

# WHITTAKER FUNCTIONS ON $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ AND ARCHIMEDEAN BUMP-FRIEDBERG INTEGRALS

MIKI HIRANO, TAKU ISHII, AND TADASHI MIYAZAKI

ABSTRACT. We give explicit formulas of Whittaker functions on  $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$  for all irreducible generic representations. As an application, we determine test vectors which attain the associated  $L$ -factors for Bump-Friedberg integrals on  $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ .

## INTRODUCTION

The study of Whittaker functions of irreducible generic representations of  $GL(n)$  are not only meaningful from a representation theoretical point of view but also important in advancing the theory of automorphic forms via their Fourier expansions. In our previous paper [8], the authors gave explicit formulas of archimedean Whittaker functions on  $GL(3)$  and applied them to archimedean theory of automorphic  $L$ -functions on  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ . The present paper describes explicit formulas of Whittaker functions on  $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$  and their application to the standard and the exterior square  $L$ -functions on  $GL(4)$ . Our main results include the following.

- We give explicit formulas of moderate growth Whittaker functions on  $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$  for all irreducible generic representations at their minimal  $O(4)$ -types (§5).
- We determine the test vectors (see below) for Bump-Friedberg integrals on  $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$  (§6).

Let  $\Pi = \otimes'_v \Pi_v$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL(n, \mathbb{A})$ , where  $\mathbb{A}$  is the adèle ring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . As is well known ([20]), Fourier expansion of a cusp form in  $\Pi$  can be written in terms of the global Whittaker function. Then  $\Pi$  has global Whittaker model and thus each local component  $\Pi_v$  also has local Whittaker model, that is,  $\Pi_v$  is generic. According to the result of Vogan [25], an irreducible generic representation  $\Pi_\infty$  of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to an irreducible generalized principal series representation induced from a parabolic subgroup corresponding to a partition  $(2, \dots, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$  of  $n$ .

Let  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  be a multiplicity one  $O(n)$ -type of an irreducible generalized principal series representation  $\Pi_\infty$  of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . The local multiplicity one theorem asserts that there exists a unique (up to constant multiple)  $O(n)$ -embedding  $\varphi$  from  $V_\tau$  to the space  $\text{Wh}(\Pi_\infty, \psi)^{\text{mg}}$  of moderate growth Whittaker functions with a character of the group of upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries equal to 1. For this embedding  $\varphi$  and each  $v \in V_\tau$ , the Whittaker function  $\varphi(v)$  can be regarded as a smooth function on  $(\mathbb{R}_+)^{n-1}$ . See §1.2 for the precise. There are two ways to arrive at an explicit formula of Whittaker function:

- Analysis of a system of partial differential equations satisfied by Whittaker functions.
- Manipulation of Jacquet integrals of Whittaker functions.

In either case, we may face the following fundamental problems.

- How to describe representation theory of  $O(n)$ ?
- How to describe special functions of  $(n-1)$  variables?

When  $\Pi_\infty$  is the class one principal series representation with the minimal  $O(n)$ -type  $(\tau, V_\tau)$ , the first problem (a) can be ignored, since  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  is trivial and (scalar-valued) Whittaker function can be obtained as an eigen-function of Capelli elements. With regard to the second problem (b), Bump ([2], analysis of partial differential equations for  $n=3$ ) and Stade ([21], [22], evaluation of Jacquet integrals for general  $n$ ) obtained Mellin-Barnes integral representations for Whittaker functions of the form

$$\varphi(v)(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^{n-1}} \int_{s_{n-1}} \cdots \int_{s_1} V_n(v; s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}) y_1^{-s_1} \cdots y_{n-1}^{-s_{n-1}} ds_1 \cdots ds_{n-1}.$$

Bump expressed  $V_3(v; s_1, s_2)$  as a ratio of gamma functions. Stade's formula, which is a recursive integral formula between  $V_n$  and  $V_{n-2}$ , implies that the Mellin-Barnes kernel  $V_n$  can not be written as a ratio of gamma functions when  $n \geq 4$ . Moreover, based on the result of [22], Stade and the second author [13] found a recursive relation between  $V_n$  and  $V_{n-1}$ , and gave a fundamental solution to the system of partial differential equations satisfied by the class one principal series Whittaker functions.

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18K03252, JP19K03450, JP19K03452.

Oda and the second author [14] extended the class one result of [13] to cases of principal series representations induced from the parabolic subgroup of type  $(1, \dots, 1)$ . In addition to Capelli equations, so-called “Dirac-Schmid equations” are needed to characterize Whittaker functions. To derive Dirac-Schmid equations which are consequence of  $(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(n))$ -structures of  $\Pi_\infty$  around the minimal  $\mathrm{O}(n)$ -type  $(\tau, V_\tau)$ , we need matrix elements of  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  and some Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the tensor product. Since the minimal  $\mathrm{O}(n)$ -type of the principal series representation is  $k$ -th exterior product  $\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n$  of the standard  $n$ -dimensional representation for some  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , it is not hard to describe a system of partial differential equations for Whittaker functions by using a *basis* of  $\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n$ . The fundamental solution of the system and Mellin-Barnes integral representations of moderate growth Whittaker functions are given in [14].

Note that, in the recent paper [12], the second and the third authors noticed that the formulas in [13] and [14] can be derived from Jacquet integrals for Godement sections of the principal series representations.

Leaving the principal series representations, we are faced with the problem (a). Our idea is a continuation of the line taken in [8]. When  $n = 4$ , the remaining generic representations are the generalized principal series induced from parabolic subgroups of types  $(2, 1, 1)$  and  $(2, 2)$ . Though we may use the Gelfand-Tsetlin basis of  $\mathrm{O}(4)$ , the matrix elements are very complicated and Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are less understood (cf. [12]). To overcome the difficulties, the most significant idea in this paper is not to use *basis*, but to use *generators*. This idea has already been used in the case  $n = 3$  in [8], but our construction of generators requires more effort for an irreducible representation of  $\mathrm{O}(4)$ . Despite the many relations among generators, we can enjoy great benefits from the simplified expressions of  $\mathrm{O}(4)$ -actions via generators. We note that our generator becomes a basis when  $\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^4$ , and hence, there was no need for this idea in the principal series situations.

After establishing representation theory of  $\mathrm{O}(4)$  in §2, we determine  $(\mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{O}(4))$ -structures of (generalized) principal series representations around their minimal  $\mathrm{O}(4)$ -types in §3. As a consequence, we can get a system of partial differential equations for Whittaker functions in Proposition 4.4.

Since we concentrate on moderate growth Whittaker functions, our approach for the system of partial differential equations in this paper is different from [14]. As in [8], through the reduction of the system, with the aid of the general theory of Whittaker functions, we want to show the following including the case of principal series.

- For some specific vector  $v_0 \in V_\tau$ , Whittaker function  $\varphi(v_0)$  satisfies essentially the same system of partial differential equations as the class one principal series Whittaker functions.
- We can determine  $\varphi(v)$  for all  $v \in V_\tau$  from the function  $\varphi(v_0)$ , and our system in Proposition 4.4 characterizes Whittaker functions  $\{\varphi(v) \mid v \in V_\tau\}$ .

However, our approach via differential equations faces a new problem that did not arise in the case  $n = 3$ . In a few exceptional cases (cf. Proposition 4.7), our Dirac-Schmid equations can not distinguish between two representations that are not isomorphic, that is, Whittaker functions satisfy the same system of partial differential equations for those representations. This phenomenon did not occur in our previous work [8] and we overcome this new obstruction by using Jacquet integral (§5.5).

We remark that our argument includes new proof for the principal series Whittaker functions and does not rely on the results of [21] and [14]. In view of explicit formulas of the class one principal series Whittaker functions in [21] and [13], we have two possibilities to express Mellin-Barnes kernel (cf. Proposition 5.2). In this paper, we adopt the same expression as in [21] which is a natural extension of the formulas given in [8]. Moreover, our integral representation here works well with application to the Bump-Friedberg integral. See Theorems 5.14, 5.15 and 5.16 for our first main results.

The last section of this paper is devoted to an application to archimedean zeta integrals. Thanks to Fourier expansions via Whittaker functions, various zeta integrals for automorphic  $L$ -functions on  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$  unfold to Whittaker models, and the associated local zeta integrals become integral transforms of Whittaker functions. Thus, explicit formulas of Whittaker functions are essential in precisely evaluating the integral transformations. Also, we believe explicit formulas of Whittaker functions will serve as an important step toward number theoretic applications not only computations of archimedean zeta integrals (cf. [3], [5]).

If the local zeta integral is equal to the expected local  $L$ -factor, such identity will be a strong tool for arithmetic properties of automorphic  $L$ -functions (cf. [7]). But such coincidence can not be expected in general. For example, archimedean zeta integral for Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -function on  $\mathrm{GL}(n) \times \mathrm{GL}(m)$  is not expected to attain exactly the  $L$ -factor when  $|n - m| \geq 2$ . On the other hand, we can expect that such a coincidence occurs when  $|n - m| = 1$  as in our previous paper [8]. We call the local datum such as Whittaker functions attaining the expected  $L$ -factors *test vectors* for the local zeta integrals. It is widely open regarding archimedean test vectors even their existence (cf. [9]).

Our target in this paper is the Bump-Friedberg integral. When  $n = 2m$ , the archimedean Bump-Friedberg integral is

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = \int_{N_m \backslash GL(m, \mathbb{R})} \int_{N_m \backslash GL(m, \mathbb{R})} W(\tilde{\iota}(g_1, g_2)) \Phi((0, \dots, 0, 1)g_2) \\ \times |\det g_1|^{s_1-1/2} |\det g_2|^{-s_1+s_2+1/2} dg_1 dg_2,$$

where  $N_m$  is the maximal unipotent subgroup of  $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$  consisting of upper triangular matrices,  $W$  is a Whittaker functions for  $\Pi_\infty$ ,  $\Phi$  is a Schwartz-Bruhat function on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $\tilde{\iota}$  is an embedding  $GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \times GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . See [11] for the precise.

By unramified computation [4, Theorem 3], the archimedean zeta integral above is expected to be related to the the product  $L(s_1, \Pi_\infty)L(s_2, \Pi_\infty, \wedge^2)$  of the standard and the exterior square  $L$ -factors for  $\Pi_\infty$ . When  $\Pi_\infty$  is the class one principal series representation, Stade [22, Theorem 3.3] proved the identity

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = L(s_1, \Pi_\infty)L(s_2, \Pi_\infty, \wedge^2)$$

for the class one principal series Whittaker function  $W$  and the Gaussian  $\Phi$ . Then we can expect the existence of test vectors  $(W, \Phi)$  for other generic representations. As an extension of [22], the second author [11] gave test vectors explicitly for the principal series representation of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  by using the explicit formulas in [14].

For the generalized principal series representations, as in [8] and [11], finding test vectors is a much harder task than the principal series representations (cf. [15]). Similar to the study of explicit formulas, the calculus of archimedean zeta integrals requires the computation of two objects: integrations over compact groups, and Mellin transforms of Whittaker functions.

When  $n = 4$ , our compact group is  $O(2) \times O(2)$ . Unfortunately, as in [8], the integration over  $O(2) \times O(2)$  vanishes for the minimal  $O(4)$ -type Whittaker function  $W$  in many cases. Then we move  $O(4)$ -type of Whittaker functions by applying differential operators, so that the integration over  $O(2) \times O(2)$  does not vanish. Further, for that Whittaker function  $W$ , we need to understand right  $O(2) \times O(2)$  translation of  $W$  precisely. Here we can again benefit from the use of generators of  $V_\tau$ .

After the integration over  $O(2) \times O(2)$ , the zeta integral  $Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi)$  is reduced to a linear combination of special values  $V_4(v; s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2)$  of Mellin transform of Whittaker functions. Based on our explicit formulas in §5, with the aid of Barnes' first and second lemmas, we can proceed with our computation. But in general, the integral  $Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi)$  is expected to become  $P(s_1, s_2)L(s_1, \Pi_\infty)L(s_2, \Pi_\infty, \wedge^2)$  with some polynomial  $P(s_1, s_2)$ . The test vector problem is nothing but to find  $(W, \Phi)$  so that  $P(s_1, s_2) = 1$ , and as far as we know, there is no guiding principle for this problem. After trial and error, we reach test vectors for Bump-Friedberg integrals. See Theorems 6.8, 6.9 and 6.10 for our second main results.

Since various archimedean zeta integrals are evaluated for the class one principal series representations (cf. [22]), there will be plenty of room for study. We hope our example opens some windows to test vector problems for  $GL_n$ -integrals. Especially, the use of generators, which is our important idea in this paper, will contribute to the further development of the archimedean theory of automorphic  $L$ -functions.

## 1. BASIC OBJECTS

**1.1. Groups and algebras.** Throughout this paper we write  $1_n$  the unit matrix of degree  $n$ . The zero matrix of size  $m \times n$  is denoted by  $O_{m,n}$ . Let  $G = GL(4, \mathbb{R})$  be the general linear group of degree 4 over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $N$  be the group of upper triangular matrices in  $G$  with diagonal entries equal to 1. Let  $A$  be the group of diagonal matrices in  $G$  with positive diagonal entries. Let  $K = O(4)$  be the orthogonal group of degree 4. Then  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ , and we have an Iwasawa decomposition  $G = NAK$  of  $G$ . It is convenient to fix the coordinates on  $N$  and  $A$  as follows:

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} & x_{1,3} & x_{1,4} \\ 0 & 1 & x_{2,3} & x_{2,4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_{3,4} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N, \quad y = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4, y_3 y_4, y_4) \in A,$$

where  $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ) and  $y_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $1 \leq k \leq 4$ ). Here  $\mathbb{R}_+$  means the set of positive real numbers.

Let  $G_1 = GL(1, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^\times$  and  $G_2 = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . We fix an Iwasawa decomposition  $G_2 = N_2 A_2 K_2$  of  $G_2$  with

$$N_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad A_2 = \{ \text{diag}(a_1, a_2) \mid a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \}, \quad K_2 = O(2).$$

We define an embedding  $\iota: G_2 \times G_2 \rightarrow G$  by

$$\iota(g_1, g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & O_{2,2} \\ O_{2,2} & g_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (g_1, g_2 \in G_2).$$

For  $\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we set

$$k_\theta^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \in K_2, \quad k_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^{(2,2)} = \iota(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)}) \in K.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the associated Lie algebras of  $G$ ,  $N$ ,  $A$  and  $K$ , respectively. In this article, we identify the complexification  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{k}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to the Killing form, that is,  $\mathfrak{p} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid {}^t X = X\}$ . The complexification of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}$ . The adjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  and its differential are denoted by  $\text{Ad}$  and  $\text{ad}$ , respectively.

Let  $L$  be a Lie subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\mathfrak{l}$  the associated Lie algebra of  $L$ . Let  $\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{l}$ . The universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}$  and its center are denoted by  $U(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$  and  $Z(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$ , respectively. We identify  $\mathfrak{l}$  with the space of left  $L$ -invariant vector fields on  $L$  in the usual way, that is,

$$(R(X)f)(g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(g \exp(tX)) \quad (g \in L)$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{l}$  and a differentiable function  $f$  on  $L$ . Then  $U(\mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C})$  is identified with the algebra of left  $L$ -invariant differential operators on  $L$ . Let  $C^\infty(L)$  be the space of smooth functions on  $L$ . We equip the space  $C^\infty(L)$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets of a function and its derivatives.

For  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ , let  $E_{i,j}$  be the matrix in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with 1 at the  $(i, j)$ -th entry and 0 at other entries. We set

$$E_{i,j}^\mathfrak{k} = E_{i,j} - E_{j,i}, \quad E_{i,j}^\mathfrak{p} = E_{i,j} + E_{j,i} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 4).$$

Then  $\{E_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 4\}$ ,  $\{E_{i,j}^\mathfrak{k} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\}$  and  $\{E_{i,j}^\mathfrak{p} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4\}$  are bases of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ , respectively.

We define a matrix  $\mathcal{E} = (E_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$  of size 4 with entries in  $U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$  by

$$\mathcal{E}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} E_{i,i} - \frac{5-2i}{2} & \text{if } i = j, \\ E_{i,j} & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

We define the Capelli elements  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_4$  by the identity

$$\text{Det}(t1_4 + \mathcal{E}) = t^4 + \mathcal{C}_1 t^3 + \mathcal{C}_2 t^2 + \mathcal{C}_3 t + \mathcal{C}_4$$

in a variable  $t$ . Here  $\text{Det}$  means the vertical determinant defined by

$$\text{Det}(X) = \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{S}_4} \text{sgn}(w) X_{1,w(1)} X_{2,w(2)} X_{3,w(3)} X_{4,w(4)}, \quad X = (X_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$$

with the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  of degree 4. It is known that the Capelli elements  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_4$  generate  $Z(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra (cf. [10, §11]).

**1.2. Whittaker functions.** We define the standard character  $\psi_\mathbb{R}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  by

$$\psi_\mathbb{R}(t) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

and a character  $\psi_{(c_1, c_2, c_3)}$  of  $N$  by

$$\psi_{(c_1, c_2, c_3)}(x) = \psi_\mathbb{R}(c_1 x_{1,2} + c_2 x_{2,3} + c_3 x_{3,4}) \quad (x = (x_{i,j}) \in N)$$

for  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then unitary characters of  $N$  are exhausted by the characters of this form. We say that  $\psi_{(c_1, c_2, c_3)}$  is non-degenerate if  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) \in (\mathbb{R}^\times)^3$ . For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , the character  $\psi_{(c,c,c)}$  is simply denoted by  $\psi_c$ .

We regard  $C^\infty(G)$  as a  $G$ -module via the right translation. For a non-degenerate character  $\psi$  of  $N$ , let  $C^\infty(N \backslash G; \psi)$  be the subspace of  $C^\infty(G)$  consisting of all functions  $f$  satisfying

$$f(xg) = \psi(x)f(g) \quad (x \in N, g \in G).$$

For an admissible representation  $(\Pi, H_\Pi)$  of  $G$ , let

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Pi, \psi} = \text{Hom}_{(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}, K)}(H_{\Pi, K}, C^\infty(N \backslash G; \psi)_K).$$

Here  $H_{\Pi, K}$  and  $C^\infty(N \backslash G; \psi)_K$  are the subspaces of  $H_\Pi$  and  $C^\infty(N \backslash G; \psi)$  consisting of all  $K$ -finite vectors, respectively. We define the subspace  $\mathcal{I}_{\Pi, \psi}^{\text{mg}}$  of  $\mathcal{I}_{\Pi, \psi}$  consisting of all homomorphisms  $\Phi$  such that  $\Phi(f)$  ( $f \in H_{\Pi, K}$ ) are moderate growth functions. We define the space  $\text{Wh}(\Pi, \psi)$  of Whittaker functions for  $(\Pi, \psi)$  by

$$\text{Wh}(\Pi, \psi) = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{\Phi(f) \mid f \in H_{\Pi, K}, \Phi \in \mathcal{I}_{\Pi, \psi}\},$$

and define the subspace  $\text{Wh}(\Pi, \psi)^{\text{mg}}$  of  $\text{Wh}(\Pi, \psi)$  by

$$\text{Wh}(\Pi, \psi)^{\text{mg}} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{\Phi(f) \mid f \in H_{\Pi, K}, \Phi \in \mathcal{I}_{\Pi, \psi}^{\text{mg}}\}.$$

Let  $\varphi: V_\tau \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi, \psi)$  be a  $K$ -embedding with a  $K$ -type  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  of  $\Pi$ . By definition, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(v)(xgk) = \psi(x)\varphi(\tau(k)v)(g) \quad (v \in V_\tau, x \in N, g \in G, k \in K).$$

Because of the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = NAK$ ,  $\varphi$  is characterized by its restriction  $v \mapsto \varphi(v)|_A$  to  $A$ . We call  $v \mapsto \varphi(v)|_A$  the radial part of  $\varphi$ .

Assume that  $\Pi$  is irreducible. Then the multiplicity one theorem (*cf.* [20], [26]) tells that the intertwining space  $\mathcal{I}_{\Pi, \psi}^{\text{mg}}$  is at most one dimensional. By the result of Matumoto [19, Corollary 2.2.2, Theorem 6.2.1] for  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , we know that  $\mathcal{I}_{\Pi, \psi} \neq 0$  if and only if  $\Pi$  is large in the sense of Vogan [25].

For  $(c_1, c_2, c_3) \in (\mathbb{R}^\times)^3$ , there is a  $G$ -isomorphism

$$\Xi_{(c_1, c_2, c_3)}: C^\infty(N \backslash G; \psi_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(N \backslash G; \psi_{(c_1, c_2, c_3)})$$

defined by  $\Xi_{(c_1, c_2, c_3)}(f)(g) = f(\text{diag}(c_1 c_2 c_3, c_2 c_3, c_3, 1)g)$  ( $g \in G$ ). Hence, it suffices to consider the case of  $\psi_1$ . In this paper, we give explicit formulas of the radial part of a  $K$ -embedding  $\varphi: V_\tau \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  for an irreducible admissible large representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$  and the minimal  $K$ -type  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  of  $\Pi$ .

**1.3. Generalized principal series representations.** We shall specify certain representations of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  as follows.

- For  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ , we define a character  $\chi_{(\nu, \delta)}$  of  $G_1$  by

$$\chi_{(\nu, \delta)}(t) = \text{sgn}(t)^\delta |t|^\nu \quad (t \in G_1).$$

- For  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ , let  $(D_{(\nu, \kappa)}, \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu, \kappa)})$  be an irreducible Hilbert representation of  $G_2$  such that  $D_{(\nu, \kappa)}(t1_2) = t^{2\nu}$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ) and  $D_{(\nu, \kappa)} \simeq D_\kappa^+ \oplus D_\kappa^-$  as  $(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), \text{SO}(2))$ -modules, where  $D_\kappa^\pm$  is the discrete series representations of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  with the minimal  $\text{SO}(2)$ -type

$$\text{SO}(2) \ni \mathfrak{k}_\theta^{(2)} \mapsto e^{\pm \sqrt{-1} \kappa \theta} \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Such representation  $D_{(\nu, \kappa)}$  is unique up to infinitesimal equivalence.

Let us define generalized principal series representations of  $G = GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\mathbf{n} \in \{(1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1), (2, 2)\}$ , and we associate the block upper triangular subgroup  $P_{\mathbf{n}} = N_{\mathbf{n}} M_{\mathbf{n}}$  of  $G$ , where

$$M_{(1,1,1,1)} = \{\text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \mid m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 \in G_1\} \simeq G_1 \times G_1 \times G_1 \times G_1,$$

$$M_{(2,1,1)} = \{\iota(m_1, \text{diag}(m_2, m_3)) \mid m_1 \in G_2, m_2, m_3 \in G_1\} \simeq G_2 \times G_1 \times G_1,$$

$$M_{(2,2)} = \{\iota(m_1, m_2) \mid m_1, m_2 \in G_2\} \simeq G_2 \times G_2,$$

and

$$N_{(1,1,1,1)} = N, \quad N_{(2,1,1)} = \{(x_{i,j}) \in N \mid x_{1,2} = 0\}, \quad N_{(2,2)} = \{(x_{i,j}) \in N \mid x_{1,2} = x_{3,4} = 0\}.$$

Let  $(\sigma, U_\sigma)$  be a Hilbert representation of  $M_{\mathbf{n}}$  of the following form:

- When  $\mathbf{n} = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ , let  $\sigma = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, \delta_4)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \in \{0, 1\}$ , that is,  $U_\sigma = \mathbb{C}$  and

$$\sigma(m) = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)}(m_1) \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)}(m_2) \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}(m_3) \chi_{(\nu_4, \delta_4)}(m_4)$$

for  $m = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \in M_{(1,1,1,1)}$ .

- When  $\mathbf{n} = (2, 1, 1)$ , let  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $\delta_2, \delta_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ , that is,  $U_\sigma = \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)}$  and

$$\sigma(m) = \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)}(m_2) \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}(m_3) D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)}(m_1)$$

for  $m = \iota(m_1, \text{diag}(m_2, m_3)) \in M_{(2,1,1)}$ .

- When  $\mathbf{n} = (2, 2)$ , let  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes D_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ , that is,  $U_\sigma = \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}$  and

$$\sigma(m) = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)}(m_1) \boxtimes D_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}(m_2)$$

for  $m = \iota(m_1, m_2) \in M_{(2,2)}$ .

Moreover, we extend the representation  $\sigma$  to  $P_{\mathbf{n}} = N_{\mathbf{n}} M_{\mathbf{n}}$  by

$$\sigma(xm) = \sigma(m) \quad (x \in N_{\mathbf{n}}, m \in M_{\mathbf{n}}).$$

We define the function  $\rho_{\mathbf{n}}$  on  $P_{\mathbf{n}}$  by

$$\rho_{\mathbf{n}}(p) = |\det(\text{Ad}_{\mathbf{n}}(p))|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (p \in P_{\mathbf{n}}),$$

where  $\text{Ad}_{\mathbf{n}}$  means the adjoint action on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbf{n}}$  of  $N_{\mathbf{n}}$ .

Let  $H(\sigma)^0$  be the space of  $U_\sigma$ -valued continuous functions  $f$  on  $K$  satisfying

$$f(mk) = \sigma(m)f(k) \quad (m \in K \cap M_{\mathbf{n}}, k \in K),$$

on which  $G$  acts by

$$(\Pi_\sigma(g)f)(k) = \rho_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{p}(kg))\sigma(\mathbf{p}(kg))f(\mathbf{k}(kg)) \quad (g \in G, k \in K, f \in H(\sigma)^0).$$

Here  $kg = \mathbf{p}(kg)\mathbf{k}(kg)$  is the decomposition of  $kg$  with respect to the decomposition  $G = P_{\mathbf{n}}K$ . We define a representation  $(\Pi_{\sigma}, H(\sigma))$  of  $G$  as the completion of  $(\Pi_{\sigma}, H(\sigma)^0)$  with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{H(\sigma)} = \int_K \langle f_1(k), f_2(k) \rangle_{\sigma} dk \quad (f_1, f_2 \in H(\sigma)^0),$$

where  $dk$  is the Haar measure on  $K$ , and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$  is the inner product on the Hilbert space  $U_{\sigma}$ . We call  $(\Pi_{\sigma}, H(\sigma))$  a generalized principal series representation or a  $P_{\mathbf{n}}$ -principal series representation. The subspace of  $H(\sigma)$  consisting of all  $K$ -finite vectors is denoted by  $H(\sigma)_K$ . We write the action of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  on  $H(\sigma)_K$  induced from  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  by the same symbol  $\Pi_{\sigma}$ . If  $\mathbf{n} = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ , we call  $(\Pi_{\sigma}, H(\sigma))$  a principal series representation.

Because of Vogan's characterization [25, Theorem 6.2 (f)] with [24, Corollary 2.8], any irreducible admissible large representation  $\Pi$  of  $G$  is infinitesimally equivalent to some  $\Pi_{\sigma}$ , which is induced from one of the following representations

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, \delta_4)} & (\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \delta_3 \geq \delta_4), \\ D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)} & (\delta_2 \geq \delta_3), \\ D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes D_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)} & (\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2). \end{cases}$$

Any generalized principal series representation of  $G$  can be regarded as a subrepresentation of a principal series representation as (3.7) and (3.11) in §3, and the quotient representation is not large in the sense of Vogan. Hence, by the results of Kostant [18, Theorems 5.5 and 6.6.2] and Matumoto [19, Corollary 2.2.2, Theorem 6.1.6] with the standard arguments, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}_{\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1} = 4!, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}_{\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1}^{\text{mg}} = 1.$$

**1.4. The gamma functions and Mellin-Barnes integrals.** We recall some basic facts of the gamma functions and Mellin-Barnes integrals.

The gamma function  $\Gamma(s)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$  and has a simple pole at  $s = m$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ . As usual, we set

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2), \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s).$$

The functional equation  $\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$  implies that

$$(1.3) \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+2) = (2\pi)^{-1} s \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s), \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s+1) = (2\pi)^{-1} s \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s).$$

The duplication formula  $\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2}) = 2^{1-2s} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2s)$  implies that

$$(1.4) \quad \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+1) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s).$$

For  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we introduce the Pochhammer symbol  $(a)_i$  by

$$(a)_i = \frac{\Gamma(a+i)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

In this paper, we treat Mellin-Barnes integrals. Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . For  $a_i, b_j, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq 6, 1 \leq k \leq 2$ ), we assume that  $\text{Re}(s_i + b_j + b_5), \text{Re}(s_i + b_j + b_6) > 0$  for  $(i, j) = (1, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} V(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + a_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + a_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + a_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + a_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + a_5) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + a_6) \\ &\times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + b_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + b_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + b_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + b_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + c_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + c_2)} \\ &\times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + b_5) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + b_6) dq. \end{aligned}$$

Here the path  $\int_q$  is a vertical line from  $\text{Re}(q) - \sqrt{-1}\infty$  to  $\text{Re}(q) + \sqrt{-1}\infty$  with the real part

$$\max\{-\text{Re}(b_5), -\text{Re}(b_6)\} < \text{Re}(q) < \min\{\text{Re}(s_1 + b_1), \text{Re}(s_2 + b_2), \text{Re}(s_2 + b_3), \text{Re}(s_3 + b_4)\}.$$

By using  $V(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ , we consider a function  $f_V(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  on  $(\mathbb{R}_+)^3$  by

$$f_V(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3.$$

Here the paths  $\int_{s_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) are vertical lines from  $\text{Re}(s_i) - \sqrt{-1}\infty$  to  $\text{Re}(s_i) + \sqrt{-1}\infty$  with sufficiently large real parts. More precisely,  $\text{Re}(s_i + a_j) > 0$  for  $(i, j) = (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 5), (3, 6)$  and  $\text{Re}(s_i + b_j + b_k) > 0$  for  $(i, j, k) = (1, 1, 5), (1, 1, 6), (2, 2, 5), (2, 2, 6), (2, 3, 5), (2, 3, 6), (3, 4, 5), (3, 4, 6)$ .

We will describe  $\varphi(v)|_A$  as a linear combination of  $f_V$ . Hereafter we sometimes omit to mention the paths of integrations.

The following statement known to Barnes' (first) lemma plays important role in our calculation of explicit formulas of Whittaker functions and archimedean zeta integrals.

**Lemma 1.1** ([8, Lemmas 8.5]). For  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(a_i + b_j) > 0$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ ), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_z \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(z + a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(z + a_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(-z + b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(-z + b_2) dz \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + b_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_2 + b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_2 + b_2)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the path of integration  $\int_z$  is the vertical line from  $\operatorname{Re}(z) - \sqrt{-1}\infty$  to  $\operatorname{Re}(z) + \sqrt{-1}\infty$  with the real part  $\max\{-\operatorname{Re}(a_1), -\operatorname{Re}(a_2)\} < \operatorname{Re}(z) < \min\{\operatorname{Re}(b_1), \operatorname{Re}(b_2)\}$ .

The following lemma called Barnes' second lemma is also useful.

**Lemma 1.2** ([8, Lemmas 8.7]). For  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(a_i + b_j) > 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 2, 1 \leq j \leq 3$ ), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_z \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(z + a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(z + a_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(-z + b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(-z + b_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(-z + b_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(-z + a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_2 + b_3)} dz \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + b_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + b_3)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_2 + b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_2 + b_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_2 + b_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_3)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a_1 + a_2 + b_2 + b_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the path of integration  $\int_z$  is the vertical line from  $\operatorname{Re}(z) - \sqrt{-1}\infty$  to  $\operatorname{Re}(z) + \sqrt{-1}\infty$  with the real part  $\max\{-\operatorname{Re}(a_1), -\operatorname{Re}(a_2)\} < \operatorname{Re}(z) < \min\{\operatorname{Re}(b_1), \operatorname{Re}(b_2), \operatorname{Re}(b_3)\}$ .

## 2. REPRESENTATIONS OF $K = O(4)$

**2.1. The parametrization.** In this subsection, we will show that irreducible representations of  $K$  is parametrized by

$$\Lambda_K = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \{0, 1\} \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq 0, \lambda_2\lambda_3 = 0\}.$$

For  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n), \gamma' = (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \dots, \gamma'_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we write  $\gamma <_{\text{lex}} \gamma'$  if and only if there is  $1 \leq m \leq n$  such that  $\gamma_i = \gamma'_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ ) and  $\gamma_m < \gamma'_m$ . For  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we write  $\gamma \leq_{\text{lex}} \gamma'$  if and only if either  $\gamma <_{\text{lex}} \gamma'$  or  $\gamma = \gamma'$  holds. Then  $\leq_{\text{lex}}$  is a total order on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and we call it the lexicographical order.

The identity component of  $K$  is  $SO(4) = \{k \in K \mid \det k = 1\}$ . Let us recall the highest weight theory [16, Theorem 4.28] for  $SO(4)$ . Let  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  be a finite-dimensional representation of  $SO(4)$ . For  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we define a subspace  $V_\tau(\gamma)$  of  $V_\tau$  by

$$V_\tau(\gamma) = \{v \in V_\tau \mid \tau(E_{1,2}^\dagger)v = \sqrt{-1}\gamma_1 v, \tau(E_{3,4}^\dagger)v = \sqrt{-1}\gamma_2 v\}.$$

Here the differential of  $\tau$  is denoted again by  $\tau$ . We call  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  a weight of  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  if and only if the corresponding subspace  $V_\tau(\gamma)$  has nonzero elements. For a weight  $\gamma$  of  $(\tau, V_\tau)$ , nonzero vectors in  $V_\tau(\gamma)$  are called weight vectors with weight  $\gamma$ . We call  $\lambda_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  the highest weight of  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  if and only if  $\lambda_\tau$  is the weight of  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  satisfying  $\gamma \leq_{\text{lex}} \lambda_\tau$  for any weight  $\gamma$  of  $(\tau, V_\tau)$ . It is known that every irreducible representation of  $SO(4)$  is finite dimensional, and  $\tau \mapsto \lambda_\tau$  defines a bijection from the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $SO(4)$  to the set

$$\Lambda_{SO(4)} = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \lambda_1 \geq |\lambda_2|\}.$$

If  $\tau$  is irreducible, then  $V_\tau(\lambda_\tau)$  is one dimensional, and any weight  $\gamma$  of  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  satisfies

$$\gamma \in \{\lambda_\tau - (m_1 + m_2, m_1 - m_2) \mid m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Moreover, it is known that any finite dimensional representations of compact groups are completely reducible.

