rho W dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} + (\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda) \frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_\rho W dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \\
&\stackrel{(3.13)}{=} -\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \left(\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \right) \rho + 2\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho \log \rho \\
&\quad + (\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda) \left(-\frac{2}{\rho} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right) + o(\rho) \\
&= \frac{2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})}{\rho} - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \left(\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \right) \rho + 2\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho \log \rho + o(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\rho^2 J_{\lambda_{k,m}}(W) &= \int_\Sigma (-\Delta_g W) \partial_\rho^2 W dv_g - \lambda_{k,m} \frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W (\partial_\rho^2 W + (\partial_\rho W)^2) dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \\
&\quad + \int_\Sigma (-\Delta_g \partial_\rho W) \partial_\rho W dv_g + \lambda_{k,m} \left(\frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_\rho W dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_\Sigma \partial_\rho W dv_g = \int_\Sigma \partial_\rho^2 W dv_g = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Sigma (-\Delta_g W) \partial_\rho^2 W dv_g = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \partial_\rho^2 W dv_g \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho^2} \sum_{i,l=1}^m \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \rho_l^2 \partial_{\rho_l}^2 W_l dv_g \\
&\stackrel{(3.33)}{=} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \sum_{i,l=1}^m \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \left(\chi_l \frac{4\rho_l^2 (\rho_l^2 - |y_{\xi_l}|^2)}{(\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}|^2)^2} - 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^2 + o(\rho^2) \right) dv_g \\
&\stackrel{(7.6) \text{ and } (7.8)}{=} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \sum_{i,l=1}^m \left(\frac{2\varrho(\xi_i) \delta_{il}}{3} - 4\rho_l^2 \varrho(\xi_i) F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i) + \varrho(\xi_i) h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^2 + o(\rho^2) \right) \\
&= \frac{2\lambda_{k,m}}{3\rho^2} - \frac{4F_{\rho, \xi}}{\rho^2} + \frac{\lambda_{k,m} c_{\rho, \xi}^2}{\rho^2} + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Sigma (-\Delta_g \partial_\rho W) \partial_\rho W dv_g = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \partial_\rho U_i \partial_\rho W dv_g \\
&= \sum_{i,l=1}^m \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \partial_\rho U_i \partial_\rho W dv_g \\
&\stackrel{(3.33)}{=} \frac{2}{\rho^2} \sum_{i,l=1}^m \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} \frac{|y_{\xi_i}|^2 - \rho_i^2}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}|^2} \left(-\chi_l \frac{4\rho_l^2}{\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}(x)|^2} - 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o(\rho^2) \right) dv_g \\
&\stackrel{(7.6)-(7.8)}{=} \frac{2}{\rho^2} \sum_{i,l=1}^m \left(\frac{2\varrho(\xi_i) \delta_{il}}{3} - 4\rho_l^2 \varrho(\xi_i) F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i) + \varrho(\xi_i) h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o(\rho^2) \right) \\
&= \frac{4\lambda_{k,m}}{3\rho^2} + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.32) and (3.33), we have $\partial_\rho^2 W + (\partial_\rho W)^2 = \mathcal{O}(|\log \rho|)$ for any $x \in \Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{\tau_0}(\xi_i)$.

By Corollary 7.6, (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34), it follows the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} V e^W (\partial_{\rho}^2 W + (\partial_{\rho} W)^2) dv_g \\
&= \sum_{i,l=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\tau_i \rho^2} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{-2\sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}} e^{U_i} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(\chi_l \frac{4\rho_l^2(\rho_l^2 - |y_{\xi_l}|^2)}{(\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}|^2)^2} - 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^2 + o(\rho^2) \right) dv_g \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\tau_i \rho^2} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{-2\sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}} e^{U_i} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \left(-\chi_l \frac{4\rho_l^2}{\rho_l^2 + |y_{\xi_l}|^2} - 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o(\rho^2) \right) \right)^2 dv_g + \mathcal{O}(|\log \rho|) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\tau_i \rho^2} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \tau_i(x) e^{-2\sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}} e^{U_i} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{4\rho_i^2(5\rho_i^2 - |y_{\xi_i}|^2)}{(\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}|^2)^2} dv_g \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\tau_i \rho^2} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{-2\sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}} e^{U_i} \frac{2}{\rho^2} \frac{4\rho_i^2}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}|^2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^m 4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} - \sum_{l=1}^m h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^1 + o(\rho^2) \right) dv_g \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,l=1}^m \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\tau_i \rho^2} \int_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \chi_i \tau_i(x) e^{-2\sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}} e^{U_i} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left(-4\rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l} + h_{\rho_l, \xi_l}^2 + o(\rho^2) \right) + \mathcal{O}(|\log \rho|^2) \\
&= \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\rho^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{6\varrho(\xi_i) e^{-2\sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(\xi_i)}}{\rho^2} + \sum_{i=k+1}^m 2\varrho(\xi_i) \sqrt{\tau_i} \partial_{v_g} \log V(\xi_i) \frac{1}{\rho} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)(\Delta_g \tau_i(\xi_i) - 2K_g(\xi_i)\tau_i(\xi_i))}{2} \right) + \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\rho^2} \left(\frac{16F_{\rho,\xi}}{\rho^2} - \frac{4\lambda_{k,m}c_{\rho,\xi}^1}{\rho^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\rho^2} \left(\frac{-4F_{\rho,\xi}}{\rho^2} + \frac{\lambda_{k,m}c_{\rho,\xi}^2}{\rho^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{\alpha-2}) \\
&= \frac{e^{c_{\rho,\xi}}}{8\rho^2} \left(\frac{6\lambda_{k,m}}{\rho^2} - \frac{4\lambda_{k,m}c_{\rho,\xi}^1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\lambda_{k,m}c_{\rho,\xi}^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{2}{\rho} \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{\alpha}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with (3.20),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W (\partial_{\rho}^2 W + (\partial_{\rho} W)^2) dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} &= \frac{6}{\rho^2} - \frac{4\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}\rho} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + \frac{6\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \log \rho}{\lambda_{k,m}} - \frac{6\mathcal{B}(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + \frac{12F_{\rho,\xi}}{\lambda_{k,m}\rho^2} + 4 \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \right)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{4c_{\rho,\xi}^1}{\rho^2} + \frac{c_{\rho,\xi}^2}{\rho^2} + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

And (3.35) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W \partial_{\rho} W dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \right)^2 &= \frac{4}{\rho^2} - \frac{4\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}\rho} + \frac{4\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} - \frac{8\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \log \rho}{\lambda_{k,m}} - \frac{8\mathcal{B}(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + 5 \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \right)^2 + \frac{16F_{\rho,\xi}}{\lambda_{k,m}\rho^2} \\
&\quad - \frac{4c_{\rho,\xi}^1}{\rho^2} + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\partial_{\rho}^2 J_{\lambda_{k,m}}(W) = 3\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + 2\mathcal{A}_2 \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + o(1).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\rho}^2 J_{\lambda_{k,m}}(W) &= -(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}) \left(\frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W (\partial_{\rho}^2 W + (\partial_{\rho} W)^2) dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} - \left(\frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W \partial_{\rho} W dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \right)^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 3\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + 2\mathcal{A}_2 \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + o(1) \\
&= -\frac{2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})}{\rho^2} + 3\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + 2\mathcal{A}_2 \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

For any $i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_\rho J_{\lambda_{k,m}}(W) &= \int_\Sigma (-\Delta_g W) \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_\rho W dv_g - \lambda_{k,m} \frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W (\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_\rho W + \partial_\rho W \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W) dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \\ &\quad + \int_\Sigma (-\Delta_g \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W) \partial_\rho W dv_g + \lambda_{k,m} \left(\frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_\rho W dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right) \left(\frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} W dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 7.10, we can deduce that

$$\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_\rho J_\lambda(W) = \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|)$$

via the same approach for calculating the second-order derivatives w.r.t. ρ . \square

4 The Lyapunov-Schmidt Reduction

4.1 The solutions to a linear problem

To study the non-linear problem (1.1), we try to find a solution with the form $W + \phi$ for $(\rho, \xi) \in (0, +\infty) \times M_\delta$. Firstly, we introduce the linear operator,

$$L(\phi) = -\Delta_g \phi - \lambda \frac{Ve^W}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} \left(\phi - \frac{\int_\Sigma Ve^W \phi dv_g}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} \right).$$

To solve (1.1), it is sufficient to find $\phi \in \bar{H}^1$ solves

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} L(\phi) = R + N(\phi) & x \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \\ \partial_{\nu_g} \phi = 0 & x \in \partial \Sigma \end{cases},$$

where

$$R = \Delta_g W + \lambda \left(\frac{Ve^W}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \right),$$

and the non-linear term

$$N(\phi) = \lambda \left(\frac{Ve^{W+\phi}}{\int_\Sigma Ve^{W+\phi} dv_g} - \frac{Ve^W \phi}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} + \frac{Ve^W \int_\Sigma Ve^W \phi dv_g}{(\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g)^2} - \frac{Ve^W}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} \right).$$

Providing that $W, \phi \in \bar{H}^1$,

$$\int_\Sigma L(\phi) dv_g = \int_\Sigma R dv_g = \int_\Sigma N(\phi) dv_g.$$

Formally, we do transformation on ϕ to minus a normalized integral over Σ and do scaling centered by 0 with $y = y_{\xi_i}(x)/\rho_i$ in local isothermal coordinates, more precisely $\Phi_i(y) = \phi \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(\rho_i y) - \frac{\int_\Sigma Ve^W \phi dv_g}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W \phi dv_g}$ for any $y \in \frac{1}{\rho_i} B^{\xi_i}$. Define that

$$L_i(\Phi_i)(y) = \rho_i^2 e^{\varphi_i(\rho_i y)} L(\phi) \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(\rho_i y), \text{ for any } y \text{ in } \frac{1}{\rho_i} B^{\xi_i}.$$

Let \mathbb{R}_i be \mathbb{R}^2 when $\xi_i \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ and \mathbb{R}_+^2 when $\xi_i \in \partial \Sigma$. As $\rho \rightarrow 0$, for any $i = 1, \dots, m$, the linear operator L_i approaches to \hat{L}_i (refer to the detail to Lemma 4.3),

$$\hat{L}_i(\Phi)(y) = -\Delta \Phi - \frac{8}{(1 + |y|^2)^2} \Phi,$$

where $\Phi \in L_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_i) := L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_i) \cap \{\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \Phi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{R}_i\}$. The kernel of \hat{L}_i in $L_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_i)$ is spanned by

$$z_0(y) = 2 \frac{1 - |y|^2}{1 + |y|^2} \text{ and } z_j(y) = \frac{4y_j}{1 + |y|^2} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, J_i.$$

The detail refers to Lemma 2.3 in [15] or Lemma D.1. in [26]. Let $\phi_i = \phi \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(\rho_i y)$. As $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we assume that $\Phi_i \rightarrow \Phi_{i,\infty}$, $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi_{i,\infty}$. It follows

$$-\frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \phi dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \longrightarrow -\frac{1}{\lambda_{k,m}} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_i} \frac{8}{(1 + |z|^2)^2} \phi_{i,\infty} dz.$$

The limit linearized operator about $\phi_{i,\infty}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) is as follows:

$$L_\infty(\psi)(y^1, \dots, y^m) = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta \psi_1(y^1) - \frac{8}{(1+|y^1|^2)^2} \left(\psi_1(y^1) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{k,m}} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_i} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \psi_i(z) dz \right) \\ \vdots \\ -\Delta \psi_1(y^m) - \frac{8}{(1+|y^m|^2)^2} \left(\psi_1(y^m) - \frac{1}{\lambda_{k,m}} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_i} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \psi_i(z) dz \right) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m) \in L_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_1) \times \dots \times L_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_m)$. The kernel of the linear operator L_∞ on $L_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_1) \times \dots \times L_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_m)$ is generated by the constant function $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_m)$ and $\langle z_j : j = 0, \dots, J_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle z_j : j = 0, \dots, J_m \rangle$. Based on the works in [20, 26], it is standard to show that L is invertible in some ‘‘orthogonal subspace’’ of \bar{H}^1 .

Let J_i equal 2 if $\xi_i \in \mathring{\Sigma}$ and 1 if $\xi_i \in \partial\Sigma$. For any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, J_i$, we define Z_{ij} on $U_{2r_0}(\xi_i) \subset \Sigma$ by z_j which defined on \mathbb{R}_i with a proper scaling centered at the origin. Specifically, for any $\xi_i \in \Sigma$,

$$Z_{ij}(x) = z_j \left(\frac{y_{\xi_i}(x)}{\rho_i} \right) \text{ in } U_{2r_0}(\xi_i),$$

for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, J_i$. We project Z_{i0}, Z_{ij} into the space \bar{H}^1 by following equations for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, J_i$,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_g P Z_{ij} = -\chi_i \Delta_g Z_{ij} + \overline{\chi_i \Delta_g Z_{ij}}, & \text{in } \mathring{\Sigma} \\ \partial_{\nu_g} P Z_{ij} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Sigma \end{cases}$$

where $\chi_i(x) = \chi(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|/r_0)$. Let $PZ = \sum_{i=1}^m Z_{i0}$. In this part, we will mainly study a linear problem: given $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma) := \{h : \|h\|_\infty := \sup_\Sigma |h| < \infty\}$, find $\phi \in \overline{H}^1 \cap W^{2,2}(\Sigma)$ and $c_0, c_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} L(\phi) = h - c_0 \Delta_g PZ - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij} \Delta_g PZ_{ij} & \text{in } \mathring{\Sigma}; \\ \partial_{\nu_g} \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Sigma; \\ \int_\Sigma \phi \Delta_g PZ_{ij} dv_g = 0, \int_\Sigma \phi \Delta_g PZ dv_g = 0 & \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i. \end{cases}$$

To estimate the size of the solutions, for any $h \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$, we introduce the following weighted norm (see [25])

$$(4.4) \quad \|h\|_* = \sup_{x \in \Sigma} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\rho_i^\kappa}{(\rho_i^2 + \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)}(x) |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2 + r_0^2 \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma \setminus U_{r_0}(\xi_i)}(x))^{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2}}} \right)^{-1} |h(x)|.$$

Here, the characteristic functions $\mathbb{1}_A := \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A \\ 0, & x \notin A \end{cases}$, for any $A \subset \Sigma$ and $\kappa \in (0, \alpha_0)$, where α_0 is obtained in Lemma 7.8.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $\delta > 0$, assume that $\xi \in M_\delta$, (3.11)-(3.13) hold truly. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|R\|_* \leq C(|\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g \rho + \rho^{2-\kappa} |\log \rho|).$$

Proof. Denote $R_{\lambda_{k,m}} := \Delta_g W + \lambda_{k,m} \left(\frac{Ve^W}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \right)$. Applying Corollary 7.6 with $f \equiv 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} dv_g = \varrho(\xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2). \\ R_{\lambda_{k,m}} &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} + \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} - \lambda_{k,m} \right) + \frac{\lambda_{k,m} Ve^W}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} e^{U_i} + \frac{\mathcal{O}(\rho^2)}{|\Sigma|_g} + \frac{\lambda_{k,m} Ve^W}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 7.7 combined with (3.20) and (3.26),

$$\lambda_{k,m} \frac{Ve^W}{\int_\Sigma Ve^W dv_g} = \frac{\lambda_{k,m} \mathcal{O}(1)}{\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8\rho^2} + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\rho})} = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \text{ for any } x \in \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_{r_0}(\xi_i); \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{k,m} \frac{Ve^W}{\int_{\Sigma} Ve^W dv_g} &= \frac{\lambda_{k,m} \tau_i(x) \frac{e^{U_i}}{8\rho^2 \tau_i} (1 + c_{\rho,\xi} - 2 \sum_{l=1}^m \rho_l^2 F_{\xi_l}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|^2))}{\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8\rho^2} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}{8\rho} + \mathcal{O}(|\log \rho|)} \\
&= \left(1 + \left\langle \frac{1}{\tau_i} \nabla(\tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1})(0), y_{\xi_i}(x) \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2 + \rho^2 |\log \rho|) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot (1 + \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \lambda_{k,m}^{-1} \rho)^{-1} e^{U_i},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in U_{r_0}(\xi_i)$. Combining the estimates above,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad \lambda_{k,m} \frac{Ve^W}{\int_{\Sigma} Ve^W dv_g} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i \left(1 + \left\langle \frac{1}{\tau_i} \nabla(\tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1})(0), y_{\xi_i}(x) \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2 + \rho^2 |\log \rho|) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot (1 + \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) \lambda_{k,m}^{-1} \rho)^{-1} e^{U_i} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{r_0}(\xi_i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.5), if $x \in \Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{r_0}(\xi_i)$, $R_{\lambda_{k,m}} = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$; if $x \in U_{r_0}(\xi_i)$, for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

$$R_{\lambda_{k,m}} = \mathcal{O}(|\nabla \log \tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(0)| |y_{\xi_i}(x)| + \frac{|\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)|}{\lambda_{k,m}} \rho + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2 + \rho^2 |\log \rho|) e^{U_i} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2).$$

By the definition of $\|\cdot\|_*$,

$$(4.6) \quad \|R_{\lambda_{k,m}}\|_* \leq C(|\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g \rho + \rho^{2-\kappa} |\log \rho|),$$

where we applied that $\lambda_{k,m} |\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)| \leq |\nabla \log \tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(0)| \leq C |\nabla_{\xi_i} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g$.

$$\begin{aligned}
R - R_{\lambda_{k,m}} &= (\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}) \left(\frac{Ve^W}{\int_{\Sigma} Ve^W dv_g} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(|\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}| \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{U_i} + |\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}|)
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\|R - R_{\lambda_{k,m}}\|_* \leq C \rho^{-\kappa} |\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}|$. By (3.13), $\|R - R_{\lambda_{k,m}}\|_* \leq \mathcal{O}(\rho^{2-\kappa} |\log \rho|)$.