For  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda_{SO(4)}$ , let  $(\tau_{SO(4), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}, V_{SO(4), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)})$  be an irreducible representation of  $SO(4)$  with highest weight  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ . By Weyl's dimension formula [16, Theorem 4.48], for  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda_{SO(4)}$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \dim V_{SO(4), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1).$$

Let  $k_0 = \operatorname{diag}(1, 1, 1, -1)$ . We have  $k_0^2 = 1_4$  and  $K = SO(4) \sqcup SO(4)k_0$ . Hence, a representation of  $K$  is characterized by the actions of  $SO(4)$  and  $k_0$ . Since

$$\operatorname{Ad}(k_0)E_{1,2}^\dagger = E_{1,2}^\dagger, \quad \operatorname{Ad}(k_0)E_{3,4}^\dagger = -E_{3,4}^\dagger,$$

we know that, for  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Lambda_{SO(4)}$ , the composite of  $\tau_{SO(4), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$  and

$$SO(4) \ni h \mapsto k_0 h k_0 \in SO(4)$$

defines an irreducible representation of  $SO(4)$  with highest weight  $(\lambda_1, -\lambda_2)$ . By these facts, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(\tau, V_\tau)$  be an irreducible representation of  $K$ . Let  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  be the highest weight of  $\tau|_{\mathrm{SO}(4)}$ . Then we have  $\lambda_2 \geq 0$  and*

$$V_\tau \simeq \begin{cases} V_{\mathrm{SO}(4), (\lambda_1, 0)} & \text{if } \lambda_2 = 0, \\ V_{\mathrm{SO}(4), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \oplus V_{\mathrm{SO}(4), (\lambda_1, -\lambda_2)} & \text{if } \lambda_2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

as  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -modules. Let  $\lambda_\tau = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \Lambda_K$  with

$$\lambda_3 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_2 = 0 \text{ and } \tau(k_0)|_{V_\tau((\lambda_1, 0))} = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau \mapsto \lambda_\tau$  defines a bijection from the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $K$  to  $\Lambda_K$ .

**2.2. Constructions of irreducible representations of  $K$ .** In this subsection, we give concrete realizations of irreducible representations of  $K$ .

We define a representation  $(\tau_{\mathrm{st}}, V_{\mathrm{st}})$  of  $K$  by  $V_{\mathrm{st}} = M_{4,1}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4$  and

$$\tau_{\mathrm{st}}(h)v = hv \quad (h \in K, v \in V_{\mathrm{st}}).$$

Here  $hv$  is the ordinal product of matrices  $h$  and  $v$ . The differential of  $\tau_{\mathrm{st}}$  is denoted again by  $\tau_{\mathrm{st}}$ . Then we have  $\tau_{\mathrm{st}}(X)v = Xv$  ( $X \in \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $v \in V_{\mathrm{st}}$ ). For  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ,  $\xi_i$  denotes the matrix unit in  $V_{\mathrm{st}} = M_{4,1}(\mathbb{C})$  with 1 at  $(i, 1)$ -th entry and 0 at other entries. Moreover, we set

$$\zeta_1 = \xi_1 - \sqrt{-1}\xi_2, \quad \zeta_2 = \xi_1 + \sqrt{-1}\xi_2, \quad \zeta_3 = \xi_3 - \sqrt{-1}\xi_4, \quad \zeta_4 = \xi_3 + \sqrt{-1}\xi_4.$$

For  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ , we define  $\xi_{ij}, \zeta_{ij} \in V_{\mathrm{st}} \wedge_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\mathrm{st}}$  by  $\xi_{ij} = \xi_i \wedge \xi_j$  and  $\zeta_{ij} = \zeta_i \wedge \zeta_j$ . Here we note  $\xi_{ij} = -\xi_{ji}$ ,  $\zeta_{ij} = -\zeta_{ji}$  and  $\xi_{ii} = \zeta_{ii} = 0$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ .

We define the graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq 0} \mathcal{R}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= \mathrm{Sym}(V_{\mathrm{st}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Sym}(V_{\mathrm{st}} \wedge_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\mathrm{st}}), \\ \mathcal{R}_\lambda &= \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(V_{\mathrm{st}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Sym}^{\lambda_2}(V_{\mathrm{st}} \wedge_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\mathrm{st}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathrm{Sym}(V) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \mathrm{Sym}^m(V)$  is the symmetric algebra on  $V$  with the usual grading for a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $V$ . We regard  $\mathcal{R}$  as a  $K$ -module via the action  $\mathcal{T}$  which is induced from  $\tau_{\mathrm{st}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$  is a  $K$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

For  $v \in V_{\mathrm{st}}$  and  $v' \in V_{\mathrm{st}} \wedge_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\mathrm{st}}$ , we denote the elements  $v \otimes 1$  and  $1 \otimes v'$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  simply by  $v$  and  $v'$ , respectively. Then we note that

$$\{\xi_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{\xi_{jk} \mid 1 \leq j < k \leq 4\}, \quad \{\zeta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{\zeta_{jk} \mid 1 \leq j < k \leq 4\}$$

are two systems of generators of  $\mathcal{R}$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. By direct computation, for  $1 \leq a, b, i, j \leq 4$  and  $k \in \{1, 3\}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{T}(E_{a,b}^{\mathfrak{t}})\xi_i = \delta_{b,i}\xi_a - \delta_{a,i}\xi_b, \quad \mathcal{T}(E_{a,b}^{\mathfrak{t}})\xi_{ij} = \delta_{b,i}\xi_{aj} + \delta_{b,j}\xi_{ia} - \delta_{a,i}\xi_{bj} - \delta_{a,j}\xi_{ib},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(E_{k,k+1}^{\mathfrak{t}})\zeta_i &= \begin{cases} (-1)^i \sqrt{-1} \zeta_i & \text{if } i \in \{k, k+1\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{T}(E_{k,k+1}^{\mathfrak{t}})\zeta_{ij} &= \begin{cases} ((-1)^i + (-1)^j) \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{ij} & \text{if } i \in \{k, k+1\} \text{ and } j \in \{k, k+1\}, \\ (-1)^i \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{ij} & \text{if } i \in \{k, k+1\} \text{ and } j \notin \{k, k+1\}, \\ (-1)^j \sqrt{-1} \zeta_{ij} & \text{if } i \notin \{k, k+1\} \text{ and } j \in \{k, k+1\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin \{k, k+1\} \text{ and } j \notin \{k, k+1\}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4$ , we define elements  $\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi}_i, \widehat{\xi}_{ijk}, \widehat{\xi}_{ij}, \widehat{\xi}_{1234}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\xi} &= (\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_2)^2 + (\xi_3)^2 + (\xi_4)^2, \\ \widehat{\xi}_i &= \xi_1 \xi_{i1} + \xi_2 \xi_{i2} + \xi_3 \xi_{i3} + \xi_4 \xi_{i4}, & \widehat{\xi}_{ijk} &= \xi_i \xi_{jk} - \xi_j \xi_{ik} + \xi_k \xi_{ij}, \\ \widehat{\xi}_{ij} &= \xi_{i1} \xi_{j1} + \xi_{i2} \xi_{j2} + \xi_{i3} \xi_{j3} + \xi_{i4} \xi_{j4}, & \widehat{\xi}_{1234} &= \xi_{12} \xi_{34} - \xi_{13} \xi_{24} + \xi_{14} \xi_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we note  $\widehat{\xi}_{ijk} = \widehat{\xi}_{jki} = \widehat{\xi}_{kij} = -\widehat{\xi}_{ikj} = -\widehat{\xi}_{jik} = -\widehat{\xi}_{kji}$ ,  $\widehat{\xi}_{ikl} = \widehat{\xi}_{lki} = \widehat{\xi}_{ijl} = 0$ , and  $\widehat{\xi}_{ij} = \widehat{\xi}_{ji}$  for  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 4$ . Let  $I_{\mathcal{R}}$  be the ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$  generated by

$$\{\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi}_{1234}\} \cup \{\widehat{\xi}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{\widehat{\xi}_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\} \cup \{\widehat{\xi}_{ijk} \mid 1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4\}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The ideal  $I_{\mathcal{R}}$  is  $K$ -invariant. Let  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq 0$ . If  $\lambda_1 \leq 1$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \cap I_{\mathcal{R}} = \{0\}$ . If  $\lambda_1 > 1$ , the highest weight of  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -module  $\mathcal{R}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \cap I_{\mathcal{R}}$  is lower than  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  in the lexicographical order.*



*Proof.* By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}(E_{a,b}^{\mathfrak{t}})\widehat{\xi} &= 0, & \mathcal{T}(E_{a,b}^{\mathfrak{t}})\widehat{\xi}_i &= \delta_{b,i}\widehat{\xi}_a - \delta_{a,i}\widehat{\xi}_b, \\ \mathcal{T}(E_{a,b}^{\mathfrak{t}})\widehat{\xi}_{ijk} &= \delta_{b,i}\widehat{\xi}_{ajk} + \delta_{b,j}\widehat{\xi}_{iak} + \delta_{b,k}\widehat{\xi}_{ija} - \delta_{a,i}\widehat{\xi}_{bjk} - \delta_{a,j}\widehat{\xi}_{ibk} - \delta_{a,k}\widehat{\xi}_{ijb}, \\ \mathcal{T}(E_{a,b}^{\mathfrak{t}})\widehat{\xi}_{ij} &= \delta_{b,i}\widehat{\xi}_{aj} + \delta_{b,j}\widehat{\xi}_{ia} - \delta_{a,i}\widehat{\xi}_{bj} - \delta_{a,j}\widehat{\xi}_{ib}, & \mathcal{T}(E_{a,b}^{\mathfrak{t}})\widehat{\xi}_{1234} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}(k_0)\widehat{\xi} &= \widehat{\xi}, & \mathcal{T}(k_0)\widehat{\xi}_i &= (-1)^{\delta_{i,4}}\widehat{\xi}_i, & \mathcal{T}(k_0)\widehat{\xi}_{ijk} &= (-1)^{\delta_{i,4}+\delta_{j,4}+\delta_{k,4}}\widehat{\xi}_{ijk}, \\ \mathcal{T}(k_0)\widehat{\xi}_{ij} &= (-1)^{\delta_{i,4}+\delta_{j,4}}\widehat{\xi}_{ij}, & \mathcal{T}(k_0)\widehat{\xi}_{1234} &= -\widehat{\xi}_{1234}\end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq a, b, i, j, k \leq 4$ . Hence,  $I_{\mathcal{R}}$  is  $K$ -invariant.

For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $j \in \{3, 4\}$ , we define  $\widehat{\zeta}_i^{(k)}, \widehat{\zeta}_j^{(k)} \in \mathcal{R}_{(2,1)}$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\zeta}_i^{(1)} &= \widehat{\xi}_1 + (-1)^i \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_2, & \widehat{\zeta}_j^{(1)} &= \widehat{\xi}_3 + (-1)^j \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_4, \\ \widehat{\zeta}_i^{(2)} &= \widehat{\xi}_{134} + (-1)^i \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_{234}, & \widehat{\zeta}_j^{(2)} &= \widehat{\xi}_{123} + (-1)^j \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_{124}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $i \in \{1, 3\}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 4$  and  $k \in \{1, 2\}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{T}(E_{i,i+1}^{\mathfrak{t}})\widehat{\zeta}_j^{(k)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^j \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\zeta}_j^{(k)} & \text{if } j \in \{i, i+1\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4$ , we define  $\widehat{\zeta}_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}_{(2,2)}$  by

$$\widehat{\zeta}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \widehat{\xi}_{11} + (-1)^i \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_{21} + (-1)^j \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_{12} - (-1)^{i+j}\widehat{\xi}_{22} & \text{if } i, j \in \{1, 2\}, \\ \widehat{\xi}_{33} + (-1)^i \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_{43} + (-1)^j \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_{34} - (-1)^{i+j}\widehat{\xi}_{44} & \text{if } i, j \in \{3, 4\}, \\ \widehat{\xi}_{13} + (-1)^i \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_{23} + (-1)^j \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\xi}_{14} - (-1)^{i+j}\widehat{\xi}_{24} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, for  $i \in \{1, 3\}$  and  $1 \leq j \leq k \leq 4$ , we have

$$\mathcal{T}(E_{i,i+1}^{\mathfrak{t}})\widehat{\zeta}_{jk} = \begin{cases} ((-1)^j + (-1)^k)\sqrt{-1}\widehat{\zeta}_{jk} & \text{if } j \in \{i, i+1\} \text{ and } k \in \{i, i+1\}, \\ (-1)^j \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\zeta}_{jk} & \text{if } j \in \{i, i+1\} \text{ and } k \notin \{i, i+1\}, \\ (-1)^k \sqrt{-1}\widehat{\zeta}_{jk} & \text{if } j \notin \{i, i+1\} \text{ and } k \in \{i, i+1\}, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \notin \{i, i+1\} \text{ and } k \notin \{i, i+1\}. \end{cases}$$

Since the ideal  $I_{\mathcal{R}}$  is generated by

$$\{\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi}_{1234}\} \cup \{\widehat{\zeta}_i^{(j)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4, j \in \{1, 2\}\} \cup \{\widehat{\zeta}_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4\},$$

we obtain the latter part of the assertion by the above equalities.  $\square$

Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$  be the action of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{R}/I_{\mathcal{R}}$  induced from  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{R}}: \mathcal{R} \ni r \mapsto r + I_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R}/I_{\mathcal{R}}$  be the natural surjection. For  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \Lambda_K$ , we define a representation  $(\tau_{\lambda}, V_{\lambda})$  of  $K$  by

$$\tau_{\lambda}(k) = (\det k)^{\lambda_3} \widehat{\mathcal{T}}(k) \quad (k \in K), \quad V_{\lambda} = \mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}).$$

The differential of  $\tau_{\lambda}$  is denoted again by  $\tau_{\lambda}$ . Later, we will show that  $(\tau_{\lambda}, V_{\lambda})$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  (Proposition 2.5).

Let  $S_{\lambda}$  be the set of  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{10}$  satisfying

$$l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \quad l_{12} + l_{13} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24} + l_{34} = \lambda_2.$$

For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{\lambda}$ , we set

$$u_l = \mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{R}} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} (\xi_i)^{l_i} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} (\xi_{jk})^{l_{jk}} \right), \quad v_l = \mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{R}} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} (\zeta_i)^{l_i} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} (\zeta_{jk})^{l_{jk}} \right).$$

We note that  $\{u_l\}_{l \in S_{\lambda}}$  and  $\{v_l\}_{l \in S_{\lambda}}$  form two systems of generators of  $V_{\lambda}$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. It is convenient to set  $u_l = v_l = 0$  if  $l \notin (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{10}$ . We set  $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}e_1 &= (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), & e_2 &= (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ e_3 &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), & e_4 &= (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ e_{12} = e_{21} &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), & e_{13} = e_{31} &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\ e_{14} = e_{41} &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0), & e_{23} = e_{32} &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0),\end{aligned}$$

$$e_{24} = e_{42} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \quad e_{34} = e_{43} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \Lambda_K$ .

(i) When  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \geq 2$ , for  $l \in S_{\lambda-(2,0,0)}$ , we have

$$u_{l+2e_1} + u_{l+2e_2} + u_{l+2e_3} + u_{l+2e_4} = 0.$$

(ii) When  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0$ , for  $l \in S_{\lambda-(2,1,0)}$ , we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 4, j \neq i} \operatorname{sgn}(j-i) u_{l+e_j+e_{ij}} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4),$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{l+e_2+e_{12}} + u_{l+e_3+e_{13}} + u_{l+e_4+e_{14}} &= 0, & -u_{l+e_1+e_{12}} + u_{l+e_3+e_{23}} + u_{l+e_4+e_{24}} &= 0, \\ -u_{l+e_1+e_{13}} - u_{l+e_2+e_{23}} + u_{l+e_4+e_{34}} &= 0, & -u_{l+e_1+e_{14}} - u_{l+e_2+e_{24}} - u_{l+e_3+e_{34}} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we also have

$$u_{l+e_i+e_{jk}} - u_{l+e_j+e_{ik}} + u_{l+e_k+e_{ij}} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4).$$

(iii) When  $\lambda_2 \geq 2$ , for  $l \in S_{\lambda-(2,2,0)}$ , we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \operatorname{sgn}((k-i)(k-j)) u_{l+e_{ik}+e_{jk}} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 4),$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{l+2e_{12}} + u_{l+2e_{13}} + u_{l+2e_{14}} &= 0, & u_{l+2e_{12}} + u_{l+2e_{23}} + u_{l+2e_{24}} &= 0, \\ u_{l+2e_{13}} + u_{l+2e_{23}} + u_{l+2e_{34}} &= 0, & u_{l+2e_{14}} + u_{l+2e_{24}} + u_{l+2e_{34}} &= 0, \\ u_{l+e_{13}+e_{23}} + u_{l+e_{14}+e_{24}} &= 0, & u_{l+e_{12}+e_{13}} + u_{l+e_{24}+e_{34}} &= 0, & u_{l+e_{13}+e_{14}} + u_{l+e_{23}+e_{24}} &= 0, \\ -u_{l+e_{12}+e_{23}} + u_{l+e_{14}+e_{34}} &= 0, & u_{l+e_{12}+e_{14}} - u_{l+e_{23}+e_{34}} &= 0, & -u_{l+e_{12}+e_{24}} - u_{l+e_{13}+e_{34}} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_{l+e_{12}+e_{34}} - u_{l+e_{13}+e_{24}} + u_{l+e_{14}+e_{23}} = 0.$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows immediately from the definition of  $I_{\mathcal{R}}$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \Lambda_K$ , and

$$l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{\lambda}.$$

(i) For  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\lambda}(\operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)) u_l &= \varepsilon_1^{l_1+l_2+l_3+l_4+\lambda_3} \varepsilon_2^{l_2+l_{12}+l_{23}+l_{24}+\lambda_3} \varepsilon_3^{l_3+l_{13}+l_{23}+l_{34}+\lambda_3} \varepsilon_4^{l_4+l_{14}+l_{24}+l_{34}+\lambda_3} u_l, \\ \tau_{\lambda}(E_{i,j}^{\varepsilon}) u_l &= l_j u_{l-e_j+e_i} - l_i u_{l-e_i+e_j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \operatorname{sgn}((k-i)(k-j)) (l_{kj} u_{l-e_{kj}+e_{ki}} - l_{ki} u_{l-e_{ki}+e_{kj}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we put  $l_{ji} = l_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ).

(ii) For  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\lambda}(\operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)) v_l &= \varepsilon_1^{l_1+l_2+l_{12}+l_{13}+l_{14}+l_{23}+l_{24}+\lambda_3} \varepsilon_2^{l_{12}+\lambda_3} \varepsilon_3^{l_3+l_4+l_{13}+l_{14}+l_{23}+l_{24}+l_{34}+\lambda_3} \varepsilon_4^{l_{34}+\lambda_3} \\ &\quad \times \begin{cases} v_l & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 = 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 = 1, \\ v_{(l_2, l_1, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{34})} & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 = -1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 = 1, \\ v_{(l_1, l_2, l_4, l_3, l_{12}, l_{14}, l_{13}, l_{24}, l_{23}, l_{34})} & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 = 1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 = -1, \\ v_{(l_2, l_1, l_4, l_3, l_{12}, l_{24}, l_{23}, l_{14}, l_{13}, l_{34})} & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 = -1 \text{ and } \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 = -1, \end{cases} \\ \tau_{\lambda}(k_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^{(2,2)}) v_l &= e^{\sqrt{-1}(l_2+l_{24}+l_{23}-l_1-l_{13}-l_{14})\theta_1 + \sqrt{-1}(l_4+l_{24}+l_{14}-l_3-l_{13}-l_{23})\theta_2} v_l. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Direct computation. □

**Proposition 2.5.** (i) The correspondence  $\lambda \leftrightarrow \tau_{\lambda}$  gives a bijection between  $\Lambda_K$  and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $K$ .

(ii) Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \Lambda_K$ . If  $\lambda_2 > 0$ , let  $S_{\lambda}^{\circ}$  be the subset of  $S_{\lambda}$  consisting of all  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34})$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &(l_3 > 0, l_4 = l_{12} = l_{34} = 0, l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24} \leq 1) \\ \text{or } &(l_3 = l_4 = l_{24} = 0, l_{12} > 0, l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} \leq 1) \end{aligned}$$

or  $(l_3 = l_4 = l_{12} = 0, l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24} + l_{34} \leq 1)$ .

If  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , let  $S_\lambda^\circ$  be the subset of  $S_\lambda$  consisting of all  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  satisfying  $l_4 \leq 1$ . Then  $\{u_l\}_{l \in S_\lambda^\circ}$  is a basis of  $V_\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \Lambda_K$ . The cardinality  $\#S_\lambda^\circ$  of  $S_\lambda^\circ$  is given by

$$\#S_\lambda^\circ = \begin{cases} 2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1) & \text{if } \lambda_2 > 0, \\ (\lambda_1 + 1)^2 & \text{if } \lambda_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

and it coincides with the dimension of an irreducible representation of  $K$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  by (2.1) and Lemma 2.1.

We note that the highest weight of  $SO(4)$ -module  $\mathcal{R}_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$  is  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , and the corresponding weight space is given by  $\mathbb{C}(\zeta_2)^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(\zeta_{24})^{\lambda_2}$ . By the latter part of Lemma 2.2, we have  $v_{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)e_2 + \lambda_2 e_{24}} = q_{\mathcal{R}}((\zeta_2)^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}(\zeta_{24})^{\lambda_2}) \neq 0$  and know that the highest weight of  $\tau_\lambda|_{SO(4)}$  is also  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , and the corresponding weight space is given by  $\mathbb{C}v_{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)e_2 + \lambda_2 e_{24}}$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , we have  $\tau_\lambda(k_0)v_{\lambda_1 e_2} = (-1)^{\lambda_3}v_{\lambda_1 e_2}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.1,  $\tau_\lambda$  has an irreducible subrepresentation of  $K$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  whose dimension is  $\#S_\lambda^\circ$ . Hence, in order to complete the proof, it suffices to show that  $\{u_l\}_{l \in S_\lambda^\circ}$  generates  $V_\lambda$  as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space.

Our task is to show that, for any  $l \in S_\lambda$ , the vector  $u_l$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors  $u_{l'}$  ( $l' \in S_\lambda^\circ$ ). In the case of  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , it follows immediately from Lemma 2.3 (i). Let us consider the case of  $\lambda_2 > 0$ . For

$$l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_\lambda,$$

we have the following assertions by Lemma 2.3:

- (1) By Lemma 2.3 (ii), the vector  $u_l$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors  $u_{l'}$  with  $l' = (l'_1, l'_2, l'_3, 0, l'_{12}, l'_{13}, l'_{14}, l'_{23}, l'_{24}, l'_{34}) \in S_\lambda$ .
- (2) By Lemma 2.3 (ii), if  $l_3 > 0$  and  $l_{12} + l_{34} > 0$ , then the vector  $u_l$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors  $u_{l'}$  with

$$l' = (l'_1, l'_2, l_3 - 1, l_4, l'_{12}, l'_{13}, l'_{14}, l'_{23}, l'_{24}, l'_{34}) \in S_\lambda$$

satisfying  $l'_{12} + l'_{34} = l_{12} + l_{34} - 1$  and  $l'_{13} + l'_{14} + l'_{23} + l'_{24} = l_{13} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24} + 1$ .

- (3) By Lemma 2.3 (iii), if  $l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24} + l_{34} > 1$ , then the vector  $u_l$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors  $u_{l'}$  with

$$l' = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l'_{12}, l'_{13}, l'_{14}, l'_{23}, l'_{24}, l'_{34}) \in S_\lambda$$

satisfying  $l'_{14} + l'_{23} + l'_{24} + l'_{34} < l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24} + l_{34}$ .

By these assertions, we know that, for any  $l \in S_\lambda$ , the vector  $u_l$  can be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors  $u_{l'}$  with

$$l' = (l'_1, l'_2, l'_3, 0, l'_{12}, l'_{13}, l'_{14}, l'_{23}, l'_{24}, l'_{34}) \in S_\lambda$$

satisfying  $l'_3(l'_{12} + l'_{34}) = 0$  and  $l'_{14} + l'_{23} + l'_{24} + l'_{34} \leq 1$ . Hence, the proof is completed by the relation  $u_{l+e_{12}+e_{24}} = -u_{l+e_{13}+e_{34}}$  ( $l \in S_{\lambda-(2,2,0)}$ ) in Lemma 2.3 (iii).  $\square$

**2.3. Some lemmas for tensor products.** We regard  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}$  as a  $K$ -module via the adjoint action  $\text{Ad}$ . For later use, we prepare the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3), \lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \lambda'_3) \in \Lambda_K$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq 0$  and  $\lambda - (\mu_1, \mu_2, 0) \in \Lambda_K$ . Let  $B_\lambda^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}: \mathcal{R}_{(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda - (\mu_1, \mu_2, 0)} \rightarrow V_\lambda$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map defined by*

$$B_\lambda^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}(v \otimes q_{\mathcal{R}}(v')) = q_{\mathcal{R}}(vv') \quad (v \in \mathcal{R}_{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}, v' \in \mathcal{R}_{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1, \lambda_2 - \mu_2)}).$$

Then  $B_\lambda^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}$  is a surjective  $K$ -homomorphism, and we have

$$\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{R}_{(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda - (\mu_1, \mu_2, 0)}, V_{\lambda'}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} B_\lambda^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)} & \text{if } \lambda' = \lambda, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda <_{\text{lex}} \lambda'. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By definition, we know that  $B_\lambda^{(\mu_1, \mu_2)}$  is a surjective  $K$ -homomorphism. The subspace of  $\mathcal{R}_{(\mu_1, \mu_2)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda - (\mu_1, \mu_2, 0)}$  consisting of all vectors  $v$  satisfying

$$(\mathcal{T} \otimes \tau_{\lambda - (\mu_1, \mu_2, 0)})(E_{2i-1, 2i}^{\mathfrak{e}})v = \sqrt{-1}\lambda'_i v \quad (i \in \{1, 2\})$$

is equal to

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{C}(\xi_2)^{\mu_1 - \mu_2}(\xi_{24})^{\mu_2} \otimes v_{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \mu_1 - \mu_2)e_2 + (\lambda_2 - \mu_2)e_{24}} & \text{if } (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \\ \{0\} & \text{if } (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) <_{\text{lex}} (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if  $\lambda_2 = \mu_2 = 0$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{T} \otimes \tau_{\lambda - (\mu_1, 0, 0)})(k_0)(\xi_2)^{\mu_1} \otimes v_{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)e_2} = (-1)^{\lambda_3} (\xi_2)^{\mu_1} \otimes v_{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)e_2}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *We define  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $\mathbb{I}_{(1,\delta)}^{\mathbf{p}} : \mathcal{R}_{(1,\delta)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{R}_{(1,\delta)}$  ( $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ ) by*

$$\mathbb{I}_{(1,0)}^{\mathbf{p}}(\xi_i) = \sum_{k=1}^4 E_{i,k}^{\mathbf{p}} \otimes \xi_k, \quad \mathbb{I}_{(1,1)}^{\mathbf{p}}(\xi_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^4 (E_{i,k}^{\mathbf{p}} \otimes \xi_{kj} + E_{j,k}^{\mathbf{p}} \otimes \xi_{ik})$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ . Then  $\mathbb{I}_{(1,0)}^{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{(1,1)}^{\mathbf{p}}$  are  $K$ -homomorphisms.

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathbb{I}_{(1,0)}^{\mathbf{p}}$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{(1,1)}^{\mathbf{p}}$  are  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -homomorphisms by [14, Proposition 1.3]. By direct computation, we can confirm that

$$(\mathrm{ad} \otimes \mathcal{T})(k_0)\mathbb{I}_{(1,0)}^{\mathbf{p}}(\xi_i) = \mathbb{I}_{(1,0)}^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{T}(k_0)\xi_i), \quad (\mathrm{ad} \otimes \mathcal{T})(k_0)\mathbb{I}_{(1,1)}^{\mathbf{p}}(\xi_{ij}) = \mathbb{I}_{(1,1)}^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{T}(k_0)\xi_{ij})$$

hold for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ . Therefore, we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

### 3. THE MINIMAL $K$ -TYPES OF GENERALIZED PRINCIPAL SERIES REPRESENTATIONS

**3.1. The realization of  $D_{(\nu, \kappa)}$ .** In this subsection, we introduce a realization of  $(D_{(\nu, \kappa)}, \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu, \kappa)})$ , which is a subrepresentation of some principal series representation of  $G_2$ . See [8, Chapter 3] for details.

Let  $P_{(1,1)} = N_2 M_{(1,1)}$  be the upper triangular subgroup of  $G_2$  with

$$M_{(1,1)} = \{m = \mathrm{diag}(m_1, m_2) \mid m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R}^\times\}.$$

Let  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ . We set  $\sigma = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)}$ . We regard  $\sigma$  as character of  $P_{(1,1)}$  and define a character  $\rho_{(1,1)}$  of  $P_{(1,1)}$  by

$$\sigma(xm) = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)}(m_1)\chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)}(m_2), \quad \rho_{(1,1)}(xm) = \left| \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for  $x \in N_2$  and  $m = \mathrm{diag}(m_1, m_2) \in M_{(1,1)}$ . Let  $H(\sigma)^0$  be the space of continuous functions  $f$  on  $K_2$  satisfying

$$f(\mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)k) = \varepsilon_1^{\delta_1} \varepsilon_2^{\delta_2} f(k) \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}, k \in K_2),$$

on which  $G_2$  acts by

$$(\Pi_\sigma(g)f)(k) = \rho_{(1,1)}(\mathbf{p}(kg))\sigma(\mathbf{p}(kg))f(\mathbf{k}(kg)) \quad (g \in G_2, k \in K_2, f \in H(\sigma)^0).$$

Here  $kg = \mathbf{p}(kg)\mathbf{k}(kg)$  is the decomposition of  $kg$  with respect to the decomposition  $G_2 = P_{(1,1)}K_2$ . We define a representation  $(\Pi_\sigma, H(\sigma))$  of  $G_2$  as the completion of  $(\Pi_\sigma, H(\sigma)^0)$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -inner product on  $K_2$ . We call  $(\Pi_\sigma, H(\sigma))$  a principal series representation of  $G_2$ . Let  $H(\sigma)_{K_2}$  be the subspace of  $H(\sigma)$  consisting of all  $K_2$ -finite vectors, and take a basis  $\{f_{(\sigma, q)}\}_{q \in \delta_1 - \delta_2 + 2\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $H(\sigma)_{K_2}$  by

$$f_{(\sigma, q)}(\mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)\mathbf{k}_\theta^{(2)}) = \varepsilon_1^{\delta_1} \varepsilon_2^{\delta_2} e^{\sqrt{-1}q\theta} \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Then, for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \Pi_\sigma(\mathbf{k}_\theta^{(2)})f_{(\sigma, q)} = e^{\sqrt{-1}q\theta} f_{(\sigma, q)}, \quad \Pi_\sigma(\mathrm{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2))f_{(\sigma, q)} = \varepsilon_1^{\delta_1} \varepsilon_2^{\delta_2} f_{(\sigma, \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 q)}.$$

Let  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ . We set  $\hat{\sigma} = \chi_{(\nu + (\kappa - 1)/2, \delta)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu - (\kappa - 1)/2, 0)}$  with  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\delta \equiv \kappa \pmod{2}$ . Then the subrepresentation of  $\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}$  on the closure of

$$(3.2) \quad \bigoplus_{q \in \kappa + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \{\mathbb{C}f_{(\hat{\sigma}, q)} + \mathbb{C}f_{(\hat{\sigma}, -q)}\}$$

satisfies the definition of  $(D_{(\nu, \kappa)}, \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu, \kappa)})$  in §1.3. Hereafter, we regard  $(D_{(\nu, \kappa)}, \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu, \kappa)})$  as this subrepresentation of  $\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}$ . We note that the  $K_2$ -finite part  $\mathfrak{H}_{(\nu, \kappa), K_2}$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_{(\nu, \kappa)}$  coincides with the space (3.2).

**3.2.  $P_{(1,1,1,1)}$ -principal series representations.** Let  $\sigma = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, \delta_4)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \delta_3 \geq \delta_4$ . The group  $K \cap M_{(1,1,1,1)}$  consists of the elements

$$\text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4) \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in \{\pm 1\}).$$

Because of Lemma 2.4 (i), for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ , we have

$$\text{Hom}_{K \cap M_{(1,1,1,1)}}(V_\lambda, U_{\sigma, K \cap M_{(1,1,1,1)}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \eta_\sigma & \text{if } \lambda = (\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3), \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda <_{\text{lex}} (\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3), \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta_\sigma : V_{(\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)} \rightarrow U_{\sigma, K \cap M_{(1,1,1,1)}}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map defined by

$$\eta_\sigma(u_l) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = (\delta_1 - \delta_2)e_1 + (\delta_3 - \delta_4)e_4 + (\delta_2 - \delta_3)e_{12}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $l \in S_{(\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)}$ . By the Frobenius reciprocity law [16, Theorem 1.14], we have

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Hom}_K(V_\lambda, H(\sigma)_K) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \hat{\eta}_\sigma & \text{if } \lambda = (\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3), \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda <_{\text{lex}} (\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3) \end{cases} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda_K),$$

where  $\hat{\eta}_\sigma(v)(k) = \eta_\sigma(\tau_{(\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)}(k)v)$  for  $v \in V_{(\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)}$  and  $k \in K$ . We call  $\tau_{(\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)}$  the minimal  $K$ -type of  $\Pi_\sigma$ .

**Proposition 3.1** (cf. [14, Lemma 2.5]). *Retain the notation.*

(i) *For  $f \in H(\sigma)_K$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)f &= (\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)f, \\ \Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)f &= (\nu_1\nu_2 + \nu_1\nu_3 + \nu_1\nu_4 + \nu_2\nu_3 + \nu_2\nu_4 + \nu_3\nu_4)f, \\ \Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)f &= (\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3 + \nu_1\nu_2\nu_4 + \nu_1\nu_3\nu_4 + \nu_2\nu_3\nu_4)f, \\ \Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_4)f &= \nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4f. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Assume  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$  or  $(1, 1, 1, 0)$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , we have*

$$2\{(\delta_1 - \delta_2)\nu_1 + (\delta_3 - \delta_4)\nu_4\} \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathbb{P}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_k}).$$

(iii) *Assume  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ . For  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{ij}}) &= \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,i}^{\mathbb{P}} + E_{j,j}^{\mathbb{P}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{ij}}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \{\text{sgn}(j - k) \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathbb{P}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{kj}}) + \text{sgn}(k - i) \Pi_\sigma(E_{j,k}^{\mathbb{P}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{ik}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first statement (i) is [8, Proposition 2.2]. By definition, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  and  $f \in H(\sigma)_K$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad 2(\Pi_\sigma(E_{i,j})f)(1_4) = \begin{cases} 2\nu_i + 5 - 2i & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases}$$

Assume  $\delta_1 - \delta_4 = 1$ . Let  $\lambda = (1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)$ . We have

$$\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{R}_{(1, \delta_2 - \delta_3)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda - (1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, 0)}, H(\sigma)_K) = \mathbb{C} \hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1, \delta_2 - \delta_3)}$$

by Lemma 2.6 and (3.3). We define a  $K$ -homomorphism  $P_\sigma : \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H(\sigma)_K \rightarrow H(\sigma)_K$  by  $X \otimes f \mapsto \Pi_\sigma(X)f$ . Then there is a constant  $c_{\delta_2 - \delta_3}$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad c_{\delta_2 - \delta_3} \hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1, \delta_2 - \delta_3)} = P_\sigma \circ (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}} \otimes (\hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1, \delta_2 - \delta_3)})) \circ (\mathbf{I}_{(1, \delta_2 - \delta_3)}^{\mathbb{P}} \otimes \text{id}_{V_{\lambda - (1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, 0)}}),$$

since the right hand side is an element of  $\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{R}_{(1, \delta_2 - \delta_3)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda - (1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, 0)}, H(\sigma)_K)$ .

Let us consider the case of  $\delta_2 - \delta_3 = 0$ . Considering the image of  $\xi_i \otimes u_0$  under the both sides of (3.5), we have

$$c_0 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathbb{P}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_k}) \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4).$$

Hence, in order to prove the statement (ii), it suffices to show  $c_0 = 2\{(\delta_1 - \delta_2)\nu_1 + (\delta_3 - \delta_4)\nu_4\}$ . When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , using Lemma 2.4 (i) and (3.4), we have

$$c_0 = c_0 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_1})(1_4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^4 (\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_k}))(1_4) \\
&= 2(\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,1}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_1}))(1_4) + \sum_{k=2}^4 \{2(\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,k}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_k}))(1_4) - \hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{1,k}^{\mathfrak{e}})u_{e_k})(1_4)\} \\
&= 2\nu_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ , we know  $c_0 = 2\nu_4$  to obtain the statement (ii).

Assume  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ . Considering the image of  $\xi_{ij} \otimes u_0$  under the both sides of (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{ij}}) &= \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,i}^{\mathfrak{p}} + E_{j,j}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{ij}}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \{\text{sgn}(j-k) \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{kj}}) + \text{sgn}(k-i) \Pi_\sigma(E_{j,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{ik}})\}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ . Hence, in order to prove the statement (iii), it suffices to show  $c_1 = 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2)$ . Using Lemma 2.4 (i) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= c_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{12}})(1_4) \\
&= (\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,1}^{\mathfrak{p}} + E_{2,2}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{12}}))(1_4) - (\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{23}}) + \Pi_\sigma(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{24}}))(1_4) \\
&\quad + (\Pi_\sigma(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{13}}) + \Pi_\sigma(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{14}}))(1_4) \\
&= 2(\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{12}}))(1_4) \\
&\quad - 2(\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,3}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{23}}))(1_4) + \hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{e}})u_{e_{23}})(1_4) - 2(\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,4}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{24}}))(1_4) + \hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{e}})u_{e_{24}})(1_4) \\
&\quad + 2(\Pi_\sigma(E_{2,3}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{13}}))(1_4) - \hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{e}})u_{e_{13}})(1_4) + 2(\Pi_\sigma(E_{2,4}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{e_{14}}))(1_4) - \hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{e}})u_{e_{14}})(1_4) \\
&= 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**3.3.  $P_{(2,1,1)}$ -principal series representations.** Let  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $\delta_2, \delta_3 \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_3$ . We set  $\hat{\sigma}_1 = \chi_{(\nu_1 + (\kappa_1 - 1)/2, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_1 - (\kappa_1 - 1)/2, 0)}$  with  $\delta_1 \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\delta_1 \equiv \kappa_1 \pmod{2}$ . The group  $K \cap M_{(2,1,1)}$  is generated by the elements

$$k_{\theta_1, 0}^{(2,2)} \quad (\theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4) \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in \{\pm 1\}).$$

Because of (3.1), these elements act on  $U_{\sigma, K \cap M_{(2,1,1)}} = \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1), K_2}$  by

$$\sigma(k_{\theta_1, 0}^{(2,2)})f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, q)} = e^{\sqrt{-1}q\theta_1} f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, q)}, \quad \sigma(\text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4))f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, q)} = \varepsilon_1^{\kappa_1} \varepsilon_3^{\delta_2} \varepsilon_4^{\delta_3} f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 q)}$$

for  $q \in \kappa_1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|q| \geq \kappa_1$ . By these equalities and Lemma 2.4 (ii), for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ , we have

$$\text{Hom}_{K \cap M_{(2,1,1)}}(V_\lambda, U_{\sigma, K \cap M_{(2,1,1)}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \eta_\sigma & \text{if } \lambda = (\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3), \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda <_{\text{lex}} (\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3), \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta_\sigma: V_{(\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)} \rightarrow U_{\sigma, K \cap M_{(2,1,1)}}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map defined by

$$\eta_\sigma(v_l) = \begin{cases} f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, \kappa_1)} & \text{if } l = (\kappa_1 - \delta_2 + \delta_3)e_2 + (\delta_2 - \delta_3)e_{24} \text{ or } l = (\kappa_1 - \delta_2 + \delta_3)e_2 + (\delta_2 - \delta_3)e_{23}, \\ (-1)^{\delta_3} f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, -\kappa_1)} & \text{if } l = (\kappa_1 - \delta_2 + \delta_3)e_1 + (\delta_2 - \delta_3)e_{14} \text{ or } l = (\kappa_1 - \delta_2 + \delta_3)e_1 + (\delta_2 - \delta_3)e_{13}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)}$ . By the Frobenius reciprocity law [16, Theorem 1.14], we have

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Hom}_K(V_\lambda, H(\sigma)_K) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \hat{\eta}_\sigma & \text{if } \lambda = (\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3), \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda <_{\text{lex}} (\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3) \end{cases} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda_K),$$

where  $\hat{\eta}_\sigma(v)(k) = \eta_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)}(k)v)$  for  $v \in V_{(\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)}$  and  $k \in K$ . We call  $\tau_{(\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)}$  the minimal  $K$ -type of  $\Pi_\sigma$ .

We define  $\iota_\sigma: U_\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\iota_\sigma(f) = f(1_2)$ , and let  $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}_1 \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}$ . Then  $\Pi_\sigma$  is embedded into a principal series representation  $\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}$  via

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{I}_\sigma: H(\sigma) \ni f \mapsto \iota_\sigma \circ f \in H(\hat{\sigma}).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Retain the notation.*

(i) *For  $f \in H(\sigma)_K$ , we have*

$$\Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)f = (2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3)f,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)f &= \left(\nu_1^2 + 2\nu_1\nu_2 + 2\nu_1\nu_3 + \nu_2\nu_3 - \frac{(\kappa_1-1)^2}{4}\right)f, \\ \Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)f &= \left(\nu_1^2\nu_2 + \nu_1^2\nu_3 + 2\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3 - \frac{(\kappa_1-1)^2}{4}\nu_2 - \frac{(\kappa_1-1)^2}{4}\nu_3\right)f, \\ \Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_4)f &= \left(\nu_1^2 - \frac{(\kappa_1-1)^2}{4}\right)\nu_2\nu_3f.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) For  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \delta_2-\delta_3, \delta_3)}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , we have

$$2\nu_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_k}).$$

(iii) Assume  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ . For  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, 0, 0)}$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}2(\nu_1 + \nu_2) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) &= \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,i}^{\mathfrak{p}} + E_{j,j}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \{\text{sgn}(j-k) \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{kj}}) + \text{sgn}(k-i) \Pi_\sigma(E_{j,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ik}})\}.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The statement (i) follows immediately from Proposition 3.1 (i) and (3.7). By definition, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  and  $f \in H(\hat{\sigma})_K$ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{i,j})f)(1_4) = \begin{cases} 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 + 2 & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\ 2\nu_2 - 1 & \text{if } i = j = 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\lambda = (\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\delta_2 - \delta_3 \geq \delta$ . We have

$$\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{R}_{(1,\delta)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda-(1,\delta,0)}, H(\sigma)_K) = \mathbb{C} \hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1,\delta)}$$

by Lemma 2.6 and (3.6). We define a  $K$ -homomorphism  $P_\sigma: \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H(\sigma)_K \rightarrow H(\sigma)_K$  by  $X \otimes f \mapsto \Pi_\sigma(X)f$ . Then there is a constant  $c_\delta$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad c_\delta \hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1,\delta)} = P_\sigma \circ (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}} \otimes (\hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1,\delta)})) \circ (\mathbb{I}_{(1,\delta)}^{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \text{id}_{V_{\lambda-(1,\delta,0)}}),$$

since the right hand side is an element of  $\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{R}_{(1,\delta)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda-(1,\delta,0)}, H(\sigma)_K)$ .

Let us consider the case of  $\delta = 0$ . Considering the image of  $\xi_i \otimes u_l$  under the both sides of (3.9), we have

$$c_0 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_k}) \quad (l \in S_{\lambda-(1,0,0)}, 1 \leq i \leq 4).$$

Hence, in order to prove the statement (ii), it suffices to show  $c_0 = 2\nu_1$ . Using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and (3.8), for  $l \in S_{\lambda-(1,0,0)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}c_0 \iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{l+e_1})) &= \mathbb{I}_\sigma(c_0 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_1}))(1_4) \\ &= \mathbb{I}_\sigma\left(\sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_\sigma(E_{1,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_k})\right)(1_4) \\ &= 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,1}) \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_1}))(1_4)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^4 \{2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,k}) \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_k}))(1_4)) - \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{1,k}^{\mathfrak{t}})u_{l+e_k}))(1_4)\} \\ &= 2\nu_1 \iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{l+e_1})).\end{aligned}$$

Our task is to show the existence of  $l \in S_{\lambda-(1,0,0)}$  such that  $\iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{l+e_1})) \neq 0$ . When  $\delta_2 = \delta_3$ , since

$$u_{\kappa_1 e_1} + \sqrt{-1}u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_2} = \mathfrak{q}\mathcal{R}(\zeta_2(\xi_1)^{\kappa_1-1}) = 2^{-\kappa_1+1}\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{R}(\zeta_2(\zeta_2 + \zeta_1)^{\kappa_1-1}),$$

we have  $\iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{\kappa_1 e_1})) + \sqrt{-1}\iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_2})) = 2^{-\kappa_1+1}$ . When  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ , since

$$u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{13}} = \mathfrak{q}\mathcal{R}((\xi_1)^{\kappa_1-1}\xi_{13}) = 2^{-\kappa_1+1}\mathfrak{q}\mathcal{R}((\zeta_2 + \zeta_1)^{\kappa_1-1}(\zeta_{23} + \zeta_{24} + \zeta_{13} + \zeta_{14})),$$

we have  $\iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{13}})) = 2^{-\kappa_1+1}$ . Therefore, we obtain the statement (ii).

Assume  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ . Let us consider the case of  $\delta = 1$ . Considering the image of  $\xi_{ij} \otimes u_l$  under the both sides of (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) &= \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,i}^{\mathfrak{p}} + E_{j,j}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \{\text{sgn}(j-k) \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{kj}}) + \text{sgn}(k-i) \Pi_\sigma(E_{j,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ik}})\}\end{aligned}$$

for  $l \in S_{\lambda-(1,1,0)}$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ . Hence, in order to prove the statement (iii), it suffices to show  $c_1 = 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2)$ . Using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_1 \iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{13}})) \\
&= \mathbf{I}_\sigma(c_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{13}}))(1_4) \\
&= \mathbf{I}_\sigma(\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,1}^{\mathbb{P}} + E_{3,3}^{\mathbb{P}})\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{13}})) \\
&\quad + \Pi_\sigma(E_{1,2}^{\mathbb{P}})\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{23}}) + \Pi_\sigma(E_{2,3}^{\mathbb{P}})\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{12}}) \\
&\quad + \Pi_\sigma(E_{3,4}^{\mathbb{P}})\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{14}}) - \Pi_\sigma(E_{1,4}^{\mathbb{P}})\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{34}}))(1_4) \\
&= 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,1} + E_{3,3})\mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{13}})))(1_4) \\
&\quad + 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,2})\mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{23}})))(1_4) - \mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{1,2}^{\mathbb{E}})u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{12}})))(1_4) \\
&\quad + 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{2,3})\mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{12}})))(1_4) - \mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{2,3}^{\mathbb{E}})u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{12}})))(1_4) \\
&\quad + 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{3,4})\mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{14}})))(1_4) - \mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{3,4}^{\mathbb{E}})u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{14}})))(1_4) \\
&\quad - 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,4})\mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{34}})))(1_4) + \mathbf{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_\lambda(E_{1,4}^{\mathbb{E}})u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{34}})))(1_4) \\
&= 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2)\iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{13}})).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-1)e_1+e_{13}})) = 2^{-\kappa_1+1} \neq 0$ , we obtain the statement (iii).  $\square$

**3.4.  $P_{(2,2)}$ -principal series representations.** Let  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes D_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  such that  $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2$ . For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , we set  $\hat{\sigma}_i = \chi_{(\nu_i + (\kappa_i - 1)/2, \delta_i)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_i - (\kappa_i - 1)/2, 0)}$  with  $\delta_i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\delta_i \equiv \kappa_i \pmod{2}$ . The group  $K \cap M_{(2,2)}$  is generated by the elements

$$k_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^{(2,2)} \quad (\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}), \quad \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4) \quad (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in \{\pm 1\}).$$

Because of (3.1), these elements act on  $U_{\sigma, K \cap M_{(2,2)}} = \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1), K_2} \boxtimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2), K_2}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(k_{\theta_1, \theta_2}^{(2,2)})f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, q_1)} \boxtimes f_{(\hat{\sigma}_2, q_2)} &= e^{\sqrt{-1}(q_1\theta_1 + q_2\theta_2)}f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, q_1)} \boxtimes f_{(\hat{\sigma}_2, q_2)}, \\
\sigma(\text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4))f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, q_1)} \boxtimes f_{(\hat{\sigma}_2, q_2)} &= \varepsilon_1^{\kappa_1} \varepsilon_3^{\kappa_2} f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 q_1)} \boxtimes f_{(\hat{\sigma}_2, \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 q_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $q_1 \in \kappa_1 + 2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $q_2 \in \kappa_2 + 2\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|q_1| \geq \kappa_1$  and  $|q_2| \geq \kappa_2$ . By these equalities and Lemma 2.4 (ii), for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ , we have

$$\text{Hom}_{K \cap M_{(2,2)}}(V_\lambda, U_{\sigma, K \cap M_{(2,2)}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \eta_\sigma & \text{if } \lambda = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0), \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda <_{\text{lex}} (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0), \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta_\sigma: V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)} \rightarrow U_{\sigma, K \cap M_{(2,2)}}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map defined by

$$\eta_\sigma(v_l) = \begin{cases} f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes f_{(\hat{\sigma}_2, \kappa_2)} & \text{if } l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_2 + \kappa_2 e_{24}, \\ f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes f_{(\hat{\sigma}_2, -\kappa_2)} & \text{if } l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_2 + \kappa_2 e_{23}, \\ f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, -\kappa_1)} \boxtimes f_{(\hat{\sigma}_2, \kappa_2)} & \text{if } l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_1 + \kappa_2 e_{14}, \\ f_{(\hat{\sigma}_1, -\kappa_1)} \boxtimes f_{(\hat{\sigma}_2, -\kappa_2)} & \text{if } l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_1 + \kappa_2 e_{13}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}$ . By the Frobenius reciprocity law [16, Theorem 1.14], we have

$$(3.10) \quad \text{Hom}_K(V_\lambda, H(\sigma)_K) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \hat{\eta}_\sigma & \text{if } \lambda = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0), \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda <_{\text{lex}} (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0) \end{cases} \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda_K),$$

where  $\hat{\eta}_\sigma(v)(k) = \eta_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(k)v)$  for  $v \in V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}$  and  $k \in K$ . We call  $\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}$  the minimal  $K$ -type of  $\Pi_\sigma$ .

Let  $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}_1 \boxtimes \hat{\sigma}_2$ . We define a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear form  $\iota_\sigma: U_\sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\iota_\sigma(f_1 \boxtimes f_2) = f_1(1_2)f_2(1_2) \quad (f_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)}, f_2 \in \mathfrak{H}_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}).$$

Then  $\Pi_\sigma$  is embedded into a principal series representation  $\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}$  via

$$(3.11) \quad \mathbf{I}_\sigma: H(\sigma) \ni f \mapsto \iota_\sigma \circ f \in H(\hat{\sigma}).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Retain the notation.*

(i) *For  $f \in H(\sigma)_K$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)f &= (2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2)f, \\
\Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)f &= (\nu_1^2 + 4\nu_1\nu_2 + \nu_2^2 - \frac{(\kappa_1-1)^2 + (\kappa_2-1)^2}{4})f, \\
\Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)f &= (2\nu_1^2\nu_2 + 2\nu_1\nu_2^2 - \frac{(\kappa_2-1)^2\nu_1 + (\kappa_1-1)^2\nu_2}{2})f,
\end{aligned}$$



$$\Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_4)f = \left(\nu_1^2 - \frac{(\kappa_1-1)^2}{4}\right)\left(\nu_2^2 - \frac{(\kappa_2-1)^2}{4}\right)f.$$

(ii) Assume  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$ . For  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2, 0)}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , we have

$$2\nu_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_k}).$$

(iii) For  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2-1, 0)}$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) &= \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,i}^{\mathfrak{p}} + E_{j,j}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \{ \text{sgn}(j-k) \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{kj}}) + \text{sgn}(k-i) \Pi_\sigma(E_{j,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ik}}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof of this proposition is similar to that of Proposition 3.2. The statement (i) follows immediately from Proposition 3.1 (i) and (3.11). By definition, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  and  $f \in H(\hat{\sigma})_K$ , we have

$$(3.12) \quad 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{i,j})f)(1_4) = \begin{cases} 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 + 2 & \text{if } i = j = 1, \\ 2\nu_2 - \kappa_2 - 2 & \text{if } i = j = 4, \\ 0 & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\lambda = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\kappa_1 - 1 \geq \kappa_2 - \delta$ . We have

$$\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{R}_{(1,\delta)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda-(1,\delta,0)}, H(\sigma)_K) = \mathbb{C} \hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1,\delta)}$$

by Lemma 2.6 and (3.10). We define a  $K$ -homomorphism  $P_\sigma: \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H(\sigma)_K \rightarrow H(\sigma)_K$  by  $X \otimes f \mapsto \Pi_\sigma(X)f$ . Then there is a constant  $c_\delta$  such that

$$(3.13) \quad c_\delta \hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1,\delta)} = P_\sigma \circ (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}} \otimes (\hat{\eta}_\sigma \circ B_\lambda^{(1,\delta)})) \circ (\mathbb{I}_{(1,\delta)}^{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \text{id}_{V_{\lambda-(1,\delta,0)}}),$$

since the right hand side is an element of  $\text{Hom}_K(\mathcal{R}_{(1,\delta)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V_{\lambda-(1,\delta,0)}, H(\sigma)_K)$ .

Let us consider the case of  $\delta = 1$ . Considering the image of  $\xi_{ij} \otimes u_l$  under the both sides of (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) &= \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,i}^{\mathfrak{p}} + E_{j,j}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \{ \text{sgn}(j-k) \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{kj}}) + \text{sgn}(k-i) \Pi_\sigma(E_{j,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ik}}) \} \end{aligned}$$

for  $l \in S_{\lambda-(1,1,0)}$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ . Hence, in order to prove the statement (iii), it suffices to show  $c_1 = 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2)$ . Let

$$l' = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, l'_{13}, l'_{14}, l'_{23}, l'_{24}, 0) \in S_{\lambda-(1,1,0)}.$$

Using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &c_1 \iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{14}})) \\ &= \mathbb{I}_\sigma(c_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{14}}))(1_4) \\ &= \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\Pi_\sigma(E_{1,1}^{\mathfrak{p}} + E_{4,4}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{14}}) + \Pi_\sigma(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{24}}) + \Pi_\sigma(E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{13}}) \\ &\quad + \Pi_\sigma(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{34}}) + \Pi_\sigma(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{12}}))(1_4) \\ &= 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,1} + E_{4,4}) \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{14}})))(1_4) \\ &\quad + 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,2}) \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{24}})))(1_4) - \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{t}}) u_{l'+e_{24}}))(1_4) \\ &\quad + 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{3,4}) \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{13}})))(1_4) - \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}) u_{l'+e_{13}}))(1_4) \\ &\quad + 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,3}) \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{34}})))(1_4) - \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{t}}) u_{l'+e_{34}}))(1_4) \\ &\quad + 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{2,4}) \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{12}})))(1_4) - \mathbb{I}_\sigma(\hat{\eta}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}) u_{l'+e_{12}}))(1_4) \\ &= 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2) \iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{14}})). \end{aligned}$$

Our task is to show the existence of  $l'$  such that  $\iota_\sigma(\eta_\sigma(u_{l'+e_{14}})) \neq 0$  if  $\kappa_2 \geq 2$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=3}^4 (\sqrt{-1})^{i+j} u_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_1 + (\kappa_2 - 1)e_{14} + e_{ij}} \\ &= \mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{R}}(\zeta_1^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} \zeta_{14}^{\kappa_2 - 1} \zeta_{24}) \\ &= 2^{-\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + 2} (\sqrt{-1})^{-\kappa_2 + 1} \mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{R}}((\zeta_2 + \zeta_1)^{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2} (\zeta_{24} - \zeta_{23} - \zeta_{13} + \zeta_{14})^{\kappa_2 - 1} \zeta_{24}), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=3}^4 (\sqrt{-1})^{i+j} \iota_{\sigma}(\eta_{\sigma}(u_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_1+(\kappa_2-1)e_{14}+e_{ij}})) = 2^{-\kappa_1-\kappa_2+2}(\sqrt{-1})^{-\kappa_2+1}$$

and complete the proof of the statement (iii).

Assume  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$ . Let us consider the case of  $\delta = 0$ . Considering the image of  $\xi_i \otimes u_l$  under the both sides of (3.13), we have

$$c_0 \hat{\eta}_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_{\sigma}(E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_k}) \quad (l \in S_{\lambda-(1,0,0)}, 1 \leq i \leq 4).$$

Hence, in order to prove the statement (ii), it suffices to show  $c_0 = 2\nu_1$ . Using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and (3.12), for  $l \in S_{\lambda-(1,0,0)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 \iota_{\sigma}(\eta_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_1})) &= \mathbf{I}_{\sigma}(c_0 \hat{\eta}_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_1}))(1_4) \\ &= \mathbf{I}_{\sigma}\left(\sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_{\sigma}(E_{1,k}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \hat{\eta}_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_k})\right)(1_4) \\ &= 2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,1}) \mathbf{I}_{\sigma}(\hat{\eta}_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_1}))) (1_4) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^4 \{2(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}(E_{1,k}) \mathbf{I}_{\sigma}(\hat{\eta}_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_k}))) (1_4) - \mathbf{I}_{\sigma}(\hat{\eta}_{\sigma}(\tau_{\lambda}(E_{1,k}^{\mathfrak{f}}) u_{l+e_k})) (1_4)\} \\ &= 2\nu_1 \iota_{\sigma}(\eta_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_1})). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.14), we know that there is  $l \in S_{\lambda-(1,0,0)}$  such that  $\iota_{\sigma}(\eta_{\sigma}(u_{l+e_1})) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we obtain the statement (ii).  $\square$

#### 4. PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS FOR WHITTAKER FUNCTIONS

**4.1. System of partial differential equations.** In this subsection we give a system of partial differential equations satisfied by radial parts of Whittaker functions using Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. Hereafter we say that we are in **case 1**, **case 2** and **case 3** if  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  are  $P_{(1,1,1,1)}$ ,  $P_{(2,1,1)}$  and  $P_{(2,2,2)}$ -principal series representations, respectively. We divide the cases 1 and 2 into subclasses according as  $\delta_i$ .

- Case 1:  $\sigma = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, \delta_4)}$  with  $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \delta_3 \geq \delta_4$ .
  - Case 1-(i):  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1)$ .
  - Case 1-(ii):  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ .
  - Case 1-(iii):  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ .
  - Case 1-(iv):  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ .
- Case 2:  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}$  with  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_3$ .
  - Case 2-(i):  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (0, 0), (1, 1)$ .
  - Case 2-(ii):  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ .
- Case 3:  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes D_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}$  with  $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2$ .

We introduce the following notation to discuss three kinds of the generalized principal series representations simultaneously.

- Case 1:  $\kappa_1 := \delta_1 - \delta_4$ ,  $\kappa_2 := \delta_2 - \delta_3$  and  $\nu'_1 := \begin{cases} \nu_1 & \text{case 1-(i),(ii),(iii),} \\ \nu_4 & \text{case 1-(iv).} \end{cases}$
- Case 2:  $\delta_1 := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \kappa_1 \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } \kappa_1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$   $\kappa_2 := \delta_2 - \delta_3$  and  $\nu'_1 := \nu_1$ .
- Case 3:  $\delta_1 := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \kappa_1 \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } \kappa_1 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$   $\delta_2 := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \kappa_2 \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } \kappa_2 \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$   $\delta_3 := 0$  and  $\nu'_1 := \nu_1$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , we set

$$\gamma_i = \begin{cases} \sigma_i(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) & \text{case 1,} \\ \sigma_i(\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}, \nu_1 - \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}, \nu_2, \nu_3) & \text{case 2,} \\ \sigma_i(\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}, \nu_1 - \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2-1}{2}, \nu_2 - \frac{\kappa_2-1}{2}) & \text{case 3,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_i(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_i \leq 4} a_{k_1} a_{k_2} \cdots a_{k_i}$$

is the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial. Then  $\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$  is the minimal  $K$ -type of  $\Pi_\sigma$ , and the results of Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 are summarized as follows:

- For  $f \in H(\sigma)_K$  and  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , we have

$$(4.1) \quad \Pi_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_i)f = \gamma_i f.$$

- Assume  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$ . For  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad 2\nu'_1 \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_i}) = \sum_{k=1}^4 \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^p) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_k}).$$

- Assume  $\kappa_2 \geq 1$ . For  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2-1, 0)}$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2(\nu_1 + \nu_2) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) = \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,i}^p + E_{j,j}^p) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ij}}) \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} \{ \text{sgn}(j-k) \Pi_\sigma(E_{i,k}^p) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{kj}}) + \text{sgn}(k-i) \Pi_\sigma(E_{j,k}^p) \hat{\eta}_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ik}}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.1** ([8, Lemma 2.1]). *Let  $f$  be a function in  $C^\infty(N \backslash G; \psi_1)$ . Then, for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4$  and  $y = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4, y_3 y_4, y_4) \in A$ , we have*

$$(R(E_{i,j})f)(y) = \begin{cases} (-\partial_{i-1} + \partial_i)f(y) & \text{if } j = i, \\ 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i f(y) & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\partial_0 = 0$  and  $\partial_i = y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ).

**Lemma 4.2.** *For  $X, Y \in U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ , we write  $X \equiv Y$  if  $X - Y \in (\mathbb{C}E_{1,3} + \mathbb{C}E_{1,4} + \mathbb{C}E_{2,4})U(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ . Then we have the following:*

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{C}_1 \equiv E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} + E_{3,3} + E_{4,4},$$

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{C}_2 \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (E_{i,i} + i - \frac{5}{2})(E_{j,j} + j - \frac{5}{2}) - (E_{1,2})^2 - (E_{2,3})^2 - (E_{3,4})^2 + E_{1,2}E_{1,2}^\dagger + E_{2,3}E_{2,3}^\dagger + E_{3,4}E_{3,4}^\dagger,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_3 & \equiv (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2})(E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2}) + (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) \\ & + (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) + (E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2})(E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) \\ & - (E_{1,2})^2(E_{3,3} + E_{4,4} + 2) + E_{1,2}(E_{3,3} + E_{4,4} + 2)E_{1,2}^\dagger \\ & - (E_{2,3})^2(E_{1,1} + E_{4,4}) + E_{2,3}(E_{1,1} + E_{4,4})E_{2,3}^\dagger \\ & - (E_{3,4})^2(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} - 2) + E_{3,4}(E_{1,1} + E_{2,2} - 2)E_{3,4}^\dagger - E_{1,2}E_{2,3}E_{1,3}^\dagger - E_{2,3}E_{3,4}E_{2,4}^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_4 & \equiv (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2})(E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) \\ & - (E_{1,2})^2(E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) + E_{1,2}(E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2})E_{1,2}^\dagger \\ & - (E_{2,3})^2(E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) + E_{2,3}(E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2})E_{2,3}^\dagger \\ & - (E_{3,4})^2(E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2}) + E_{3,4}(E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2})E_{3,4}^\dagger \\ & - E_{1,2}E_{2,3}(E_{4,4} + \frac{1}{2})E_{1,3}^\dagger - E_{2,3}E_{3,4}(E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})E_{2,4}^\dagger + E_{1,2}E_{2,3}E_{3,4}E_{1,4}^\dagger \\ & + (E_{1,2})^2(E_{3,4})^2 - (E_{1,2})^2E_{3,4}E_{3,4}^\dagger - E_{1,2}(E_{3,4})^2E_{1,2}^\dagger + E_{1,2}E_{3,4}E_{1,2}^\dagger E_{3,4}^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Recall that the Capelli elements  $\mathcal{C}_p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 4$ ) are given by

$$\mathcal{C}_p = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \leq 4, \\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_p}} \text{sgn}(w) \mathcal{E}_{i_1, i_w(1)} \mathcal{E}_{i_2, i_w(2)} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{i_p, i_w(p)}.$$

In view of

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} (E_{i,i} + i - \frac{5}{2}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} E_{i,i}, \quad \mathcal{C}_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \{(E_{i,i} + i - \frac{5}{2})(E_{j,j} + j - \frac{5}{2}) - E_{i,j}E_{j,i}\}$$

and  $E_{j,i} = E_{i,j} - E_{i,j}^\dagger$ , we get (4.4) and (4.5).