Therefore,

$$\|R\|_* \leq C(|\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g \rho + \rho^{2-\kappa} |\log \rho|).$$

□

We denote that the coefficients vector as follows:

$$\tilde{c} := (c_0, c_{11}, \dots, c_{1J_1}, c_{21}, \dots, c_{2J_2}, \dots, c_{m1}, \dots, c_{mJ_m}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+k+1}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $k \leq m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that for any $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$, for any $h \in C(\Sigma)$ with $\int_{\Sigma} h dv_g = 0$ and for any $\xi \in M_{\delta}$, there is a unique solution $(\phi, \tilde{c}) \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^1 \cap W^{2,2}(\Sigma) \times \mathbb{R}^{k+m+1}$ to (4.3). Moreover, the map*

$$(\rho, \xi) \in (0, \rho_0) \times M_{\delta} \mapsto (\phi, \tilde{c})$$

is C^1 -differentiable in ξ with

$$(4.7) \quad \|\phi\|_\infty \leq C |\log \rho| \|h\|_*, \quad |c_0| + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}| \leq C \|h\|_*,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \|\partial_\rho \phi\|_\infty + \frac{\rho}{|\log \rho|} \|\partial_\rho^2 \phi\|_\infty + \frac{\rho}{|\log \rho|} \|\nabla_\xi \partial_\rho \phi\|_\infty + \|\nabla_\xi \phi\|_\infty \leq C \frac{|\log \rho|^2}{\rho} \|h\|_*,$$

for some $C > 0$.

Before giving the proof of Proposition 4.2, we state a prior estimate for the solutions ϕ to (4.3) with vanished coefficients vector \tilde{c} . Denote

$$L_{\lambda_{k,m}(\phi)} := -\Delta_g \phi - \frac{\lambda_{k,m} V e^W}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \left(\phi - \frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \phi dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right).$$

Lemma 4.3. *There exists $\rho_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in M_\delta$, $h \in C(\Sigma)$ with $\int_\Sigma h dv_g = 0$ and solution $\phi \in \overline{H}^1 \cap W^{2,2}(\Sigma)$ to (4.3) with $L = L_{\lambda_{k,m}}$ and $\tilde{c} = 0 (\in \mathbb{R}^{m+k+1})$,*

$$(4.9) \quad \|\phi\|_\infty \leq C |\log \rho| \|h\|_*.$$

Proof. We will prove the lemma by constructing a contradiction. Assume Lemma 4.3 fails. There exists a sequence of $\rho^n \rightarrow 0$, $\xi^n (\in M_\delta) \rightarrow \xi^*$ in M_δ , $h_n \in C(\Sigma)$ with $\int_\Sigma h_n dv_g = 0$ and $\phi_n \in \overline{H}^1 \cap W^{2,2}(\Sigma)$ solutions to (4.3) with $L = L_{\lambda_{k,m}}$ and $\|\phi_n\|_\infty = 1$ satisfying that

$$\|h_n\|_* |\log(\rho^n)| = o(1),$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

For simplicity, we still use the notations ρ , ξ , h and ϕ instead of ρ^n , ξ^n , h_n and ϕ_n , respectively. Define that $\Phi = \phi - \frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \phi dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g}$, and $\mathcal{K} = \frac{\lambda_{k,m} V e^W}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g}$. Consequently, problem (4.3) transforms into the following equation:

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_g \Phi - \mathcal{K} \Phi = h & \text{in } \mathring{\Sigma} \\ \partial_{\nu_g} \Phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Sigma \\ \int_\Sigma \Phi \Delta_g P Z_{ij} dv_g = 0, \int_\Sigma \Phi \Delta_g P Z dv_g = 0 & \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i \end{cases},$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W \phi dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g}$. Additionally, Φ satisfies the same orthogonality properties as ϕ , and $|\Phi|_{\infty} \leq 2|\phi|_{\infty} \leq 2$.

Recall that $\rho_i^2 = \rho^2 \tau_i$. Let $\Phi_i(y) = \Phi \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(\rho_i y)$, for any $y \in \frac{1}{\rho_i} B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}$. It follows that

$$-\Delta \Phi_i - \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_i \Phi_i + \tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \tilde{h}_i,$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_i(y) = \rho_i^2 e^{\varphi_{\xi_i}(\rho_i y)} \mathcal{K} \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(\rho_i y)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \rho_i^2 e^{\varphi_{\xi_i}(\rho_i y)} \mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{h}_i(y) = \rho_i^2 e^{\varphi_{\xi_i}(\rho_i y)} h \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(\rho_i y)$. Since $|\mathcal{C}| \leq 1$ and $\|\Phi_i\|_{\infty} \leq 2$, $\rho_i^2 e^{\varphi(y)} \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$. $|\tilde{h}_i(y)| \leq C \|h\|_*$, where C is a constant. And

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_i(y) = \frac{8}{(1 + |y|^2)^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\rho)) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$$

uniformly in any compact subset of \mathbb{R}_i , by (4.5). For any $p > 1$, we have the estimate:

$$(4.11) \quad \|\Phi_i\|_{W^{2,p}(\frac{1}{\rho_i} B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i})} \leq C,$$

where C is a constant that depends only on Σ . Denote the scaled domain $\Omega_i^{\rho} := \frac{1}{\rho_i} B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}$.

Claim 4.4. For any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, up to a subsequence, $\Phi_i \rightarrow \Phi_{i,\infty}$ in $C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_i)$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, where $\Phi_{i,\infty}$ is a solution of

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta \Phi_{i,\infty} = \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \Phi_{i,\infty}, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_i \\ |\Phi_{i,\infty}| \leq C \end{cases},$$

for some constant C . Moreover, for any $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, J_i$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_i} \frac{z_j}{(1 + |z|^2)^3} \Phi_{i,\infty} = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_i} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{(1 + |z|^2)^3} \Phi_{i,\infty} = 0.$$

By the regularity theory, $\Phi_{i,\infty}$ is a smooth solution. It follows that $\Phi_{i,\infty}$ is a linear combination of $z_j (j = 0, \dots, J_i)$ (see [2] or [26]), i.e. $\Phi_{i,\infty}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{J_i} a_{ij} z_j(z)$ in \mathbb{R}_i . From Claim 4.4, we deduce that $a_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{i0} = 0$.

Claim 4.5. For any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, as $\rho \rightarrow 0$,

$$(4.13) \quad \Phi_i(0) = \Phi(\xi_i) = \mathbf{c}_0 + 4a_{i0} + o(1),$$

where $\mathbf{c}_0 = -\lim \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W \phi dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g}$.

Claim 4.5 implies $2a_{i0} = \mathbf{c}_0 + 4a_{i0}$, thereby yielding $a_{i0} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{c}_0$. Hence, for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $a_{i0} = 0$ and $\mathbf{c}_0 = 0$.

Consequently, Φ converges uniformly to 0 on $\cup_{i=1}^m B_{\rho R}(\xi_i)$ for any $R > 0$. Following the idea in [20], we now will prove

$$\Phi \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^\infty(\Sigma).$$

utilizing the maximum principle.

The linear operator $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta_g - \mathcal{K}$ satisfies the comparison principle in $\Sigma_\rho = \cup_{i=1}^m (U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus U_{\rho_i R_0}(\xi_i))$, where $R_1 > 0$ is chosen later. Specifically, if $\varphi \in C^2(\Sigma_\rho)$ satisfies

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\varphi \geq 0 & \text{in } \Sigma_\rho \\ \partial_{\nu_g}\varphi \geq 0 & \text{on } \partial\Sigma \cap \Sigma_\rho \\ \varphi \geq 0 & \text{on } \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \cap (\cup_{i=1}^m (\partial U_{\rho_i R_0}(\xi_i) \cup \partial U_{r_0}(\xi_i))) \end{cases},$$

then

$$\psi \geq 0 \text{ in } \Sigma_\rho.$$

To establish the comparison principle, we need to construct a barrier function ψ that satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g \psi - \mathcal{K}\psi &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \frac{\rho_i^\kappa}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^{\kappa+2}} && \text{in } \cup_{i=1}^m (U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i)), \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu_g} &\geq 0 && \text{on } \partial\Sigma \cap \cup_{i=1}^m U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i), \\ \psi &> 0 && \text{on } \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \cap (\cup_{i=1}^m (\partial U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \cup \partial U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i))). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we can expect that ψ is uniformly bounded, i.e., for some constant $C > 0$,

$$0 < \psi \leq C \text{ in } \bigcup_{i=1}^m (U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i)).$$

Define $\psi_{1i}(x) = 1 - \chi_i(x) \frac{\rho_i^\kappa}{r^\kappa}$, where $r = |y_{\xi_i}(x)|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g \psi_{1i} &= \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)}(x) e^{-\varphi_{\xi_i} \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(x)} \frac{\rho_i^\kappa \kappa^2}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^{\kappa+2}} && \text{in } U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus U_{\rho_i R}(\xi_i) \\ \partial_{\nu_g} \psi_{1i}(x) &= \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)}(x) \frac{\kappa \rho_i^\kappa}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^\kappa} \frac{\partial_{\nu_g} |y_{\xi_i}(x)|}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|} && \text{on } \partial(U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus U_{\rho_i R}(\xi_i)). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\partial_{\nu_g} \psi_{1i}(x) \equiv 0$$

on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$. Let $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_{1i}$. Now, we choose $R_1 > 2/\kappa^2$ to be sufficiently large and $\rho_* > 0$ to be sufficiently small such that for any $\rho \in (0, \rho_*]$ the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{1i} &\geq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ in } U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i); \\ 0 < \psi &\leq m := C, \text{ in } \bigcup_{i=1}^m (U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus B_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i)); \\ \psi &\geq \frac{1}{2}, \text{ on } \dot{\Sigma} \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m (\partial U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \cup \partial U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since for any $x \in U_{r_0}(\xi_i)$

$$\rho^2 \mathcal{K} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \frac{1}{\tau_i \left(1 + \left| \frac{y_{\xi_i}(x)}{\rho_i} \right|^2 \right)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 (-\Delta_g \psi - \mathcal{K} \psi) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\kappa^2}{\tau_i} \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \frac{\rho_i^{\kappa+2}}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^{\kappa+2}} - C \rho^2 \mathcal{K} \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\kappa^2}{2\tau_i} \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \frac{\rho_i^{\kappa+2}}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^{\kappa+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

in $\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i)$. And

$$\partial_{\nu_g} \psi \geq 0, \text{ on } \partial\Sigma \cap U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i).$$

Consider $\varphi \in \Sigma_\rho$ satisfying (4.14) and take $R_0 = 2R_1$. Let $\varphi_\epsilon = \varphi + \epsilon\psi$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then φ_ϵ solves the following problem:

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}\varphi_\epsilon \geq \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \frac{\rho_i^\kappa}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^{\kappa+2}} & \text{in } \Sigma_\rho \\ \partial_{\nu_g} \varphi_\epsilon \geq 0 & \text{on } \partial\Sigma \cap \Sigma_\rho \\ \varphi_\epsilon > 0 & \text{on } \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^m (\partial U_{\rho_i R_0}(\xi_i) \cup \partial U_{r_0}(\xi_i))) \end{cases}.$$

Consider $\varphi_\epsilon(x_0) = \min_{\overline{\Sigma_\rho}} \varphi_\epsilon$. Assuming $\varphi_\epsilon(x_0) < 0$, we can deduce $x_0 \notin \partial\Sigma_\rho$; otherwise, by the boundary conditions of (4.15), $x_0 \in \partial\Sigma \cap \Sigma_\rho$. Then it follows that $\partial_{\nu_g} \varphi_\epsilon \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Sigma \cap \Sigma_\rho$. W.L.O.G., we suppose that $x_0 \in U_{r_0}(\xi_i)$. We conduct an even extension of $\varphi_\epsilon \circ y_{\xi_i}(y)$ from $\mathbb{B}_{r_0}^+$ to \mathbb{B}_{r_0} , denoted the function by $\tilde{\varphi}_\epsilon^i$. We define that

$$y^* = \begin{cases} (y_1, y_2) & \text{if } y_2 \geq 0 \\ (y_1, -y_2) & \text{if } y_2 < 0 \end{cases} \text{ for any } y \in \mathbb{B}_{r_0}. \text{ Then for any } y \in \mathbb{B}_{r_0}, \text{ we have}$$

$$(-\Delta - e^{\varphi_i(y^*)} \mathcal{K}(y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(y^*))) \geq e^{\varphi_i(y^*)} \geq \epsilon \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \frac{\rho_i^\kappa}{|y|^{\kappa+2}} > 0.$$

The strong maximum principle yields that the minimum point of $\tilde{\varphi}_\epsilon^i$ must be on $\partial\mathbb{B}_{r_0}$ if $\tilde{\varphi}_\epsilon^i$ is nontrivial, which implies that $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \cap \partial U_{r_0}(\xi_i)$. It contradicts to $\varphi_\epsilon > 0$ in (4.15).

If $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}_\rho$, applying the strong maximum principle yields that φ_ϵ is constant on each connected component of Σ_ρ . However, since $\varphi_\epsilon > 0$ on $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma} \cap \partial\Sigma_\rho$, this implies that $\varphi_\epsilon(x_0) > 0$, leading to a contradiction.

Hence, we can conclude that $\varphi_\epsilon = \varphi + \epsilon\psi \geq 0$ on Σ_ρ . By the arbitrary choice of $\epsilon > 0$, it follows that $\varphi \geq 0$ on Σ_ρ .

Let us define

$$\|\Phi\|_\rho = \sup_{\bigcup_{i=1}^m (B_{\rho_i R_0}(\xi_i) \cup (\Sigma \setminus U_{r_0}(\xi_i)))} |\Phi|.$$

Consider $\hat{\Phi} = C_1\psi(\mathcal{C} + |\Phi|\rho + |h|_*)$, where C_1 is a sufficiently large constant independent of Φ and ρ such that

$$|h| \leq C_1 \|h\|_* \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{U_{r_0}(\xi_i)} \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \frac{\rho_i^\kappa}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^{\kappa+2}} \right),$$

$$|\Phi| \leq \hat{\Phi} \text{ on } \mathring{\Sigma} \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m (\partial U_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i) \cup \partial U_{r_0}(\xi_i)) \right).$$

Applying the comparison principle (4.14) stated earlier, we have

$$-\hat{\Phi} \leq \Phi \leq \hat{\Phi}, \text{ for any } x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m (U_{r_0}(\xi_i) \setminus B_{\rho_i R_1}(\xi_i)).$$

We observe that $-\Delta_g \Phi = o(1)$ in $C_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*\})$, up to a subsequence, $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi_\infty$ in $C_{loc}^1(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*\})$. Since Φ_∞ is bounded, it extends to a solution of $-\Delta_g \Phi_\infty = 0$ in Σ . We observe that $\frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma \Phi dv_g = -\frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \phi dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g}$. By the representation formula, for any $x \in \Sigma \setminus \{\xi_1^*, \dots, \xi_m^*\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\infty(x) &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma \Phi dv_g + \int_\Sigma G^g(x, z) (-\Delta_g) \Phi dv_g \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\partial \Sigma} G^g(x, z) \partial_{\nu_g} \Phi d\varrho_g \right) \\ &= c_0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, up to a subsequence,

$$\|\Phi\|_\infty \leq C(\mathcal{C} + \|\Phi\|_\rho + \|h\|_*) \rightarrow 0,$$

which contradicts with $\|\phi\|_\infty = 1$.

It remains to prove the claims.

Proof of Claim 4.4. For the case $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $\xi_i \in \mathring{\Sigma}$. We refer to Appendix A. of [25]. So we just give the proof for $\xi_i \in \partial \Sigma$ here. Note that $\Phi_i(z) \in C(\Omega_i^\rho)$ and $\|\Phi_i\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega_i^\rho)} \leq C \|\Phi_i\|_{W^{2,p}(\frac{1}{\rho_i} B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i})}$. By the Sobolev embedding, Φ_i is uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\gamma_0}(\Omega_i^\rho)$ for some $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$. By the Arzelà–Ascoli theorem, up to a subsequence, in $C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_i)$

$$\Phi_i \rightarrow \Phi_{i,\infty},$$

where $\Phi_{i,\infty}$ is a bounded solution to $-\Delta \Phi_{i,\infty} = \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \Phi_{i,\infty}$ in \mathbb{R}_i . Note that

$$-\Delta_g P Z_{ij} = \chi_i e^{-\varphi_{\xi_i}} e^{U_i} Z_{ij} - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma \chi_i e^{-\varphi_{\xi_i}} e^{U_i} Z_{ij} dv_g.$$

By the orthogonal properties,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= - \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_g P Z_{ij} \Phi dv_g \\
&= \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi(|y|) \frac{8}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} \frac{4\rho_i y_j}{\rho_i^2 + |y|^2} \Phi \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(y) dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_{\Sigma} \Phi dv_g \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi(|y|) \frac{8}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} \frac{4\rho_i y_j}{\rho_i^2 + |y|^2} dy \\
&= 32 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \Phi_i(z) dz - \frac{32}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_{\Sigma} \Phi dv_g \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^3} dz + o(1) \\
&= 32 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \Phi_i(z) dz + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where $j = 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^3} dz = 0$. Applying the dominated convergence theorem, we have $32 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{z_j}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \Phi_{i,\infty} dz = 0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= - \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_g P Z \Phi dv_g \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi(|y|) \frac{8}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} \frac{2(\rho_i^2 - |y|^2)}{\rho_i^2 + |y|^2} \Phi \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(y) dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Sigma} \Phi dv_g \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}} \chi(|y|) \frac{8}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} \frac{2(\rho_i^2 - |y|^2)}{\rho_i^2 + |y|^2} dy \\
&= 16 \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \Phi_i(z) dz - \frac{16}{|\Sigma|_g} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Sigma} \Phi dv_g \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^3} dz + o(1) \\
&= 16 \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \Phi_i(z) dz + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where we applied the fact $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^3} dz = 0$. The dominated convergent theorem yields that $\sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{1-|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^3} \Phi_{i,\infty}(z) dz = 0$. Claim 4.4 is concluded.