To consider  $\mathcal{C}_3$  and  $\mathcal{C}_4$ , we define subsets  $\mathfrak{S}_{3,q}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 3$ ) of  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_{4,q}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 5$ ) of  $\mathfrak{S}_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{p,q} & = \{w \in \mathfrak{S}_p \mid w \text{ is a cyclic permutations of length } q\} & (1 \leq q \leq p), \\ \mathfrak{S}_{4,5} & = \{(1 \ 2)(3 \ 4), (1 \ 3)(2 \ 4), (1 \ 4)(2 \ 3)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\mathcal{C}_{p,q} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \leq 4, \\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_{p,q}}} \text{sgn}(w) \mathcal{E}_{i_1, i_w(1)} \mathcal{E}_{i_2, i_w(2)} \cdots \mathcal{E}_{i_p, i_w(p)}.$$

Then we can write  $\mathcal{C}_3 = \mathcal{C}_{3,1} + \mathcal{C}_{3,2} + \mathcal{C}_{3,3}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{3,1} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4} (E_{i,i} + i - \frac{5}{2})(E_{j,j} + j - \frac{5}{2})(E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2}), \\ \mathcal{C}_{3,2} &= - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4, k \notin \{i,j\}} E_{i,j} E_{j,i} (E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2}), \\ \mathcal{C}_{3,3} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4} (E_{i,j} E_{j,k} E_{k,i} + E_{i,k} E_{j,i} E_{k,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$E_{i,j} E_{j,i} (E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2}) = E_{i,j} (E_{i,j} - E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}}) (E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2}) = (E_{i,j})^2 (E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2}) - E_{i,j} (E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2}) E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}}$$

for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$  and  $k \notin \{i, j\}$ , we know

$$\mathcal{C}_{3,2} \equiv - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3, k \notin \{i, i+1\}} \{(E_{i, i+1})^2 (E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2}) - E_{i, i+1} (E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2}) E_{i, i+1}^{\mathfrak{t}}\}.$$

Similarly, for  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4$ , we know

$$E_{i,j} E_{j,k} E_{k,i} = E_{i,j} E_{j,k} E_{i,k} - E_{i,j} E_{j,k} E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{t}} \equiv -E_{i,j} E_{j,k} E_{i,k}^{\mathfrak{t}}, \quad E_{i,k} E_{j,i} E_{k,j} \equiv 0.$$

Here we used  $E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}} E_{j,k} = E_{j,k} E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}} + E_{i,k}$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{3,3} \equiv -E_{1,2} E_{2,3} E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{t}} - E_{2,3} E_{3,4} E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

Next we treat  $\mathcal{C}_4$ . We can write  $\mathcal{C}_4 = \mathcal{C}_{4,1} + \mathcal{C}_{4,2} + \mathcal{C}_{4,3} + \mathcal{C}_{4,4} + \mathcal{C}_{4,5}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{4,1} &= (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2})(E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}), \\ \mathcal{C}_{4,2} &= - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} E_{i,j} E_{j,i} \sum_{k, l \notin \{i,j\}, k < l} (E_{k,k} + k - \frac{5}{2})(E_{l,l} + l - \frac{5}{2}), \\ \mathcal{C}_{4,3} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4, l \notin \{i,j,k\}} (E_{i,j} E_{j,k} E_{k,i} + E_{i,k} E_{j,i} E_{k,j})(E_{l,l} + l - \frac{5}{2}), \\ \mathcal{C}_{4,4} &= -E_{1,2} E_{2,3} E_{3,4} E_{4,1} - E_{1,2} E_{2,4} E_{3,1} E_{4,3} - E_{1,3} E_{2,4} E_{3,2} E_{4,1} \\ &\quad - E_{1,3} E_{2,1} E_{3,4} E_{4,2} - E_{1,4} E_{2,3} E_{3,1} E_{4,2} - E_{1,4} E_{2,1} E_{3,2} E_{4,3}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{4,5} &= E_{1,2} E_{2,1} E_{3,4} E_{4,3} + E_{1,3} E_{3,1} E_{2,4} E_{4,2} + E_{1,4} E_{4,1} E_{2,3} E_{3,2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as  $\mathcal{C}_{3,2}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{3,3}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{4,2} &\equiv -(E_{1,2})^2 (E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) + E_{1,2} (E_{3,3} + \frac{1}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{t}} \\ &\quad - (E_{2,3})^2 (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) + E_{2,3} (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{t}} \\ &\quad - (E_{3,4})^2 (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2}) + E_{3,4} (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2})(E_{2,2} - \frac{1}{2}) E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{4,3} &\equiv -E_{1,2} E_{2,3} (E_{4,4} + \frac{3}{2}) E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{t}} - E_{2,3} E_{3,4} (E_{1,1} - \frac{3}{2}) E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E_{1,2} E_{2,3} E_{3,4} E_{4,1} \equiv -E_{1,2} E_{2,3} E_{3,4} E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}$  and

$$E_{1,2} E_{2,4} E_{3,1} E_{4,3} \equiv E_{1,3} E_{2,4} E_{3,2} E_{4,1} \equiv E_{1,3} E_{2,1} E_{3,4} E_{4,2} \equiv E_{1,4} E_{2,3} E_{3,1} E_{4,2} \equiv E_{1,4} E_{2,1} E_{3,2} E_{4,3} \equiv 0,$$

we find

$$\mathcal{C}_{4,4} \equiv E_{1,2} E_{2,3} E_{3,4} E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

As for  $\mathcal{C}_{4,5}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i,j} E_{j,i} E_{k,l} E_{l,k} &= E_{i,j} (E_{i,j} - E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}}) E_{k,l} (E_{k,l} - E_{k,l}^{\mathfrak{t}}) \\ &= (E_{i,j})^2 (E_{k,l})^2 - (E_{i,j})^2 E_{k,l} E_{k,l}^{\mathfrak{t}} - E_{i,j} (E_{k,l})^2 E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}} + E_{i,j} E_{k,l} E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}} E_{k,l}^{\mathfrak{t}} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{i, j, k, l\}$  with  $i < j$  and  $k < l$ , to get

$$\mathcal{C}_{4,5} \equiv (E_{1,2})^2 (E_{3,4})^2 - (E_{1,2})^2 E_{3,4} E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{t}} - E_{1,2} (E_{3,4})^2 E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{t}} + E_{1,2} E_{3,4} E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{t}} E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

Thus we are done.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Retain the notation in Lemma 4.1 and let  $\varphi : V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)$  be a  $K$ -homomorphism.*

(i) *For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have*

$$(4.8) \quad (\partial_4 - \gamma_1)\varphi(u_l)(y) = 0.$$

(ii) *Assume  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$ . For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_1 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1}{2} - 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{l+e_2})(y) = 0, \\ & (-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1}{2} + l_1)\varphi(u_{l+e_2})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_2\varphi(u_{l+e_3})(y) \\ & - l_2\varphi(u_{l-e_2+2e_1})(y) + l_{13}\varphi(u_{l+e_1-e_{13}+e_{23}})(y) + l_{14}\varphi(u_{l+e_1-e_{14}+e_{24}})(y) \\ & - l_{23}\varphi(u_{l+e_1-e_{23}+e_{13}})(y) - l_{24}\varphi(u_{l+e_1-e_{24}+e_{14}})(y) = 0, \\ & (-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1}{2} - l_4)\varphi(u_{l+e_3})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_2\varphi(u_{l+e_2})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_3\varphi(u_{l+e_4})(y) \\ & + l_3\varphi(u_{l-e_3+2e_4})(y) + l_{13}\varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{13}+e_{14}})(y) - l_{14}\varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{14}+e_{13}})(y) \\ & + l_{23}\varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{23}+e_{24}})(y) - l_{24}\varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{24}+e_{23}})(y) = 0, \\ & (-\partial_3 + \partial_4 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1}{2} + 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_4})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_3\varphi(u_{l+e_3})(y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *Assume  $\kappa_2 \geq 1$ . For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2-1, 0)}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_2 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 - \frac{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} - 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_{12}})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_2\varphi(u_{l+e_{13}})(y) = 0, \\ & (\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 - \frac{\kappa_1-\kappa_2}{2} - l_{13} - l_{14} - l_{23} - l_{24} - 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_{13}})(y) \\ & + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{l+e_{23}})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_2\varphi(u_{l+e_{12}})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_3\varphi(u_{l+e_{14}})(y) \\ & + l_2\varphi(u_{l-e_2+e_3+e_{12}})(y) - l_3\varphi(u_{l-e_3+e_2+e_{12}})(y) - l_{13}\varphi(u_{l-e_{13}+2e_{12}})(y) + l_{24}\varphi(u_{l-e_{24}+e_{12}+e_{34}})(y) = 0, \\ & (\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \partial_4 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 - \frac{\kappa_1-\kappa_2}{2} + l_4)\varphi(u_{l+e_{14}})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{l+e_{24}})(y) \\ & + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_3\varphi(u_{l+e_{13}})(y) + l_2\varphi(u_{l-e_2+e_4+e_{12}})(y) + l_3\varphi(u_{l-e_3+e_4+e_{13}})(y) = 0, \\ & (-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1-\kappa_2}{2} - l_4)\varphi(u_{l+e_{23}})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{l+e_{13}})(y) \\ & + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_3\varphi(u_{l+e_{24}})(y) - l_2\varphi(u_{l-e_2+e_4+e_{34}})(y) + l_3\varphi(u_{l-e_3+e_4+e_{24}})(y) = 0, \\ & (-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \partial_3 + \partial_4 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1-\kappa_2}{2} + l_{13} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24} + 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_{24}})(y) \\ & + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{l+e_{14}})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_2\varphi(u_{l+e_{34}})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_3\varphi(u_{l+e_{23}})(y) \\ & + l_2\varphi(u_{l-e_2+e_3+e_{34}})(y) - l_3\varphi(u_{l-e_3+e_2+e_{34}})(y) - l_{13}\varphi(u_{l-e_{13}+e_{12}+e_{34}})(y) + l_{24}\varphi(u_{l-e_{24}+2e_{34}})(y) = 0, \\ & (-\partial_2 + \partial_4 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_{34}})(y) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_2\varphi(u_{l+e_{24}})(y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The equation (4.8) is immediate from (4.1) with  $i = 1$ , (4.4) and Lemma 4.1. Let us show the first equation in (ii). By (4.2) and  $E_{1,k}^p = 2E_{1,k} - E_{1,k}^e$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^4 (R(2E_{1,k} - E_{1,k}^e)\varphi(u_{l+e_k}))(y) - 2\nu'_1\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y) = 0$$

for  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ . Applying Lemmas 2.4 (i) and 4.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\partial_1 - 2\nu'_1)\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y) + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{l+e_2})(y) \\ & - (l_2 + 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y) + l_1\varphi(u_{l-e_1+2e_2})(y) - l_{23}\varphi(u_{l+e_2-e_{23}+e_{13}})(y) \\ & - l_{24}\varphi(u_{l+e_2-e_{24}+e_{14}})(y) + l_{13}\varphi(u_{l+e_2-e_{13}+e_{23}})(y) + l_{14}\varphi(u_{l+e_2-e_{14}+e_{24}})(y) \\ (4.9) \quad & - (l_3 + 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y) + l_1\varphi(u_{l-e_1+2e_3})(y) + l_{23}\varphi(u_{l+e_3-e_{23}+e_{12}})(y) \\ & - l_{34}\varphi(u_{l+e_3-e_{34}+e_{14}})(y) - l_{12}\varphi(u_{l+e_3-e_{12}+e_{23}})(y) + l_{14}\varphi(u_{l+e_3-e_{14}+e_{34}})(y) \\ & - (l_4 + 1)\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y) + l_1\varphi(u_{l-e_1+2e_4})(y) + l_{24}\varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{24}+e_{12}})(y) \\ & - l_{34}\varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{34}+e_{13}})(y) - l_{12}\varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{12}+e_{24}})(y) - l_{13}\varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{13}+e_{34}})(y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3 (i), we know

$$l_1\{\varphi(u_{l-e_1+2e_2})(y) + \varphi(u_{l-e_1+2e_3})(y) + \varphi(u_{l-e_1+2e_4})(y)\} = -l_1\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y).$$

Similarly, Lemma 2.3 (ii) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & l_{23}\{-\varphi(u_{l+e_2-e_{23}+e_{13}})(y) + \varphi(u_{l+e_3-e_{23}+e_{12}})(y)\} = -l_{23}\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y), \\ & l_{24}\{-\varphi(u_{l+e_2-e_{24}+e_{14}})(y) + \varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{24}+e_{12}})(y)\} = -l_{24}\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y), \\ & l_{12}\{-\varphi(u_{l+e_3-e_{12}+e_{23}})(y) - \varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{12}+e_{24}})(y)\} = -l_{12}\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y), \\ & l_{13}\{\varphi(u_{l+e_2-e_{13}+e_{23}})(y) - \varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{13}+e_{34}})(y)\} = -l_{13}\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_{14}\{\varphi(u_{l+e_2-e_{14}+e_{24}})(y) + \varphi(u_{l+e_3-e_{14}+e_{34}})(y)\} &= -l_{14}\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y), \\ l_{34}\{-\varphi(u_{l+e_3-e_{34}+e_{14}})(y) - \varphi(u_{l+e_4-e_{34}+e_{13}})(y)\} &= -l_{34}\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y). \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.9) can be written as

$$\{2\partial_1 - 2\nu'_1 - (l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4 + 3) - (l_{12} + l_{13} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24} + l_{34})\}\varphi(u_{l+e_1})(y) + 4\pi\sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{l+e_2})(y) = 0$$

as desired. The other equations can be similarly confirmed.  $\square$

By (4.8), we can define a function  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  on  $(\mathbb{R}_+)^3$  by

$$(4.10) \quad \varphi(u_l)(y) = (\sqrt{-1})^{-l_1+l_3-l_{13}+l_{24}}(-1)^{l_2+l_{14}+l_{23}}y_1^{3/2}y_2^2y_3^{3/2-\kappa_2}y_4^{\gamma_1}\hat{\varphi}_l(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

for  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ . We understand  $\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$  if  $l \notin S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ . Here is a system of partial differential equations for  $\hat{\varphi}_l$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *Retain the notation.*

(i) For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$(4.11) \quad \{\Delta_2 - (2\pi y_1)\mathfrak{K}_{12} - (2\pi y_2)\mathfrak{K}_{23} - (2\pi y_3)\mathfrak{K}_{34}\}\hat{\varphi}_l = 0,$$

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\{\Delta_3 + (2\pi y_1)(\partial_2 - \gamma_1)\mathfrak{K}_{12} + (2\pi y_2)(-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \gamma_1 - \kappa_2)\mathfrak{K}_{23} \\ &- (2\pi y_3)\partial_2\mathfrak{K}_{34} + (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)\mathfrak{K}_{13} + (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\mathfrak{K}_{24}\}\hat{\varphi}_l = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &[\Delta_4 - (2\pi y_1)\{(-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \kappa_2)(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_3)^2\}\mathfrak{K}_{12} \\ &- (2\pi y_2)\partial_1(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2)\mathfrak{K}_{23} - (2\pi y_3)\{\partial_1(-\partial_1 + \partial_2) + (2\pi y_1)^2\}\mathfrak{K}_{34} \\ &+ (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2)\mathfrak{K}_{13} + (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\partial_1\mathfrak{K}_{24} \\ &+ (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\mathfrak{K}_{14} + (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_3)\mathfrak{K}_{12,34}]\hat{\varphi}_l = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_2$ ,  $\Delta_3$  and  $\Delta_4$  are the differential operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &= -\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 - (\partial_3 - \kappa_2)^2 + \partial_1\partial_2 + \partial_2(\partial_3 - \kappa_2) + \gamma_1(\partial_3 - \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_1)^2 + (2\pi y_2)^2 + (2\pi y_3)^2 - \gamma_2, \\ \Delta_3 &= \partial_2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \kappa_2)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \kappa_2) - \gamma_1(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2 - \partial_1\partial_2 - \partial_2\partial_3 + \kappa_2\partial_2) \\ &\quad + (2\pi y_1)^2(-\partial_2 + \gamma_1) + (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_3)^2\partial_2 - \gamma_3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_4 &= \partial_1(\partial_2 - \partial_1)(\partial_3 - \partial_2 - \kappa_2)(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_1)^2(\partial_3 - \partial_2 - \kappa_2)(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2) \\ &\quad + (2\pi y_2)^2\partial_1(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_3)^2\partial_1(\partial_2 - \partial_1) + (2\pi y_1)^2(2\pi y_3)^2 - \gamma_4, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Here we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{K}_{12}\hat{\varphi}_l &= l_1\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_2} + l_2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_1} + l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+e_{23}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}+e_{24}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{23}+e_{13}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+e_{14}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{23}\hat{\varphi}_l &= l_2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_3} + l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_2} + l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} + l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+e_{12}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+e_{34}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{24}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{34}\hat{\varphi}_l &= l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_4} + l_4\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} + l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+e_{14}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}+e_{13}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{23}+e_{24}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+e_{23}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{13}\hat{\varphi}_l &= l_1\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_3} + l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_1} - l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}+e_{34}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{23}+e_{12}} - l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{14}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{24}\hat{\varphi}_l &= l_2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_4} - l_4\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} + l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} - l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}+e_{12}} - l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{23}+e_{34}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{23}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{14}\hat{\varphi}_l &= -l_1\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_4} - l_4\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1} + l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{24}} + l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+e_{34}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+e_{12}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{13}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{12,34}\hat{\varphi}_l &= l_1l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1-e_3+e_2+e_4} + l_1l_4\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1-e_4+e_2+e_3} + l_2l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2-e_3+e_1+e_4} + l_2l_4\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2-e_4+e_1+e_3} \\ &\quad + l_1(l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_2-e_{13}+e_{14}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_2-e_{14}+e_{13}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_2-e_{23}+e_{24}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_2-e_{24}+e_{23}}) \\ &\quad + l_2(l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_1-e_{13}+e_{14}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_1-e_{14}+e_{13}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_1-e_{23}+e_{24}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_1-e_{24}+e_{23}}) \\ &\quad + l_3(l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_4-e_{13}+e_{23}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_4-e_{14}+e_{24}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_4-e_{23}+e_{13}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_4-e_{24}+e_{14}}) \\ &\quad + l_4(l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3-e_{13}+e_{23}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3-e_{14}+e_{24}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3-e_{23}+e_{13}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3-e_{24}+e_{14}}) \\ &\quad + l_{13}(l_{14} + l_{23} + 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+e_{24}} + l_{24}(l_{14} + l_{23} + 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+e_{13}} \\ &\quad + l_{14}(l_{13} + l_{24} + 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}+e_{23}} + l_{23}(l_{13} + l_{24} + 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{23}+e_{14}} \\ &\quad + l_{13}l_{24}(\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}-e_{24}+2e_{14}} + \hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}-e_{24}+2e_{23}}) + l_{14}l_{23}(\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}-e_{23}+2e_{13}} + \hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}-e_{23}+2e_{24}}) \\ &\quad + l_{13}(l_{13} - 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-2e_{13}+e_{14}+e_{23}} + l_{24}(l_{24} - 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-2e_{24}+e_{14}+e_{23}} \\ &\quad + l_{14}(l_{14} - 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-2e_{14}+e_{13}+e_{24}} + l_{23}(l_{23} - 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-2e_{23}+e_{13}+e_{24}}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Assume  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$ . For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$(4.14) \quad (\partial_1 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_1} + (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_2} = 0,$$

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + l_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_2} - (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_1} + (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_3} + l_2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+2e_1} \\ & + l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_1-e_{13}+e_{23}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_1-e_{14}+e_{24}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_1-e_{23}+e_{13}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_1-e_{24}+e_{14}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - \kappa_2 - l_4)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_3} - (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_2} + (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_4} - l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+2e_4} \\ & - l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_4-e_{13}+e_{14}} - l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_4-e_{14}+e_{13}} - l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_4-e_{23}+e_{24}} - l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_4-e_{24}+e_{23}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.17) \quad (-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_4} - (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_3} = 0.$$

(iii) Assume  $\kappa_2 \geq 1$ . For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2-1, 0)}$ , we have

$$(4.18) \quad (\partial_2 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 - \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{12}} + (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{13}} = 0,$$

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 - \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - l_{13} - l_{14} - l_{23} - l_{24})\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{13}} \\ & + (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{23}} - (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{12}} + (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{14}} \\ & + l_2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_3+e_{12}} + l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_2+e_{12}} + l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+2e_{12}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+e_{12}+e_{34}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 3\kappa_2}{2} + l_4)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{14}} \\ & + (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{24}} - (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{13}} + l_2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_4+e_{12}} + l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_4+e_{13}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 3\kappa_2}{2} - l_4)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{23}} \\ & - (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{13}} + (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{24}} - l_2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_4+e_{34}} - l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_4+e_{24}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + l_{13} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{24})\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{24}} \\ & - (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{14}} + (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{34}} - (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{23}} \\ & - l_2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_2+e_3+e_{34}} - l_3\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_3+e_2+e_{34}} - l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+e_{12}+e_{34}} - l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+2e_{34}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.23) \quad (-\partial_2 + \gamma_1 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{34}} - (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{24}} = 0.$$

*Proof.* The statement (i) follows from (4.1), Lemmas 2.4, 4.1 and 4.2. The equations in (ii) and (iii) follow from Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

**4.2. Reduction of the system of partial differential equations.** In this subsection we give some difference-differential equations which will be used later.

**Lemma 4.5.** Assume  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$ . For  $l = l_1e_1 + l_4e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have the following:

- If  $l_4 \geq 1$  then we have

$$(4.24) \quad (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} = (-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_l,$$

$$(4.25) \quad (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} = \{(-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2} + l_1)(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_3)^2\}\hat{\varphi}_l,$$

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1} \\ & = \{(-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2} + l_1)(-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2} + l_1)(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \\ & + (2\pi y_2)^2(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_3)^2(-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2} + l_1)\}\hat{\varphi}_l. \end{aligned}$$

- If  $l_1 \geq 1$  then we have

$$(4.27) \quad (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_2} = (-\partial_1 + \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2})\hat{\varphi}_l,$$

$$(4.28) \quad (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_3} = \{(-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + l_1)(\partial_1 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) + (2\pi y_1)^2\}\hat{\varphi}_l,$$

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_1+e_4} \\ & = \{(-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + l_1)(-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + l_1)(-\partial_1 + \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \\ & - (2\pi y_1)^2(-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + l_1) + (2\pi y_2)^2(-\partial_1 + \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2})\}\hat{\varphi}_l. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $l = l_1e_1 + l_4e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{13}e_{13} + l_{14}e_{14} + l_{23}e_{23} + l_{24}e_{24} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ . We apply the equations (4.15), (4.16), (4.17) with  $l - e_4 \in S_{(\kappa_1-1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$  to get

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + l_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} - (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1} + (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} \\ & + l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1-e_{13}+e_{23}} + l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1-e_{14}+e_{24}} + l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1-e_{23}+e_{13}} + l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1-e_{24}+e_{14}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - \kappa_2 - (l_4 - 1))\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} - (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} + (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_l \\ & - l_{13}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+e_{14}} - l_{14}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}+e_{13}} - l_{23}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{23}+e_{24}} - l_{24}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+e_{23}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.32) \quad (-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_l - (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} = 0.$$

The equation (4.24) is immediate from (4.32). Since  $(2\pi y_3)(4.31)$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2} + l_1)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} - (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} + (2\pi y_3)^2\hat{\varphi}_l \\ & - l_{13}(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{13}+e_{14}} - l_{14}(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{14}+e_{13}} - l_{23}(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{23}+e_{24}} - l_{24}(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{24}+e_{23}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we get (4.25) from (4.24).

Similarly, since the equation  $(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)(4.30)$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2} + l_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} - (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1} + (2\pi y_2)^2(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} \\ & + l_{13}(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1-e_{13}+e_{23}} + l_{14}(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1-e_{14}+e_{24}} \\ & + l_{23}(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1-e_{23}+e_{13}} + l_{24}(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1-e_{24}+e_{14}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we can get (4.26). The equations (4.27), (4.28), (4.29) can be similarly obtained by the equations (4.14), (4.15), (4.16).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Assume  $\kappa_2 \geq 1$ . Set  $c = \nu_1 + \nu_2 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1$ . For  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , we put*

$$\begin{aligned} X_\varepsilon(t) &= (\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + \varepsilon t)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + \varepsilon t)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + t) \\ &\quad - \{(2\pi y_1)^2 - (2\pi y_3)^2\}(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + \varepsilon t) + (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + t). \end{aligned}$$

For  $l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have the following:

(i) If  $l_{12} \geq 1$  then we have

$$(4.33) \quad (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} = -(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} - c + 1)\hat{\varphi}_l,$$

$$(4.34) \quad 2(c-1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} = X_{-1}(c-1)\hat{\varphi}_l,$$

$$(4.35) \quad 2(c-1)(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} = -X_1(-c+1)\hat{\varphi}_l$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(c-1)(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)^2(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{34}} \\ (4.36) \quad &= -\{(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + c - 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + c - 1) + (2\pi y_3)^2\}X_1(-c+1)\hat{\varphi}_l \\ & \quad + (2\pi y_1)^2\{X_{-1}(c-1) - 2(c-1)(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} - c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + c + 1)\}\hat{\varphi}_l. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $l_{34} \geq 1$  then we have

$$(4.37) \quad (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{24}} = -(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + c + 1)\hat{\varphi}_l,$$

$$(4.38) \quad 2(c+1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{23}} = -X_{-1}(-c-1)\hat{\varphi}_l,$$

$$(4.39) \quad 2(c+1)(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{14}} = X_1(c+1)\hat{\varphi}_l$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(c+1)(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)^2(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{12}} \\ (4.40) \quad &= \{(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} - c - 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} - c - 1) + (2\pi y_3)^2\}X_1(c+1)\hat{\varphi}_l \\ & \quad - (2\pi y_1)^2\{X_{-1}(-c-1) + 2(c+1)(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} + c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2} - c + 1)\}\hat{\varphi}_l. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We abbreviate

$$D_{12} = \partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2}, \quad D_{13} = \partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2}, \quad D_{14} = \partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2}{2}.$$

Assume  $l_{12} \geq 1$ . From the equations (4.18), (4.19), (4.20), (4.21), (4.22), for  $l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$(4.41) \quad (D_{12} - c + 1)\hat{\varphi}_l + (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} = 0,$$

$$(4.42) \quad (D_{13} - c)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} + (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} - (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_l + (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} = 0,$$

$$(4.43) \quad (D_{14} - c)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} + (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{24}} - (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} = 0,$$

$$(4.44) \quad (-D_{14} - c)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} - (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} + (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{24}} = 0,$$

$$(4.45) \quad (-D_{13} - c)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{24}} - (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} + (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{34}} - (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} = 0.$$

We apply the operator  $(D_{14} + t)(2\pi y_2)$  to (4.42):

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_{13} - c + 1)(D_{14} + t)(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} + (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(D_{14} + t + 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} \\ & - (2\pi y_2)^2(D_{14} + t)\hat{\varphi}_l + (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)(D_{14} + t - 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using (4.43), (4.44) and (4.41), we have

$$\{- (D_{12} - c + 1)(D_{13} - c + 1)(D_{14} + t) + (2\pi y_1)^2(D_{12} - c + 1) - (2\pi y_2)^2(D_{14} + t) - (2\pi y_3)^2(D_{12} - c + 1)\}\hat{\varphi}_l$$



$$+ (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(-c + t + 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} + (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)(c + t - 1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} = 0.$$

Substitution  $t = \pm(c - 1)$  implies the equations (4.34) and (4.35). From (4.44) together with (4.33) and (4.34) we have

$$2(c - 1)(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{24}} = \{-(D_{14} + c - 1)X_1(-c + 1) - 2(c - 1)(2\pi y_1)^2(D_{12} - c + 1)\}\hat{\varphi}_l.$$

Since (4.45) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(c - 1)(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)^2(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{34}} \\ &= 2(c - 1)\{(D_{13} + c - 1)(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{24}} \\ &\quad + (2\pi y_1)^2(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} + (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)^2\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}}\} \\ &= (D_{13} + c - 1)\{-(D_{14} + c - 1)X_1(-c + 1) - 2(c - 1)(2\pi y_1)^2(D_{12} - c + 1)\} \\ &\quad + (2\pi y_1)^2X_{-1}(c - 1) - (2\pi y_3)^2X_1(-c + 1), \end{aligned}$$

we get (4.36). The case of  $l_{34} \geq 1$  can be similarly done.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Set  $c = \nu_1 + \nu_2 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1$ . We assume that*

$$(4.46) \quad \begin{cases} \nu_1 + \nu_2 \neq \nu_3 + \nu_4 & \text{case 1-(iii),} \\ \nu_2 \neq \nu_3 & \text{case 2-(ii).} \end{cases}$$

(i) For  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_2(\mu) &= -(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2) + \partial_1\partial_2 + \partial_2\partial_3 + \sigma_1(\mu)\partial_3 - \sigma_2(\mu) + (2\pi y_1)^2 + (2\pi y_2)^2 + (2\pi y_3)^2, \\ \mathcal{D}_3(\mu) &= \partial_2(\partial_1 - \partial_3)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3) - \sigma_1(\mu)(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2 - \partial_1\partial_2 - \partial_2\partial_3) - \sigma_3(\mu) \\ &\quad + (2\pi y_1)^2(-\partial_2 + \sigma_1(\mu)) + (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \sigma_1(\mu)) + (2\pi y_3)^2\partial_2, \\ \mathcal{D}_4(\mu) &= \partial_1(\partial_2 - \partial_1)(\partial_3 - \partial_2)(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(\mu)) - \sigma_4(\mu) + (2\pi y_1)^2(\partial_3 - \partial_2)(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(\mu)) \\ &\quad + (2\pi y_2)^2\partial_1(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(\mu)) + (2\pi y_3)^2\partial_1(\partial_2 - \partial_1) + (2\pi y_1)^2(2\pi y_3)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$(4.47) \quad \mathcal{D}_i(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0 \quad (i = 2, 3, 4),$$

where

$$r = \begin{cases} (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) & \text{case 1-(i),} \\ (\nu_1 + 1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) & \text{case 1-(ii),} \\ (\nu_1 + l_{34}, \nu_2 + l_{34}, \nu_3 + l_{12}, \nu_4 + l_{12}) & \text{case 1-(iii),} \\ (\nu_4 + 1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_1) & \text{case 1-(iv),} \\ (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_2 + l_{34}, \nu_3 + l_{12}) & \text{case 2,} \\ (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{2}) & \text{case 3.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) Assume  $\kappa_2 \geq 1$ . For  $(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $i = 1, 3$ , we set

$$\mathcal{E}_i(c_1, c_2) = (\partial_i - c_1)(\partial_i - c_2) - (2\pi y_i)^2.$$

• If  $c \neq 1$  then, for  $l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1 - 1, \kappa_2 - 1, 0)}$ , we have

$$(4.48) \quad (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{14}} = \mathcal{E}_3(a_1, a_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{12}},$$

$$(4.49) \quad (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{23}} = \mathcal{E}_1(b_1, b_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{12}}$$

and

$$(4.50) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)^2(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{34}} \\ &= [\{(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + c - 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + c - 1) + (2\pi y_3)^2\}\mathcal{E}_1(b_1, b_2) \\ &\quad + (2\pi y_1)^2\{-(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + c - 1) + \mathcal{E}_3(a_1, a_2)\}]\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

• If  $c \neq -1$  then, for  $l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1 - 1, \kappa_2 - 1, 0)}$ , we have

$$(4.51) \quad (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{14}} = \mathcal{E}_1(a_3, a_4)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{34}},$$

$$(4.52) \quad (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{23}} = \mathcal{E}_3(b_3, b_4)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{34}}$$

and

$$(4.53) \quad \begin{aligned} & (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)^2(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{12}} \\ & = \left[ \{(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - c - 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - c - 1) + (2\pi y_3)^2\} \mathcal{E}_1(a_3, a_4) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (2\pi y_1)^2 \{ \mathcal{E}_3(b_3, b_4) - (\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - c + 1) \} \right] \hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{34}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$(4.54) \quad \begin{aligned} (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) &= \begin{cases} (\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 1, \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_4 + 1, \nu_3, \nu_4) & \text{case 1-(iii),} \\ (2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \kappa_1, \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_3) & \text{case 2-(ii),} \\ (2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \kappa_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) & \text{case 3,} \end{cases} \\ (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) &= \begin{cases} (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 1, \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 1) & \text{case 1-(iii),} \\ (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_2, 2\nu_1 + \nu_3 + \kappa_1, \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}) & \text{case 2-(ii),} \\ (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}, 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \kappa_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) & \text{case 3.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let us show (i). For  $l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_1 + l_4e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in \mathcal{S}_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$(4.54) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{K}_{12}\hat{\varphi}_l &= 0, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{23}\hat{\varphi}_l &= l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{24}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{34}\hat{\varphi}_l &= (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} \\ \mathfrak{K}_{13}\hat{\varphi}_l &= -l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} - l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{14}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{24}\hat{\varphi}_l &= -(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} + l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{23}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{14}\hat{\varphi}_l &= -(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1} + l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{24}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{13}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}_{12,34}\hat{\varphi}_l &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the equation (4.11) and Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 tell us that  $D_2\hat{\varphi} = 0$  where

$$D_2 = \Delta_2 - (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) + l_{12}(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - c + 1) + l_{34}(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + c + 1).$$

In view of  $\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = \sigma_1(r)$ , we can see that  $D_2 = \mathcal{D}_2(r)$ .

Let us show  $\mathcal{D}_3(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$ . When  $c = 1$ , (4.34) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2})(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2})(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (2\pi y_1)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2}) + (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2}) + (2\pi y_3)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2}) \right\} \hat{\varphi}_l = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the identity

$$8\sigma_3(\mu) - 4\sigma_1(\mu)\sigma_2(\mu) + (\sigma_1(\mu))^3 = (\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3 - \mu_4)(\mu_1 - \mu_2 + \mu_3 - \mu_4)(\mu_1 - \mu_2 - \mu_3 + \mu_4),$$

we know that the above differential equation is equivalent to  $\{\mathcal{D}_3(r) - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2}\mathcal{D}_2(r)\}\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_2(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$  implies  $\mathcal{D}_3(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$ . The case of  $c = -1$  is similar.

Let  $c \neq \pm 1$ . From (4.12) and (4.54) we have

$$(4.55) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Delta_3\hat{\varphi}_l - (2\pi y_3)\partial_2(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} - (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} \\ & \quad + (2\pi y_2)(-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \gamma_1 - \kappa_2)(l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{24}}) \\ & \quad + l_{12}\{-(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} + (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}}\} \\ & \quad + l_{34}\{-(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{14}} + (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{23}}\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 imply that  $D_3\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$  where

$$\begin{aligned} D_3 &= \Delta_3 - (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\partial_2(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(r) - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}) \\ & \quad - (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\{(-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2})(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(r) - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}) + (2\pi y_3)^2\} \\ & \quad + (-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \sigma_1(r) + \kappa_1)\{l_{12}(-\partial_2 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c - 1) + l_{34}(-\partial_2 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c - 1)\} \\ & \quad + \frac{l_{12}}{c-1}\{(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2}) \\ & \quad - (2\pi y_1)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1) - (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2}) - (2\pi y_3)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1)\} \\ & \quad + \frac{l_{34}}{c+1}\{(-\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2}) \\ & \quad + (2\pi y_1)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1) - (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1) - (2\pi y_3)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used  $\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 = \sigma_1(r)$ . Direct computation tells us that

$$D_3 = (1 + \frac{l_{12}}{c-1} - \frac{l_{34}}{c+1})\mathcal{D}_3(r) + \{-\kappa_1 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1(r)(\frac{l_{12}}{c-1} - \frac{l_{34}}{c+1})\}\mathcal{D}_2(r).$$

Because of the assumption (4.46), we know  $1 + \frac{l_{12}}{c-1} - \frac{l_{34}}{c+1} \neq 0$ . Hence we get  $\mathcal{D}_3(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$ .

Let us show  $\mathcal{D}_4(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$ . From (4.13) and (4.54) we have

$$(4.56) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\Delta_4\hat{\varphi}_l - (2\pi y_2)\partial_1(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2)(l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{24}}) \\ & - (2\pi y_3)\{\partial_1(-\partial_1 + \partial_2) + (2\pi y_1)^2\}(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} \\ & + (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2)(-l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{23}} - l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{14}}) \\ & - (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\partial_1(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} + (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\partial_1(l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{23}}) \\ & - (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1} + (2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)(l_{12}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{24}} + l_{34}\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{13}}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the equations (4.21) and (4.22), we know

$$(4.57) \quad (-D_{14} - c)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{23}} - (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{13}} + (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{24}} = 0,$$

$$(4.58) \quad (-D_{13} - c)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{24}} - (2\pi y_1)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{14}} + (2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_l - (2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{23}} = 0.$$

By computing

$$(4.56) - \frac{\gamma_1 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{4}(4.55) + (2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)(-l_{12}(4.43) + l_{34}(4.57)) \\ + (2\pi y_2)(\partial_3 - \frac{3\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + 3\kappa_2}{4})(-l_{12}(4.42) + l_{34}(4.58)),$$

we arrive at the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\Delta_4 - \frac{\gamma_1 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{4}\Delta_3 + \kappa_2(2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_3 - \frac{3\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + 3\kappa_2}{4})\}\hat{\varphi}_l \\ & + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\{-\partial_1(-\partial_1 + \partial_2) - (2\pi y_1)^2 + \frac{\gamma_1 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{4}\partial_2\}(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_3} \\ & + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)(-\partial_1 + \frac{\gamma_1 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{4})(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_2} - (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)(2\pi y_1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_4+e_1} \\ & + l_{12}(c-1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{14}} - l_{34}(c+1)(2\pi y_2)(2\pi y_3)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{23}} \\ & + l_{12}\{-\partial_1(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2) - \frac{\gamma_1 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{4}(-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \gamma_1 - \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_3)^2 \\ & - (\partial_3 - \frac{3\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + 3\kappa_2}{4})(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - c + 1)\}(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{12}+e_{13}} \\ & + l_{34}\{-\partial_1(-\partial_3 + \gamma_1 + \kappa_2) - \frac{\gamma_1 - \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{4}(-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \gamma_1 - \kappa_2) + (2\pi y_3)^2 \\ & - (\partial_3 - \frac{3\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + 3\kappa_2}{4})(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\gamma_1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} + c + 1)\}(2\pi y_2)\hat{\varphi}_{l-e_{34}+e_{24}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6, we know  $D_4\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$  where

$$\begin{aligned} D_4 = & \Delta_4 - \frac{\sigma_1(r) - 2\kappa_1}{4}\Delta_3 + \kappa_2(2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_3 - \frac{3\sigma_1(r) - 2\kappa_1}{4}) \\ & + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\{-\partial_1(-\partial_1 + \partial_2) - (2\pi y_1)^2 + \frac{\sigma_1(r) - 2\kappa_1}{4}\partial_2\}(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(r) - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}) \\ & + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)(-\partial_1 + \frac{\sigma_1(r) - 2\kappa_1}{4})\{(-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2})(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(r) - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}) + (2\pi y_3)^2\} \\ & - (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)\{(-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2})(-\partial_2 + \partial_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2})(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(r) - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}) \\ & + (2\pi y_2)^2(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(r) - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}) + (2\pi y_3)^2(-\partial_1 + \partial_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2})\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}l_{12}\{(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c - 1) \\ & - (2\pi y_1)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1) + (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c - 1) + (2\pi y_3)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1)\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}l_{34}\{(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c - 1) \\ & - (2\pi y_1)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1) + (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c - 1) + (2\pi y_3)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1)\} \\ & + l_{12}\{-\partial_1(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(r) - \kappa_1) - \frac{\sigma_1(r) - 2\kappa_1}{4}(-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \sigma_1(r) + \kappa_1) + (2\pi y_3)^2 \\ & - (\partial_3 - \frac{3\sigma_1(r) - 2\kappa_1}{4})(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c + 1)\}(-\partial_2 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c - 1) \\ & + l_{34}\{-\partial_1(-\partial_3 + \sigma_1(r) - \kappa_1) - \frac{\sigma_1(r) - 2\kappa_1}{4}(-\partial_1 + \partial_3 - \sigma_1(r) + \kappa_1) + (2\pi y_3)^2 \\ & - (\partial_3 - \frac{3\sigma_1(r) - 2\kappa_1}{4})(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} + c + 1)\}(-\partial_2 + \frac{\sigma_1(r)}{2} - c - 1), \end{aligned}$$

Since we can confirm the identity

$$D_4 = \mathcal{D}_4(r) - \frac{\sigma_1(r) + 2\kappa_1 - 2\kappa_2}{4}\mathcal{D}_3(r) + \{\frac{1}{2}(c-1)l_{12} - \frac{1}{2}(c+1)l_{34} + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)(\nu'_1 + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{4}\kappa_1\sigma_1(r)\}\mathcal{D}_2(r)$$

by case by case argument,  $\mathcal{D}_3(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = \mathcal{D}_2(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$  implies  $\mathcal{D}_4(r)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$  as desired.