Proof of Claim 4.5. We will apply the following representation formula to get the estimate,

$$(4.16) \quad \Phi(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_{\Sigma} \Phi dv_g + \int_{\Sigma} G^g(x, \xi_i) (-\Delta_g) \Phi(x) dv_g + \int_{\partial\Sigma} G^g(x, \xi_i) \partial_{\nu_g} \Phi(x) d\mathcal{O}_g.$$

For $\xi_i \in \mathring{\Sigma}$, the calculation is simpler, so here we just show the case for $\xi_i \in \partial\Sigma$. Recall that $G^g(x, \xi_i) = -\frac{4}{\varrho(\xi_i)} \chi_i \log |y_{\xi_i}(x)| + H^g(x, \xi_i)$. Applying Lemma 7.5, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)} \mathcal{K} \Phi dv_g &= \int_{\frac{1}{\rho_i} B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_i \Phi_i dz \\
(4.17) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \Phi_{i,\infty} dz + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \\
&= a_{i0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{16(1-|z|^2)}{(1+|z|^2)^3} dz + \mathcal{O}(\rho) = \mathcal{O}(\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Considering that $(-\Delta_g + \beta)\Phi = \mathcal{K}\Phi + h$, we have to calculate the following integrals:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} G^g(x, \xi_i) \mathcal{K}\Phi dv_g \\
= & \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)} \left(-\frac{4}{\varrho(\xi_i)} \chi_i \log |y_{\xi_i}(x)| + H^g(x, \xi_i) \right) \mathcal{K}\Phi dv_g(x) \\
& + \sum_{l \neq i} \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_l)} G^g(x, \xi_i) \mathcal{K}\Phi dv_g + \sum_{\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)} G^g(x, \xi_i) \mathcal{K}\Phi dv_g \\
\stackrel{(4.5)}{=} & \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)} \left(-\frac{4}{\varrho(\xi_i)} \chi_i \log |y_{\xi_i}(x)| + H^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) \right) \mathcal{K}\Phi dv_g(x) \\
& + \sum_{l \neq i} G^g(\xi_l, \xi_i) \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_l)} \mathcal{K}\Phi dv_g + o(1) \\
= & -\frac{4}{\varrho(\xi_i)} \log \rho_i \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)} \mathcal{K}\Phi dv_g + \int_{\mathbb{R}_i} \left(-\frac{4}{\varrho(\xi_i)} \log |z| + H^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) \right) \frac{8}{(1+|z|^2)^2} \Phi_{i,\infty} dz \\
& + \sum_{l \neq i} G^g(\xi_l, \xi_i) \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi_l)} \mathcal{K}\Phi dv_g + o(1) \\
\stackrel{(4.17)}{=} & 4a_{i0} + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where we applied $-\int_{\mathbb{R}_i} \frac{8 \log(|z|)(1-|z|^2)}{(1+|z|^2)^3} dz = \frac{\varrho(\xi_i)}{2}$; and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\Sigma} G^g(x, \xi_i) h dv_g \right| &= \left| \left(\int_{\Sigma \setminus U_{\rho_i}(\xi_i)} + \int_{U_{\rho_i}(\xi_i)} \right) G^g(x, \xi_i) h dv_g \right| \\
&\leq C |\log \rho_i| \int_{\Sigma} |h| dv_g + \frac{\|h\|_*}{\rho_i^2} \left| \int_{U_{\rho_i}(\xi_i)} G^g(x, \xi_i) dv_g \right| \\
&\leq C |\log \rho_i| \|h\|_* + C \|h\|_* (\sup_{U_{\rho_i}(\xi_i)} |H^g(\cdot, \xi_i)| + |\log \rho_i| + 1) \\
&\leq C |\log \rho_i| \|h\|_* = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that $\partial_{\nu_g} \Phi = 0$ on $\partial \Sigma$, so the boundary term is vanish. Combining the estimates above,

$$\Phi_i(0) = \Phi(\xi_i) = \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_{\Sigma} \Phi dv_g + 4a_{i0} + o(1) = c_0 + 4a_{i0} + o(1)$$

as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.2. We observe that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|\Delta_g PZ_{ij}\|_* \leq C$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 0, \dots, J_i$, and

$$\left\| (\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}) \frac{Ve^W}{\int_{\Sigma} Ve^W dv_g} \left(\phi - \frac{\int_{\Sigma} Ve^W \phi dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} Ve^W dv_g} \right) \right\|_* = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}| \|\phi\|_{\infty}).$$

Applying Lemma 4.3, for λ close to $\lambda_{k,m}$, we have

$$(4.18) \quad \|\phi\|_{\infty} \leq C |\log \rho| \left(\|h\|_* + |c_0| + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}| \right),$$

for any solution ϕ to the problem (4.3). We apply PZ_{ij} as a test function to (4.3). It follows that

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \phi L(PZ_{ij}) dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} h PZ_{ij} dv_g - c_0 \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_g PZ_{i0} Z_{ij} dv_g - \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_l} c_{lt} \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_g PZ_{lt} Z_{ij} dv_g.$$

From Lemma 7.11 and Lemma 7.12, for any $i, l = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have the following estimates:

$$(4.20) \quad PZ_{ij} = \chi_i Z_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \text{ for any } j = 1, \dots, J_i;$$

$$(4.21) \quad PZ_{i0}(x) = \chi_i(x) (Z_{i0}(x) + 2) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|);$$

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{\Sigma} Z_{ij} \Delta_g PZ_{lt} dv_g = -\frac{4\rho(\xi_i)}{3} \delta_{il} \delta_{jt} + \mathcal{O}(\rho),$$

for any $j = 0, \dots, J_i, t = 0, \dots, J_l$.

Lemma 7.5 and (4.5) derive that

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W PZ_{ij} dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} = \mathcal{O}(\rho), \text{ for any } j = 1, \dots, J_i.$$

Applying (4.20), (4.23) and (7.4),

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\lambda_{k,m}}(PZ_{ij}) &= (-\Delta_g) PZ_{ij} - \frac{\lambda_{k,m} V e^W}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \left(PZ_{ij} - \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W PZ_{ij} dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \right) \\ &= \chi_i e^{U_i} Z_{ij} \left(e^{-\varphi_i c y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} - 1 \right) + \beta \chi_i Z_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\rho \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{U_i} + \rho). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \|L(PZ_{ij})\|_* &\leq \|L_{\lambda_{k,m}}(PZ_{ij})\|_* + C|\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}| \cdot \|PZ_{ij}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\rho^{1-\kappa/2} + \rho^2 |\log \rho|) = \mathcal{O}(\rho^{1-\kappa/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (4.5) implies that

$$(4.25) \quad \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W PZ_{i0} dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} = \frac{2\rho(\xi_i)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + \mathcal{O}(\rho).$$

Applying (4.21), (4.25) and (7.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\lambda_{k,m}}(PZ) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-\Delta_g + \beta) PZ_{i0} - \frac{\lambda_{k,m} V e^W}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \left(PZ_{ij} - \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W PZ_{ij} dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\chi_i e^{U_i} \left(e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} Z_{i0} - PZ_{i0} \right) + \frac{2\varrho(\xi_i)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l e^{U_l} \right) \\
&\quad + \beta \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i (Z_{i0} + 2) + \mathcal{O}(\rho \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{U_i} + \rho) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{U_i} \left(e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}} Z_{i0} - PZ_{i0} + 2 \right) + \beta \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i (Z_{i0} + 2) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\rho \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{U_i} + \rho).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.26) \quad \|L(PZ)\|_* &\leq \|L_{\lambda_{k,m}}(PZ)\|_* + C|\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}| \cdot \|PZ\|_{\infty} \\
&\leq \mathcal{O}(\rho^{1-\kappa/2} + \rho^2 |\log \rho|) = \mathcal{O}(\rho^{1-\kappa/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

We take $\alpha_* = 1 - \kappa/2$. The equation (4.19) combined with (4.22), (4.26) and (4.24) deduces that

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_0| + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_l} |c_{lj}| &\leq C\|h\|_* + \rho^{\alpha_*} \mathcal{O}(\|\phi\|_{\infty}) + \rho \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_l} |c_{lj}| \right) \\
&\stackrel{(4.18)}{\leq} C\|h\|_* + \rho^{\alpha_*} |\log \rho| \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_l} |c_{lj}| \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$(4.27) \quad \|\phi\|_{\infty} \leq \mathcal{O}(|\log \rho| \|h\|_*) \quad \text{and} \quad |c_0| + \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^{J_l} |c_{lj}| \leq \mathcal{O}(\|h\|_*).$$

We define \mathbb{H} as a subspace of $\overline{\mathbb{H}}^1$ which is orthogonal to $\langle PZ, PZ_{ij} : i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i \rangle$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{H} := \left\{ \phi \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}^1 : \int_{\Sigma} \phi \Delta_g PZ_{ij} dv_g = 0 \text{ for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i; \int_{\Sigma} \phi \Delta_g PZ dv_g = 0 \right\}.$$

To solve (4.3) is equivalent to find $\phi \in \mathbb{H}$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \psi \rangle_g dv_g + \beta \int_{\Sigma} \phi \psi dv_g = \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\lambda V e^W}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \left(\phi - \frac{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W \phi dv_g}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^W dv_g} \right) + h \right) \psi dv_g,$$

for any $\psi \in H$. The prior estimate (4.27) implies that for any $h = 0$, the solution to the problem (4.3) must be trivial, i.e. $\phi = 0$, c_0 and $c_{ij} = 0$ (for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_i$). By Riesz's representation formula and Fredholm's alternative, there is a unique solution $T(h) := \phi \in \overline{H}^1$ and $\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+k+1}$ solving the problem (4.3). Then, the linear operator T :

$$\left\{ h \in L^\infty : \int_\Sigma h dv_g \text{ and } \|h\|_* < +\infty \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \phi \in L^\infty(\Sigma) : \int_\Sigma \phi dv_g \text{ and } \|\phi\|_\infty < +\infty \right\},$$

is continuous.

Next, we will show that the operator T and coefficients c_{ij} are differentiable concerning ξ and ρ . Denote that $\iota = \rho$ or $(\xi_i)_j$ (for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i$) and $X = \partial_\iota \phi$. Differentiate (4.3) with ι , formally,

$$L(X) = L_0 - d_0 \Delta_g PZ - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} d_{ij} \Delta_g PZ_{ij},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= -\partial_\iota \left(\frac{\lambda V e^W}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right) \phi + \partial_\iota \left(\frac{\lambda V e^W}{(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g)^2} \right) \int_\Sigma V e^W \phi dv_g \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda V e^W}{(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g)^2} \int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_\iota W \phi dv_g - c_0 \partial_\iota (\Delta_g PZ) - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij} \partial_\iota (\Delta_g PZ_{ij}), \end{aligned}$$

$d_0 = \partial_\iota c_0$ and $d_{ij} = \partial_\iota c_{ij}$. In addition, the orthogonal properties imply that

$$\int_\Sigma X \Delta_g PZ dv_g = - \int_\Sigma \phi \partial_\iota (\Delta_g PZ) dv_g \text{ and } \int_\Sigma X \Delta_g PZ_{ij} dv_g = - \int_\Sigma \phi \partial_\iota (\Delta_g PZ_{ij}) dv_g.$$

Consider $Y = X + b_0 PZ + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} b_{ij} PZ_{ij}$, where b_0 and b_{ij} are chosen to satisfy the orthogonal properties,

$$\int_\Sigma Y \Delta_g PZ dv_g = 0 \text{ and } \int_\Sigma Y \Delta_g PZ_{ij} dv_g = 0.$$

Since $\|\partial_\iota (\Delta_g PZ)\|_* + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} \|\partial_\iota (\Delta_g PZ_{ij})\|_* \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)$, we have $|b_0| + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |b_{ij}| \leq$

$\frac{C}{\rho} \|\phi\|_\infty \leq C \frac{|\log \rho|}{\rho} \|h\|_*$, by (4.27). Then Y solves the problem

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{cases} L(Y) = f - d_0 \Delta_g PZ - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} d_{ij} \Delta_g PZ_{ij} & \text{in } \Sigma \\ \partial_{\nu_g} Y = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Sigma \\ \int_\Sigma Y \Delta_g PZ_{ij} dv_g = 0, \int_\Sigma Y \Delta_g PZ dv_g = 0 & \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i \end{cases},$$

where $f = L_0 + b_0 L(PZ) + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} b_{ij} L(PZ_{ij})$. We observe that $\|\mathcal{K}\|_* \leq C$, $\|\partial_\ell W\|_\infty + \|\partial_\ell \mathcal{K}\|_* \leq \frac{C}{\rho}$ and $\|\partial_\ell \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right)\|_* \leq \frac{C}{\rho} \left(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g \right)^{-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_* &\leq \|L_0\|_* + |b_0| \|L(PZ)\|_* + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |b_{ij}| \|L(PZ_{ij})\|_* \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\rho} \left(\|\phi\|_\infty + |c_0| + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}| \right) \\ &\stackrel{(4.27)}{\leq} C \frac{|\log \rho|}{\rho} \|h\|_*. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.27) again, we have

$$\|\partial_\ell \phi\|_\infty \leq C |\log \rho| \|f\|_* + |b_0| \|PZ\|_\infty + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |b_{ij}| \|PZ_{ij}\|_\infty \leq C \frac{|\log \rho|^2}{\rho} \|h\|_*.$$

We differentiate $\partial_\rho \phi$ w.r.t. ρ . By the same method to get estimate for $\partial_\rho \phi$, we can derive that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\partial_\rho^2 \phi\|_\infty \leq C \frac{|\log \rho|^3}{\rho^2} \|h\|_* \text{ and } \|\nabla_\xi \partial_\rho \phi\|_\infty \leq C \frac{|\log \rho|^3}{\rho^2} \|h\|_*.$$

□

4.2 The non-linear problem

We establish that the linear operator L exhibits partial invertibility, as shown in Proposition 4.2. This enables us to proceed with the analysis of the corresponding non-linear problem.

Proposition 4.6. *For any $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that for any $\rho \in (0, \rho_0), \xi \in M_\delta$, the problem*

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{cases} L(\phi) = [R + N(\phi)] - c_0 \Delta_g PZ - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij} \Delta_g PZ_{ij} & \text{in } \dot{\Sigma} \\ \partial_{\nu_g} \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Sigma \\ \int_{\Sigma} \phi \Delta_g PZ_{ij} dv_g = 0, \int_{\Sigma} \phi \Delta_g PZ dv_g = 0 & \forall i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $\phi \in \bar{H}^1 \cap W^{2,2}(\Sigma)$ and $\tilde{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+k+1}$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i$. Moreover, the map $(\rho, \xi) \mapsto (\phi(\rho, \xi), \tilde{c}(\rho, \xi))$ is C^1 -differentiable w.r.t. ξ and C^2 -differentiable w.r.t. ρ satisfying

$$(4.30) \quad \|\phi\|_\infty \leq C (\rho |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{2-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2),$$

$$(4.31) \quad \|\partial_\rho \phi\|_\infty \leq C (|\log \rho|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{1-\kappa} |\log \rho|^3),$$

$$(4.32) \quad \|\nabla_\xi \phi\|_\infty \leq C (|\log \rho|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{1-\kappa} |\log \rho|^3),$$

$$(4.33) \quad \|\partial_\rho^2 \phi\| \leq C \left(\frac{|\log \rho|^3}{\rho} |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{-\kappa} |\log \rho|^4 \right),$$

$$(4.34) \quad \|\partial_\xi \partial_\rho \phi\| \leq C \left(\frac{|\log \rho|^3}{\rho} |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{-\kappa} |\log \rho|^4 \right),$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and $\kappa \in (0, \alpha_0)$.

Proof. Following the approach presented in Lemma 4.1 of [20], we aim to solve the problem (4.29) by finding a fixed point for the operator $\mathcal{A}(\phi) := T(R + N(\phi))$ on the space

$$\mathfrak{F}_\varpi := \left\{ \phi \in C(\Sigma) : \int_{\Sigma} \phi dv_g = 0, \|\phi\|_\infty \leq \varpi (\rho |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{2-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2) \right\},$$

for some constant $\varpi > 0$. For any $\phi \in \mathfrak{F}_\varpi$, we can utilize Proposition 4.2 to deduce that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\phi)\|_\infty \leq \|T\|(\|N(\phi)\|_* + \|R\|_*) \leq C |\log \rho| (\|N(\phi)\|_* + \|R\|_*).$$

Given $\|\mathcal{K}\|_* \leq C$ and $|e^s - 1| \leq e^{|s|}|s|$, $\|N(\phi)\|_* \leq C \|\phi\|_\infty^2$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\phi)\|_\infty \leq C_0 (|\log \rho| \|\phi\|_\infty^2 + \rho |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{2-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2),$$

combined with Lemma 4.1.

Take $\varpi = 2C_0$, then $\mathcal{A}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{F}_\varpi$, for any $\phi \in \mathfrak{F}_\varpi$.