Let us prove the equations in (ii). For  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ , the following identity holds:

$$(4.59) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} + \varepsilon t)(\partial_1 - \partial_2 + \partial_3 - \frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} + \varepsilon t)(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} + t) \\ & - (2\pi y_1)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} - \varepsilon t) + (2\pi y_2)^2(\partial_1 - \partial_3 + \frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} + t) + (2\pi y_3)^2(\partial_2 - \frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} - \varepsilon t) \\ & = \mathcal{D}_3(\mu) + (-\frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} + t)\mathcal{D}_2(\mu) + \mathcal{R}(t, \mu) + \begin{cases} 2t \mathcal{E}_1(\mu_1, \frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} - t - \mu_1) & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1, \\ 2t \mathcal{E}_3(\sigma_1(\mu) - \mu_1, \frac{\sigma_1(\mu)}{2} + t + \mu_1) & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}(t, \mu) = (t + \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3 - \mu_4}{2})(t + \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2 + \mu_3 - \mu_4}{2})(t + \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2 - \mu_3 + \mu_4}{2}).$$

Let  $l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in \mathcal{S}_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$  with  $l_{12} \geq 1$ . We use (4.59) with  $(t, \varepsilon) = (c - 1, -1)$  and

$$\mu = \begin{cases} (\nu_3 + 1, \nu_4 + 1, \nu_1, \nu_2) & \text{case 1-(iii),} \\ (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_2, \nu_3 + 1) & \text{case 2-(ii),} \\ (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) & \text{case 3.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}(t, \mu) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2(\mu)\hat{\varphi}_l = \mathcal{D}_3(\mu)\hat{\varphi}_l = 0$ , we know

$$X_{-1}(c - 1)\hat{\varphi}_l = 2(c - 1)\mathcal{E}_3(a_1, a_2)\hat{\varphi}_l.$$

Thus the equation (4.34) implies (4.48). Similarly we can show

$$X_1(-c + 1)\hat{\varphi}_l = 2(-c + 1)\mathcal{E}_1(b_1, b_2)\hat{\varphi}_l$$

to get (4.49) and (4.50) from (4.35) and (4.36), respectively. We can similarly show (4.51), (4.52) and (4.53).  $\square$

**Remark 4.8.** *Let us explain the assumption (4.46) for the case 1-(iii). For  $\sigma = \chi_{(\nu_1, 1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, 1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, 0)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, 0)}$  and  $\sigma' = \chi_{(\nu_3, 1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, 1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_1, 0)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, 0)}$ , two  $P_0$ -principal series representations  $\Pi_\sigma$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma'}$  have the same minimal  $K$ -type  $\tau_{(1, 1, 0)}$ . When  $\nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu_3 + \nu_4$ , we know that the equations in Proposition 3.1 (i), (iii) for  $\Pi_\sigma$  and  $\Pi_{\sigma'}$  are the same. This means that the system in Proposition 4.4 can not characterize Whittaker functions in case 1-(ii) with  $\nu_1 + \nu_2 = \nu_3 + \nu_4$ .*

**Proposition 4.9.** ([6, Theorem 3.3]) *Retain the notation in Proposition 4.7. Let  $\text{Sol}(\mu)$  be the space of smooth functions  $f$  on  $(\mathbb{R}_+)^3$  satisfying*

$$\mathcal{D}_i(\mu)f(y_1, y_2, y_3) = 0 \quad (i = 2, 3, 4).$$

*Then we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sol}(\mu) \leq 24$ .*

*Proof.* (cf. [8, Lemma 1.1]) For  $f \in \text{Sol}(\mu)$ , we define the functions  $f_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq 23$ ) by

$$f_{j_1 + 4j_2 + 12j_3}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \partial_1^{j_1} \partial_2^{j_2} \partial_3^{j_3} f(y_1, y_2, y_3)$$

with  $0 \leq j_1 \leq 3$ ,  $0 \leq j_2 \leq 2$ ,  $0 \leq j_3 \leq 1$ . Since

$$\mathcal{D}_2(\mu) = -\partial_3^2 + \partial_2\partial_3 - \partial_2^2 + \partial_1\partial_2 - \partial_1^2 + [\text{differential operators of order lower than 2}],$$

$$\mathcal{D}_3(\mu) - \partial_2\mathcal{D}_2(\mu) = \partial_2^3 - 2\partial_1\partial_2^2 + 2\partial_1^2\partial_2 + [\text{differential operators of order lower than 3}],$$

$$\mathcal{D}_4(\mu) - \partial_1\mathcal{D}_3(\mu) + \partial_1^2\mathcal{D}_2(\mu) = -\partial_1^4 + [\text{differential operators of order lower than 4}],$$

the equalities  $\mathcal{D}_2(\mu)f = 0$ ,  $(\mathcal{D}_3(\mu) - \partial_2\mathcal{D}_2(\mu))f = 0$  and  $(\mathcal{D}_4(\mu) - \partial_1\mathcal{D}_3(\mu) + \partial_1^2\mathcal{D}_2(\mu))f = 0$  imply that there exists some polynomial functions  $m_{i,j}^k(y_1, y_2, y_3)$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq 23$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ ) such that

$$\partial_k f_i(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq 23} m_{i,j}^k(y_1, y_2, y_3) f_j(y_1, y_2, y_3) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3).$$

Applying [16, Theorems B.8 and B.9], we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sol}(\mu) \leq 24$ .  $\square$

## 5. EXPLICIT FORMULAS OF WHITTAKER FUNCTIONS

In this section we give explicit formulas of moderate growth Whittaker functions. As in our previous work [8], we give Mellin-Barnes integral representations of Whittaker functions. We give a moderate growth solution of the system in Proposition 4.4 under the assumption (4.46). In §5.5, we remove the assumption (4.46) by considering the relation between our solutions and Jacquet integrals.

Let us explain the outline of our approach to the system in Proposition 4.4. We have shown the function  $\hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34}}$  belongs to the space  $\text{Sol}(r)$ . In Proposition 5.2, we describe the space  $\text{Sol}^{\text{mg}}(\mu)$  consisting of moderate growth functions in  $\text{Sol}(\mu)$ . Since  $\text{Sol}^{\text{mg}}(\mu)$  is the space of class one Whittaker functions, Proposition 5.2 is a rephrase of the results in [13] and [22]. But we give more direct proof here. With the aid of the equations (4.50) and (4.53), we can get explicit formula of  $\hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34}}$  in Lemma 5.4.

To determine  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  for all  $l$ , we use difference-differential equations given in Propositions 4.4 and 4.7 (ii), and relations among  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  coming from the relations between the generators  $\{u_l \mid l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}\}$ . From Lemma 2.3, we know the following:

- When  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 > 0$ , for  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-2, \kappa_2-1, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 4, j \neq i} (-1)^j \hat{\varphi}_{l+e_j+e_{ij}} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4),$$

$$(5.2) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{l+e_i+e_{jk}} - \hat{\varphi}_{l+e_j+e_{ik}} + \hat{\varphi}_{l+e_k+e_{ij}} = 0 \quad (1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4).$$

- When  $\kappa_2 \geq 2$ , for  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-2, \kappa_2-2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$(5.3) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{l+2e_{12}} - \hat{\varphi}_{l+2e_{13}} + \hat{\varphi}_{l+2e_{14}} = 0, \hat{\varphi}_{l+2e_{13}} - \hat{\varphi}_{l+2e_{23}} - \hat{\varphi}_{l+2e_{34}} = 0,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{12}+e_{34}} - \hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{13}+e_{24}} + \hat{\varphi}_{l+e_{14}+e_{23}} = 0.$$

Here is more precise strategy for each case.

- Case 1-(i) ( $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0$ ): Straightforward by Proposition 5.2.
- Cases 1-(ii), (iv) and 2-(i) ( $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 = 0$ ):
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{\kappa_1 e_4}$  by Proposition 5.2.
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4}$  by the equation (4.26).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  by the equations (4.14) and (4.17).
- Cases 1-(iii) ( $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 1$ ):
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{12}}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{e_{34}}$  by Proposition 5.2 and the equations (4.50) and (4.53).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{e_{14}}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{e_{23}}$  by the equations (4.48), (4.49), (4.51) and (4.52).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{13}}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{e_{24}}$  by the equations (4.18) and (4.23).
- Cases 2-(i) ( $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 = 1$ ):
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-1)e_4+e_{12}}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-1)e_4+e_{34}}$  by Proposition 5.2 and the equations (4.50) and (4.53).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{34} e_{34}}$  by the equation (4.26).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_1 e_1 + l_2 e_2 + l_3 e_3 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{13} e_{13} + l_{24} e_{24} + l_{34} e_{34}}$  by the equations (4.14), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.23).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  by the relations (5.1) and (5.2).
- Case 3 with  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 \geq 2$ :
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+l_{12}e_{12}+l_{34}e_{34}}$  by Proposition 5.2 and the equations (4.50) and (4.53).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{34} e_{34}}$  by the equation (4.26).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_1 e_1 + l_2 e_2 + l_3 e_3 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{13} e_{13} + l_{24} e_{24} + l_{34} e_{34}}$  by the equations (4.14), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.23).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  by the relations (5.1) and (5.2).
- Case 3 with  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 \geq 2$ :
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{12}e_{12}+l_{34}e_{34}}$  by Proposition 5.2 and the equations (4.50) and (4.53).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{12}e_{12}+e_{14}+l_{34}e_{34}}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{12}e_{12}+e_{23}+l_{34}e_{34}}$  by the equations (4.48) and (4.52).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{12}e_{12}+l_{13}e_{13}+l_{24}e_{24}+l_{34}e_{34}}$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{12}e_{12}+l_{13}e_{13}+e_{14}+l_{24}e_{24}+l_{34}e_{34}}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_{12}e_{12}+l_{13}e_{13}+e_{23}+l_{24}e_{24}+l_{34}e_{34}}$  by the equations (4.18) and (4.23).
  - Determine  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  by the relations (5.3) and (5.4).

Retain the notation in Propositions 4.7 and 4.9. We define a homomorphism

$$(5.5) \quad \text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)) \ni \varphi \mapsto \begin{cases} \hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{12}} \in \text{Sol}(r) & \text{if } c \neq 1, \\ \hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{34}} \in \text{Sol}(r) & \text{if } c = 1 \end{cases}$$

of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces by (4.10). Because we can determine  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  from  $\hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{12}}$  ( $c \neq 1$ ) or  $\hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{34}}$  ( $c = 1$ ), the map (5.5) is injective. Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, H(\sigma)_K) = 1$  and  $\Pi_\sigma$  is irreducible, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{I}_{\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1} = 24 \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Sol}(r).$$

Here the last inequality follows from Proposition 4.9. Then we know the map (5.5) is isomorphism, and hence the map

$$(5.6) \quad \text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}) \ni \varphi \mapsto \begin{cases} \hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{12}} \in \text{Sol}^{\text{mg}}(r) & \text{if } c \neq 1, \\ \hat{\varphi}_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{34}} \in \text{Sol}^{\text{mg}}(r) & \text{if } c = 1 \end{cases}$$

induced from (5.5) is also isomorphism. This argument means that our system in Proposition 4.4 together with relations of generators given in Lemma 2.3 characterize Whittaker functions. More precisely we have the following:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume (4.46). Let  $\text{Sol}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)$  be the space smooth solution  $\{\hat{\varphi}_l \mid l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}\}$  of the system in Proposition 4.4 satisfying the relations (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4). For  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1))$ , the map*

$$\varphi \mapsto \{(\sqrt{-1})^{l_1 - l_3 + l_{13} - l_{24}} (-1)^{l_2 + l_{14} + l_{23}} y_1^{-3/2} y_2^{-2} y_3^{-3/2 + \kappa_2} y_4^{-\gamma_1} \varphi(u_l)(y) \mid l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}\}$$

*gives the isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)) &\cong \text{Sol}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1), \\ \text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}) &\cong \text{Sol}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}, \end{aligned}$$

*where  $y = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4, y_3 y_4, y_4) \in A$  and  $\text{Sol}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  is the subspace of  $\text{Sol}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)$  consisting of moderate growth functions.*

**5.1. The space  $\text{Sol}^{\text{mg}}(\mu)$ .** We give Mellin-Barnes integral representations of moderate growth functions in  $\text{Sol}(\mu)$ . As in [13], we first express our solutions in terms of Mellin-Barnes kernel of the class one principal series Whittaker functions on  $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ . For  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ , set

$$V'(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)}.$$

For  $s_1, s_2, s_3, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\text{Re}(s_1 + \mu_1) > 0$ ,  $\text{Re}(s_1 + \mu_i + \alpha_1) > 0$  ( $2 \leq i \leq 4$ ),  $\text{Re}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_i + \alpha_1) > 0$  ( $2 \leq i \leq 4$ ),  $\text{Re}(s_2 + \mu_i + \mu_j + \alpha_2) > 0$  ( $2 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ),  $\text{Re}(s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_i + \mu_j + \alpha_2) > 0$  ( $2 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ),  $\text{Re}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) > 0$ , and a polynomial  $P = P(s_1, s_2, s_3, t_1, t_2)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^5$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} (5.7) \quad &V(s_1, s_2, s_3; \alpha_1, \alpha_2; P) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^2} \int_{t_2} \int_{t_1} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - t_1 + \alpha_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1 + \alpha_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_2 + \alpha_2) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1 + \alpha_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) P(s_1, s_2, s_3, t_1, t_2) V'(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here the path  $\int_{t_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is the vertical line from  $\text{Re}(t_i) - \sqrt{-1}\infty$  to  $\text{Re}(t_i) + \sqrt{-1}\infty$  with the real part

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{-\text{Re}(\mu_2), -\text{Re}(\mu_3), -\text{Re}(\mu_4)\} < \text{Re}(t_1) < \min\{\text{Re}(s_1 + \alpha_1), \text{Re}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \alpha_1)\}, \\ &\max\{-\text{Re}(\mu_3 + \mu_4), -\text{Re}(\mu_2 + \mu_4), -\text{Re}(\mu_2 + \mu_3)\} < \text{Re}(t_2) < \min\{\text{Re}(s_2 + \alpha_2), \text{Re}(s_3 + \mu_1 + \alpha_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q'_2(t_1, t_2) &= -t_1^2 - t_2^2 + t_1 t_2 - (\mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) t_2 - (\mu_2 \mu_3 + \mu_3 \mu_4 + \mu_4 \mu_2), \\ Q'_3(t_1, t_2) &= -t_1(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)(t_1 - t_2) - \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from the formula (1.3), we have

$$(5.8) \quad Q'_2(t_1, t_2) V'(t_1, t_2) + (2\pi)^2 \{V'(t_1 + 2, t_2) + V'(t_1, t_2 + 2)\} = 0,$$

$$(5.9) \quad Q'_3(t_1, t_2) V'(t_1, t_2) + (2\pi)^2 \{(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) V'(t_1 + 2, t_2) - t_1 V'(t_1, t_2 + 2)\} = 0.$$

These relations are nothing but the compatibility with the system of partial differential equations satisfied by class one Whittaker functions on  $\text{GL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ .

To express our solution in terms of  $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -Whittaker functions as in [22], for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} (5.10) \quad &U_m(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - m) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + m) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + m) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_2)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - m) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4) dq. \end{aligned}$$

See §1.4 for the assumption for  $s_i, \mu_i, m$  and the path of integration.

**Proposition 5.2.** ([13, Theorem 12], [22, Theorem 3.1]) *Retain the notation in Proposition 4.7.*

(i) *Let*

$$f^{\text{mg}}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_0(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3$$

*with  $V_0(s_1, s_2, s_3) = V(s_1, s_2, s_3; 0, 0; 1)$ . Here the path  $\int_{s_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) is the vertical line from  $\text{Re}(s_i) - \sqrt{-1}\infty$  to  $\text{Re}(s_i) + \sqrt{-1}\infty$  with the sufficiently large real part. More precisely,  $\text{Re}(s_1 + \mu_i) > 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ),  $\text{Re}(s_2 + \mu_i + \mu_j) > 0$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ) and  $\text{Re}(s_3 + \mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k) > 0$  ( $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4$ ). Then  $f^{\text{mg}}$  is a moderate growth function in the space  $\text{Sol}(\mu)$ .*

(ii) We have

$$(5.11) \quad V(s_1, s_2, s_3; 0, 0, 1) = U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4)$$

and

$$(5.12) \quad U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_{w(1)}, \mu_{w(2)}, \mu_{w(3)}, \mu_{w(4)}) = U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \quad (w \in \mathfrak{S}_4).$$

*Proof.* Since the Stirling's formula implies that  $f^{\text{mg}}$  is of moderate growth, it is enough to show  $f^{\text{mg}} \in \text{Sol}(\mu)$ . We set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= -s_1^2 - s_2^2 - s_3^2 + s_1 s_2 + s_2 s_3 - \sigma_1(\mu) s_3 - \sigma_2(\mu), \\ Q_3(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= (-s_2)(-s_1 + s_3)(-s_1 + s_2 - s_3) - \sigma_1(\mu)(s_1^2 + s_2^2 - s_1 s_2 - s_2 s_3) - \sigma_3(\mu), \\ Q_4(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= (-s_1)(s_1 - s_2)(s_2 - s_3)(s_3 + \sigma_1(\mu)) - \sigma_4(\mu) \end{aligned}$$

and define functions  $X_i V_0$  ( $i = 2, 3, 4$ ) on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by

$$(X_i V_0)(s_1, s_2, s_3) = Q_i(s_1, s_2, s_3) V_0(s_1, s_2, s_3) + (Z_i V_0)(s_1, s_2, s_3),$$

where  $Z_i V_0 = (Z_i V_0)(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 V_0 &= (2\pi)^2 \{V_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3) + V_0(s_1, s_2 + 2, s_3) + V_0(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 2)\}, \\ Z_3 V_0 &= (2\pi)^2 \{(s_2 + \sigma_1(\mu))V_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3) + (-s_1 + s_3 + \sigma_1(\mu))V_0(s_1, s_2 + 2, s_3) + (-s_2)V_0(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 2)\}, \\ Z_4 V_0 &= (2\pi)^2 \{(s_2 - s_3)(s_3 + \sigma_1(\mu))V_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3) + (-s_1)(s_3 + \sigma_1(\mu))V_0(s_1, s_2 + 2, s_3) \\ &\quad + (-s_1)(s_1 - s_2)V_0(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 2)\} + (2\pi)^4 V_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3 + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Then our task is to confirm that the Mellin-Barnes kernel of  $D_i(\mu) f^{\text{mg}}$  vanishes, that is,

$$(X_i V_0)(s_1, s_2, s_3) = 0 \quad (i = 2, 3, 4).$$

In view of (1.3) we know

$$(X_i V_0)(s_1, s_2, s_3) = V(s_1, s_2, s_3; 0, 0; P_i),$$

where  $P_i = P_i(s_1, s_2, s_3, t_1, t_2)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &= Q_2(s_1, s_2, s_3) + (s_1 + \mu_1)(s_1 - t_1) + (s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1)(s_2 - t_2) + (s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1)(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4), \\ P_3 &= Q_3(s_1, s_2, s_3) + (s_2 + \sigma_1(\mu))(s_1 + \mu_1)(s_1 - t_1) + (-s_1 + s_3 + \sigma_1(\mu))(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1)(s_2 - t_2) \\ &\quad + (-s_2)(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1)(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4), \\ P_4 &= Q_4(s_1, s_2, s_3) + (s_2 - s_3)(s_3 + \sigma_1(\mu))(s_1 + \mu_1)(s_1 - t_1) + (-s_1)(s_3 + \sigma_1(\mu))(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1)(s_2 - t_2) \\ &\quad + (-s_1)(s_1 - s_2)(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1)(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) + (s_1 + \mu_1)(s_1 - t_1)(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1)(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $P'_i = P'_i(s_1, s_2, s_3, t_1, t_2)$  ( $i = 2, 3$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} P'_2 &= Q'_2(t_1, t_2) + (s_1 - t_1)(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1) + (s_2 - t_2)(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1), \\ P'_3 &= Q'_3(t_1, t_2) + (t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)(s_1 - t_1)(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1) - t_1(s_2 - t_2)(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1). \end{aligned}$$

Because of the identity  $P_2 = P'_2$  and (1.3), we have

$$V(s_1, s_2, s_3; 0, 0; P_2) = V(s_1, s_2, s_3; 0, 0; Q'_2) + (2\pi)^2 V(s_1, s_2, s_3; 2, 0; 1) + (2\pi)^2 V(s_1, s_2, s_3; 0, 2; 1).$$

If we substitute  $t_1 \rightarrow t_1 + 2$  and  $t_2 \rightarrow t_2 + 2$  in the second and the third terms, respectively, then (5.8) implies that  $X_2 V_0 = 0$ . Similarly, the identities  $P_3 = P'_3 + \mu_1 P'_2$  and  $P_4 = \mu_1 P'_3$  together with (5.8) and (5.9) lead  $X_3 V_0 = X_4 V_0 = 0$  as desired.

Let us show (ii). By Lemma 1.1,  $V'(t_1, t_2)$  can be written as

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4) dq.$$

We substitute the above expression for  $V'(t_1, t_2)$  into (5.7) and use Lemma 1.1 for the integrations  $\int_{t_1}$  and  $\int_{t_2}$  to get (5.11). Since  $V_0(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  is invariant under the change of  $\mu_2, \mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$ , and  $U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_2, \mu_1, \mu_3, \mu_4)$  from the definition, we know that (5.11) implies (5.12).  $\square$

**5.2. Auxiliary Lemma.** The following lemma will be used to determine  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  by using the differential equations in Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.7 (ii).

**Lemma 5.3.** *Retain the notation in Propositions 5.2. For  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ , let*

$$\begin{aligned} U'(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 1) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 1) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_1 - 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_2 - 1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_3)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4) dq. \end{aligned}$$

(i) For  $(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $i = 1, 3$ , we set

$$E_i(c_1, c_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu) = \begin{cases} (s_1 + c_1)(s_1 + c_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu) - U_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3; \mu) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ (s_3 + c_1)(s_3 + c_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu) - U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 2; \mu) & \text{if } i = 3. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mu' = (\mu_3 + 1, \mu_4 + 1, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  and  $\mu'' = (\mu_1 + 1, \mu_2 + 1, \mu_3, \mu_4)$ . We have

$$(5.13) \quad E_1(\mu_1, \mu_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu') = U'(s_1 + 1, s_2 + 1, s_3, \mu''),$$

$$(5.14) \quad E_3(\mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 1, \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 1)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu'') = U'(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3 + 1, \mu''),$$

$$(5.15) \quad E_3(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + 1, \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 1)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu') = U'(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3 + 1; \mu'),$$

$$(5.16) \quad E_1(\mu_3, \mu_4)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu'') = U'(s_1 + 1, s_2 + 1, s_3, \mu'),$$

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} &(2\pi)^{-2}(-s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - \mu_3 - \mu_4 - 2)(-s_1 + s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2)E_1(\mu_1, \mu_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu') \\ &+ (2\pi)^{-2}(-s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - \mu_3 - \mu_4 - 2)(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2)U_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3; \mu') \\ &+ E_1(\mu_1, \mu_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 2; \mu') + E_3(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + 1, \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 1)U_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3; \mu') \\ &= U_0(s_1 + 1, s_2 + 2, s_3 + 1; \mu''), \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &(2\pi)^{-2}(-s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - \mu_1 - \mu_2 - 2)(-s_1 + s_3 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)E_1(\mu_3, \mu_4)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu'') \\ &+ (2\pi)^{-2}(-s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - \mu_1 - \mu_2 - 2)(s_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)U_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3; \mu'') \\ &+ E_1(\mu_3, \mu_4)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 2; \mu') + E_3(\mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 1, \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 1)U_0(s_1 + 2, s_2, s_3; \mu'') \\ &= U_0(s_1 + 1, s_2 + 2, s_3 + 1; \mu'). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) We have

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} &(2\pi)^{-3}(s_1 - s_2 - \mu_1 + m - 1)(s_2 - s_3 - \mu_1 + m - 1)(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)U_{m-1}(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu) \\ &+ (2\pi)^{-1}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)U_{m-1}(s_1, s_2 + 2, s_3; \mu) \\ &+ (2\pi)^{-1}(s_1 - s_2 - \mu_1 + m - 1)U_{m-1}(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 2; \mu) \\ &= U_m(s_1 + 1, s_2 + 1, s_3 + 1; \mu_1 - 1, \mu_2 + 1, \mu_3, \mu_4). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let us show the identities in (i). For  $\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq 6, 1 \leq k \leq 2$ ), we put

$$\begin{aligned} &U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6; \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6; \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_1 + \alpha_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_2 + \alpha_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \alpha_3) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + \alpha_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + \alpha_5)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + \alpha_6) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + \beta_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \beta_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_2 + \beta_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \gamma_1)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \beta_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_3 + \beta_5)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4 + \beta_6)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + \gamma_2)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

Let us show (5.13). In view of (5.12) we know  $E_1(\mu_1, \mu_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu') = E_1(\mu_1, \mu_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 + 1, \mu_4 + 1)$ . Then (1.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\mu_1, \mu_2)U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu') &= U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2; 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1; 0, 2) \\ &\quad - U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2; 2, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1; 2, 2) \\ &= U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2; 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1; 2, 2) \\ &= U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2; 1.1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 3, 3) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. We can similarly show (5.14), (5.15) and (5.16).



To show (5.17), we rewrite (5.15). By Lemma 1.1, we know that  $U'(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3 + 1; \mu')$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{t_2} \int_{t_1} \int_q \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - t_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_2) \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_4 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 2) \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_4 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_2) dq dt_1 dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 1.1 and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_4 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 2) \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_4 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_2) dq \\ & = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 1) \\ & \times \sum_{i=0,1} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_1 + 2 - 2i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_2 + 2i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4 + 1) dq. \end{aligned}$$

Then we find

$$U'(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3 + 1; \mu') = \sum_{i=0}^1 U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_3, \mu_2, \mu_4; 0, 1, 1, 1, 3, 2; 0, 2 - 2i, 1, 3 - 2i, 2i, 1; 1, 4 - 2i)$$

from Lemma 1.1. Further, we use

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_1 + 2 - 2i)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + 1)} \\ & = \sum_{j_1=0}^{1-i} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{t_1} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - t_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1 + 2 - 2i - 2j_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q + 2j_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_3 + 1) dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + 3 - 2i)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 4 - 2i)} \\ & = \sum_{j_2=0}^i \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{t_2} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1 + 2 - 2j_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 1 + 2j_2) dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $U'(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3 + 1; \mu')$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 2) \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j_1=0}^{1-i} \sum_{j_2=0}^i \\ & \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{t_2} \int_{t_1} \int_q \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - t_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1 + 2 - 2i - 2j_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q + 2j_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_3 + 1) \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1 + 2 - 2j_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 1 + 2j_2) \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_2 + 2i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4 + 1) dq dt_1 dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

We can collect three terms  $(i, j_1, j_2) = (0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0)$ . Then the above is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 2) \cdot \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{t_2} \int_{t_1} \int_q \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - t_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_1 + \mu_1) \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - t_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4 + 1) \\ & \times \{(2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1 + 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_2) \\ & + \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - t_2 + \mu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_2 + 2)\} dq dt_1 dt_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_4 + 1 + 2i) \\ & \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_2 + 2i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4 + 1) dq \\ & = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 + \mu_2 + 2i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 2) \\ & \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_1 - q + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(t_2 - q + \mu_2 + 1 + 2i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4) dq \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 0, 1$ , after the integration over  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , we reach the expression

$$U'(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3 + 1; \mu') = \sum_{i=0}^1 U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 0, 2i, 2, 2, 4 - 2i, 2; 1, 1, 1 + 2i, 3, 0, 0; 1 + 2i, 5).$$

Hence the left hand side of (5.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-1}(-s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - \mu_3 - \mu_4 - 2) \\ & \times \{(2\pi)^{-1}(-s_1 + s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2)U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2; 1.1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 3, 3) \\ & \quad + (2\pi)^{-1}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2)U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2; 3.1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 3, 3)\} \\ & + U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4; 1.1, 1, 3, 0, 0; 3, 5) \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^1 U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 0, 2i, 2, 2, 4 - 2i, 2; 1, 1, 1 + 2i, 3, 0, 0; 1 + 2i, 5). \end{aligned}$$

The terms in the bracket  $\{\dots\}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\pi)^{-1}(-s_1 + s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2)U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2; 1.1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 3, 3) \\ & + (2\pi)^{-1}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2)U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 2; 3.1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 3, 3) \\ & = (2\pi)^{-1}\{(-s_1 + s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_2) + (s_1 - q)\}U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2; 1.1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 3, 3) \\ & = U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2; 1.1, 1, 3, 0, 0; 3, 3). \end{aligned}$$

Then the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & (-s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - \mu_3 - \mu_4 - 2)(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 3)(s_2 + s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 3) \\ & + (s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 2)(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 2)(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 3) \\ & + (s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 2)(s_1 - q + 1)(s_2 - q + \mu_1 + 1) \\ & + (s_1 + \mu_2 + 2)(s_2 + s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 3)(s_1 - q + 1) \\ & = (s_2 - q + \mu_1 + 1)(s_2 - q + \mu_2 + 1)(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 2) \end{aligned}$$

implies that the left hand side of (5.17) becomes

$$U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 2; 1.3, 3, 3, 0, 0; 5, 5) = U_0(s_1 + 1, s_2 + 2, s_3 + 1; \mu'')$$

as desired. The identity (5.18) follows from (5.17) and (5.12).

We show (5.19). By the definition of  $U_m(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu)$ , the left hand side of (5.19) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - m + 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + m - 1) \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 2) \\ & \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + m - 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_2)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 2)} \\ & \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - m + 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_3)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + 2)} \cdot (2\pi)^{-4} \\ & \times \{(s_1 - s_2 - \mu_1 + m - 1)(s_2 - s_3 - \mu_1 + m - 1)(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2)(s_2 + s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4) \\ & \quad + (s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - m + 1)(s_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + m - 1)(s_2 - q + \mu_1)(s_2 - q + \mu_2) \\ & \quad + (s_1 - s_2 - \mu_1 + m - 1)(s_3 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4)(s_3 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - m + 1)(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2)\} dq. \end{aligned}$$

Since the term in the bracket  $\{\dots\}$  is factorized as

$$(s_1 + \mu_2)(s_1 - q + m - 1)(s_2 - q + \mu_2)(s_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + m - 1),$$

the left hand side of (5.19) becomes  $U(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4; 0, 2, -m + 1, m + 1, 0, 2; 1, 0, 0, -m + 1, 0, 0; 2, 2)$ . Thus we are done.  $\square$

**5.3. Explicit formulas of  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{34} e_{34}}$ .** In this subsection we determine  $\hat{\varphi}_{l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{34} e_{34}}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *Retain the notation in Propositions 4.7 and 5.2. For  $l = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we set*

$$\hat{\varphi}_l^{\text{mg}}(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} \hat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3,$$

where

$$\hat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) = U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; r).$$

Then  $\hat{\varphi}_l^{\text{mg}}$  is a moderate growth function satisfying (4.47), (4.50) and (4.53).

*Proof.* From (4.47) and Proposition 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{V}_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \begin{cases} C_{l_{12}} U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \nu_1 + l_{34}, \nu_2 + l_{34}, \nu_3 + l_{12}, \nu_4 + l_{12}) & \text{case 1-(ii),} \\ C_{l_{12}} U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_2 + l_{34}, \nu_3 + l_{12}) & \text{case 2-(ii),} \\ C_{l_{12}} U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3; \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{2}) & \text{case 3} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for some constants  $C_{l_{12}}$  ( $0 \leq l_{12} \leq \kappa_2$ ) depending on  $l_{12}$ . In view of the identities (5.17) and (5.18), the equations (4.50) and (4.53) imply that  $C_0 = \dots = C_{\kappa_2}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** For  $l = l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{34} e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$  let  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  be the function determined by the function  $\hat{\varphi}_{l'} = \hat{\varphi}_{l'}^{\text{mg}}$  ( $l' = (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ ) in Lemmas 5.4 and by the equation (4.26) when  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$ . Then we have

$$\hat{\varphi}_l(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3,$$

where

$$\widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \begin{cases} U_{l_1}(s_1, s_2, s_3; \nu_1 + l_4 + l_{34}, \nu_2 + l_1 + l_{34}, \nu_3 + l_{12}, \nu_4 + l_{12}) & \text{cases 1-(i), (ii), (iii),} \\ U_{l_1}(s_1, s_2, s_3; \nu_4 + l_4, \nu_2 + l_1, \nu_3, \nu_1) & \text{case 1-(iv),} \\ U_{l_1}(s_1, s_2, s_3; \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_2 + l_{34}, \nu_3 + l_{12}) & \text{case 2,} \\ U_{l_1}(s_1, s_2, s_3; \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{2}) & \text{case 3.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since the case  $l_1 = 0$  is done in Lemma 5.4. let  $l_1 \geq 1$ . The equation (4.26) tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V}_l(s_1 + 1, s_2 + 1, s_3 + 1) &= (2\pi)^{-3} (s_1 - s_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2} + l_1 - 1) (s_2 - s_3 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2} + l_1 - 1) \\ &\quad \times (s_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \widehat{V}_{l - e_1 + e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &\quad + (2\pi)^{-1} (s_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \widehat{V}_{l - e_1 + e_4}(s_1, s_2 + 2, s_3) \\ &\quad + (2\pi)^{-1} (s_1 - s_2 - \nu'_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 + 1}{2} + l_1 - 1) \widehat{V}_{l - e_1 + e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 2). \end{aligned}$$

If we notice  $U_m(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = U_m(s_1, s_2, s_3; \mu_2, \mu_1, \mu_3, \mu_4)$ , then our claim is a consequence of (5.19) and induction on  $l_1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.** Using the duplication formula (1.4), we can rewrite our formulas in Lemma 5.5 for cases 2 and 3 as follows.

- Case 2: For  $l = l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{34} e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.20) \quad \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - l_1) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \kappa_2 + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2 + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + l_{12})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

- Case 3: For  $l = l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{34} e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.21) \quad \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

**5.4. Explicit formulas of  $\hat{\varphi}_l$ .** In this subsection we determine  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  for all  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ . If the moderate growth solution of the system in Proposition 4.4 is given by

$$\hat{\varphi}_l(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3$$

then the equations (4.14), (4.17), (4.18), (4.23) imply that

$$\widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \begin{cases} (2\pi)^{-1}(s_1 + \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - 1)\widehat{V}_{l - e_2 + e_1}(s_1 - 1, s_2, s_3) & \text{if } l_2 \geq 1, \\ (2\pi)^{-1}(s_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2 - 1)\widehat{V}_{l - e_3 + e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_3 - 1) & \text{if } l_3 \geq 1, \\ (2\pi)^{-1}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - 2)\widehat{V}_{l - e_{13} + e_{12}}(s_1, s_2 - 1, s_3) & \text{if } l_{13} \geq 1, \\ (2\pi)^{-1}(s_2 + \gamma_1 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - 2)\widehat{V}_{l - e_{24} + e_{34}}(s_1, s_2 - 1, s_3) & \text{if } l_{24} \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

for  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ . Therefore we know

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= (2\pi)^{-l_2 - l_3 - l_{13} - l_{24}} (s_1 + \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_2)_{l_2} (s_3 + \gamma_1 - \nu'_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2 - l_3)_{l_3} \\ &\quad \times (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - 1 - l_{13} - l_{24})_{l_{13}} (s_2 + \gamma_1 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - 1 - l_{24})_{l_{24}} \\ &\quad \times \widehat{V}_{(l_1 + l_2, 0, 0, l_3 + l_4, l_{12} + l_{13}, 0, l_{14}, l_{23}, 0, l_{24} + l_{34})}(s_1 - l_2, s_2 - l_{13} - l_{24}, s_3 - l_3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are done in the case of  $\kappa_2 = 0$  (cases 1-(ii), (iv), 2-(i)). In the following we consider the remaining cases.

• **Case 1-(iii)** ( $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 1$ ).

**Lemma 5.7.** (Case 1-(iii)) For  $l = (0, 0, 0, 0, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(1, 1, 0)}$  let  $\widehat{\varphi}_l$  be the functions determined by the functions  $\widehat{\varphi}_{l'}$  ( $l' = l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(1, 1, 0)}$ ) in Lemma 5.5, and by the equations (4.18), (4.23), (4.48), (4.49), (4.51) and (4.52). Then we have

$$\widehat{\varphi}_l(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{V}_{(0, 0, 0, 0, l_{12}, l_{13}, 0, l_{23}, 0, 0)}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + l_{23})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_2 + l_{23})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_{13} + l_{23})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_{12} + 1) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 2) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_{12} + l_{13})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + l_{12})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_{12} + l_{23})} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_2 + l_{12})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 2 + l_{12})} dq, \\ &\widehat{V}_{(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, l_{14}, 0, l_{24}, l_{34})}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_3 + l_{14})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_4 + l_{14})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_{14} + l_{24})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_{34} + 1) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_4 + 2) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_{24} + l_{34})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_3 + l_{34})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_{14} + l_{34})} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_4 + l_{34})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 2 + l_{34})} dq. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As for  $\widehat{V}_{e_{14}}$  and  $\widehat{V}_{e_{23}}$ , compatibilities with the equations (4.48), (4.49), (4.51) and (4.52) follow from the identities (5.15), (5.13), (5.16) and (5.14), respectively. (5.22) implies our formulas for  $\widehat{V}_{e_{13}}$  and  $\widehat{V}_{e_{24}}$ .  $\square$

• **Case 2-(ii)** ( $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 = 1$ ).

**Lemma 5.8.** (Case 2-(ii)) For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}$  let  $\widehat{\varphi}_l$  be the functions determined by the functions  $\widehat{\varphi}_{l'}$  ( $l' = l_1e_1 + l_4e_4 + l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}$ ) in Lemma 5.5, and by the equations (4.14), (4.17), (4.18), (4.23), (5.1) and (5.2). Then we have

$$\widehat{\varphi}_l(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-l_{13}-l_{24}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})_{l_{13}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} - l_{24})_{l_{24}} \\
&\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \\
&\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_{12} + l_{34})\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2}) \\
(5.23) \quad &\quad \times \sum_{i_{14}=0}^{l_{14}} \sum_{i_{23}=0}^{l_{23}} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i_{14} + i_{23})\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i_{14} + i_{23})} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 1 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i_{14} - i_{23})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 1 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i_{14} - i_{23})} \\
&\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2 + l_{23} + l_{24} + l_{34} + i_{14} - i_{23})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + l_{12} + l_{13} + l_{14} - i_{14} + i_{23}) dq.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By (5.20) and (5.22) we know that (5.23) is true for  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, 0, 0, l_{24}, l_{34})$ . Let us determine  $\widehat{V}_{l_1e_1+l_2e_2+l_3e_3+l_4e_4+e_{14}}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ . Since  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2$  we know  $l_i \geq 1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ . Assume  $l_4 \geq 1$ . The equation (5.1) with  $i = 1$  implies  $\widehat{\varphi}_{l_1e_1+l_2e_2+l_3e_3+l_4e_4+e_{14}} = -\widehat{\varphi}_{l_1e_1+(l_2+1)e_2+l_3e_3+(l_4-1)e_4+e_{12} + \widehat{\varphi}_{l_1e_1+l_2e_2+(l_3+1)e_3+(l_4-1)e_4+e_{13}}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{V}_{l_1e_1+l_2e_2+l_3e_3+l_4e_4+e_{14}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\
&= -\widehat{V}_{l_1e_1+(l_2+1)e_2+l_3e_3+(l_4-1)e_4+e_{12}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) + \widehat{V}_{l_1e_1+l_2e_2+(l_3+1)e_3+(l_4-1)e_4+e_{13}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\
&= -\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + 2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2}) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + 1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + 2)} dq \\
&\quad + (2\pi)^{-1}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4) \\
&\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2}) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + 1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4)} dq.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (1.3) and the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2)(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2}) \\
& + (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2})(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4) \\
& = (s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2})(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_4) + (q + \nu_2)(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4),
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{V}_{l_1e_1+l_2e_2+l_3e_3+l_4e_4+e_{14}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\
&= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2}) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_4 + 2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + 1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + 2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2 + 2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + 1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4)} \right\} dq \\
&= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2}) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + i)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_4 + 2 - i)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2 + i)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + 1 - i)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + 2 - i)} dq
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. In the same way we can determine  $\widehat{V}_{l_1e_1+l_2e_2+l_3e_3+l_4e_4+e_{23}}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  from (5.2) with  $(i, j, k) = (2, 3, 4)$ . We can similarly show that (5.23) is compatible with other relations in (5.1) and (5.2).  $\square$

- **Case 3 with  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 \geq 2$ .**

**Lemma 5.9.** (Case 3) Assume  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 \geq 2$ . For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}$  let  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  be the functions determined from the functions  $\hat{\varphi}_{l'}$  ( $l' = l_1 e_1 + l_4 e_4 + l_{12} e_{12} + l_{34} e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}$ ) in Lemma 5.5, and by the equations (4.14), (4.17), (4.18), (4.23), (5.1) and (5.2). Then we have

$$\hat{\varphi}_l(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3,$$

where

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= (2\pi)^{-l_{13}-l_{24}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - 1 - l_{13} - l_{24})_{l_{13}+l_{24}} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14}+l_{23}} \binom{l_{14}+l_{23}}{i} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Our proof is similar to Lemma 5.8. By (5.21) and (5.22) we know that the formula (5.24) is true for  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, 0, 0, l_{24}, l_{34})$ . By using (5.1) and (5.2), we show (5.24) by induction on  $l_{14} + l_{23}$ . Let  $l_{14} + l_{23} \geq 1$ . As in the proof of Lemma 5.8, if  $l_4 \geq 1$ , (5.1) with  $i = 1$  and (5.2) with  $(i, j, k) = (2, 3, 4)$  tell us

$$\widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \begin{cases} -\widehat{V}_{l-e_4-e_{14}+e_2+e_{12}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) + \widehat{V}_{l-e_4-e_{14}+e_3+e_{13}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) & \text{if } l_{14} \geq 1, \\ -\widehat{V}_{l-e_4-e_{23}+e_2+e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) + \widehat{V}_{l-e_4-e_{23}+e_3+e_{24}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) & \text{if } l_{23} \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The hypothesis of induction implies that the above can be written as

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} &- (2\pi)^{-l_{13}-l_{24}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)_{l_{13}+l_{24}} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_{12} + l_{34} + 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14}+l_{23}-1} \binom{l_{14}+l_{23}-1}{i} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i)} dq \\ &+ (2\pi)^{-l_{13}-l_{24}-1} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 2)_{l_{13}+l_{24}+1} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14}+l_{23}-1} \binom{l_{14}+l_{23}-1}{i} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (1.3) and the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &- (s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_{12} + l_{34})(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1) \\ &+ (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 2) \\ &\times (s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2) \\ &= (s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2) \\ &\quad + (q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2), \end{aligned}$$

we know that (5.25) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(2\pi)^{-l_{13}-l_{24}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)_{l_{13}+l_{24}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14}+l_{23}-1} \binom{l_{14}+l_{23}-1}{i} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i)} \\ & + \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)} \\ & \times \left. \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2)} \right\} dq. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute  $(q, i) \rightarrow (q, i)$  and  $(q, i) \rightarrow (q - 1, i - 1)$  in the first and the second terms in the bracket  $\{\dots\}$ , respectively. Then the formula  $\binom{l_{14} + l_{23} - 1}{i} + \binom{l_{14} + l_{23} - 1}{i - 1} = \binom{l_{14} + l_{23}}{i}$  implies our assertions. We can similarly show that (5.24) is compatible with other relations in (5.1) and (5.2).  $\square$

• **Case 3 with  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 \geq 2$ .**

**Lemma 5.10.** (Case 3) Assume  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 \geq 2$ . For  $l = (0, 0, 0, 0, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2, 0)}$  let  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  be the functions determined from the functions  $\hat{\varphi}_{l'}$  ( $l' = l_{12}e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2, 0)}$ ) in Lemma 5.5, and by the equations (4.18), (4.23), (4.48), (4.52), (5.3) and (5.4). Then we have

$$\hat{\varphi}_l(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (5.26) \quad \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= (2\pi)^{-l_{13} - l_{24}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \kappa_2 - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)_{l_{13} + l_{24}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \\ & \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \kappa_2) \\ & \times \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14} + l_{23}} \binom{l_{14} + l_{23}}{i} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)} \\ & \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We first confirm (5.26) for  $l = l_{12}e_{12} + e_{14} + l_{34}e_{34}, l_{12}e_{12} + e_{23} + l_{34}e_{34} \in S_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2, 0)}$ . From the equations (4.48) and (4.52) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V}_{l_{12}e_{12} + e_{14} + l_{34}e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \widehat{V}_{l_{12}e_{12} + e_{23} + l_{34}e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2} (s_3 + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{3\kappa_2 - 3}{2}) (s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{3\kappa_2 - 3}{2}) \widehat{V}_{(l_{12} + 1)e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34}}(s_1, s_2 - 1, s_3 - 1) \\ & \quad - \widehat{V}_{(l_{12} + 1)e_{12} + l_{34}e_{34}}(s_1, s_2 - 1, s_3 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the expression (5.21) the above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (5.27) \quad & \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{3\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) \\ & \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 3}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\kappa_2)} \\ & \times \left\{ (s_3 + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{3\kappa_2 - 3}{2}) (s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\kappa_2 - 2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{3\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) (s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) \right\} dq. \end{aligned}$$

Since the bracket  $\{\dots\}$  in the above can be written as

$$(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) (s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 3}{2}) + (q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) (s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\kappa_2 - 2),$$

we know (5.27) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{3\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\kappa_2)} dq \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 3}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 + 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 - 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\kappa_2 - 2)} dq \right\} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Then, by (5.22), we know that (5.26) holds when  $l_{14} + l_{23} = 0, 1$ .

Let us show (5.26) by induction on  $l_{14} + l_{23}$ . Assume  $l_{14} + l_{23} \geq 2$ . From (5.3) and (5.4), we know

$$\hat{\varphi}_l = \begin{cases} -\hat{\varphi}_{l + e_{12} - e_{14} - e_{23} + e_{34}} + \hat{\varphi}_{l + e_{13} - e_{14} - e_{23} + e_{24}} & \text{if } l_{14} \geq 1 \text{ and } l_{23} \geq 1, \\ -\hat{\varphi}_{l + 2e_{12} - 2e_{14}} + \hat{\varphi}_{l + 2e_{13} - 2e_{14}} & \text{if } l_{14} \geq 2, \\ -\hat{\varphi}_{l - 2e_{23} + 2e_{34}} + \hat{\varphi}_{l + 2e_{13} - 2e_{23}} & \text{if } l_{23} \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

for  $l = (0, 0, 0, 0, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_2, \kappa_2, 0)}$ . Then the hypothesis of induction imply that

$$\widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{3\kappa_2 - 1}{2}) \cdot (V_0 + V_1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} V_p &= (-1)^{1-p} (2\pi)^{-l_{13} - l_{24} - 2p} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \kappa_2 - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1 - 2p)_{l_{13} + l_{24} + 2p} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34} + 2 - 2p) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_{12} + l_{34} + 2 - 2p) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14} + l_{23} - 2} \binom{l_{14} + l_{23} - 2}{i} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 2p)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i + 2 - 2p)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2p)} dq \end{aligned}$$

for  $p = 0, 1$ . In view of the identities

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34} + 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_{12} + l_{34} + 2) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \\ &\quad \times \{(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2) \\ &\quad - (s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2) \\ &\quad - (s_1 - q + i)(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2) \\ &\quad + (s_1 - q + i)(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(2\pi)^{-l_{13} - l_{24} - 2} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \kappa_2 - l_{13} - l_{24} - 3)_{l_{13} + l_{24} + 2} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-l_{13} - l_{24}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \kappa_2 - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)_{l_{13} + l_{24}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^2 \binom{2}{k} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - k) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} + k)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} - 2)}, \end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 + V_1 &= (2\pi)^{-l_{13} - l_{24}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \kappa_2 - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)_{l_{13} + l_{24}} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \\ &\quad \times (V_{0;0,0} + V_{0;0,1} + V_{0;1,0} + V_{0;1,1} + V_{1;0} + V_{1;1} + V_{1;2}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{0;k_1,k_2} &= (-1)^{k_1 + k_2 + 1} \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14} + l_{23} - 2} \binom{l_{14} + l_{23} - 2}{i} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + i + 2k_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i + 2k_1)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2 + 2k_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2 + 2k_2)} dq \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{1;k} &= \binom{2}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14} + l_{23} - 2} \binom{l_{14} + l_{23} - 2}{i} \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - k)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_2 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i - 2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} + k)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_2 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i - 2)} dq \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq 2$ . Then we know  $V_{0;0,0} + V_{1;0} = 0$  and  $V_{0;1,1} + V_{1;2} = 0$ . We substitute  $i \rightarrow i - 2$  and  $(q, i) \rightarrow (q - 1, i - 1)$  in  $V_{0;1,0}$  and  $V_{1;1}$ , respectively. Therefore the formula  $\binom{n-2}{i} + 2\binom{n-2}{i-1} + \binom{n-2}{i-2} = \binom{n}{i}$  leads our assertion.  $\square$



**5.5. Relations with the Jacquet integrals.** We discuss here the relation between the Jacquet integral and the moderate growth solution  $\hat{\varphi}_l$  in the previous subsections. As a result, we can remove the assumption (4.46) from our main theorems.

First, we recall the definition of the Jacquet integral and its properties. Let

$$\hat{\sigma} = \chi_{(\hat{\nu}_1, \hat{\delta}_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\hat{\nu}_2, \hat{\delta}_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\hat{\nu}_3, \hat{\delta}_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\hat{\nu}_4, \hat{\delta}_4)} \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{\nu} = (\hat{\nu}_1, \hat{\nu}_2, \hat{\nu}_3, \hat{\nu}_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4, \quad \hat{\delta} = (\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2, \hat{\delta}_3, \hat{\delta}_4) \in \{0, 1\}^4,$$

and consider the principal series representation  $(\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}, H(\hat{\sigma}))$  of  $G$ . Then we may regard  $H(\hat{\sigma})_K$  as the space of  $K$ -finite smooth functions  $f$  on  $K$  satisfying

$$f(mk) = m_1^{\hat{\delta}_1} m_2^{\hat{\delta}_2} m_3^{\hat{\delta}_3} m_4^{\hat{\delta}_4} f(k) \quad (m = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \in K \cap M_{(1,1,1,1)}, k \in K),$$

and we note that the space  $H(\hat{\sigma})_K$  does not depend on  $\hat{\nu}$ . If  $\hat{\nu}$  satisfies  $\text{Re}(\hat{\nu}_1) > \text{Re}(\hat{\nu}_2) > \text{Re}(\hat{\nu}_3) > \text{Re}(\hat{\nu}_4)$ , for  $f \in H(\hat{\sigma})_K$ , we define the Jacquet integral  $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}}(f)$  by the convergent integral

$$\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}}(f)(g) := \int_N f_{\hat{\nu}}(wxg) \psi_1(x)^{-1} dx \quad (g \in G) \quad \text{with} \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $f_{\hat{\nu}}$  is a smooth function on  $G$  defined by

$$f_{\hat{\nu}}(xyk) = y_1^{\hat{\nu}_1 + \frac{3}{2}} y_2^{\hat{\nu}_1 + \hat{\nu}_2 + 2} y_3^{\hat{\nu}_1 + \hat{\nu}_2 + \hat{\nu}_3 + \frac{3}{2}} y_4^{\hat{\nu}_1 + \hat{\nu}_2 + \hat{\nu}_3 + \hat{\nu}_4} f(k) \\ (x \in N, y = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4, y_3 y_4, y_4) \in A, k \in K)$$

with the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = NAK$ . By [27, Theorem 15.4.1], we know that  $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}}(f)(g)$  has the holomorphic continuation to whole  $\hat{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}^4$  for every  $f \in H(\hat{\sigma})_K$  and  $g \in G$ . Furthermore, this extends  $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}}$  to all  $\hat{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}^4$  as a nonzero  $G$ -homomorphism in  $\mathcal{I}_{\Pi_{\hat{\sigma}}, \psi_1}^{\text{mg}}$ .

In this subsection, we do not always assume that  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  is irreducible. For each cases 1, 2 and 3 introduced in §4.1, we define the symbols  $p_0, \nu$  and specify the parameters  $\hat{\nu}, \hat{\delta}$  of  $\hat{\sigma}$  as follows:

- Case 1:  $p_0 = 4, \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4), \hat{\nu} = \nu$ , and  $\hat{\delta} = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)$ .
- Case 2:  $p_0 = 3, \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3), \hat{\nu} = (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_2, \nu_3)$ , and  $\hat{\delta} = (\delta_1, 0, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ .
- Case 3:  $p_0 = 2, \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2), \hat{\nu} = (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_1 - \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}, \nu_2 - \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})$ , and  $\hat{\delta} = (\delta_1, 0, \delta_2, 0)$ .

Then we can define  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{I}_{\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1}^{\text{mg}}$  by  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} := \mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}} \circ I_{\sigma}$ , where  $I_{\sigma}: H(\sigma) \rightarrow H(\hat{\sigma})$  is the embedding defined by  $I_{\sigma} = \text{id}_{H(\sigma)}$ , (3.7) and (3.11) respectively for cases 1, 2 and 3. Let  $\hat{\eta}_{\sigma}: V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)} \rightarrow H(\sigma)_K$  be the  $K$ -embedding defined in §3.2, §3.3 and §3.4 respectively for cases 1, 2 and 3. Here we note that  $H(\sigma)_K$  and  $\hat{\eta}_{\sigma}$  do not depend on  $\nu$ . By the properties of the Jacquet integral  $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}}$ , we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.11.** *Retain the notation.*

- (i) *The function  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}(f)(g)$  of  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  is entire for every  $f \in H(\sigma)_K$  and  $g \in G$ . In particular, the function  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}(\hat{\eta}_{\sigma}(v))(g)$  of  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  is entire for every  $v \in V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$  and  $g \in G$ .*
- (ii) *We have  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} \neq 0$ . In particular, we have  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} \circ \hat{\eta}_{\sigma} \neq 0$  if  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  is irreducible.*
- (iii) *We have  $\mathcal{I}_{\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1}^{\text{mg}} = \mathbb{C} \mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ . In particular, we have  $\text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}) = \mathbb{C} \mathcal{J}_{\sigma} \circ \hat{\eta}_{\sigma}$ .*

*Proof.* The statement (i) follows immediately from the entireness of the function  $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}}(f)(g)$  of  $\hat{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}^4$  for every  $f \in H(\hat{\sigma})_K$  and  $g \in G$ . Since the quotient  $H(\hat{\sigma})_K / I_{\sigma}(H(\sigma)_K)$  is not large in the sense of Vogan [25], we have

$$\text{Hom}_{(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}}, K)}(H(\hat{\sigma})_K / I_{\sigma}(H(\sigma)_K), C^{\infty}(N \backslash G; \psi_1)_K) = \{0\}$$

by the result of Matumoto [19, Corollary 2.2.2, Theorem 6.2.1]. Hence,  $\mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}} \neq 0$  implies  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{J}_{\hat{\sigma}} \circ I_{\sigma} \neq 0$ , and we obtain the statement (ii). By  $0 \neq \mathcal{J}_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{I}_{\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1}^{\text{mg}}$  and (1.2), we obtain the statement (iii).  $\square$

We define an open dense subset  $\Omega_0$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  by

$$\Omega_0 := \begin{cases} \{s = (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid s_i - s_j \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \ (1 \leq i < j \leq 4), \ s_1 + s_2 \neq s_3 + s_4\} & \text{case 1,} \\ \{s = (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid s_i - s_j \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \ (1 \leq i < j \leq 3)\} & \text{case 2,} \\ \{s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid s_1 - s_2 \notin \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}\} & \text{case 3.} \end{cases}$$

By definition, we note that (4.46) holds if  $\nu \in \Omega_0$ . Furthermore, by the result of Spohn [23, §2] (see [24] for more general result), we know that  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  is irreducible if  $\nu \in \Omega_0$ . Therefore, if  $\nu \in \Omega_0$ , our system of partial differential equations characterize Whittaker functions for  $(\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1)$  at the minimal  $K$ -type.

Based on the Iwasawa decomposition  $G = NAK$ , for general  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$ , we define a  $K$ -homomorphism  $\varphi_{\sigma}: V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)} \rightarrow C^{\infty}(N \backslash G; \psi_1)$  by the equalities

$$\varphi_{\sigma}(v)(xyk) = \psi_1(x) \varphi_{\sigma}(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(k)v)(y) \quad (v \in V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, x \in N, y \in A, k \in K)$$

and

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\sigma(u_l)(y) &= (\sqrt{-1})^{-l_1+l_3-l_{13}+l_{24}} (-1)^{l_2+l_{14}+l_{23}} y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2-\kappa_2} y_4^{\gamma_1} \\ &\times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} \widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 \end{aligned}$$

for  $y = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4, y_3 y_4, y_4) \in A$  and  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ . Here  $\widehat{V}_l(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  is the Mellin-Barnes kernel of  $\widehat{\varphi}_l$  determined in the previous subsections. Then, if  $\nu \in \Omega_0$ , the arguments in the previous subsections imply that  $\varphi_\sigma$  is a unique element of  $\text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}})$  up to scalar multiple.

For the well-definedness of  $\varphi_\sigma$  for general  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$ , we need to confirm that the definition (5.28) is compatible with the relations of  $u_l$  ( $l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ ) in Lemma 2.3, that is, the functions  $\varphi_\sigma(u_l)(y)$  ( $l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ ) of  $y \in A$  defined by (5.28) satisfy the following relations:

- When  $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 \geq 2$ , for  $y \in A$  and  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-2, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$\varphi_\sigma(u_{l+2e_1})(y) + \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+2e_2})(y) + \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+2e_3})(y) + \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+2e_4})(y) = 0.$$

- When  $\kappa_1 > \kappa_2 > 0$ , for  $y \in A$  and  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-2, \kappa_2-1, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 4, j \neq i} \text{sgn}(j-i) \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+e_j+e_{ij}})(y) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4),$$

and

$$\varphi_\sigma(u_{l+e_i+e_{jk}})(y) - \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+e_j+e_{ik}})(y) + \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+e_k+e_{ij}})(y) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4).$$

- When  $\kappa_2 \geq 2$ , for  $y \in A$  and  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-2, \kappa_2-2, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq 4, k \notin \{i, j\}} \text{sgn}((k-i)(k-j)) \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+e_{ik}+e_{jk}})(y) = 0 \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq 4),$$

and

$$\varphi_\sigma(u_{l+e_{12}+e_{34}})(y) - \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+e_{13}+e_{24}})(y) + \varphi_\sigma(u_{l+e_{14}+e_{23}})(y) = 0.$$

By the definition (5.28), we note that  $\varphi_\sigma(u_l)(y)$  is an entire function of  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  for every  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$  and  $y \in A$ . Since the above relations hold for  $\nu \in \Omega_0$ , they also hold for all  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  by the analytic continuation. Hence,  $\varphi_\sigma$  is well-defined for all  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$ .

**Lemma 5.12.** *Retain the notation.*

(i) *The function  $\varphi_\sigma(v)(g)$  of  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  is entire for every  $v \in V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$  and  $g \in G$ .*

(ii) *We have  $\varphi_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{34}})|_A \neq 0$ .*

(iii) *If  $\nu \in \Omega_0$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}) = \mathbb{C}\varphi_\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* The statement (i) follows from the definition of  $\varphi_\sigma$ . By the Mellin inversion formula (see [8, Lemma 8.4]), Lemma 5.4 and Barnes' second lemma (Lemma 1.2), for  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  with the sufficiently large real parts, we have

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \varphi_\sigma(u_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{34}})(\hat{y}) y_1^{s_1-\frac{3}{2}} y_2^{s_2-2} y_3^{s_1+s_2+\kappa_2-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \frac{dy_2}{y_2} \frac{dy_3}{y_3} \\ &= \widehat{V}_{(\kappa_1-\kappa_2)e_4+\kappa_2e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_1+s_2) = U_0(s_1, s_2, s_1+s_2; r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) \\ &= \frac{(\prod_{i=1}^4 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1+r_i)) (\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2+r_i+r_j))}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2+r_1+r_2+r_3+r_4)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{y} = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3, y_2 y_3, y_3, 1) \in A$  and

$$(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = \begin{cases} (\nu_1 + \kappa_1, \nu_2 + \kappa_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) & \text{cases 1-(i), (ii), (iii),} \\ (\nu_4 + 1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_1) & \text{case 1-(iv),} \\ (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2}, \nu_2 + \kappa_2, \nu_3) & \text{case 2,} \\ (\nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}, \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1+1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2-1}{2}, \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2+1}{2}) & \text{case 3.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, the integrand of the left hand side of (5.29) is not the zero function, and we obtain the statement (ii). The statement (iii) has already been proved above.  $\square$

By Lemma 5.12 (ii), (iii), if  $\nu \in \Omega_0$ , there is a unique  $C(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}_\sigma \circ \widehat{\eta}_\sigma = C(\sigma)\varphi_\sigma$ . The following proposition allows us to remove the assumption (4.46) from our main theorems.

**Proposition 5.13.** *Retain the notation. Then  $C(\sigma)$  is extended to whole  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  as an entire function of  $\nu$ , and the equality  $\mathcal{J}_\sigma \circ \hat{\eta}_\sigma = C(\sigma)\varphi_\sigma$  holds for all  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$ . Furthermore, if  $\Pi_\sigma$  is irreducible, we have  $C(\sigma) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}) = \mathbb{C}\varphi_\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* As a notation in this proof only, for  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$ , the symbol  $\sigma_\nu$  denotes  $\sigma$  corresponding to  $\nu$ . Take  $s \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  arbitrarily. By Lemma 5.12 (ii), we can choose  $y_s \in A$  so that  $\varphi_{\sigma_s}(u_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + \kappa_2 e_{34}})(y_s) \neq 0$ . Since  $\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}(u_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + \kappa_2 e_{34}})(y_s)$  is a continuous function of  $\nu$ , we can also choose a open neighborhood  $\Omega(s, y_s)$  of  $s$  so that  $\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}(u_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + \kappa_2 e_{34}})(y_s) \neq 0$  ( $\nu \in \Omega(s, y_s)$ ). For  $\nu \in \Omega(s, y_s)$ , we set

$$C_s(\sigma_\nu) := \frac{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma_\nu}(\hat{\eta}_{\sigma_\nu}(u_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + \kappa_2 e_{34}}))(y_s)}{\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}(u_{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)e_4 + \kappa_2 e_{34}})(y_s)}.$$

By Lemmas 5.11 (i) and 5.12 (i), we note that  $C_s(\sigma_\nu)$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega(s, y_s)$  as a function of  $\nu$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma_\nu} \circ \hat{\eta}_{\sigma_\nu} = C_s(\sigma_\nu)\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}$  for  $\nu \in \Omega_0$ , we have

$$(5.30) \quad \mathcal{J}_{\sigma_\nu}(\hat{\eta}_{\sigma_\nu}(v))(g) = C(\sigma_\nu)\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}(v)(g) \quad (v \in V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, g \in G, \nu \in \Omega_0)$$

and

$$(5.31) \quad C_s(\sigma_\nu) = C(\sigma_\nu) \quad (\nu \in \Omega_0 \cap \Omega(s, y_s)).$$

Since we can take  $s \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  arbitrarily and  $\Omega_0$  is an open dense subset of  $\mathbb{C}^{p_0}$ , the equality (5.31) extends  $C(\sigma_\nu)$  to whole  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$  as an entire function of  $\nu$ . By the analytic continuation of the both sides of (5.30), we know that  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma_\nu} \circ \hat{\eta}_{\sigma_\nu} = C(\sigma_\nu)\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}$  holds for all  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^{p_0}$ . Furthermore, if  $\Pi_{\sigma_\nu}$  is irreducible, Lemma 5.11 (ii), (iii) imply that  $C(\sigma_\nu) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Hom}_K(V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}, \text{Wh}(\Pi_{\sigma_\nu}, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}) = \mathbb{C}\varphi_{\sigma_\nu}$ .  $\square$

**5.6. Explicit formulas of the minimal  $K$ -type Whittaker functions.** Thanks to the argument of previous subsections, we arrive at explicit formulas of Whittaker functions which is a main result of this paper. As in Theorem 5.1, let

$$y = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4, y_3 y_4, y_4) \in A.$$

See §1.4 for the paths of integrations.

**Theorem 5.14.** *Let  $\sigma = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, \delta_4)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \delta_3 \geq \delta_4$  such that  $\Pi_\sigma$  is irreducible.*

(i) *When  $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = \delta_4$ , there exists a  $K$ -homomorphism*

$$\varphi_\sigma : V_{(0,0,\delta_1)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$$

whose radial part is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\sigma(u_0)(y) &= y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} y_4^{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_{\sigma,0}(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{\sigma,0}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \nu_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , there exists a  $K$ -homomorphism*

$$\varphi_\sigma : V_{(1,0,0)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$$

whose radial part is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\sigma(u_l)(y) &= y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} y_4^{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{-l_1 + l_3} (-1)^{l_2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_{\sigma,l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 \end{aligned}$$

with  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in S_{(1,0,0)}$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{\sigma,l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_2 + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_3 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_1 + l_2) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + l_3 + l_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_2 + l_1 + l_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4)} dq.$$

(iii) When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ , there exists a  $K$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi_{\sigma} : V_{(1,1,0)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$$

whose radial part is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\sigma}(u_l)(y) &= y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} y_4^{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{-l_{13} + l_{24}} (-1)^{l_{14} + l_{23}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 \end{aligned}$$

with  $l = (0, 0, 0, 0, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(1,1,0)}$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{\sigma, (0,0,0,0, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34})}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + l_{23}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_2 + l_{23}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_{13} + l_{23}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_{12} + 1) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 1) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_{12} + l_{13}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + l_{12})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_{12} + l_{23})} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_2 + l_{12}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_{12} + 1)} dq, \\ &V_{\sigma, (0,0,0,0,0,0, l_{14}, l_{24}, l_{34})}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_3 + l_{14}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_4 + l_{14}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_{14} + l_{24}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + l_{34} + 1) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_4 + 1) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_{24} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_3 + l_{34})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_{14} + l_{34})} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_4 + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \nu_3 + \nu_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_{34} + 1)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ , there exists a  $K$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi_{\sigma} : V_{(1,0,1)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$$

whose radial part is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\sigma}(u_l)(y) &= y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} y_4^{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{-l_1 + l_3} (-1)^{l_2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 \end{aligned}$$

with  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in S_{(1,0,1)}$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} &V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_4 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_2 + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_4 + l_3 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_4 + l_3 + l_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \nu_2 + \nu_4 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \nu_2 + l_1 + l_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + \nu_2 + \nu_4 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4)} dq. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.15.** Let  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $\delta_2, \delta_3 \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_3$  such that  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  is irreducible.