Applying Lemma 7.7, (3.21) and (3.34), for any $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathfrak{F}_\varpi$, denote $\phi_\theta = \theta\phi_1 + (1 - \theta)\phi_2$, for same $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \frac{\lambda V e^{W+\phi_1}}{\int_\Sigma V e^{W+\phi_1} dv_g} - \frac{\lambda V e^{W+\phi_2}}{\int_\Sigma V e^{W+\phi_2} dv_g} - \frac{\lambda V e^W (\phi_1 - \phi_2)}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} + \frac{\lambda V e^W \int_\Sigma V e^W (\phi_1 - \phi_2) dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g\right)^2} \right\|_* \\
& \leq \left\| \frac{\lambda V e^W e^{\phi_\theta} (\phi_1 - \phi_2)}{\int_\Sigma V e^W e^{\phi_\theta} dv_g} - \frac{\lambda V e^W (\phi_1 - \phi_2)}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right\|_* \\
& + \left\| -\frac{\lambda V e^W \int_\Sigma V e^W (\phi_1 - \phi_2) dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g\right)^2} + \frac{\lambda V e^W e^{\phi_\theta} \int_\Sigma V e^W e^{\phi_\theta} (\phi_1 - \phi_2) dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^W e^{\phi_\theta} dv_g\right)^2} \right\|_* \\
& \leq C(\|\phi_1\|_\infty + \|\phi_2\|_\infty)\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(4.35) \quad \|N(\phi_1) - N(\phi_2)\|_* \leq C_1(\|\phi_1\|_\infty + \|\phi_2\|_\infty)\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_\infty.$$

Let $\rho'_0 > 0$ be sufficiently small such that

$$2C_1\varpi(\rho|\log\rho|^2|\nabla\mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{2-\kappa}|\log\rho|^3) \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

for any $\rho \in (0, \rho'_0)$. By combining the estimate of T from Proposition 4.2, we can deduce that

$$(4.36) \quad \|\mathcal{A}(\phi_1) - \mathcal{A}(\phi_2)\|_\infty \leq C_1|\log\rho|(\|\phi_1\|_\infty + \|\phi_2\|_\infty)\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_\infty,$$

Hence, \mathcal{A} is a contraction map from \mathfrak{F}_ϖ into itself. There exists a unique fixed point $\phi_\xi^\rho \in \mathfrak{F}_\varpi$. By the L^p theory, we can conclude that $\phi_\xi^\rho \in \overline{H}^1 \cap W^{2,2}(\Sigma)$. Therefore, ϕ_ξ^ρ solves (4.29). Consider the map $F(\xi, \phi, \tilde{c}) := \mathcal{A}(\phi) - \phi$. There is a small constant $\rho_0 \in (0, \rho'_0)$ which only depends on δ such that for any fixed $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ and $\xi \in M_\delta$, we have $F(\xi, \phi_\xi^\rho, \tilde{c}(\rho, \xi)) = 0$. Applying the Implicit Theorem, $(\rho, \xi) \mapsto (\phi_\xi^\rho, \tilde{c}(\rho, \xi))$ is C^1 -mapping w.r.t. ξ and C^2 -mapping w.r.t. ρ . Differentiating $F(\xi, \phi, \tilde{c}) = 0$ w.r.t. $\iota = \rho$ or $(\xi_i)_j (i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i)$

$$\partial_\iota \phi = (\partial_\iota T)(R + N(\phi)) + T(\partial_\iota R + \partial_\iota N(\phi)).$$

By Lemma 4.1 and (4.35),

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_l T(R + N(\phi))\|_\infty &\leq C \frac{|\log \rho|^2}{\rho} (\|R\|_* + \|N(\phi)\|_*) \\ &= C (|\log \rho|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{1-\kappa} |\log \rho|^3).\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_l N(\phi) &= N(\phi) \partial_l W + \lambda \left(\frac{V e^{W+\phi}}{\int_\Sigma V e^{W+\phi} dv_g} - \frac{V e^W}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right) \partial_l \phi \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(\frac{V e^{W+\phi} \int_\Sigma V e^{W+\phi} \partial_l W dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^{W+\phi} dv_g\right)^2} - \frac{V e^W \int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_l W dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g\right)^2} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{V e^W \phi \int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_l W dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g\right)^2} - \frac{V e^W \int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_l W \phi dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g\right)^2} \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{V e^W \left(\int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_l W dv_g\right) \left(\int_\Sigma V e^W \phi dv_g\right)}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g\right)^3} \right) \\ &\quad - \lambda \left(\frac{V e^{W+\phi} \int_\Sigma V e^{W+\phi} \partial_l \phi dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^{W+\phi} dv_g\right)^2} - \frac{V e^W \int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_l \phi dv_g}{\left(\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g\right)^2} \right)\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.8 and Lemma 7.9, $\|\partial_l W\|_\infty \leq C/\rho$.

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_l N(\phi)\|_* &\leq C (\|\partial_l W\|_\infty \|\phi\|_\infty^2 + \|\partial_l \phi\|_\infty \|\phi\|_\infty) \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-2\kappa} |\log \rho|^4) + o\left(\frac{\|\partial_l \phi\|_\infty}{|\log \rho|}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.5 yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_l e^{-\varphi_q \circ y_{\xi_l}^{-1}} e^{U_q} dv_g \right) &= -\varrho(\xi_l) \frac{r_0^2 \rho_l^2}{(r_0^2 + \rho_l^2)^2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \log \tau_l + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\rho^2),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\rho \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_l e^{-\varphi_q \circ y_{\xi_l}^{-1}} e^{U_q} dv_g \right) &= -\varrho(\xi_l) \frac{2r_0^2 \tau_l \rho}{(r_0^2 + \rho_l^2)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\rho),\end{aligned}$$

for any $q = 1, \dots, m$. We observe that $e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(x)} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2)$ and $\partial_\iota(\chi_i e^{-\varphi_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1}(x)}) = \mathcal{O}(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|)$. We deduce that

$$(4.37) \quad -\Delta_g \partial_\iota W = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_i e^{U_i} \partial_\iota U_i + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{1-\kappa}),$$

where \mathcal{O} is estimated in $\|\cdot\|_*$ -norm. We observe that

$$\partial_\iota R = \Delta_g \partial_\iota W + \frac{\lambda V e^W}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \left(\partial_\iota W - \frac{\int_\Sigma V e^W \partial_\iota W dv_g}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g} \right).$$

By (3.25), (3.28), (4.5) and (4.37), we have for $\iota = (\xi_i)_j$

$$(4.38) \quad \|\partial_\iota R\|_* \leq \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\nabla \log(\tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1})(0)| + \rho^{1-\kappa} \right).$$

By (3.32), (3.35), (4.5) and (4.37), we have for $\iota = \rho$

$$(4.39) \quad \|\partial_\rho R\|_* \leq \mathcal{O} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\nabla \log(\tau_i \circ y_{\xi_i}^{-1})(0)| + \rho^{1-\kappa} |\log \rho| \right)$$

Combining all the estimates above,

$$\|\partial_\iota \phi\|_\infty = \mathcal{O} \left(|\log \rho|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{1-\kappa} |\log \rho|^3 \right).$$

Thus the estimates (4.32) and (4.31) hold. Similarly, we can obtain

$$\|\partial_\rho^2 \phi\|_\infty = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{|\log \rho|^3}{\rho} |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{-\kappa} |\log \rho|^4 \right)$$

and

$$\|\partial_\rho^2 \phi\|_\infty = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{|\log \rho|^3}{\rho} |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g + \rho^{-\kappa} |\log \rho|^4 \right).$$

□

5 Variational reduction and the expansion of the energy functional

The function $W + \phi_\xi^\rho$ is a solution of (4.1) if c_0 and $c_{ij} = 0$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_i$. The following proposition shows that it is equivalent to find critical points of the reduced energy functional $E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) := J_\lambda(W + \phi_\xi^\rho)$.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $(\rho, \xi) \in (0, \rho_0) \times (\mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta)$ is a critical point of E_λ if and only if $u = W + \phi_\xi^\rho$ is a solution of (1.1) w.r.t. λ .*

Proof. Assume that (ξ, ρ) is a critical point of the reduced map E_λ . There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\xi \in M_\delta$. Then for any $\iota = (\xi_{i'})_{j'}$ for $i' = 1, \dots, m, j' = 1, \dots, J_{i'}$ and ρ ,

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_\iota E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= \int_\Sigma (L(\phi) - N(\phi) - R) \partial_\iota (W + \phi) dv_g(x) \\ &= \int_\Sigma (-c_0 \Delta_g PZ - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij} \Delta_g PZ_{ij}) \partial_\iota (W + \phi) dv_g = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the partial derivative of PU_i w.r.t. $(\xi_{i'})_{j'}$ can be expressed as the sum of two terms:

$$\partial_{(\xi_{i'})_{j'}} PU_i = \partial_{(\xi_{i'})_{j'}} PU_{\rho, \xi_i} |_{\rho=\rho_i} + \frac{1}{2} (\rho \partial_\rho PU_{\rho, \xi_i}) |_{\rho=\rho_i} \partial_{(\xi_{i'})_{j'}} \log \tau_i.$$

Lemma 7.8 combining (4.20) yields that $PZ_{ij} = \mathcal{O}(\rho) + \rho_i \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} PU_{\rho, \xi_i} |_{\rho=\rho_i}$. Applying (4.22), we have

$$\int_\Sigma \left(-c_0 \Delta_g PZ - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij} \Delta_g PZ_{ij} \right) \partial_{(\xi_{i'})_{j'}} W dv_g = \mathcal{O} \left(|c_0| + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}| \right) + c_{i'j'} \frac{4\varrho(\xi_{i'})}{3\rho_{i'}},$$

for any $i' = 1, \dots, m$ and $j' = 1, \dots, J_{i'}$. Lemma 7.9 combining (4.20) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\rho PU_i &= \sqrt{\tau_i} \partial_\rho PU_{\rho, \xi_i} |_{\rho=\rho_i} = \sqrt{\tau_i} \left(\chi_i \left(\partial_\rho U_{\rho, \xi_i} - \frac{2}{\rho} \right) - 4\rho F_{\xi_i} + \frac{1}{\rho} h_{\rho, \xi_i}^1 + o(\rho) \right) \Big|_{\rho=\rho_i} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\rho} PZ_{i0} + \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|). \end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.22), we have

$$\int_\Sigma \left(-c_0 \Delta_g PZ - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} c_{ij} \Delta_g PZ_{ij} \right) \partial_\rho W dv_g = \mathcal{O} \left(|c_0| + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}| \right) + c_0 \frac{4\lambda_{k,m}}{3\rho}.$$

Since $\int_\Sigma \phi \Delta_g PZ_{ij} dv_g = 0$, $\int_\Sigma \Delta_g PZ_{ij} \partial_\iota \phi dv_g = \int_\Sigma \partial_\iota \phi \Delta_g \partial_\iota PZ_{ij} dv_g$. By a direct calculation, $\|\partial_\iota PZ_{ij}\| \leq \|\partial_\iota Z_{ij}\| \leq \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\rho})$. Proposition 4.29 deduces that

$$\left| \int_\Sigma \Delta_g PZ_{ij} \partial_\iota \phi dv_g \right| \leq \|\phi\| \|\partial_\iota PZ_{ij}\| \leq o\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right).$$

Combining the estimates above, for $i' = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i$,

$$c_{i'j'} \frac{3\rho(\xi_{i'})}{4} + o\left(|c_0| + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}|\right) = 0,$$

and

$$c_0 \frac{4\lambda_{k,m}}{3} + o\left(|c_0| + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{J_i} |c_{ij}|\right) = 0.$$

Thus there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, for any $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$, $\tilde{c} \equiv 0$. Consequently, $u = W + \phi$ solves (1.1) with respect to λ .

Assume that for (ξ, ρ) , there exists a solution of (1.1), with the form $\sum_{i=1}^m PU_i + \phi_\xi^\rho$, it is obvious that (5.1) is true, which implies that (ρ, ξ) is a critical point of the reduced map E_λ . \square

Next, we will give the asymptotic expansion for the reduced functional E_λ .

Proposition 5.2. *Assume (3.10)-(3.13). The following expansions hold for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_i$:*

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= -\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda \log\left(\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + 2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}) \log \rho - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi)\rho \\ &\quad + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi)\rho^2 \log \rho - \mathcal{B}(\xi)\rho^2 + O\left(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2\right) + o(\rho^2), \\ \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \mathcal{F}_{k,m} + \mathcal{O}(\rho), \\ \partial_\rho E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= \frac{2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})}{\rho} - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \rho + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi)\rho + 2\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)\rho \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi)\rho \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho |\log \rho|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2\right) + o(\rho), \\ \partial_\rho^2 E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= -\frac{2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})}{\rho^2} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + 3\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + 2\mathcal{A}_2 \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(|\log \rho|^3 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2\right) + o(1), \\ \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_\rho E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{1-\kappa} |\log \rho|^4 + |\log \rho|^3 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

as $\rho \rightarrow 0^+$. Moreover, $E_\lambda(\rho, \xi)$ is C^1 -differentiable w.r.t. ξ and C^2 -differentiable w.r.t. ρ .

Proof. Since $\int_\Sigma \phi dv_g = 0$,

$$DJ_\lambda(W)(\phi) = \int_\Sigma \left(-\Delta_g W + \beta W - \frac{\lambda V e^W}{\int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g}\right) \phi dv_g = \int_\Sigma -R\phi dv_g$$

and

$$D^2 J_\lambda(W)(\phi, \phi) = \int_\Sigma L(\phi)\phi dv_g = \int_\Sigma (R + N(\phi))\phi dv_g,$$

where ϕ is obtained by Proposition 4.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(W + \phi) - J_\lambda(W) &= DJ_\lambda(W)(\phi) + \frac{1}{2}D^2 J_\lambda(W)(\phi, \phi) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (D^2 J_\lambda(W + ts\phi) - D^2 J_\lambda(W))(\phi, \phi) t ds dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma R\phi dv_g + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma N(\phi)\phi dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (D^2 J_\lambda(W + ts\phi) - D^2 J_\lambda(W))(\phi, \phi) t ds dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$DJ_\lambda(W)(\phi) + D^2 J_\lambda(W)(\phi, \phi) = \int_\Sigma N(\phi)\phi dv_g$$

hold. Since $\frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g \leq \int_\Sigma V e^{W+ts\phi} dv_g \leq 2 \int_\Sigma V e^W dv_g$ and $|e^{W+ts\phi} - e^W| \leq C e^W \|\phi\|_\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|DJ_\lambda(W)(\phi) + D^2 J_\lambda(W)(\phi, \phi)| + \left| \int_0^1 t dt \int_0^1 ds [D^2 J_\lambda(W + ts\phi) - D^2 J_\lambda(W)](\phi, \phi) \right| \\ &= O(\|N(\phi)\|_* \|\phi\|_\infty + \|\phi\|_\infty^3) \stackrel{(4.35)}{=} O(\|\phi\|_\infty^3). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1 and (4.30) yield

$$|J_\lambda(W + \phi) - J_\lambda(W)| = O(\|R\|_* \|\phi\|_\infty + \|\phi\|_\infty^3) = O(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2).$$

By (3.14),

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= J_\lambda(W) + O(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2) \\ &= -\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda \log \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + 2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}) \log \rho - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi)\rho + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi)\rho^2 \log \rho \\ &\quad - \mathcal{B}(\xi)\rho^2 + O(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2) + o(\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating w.r.t. $\iota = (\xi_i)_j$ or $\rho, i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, J_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\iota (J_\lambda(W + \phi) - J_\lambda(W)) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma [\partial_\iota R\phi + R\partial_\iota \phi] dv_g + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma (\partial_\iota [N(\phi)]\phi + N(\phi)\partial_\iota \phi) dv_g \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 t dt \int_0^1 ds \partial_\iota \{ [D^2 J_\lambda(W + ts\phi) - D^2 J_\lambda(W)](\phi, \phi) \}. \end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 t dt \int_0^1 ds \partial_t \{ [D^2 J_\lambda(W + ts\phi) - D^2 J_\lambda(W)](\phi, \phi) \} \right| \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\|\phi\|_\infty^2 \|\partial_t \phi\|_\infty + \|\phi\|_\infty^3 \|\partial_t W\|_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Proposition 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (J_\lambda(W + \phi) - J_\lambda(W)) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\|R\|_* |\partial_t \phi|_\infty + \|\partial_t R\|_* |\phi|_\infty + \|N(\phi)\|_* |\partial_t \phi|_\infty + \|\partial_t N(\phi)\|_* |\phi|_\infty) \\ & \quad + \mathcal{O}(\|\phi\|_\infty^2 \|\partial_t \phi\|_\infty + \|\phi\|_\infty^3 \|\partial_t W\|_\infty) \\ &= \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2 \frac{|\log \rho|}{\rho}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus applying (3.24) and (3.29) we have for $\iota = (\xi_i)_j$

$$\partial_t E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) = \partial_t J(W) + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2 \frac{|\log \rho|}{\rho}\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \mathcal{F}_{k,m} + \mathcal{O}(\rho)$$

and for $\iota = \rho$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= \partial_t J(W) + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^2 |\log \rho|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2 \frac{|\log \rho|}{\rho}\right) \\ &= \frac{2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})}{\rho} - \mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} \rho + \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho + 2\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \rho \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) \rho \cdot \\ & \quad + \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2) + o(\rho) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Proposition 4.6, we can derive that

$$\partial_\rho^2 (J_\lambda(W + \phi) - J_\lambda(W)) = \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2 \frac{|\log \rho|^2}{\rho^2}\right),$$

and

$$\partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_\rho (J_\lambda(W + \phi) - J_\lambda(W)) = \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2 \frac{|\log \rho|^2}{\rho^2}\right).$$

Combining with (3.30) and (3.31), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\rho^2 E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= \partial_\rho^2 J(W) + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2 \frac{|\log \rho|^2}{\rho^2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{2(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})}{\rho^2} + \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + 3\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + 2\mathcal{A}_2 \log \rho - 2\mathcal{B}(\xi) \\ & \quad + \mathcal{O}(|\log \rho|^3 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_\rho E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) &= \partial_{(\xi_i)_j} \partial_\rho J(W) + \mathcal{O}\left(\rho^2 |\log \rho| |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{3-\kappa} |\log \rho|^2 \frac{|\log \rho|^2}{\rho^2}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\rho^{1-\kappa} |\log \rho|^4 + |\log \rho|^3 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2). \end{aligned}$$

□

6 The proof of the main theorem

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Here, we just construct the solution for $\lambda > \lambda_{k,m}$ and we can deduce it similarly for $\lambda < \lambda_{k,m}$. Since K is a compact subset of Σ , there is $\delta > 0$ such that $U \subset\subset M_\delta$.