(i) When  $\delta_2 = \delta_3$ , there exists a  $K$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi_{\sigma} : V_{(\kappa_1, 0, \delta_3)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$$

whose radial part is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\sigma}(u_l)(y) &= y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} y_4^{2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{-l_1 + l_3} (-1)^{l_2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 \end{aligned}$$

with  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in S_{(\kappa_1, 0, \delta_3)}$ . Here

$$V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$$

$$= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \\ \times \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4)} dq.$$

(ii) When  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ , there exists a  $K$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi_{\sigma} : V_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$$

whose radial part is given by

$$\varphi_{\sigma}(u_1)(y) = y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} y_4^{2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{-l_1 + l_3 - l_{13} + l_{24}} (-1)^{l_2 + l_{14} + l_{23}} \\ \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3$$

with  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}$ . Here

$$V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ = (2\pi)^{-l_{13} - l_{24}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})_{l_{13}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{24})_{l_{24}} \\ \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \\ \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \\ \times \sum_{i_{14}=0}^{l_{14}} \sum_{i_{23}=0}^{l_{23}} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i_{14} + i_{23}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i_{14} + i_{23})} \\ \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i_{14} - i_{23})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i_{14} - i_{23})} \\ \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2 + l_{23} + l_{24} + l_{34} + i_{14} - i_{23}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + l_{12} + l_{13} + l_{14} - i_{14} + i_{23}) dq.$$

**Theorem 5.16.** Let  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes D_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and  $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2$  such that  $\Pi_{\sigma}$  is irreducible. There exists a  $K$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi_{\sigma} : V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_{\sigma}, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$$

whose radial part is given by

$$\varphi_{\sigma}(u_1)(y) = y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} y_4^{2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{-l_1 + l_3 - l_{13} + l_{24}} (-1)^{l_2 + l_{14} + l_{23}} \\ \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3$$

with  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}$ . Here

$$V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ = (2\pi)^{-l_{13} - l_{24}} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24} - 1)_{l_{13} + l_{24}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \\ \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_{12} + l_{34}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_3 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \\ \times \sum_{i=0}^{l_{14} + l_{23}} \binom{l_{14} + l_{23}}{i} \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + l_1 + i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - l_{13} - l_{24})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_1 + l_3 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{34} + i)} \\ \times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + l_4 + l_{14} + l_{23} - i) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + s_3 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + l_1 + l_2 + l_4 + l_{12} + l_{14} + l_{23} + l_{34} - i)} dq.$$

## 6. TEST VECTORS FOR ARCHIMEDEAN BUMP-FRIEDBERG INTEGRALS

Bump and Friedberg [4] gave a zeta integral containing two complex variables which interpolates the standard and the exterior square  $L$ -functions on  $GL(n)$  simultaneously. The aim of this section is to give test vectors for this zeta integrals. The case of principal series is done in [11].

**6.1.  $L$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors for the standard and the exterior square  $L$ -functions.** We recall the theory of finite dimensional semisimple representations of the Weil group  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ . The Weil group  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  for the real field  $\mathbb{R}$  is given by  $W_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{C}^{\times} \cup (\mathbb{C}^{\times} j) \subset \mathbb{H}^{\times}$ . Here we regard  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  as a subgroup of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{H}^{\times}$  of the Hamilton quaternion algebra  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C} \oplus (\mathbb{C} j)$  ( $j^2 = -1$  and  $jzj^{-1} = \bar{z}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ). We recall the irreducible representations of  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  and the corresponding  $L$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors.

(1) *Characters.* We define characters  $\phi_\nu^\delta$  ( $\nu \in \mathbb{C}, \delta \in \{0, 1\}$ ) of  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  by

$$\phi_\nu^\delta(z) = |z|^{2\nu} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}), \quad \phi_\nu^\delta(\mathbf{j}) = (-1)^\delta.$$

We define the corresponding  $L$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors by

$$L(s, \phi_\nu^\delta) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \nu + \delta), \quad \varepsilon(s, \phi_\nu^\delta, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) = (\sqrt{-1})^\delta.$$

(2) *Two dimensional representations.* We define two dimensional representations  $\phi_{\nu, \kappa}: W_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  ( $\nu \in \mathbb{C}, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\nu, \kappa}(re^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) &= \begin{pmatrix} r^{2\nu}e^{-\sqrt{-1}\kappa\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & r^{2\nu}e^{\sqrt{-1}\kappa\theta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (r \in \mathbb{R}_+, \theta \in \mathbb{R}), \\ \phi_{\nu, \kappa}(\mathbf{j}) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (-1)^\kappa \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The representation  $\phi_{\nu, \kappa}$  is irreducible for  $\kappa > 0$ , while  $\phi_{\nu, 0}$  is equivalent to a sum of two characters  $\phi_\nu^0$  and  $\phi_\nu^1$ . For  $\phi_{\nu, \kappa}$  ( $\kappa > 0$ ), we define the corresponding  $L$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors by

$$L(s, \phi_{\nu, \kappa}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \nu + \frac{\kappa}{2}), \quad \varepsilon(s, \phi_{\nu, \kappa}, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) = (\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa+1}.$$

The set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  is exhausted by

$$\Sigma_{\mathbb{R}} = \{\phi_\nu^\delta \mid \nu \in \mathbb{C}, \delta \in \{0, 1\}\} \cup \{\phi_{\nu, \kappa} \mid \nu \in \mathbb{C}, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}.$$

For a finite dimensional semisimple representation  $\phi$  of  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we define the corresponding  $L$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors by

$$L(s, \phi) = \prod_{i=1}^m L(s, \phi_i), \quad \varepsilon(s, \phi, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \varepsilon(s, \phi_i, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

where  $\phi \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \phi_i$  is the irreducible decomposition of  $\phi$ .

The local Langlands correspondence on  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  is a bijection between the set of infinitesimal equivalence classes of irreducible admissible representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and the set of equivalence classes of  $n$ -dimensional semisimple representations of  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ . For an irreducible admissible representation  $\Pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the corresponding representation  $\phi[\Pi]$  of  $W_{\mathbb{R}}$  is called Langlands parameter of  $\Pi$ . See [17] (cf. [8, section 9.1]) for the precise. We define the local  $L$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors  $L(s, \Pi)$  and  $\varepsilon(s, \Pi, \psi_{\mathbb{R}})$  for the standard  $L$ -function by

$$L(s, \Pi) = L(s, \phi[\Pi]), \quad \varepsilon(s, \Pi, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) = \varepsilon(s, \phi[\Pi], \psi_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Let  $\wedge^2: \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}, \mathbb{C})$  be the exterior square representation. We define the local  $L$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors  $L(s, \Pi, \wedge^2)$  and  $\varepsilon(s, \Pi, \wedge^2, \psi_{\mathbb{R}})$  for the exterior square  $L$ -function by

$$L(s, \Pi, \wedge^2) = L(s, \wedge^2(\phi[\Pi])), \quad \varepsilon(s, \Pi, \wedge^2, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) = \varepsilon(s, \wedge^2(\phi[\Pi]), \psi_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Let us describe  $L$ - and  $\varepsilon$ -factors for the irreducible  $P_{\mathbf{n}}$ -principal series representation  $\Pi_\sigma$ . The Langlands parameter  $\phi[\Pi_\sigma]$  is given by

$$\phi[\Pi_\sigma] \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \phi_{\nu_i}^{\delta_i} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (1, 1, 1, 1), \\ \phi_{\nu_1, \kappa_1-1} \oplus \phi_{\nu_2}^{\delta_2} \oplus \phi_{\nu_3}^{\delta_3} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (2, 1, 1), \\ \phi_{\nu_1, \kappa_1-1} \oplus \phi_{\nu_2, \kappa_2-1} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (2, 2). \end{cases}$$

We know

$$\wedge^2(\phi[\Pi_\sigma]) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \phi_{\nu_i + \nu_j}^{|\delta_i - \delta_j|} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (1, 1, 1, 1), \\ \phi_{\nu_1 + \nu_2, \kappa_1-1} \oplus \phi_{\nu_1 + \nu_3, \kappa_1-1} \oplus \phi_{2\nu_1}^{\delta_1} \oplus \phi_{\nu_2 + \nu_3}^{|\delta_2 - \delta_3|} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (2, 1, 1), \\ \phi_{\nu_1 + \nu_2, |\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|} \oplus \phi_{\nu_1 + \nu_2, \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 - 2} \oplus \phi_{2\nu_1}^{\delta_1} \oplus \phi_{2\nu_2}^{\delta_2} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (2, 2). \end{cases}$$

Here the integers  $\delta_1 \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $\mathbf{n} = (2, 1, 1)$ ) and  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $\mathbf{n} = (2, 2)$ ) are defined by  $\delta_i \equiv \kappa_i \pmod{2}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) as in §4.1. Then we have the following.

- When  $\sigma = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, \delta_4)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Pi_\sigma) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \nu_i + \delta_i), \\ L(s, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \nu_i + \nu_j + |\delta_i - \delta_j|), \\ \varepsilon(s, \Pi_\sigma, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) &= (\sqrt{-1})^{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \delta_i}, \\ \varepsilon(s, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) &= (\sqrt{-1})^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} |\delta_i - \delta_j|}. \end{aligned}$$

- When  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Pi_\sigma) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \nu_2 + \delta_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \nu_3 + \delta_3), \\ L(s, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + 2\nu_1 + \delta_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + |\delta_2 - \delta_3|), \\ \varepsilon(s, \Pi_\sigma, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) &= (\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3}, \\ \varepsilon(s, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) &= (\sqrt{-1})^{2\kappa_1 + \delta_1 + |\delta_2 - \delta_3|}. \end{aligned}$$

- When  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes D_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \Pi_\sigma) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2}), \\ L(s, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 - 2}{2}) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + 2\nu_1 + \delta_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s + 2\nu_2 + \delta_2), \\ \varepsilon(s, \Pi_\sigma, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) &= (\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}, \\ \varepsilon(s, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) &= (\sqrt{-1})^{2\kappa_1 + \delta_1 + \delta_2}. \end{aligned}$$

## 6.2. Archimedean Bump-Friedberg integrals.

We recall the archimedean part of Bump-Friedberg integrals. As in §1.1, we set  $G_2 = GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $N_2 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid x_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R} \}$ ,  $K_2 = O(2)$  and  $k_\theta^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \in K_2$ . We define an embedding  $\tilde{l}: G_2 \times G_2 \rightarrow G$  by

$$\left( g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto \tilde{l}(g_1, g_2) := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & b_1 & \\ & a_2 & & b_2 \\ c_1 & & d_1 & \\ & c_2 & & d_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be the space of Schwartz-Bruhat functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $W \in \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$ , we consider the following archimedean zeta integral:

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = \int_{N_2 \backslash G_2} \int_{N_2 \backslash G_2} W(\tilde{l}(g_1, g_2)) \Phi((0, 1)g_2) |\det g_1|^{s_1 - \frac{1}{2}} |\det g_2|^{-s_1 + s_2 + \frac{1}{2}} d\dot{g}_1 d\dot{g}_2.$$

Here  $d\dot{g}$  is the right  $G_2$ -invariant measure on  $N_2 \backslash G_2$  normalized so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N_2 \backslash G_2} f(g) d\dot{g} &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{K_2} f(\text{diag}(y_1 y_2, y_2) k) dk \frac{2dy_1}{y_1^2} \frac{2dy_2}{y_2} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} f(\text{diag}(y_1 y_2, y_2) \text{diag}(\varepsilon, 1) k_\theta^{(2)}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{dy_1}{y_1^2} \frac{dy_2}{y_2} \end{aligned}$$

for any compactly supported continuous function  $f$  on  $N_2 \backslash G_2$ . Here  $dk$  is the normalized Haar measure on  $K_2$  such that  $\int_{K_2} dk = 1$ . For  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  we define the Fourier transform  $\widehat{\Phi}$  of  $\Phi$  by

$$\widehat{\Phi}(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(y_1, y_2) \psi_{\mathbb{R}}(x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2) dy_1 dy_2.$$

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  we put

$$\Phi_{(a,b)}(x_1, x_2) = (-\sqrt{-1}x_1 + x_2)^a (\sqrt{-1}x_1 + x_2)^b \exp\{-\pi(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\}.$$

We write  $g_i \in N_2 \backslash G_2$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) as

$$g_i = \begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} y_{i2} & \\ & y_{i2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_i & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} k_{\theta_i}^{(2)}$$

with  $y_{i1}, y_{i2} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$  and  $0 \leq \theta_i < 2\pi$ , to find that

$$\begin{aligned} &Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi_{(a,b)}) \\ &= 2^2 \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W(\text{diag}(y_{11} y_{12}, y_{21} y_{22}, y_{12}, y_{22}) \text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 1, 1) \tilde{l}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})) \\ &\quad \times \Phi_{(a,b)}(-y_{22} \sin \theta_2, y_{22} \cos \theta_2) (y_{11} y_{12}^2)^{s_1 - \frac{1}{2}} (y_{21} y_{22}^2)^{-s_1 + s_2 + \frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi} \frac{dy_{11}}{y_{11}^2} \frac{dy_{12}}{y_{12}} \frac{dy_{21}}{y_{21}^2} \frac{dy_{22}}{y_{22}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we change the variables  $(y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{21}, y_{22}) \rightarrow (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$  by

$$y_1 = \frac{y_{11} y_{12}}{y_{21} y_{22}}, \quad y_2 = \frac{y_{21} y_{22}}{y_{12}}, \quad y_3 = \frac{y_{12}}{y_{22}}, \quad y_4 = y_{22}$$

and write

$$y = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4, y_3 y_4, y_4) \in A, \quad \hat{y} = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3, y_2 y_3, y_3, 1) \in A.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi_{(a,b)}) \\ &= 2^2 \sum_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{\pm 1\}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W(\text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 1, 1) y \tilde{l}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})) \\ & \quad \times y_1^{s_1 - \frac{3}{2}} y_2^{s_2 - 2} y_3^{s_1 + s_2 - \frac{3}{2}} y_4^{2s_2 + a + b} \exp(-\pi y_4^2) \exp\{\sqrt{-1}(a-b)\theta_2\} \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi} \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \frac{dy_2}{y_2} \frac{dy_3}{y_3} \frac{dy_4}{y_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$W(\text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 1, 1) y \tilde{l}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})) = y_4^{\gamma_1} W(\text{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 1, 1) \hat{y} \tilde{l}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})),$$

the formula

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\pi x^2) x^s \frac{dx}{x} = 2^{-1} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi_{(a,b)}) &= 2 \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + a + b) \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W(m_i \hat{y} \tilde{l}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})) \\ & \quad \times \exp\{\sqrt{-1}(a-b)\theta_2\} y_1^{s_1 - \frac{3}{2}} y_2^{s_2 - 2} y_3^{s_1 + s_2 - \frac{3}{2}} \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi} \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \frac{dy_2}{y_2} \frac{dy_3}{y_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we defined  $m_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq 3$ ) by

$$m_0 = 1_4, \quad m_1 = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1), \quad m_2 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, 1), \quad m_3 = m_1 m_2.$$

**Lemma 6.1.** *Retain the notation. Let*

$$W'(\hat{y}) = 2^{-2} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W(m_i \hat{y} \tilde{l}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})) \exp\{\sqrt{-1}(a-b)\theta_2\} \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi}.$$

Assume that  $W'$  admits the Mellin-Barnes integral representaiton

$$W'(\hat{y}) = y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} \cdot \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3,$$

where the path of integration  $\int_{s_i}$  is the vertical line from  $\text{Re}(s_i) - \sqrt{-1}\infty$  to  $\text{Re}(s_i) + \sqrt{-1}\infty$  with sufficiently large real part to keep the poles of  $V'(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  on its left. Then we have

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi_{(a,b)}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + a + b) V'(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2).$$

*Proof.* It is immediate from Mellin inversion (see [8, Lemma 8.4]).  $\square$

We use the following formula to evaluate archimedean zeta integrals.

**Lemma 6.2.** *For  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\text{Re}(a), \text{Re}(b) > 0$  and  $\text{Re}(c) > 2m$ , it holds that*

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \binom{m}{j} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a+2j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(b+2j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(c-2j)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a+b+c+2j-2m)} = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(b) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a+c) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(b+c) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(c-2m)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a+b+c) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a+c-2m) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(b+c-2m)}.$$

*Proof.* Using the equalities

$$(6.1) \quad (z)_j = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2z+2j)}{\pi^{-j} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2z)} = (-1)^j \frac{\pi^j \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2-2z)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2-2z-2j)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}),$$

and  $\binom{m}{j} = (-1)^j (-m)_j / j!$ , we have

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq m} \binom{m}{j} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a+2j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(b+2j) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(c-2j)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a+b+c+2j-2m)} = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(b) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(c)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(a+b+c-2m)} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} -m, \frac{a}{2}, \frac{b}{2} \\ 1 - \frac{c}{2}, \frac{a+b+c}{2} - m \end{matrix}; 1 \right)$$

with the generalized hypergeometric series

$${}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix}; z \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_j (a_2)_j (a_3)_j}{(b_1)_j (b_2)_j} \frac{z^j}{j!}.$$



Applying the Saalschütz's theorem ([1, 2.2 (1)])

$${}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} -m, a, b \\ c, 1+a+b-c-m \end{matrix}; 1 \right) = \frac{(c-a)_m (c-b)_m}{(c)_m (c-a-b)_m} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

and (6.1), we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

**6.3. Contragredient Whittaker functions.** Let  $\tilde{\Pi}_\sigma$  be the contragredient representation of  $\Pi_\sigma$ . We have

$$\text{Wh}(\tilde{\Pi}_\sigma, \psi_{-1})^{\text{mg}} = \{\tilde{W} \mid W \in \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}\},$$

where the contragredient Whittaker function  $\tilde{W}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{W}(g) := W(w {}^t g^{-1}), \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be a representation of  $M_{\mathbf{n}}$  defined by replacing  $\nu_i$  to  $-\nu_i$ :

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \begin{cases} \chi_{(-\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(-\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(-\nu_3, \delta_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(-\nu_4, \delta_4)} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (1, 1, 1, 1), \\ D_{(-\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(-\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(-\nu_3, \delta_3)} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (2, 1, 1), \\ D_{(-\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes D_{(-\nu_2, \kappa_2)} & \text{if } \mathbf{n} = (2, 2). \end{cases}$$

Then we know  $\tilde{\Pi}_\sigma \cong \Pi_{\tilde{\sigma}}$  and the following:

**Proposition 6.3.** *For the  $K$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi_\sigma : V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  given in Theorems 5.14, 5.15 and 5.16, let  $\tilde{\varphi}_\sigma : V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\tilde{\Pi}_\sigma, \psi_{-1})^{\text{mg}}$  be a  $K$ -homomorphism defined by*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\sigma(v)(g) = \varphi_\sigma(v)(w {}^t g^{-1}) \quad (v \in V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}).$$

Then the radial part of  $\tilde{\varphi}_\sigma$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma(u_l)(y) &= y_1^{3/2} y_2^2 y_3^{3/2} y_4^{-\gamma_1} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{l_2 - l_4 + l_{13} - l_{24}} (-1)^{\kappa_2 + l_3 + l_{14} + l_{23}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{(4\pi\sqrt{-1})^3} \int_{s_3} \int_{s_2} \int_{s_1} V_{\tilde{\sigma}, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3) y_1^{-s_1} y_2^{-s_2} y_3^{-s_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 \end{aligned}$$

with  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ .

*Proof.* For  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4, l_{12}, l_{13}, l_{14}, l_{23}, l_{24}, l_{34}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ , if we set

$$\tilde{l} = (l_4, l_3, l_2, l_1, l_{34}, l_{24}, l_{23}, l_{14}, l_{13}, l_{12}) \in S_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma(u_l)(y) &= \varphi_\sigma(u_l)(w {}^t y^{-1} w^{-1} \cdot w) \\ &= \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(w) u_l)(w {}^t y^{-1} w^{-1}) \\ &= (-1)^{\kappa_2} \varphi_\sigma(u_{\tilde{l}}) (\text{diag}(y_4^{-1}, (y_3 y_4)^{-1}, (y_2 y_3 y_4)^{-1}, (y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4)^{-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Since the explicit formulas of  $V_{\sigma, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  in Theorems 5.14, 5.15 and 5.16 tell us that

$$V_{\sigma, \tilde{l}}(s_3 - \gamma_1, s_2 - \gamma_1, s_1 - \gamma_1) = V_{\tilde{\sigma}, l}(s_1, s_2, s_3),$$

our claim follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Retain the notation. Let  $v \in V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}$ .*

(i) *If  $W = \varphi_\sigma(v)$ , then we have*

$$\tilde{W}(gk) = \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(k)v)(g)$$

for  $(g, k) \in G \times K$ , and

$$\tilde{W}(m_p y) = \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(m_p)v)(y)$$

for  $0 \leq p \leq 3$  and  $y \in A$ .

(ii) *If  $W = R(E_{i,j}^p) \varphi_\sigma(v)$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ ), then we have*

$$\tilde{W}(gk) = -R(\text{Ad}(k)(E_{i,j}^p)) \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(k)v)(g)$$

for  $(g, k) \in G \times K$ , and

$$\tilde{W}(m_p y) = -R(\text{Ad}(m_p)(E_{i,j}^p)) \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(m_p)v)(y),$$

$$\widetilde{W}(y) = \begin{cases} 4\pi\sqrt{-1}y_i\widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(v)(y) + \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}})v)(y) & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}})v)(y) & \text{if } j > i + 1 \end{cases}$$

for  $0 \leq p \leq 3$  and  $y = \text{diag}(y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4, y_2 y_3 y_4, y_3 y_4, y_4) \in A$ .

*Proof.* Our statement (i) is obvious. Let us show (ii). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}(gk) &= W(w {}^t g^{-1} k) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_\sigma(v)(w {}^t g^{-1} k \exp(tE_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{p}})k^{-1} \cdot k) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(k)v)(w {}^t (gk \exp(-tE_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{p}})k^{-1})^{-1}) \\ &= -\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(k)v)(w {}^t (gk \exp(tE_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{p}})k^{-1})^{-1}) \\ &= -\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(k)v)(gk \exp(tE_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{p}})k^{-1}) \\ &= -R(\text{Ad}(k)(E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{p}}))\widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(k)v)(g). \end{aligned}$$

Our claim for  $\widetilde{W}(m_p y)$  readily follows from the above. As for the radial part, in view of  $E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{p}} = 2E_{j,i} + E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}(y) &= 2 \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_\sigma(v)(w {}^t y^{-1} \exp(tE_{j,i}) + \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}})v)(y) \\ &= 2 \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_\sigma(v)(w {}^t (y \exp(-tE_{i,j}))^{-1}) + \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}})v)(y) \\ &= -2 \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(v)(y \exp(tE_{i,j})) + \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}})v)(y) \\ &= -2R(E_{i,j})\widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(v)(y) + \widetilde{\varphi}_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \delta_3)}(E_{i,j}^{\mathfrak{t}})v)(y) \end{aligned}$$

to get the assertion.  $\square$

**6.4. Test vectors and main results.** To compute  $W'(\hat{y})$  in Lemma 6.1, we consider the actions of  $E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{t}}$ ,  $E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{t}}$  and  $m_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) on  $U(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes V_\lambda$ . For  $w = \sum_i c_i E_{p_i, q_i}^{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes u_{i_i} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\lambda$  ( $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $l_i \in S_\lambda$ ) we write  $\bar{w} = \sum_i \bar{c}_i E_{p_i, q_i}^{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes u_{i_i}$ .

**Lemma 6.5** ([11, Proposition 2.4]). *For  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \delta_3 \geq \delta_4$ , we set  $\lambda = (\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)$ .*

(a) *When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ , if we set*

$$w = u_0 \in V_\lambda,$$

*then we have*

$$\tau_\lambda(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{t}})w = \tau_\lambda(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{t}})w = 0, \quad \tau_\lambda(m_i)w = w \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

(b) *When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , if we set*

$$w = u_{e_2} + \sqrt{-1}u_{e_4} \in V_\lambda,$$

*then we have*

$$\tau_\lambda(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{t}})w = 0, \quad \tau_\lambda(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{t}})w = \sqrt{-1}w, \quad \tau_\lambda(m_i)w = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } i = 0, 1, \\ -\bar{w} & \text{if } i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

(c) *When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ , if we set*

$$w = E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes u_{e_{12}} - E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes u_{e_{23}} + E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes u_{e_{34}} + E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes u_{e_{14}} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\lambda,$$

*then we have*

$$(\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{p}})w = (\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{p}})w = 0, \quad (\text{Ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(m_i)w = w \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

(d) *When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ , if we set*

$$w = (-E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}} + \sqrt{-1}E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes u_{e_1} + (E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}} - \sqrt{-1}E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes u_{e_3} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$(\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{1,3}^\dagger)w = 0, \quad (\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{2,4}^\dagger)w = \sqrt{-1}w, \quad (\text{Ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(m_i)w = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } i = 0, 1, \\ -\bar{w} & \text{if } i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

(e) When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ , if we set

$$w = (E_{1,4}^\mathfrak{p} E_{2,3}^\mathfrak{p} - E_{1,2}^\mathfrak{p} E_{3,4}^\mathfrak{p}) \otimes u_0 \in U(\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}) \otimes V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$(\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{1,3}^\mathfrak{p})w = (\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{2,4}^\mathfrak{p})w = 0, \quad (\text{Ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(m_i)w = w \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

*Proof.* Use Lemma 2.4 (i) and the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(E_{1,3}^\dagger)E_{p,q}^\mathfrak{p} &= -\delta_{1,p}E_{3,q}^\mathfrak{p} - \delta_{1,q}E_{3,p}^\mathfrak{p} + \delta_{3,p}E_{1,q}^\mathfrak{p} + \delta_{3,q}E_{1,p}^\mathfrak{p}, \\ \text{ad}(E_{2,4}^\dagger)E_{p,q}^\mathfrak{p} &= -\delta_{2,p}E_{4,q}^\mathfrak{p} - \delta_{2,q}E_{4,p}^\mathfrak{p} + \delta_{4,p}E_{2,q}^\mathfrak{p} + \delta_{4,q}E_{2,p}^\mathfrak{p}, \\ \text{Ad}(m_i)E_{p,q}^\mathfrak{p} &= (-1)^{\delta_{i,p} + \delta_{i,q}} E_{p,q}^\mathfrak{p} \quad (i = 1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq p, q \leq 4$ . □

**Lemma 6.6.** For  $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and  $\delta_2, \delta_3 \in \{0, 1\}$  with  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_3$ , we set  $\lambda = (\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)$ . For  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq 4$  we define  $w_0, w_p, w_{p,q}, w_{pq}, w_{p,qr} \in V_\lambda$  as follows:

- When  $\kappa_1$  is even and  $\delta_2 = \delta_3$ , we put

$$w_0 := \text{qR}(((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{\kappa_1/2}), \quad w_{p,q} := \text{qR}(((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{(\kappa_1-2)/2} \xi_p \xi_q).$$

- When  $\kappa_1$  is even and  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ , we put

$$w_{p,qr} := \text{qR}(((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{(\kappa_1-2)/2} \xi_p \xi_{qr}).$$

- When  $\kappa_1$  is odd and  $\delta_2 = \delta_3$ , we put

$$w_p := \text{qR}(((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{(\kappa_1-1)/2} \xi_p).$$

- When  $\kappa_1$  is odd and  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ , we put

$$w_{pq} := \text{qR}(((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{(\kappa_1-1)/2} \xi_{pq}).$$

Then we have the following.

(a) When  $\kappa_1$  is even and  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (0, 0)$ , if we set

$$w = w_0 \in V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$\tau_\lambda(E_{1,3}^\dagger)w = \tau_\lambda(E_{2,4}^\dagger)w = 0, \quad \tau_\lambda(m_i)w = w \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

(b) When  $\kappa_1$  is odd and  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (0, 0)$ , if we set

$$w = w_2 + \sqrt{-1}w_4 \in V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$\tau_\lambda(E_{1,3}^\dagger)w = 0, \quad \tau_\lambda(E_{2,4}^\dagger)w = \sqrt{-1}w, \quad \tau_\lambda(m_i)w = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } i = 0, 1, \\ -\bar{w} & \text{if } i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

(c) When  $\kappa_1$  is even and  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 1)$ , if we set

$$w = E_{1,2}^\mathfrak{p} \otimes w_{3,4} - E_{2,3}^\mathfrak{p} \otimes w_{1,4} + E_{3,4}^\mathfrak{p} \otimes w_{1,2} - E_{1,4}^\mathfrak{p} \otimes w_{2,3} \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} \otimes V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$(\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{1,3}^\dagger)w = (\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{2,4}^\dagger)w = 0, \quad (\text{Ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(m_i)w = w \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

(d) When  $\kappa_1$  is odd and  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 1)$ , if we set

$$w = (-E_{3,4}^\mathfrak{p} + \sqrt{-1}E_{2,3}^\mathfrak{p}) \otimes w_1 + (E_{1,4}^\mathfrak{p} - \sqrt{-1}E_{1,2}^\mathfrak{p})w_3 \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} \otimes V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$(\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{1,3}^\dagger)w = 0, \quad (\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{2,4}^\dagger)w = \sqrt{-1}w, \quad (\text{Ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(m_i)w = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } i = 0, 1, \\ -\bar{w} & \text{if } i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

(e) When  $\kappa_1$  is even and  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ , if we set

$$w = -\sqrt{-1}w_{2,24} + w_{4,24} \in V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$\tau_\lambda(E_{1,3}^\natural)w = 0, \quad \tau_\lambda(E_{2,4}^\natural)w = \sqrt{-1}w, \quad \tau_\lambda(m_i)w = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } i = 0, 1, \\ -\bar{w} & \text{if } i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

(f) When  $\kappa_1$  is odd and  $(\delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0)$ , if we set

$$w = E_{1,2}^p \otimes w_{12} - E_{2,3}^p \otimes w_{23} + E_{3,4}^p \otimes w_{34} + E_{1,4}^p \otimes w_{14} \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} \otimes V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$(\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{1,3}^\natural)w = (\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{2,4}^\natural)w = 0, \quad (\text{Ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(m_i)w = w \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{T}(E_{1,3}^\natural)((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^n = \mathcal{T}(E_{2,4}^\natural)((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^n = 0$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{(\kappa_1, 0, \delta_3)}(E_{1,3}^\natural)w_0 &= \tau_{(\kappa_1, 0, \delta_3)}(E_{2,4}^\natural)w_0 = 0, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, 0, \delta_3)}(E_{1,3}^\natural)w_p &= \delta_{3,p}w_1 - \delta_{1,p}w_3, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, 0, \delta_3)}(E_{2,4}^\natural)w_p &= \delta_{4,p}w_2 - \delta_{2,p}w_4, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, 0, \delta_3)}(E_{1,3}^\natural)w_{p,q} &= \delta_{3,p}w_{1,q} - \delta_{1,p}w_{3,q} + \delta_{3,q}w_{p,1} - \delta_{1,q}w_{p,3}, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, 0, \delta_3)}(E_{2,4}^\natural)w_{p,q} &= \delta_{4,p}w_{2,q} - \delta_{2,p}w_{4,q} + \delta_{4,q}w_{p,2} - \delta_{2,q}w_{p,4}, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}(E_{1,3}^\natural)w_{2,24} &= \tau_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}(E_{1,3}^\natural)w_{4,24} = 0, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}(E_{2,4}^\natural)w_{2,24} &= -w_{4,24}, \quad \tau_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}(E_{2,4}^\natural)w_{4,24} = w_{2,24}, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}(E_{1,3}^\natural)w_{pq} &= \delta_{3,p}w_{1q} - \delta_{1,p}w_{3q} + \delta_{3,q}w_{p1} - \delta_{1,q}w_{p3}, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, 1, 0)}(E_{2,4}^\natural)w_{pq} &= \delta_{4,p}w_{2q} - \delta_{2,p}w_{4q} + \delta_{4,q}w_{p2} - \delta_{2,q}w_{p4}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $w_{p,q} = w_{q,p}$  and  $w_{pq} = -w_{qp}$ . Combined with the formulas in the proof of Lemma 6.5, we can get the assertion.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.7.** For  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  with  $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2$ , we set  $\lambda = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)$ . For  $1 \leq p, q \leq 4$  we define  $w_0, w_p, w_{pq} \in V_\lambda$  as follows:

- When  $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2$  is even, we put

$$w_0 := \text{qR}(((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)/2} \xi_{24}^{\kappa_2}), \quad w_{pq} := \text{qR}(((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2)/2} \xi_{pq} \xi_{24}^{\kappa_2 - 1}).$$

- When  $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2$  is odd, we put

$$w_p := \text{qR}(((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 1)/2} \xi_p \xi_{24}^{\kappa_2}).$$

Then we have the following.

(a) When  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are even, if we set

$$w = w_0 \in V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$\tau_\lambda(E_{1,3}^\natural)w = \tau_\lambda(E_{2,4}^\natural)w = 0, \quad \tau_\lambda(m_i)w = w \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

(b) When  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are odd, if we set

$$w = E_{1,2}^p \otimes w_{12} - E_{2,3}^p \otimes w_{23} + E_{3,4}^p \otimes w_{34} + E_{1,4}^p \otimes w_{14} \in \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C} \otimes V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$(\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{1,3}^\natural)w = (\text{ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(E_{2,4}^\natural)w = 0, \quad (\text{Ad} \otimes \tau_\lambda)(m_i)w = w \quad (0 \leq i \leq 3).$$

(c) When  $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2$  is odd, if we set

$$w = w_2 + \sqrt{-1}w_4 \in V_\lambda,$$

then we have

$$\tau_\lambda(E_{1,3}^\natural)w = 0, \quad \tau_\lambda(E_{2,4}^\natural)w = \sqrt{-1}w, \quad \tau_\lambda(m_i)w = \begin{cases} w & \text{if } i = 0, 1, \\ (-1)^{\kappa_1} \bar{w} & \text{if } i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{k}})w_p &= \delta_{3,p}w_1 - \delta_{1,p}w_3, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{k}})w_p &= \delta_{4,p}w_2 - \delta_{2,p}w_4, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{1,3}^{\mathfrak{k}})w_{pq} &= \delta_{3,p}w_{1q} - \delta_{1,p}w_{3q} + \delta_{3,q}w_{p1} - \delta_{1,q}w_{p3}, \\ \tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{2,4}^{\mathfrak{k}})w_{pq} &= \delta_{4,p}w_{2q} - \delta_{2,p}w_{4q} + \delta_{4,q}w_{p2} - \delta_{2,q}w_{p4}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we can state our main results for archimedean zeta integrals.