We can assume that $\max\{|\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)|, |\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)|\} \leq \mathfrak{a}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}(\xi) > 0$ for $\xi \in U$, where $\mathfrak{a}_0 > 0$ is a constant. Proposition 5.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\rho \partial_\rho E_\lambda(\rho; \xi)}{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}} \right|_{\rho = \mu \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}}} &= 2 - \frac{\mu \mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}{\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}}} + \frac{\mu^2 \mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + (\mu^2 + 2\mu^2 \log \mu + \mu^2 \log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})) \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \\ &\quad - 2\mu^2 \mathcal{B}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 |\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^2 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2) + o(\mu^2), \\ \left. \frac{\rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 E_\lambda(\rho; \xi)}{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}} \right|_{\rho = \mu \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}}} &= -2 + \frac{\mu^2 \mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} + (3\mu^2 + 2\mu^2 \log \mu + \mu^2 \log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})) \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) \\ &\quad - 2\mu^2 \mathcal{B}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\mu^2 (|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^3) |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2) + o(\mu^2). \end{aligned}$$

We define that

$$\mathcal{D}_\lambda := \left\{ \xi \in U : |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^4} \right\}.$$

And let

$$m_0 = \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \inf_U |\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \inf_U |\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \inf_U |\mathcal{B}(\xi)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \left(\frac{|\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}|}{|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$$

and

$$M_0 = 2 \sup_U |\mathcal{B}(\xi)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

We define $I_\lambda = [m_0, M_0]$. For any λ sufficiently close to $\lambda_{k,m}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{(\rho \partial_\rho E_\lambda)(\mu \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}}, \xi)}{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}} \right|_{\mu = m_0} &= 2 + o(1) \geq 1, \\ \left. \frac{(\rho \partial_\rho E_\lambda)(\mu \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}}, \xi)}{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}} \right|_{\mu = M_0} &\leq 2 - M_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)}{\sqrt{|\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}|}} (1 + o(1)) \\ &\quad - M_0^2 |\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})| \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) (1 + o(1)) - 2M_0^2 \mathcal{B}(\xi) + o(1) \\ &\leq -1 \\ \left. \frac{(\rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 E_\lambda)(\mu \sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}}, \xi)}{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}} \right|_{\mu = m_0} &\leq -2 + \mu^2 \frac{\mathcal{A}_1^2(\xi)}{\lambda_{k,m}} - \mu^2 |\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})| \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) (1 + o(1)) \\ &\quad - 2\mu^2 \mathcal{B}(\xi) + o(1) \\ &\leq -1, \end{aligned}$$

applying the restriction on $\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)$, $\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in (\lambda_{k,m}, \lambda_{k,m} + \varepsilon)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$ there is a unique solution $\mu := \mu(\lambda, \xi) \in I_\lambda$ solving $(\partial_\rho E_\lambda)(\mu\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}}, \xi) = 0$. The Implicit Function Theorem implies that $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mapsto \rho(\lambda, \xi) := \mu(\lambda, \xi)\sqrt{\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}}$ is C^1 -differentiable w.r.t. ξ and

$$\partial_\xi \rho(\lambda, \xi) = -\frac{\partial_\xi \partial_\delta E_\lambda(\rho(\lambda, \xi), \xi)}{\partial_\rho^2 E_\lambda(\rho(\lambda, \xi), \xi)}.$$

Proposition 5.2 implies that

$$\partial_\xi \partial_\rho E_\lambda(\rho, \xi) = \mathcal{O}(|\log \rho|^3 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|_g^2 + \rho^{1-\kappa} |\log \rho|^4).$$

Considering that $|\partial_\rho^2 E_\lambda(\rho(\lambda, \xi), \xi)| \geq \frac{1}{\mu^2}$ and $(\mu, \xi) \in I_\lambda \times \mathcal{D}_\lambda$,

$$\partial_\xi \rho(\lambda, \xi) = \mathcal{O}(|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^{-5}).$$

We will apply the cut-off function χ (see Section 3.2) to extend $\rho(\lambda, \xi)$ to be a C^1 -function on U for ξ . Let

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}(\lambda, \xi) &:= \chi(|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^8 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|^2) \rho(\lambda, \xi) + (\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad (1 - \chi(|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^8 |\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi)|^2)). \end{aligned}$$

We denote $\tilde{E}_\lambda(\xi) = E_\lambda(\tilde{\rho}(\lambda, \xi), \xi) : I_\lambda \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Proposition 5.2 yields that

$$\tilde{E}_\lambda(\xi) := -\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda \log\left(\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(|\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}| |\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi \tilde{E}_\lambda(\xi) &= \partial_\xi E_\lambda(\tilde{\rho}, \xi) + \partial_\rho E_\lambda(\rho, \xi)|_{\rho=\tilde{\rho}(\lambda, \xi)} \partial_\xi \tilde{\rho}(\lambda, \xi) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\xi \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^5}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is uniformly convergent w.r.t. $\xi \in U$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}^+$. By the assumption K is a stable critical points set of $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$, for any λ in a small right neighborhood of $\lambda_{k,m}$, there exists $\xi_\lambda \in U$ to be a critical point of $\tilde{E}_\lambda(\xi) + \lambda_{k,m} + \lambda \log\left(\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8}\right)$. Consequently, ξ_λ is also a critical point of \tilde{E}_λ . Then $|\nabla \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi_\lambda)| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^5}\right)$, which implies that $\xi_\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_\lambda$. So we have $\tilde{\rho}(\lambda, \xi_\lambda) = \rho(\lambda, \xi_\lambda)$, $\tilde{E}_\lambda(\xi_\lambda) = E_\lambda(\rho(\lambda, \xi_\lambda), \xi_\lambda)$ and

$\nabla_{\xi} E_{\lambda}(\rho(\lambda, \xi_{\lambda}, \xi_{\lambda})) = 0$. Proposition 5.1 yields that $u_{\lambda} := W + \phi_{\xi_{\lambda}}^{\rho(\lambda, \xi_{\lambda})}$ is a solution of (1.1) w.r.t. λ . Let

$$K_{\epsilon} := \{\xi \in M_{\delta} : \text{dist}(\xi, K) \leq \epsilon\}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we can assume that $K_{\epsilon} \subset U$. For some λ_{ϵ} sufficiently close to $\lambda_{k,m}$, we can construct $\xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}} \in K_{\epsilon}$, $\rho_{\epsilon} := \rho(\lambda_{\epsilon}, \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}})$ such that $\nabla_{\xi} E_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}(\rho(\lambda_{\epsilon}, \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}), \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}) = 0$. By Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 5.1, there exists a solution u_{λ} that solves the problem (1.1) for λ in weak sense.

W.l.o.g., assume that

$$\xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}} = (\xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon},1}, \dots, \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon},m}) \rightarrow \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in K,$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then we define $u_{\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^m P U_{i,\epsilon} + \phi_{\xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}}^{\rho(\lambda_{\epsilon}, \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}})}$, where

$$U_{i,\epsilon} = U_{\rho(\lambda_{\epsilon}, \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}) \sqrt{\tau_i(\xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}})}, \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon},i}}.$$

According to Proposition 5.1, u_{ρ_n} solves the problem (1.1) in weak sense for some λ_{ϵ} satisfying that $|\lambda_{\epsilon} - \lambda_{k,m}| \leq \epsilon$. Claim that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon} V e^{u_{\epsilon}}}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^{u_{\epsilon}} dv_g} \Psi dv_g \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \Psi(\xi_i) \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \forall \Psi \in C(\Sigma).$$

In fact, by the inequality $|e^s - 1| \leq e^{|s|}|s|$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the estimate (4.5) yield that

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon} V e^{u_{\epsilon}}}{\int_{\Sigma} V e^{u_{\epsilon}} dv_g} \Psi dv_g = \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \Psi(\xi_i) + o(1) \quad (\epsilon \rightarrow 0).$$

Therefore, u_{ϵ} is a sequence of blow-up solutions of (1.1) along $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}$. The proof is concluded. \square

Proof of Corollary 2.2. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists λ_{ϵ} with $|\lambda_{\epsilon} - \lambda_{k,m}| < \epsilon$ and furthermore we can construct $\tilde{\rho}(\lambda_{\epsilon}, \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}})$, $\tilde{E}_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}(\xi)$ and find a $\xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}} \in U \cap \{\xi : |\xi^* - \xi| < \epsilon\}$ such that $\nabla_{\xi} E_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}(\rho(\lambda_{\epsilon}, \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}), \xi_{\lambda_{\epsilon}}) = 0$. To simplify the

notations, we will use λ instead of λ_ϵ . It follows that $\xi_\lambda \rightarrow \xi^*$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}$. We recall that

$$(6.3) \quad \tilde{E}_\lambda(\xi) := E_\lambda(\tilde{\rho}(\lambda, \xi), \xi) = -\lambda_{k,m} - \lambda \log \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,m}}{8} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(|\lambda - \lambda_{k,m}| |\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|)$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad \partial_\xi \tilde{E}_\lambda(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_\xi \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{|\log(\lambda - \lambda_{k,m})|^5} \right).$$

The estimate (6.3) yields that when

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi_\lambda) \rightarrow \min_{\xi \in M_\delta} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}.$$

And (6.4) implies that $\tilde{\rho}(\lambda, \xi_\lambda) = \rho(\lambda, \xi_\lambda)$. Then $(\rho(\lambda, \xi_\lambda), \xi_\lambda)$ is a critical point of $E_\lambda(\rho, \xi)$. For simplicity, we denote $\rho = \rho(\lambda, \xi_\lambda)$. Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 5.1 imply that

$$u_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m PU_{\rho\sqrt{\tau_i(\xi_{\lambda,i}), \xi_{\lambda,i}}} + \phi_{\xi_\lambda}^\rho$$

solves (1.1) for λ sufficiently close to $\lambda_{k,m}$. As $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}$, u_λ is uniformly bounded on $\Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^m U_\epsilon(\xi_{\lambda,i})$ and $\sup_{U_\epsilon(\xi_{\lambda,i})} u_\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$, for any $\epsilon > 0$. Lemma 7.7 deduces that

$$u_\lambda = -2 \sum_{i=1}^m \chi(|y_{\xi_{\lambda,i}}(x)|/r_0) \log(\rho^2 \tau_i(\xi_{\lambda,i}) + |y_{\xi_{\lambda,i}}(x)|^2) + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}.$$

Given that λ is sufficiently close to $\lambda_{k,m}$, we have

$$\max_{U_{r_0}(\xi_{\lambda,i})} u_\lambda = \max \left\{ u_\rho(x) : |y_{\xi_{\lambda,i}}(x)| < \sqrt{\rho \tau_i(\xi_{\lambda,i})} \right\} (i = 1, \dots, k);$$

and

$$\max_{U_{r_0}(\xi_{\lambda,i}) \cap \partial \Sigma} u_\rho = \max \{ u_\rho(x) : x \in \partial \Sigma, |y_{\xi_{\lambda,i}}(x)| < \sqrt{\rho \tau_i(\xi_{\lambda,i})} \} (i = k+1, \dots, m).$$

Then there exists $\tilde{\xi}_{\lambda,i}^*$ satisfying that $|y_{\xi_{\lambda,i}}(\tilde{\xi}_{\lambda,i}^*)| < \sqrt{\rho \tau_i(\xi_{\lambda,i})}$ attaining the local maximum of u_λ in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ for any $i = 1, \dots, k$, and on $\partial \Sigma$ any $i = k+1, \dots, m$. Moreover, $\tilde{\xi}_\lambda^* := (\tilde{\xi}_{\lambda,1}^*, \dots, \tilde{\xi}_{\lambda,m}^*) \rightarrow \xi^*$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\tilde{\xi}_\lambda^*) \rightarrow \min_{\xi \in M_\delta} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{k,m}.$$

Applying Theorem 2.1, we can conclude the proof.

□

7 Appendix

Appendix A.

Lemma 7.1. *For any fixed $\xi \in \Sigma$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $H^g(x, \xi)$ is $C^{2, \alpha}$ in Σ w.r.t. x . Moreover, $H^g(x, \xi)$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{2, \alpha}$ for any ξ in any compact subset of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ or $\partial\Sigma$.*

Proof. We apply the isothermal coordinate $(y_\xi, U(\xi))$ introduced in Section 3. By the transformation law for Δ_g under a conformal transformation, $\Delta_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-\varphi} \Delta_g$ for any $\tilde{g} = e^\varphi g$. It follows that $\Delta_g \left(\log \frac{1}{|y_\xi(x)|} \right) = e^{-\varphi_\xi(y)} \Delta \log \frac{1}{|y|} \Big|_{y=y_\xi(x)} = -\frac{\varrho(\xi)}{4} \delta_\xi$, where δ_ξ is the Dirac mass in $\xi \in \Sigma$. For any $x \in U(\xi) \cap \partial\Sigma$, let $y = y_\xi(x)$

$$\partial_{\nu_g} \log |y_\xi(x)| \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} -e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi_\xi(y)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \log |y| = -e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi_\xi(y)} \frac{y_2}{|y|^2} \equiv 0.$$

Clearly, $\partial_{\nu_g} \chi(|y_\xi(x)|) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Sigma \cap U_{r_\xi/4}(\xi)$. It follows that that $\partial_{\nu_g} H^g(\cdot, \xi)$ is smooth on $\partial\Sigma$. And $\Delta_g H^g(\cdot, \xi)$ is bounded in $C^\alpha(\Sigma)$, for any $p \geq 1$. Then, by the Schauder estimate in Lemma 5 of [46], there is a unique solution $H^g(x, \xi) \in C^{2, \alpha}(\Sigma)$ solves (3.6). Given that $-\Delta_g H^g(\cdot, \xi)$ is uniformly bounded in $C^\alpha(\Sigma)$ for any ξ in any compact subset of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ or $\partial\Sigma$, $H^g(\cdot, \xi)$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{2, \alpha}$, via the Schauder estimates. \square

Lemma 7.2. *For any $\xi \in \Sigma$, $G^g(\cdot, \xi) = \Gamma^g(\cdot, \xi) + H^g(\cdot, \xi)$ and $H^g(\xi, \xi) = R^g(\xi)$.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 7.1, the sum $\Gamma_\xi^g + H_\xi^g$ solves (3.3) in distribution sense. Given the uniqueness of the solution, we can deduce that $G^g(\cdot, \xi) = \Gamma_\xi^g + H_\xi^g$ in distribution sense. Consequently,

$$R^g(\xi) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi} \left(G^g(x, \xi) + \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi)} \log |y_\xi(x)| \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi} H^g(x, \xi) = H^g(\xi, \xi).$$

\square

Lemma 7.3. For any $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}$,

$$(7.1) \quad R^g(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \xi \text{ going to } \partial\Sigma.$$

Moreover, for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m (2\varrho(\xi_i) \log V(\xi_i) + \varrho(\xi_i)^2 R^g(\xi_i)) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^m \varrho(\xi_i) \varrho(\xi_j) G^g(\xi_i, \xi_j) \rightarrow +\infty,$$

as ξ going to $\partial(\mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta)$.

Proof. For any $\zeta \in \partial\Sigma$, we fix an isothermal chart $(y_\zeta, U(\zeta))$. For any $\xi \in U_{r_\zeta}(\zeta)$, we take $r_0 = r_\zeta/4$. And we decompose the Green's function,

$$G^g(x, \xi) = \tilde{H}^g(x, \xi) - \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi)} \chi(|y_\zeta(x) - y_\zeta(\xi)|/r_0) \log |y_\zeta(x) - y_\zeta(\xi)|.$$

Applying the representation formula, we have for any $\xi \in U_{r_\zeta}(\zeta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^g(\xi, \xi) &= \int_{\Sigma} (-\Delta_g) \tilde{H}^g(x, \xi) G^g(x, \xi) dv_g(x) \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Sigma} \partial_{\nu_g} \tilde{H}^g(x, \xi) G^g(x, \xi) d\varrho_g(x) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \tilde{H}^g(x, \xi)|_g dv_g(x) - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\partial\Sigma} \partial_{\nu_g} (\chi(|y_\zeta(x) - y_\zeta(\xi)|) \log |y_\zeta(x) - y_\zeta(\xi)|) \\ &\quad \cdot \chi(|y_\zeta(x) - y_\zeta(\xi)|) \log |y_\zeta(x) - y_\zeta(\xi)| d\varrho_g(x) + \mathcal{O}(1) \quad (\text{by Divergence Theorem}) \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{y_\zeta^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_{r_0}(y_\zeta(\xi))) \cap \partial\Sigma} \log |y_\zeta(x) - y_\zeta(\xi)| \partial_{\nu_g} \log |y_\zeta(x) - y_\zeta(\xi)| d\varrho_g(x) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{B}_{r_0}(y_\zeta(\xi)) \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^2} \frac{-y_\zeta(\xi)_2}{|y - y_\zeta(\xi)|^2} \log |y - y_\zeta(\xi)| dy_1 + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log(|y_\zeta(\xi)_2|) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+s^2} ds + \mathcal{O}(1) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \log |y_\zeta(\xi)_2| + \mathcal{O}(1) \\ &\longrightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

as $d_g(\xi, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow 0$.

It is easy to verify that $R^g(\xi) = \tilde{H}^g(\xi, \xi)$. The first statement is concluded.

Next we assume that $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta$.

Claim 7.4. There exists a constant c_0 satisfying $G^g(\xi_i, \xi_j) \geq c_0$, for any $\xi_i \neq \xi_j$.

We denote that

$$\mathcal{I}_0 = \left\{ i : 1 \leq i \leq k, d_g(\xi_i, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \xi \text{ going to } \partial(\mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta) \right\}.$$

For any $i \in \mathcal{I}_0$, $\tilde{H}^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) \rightarrow +\infty$. There exists a compact subset set F of $\mathring{\Sigma}$ such that $\xi_i \in F$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \setminus \mathcal{I}_0$. It follows that any $i \notin \mathcal{I}_0$,

$$\tilde{H}^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) \geq - \sup_{x \in \partial\Sigma} \|\tilde{H}^g(\cdot, x)\|_{C(\Sigma)} - \sup_{x \in F} \|H^g(\cdot, x)\|_{C(\Sigma)}.$$

Case I. $\mathcal{I}_0 \neq \emptyset$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) &\geq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_0} \varrho(\xi_i)^2 H^g(\xi_i, \xi_i) - \sum_{i \notin \mathcal{I}_0} \sup_{x \in \partial\Sigma \cup F} \varrho(\xi_i)^2 \|H^g(\cdot, x)\|_{C(\Sigma)} \\ &\quad - 64\pi^2 m^2 |c_*| + \sum_{i=1}^m 2\varrho(\xi_i) \inf_{x \in \Sigma} \log V(x) \\ &\rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \xi \text{ approaching } \partial(\mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Case II. $\mathcal{I}_0 = \emptyset$. Then there exists a compact subset F such that $\xi_i \in F$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ and

$$\mathcal{I}_1 := \{(i, j) : i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m; i \neq j \text{ s.t. } d_g(\xi_i, \xi_j) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \xi \text{ going to } \partial(\mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

For any $(i, j) \in \mathcal{I}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} G^g(\xi_i, \xi_j) &= H^g(\xi_i, \xi_j) + \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi_j)} \chi(|y_{\xi_j}(\xi_i)|) \log \frac{1}{|y_{\xi_j}(\xi_i)|} \\ &\geq - \sup_{x \in F \cup \partial\Sigma} \|H^g(\cdot, x)\|_{C(\Sigma)} + c_1 \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi_j)} \log \frac{1}{|d_g(\xi_i, \xi_j)|}, \end{aligned}$$

in which $c_1 > 0$ is a constant. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(\xi) &\geq -64\pi^2 m^2 c_0 + 64\pi^2 m \sup_{x \in \partial\Sigma \cup F} \|H^g(\cdot, x)\|_{C(\Sigma)} \\ &\quad + c_1 \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}_1} \log \frac{1}{d_g(\xi_i, \xi_j)} + \sum_{i=1}^m 2\varrho(\xi_i) \inf_{x \in \Sigma} \log V(x) \\ &\rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \xi \text{ going to } \partial(\mathring{\Sigma}^k \times (\partial\Sigma)^{m-k} \setminus \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove Claim 7.4. Consider the function $G^g(x, \xi_j)$ on $\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_j\}$. We decompose

$$\begin{aligned} G^g(x, \xi_j) &= H^g(x, \xi_j) + \chi(|y_{\xi_j}(x)|) \log \frac{1}{|y_{\xi_j}(x)|} \\ &\geq -\|H^g(\cdot, \xi_j)\|_{C(\Sigma)} + \frac{4}{\varrho(\xi_j)} \chi(|y_{\xi_j}(x)|) \log \frac{1}{|y_{\xi_j}(x)|}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\xi_j \in \partial\Sigma$, $\|H^g(\cdot, \xi_j)\|_{C(\Sigma)}$ is uniformly bounded. It is clear that $G^g(x, \xi_j) \geq c_0$, for some $c_0 > 0$. It is sufficient to consider the case $j = 1, \dots, k$. It follows that $G^g(x, \xi_j) \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_j\})$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi_j} G^g(x, \xi_j) = +\infty$. Let $h(x)$ be the unique solution to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g h(x) = -\frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g}, & x \in \mathring{\Sigma} \\ h(x) = 0 & x \in \partial\Sigma \end{cases}.$$

Define that $\tilde{G}(x, \xi_j) = G^g(x, \xi_j) - h(x)$. Then $-\Delta_g \tilde{G}(x, \xi_j) = 0$ on $\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_j\}$. Considering that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi_j} \tilde{G}(x, \xi_j) = +\infty$,

$$\inf_{\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_j\}} \tilde{G}(x, \xi_j) = \min_{x \in \partial\Sigma} \tilde{G}(x, \xi_j).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_j\}} G(x, \xi_j) &\geq \inf_{\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_j\}} \tilde{G}(x, \xi_j) - \|f\|_{C(\Sigma)} \geq \min_{x \in \partial\Sigma} G(x, \xi_j) - 2\|f\|_{C(\Sigma)} \\ &\geq \min_{x \in \partial\Sigma} G(\xi_j, x) - 2\|f\|_{C(\Sigma)} \\ &\geq -\sup_{x \in \partial\Sigma} \|H^g(\cdot, x)\|_{C(\Sigma)} - 2\|f\|_{C(\Sigma)} := c_0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix B

For any ξ in a compact subset of $\mathring{\Sigma}$ or $\partial\Sigma$ we take that $r_\xi = 4r_0$, for some constant $r_0 > 0$. Next, we will obtain some important asymptotic behaviors of the approximation solutions.

Lemma 7.5. For any $r, \rho > 0$, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_r} \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy = 2 \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} \frac{\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy = \pi - \frac{\pi\rho^2}{r^2} + \frac{\pi\rho^4}{(r^2 + \rho^2)r^2};$$

$$(7.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_r} \frac{2\rho^4}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^3} dy = 2 \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} \frac{2\rho^4}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^3} dy = \pi - \frac{\pi\rho^4}{(r^2 + \rho^2)^2};$$

$$(7.4) \quad \int_B \frac{\rho^3 y_j}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^3} dy = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 2 \text{ and } B = \mathbb{B}_r \text{ or } j = 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) & \text{if } j = 2, B = \mathbb{B}_r^+ \end{cases};$$

$$(7.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_r} \frac{\rho^2 \log(\frac{\rho^2 + |y|^2}{\rho^2})}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy = 2 \int_{\mathbb{B}_r^+} \frac{\rho^2 \log(\frac{\rho^2 + |y|^2}{\rho^2})}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy = \pi + \frac{\pi\rho^2 \log(\rho^2)}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2),$$

as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, where $\mathcal{O}(\cdot)$ relies on r .

Proof. The proof can be derived from straightforward computation and applying symmetry properties. \square

Corollary 7.6. Let $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ (possibly depending on ξ), $0 < \alpha < 1$, $P_2(f)$ be the second-order Taylor expansion of $f(x)$ at ξ , i.e.

$$P_2 f(x) := f(\xi) + \langle \nabla (f \circ y_\xi^{-1})(0), y_\xi(x) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle D^2 (f \circ y_\xi^{-1})(0) y_\xi(x), y_\xi(x) \rangle.$$

Let $\mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) = 0$ if $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0)$ if $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$. Then the following expansions hold as $\rho \rightarrow 0$:

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} f(x) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} dv_g \\ &= \varrho(\xi) f(\xi) + \varrho(\xi) \mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) \rho + \left(4f(\xi) \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} \frac{1}{|y_\xi|^3} dv_g \right. \\ & \quad + 4\mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} \frac{(y_\xi)_2}{|y_\xi|^3} dv_g - \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{4} \Delta_g f(\xi) (2 \log \rho + 1) \\ & \quad \left. - 2\Delta_g f(\xi) \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} \frac{\log |y_\xi|}{|y_\xi|} dv_g + 8 \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} \frac{f - P_2(f)}{|y_\xi|^4} dv_g \right) \rho^2 + o(\rho^2); \end{aligned}$$

$$(7.7) \quad \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} f(x) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{dv_g}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2} = \frac{\varrho(\xi) f(\xi)}{2\rho^2} + \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{4\rho} \mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) + \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{8} \Delta_g f(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\alpha);$$

for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(7.8) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} f(x) e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} \frac{(\rho^2 - |y_{\xi}|^2) dv_g}{(\rho^2 + |y_{\xi}(x)|^2)^2} = \frac{\varrho(\xi)(2a-1)}{6\rho^2} f(\xi) + \frac{(a-1)\varrho(\xi)}{8\rho} \mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) \\ + \frac{\varrho(\xi)(a-2)}{24} \Delta_g f(\xi) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^{\alpha}).$$

Proof. Applying the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} f e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g = \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi)} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} f e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g \\ = \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} P_2(f)(x) e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g + \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} (f - P_2(f)) e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g.$$

Since $|f(x) - P_2 f(x)| \leq C|y_{\xi}(x)|^{2+\alpha}$ for same $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the dominated convergent theorem yields that

$$(7.9) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} (f - P_2(f)) e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g = 8\rho^2 \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} \frac{f - P_2(f)}{|y_{\xi}|^4} dv_g + o(\rho^2).$$

Applying (7.2) and integrating by part, we have

$$(7.10) \quad f(\xi) \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g \\ = f(\xi) \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} \frac{8\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy + f(\xi) \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} (\chi(|y|/r_0) - 1) \frac{8\rho^2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy \\ = \left(\varrho(\xi) f(\xi) - \frac{\varrho(\xi) f(\xi) \rho^2}{4r_0^2} \right) + \left(\frac{\varrho(\xi) f(\xi) \rho^2}{4r_0^2} + \frac{\varrho(\xi) f(\xi) \rho^2}{r_0} \int_0^{\infty} \chi'(\frac{s}{r_0}) s^{-2} ds \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \\ = \varrho(\xi) f(\xi) + \frac{\varrho(\xi) \rho^2}{r_0} f(\xi) \int_0^{\infty} \chi'(\frac{s}{r_0}) s^{-2} ds + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).$$

By a straightforward calculation, for $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$, i.e. $B_{2r_0}^{\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{2r_0}^+$

$$(7.11) \quad \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} \frac{8\rho^2 y_2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} = 8\rho \arctan(2r_0/\rho) - \frac{4\rho^2}{r_0} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \\ = 4\pi\rho - 8\rho \int_{2r_0/\rho}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(s+1)^2} s + 8\rho \int_{2r_0/\rho}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(s+1)^2} - \frac{1}{s^2+1} \right) ds - \frac{4\rho^2}{r_0} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \\ = 4\pi\rho - \frac{8\rho^2}{r_0} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3).$$

Similarly, for $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$, we use (7.11) and integrating by part to derive the following

estimate:

(7.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} \langle \nabla f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0), y_{\xi}(x) \rangle e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} \frac{8\rho^2 y_2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} (\chi(|y|/r_0) - 1) \frac{8\rho^2 y_2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy \\
&= \varrho(\xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \rho - \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{\pi r_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \rho^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} (\chi(|y|/r_0) - 1) \frac{8\rho^2 y_2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3) \\
&= \varrho(\xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \rho - \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{\pi r_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \rho^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \left(\frac{\varrho(\xi) \rho^2}{\pi r_0} + \frac{\varrho(\xi) \rho^2}{\pi r_0} \int_0^{\infty} \chi' \left(\frac{s}{r_0} \right) s^{-1} ds \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3) \\
&= \varrho(\xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \rho + \frac{\varrho(\xi) \rho^2}{\pi r_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_0^{\infty} \chi' \left(\frac{s}{r_0} \right) s^{-1} ds + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3).
\end{aligned}$$

For $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}$, we have $\int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} \langle \nabla f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0), y_{\xi}(x) \rangle e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g = 0$ by the symmetric property. In view of that $\int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} \frac{y_1 y_2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy = 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} \frac{y_1^2 - y_2^2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)^2} dy = 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} \langle D^2 f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) y_{\xi}(x), y_{\xi}(x) \rangle e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g = \frac{1}{4} \Delta f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{8\rho^2 |y|^2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)} dy.$$

By integrating by part again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \Delta f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{8\rho^2 |y|^2}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)} dy \\
(7.13) \quad &= -\frac{\varrho(\xi)}{4} \Delta f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \left(2\rho^2 \log \rho + \rho^2 + \frac{2\rho^2}{r_0} \int_0^{\infty} \chi' \left(\frac{s}{r_0} \right) \log s ds \right) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates (7.9), (7.10), (7.12) and (7.13),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} f(x) e^{U_{\rho, \xi}} dv_g \\
&= \varrho(\xi) f(\xi) + \varrho(\xi) \mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) \rho + \left(4f(\xi) \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi}|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} \frac{1}{|y_{\xi}|^3} dv_g \right. \\
&\quad + 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi}|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} \frac{(y_{\xi})_2}{|y_{\xi}|^3} dv_g \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{4} \Delta f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) (2 \log \rho + 1) - 2 \Delta f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi}|}{r_0} \right) e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} \frac{\log |y_{\xi}|}{|y_{\xi}|} dv_g \\
&\quad \left. + 8 \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} \frac{f - P_2(f)}{|y_{\xi}|^4} dv_g \right) \rho^2 + o(\rho^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) = 0$ if $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}(0)$ if $\xi \in \partial \Sigma$. Since $\Delta_g f(\xi) =$

$\Delta f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0)$, (7.6) is concluded. Lemma 7.5 yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} f(x) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{dv_g}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2} = \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi)} \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} f(x) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{dv_g}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2} \\
&= \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi)} \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} P_2 f(x) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{dv_g}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2} + \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi)} \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} (f - P_2 f) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{dv_g}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2} \\
&= \frac{8}{\rho^2} f(\xi) \int_{\frac{1}{\rho} B_{2r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{1}{(1+|y|^2)^3} dy + \frac{8}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0) \int_{\frac{1}{\rho} B_{2r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{y_2}{(1+|y|^2)^3} dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \Delta f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0) \int_{\frac{1}{\rho} B_{2r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{8|y|^2}{(1+|y|^2)^3} dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\alpha) \\
&= \frac{\varrho(\xi) f(\xi)}{2\rho^2} + \frac{\varrho(\xi) \mathfrak{f}_2(\xi)}{4\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0) + \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{8} \Delta f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{1}{\rho} B_{2r_0}^\xi} \frac{8}{(1+|y|^2)^4} dy &= \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{3} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^6}{(4r_0^2 + \rho^2)^3} \right), \\
\int_{\frac{1}{\rho} B_{2r_0}^\xi} \frac{8y_2}{(1+|y|^2)^4} dy &= \begin{cases} 0 & \xi \in \mathring{\Sigma} \\ \frac{\pi}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) & \xi \in \partial\Sigma \end{cases}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} f(x) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{(a\rho^2 - |y_\xi|^2) dv_g}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2)^2} = \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi)} \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} f(x) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{(a\rho^2 - |y_\xi|^2) dv_g}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2)^2} \\
&= \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi)} \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} P_2 f(x) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{(a\rho^2 - |y_\xi|^2) dv_g}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2)^2} \\
&\quad + \int_{U_{2r_0}(\xi)} \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} (f - P_2 f) e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \frac{(a\rho^2 - |y_\xi|^2) dv_g}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2)^2} \\
&= \frac{8}{\rho^2} f(\xi) \int_{\frac{1}{\rho} B_{2r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{a - |y|^2}{(1+|y|^2)^4} dy + \frac{8}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0) \int_{\frac{1}{\rho} B_{2r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{(a - |y|^2) y_2}{(1+|y|^2)^4} dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \Delta f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0) \int_{\frac{1}{\rho} B_{2r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{8(a - |y|^2) |y|^2}{(1+|y|^2)^4} dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\alpha) \\
&= \frac{\varrho(\xi)(2a-1)}{6\rho^2} f(\xi) + \frac{(a-1)\varrho(\xi)}{8\rho} \mathfrak{f}_2(\xi) + \frac{\varrho(\xi)(a-2)}{24} \Delta f \circ y_\xi^{-1}(0) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. \square

The following lemma shows the asymptotic expansion of $PU_{\rho,\xi}$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$f_\xi = \frac{1}{|y_\xi|^2} \Delta_g \chi_\xi + 2 \left\langle \nabla \chi_\xi, \nabla \frac{1}{|y_\xi|^2} \right\rangle_g + \frac{2}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) \frac{e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}}}{|y_\xi|^3} dv_g$$

and F_ξ be the unique solution to the following equations:

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_g F_\xi = f_\xi & \text{in } \Sigma \\ \partial_{\nu_g} F_\xi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Sigma. \\ \int_\Sigma F_\xi dv_g \end{cases}$$

F_ξ is well-defined. By integrating (7.21) over Σ :

$$\int_{\Sigma} f_\xi = -\frac{1}{2\rho^2} \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2).$$

Applying the divergence theorem and leveraging (7.19), we deduce that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi} = \int_{\Sigma} \partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi} = \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).$$

Consequently, we derive $\int_{\Sigma} f_\xi = 0$.

Lemma 7.7. *The function $PU_{\delta,\xi}$ has the following expansion,*

$$PU_{\rho,\xi} = \chi_\xi (U_{\rho,\xi}(x) - \log(8\rho^2)) + \varrho(\xi)H^g(x, \xi) + h_{\rho,\xi} - 2\rho^2 F_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|) \quad (\rho \rightarrow 0),$$

in $C(\Sigma)$, where F_ξ is defined by (7.14) and $h_{\rho,\xi}$ is a constant defined by

$$h_{\rho,\xi} = -\frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2 \log \rho}{2|\Sigma|_g} + \frac{2\rho^2}{|\Sigma|_g} \left(\frac{\varrho(\xi)}{8} + \int_{\Sigma} \chi_\xi \frac{1 - e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}}}{|y_\xi|^2} dv_g - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) \frac{\log |y_\xi|}{|y_\xi|} dv_g \right).$$

Moreover, the convergent is locally uniform for ξ in $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ and $\partial\Sigma$. In particular,

$$PU_{\rho,\xi} = \varrho(\xi)G^g(x, \xi) - 2\rho^2 \frac{\chi_\xi}{|y_\xi|^2} + h_{\rho,\xi} - 2\rho^2 F_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|) \quad (\rho \rightarrow 0),$$

in $C_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi\})$.

Proof. Let $\eta_{\rho,\xi}(x) = PU_{\rho,\xi} - \chi_\xi(U_{\rho,\xi} - \log 8\rho^2) - \varrho(\xi)H^g(x, \xi)$.

$$\int_{\Sigma} \eta_{\rho,\xi} dv_g = 2 \int_{\Sigma} \chi_\xi \log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y_\xi|^2} \right) dv_g.$$

We divide the integral into two parts:

$$2 \int_{\Sigma} \chi_\xi \log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y_\xi|^2} \right) dv_g = 2 \left(\int_{B_{r_0}^\xi} + \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi \setminus B_{r_0}^\xi} \right) \chi(|y|/r_0) e^{\varphi_\xi(y)} \log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y|^2} \right) dy.$$

Since $\log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y|^2} \right) = \frac{\rho^2}{|y|^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4)$ for any $r_0 \leq |y| \leq 2r_0$,

(7.15)

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi \setminus B_{r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) e^{\varphi_\xi(y)} \log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y|^2} \right) dy = 2\rho^2 \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi \setminus B_{r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) e^{\varphi_\xi(y)} |y|^{-2} dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \\ & = 2\rho^2 \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi \setminus B_{r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) (e^{\varphi_\xi(y)} - 1) \frac{1}{|y|^2} dy - \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{2} \log r_0 - \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{s}{r_0} \right) \log s ds + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{r_0}^\xi} \log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y|^2} \right) dy &= \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{4} \int_0^\infty (\log(1+s^{-2}) - (1+s^2)^{-1}) s ds \\
(7.16) \qquad &+ \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{8} \log \left(1 + \frac{r_0^2}{\rho^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \\
&= \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{8} + \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{8} \log \left(1 + \frac{r_0^2}{\rho^2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the last inequality applied the integral $\int_0^\infty (\log(1+s^{-2}) - (1+s^2)^{-1}) s ds = \frac{1}{2}$. By $e^{\varphi_\xi(y)} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2)$, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_{r_0}^\xi} \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y|^2} \right) - \frac{\rho^2}{|y|^2} \right) (e^{\varphi_\xi(y)} - 1) dy \\
(7.17) \qquad &= \rho^4 \mathcal{O} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{r_0/\rho}} \left| \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{|y|^2} \right) - \frac{1}{|y|^2} \right| |y|^2 dy \right) \\
&= \rho^4 \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_{r_0/\rho} \setminus \mathbb{B}_1} + \int_{\mathbb{B}_1} \right) \left| \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{|y|^2} \right) - \frac{1}{|y|^2} \right| |y|^2 dy \right) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining estimates (7.15), (7.16) and (7.17), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.18) \qquad \int_\Sigma \eta_{\rho,\xi} dv_g &= \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{4} + \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{4} \log \left(1 + \frac{r_0^2}{\rho^2} \right) + 2\rho^2 \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi \setminus B_{r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) (e^{\varphi_\xi(y)} - 1) \frac{1}{|y|^2} dy \\
&\quad - \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{2} \log r_0 - \frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{s}{r_0} \right) \log s ds + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|) \\
&= -\frac{\varrho(\xi)\rho^2 \log \rho}{2} + 2\rho^2 \left(\frac{\varrho(\xi)}{8} + \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{1 - e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}}}{|y_\xi|^2} dv_g - \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) \frac{\log |y_\xi|}{|y_\xi|} dv_g \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|).
\end{aligned}$$

If $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}$, $\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi} = 2 \log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y_\xi(x)|^2} \right) \partial_{\nu_g} \chi_\xi + 2\chi_\xi \partial_{\nu_g} \log \left(1 + \frac{\rho^2}{|y_\xi(x)|^2} \right) \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Sigma$. If $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$, (3.2) yields that $\frac{\partial_{\nu_g} |y_\xi(x)|^2}{|y_\xi(x)|^2} \equiv 0$ on $U(\xi) \cap \partial\Sigma$. For any $x \in \partial\Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.19) \qquad \partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}(x) &= 2 \frac{\rho^2}{|y_\xi(x)|^2} \partial_{\nu_g} \chi_\xi + 2\chi_\xi \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2} \frac{\partial_{\nu_g} |y_\xi(x)|^2}{|y_\xi(x)|^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \\
&= \mathcal{O}(\rho^4), \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By (7.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.20) \qquad \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi (-\Delta_g) U_{\rho,\xi} dv_g &= \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} eddv_g \\
&= \varrho(\xi) + 4\rho^2 \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) \frac{e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}}}{|y_\xi|^3} dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that for any $x \in U(\xi)$, $-\Delta_g U_{\rho,\xi} = e^{-\varphi_\xi(y)} \Delta u_{\rho,0}|_{y=y_\xi(x)} = e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} e^{U_{\rho,\xi}}$. It

follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.21) \quad & -\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi} \stackrel{(3.9) \text{ and } (3.6)}{=} -\Delta_g (PU_{\rho,\xi} - \chi_\xi(U_{\rho,\xi} - \log(8\rho^2))) \\
& + \varrho(\xi) \Delta_g H^g(\cdot, \xi) \\
= \quad & -2\rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{|y_\xi|^2} \Delta_g \chi_\xi + 2 \left\langle \nabla \chi_\xi, \nabla \frac{1}{|y_\xi|^2} \right\rangle_g \right) + \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \left(\varrho(\xi) - \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} dv_g \right) \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \\
\stackrel{(7.20)}{=} \quad & -2\rho^2 f_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).
\end{aligned}$$

By L^p theory and Morrey embedding, we have

$$\eta_{\rho,\xi} = \overline{\eta_{\rho,\xi}} - 2\rho^2 F_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) = h_{\rho,\xi} - 2\rho^2 F_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|),$$

in $C(\Sigma) \cap H^1(\Sigma)$. The proof is concluded. \square

Lemma 7.8.

$$\partial_{\xi_j} PU_{\rho,\xi} = \chi_\xi \partial_{\xi_j} U_{\rho,\xi} + \varrho(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} H^g(x, \xi) - 4 \log |y_\xi(x)| \partial_{\xi_j} \chi_\xi + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|),$$

in $C(\Sigma)$, where $j = 1, 2$ if $\xi \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$; $j = 1$ if $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$. And

$$\partial_{\xi_j} PU_{\rho,\xi} = \varrho(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} G^g(x, \xi) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|),$$

in $C_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi\})$, which is uniformly convergent for ξ in any compact subset of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ or $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$.

Proof. $\eta_{\rho,\xi}^0 = \partial_{\xi_j} PU_{\rho,\xi} - \chi_\xi \partial_{\xi_j} U_{\rho,\xi} - \varrho(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} H^g(x, \xi) + 4 \log |y_\xi(x)| \partial_{\xi_j} \chi_\xi$. We observe that for $x \in U(\xi)$

$$(7.22) \quad \partial_{\xi_{j'}} y_\xi(x)_j = -\delta_{j'j} + \mathcal{O}(|y_\xi(x)|).$$

If $\xi \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$, $\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^0 \equiv 0$. If $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$, for any $x = y_\xi^{-1}(y) \in U(\xi)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^0(x) &= -\partial_{\nu_g} (\chi_\xi(x) \partial_{\xi_j} U_{\rho,\xi}(x) + \varrho(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} H^g(x, \xi) - 4 \partial_{\xi_j} \chi_\xi(x) \log |y_\xi(x)|) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we applied that $\partial_{\nu_g}|y_\xi(x)|^2 = 0$ for any $x \in U(\xi) \cap \partial\Sigma$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma \eta_{\rho,\xi}^0 dv_g &= - \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \log \left(\frac{|y_\xi|^4}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2)^2} \right) dv_g \\ &\stackrel{(7.22)}{=} 2 \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{2\rho^2 y_\xi(x)_j}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2} dv_g(x) + \mathcal{O} \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2} dv_g(x) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|), \end{aligned}$$

where we applied the fact that $\int_{B_{r_0}^\xi} \frac{y_j}{\rho^2 + |y|^2} dy = 0$.

$$-\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi}^0 = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2).$$

By L^p theory and Morrey embedding, we have

$$\eta_{\rho,\xi}^0 = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|)$$

in $C(\Sigma) \cap H^1(\Sigma)$. □

Lemma 7.9. *As $\rho \rightarrow 0$,*

$$(7.23) \quad \rho \partial_\rho P U_{\rho,\xi} = \chi_\xi (\rho \partial_\rho U_{\rho,\xi} - 2) - 4\rho^2 F_\xi + h_{\rho,\xi}^1 + o(\rho^2),$$

and

$$(7.24) \quad \rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 P U_{\rho,\xi} = \chi_\xi (2 + \rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 U_{\rho,\xi}) - 4\rho^2 F_\xi + h_{\rho,\xi}^2 + o(\rho^2),$$

in $C(\Sigma)$, where

$$h_{\rho,\xi}^1 = -\frac{\varrho(\xi)}{|\Sigma|_g} \rho^2 \log \rho + \frac{4}{|\Sigma|_g} \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{e^{\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} - 1}{|y_\xi|^2} dv_g - \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) \frac{\log |y_\xi|}{|y_\xi|} dv_g \right) \rho^2$$

and

$$h_{\rho,\xi}^2 = \frac{-\varrho(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho}{|\Sigma|_g} + \frac{4}{|\Sigma|_g} \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{e^{\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} - 1}{|y_\xi|^2} dv_g - \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0} \right) \frac{\log |y_\xi|}{|y_\xi|} dv_g - \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{4} \right) \rho^2.$$

Moreover, the convergences are uniform for ξ in any compact subset of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ or $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$.

Proof. We observe that for any $x \in U(\xi)$, we have $\rho \partial_\rho U_{\rho,\xi} - 2 = \frac{-4\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2}$. Let

$$\eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 = \rho \partial_\rho P U_{\rho,\xi} - \chi_\xi (\rho \partial_\rho U_{\rho,\xi} - 2).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 dv_g &= \int_\Sigma \frac{4\rho^2 \chi_\xi}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2} dv_g \\ &= \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{4\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y|^2} dy + 4\rho^2 \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{e^{\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} - 1}{|y_\xi|^2} dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation,

$$\int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi} \frac{4\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y|^2} dy = -\varrho(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho + \varrho(\xi) \log(2r_0) \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).$$

By integrating by part,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2r_0}^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{4\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y|^2} dy &= \varrho(\xi) \rho^2 \int_{r_0}^{2r_0} \chi\left(\frac{s}{r_0}\right) \frac{s}{\rho^2 + s^2} ds \\ &= -\varrho(\xi) \log(2r_0) \rho^2 - \varrho(\xi) \rho^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r_0} \chi'\left(\frac{s}{r_0}\right) \log s ds + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(7.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma \frac{4\rho^2 \chi_\xi}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2} dv_g &= -\varrho(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho + 4 \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{e^{\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} - 1}{|y_\xi|^2} dv_g - \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi'\left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0}\right) \frac{\log |y_\xi|}{|y_\xi|} dv_g \right) \rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4). \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in \partial\Sigma$, we have

$$\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 = \frac{4\rho^2}{|y_\xi(x)|^2} \partial_{\nu_g} \chi_\xi + \chi_\xi \partial_{\nu_g} \frac{4\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4).$$

If $\xi \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$, $\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 \equiv 0$; if $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$, $\partial_{\nu_g} \frac{4\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2} = \frac{-\partial}{\partial y_2} \frac{4\rho^2}{\rho^2 + |y|^2} |_{y=y_\xi(x)} \equiv 0$ and $\partial_{\nu_g} \chi\left(\frac{|y_\xi(x)|}{r_0}\right) = -\chi'\left(\frac{|y|}{r_0}\right) \frac{|y_2}{|y|} |_{y=y_\xi(x)} \equiv 0$ for any $x \in U(\xi) \cap \partial\Sigma$. So

$$\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 = \mathcal{O}(\rho^4),$$

on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$. Applying (3.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 &= -\Delta_g (\rho \partial_\rho P U_{\rho,\xi} - \chi_\xi (\rho \partial_\rho U_{\rho,\xi} - 2)) \\ &= -4\rho^2 \left((\Delta_g \chi_\xi) \frac{1}{|y_\xi(x)|^2} + 2 \left\langle \nabla \chi_\xi, \nabla \frac{1}{|y_\xi(x)|^2} \right\rangle_g \right) + \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \rho \partial_\rho \Delta_g U_{\rho,\xi} dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4). \end{aligned}$$

Considering $-\Delta_g U_{\rho,\xi} = e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} e^{U_{\rho,\xi}}$ in $U(\xi)$, we can derive that from Corollary 7.6

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \rho \partial_\rho \Delta_g U_{\rho,\xi} dv_g &= - \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} e^{U_{\rho,\xi}} \rho \partial_\rho U_{\rho,\xi} dv_g \\ &= - \int_{B^\xi} \chi(|y|/r_0) \frac{8\rho^2}{(\rho^2+|y|^2)^2} \left(2 - \frac{4\rho^2}{\rho^2+|y|^2}\right) dy \\ &= -8\rho^2 \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0}\right) \frac{e^{-\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}}}{|y_\xi|^3} dv_g + o(\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $-\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 = -4\rho^2 f_\xi + o(\rho^2)$. By L^p theory,

$$\|\eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 + 4\rho^2 F_\xi - \overline{\eta_{\rho,\xi}^1}\|_{W^{1+s,p}} \leq C (\|-\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1\|_{L^p(\partial\Sigma)}) \leq o(\rho^2).$$

Then Morrey embedding yields that

$$\|\eta_{\rho,\xi}^1 + 4\rho^2 F_\xi - \overline{\eta_{\rho,\xi}^1}\|_{C^\gamma(\Sigma)} \leq o(\rho^2),$$

for any $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2})$. Thus we have

$$\rho \partial_\rho P U_{\rho,\xi} = \chi_\xi (\rho \partial_\rho U_{\rho,\xi} - 2) - 4\rho^2 F_\xi + h_{\rho,\xi}^1 + o(\rho^2),$$

where $h_{\rho,\xi}^1 = -\frac{\varrho(\xi)}{|\Sigma|_g} \rho^2 \log \rho + \frac{4}{|\Sigma|_g} \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{e^{\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} - 1}{|y_\xi|^2} dv_g - \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0}\right) \frac{\log |y_\xi|}{|y_\xi|} dv_g \right) \rho^2$. The estimate (7.23) is concluded.

Let

$$\eta_{\rho,\xi}^2 = \rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 P U_{\rho,\xi} - \chi_\xi (2 + \rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 U_{\rho,\xi}).$$

We observe that $2 + \rho^2 \partial_\rho^2 U_{\rho,\xi} = \frac{4\rho^2(\rho^2 - |y_\xi(x)|^2)}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2)^2}$ in $U(\xi)$. By (7.6) and (7.25), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma \eta_{\rho,\xi}^2 dv_g &= - \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{4\rho^2(\rho^2 - |y_\xi(x)|^2)}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2)^2} dv_g \\ &= \int_\Sigma \frac{4\rho^2 \chi_\xi}{\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2} dv_g - \rho^2 \int_\Sigma \frac{8\rho^2 \chi_\xi}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi|^2)^2} dv_g \\ &= -\varrho(\xi) \rho^2 \log \rho + 4 \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{e^{\varphi_\xi \circ y_\xi^{-1}} - 1}{|y_\xi|^2} dv_g - \int_\Sigma \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_\xi|}{r_0}\right) \frac{\log |y_\xi|}{|y_\xi|} dv_g - \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{4} \right) \rho^2 \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4 |\log \rho|). \end{aligned}$$

Let $h_{\rho,\xi}^2 = \frac{-\varrho(\xi)\rho^2 \log \rho}{|\Sigma|_g} + \frac{4}{|\Sigma|_g} \left(\int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} \frac{e^{\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}} - 1}{|y_{\xi}|^2} dv_g - \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi}|}{r_0} \right) \frac{\log |y_{\xi}|}{|y_{\xi}|} dv_g - \frac{\varrho(\xi)}{4} \right) \rho^2$.

Analogue to calculation of $\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^1$, we can obtain $\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^2 = \mathcal{O}(\rho^4)$.

(7.26)

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi}^2 &= -\Delta_g (\rho^2 \partial_{\rho}^2 P U_{\rho,\xi} - \chi_{\xi} (2 + \rho^2 \partial_{\rho}^2 U_{\rho,\xi})) \\ &= -4\rho^2 \left((\Delta_g \chi_{\xi}) \frac{1}{|y_{\xi}(x)|^2} + 2 \left\langle \nabla \chi_{\xi}, \nabla \frac{1}{|y_{\xi}(x)|^2} \right\rangle_g \right) + \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} \rho^2 \partial_{\rho}^2 \Delta_g U_{\rho,\xi} dv_g + \mathcal{O}(\rho^4). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 7.6 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2 \partial_{\rho}^2 \int_{\Sigma} \chi_{\xi} \Delta_g U_{\rho,\xi} dv_g &= - \int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi}} \chi(|y|/r_0) \left(\frac{16\rho^2}{(\rho^2+|y|^2)^2} - \frac{160\rho^4}{(\rho^2+|y|^2)^3} + \frac{192\rho^6}{(\rho^2+|y|^2)^4} \right) dy \\ &= -2\varrho(\xi) + 10\varrho(\xi) - 8\varrho(\xi) - 8\rho^2 \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi}|}{r_0} \right) \frac{e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}}}{|y_{\xi}|^3} dv_g + o(\rho^2) \\ &= -8\rho^2 \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r_0} \chi' \left(\frac{|y_{\xi}|}{r_0} \right) \frac{e^{-\varphi_{\xi} \circ y_{\xi}^{-1}}}{|y_{\xi}|^3} dv_g + o(\rho^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $-\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi}^2 = -4\rho^2 f_{\xi} + o(\rho^2)$. By L^p theory, for any $s \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$

$$\|\eta_{\rho,\xi}^2 + 4\rho^2 F_{\xi} - \overline{\eta_{\rho,\xi}^2}\|_{W^{1+s,p}} \leq C (\|-\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi}^2\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^2\|_{L^p(\partial\Sigma)}) \leq o(\rho^2).$$

Then Morrey embedding yields that

$$\|\eta_{\rho,\xi}^2 + 4\rho^2 F_{\xi} - \overline{\eta_{\rho,\xi}^2}\|_{C^{\gamma}(\Sigma)} \leq \mathcal{O}(\rho^2),$$

for any $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2})$. The estimate (7.24) is concluded. \square

Lemma 7.10.

$$\partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\rho} P U_{\rho,\xi} = \chi_{\xi} \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\rho} U_{\rho,\xi} + \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|),$$

in $C(\Sigma)$, where $j = 1, 2$ if $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}$; $j = 1$ if $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$. And

$$\partial_{\xi_j} P U_{\rho,\xi} = \varrho(\xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\rho} G^g(x, \xi) + \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|),$$

in $C_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi\})$, which is uniformly convergent for ξ in any compact subset of $\mathring{\Sigma}$ or $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$.

Proof. $\eta_{\rho,\xi}^3 = \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\rho} P U_{\rho,\xi} - \chi_{\xi} \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\rho} U_{\rho,\xi}$. If $\xi \in \mathring{\Sigma}$, $\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^3 \equiv 0$. If $\xi \in \partial\Sigma$, for any $x = y_{\xi}^{-1}(y) \in U(\xi)$

$$\partial_{\nu_g} \eta_{\rho,\xi}^0(x) = -\partial_{\nu_g} (\chi_{\xi}(x) \partial_{\xi_j} \partial_{\rho} U_{\rho,\xi}(x)) = 0,$$

where we applied that $\partial_{\nu_g} |y_\xi(x)|^2 = 0$ for any $x \in U(\xi) \cap \partial\Sigma$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma \eta_{\rho,\xi}^3 dv_g &= - \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{4\rho \partial_{\xi_j} |y_\xi(x)|^2}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2)^2} dv_g \\ &\stackrel{(7.22)}{=} \frac{1}{\rho} \left(- \int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{4\rho^2 y_\xi(x)_j}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2)^2} dv_g(x) + \mathcal{O} \left(\int_\Sigma \chi_\xi \frac{\rho^2 |y_\xi(x)|^2}{(\rho^2 + |y_\xi(x)|^2)^2} dv_g(x) \right) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|), \end{aligned}$$

where we applied the fact that $\int_{B_{r_0}^\xi} \frac{y_j}{(\rho^2 + |y|^2)} dy = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g \eta_{\rho,\xi}^3 &= -\partial_{\xi_j} \chi_\xi \partial_\rho \Delta_g U_{\rho,\xi} + \overline{\partial_{\xi_j} \chi_\xi \partial_\rho \Delta_g U_{\rho,\xi}} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

By L^p theory and Morrey embedding, we have

$$\eta_{\rho,\xi}^3 = \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|).$$

in $C(\Sigma)$. □

Analogue to the proof of Lemma 7.7, we can get the expansions of PZ_{ij} (see Section 4.1) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $j = 0, 1, \dots, J_i$.

Lemma 7.11.

$$PZ_{i0}(x) = \chi_i(x) (Z_{i0}(x) + 2) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|) = 4\chi_i(x) \frac{\rho_i^2}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|),$$

in $C(\Sigma)$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. And

$$PZ_{i0}(x) = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2 |\log \rho|),$$

in $C_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi\})$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

$$PZ_{ij}(x) = \chi_i(x) Z_{ij}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\rho),$$

in $C(\Sigma)$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, and

$$PZ_{ij}(x) = \mathcal{O}(\rho),$$

in $C_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{\xi_i\})$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, where $j = 1, \dots, J_i$. In addition, the convergences above are uniform for ξ_i in any compact subset of $\overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$ or $\xi_i \in \partial\Sigma$.

Proof. Recall that for any $x \in U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)$, $Z_{i0}(x) = 2\frac{(\rho_i^2 - |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2)}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2}$ and $\chi_i = \chi(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|/r_0)$.
Let

$$\eta_i = PZ_{i0} - \chi_i(Z_{i0} + 2).$$

If $\xi_i \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$, $\partial_{\nu_g}\eta_i \equiv 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$. If $\xi_i \in \partial\Sigma$, for $x \in \partial\Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\nu_g}\eta_i &= -(Z_{i0} + 2)\partial_{\nu_g}\chi_i + \chi_i\partial_{\nu_g}Z_{i0} \\ &= -\chi_i(x)\frac{8\rho_i^2|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2}{(|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2 + \rho_i^2)^2}\partial_{\nu_g}\log|y_{\xi_i}(x)| + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2),\end{aligned}$$

where we applied $\partial_{\nu_g}\chi_{\xi_i} = 0$ on $U_{r_0}(\xi)$. For any $x \in \partial\Sigma \cap U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)$,

$$\partial_{\nu_g}\log|y_{\xi_i}(x)| = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}\log|y|\Big|_{y=y_{\xi}(x)} = -\frac{2y_2}{|y|^2}\Big|_{y=y_{\xi}(x)} = 0.$$

Hence, $\partial_{\nu_g}\eta_i = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$ in $\partial\Sigma$.

$$\begin{aligned}|\int_{\Sigma}\chi_i(Z_{i0}(x) + 2)dv_g| &= 4\int_{\Sigma}\chi_i\frac{\rho_i^2}{|y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2 + \rho_i^2}dv_g(x) \\ &= 2\rho_i^2\int_{B_{2r_0}^{\xi_i}}\chi(|y|)\frac{1}{|y|^2 + \rho_i^2}e^{\varphi_{\xi_i}(y)}dy \\ &\leq \mathcal{O}\left(2\rho_i^2\int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}}\frac{1}{|y|^2 + \rho_i^2}dy\right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\rho^2|\log\rho|)\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.5,

$$\begin{aligned}-\Delta_g\eta_i(x) &= -\Delta_g(PZ_{i0} - \chi_i(Z_{i0} + 2)) \\ &= \Delta_g\chi_i(Z_{i0} + 2) + 2\langle\nabla\chi_i, \nabla Z_{i0}\rangle_g + \overline{\chi_i\Delta_g Z_{i0}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g}\int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}}2\Delta\frac{\rho_i^2 - |y|^2}{\rho_i^2 + |y|^2}dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g}\int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}}\frac{16\rho_i^2(|y|^2 - \rho_i^2)}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^3}dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2) \\ &\stackrel{(7.2) \text{ and } (7.3)}{=} \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)\end{aligned}$$

By the L^p -theory,

$$\|\eta_i - \overline{\eta_i}\|_{W^{1+s,p}(\Sigma)} \leq C\left(\|\partial_{\nu_g}\eta_i\|_{L^p(\partial\Sigma)} + \|\Delta_g\eta_i\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}\right) \leq C\rho^2,$$

where $s \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$. According to Morrey's embedding theory, $\|\eta_i - \overline{\eta_i}\|_{C^\gamma(\Sigma)} \leq C\rho^2$, for any $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p})$. Then $\eta_i = \mathcal{O}(\rho^2|\log\rho|)$.

Recall that for any $x \in U_{2r_0}(\xi_i)$, $Z_{ij}(x) = \frac{4\rho_i y_{\xi_i}(x)_j}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2}$. Let $\eta_i^1 = \chi_i(x)Z_{ij}(x)$. If $\xi_i \in \overset{\circ}{\Sigma}$, $\partial_{\nu_g}\eta_i^1 \equiv 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$. If $\xi_i \in \partial\Sigma$, for $x \in \partial\Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\nu_g}\eta_i^1 &= -Z_{ij}\partial_{\nu_g}\chi_i + \chi_i\partial_{\nu_g}Z_{ij} \\ &= -\chi_i\frac{4\rho_i\delta_{j2}}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho),\end{aligned}$$

where we applied $\partial_{\nu_g}\chi_\xi = 0$ on $U_{r_0}(\xi)$. By straightforward calculation,

$$\left\| \chi_i \frac{4\rho_i}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2} \right\|_{L^p(\partial\Sigma)}^p \leq \mathcal{O}(\rho^{2-p})$$

for any $p \in (1, 2)$. Then $\|\partial_{\nu_g}\eta_i^1\|_{L^p(\partial\Sigma)} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\rho^{2-p}) & j = 2 \text{ and } \xi_i \in \partial\Sigma \\ \mathcal{O}(\rho) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma} \chi_i Z_{ij}(x) dv_g &= \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i \frac{4\rho_i y_{\xi_i}(x)_j}{\rho_i^2 + |y_{\xi_i}(x)|^2} dv_g(x) \\ &= 4\rho_i \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \frac{y_j}{|y|^2 + \rho_i^2} e^{\varphi_{\xi_i}(y)} dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(\rho |\log \rho|) & j = 2 \text{ and } \xi_i \in \partial\Sigma \\ \mathcal{O}(\rho) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

By (7.4), for any $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, J_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\Delta_g \eta_i^1(x) &= -\Delta_g (PZ_{ij} - \chi_i Z_{ij}) \\ &= Z_{ij} \Delta_g \chi_i + 2 \langle \nabla \chi_i, \nabla Z_{ij} \rangle_g + \overline{\chi_i \Delta_g Z_{ij}} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \Delta \frac{4\rho_i y_j}{\rho_i^2 + |y|^2} dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Sigma|_g} \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \frac{32\rho_i^3 y_j}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^3} dy + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\rho).\end{aligned}$$

By the L^p -theory,

$$\|\eta_i^1 - \overline{\eta_i^1}\|_{W^{1+s,p}(\Sigma)} \leq C \left(\|\partial_{\nu_g}\eta_i^1\|_{L^p(\partial\Sigma)} + \|\Delta_g \eta_i^1\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \right) \leq C\rho,$$

where $s \in (0, \frac{1}{p})$. And to Morrey's embedding theory, $\|\eta_i^1 - \overline{\eta_i^1}\|_{C^\gamma(\Sigma)} \leq C\rho$, for any $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p})$. Then $\eta_i^1 = \mathcal{O}(\rho)$ in $C(\Sigma)$. \square

The asymptotic “orthogonality” properties of PZ_{ij} as $\rho \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 7.12. *For any $i, l = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 0, 1, \dots, J_i$ and $t = 0, 1, \dots, J_l$,*

$$\langle PZ_{ij}, PZ_{lt} \rangle_g = \frac{4\rho(\xi_i)}{3} \delta_{il} \delta_{jt} + \mathcal{O}(\rho).$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 7.11 with the symmetric property, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle PZ_{ij}, PZ_{lt} \rangle_g &= - \int_{\Sigma} \chi_i(x) \Delta_g Z_{ij}(x) PZ_{lt} dv_g(x) \\ &= \begin{cases} \delta_{il} \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} z_j \left(\frac{|y|}{\rho_i} \right) \left(z_t \left(\frac{|y|}{\rho_i} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho), & t \neq 0 \\ \delta_{il} \int_{B_{r_0}^{\xi_i}} \frac{8\rho_i^2}{(\rho_i^2 + |y|^2)^2} z_j \left(\frac{|y|}{\rho_i} \right) \left(z_t \left(\frac{|y|}{\rho_i} \right) + 2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\rho), & t = 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{\rho(\xi_i)}{\pi} D_j \delta_{il} \delta_{jt} + \mathcal{O}(\rho), \end{aligned}$$

where δ_{il} 's are the Kronecker symbols and $D_0 = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(1-|y|^2)^2}{(1+|y|^2)^4} dy$, $D_1 = D_2 = 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{|y|^2}{(1+|y|^2)^4} dy$. Calculating the integrals, it follows that $D_j = \frac{4\pi}{3}$ for any $j = 0, \dots, 2$. \square

References

- [1] Mohameden Ahmedou, Mohamed Ben Ayed, and Marcello Lucia. On a resonant mean field type equation: A “critical point at Infinity” approach. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - A*, 37(4):1789–1818, 2017.
- [2] Sami Baraket and Frank Pacard. Construction of singular limits for a semilinear elliptic equation in dimension 2. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 6(1):1–38, December 1997.
- [3] Daniele Bartolucci, Changfeng Gui, Yeyao Hu, Aleks Jevnikar, and Wen Yang. Mean field equations on tori: existence and uniqueness of evenly symmetric blow-up solutions. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series A*, 40(6):3093–3116, 2020.
- [4] Luca Battaglia. A general existence result for stationary solutions to the Keller-Segel system. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 39(2):905–926, 2019.
- [5] Lipman Bers. *Riemann Surfaces*. Courant Institute Lecture Notes. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, 1957. Lecture notes from 1957-58.
- [6] Haïm Brezis and Frank Merle. Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 16(8-9):1223–1253, 1991.
- [7] Luis A Caffarelli and Yisong Yang. Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons-Higgs model: an existence theorem. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 168(2):321–336, 1995.
- [8] Emanuele Caglioti, Pierre-Louis Lions, Carlo Marchioro, and M Pulvirenti. A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description. part II. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 174(2):229–260, 1995.

- [9] Emanuele Caglioti, Pierre-Louis Lions, Carlo Marchioro, and Mario Pulvirenti. A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional euler equations: a statistical mechanics description. *Communications in Mathematical physics*, 143(3):501–525, 1992.
- [10] Sun-Yung Chang, Matthew Gursky, and Paul Yang. The scalar curvature equation on 2- and 3-sphere. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 1:205–229, 05 1993.
- [11] Sun-Yung A. Chang, Chiun-Chuan Chen, and Chang-Shou Lin. Extremal functions for a mean field equation in two dimension. In *Lectures on partial differential equations*, volume 2 of *New Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 61–93. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.
- [12] Sun-Yung A Chang and Paul C Yang. Conformal deformation of metrics on S^2 . *Journal of differential geometry*, 27(2):259–296, 1988.
- [13] Sun-Yung Alice Chang and Paul C Yang. Prescribing gaussian curvature on S^2 . *Acta Mathematica*, 159:215–259, 1987.
- [14] Sagun Chanillo and Michael Kiessling. Rotational symmetry of solutions of some nonlinear problems in statistical mechanics and in geometry. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 160(2):217–238, 1994.
- [15] Chiun-Chuan Chen and Chang-Shou Lin. Sharp estimates for solutions of multi-bubbles in compact Riemann surfaces. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences*, 55(6):728–771, 2002.
- [16] Wenxiong Chen and Weiyue Ding. Scalar curvatures on s^2 . *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 303(1):365–382, Sep 1987.
- [17] Shiing-shen Chern. An elementary proof of the existence of isothermal parameters on a surface. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 6:771–782, 1955.

- [18] Stephen Childress. Chemotactic collapse in two dimensions. In *Modelling of patterns in space and time*, pages 61–66. Springer, 1984.
- [19] Chang Kung Ching and Liujia Quan. On nirenberg’s problem. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 4(1):35–58, 1993.
- [20] Manuel del Pino, Michal Kowalczyk, and Monica Musso. Singular limits in Liouville-type equations. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 24(1):47–81, September 2005.
- [21] W. Ding, Jürgen Jost, J. Li, and G. Wang. The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h \exp\{u\}$ on a compact Riemann surface. *arXiv: Differential Geometry*, 1997.
- [22] Weiyue Ding, Jürgen Jost, Jiayu Li, Xiaowei Peng, and Guofang Wang. Self duality equations for Ginzburg–Landau and Seiberg–Witten type functionals with 6th order potentials. In *Selected papers of Weiyue Ding*, pages 442–466. World Scientific, 2001.
- [23] Weiyue Ding, Jürgen Jost, Jiayu Li, and Guofang Wang. Multiplicity results for the two-vortex chern-simons higgs model on the two-sphere. In *Selected papers of Weiyue Ding*, pages 399–423. World Scientific, 1999.
- [24] Zindine Djadli and Andrea Malchiodi. Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 813–858, 2008.
- [25] Pierpaolo Esposito and Pablo Figueroa. Singular mean field equations on compact Riemann surfaces. *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications. An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, 111:33–65, 2014.
- [26] Pierpaolo Esposito, Massimo Grossi, and Angela Pistoia. On the existence of blowing-up solutions for a mean field equation. *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire*, 22(2):227–257, 2005.
- [27] Pablo Figueroa. Bubbling solutions for mean field equations with variable intensities on compact Riemann surfaces. *Journal d’Analyse Mathématique*, 152:507–555, 2024.

- [28] Philip Hartman and Aurel Wintner. On uniform Dini conditions in the theory of linear partial differential equations of elliptic type. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 77:329–354, 1955.
- [29] Jerry L Kazdan and Frank W Warner. Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 99(1):14–47, 1974.
- [30] Evelyn F. Keller and Lee A. Segel. Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 26(3):399–415, 1970.
- [31] Michael K.-H. Kiessling. Statistical mechanics of classical particles with logarithmic interactions. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 46(1):27–56, 1993.
- [32] Jiayu Li, Linlin Sun, and Yunyan Yang. The boundary value problem for the mean field equation on a compact Riemann surface. *Science China Mathematics*, 66, 2023.
- [33] Jiayu Li, Guofang Wang, Weiyue Ding, and Jürgen Jost. Existence results for mean field equations. *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C*, 16(5):653–666, 1999.
- [34] Yanyan Li. On a singularly perturbed elliptic equation. *Advances in Differential Equations*, 2:955–980, 1997.
- [35] Li Ma and Juncheng Wei. Convergence for a Liouville equation. *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici*, 76(3):506–514, September 2001.
- [36] Jürgen Moser. On a nonlinear problem in differential geometry. In *Dynamical systems*, pages 273–280. Elsevier, 1973.
- [37] Ken’ichi Nagasaki and Takashi Suzuki. Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with exponentially dominated nonlinearities. *Asymptotic Analysis*, 3:173–188, 1990.

- [38] Margherita Nolasco and Gabriella Tarantello. On a sharp Sobolev-type inequality on two-dimensional compact manifolds. *Archive for rational mechanics and analysis*, 145(2):161–195, 1998.
- [39] Margherita Nolasco and Gabriella Tarantello. Double vortex condensates in the Chern–Simons–Higgs theory. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 9(1):31–94, 1999.
- [40] Manuel del Pino and Juncheng Wei. Collapsing steady states of the Keller–Segel system. *Nonlinearity*, 19(3):661–684, January 2006. Publisher: IOP Publishing.
- [41] Takasi Senba and Takashi Suzuki. Some structures of the solution set for a stationary system of chemotaxis. *Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications*, 10:191–224, 2000.
- [42] Takashi Suzuki. Two dimensional Emden–Fowler equation with exponential nonlinearity. In N. G. Lloyd, W. M. Ni, L. A. Peletier, and J. Serrin, editors, *Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and Their Equilibrium States, 3: Proceedings from a Conference held August 20–29, 1989 in Gregynog, Wales*, pages 493–512. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1992.
- [43] Gabriella Tarantello. Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern–Simons–Higgs theory. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 37(8):3769–3796, 1996.
- [44] Ilia Nestorovich Vekua. The problem of reduction to canonical form of differential forms of elliptic type and the generalized Cauchy–Riemann system. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 100:197–200, 1955.
- [45] Guofang Wang and Juncheng Wei. Steady state solutions of a reaction-diffusion system modeling chemotaxis. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 233(1):221–236, 2002.
- [46] Yunyan Yang and Jie Zhou. Blow-up analysis involving isothermal coordinates on the boundary of compact Riemann surface. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 504(2):125440, 2021.

THOMAS BARTSCH, MOHAMEDEN AHMEDOU, ZHENGNI HU
Mathematisches Institut
Universität Giessen
Arndtstr. 2
35392 Giessen, Germany
Thomas.Bartsch@math.uni-giessen.de
Mohameden.Ahmedou@math.uni-giessen.de
Zhengni.Hu@math.uni-giessen.de