**Theorem 6.8** ([11, Theorem 4.1]). *Let  $\sigma = \chi_{(\nu_1, \delta_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_4, \delta_4)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \delta_3 \geq \delta_4$  such that  $\Pi_\sigma$  is irreducible. Let  $\varphi_\sigma : V_{(\delta_1 - \delta_4, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  be the  $K$ -homomorphism given in Theorem 5.14. We define  $W \in \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  as follows.*

- *Case 1-(a): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ , we set*

$$W = \varphi_\sigma(u_0).$$

- *Case 1-(b): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_2} + \sqrt{-1}u_{e_4}).$$

- *Case 1-(c): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})(4\pi)^{-1} \{R(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_{12}}) - R(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_{23}}) + R(E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_{34}}) + R(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_{14}})\}.$$

- *Case 1-(d): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})(4\pi)^{-1} \{R(-E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}} + \sqrt{-1}E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_1}) + R(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}} - \sqrt{-1}E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_3})\}.$$

- *Case 1-(e): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$ , we set*

$$W = (4\pi)^{-2} R(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}}E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}} - E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}}E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(u_0).$$

Here  $R$  is the right differential. Further we choose  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $b \equiv \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4 \pmod{2}$ , and set  $\Phi = \Phi_{(0,b)}$ . Then we have

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = L(s_1, \Pi_\sigma)L(s_2, \Pi_\sigma, \Lambda^2),$$

$$Z(1 - s_1, 1 - s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}) = \varepsilon(s_1, \Pi_\sigma, \psi_{\mathbb{R}})\varepsilon(s_2, \Pi_\sigma, \Lambda^2, \psi_{\mathbb{R}})L(1 - s_1, \widetilde{\Pi}_\sigma)L(1 - s_2, \widetilde{\Pi}_\sigma, \Lambda^2).$$

**Theorem 6.9.** *Let  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_2, \delta_2)} \boxtimes \chi_{(\nu_3, \delta_3)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $\delta_2, \delta_3 \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $\delta_2 \geq \delta_3$  such that  $\Pi_\sigma$  is irreducible. We define  $\delta_1 \in \{0, 1\}$  by  $\delta_1 \equiv \kappa_1 \pmod{2}$ . Let  $\varphi_\sigma : V_{(\kappa_1, \delta_2 - \delta_3, \delta_3)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  be the  $K$ -homomorphism given in Theorem 5.15. Under the notation in Lemma 6.6, we define  $W \in \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  as follows.*

- *Case 2-(a): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = (0, 0, 0)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \varphi_\sigma(w_0).$$

- *Case 2-(b): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 0, 0)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \varphi_\sigma(w_2 + \sqrt{-1}w_4).$$

- *Case 2-(c): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = (0, 1, 1)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} (4\pi)^{-1} \{R(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_{3,4}) - R(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_{1,4}) + R(E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_{1,2}) - R(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_{2,3})\}.$$

- *Case 2-(d): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 1, 1)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} (4\pi)^{-1} \{R(-E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}} + \sqrt{-1}E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_1) + R(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}} - \sqrt{-1}E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_3)\}.$$

- *Case 2-(e): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = (0, 1, 0)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \varphi_\sigma(-\sqrt{-1}w_{2,24} + w_{4,24}).$$

- *Case 2-(f): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = (1, 1, 0)$ , we set*

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} (4\pi)^{-1} \{R(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_{12}) - R(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_{23}) + R(E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_{34}) + R(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{p}})\varphi_\sigma(w_{14})\}.$$

Here  $R$  is the right differential. Further we choose  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $b \equiv \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 \pmod{2}$ , and set  $\Phi = \Phi_{(0,b)}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= L(s_1, \Pi_\sigma) L(s_2, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2), \\ Z(1 - s_1, 1 - s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}) &= \varepsilon(s_1, \Pi_\sigma, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) \varepsilon(s_2, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) L(1 - s_1, \widetilde{\Pi}_\sigma) L(1 - s_2, \widetilde{\Pi}_\sigma, \wedge^2). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 6.10.** Let  $\sigma = D_{(\nu_1, \kappa_1)} \boxtimes D_{(\nu_2, \kappa_2)}$  with  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$  and  $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2$  such that  $\Pi_\sigma$  is irreducible. We define  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \{0, 1\}$  by  $\delta_1 \equiv \kappa_1$ ,  $\delta_2 \equiv \kappa_2 \pmod{2}$ . Let  $\varphi_\sigma : V_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)} \rightarrow \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  be the  $K$ -homomorphism given in Theorem 5.16. Under the notation in Lemma 6.7, we define  $W \in \text{Wh}(\Pi_\sigma, \psi_1)^{\text{mg}}$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  as follows.

- Case 3-(a): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (0, 0)$ , we set

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \varphi_\sigma(w_0).$$

- Case 3-(b): When  $(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (1, 1)$ , we set

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} (4\pi)^{-1} \{R(E_{1,2}^p) \varphi_\sigma(w_{12}) - R(E_{2,3}^p) \varphi_\sigma(w_{23}) + R(E_{3,4}^p) \varphi_\sigma(w_{34}) + R(E_{1,4}^p) \varphi_\sigma(w_{14})\}.$$

- Case 3-(c): When  $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$ , we set

$$W = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1 + \delta_2} \varphi_\sigma(w_2 + \sqrt{-1}w_4).$$

Here  $R$  is the right differential. Further we choose  $b \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $b \equiv \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 \pmod{2}$ , and set  $\Phi = \Phi_{(0,b)}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= L(s_1, \Pi_\sigma) L(s_2, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2), \\ Z(1 - s_1, 1 - s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}) &= \varepsilon(s_1, \Pi_\sigma, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) \varepsilon(s_2, \Pi_\sigma, \wedge^2, \psi_{\mathbb{R}}) L(1 - s_1, \widetilde{\Pi}_\sigma) L(1 - s_2, \widetilde{\Pi}_\sigma, \wedge^2). \end{aligned}$$

In the next three subsections we prove Theorems 6.8, 6.9 and 6.10.

**6.5. Proof of Theorem 6.8.** By Lemma 6.5, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} W'(\hat{y}) &= 2^{-2} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W(m_i \hat{y} \tilde{i}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})) \exp(-\sqrt{-1}b\theta_2) \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi} \\ &= \begin{cases} W(\hat{y}) & \text{cases 1-(a), (c), (e),} \\ \varphi_\sigma(u_{e_4})(\hat{y}) & \text{case 1-(b),} \\ (4\pi)^{-1} \{R(E_{2,3}^p) \varphi_\sigma(u_{e_1})(\hat{y}) - R(E_{1,2}^p) \varphi_\sigma(u_{e_3})(\hat{y})\} & \text{case 1-(d).} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 6.1 implies that

$$(6.2) \quad Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi_{(0,b)}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + b) V'(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2).$$

Here we use the notation  $\gamma_1 = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4$ . We express the Mellin-Barnes kernel  $V'(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  of  $W(\hat{y})$  in terms of  $V_{\sigma,1}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$  given in Theorem 5.14, and compute the zeta integral by using the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.11.** For  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} A(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7; \mu) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + a_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_1 + a_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_2 + a_3) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + a_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + a_5) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + a_6) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + a_7), \\ B_0(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6; b_7, b_8; \mu) &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + b_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_1 + b_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 - q + \mu_2 + b_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + b_7) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + b_8)} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + b_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_3 + b_5) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \mu_4 + b_6) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6; b_7, b_8; \mu) = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q B_0(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6; b_7, b_8; \mu) dq.$$

When  $b_4 = b_7$ ,  $B_0(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6; b_7, b_8; \mu)$  and  $B(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6; b_7, b_8; \mu)$  are denoted by  $B_0(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_5, b_6; b_8; \mu)$  and  $B(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_5, b_6; b_8; \mu)$ , respectively. If  $b_8 = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_5 + b_6$ ,  $a_6 = b_1 + b_2 + b_5 + b_6$  and  $a_7 = b_1 + b_3 + b_5 + b_6$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &A(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7; \mu) B(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_5, b_6; b_8; \mu) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + a_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 + b_2 + b_3 + b_5 + b_6)} \\ &\quad \times L_1(a_2, a_3, b_1 + b_5, b_1 + b_6; \mu) L_2(a_4, b_2 + b_5, b_2 + b_6, b_3 + b_5, b_3 + b_6, a_5; \mu), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4; \mu) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \mu_i + \alpha_i),$$

$$L_2(\alpha_{1,2}, \alpha_{1,3}, \alpha_{1,4}, \alpha_{2,3}, \alpha_{2,4}, \alpha_{3,4}; \mu) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \mu_i + \mu_j + \alpha_{i,j}).$$

*Proof.* It is immediate from Lemma 1.2.  $\square$

Let us compute the zeta integral  $Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi)$ . We write  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)$  and  $\nu' = (\nu_4, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_1)$ .

**Case 1-(a):**  $V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = V_{\sigma, \mathbf{0}}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ , (6.2), Theorem 5.14 (i) and Lemma 6.11 with  $\mu = \nu$  imply that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1) V_{\sigma, \mathbf{0}}(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2) \\ &= A(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0; \nu) B(0, 0, 0, 0, 0; \nu) \\ &= L_1(0, 0, 0, 0; \nu) L_2(0, 0, 0, 0; \nu). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 1-(b):**  $V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = V_{\sigma, e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$ , (6.2), Theorem 5.14 (ii) and Lemma 6.11 with  $\mu = \nu$  imply that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 1) V_{\sigma, e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2) \\ &= A(1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0; \nu) B(0, 1, 0, 0, 0; 1; \nu) \\ &= L_1(1, 0, 0, 0; \nu) L_2(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; \nu). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 1-(c):** By using  $E_{i,j}^p = 2E_{i,j} - E_{i,j}^{\dagger}$ , we know

$$\begin{aligned} W'(\hat{y}) &= (4\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-1} \{ R(2E_{1,2})\varphi_{\sigma}(u_{e_{12}})(\hat{y}) - \varphi_{\sigma}(\tau_{(1,1,0)}(E_{1,2}^{\dagger})u_{e_{12}})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad - R(2E_{2,3})\varphi_{\sigma}(u_{e_{23}})(\hat{y}) + \varphi_{\sigma}(\tau_{(1,1,0)}(E_{2,3}^{\dagger})u_{e_{23}})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad + R(2E_{3,4})\varphi_{\sigma}(u_{e_{34}})(\hat{y}) - \varphi_{\sigma}(\tau_{(1,1,0)}(E_{3,4}^{\dagger})u_{e_{34}})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad + R(2E_{1,4})\varphi_{\sigma}(u_{e_{14}})(\hat{y}) - \varphi_{\sigma}(\tau_{(1,1,0)}(E_{1,4}^{\dagger})u_{e_{14}})(\hat{y}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 4.1 and  $\tau_{(1,1,0)}(E_{i,j}^{\dagger})u_{e_{ij}} = 0$ , we know

$$W'(\hat{y}) = y_1 \varphi_{\sigma}(u_{e_{12}})(\hat{y}) - y_2 \varphi_{\sigma}(u_{e_{23}})(\hat{y}) + y_3 \varphi_{\sigma}(u_{e_{34}})(\hat{y}),$$

that is,

$$V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = V_{\sigma, e_{12}}(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_3) + V_{\sigma, e_{23}}(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3) + V_{\sigma, e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 1).$$

Then (6.2) and Theorem 5.14 (iii) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1) \{ V_{\sigma, e_{12}}(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_1 + s_2) \\ &\quad + V_{\sigma, e_{23}}(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_1 + s_2) + V_{\sigma, e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2 + 1) \} \\ &= A(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1; \nu) B(2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu) \\ &\quad + A(0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1; \nu) B(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu) \\ &\quad + A(0, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1; \nu) B(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2; \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the expression  $V_{\sigma, e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) = U_0(s_1, s_2, s_3 - 1; \nu_1 + 1, \nu_2 + 1, \nu_3, \nu_4)$  (Lemma 5.3 (ii)). In view of (1.3), we know

$$\begin{aligned} &A(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1; \nu) B_0(2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu) + A(0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1; \nu) B_0(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu) \\ &\quad + A(0, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1; \nu) B_0(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2; \nu) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} \{ (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2) + (s_1 - q) \} A(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1; \nu) B_0(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu) \\ &\quad + A(0, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1; \nu) B_0(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2; \nu) \\ &= \{ A(0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1; \nu) + A(0, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1; \nu) \} B_0(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2; \nu) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} (2s_2 + \gamma_1) \cdot A(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1; \nu) B_0(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2; \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 6.11 with  $\mu = \nu$  leads us that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= (2\pi)^{-1} (2s_2 + \gamma_1) A(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1; \nu) B(0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2; \nu) \\ &= \frac{2s_2 + \gamma_1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \cdot L_1(1, 1, 0, 0; \nu) L_2(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0; \nu) \\ &= L_1(1, 1, 0, 0; \nu) L_2(0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0; \nu). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 1-(d):** As is the case 1-(c), we know

$$\begin{aligned} W'(\hat{y}) &= (4\pi)^{-1} \{ R(2E_{2,3})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_1})(\hat{y}) - \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(1,0,1)}(E_{2,3}^\dagger)u_{e_1})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad - R(2E_{1,2})\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_3})(\hat{y}) + \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(1,0,1)}(E_{1,2}^\dagger)u_{e_3})(\hat{y}) \} \\ &= \sqrt{-1}y_2\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_1})(\hat{y}) - \sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi_\sigma(u_{e_3})(\hat{y}), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = V_{\sigma, e_1}(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3) + V_{\sigma, e_3}(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_3).$$

Then (6.2) and Theorem 5.14 (iv) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= A(1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1; \nu')B(1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu') \\ &\quad + A(1, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1; \nu')B(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0; 2, 0; \nu'). \end{aligned}$$

In view of

$$\begin{aligned} &A(1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 0, 1; \nu')B_0(1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu') + A(1, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1; \nu')B_0(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0; 2, 0; \nu') \\ &= A(1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1; \nu')B_0(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu') \\ &\quad \times (2\pi)^{-2} \{ (s_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_1)(s_2 - q + \nu_2) + (s_1 + \nu_4)(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + \gamma_1) \} \\ &= A(1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1; \nu')B_0(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0; 2, 2; \nu') \\ &\quad \times (2\pi)^{-2} (s_1 + s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)(s_1 + s_2 - q + \nu_4 + \nu_2) \\ &= A(1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1; \nu')B_0(1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 2; \nu'), \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.11 with  $\mu = \nu'$  leads us that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = L_1(0, 1, 1, 1; \nu')L_2(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0; \nu') = L_1(1, 1, 1, 0; \nu)L_2(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1; \nu).$$

**Case 1-(e):** Since

$$W'(\hat{y}) = (4\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-2} R(4E_{1,2}E_{3,4})\varphi_\sigma(u_0)(\hat{y}) = y_1y_3\varphi_\sigma(u_0)(\hat{y}),$$

we have  $V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = V_0(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_3 + 1)$ . Then we can get the assertion as is the case 1-(a).

As for the contragredient zeta integral  $Z(s_1, s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi})$ , our claim follows from Proposition 6.3, Lemma 6.4 and  $\widehat{\Phi}_{(0,b)} = (\sqrt{-1})^b \Phi_{(0,b)}$  ( $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ). See also [11, §4.4].

**6.6. Proof of Theorem 6.9.** By Lemma 6.6, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} W'(\hat{y}) &= 2^{-2} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W(m_i \hat{y} \tilde{l}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})) \exp(-\sqrt{-1}b\theta_2) \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi} \\ &= \begin{cases} W(\hat{y}) & \text{cases 2-(a),(c),(f),} \\ (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1-1} \varphi_\sigma(w_4)(\hat{y}) & \text{case 2-(b),} \\ (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1-1} (4\pi)^{-1} \{ R(E_{2,3}^\dagger)\varphi_\sigma(w_1)(\hat{y}) - R(E_{1,2}^\dagger)\varphi_\sigma(w_3)(\hat{y}) \} & \text{case 2-(d),} \\ (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1+1} \varphi_\sigma(w'_{2,24})(\hat{y}) & \text{case 2-(e).} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 6.1 implies that

$$(6.3) \quad Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi_{(0,b)}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + b)V'(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2).$$

Here we use the notation  $\gamma_1 = 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3$ .

**Lemma 6.12.** For  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $a_i, b_j, c_k, d_l \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq i, k, l \leq 5, 1 \leq j \leq 7$ ), we set

$$\begin{aligned} A(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + a_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} + a_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - 2j + a_3) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j + a_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} + a_5), \\ B_0(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5; b_6, b_7) &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + 2j + b_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} + b_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + b_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 + b_6)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j + b_7)} \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2 + b_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + b_5), \\ C(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} + c_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} + c_2) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + c_3)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \kappa_1 + c_4)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + c_5), \\ D_0(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4; d_5) &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + d_1)\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2} + d_2)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_2 + d_3)\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(q + \nu_3 + d_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \kappa_1 + d_5)} \end{aligned}$$



and

$$B(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5; b_6, b_7) = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q B_0(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5; b_6, b_7) dq,$$

$$D(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4; d_5) = \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q D_0(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4; d_5) dq.$$

(i) Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\kappa_1 - \delta \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . If  $a_3 + a_4 + b_1 + \delta = b_7$ ,  $a_3 + b_1 + \delta = b_3$  and  $a_3 + b_1 = b_6$ , then we have

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \delta}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \delta}{2}}{j} A(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) B(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5; b_6, b_7)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + a_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + a_3 + a_4 + \delta)} \cdot C(a_2, a_5, a_4, a_3 + a_4, a_3 + \delta) D(b_1, b_2, b_4, b_5; a_3 + a_4 + b_1).$$

(ii) If  $d_5 = d_1 + 2d_2 + d_3 + d_4$ ,  $c_2 = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4$  and  $c_4 = 2d_2 + d_3 + d_4$ , then we have

$$C(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5) D(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4; d_5) = L_1(c_1, d_1 + d_3, d_1 + d_4) L_2(d_2 + d_3, d_2 + d_4, c_5, c_3),$$

where

$$L_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \alpha_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_2 + \alpha_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + \nu_3 + \alpha_3),$$

$$L_2(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \beta_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \beta_2)$$

$$\times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \beta_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \beta_4).$$

*Proof.* The first claim (i) follows from Lemma 6.2. The latter is immediate from Lemma 1.2 together with the duplication formula (1.4).  $\square$

**Case 2-(a):** Since  $W'(\hat{y}) = W(\hat{y})$  can be written as

$$(-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \varphi_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{R}}((\xi_1)^2 + (\xi_3)^2)^{\kappa_1/2})(y) = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{j} \varphi_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{q}_{\mathcal{R}}((\xi_1)^j (\xi_3)^{\kappa_1 - 2j}))(y),$$

we have

$$V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{j} (\sqrt{-1})^{-2j + \kappa_1 - 2j} V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - 2j)e_3}(s_1, s_2, s_3)$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{j} V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - 2j)e_3}(s_1, s_2, s_3).$$

Then (6.3), Theorem 5.15 (i) and 6.12 imply that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1) \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{j} V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - 2j)e_3}(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2)$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 0, 0, 0) B(0, 0, 0, 0, 0; 0, 0)$$

$$= C(0, 0, 0, 0, 0) D(0, 0, 0, 0; 0)$$

$$= L_1(0, 0, 0) L_2(0, 0, 0, 0).$$

**Case 2-(b):** We can see

$$V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}}{j} V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - 2j - 1)e_3 + e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_3).$$

Then (6.3), Theorem 5.15 (i) and 6.12 imply that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 1) \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}}{j} V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - 2j - 1)e_3 + e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2)$$

$$= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2}}{j} A(1, 0, 0, 0, 0) B(0, 0, 1, 0, 0; 0, 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)D(0, 0, 0, 0; 0) \\
&= L_1(0, 0, 0)L_2(0, 0, 1, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

**Case 2-(c):** As is the case 1-(c), we know

$$\begin{aligned}
W'(\hat{y}) &= (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} (4\pi)^{-1} \{R(2E_{1,2})\varphi_\sigma(w_{3,4})(\hat{y}) - \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,2}^\dagger)w_{3,4})(\hat{y}) \\
&\quad - R(2E_{2,3})\varphi_\sigma(w_{1,4})(\hat{y}) + \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{2,3}^\dagger)w_{1,4})(\hat{y}) \\
&\quad + R(2E_{3,4})\varphi_\sigma(w_{1,2})(\hat{y}) - \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{3,4}^\dagger)w_{1,2})(\hat{y}) \\
&\quad - R(2E_{1,4})\varphi_\sigma(w_{2,3})(\hat{y}) + \varphi_\sigma(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,4}^\dagger)w_{2,3})(\hat{y})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we can see that  $\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,2}^\dagger)w_{3,4} = \tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,4}^\dagger)w_{2,3}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{2,3}^\dagger)w_{1,4} &= -\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{3,4}^\dagger)w_{1,2} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}}{j} (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2) u_{(2j+1)e_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-3)e_3+e_4},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W'(\hat{y}) &= (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}}{j} \{ \sqrt{-1} y_1 \varphi_\sigma(u_{2je_1+(\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3+e_4})(\hat{y}) \\
&\quad - \sqrt{-1} y_2 \varphi_\sigma(u_{(2j+1)e_1+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3+e_4})(\hat{y}) + \sqrt{-1} y_3 \varphi_\sigma(u_{(2j+1)e_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3})(\hat{y}) \\
&\quad + (2\pi)^{-1} (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2) \varphi_\sigma(u_{(2j+1)e_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-3)e_3+e_4})(\hat{y}) \},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}}{j} \{ V_{\sigma, 2je_1+(\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3+e_4}(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_3) \\
&\quad + V_{\sigma, (2j+1)e_1+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3+e_4}(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3) + V_{\sigma, (2j+1)e_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3}(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 1) \\
&\quad - (2\pi)^{-1} (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2) V_{\sigma, (2j+1)e_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-3)e_3+e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (6.3) and Theorem 5.15 (i) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}}{j} \{ A(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)B(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; 1, 1) \\
&\quad + A(0, 0, 0, 2, 0)B(1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 1, 3) + A(0, 0, -2, 2, 1)B(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; -1, 3) \\
&\quad - (2\pi)^{-1} (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2) A(0, 0, -2, 2, 0)B(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; -1, 3) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)B_0(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; 1, 1) + A(0, 0, 0, 2, 0)B_0(1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 1, 3) \\
&\quad - (2\pi)^{-1} (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2) A(0, 0, -2, 2, 0)B_0(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; -1, 3) \\
&= A(0, 0, -2, 0, 0)B_0(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; 1, 3) \cdot (2\pi)^{-3} \\
&\quad \times \{ (s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - 2j - 2)(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j + 1) \\
&\quad + (s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - 2j - 2)(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j)(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}) \\
&\quad - (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2)(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j)(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - 1) \} \\
&= A(0, 0, -2, 0, 0)B_0(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; 1, 3) \cdot (2\pi)^{-3} \\
&\quad \times \{ (s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + 1)(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1^2 j - 2) \\
&\quad + (s_2 + 2\nu_1)(s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j)(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - 1) \} \\
&= A(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)B_0(1, 0, 3, 0, 0; 1, 3) + (2\pi)^{-1} (s_1 + 2\nu_1) A(0, 0, -2, 2, 0)B_0(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; -1, 3),
\end{aligned}$$

we can use Lemma 6.12 (i) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}}{j} \{ A(0, 0, -2, 2, 1)B(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; -1, 3) + A(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)B(1, 0, 3, 0, 0; 1, 3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (2\pi)^{-1}(s_1 + 2\nu_1)A(0, 0, -2, 2, 0)B(1, 0, 1, 0, 0; -1, 3)\} \\
& = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \left\{ C(0, 1, 2, 0, 0) + C(1, 0, 0, 0, 2) + \frac{s_1 + 2\nu_1}{2\pi} C(0, 0, 2, 0, 0) \right\} D(1, 0, 0, 0; 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$C(0, 1, 2, 0, 0) + C(1, 0, 0, 0, 2) + (2\pi)^{-1}(s_1 + 2\nu_1) C(0, 0, 2, 0, 0) = (2\pi)^{-1}(2s_2 + \gamma_1) C(0, 1, 0, 0, 0),$$

Lemma 6.12 (ii) leads us that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) & = C(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)D(1, 0, 0, 0; 1) \\
& = L_1(0, 1, 1)L_2(0, 0, 0, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

**Case 2-(d):** As is the case 1-(d), we know

$$\begin{aligned}
W'(\hat{y}) & = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1-1}(4\pi)^{-1} \{ R(2E_{2,3})\varphi(w_1)(\hat{y}) - \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{k}})w_1)(\hat{y}) \\
& \quad - R(2E_{1,2})\varphi(w_3)(\hat{y}) + \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{k}})w_3)(\hat{y}) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{k}})w_1 = -\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{k}})w_3 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} 2j \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} u_{(2j-1)e_1 + (\kappa_1-2j)e_3 + e_2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W'(\hat{y}) & = (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1-1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} \{ \sqrt{-1}y_2\varphi(u_{(2j+1)e_1 + (\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3})(\hat{y}) \\
& \quad - \sqrt{-1}y_1\varphi(u_{2je_1 + (\kappa_1-2j)e_3})(\hat{y}) - (2\pi)^{-1}(2j)\varphi(u_{(2j-1)e_1 + (\kappa_1-2j)e_3 + e_2})(\hat{y}) \},
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) & = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} \{ V_{\sigma, (2j+1)e_1 + (\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3}(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3) \\
& \quad + V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1-2j)e_3}(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_3) - (2\pi)^{-1}(2j)V_{\sigma, (2j-1)e_1 + e_2 + (\kappa_1-2j)e_3}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (6.3) and Theorem 5.15 (i) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) & = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} \{ A(1, 0, 0, 2, 0)B(1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 1, 2) \\
& \quad + A(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)B(1, 0, 0, 0, 0; 1, 0) - (2\pi)^{-1}(2j)A(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)B(-1, 0, 0, 0, 0; -1, 0) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(1, 0, 0, 2, 0)B_0(1, 1, 0, 0, 0; 1, 2) + A(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)B_0(1, 0, 0, 0, 0; 1, 0) \\
& - (2\pi)^{-1}(2j)A(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)B_0(-1, 0, 0, 0, 0; -1, 0) \\
& = A(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)B_0(-1, 0, 0, 0, 0; 1, 2) \cdot (2\pi)^{-3} \\
& \quad \times \{ (s_2 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j)(s_1 - q + 2j - 1)(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}) \\
& \quad + (s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})(s_1 - q + 2j - 1)(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j) \\
& \quad - 2j(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - 1)(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j) \} \\
& = A(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)B_0(-1, 0, 0, 0, 0; 1, 2) \cdot (2\pi)^{-3} \\
& \quad \times \{ (s_1 - q - 1)(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - 1)(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2j) \\
& \quad - (s_1 - q + 2j - 1)(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2})(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1) \} \\
& = (2\pi)^{-1}(s_1 - q - 1)A(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)B_0(-1, 0, 0, 0, 0; -1, 0) - A(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)B_0(1, 1, 2, 0, 0; 1, 2),
\end{aligned}$$

we can use Lemma 6.12 (i) to get

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = C(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)\{D(1, 0, 0, 0; -1) - D(1, 1, 0, 0; 1)\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& C(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)\{D_0(1, 0, 0, 0; -1) - D_0(1, 1, 0, 0; 1)\} \\
& = C(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)D_0(1, 0, 0, 0; 1) \cdot (2\pi)^{-1} \{ (s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \kappa_1 - 1) - (s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}) \}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= C(1, 0, 0, 0, 1)D_0(1, 0, 0, 0; 1),$$

Lemma 6.12 (ii) leads us that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = L_1(0, 1, 1)L_2(0, 0, 1, 0).$$

**Case 2-(e):** We know

$$V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}}{j} V_{\sigma, 2je_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3+e_{24}}(s_1, s_2, s_3).$$

Then (6.3), Theorem 5.15 (ii) and Lemma 6.12 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2}) \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-2}{2}}{j} A(1, 0, -2, 1, 0) B(0, -1, 0, 1, 0; -2, 1) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2}) C(0, 0, 1, -1, 0) D(0, -1, 1, 0; -1) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2}) L_1(0, 1, 0) L_2(0, -1, 0, 1) \\ &= L_1(0, 1, 0) L_2(0, 0, 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2-(f):** As is the case 1-(c), we know

$$\begin{aligned} W'(\hat{y}) &= (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} (4\pi)^{-1} \{ R(2E_{1,2})\varphi(w_{12})(\hat{y}) - \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{12})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad - R(2E_{2,3})\varphi(w_{23})(\hat{y}) + \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{23})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad + R(2E_{3,4})\varphi(w_{34})(\hat{y}) - \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{34})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad + R(2E_{1,4})\varphi(w_{14})(\hat{y}) - \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{14})(\hat{y}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{12} + \tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{23} - \tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{34} - \tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{14} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} \{ 2ju_{(2j-1)e_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3+e_{12}} + (\kappa_1 - 2j - 1)u_{2je_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3+e_{23}} \\ &\quad + (\kappa_1 - 2j - 1)u_{2je_1+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3+e_4+e_{23}} + 2ju_{(2j-1)e_1+(\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3+e_4+e_{14}} \}, \end{aligned}$$

the relations

$$u_{l+e_2+e_{12}} + u_{l+e_4+e_{14}} = -u_{l+e_3+e_{13}}, \quad u_{l+e_4+e_{34}} = u_{l+e_2+e_{23}} + u_{l+e_1+e_{13}}$$

for  $l \in S_{(\kappa_1-1,0,0)}$ , and  $(j+1)\binom{m}{j+1} = (m-j)\binom{m}{j}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$  imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,2}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{12} + \tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{2,3}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{23} - \tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{3,4}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{34} - \tau_{(\kappa_1,0,1)}(E_{1,4}^{\mathfrak{t}})w_{14} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} 2(\kappa_1 - 2j - 1) \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} u_{2je_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3+e_{23}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} \{ V_{\sigma, 2je_1+(\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3+e_{12}}(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_3) \\ &\quad + V_{\sigma, 2je_1+(\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3+e_{23}}(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3) + V_{\sigma, 2je_1+(\kappa_1-2j-1)e_3+e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 1) \\ &\quad - (2\pi)^{-1} (\kappa_1 - 2j - 1) V_{\sigma, 2je_1+e_2+(\kappa_1-2j-2)e_3+e_{23}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \}, \end{aligned}$$

and (6.3), Theorem 5.15 (ii) imply that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = Z_1 + Z_{2,1} + Z_{2,2} + Z_3 + Z_{4,1} + Z_{4,2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} A(0, 1, 0, 1, 0) B(1, 0, 0, 0, 1; 1, 1), \\ Z_{2,1} &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 0, 1, 0) B(0, 1, 1, 1, 0; 0, 2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2,2} &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 0, 1, 0) B(1, 1, 0, 0, 1; 1, 1), \\
Z_3 &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 0, 1, 1) B(0, 0, 1, 1, 0; 0, 2), \\
Z_{4,1} &= \frac{-\kappa_1 + 1}{2\pi} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-3}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-3}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, -2, 1, 0) B(0, 0, 1, 1, 0; -2, 2) \\
Z_{4,2} &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} \frac{-\kappa_1 + 2j + 1}{2\pi} A(0, 0, -2, 1, 0) B(1, 0, 0, 0, 1; -1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used  $(-\kappa_1 + 2j + 1) \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}}{j} = (-\kappa_1 + 1) \binom{\frac{\kappa_1-3}{2}}{j}$  in  $Z_{4,1}$ . We use Lemma 6.11 (i) to find

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2,1} &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \cdot C(0, 0, 1, 1, 1) D(0, 1, 1, 0; 1), \\
Z_3 &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \cdot C(0, 1, 1, 1, 1) D(0, 0, 1, 0; 1), \\
Z_{4,1} &= \frac{-\kappa_1 + 1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \cdot C(0, 0, 1, -1, 1) D(0, 0, 1, 0; -1).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of

$$\begin{aligned}
&C(0, 0, 1, 1, 1) D(0, 1, 1, 0; 1) + C(0, 1, 1, 1, 1) D(0, 0, 1, 0; 1) \\
&\quad + (2\pi)^{-1} (-\kappa_1 + 1) C(0, 0, 1, -1, 1) D(0, 0, 1, 0; -1) \\
&= C(0, 0, 1, 1, 1) D(0, 0, 1, 0; -1) + (2\pi)^{-1} (-\kappa_1 + 1) C(0, 0, 1, -1, 1) D(0, 0, 1, 0; -1) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-1} (2s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3) C(0, 0, 1, -1, 1) D(0, 0, 1, 0; -1),
\end{aligned}$$

we know that

$$Z_{2,1} + Z_3 + Z_{4,1} = C(0, 0, 1, -1, 1) D(0, 0, 1, 0; -1).$$

Let us consider the sum  $Z_1 + Z_{2,2} + Z_{4,2}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(0, 1, 0, 1, 0) B(1, 0, 0, 0, 1; 1, 1) + A(0, 0, 0, 1, 0) B(1, 1, 0, 0, 1; 1, 1) \\
&\quad + (2\pi)^{-1} (-\kappa_1 + 2j + 1) A(0, 0, -2, 1, 0) B(1, 0, 0, 0, 1; -1, 1) \\
&= A(0, 0, 0, 1, 0) B(1, 0, 0, 0, 1; -1, 1) + (2\pi)^{-1} (-\kappa_1 + 2j + 1) A(0, 0, -2, 1, 0) B(1, 0, 0, 0, 1; -1, 1) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + 2\nu_1 - 1) A(0, 0, -2, 1, 0) B(1, 0, 0, 0, 1; -1, 1),
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.11 (i) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 + Z_{2,2} + Z_{4,2} &= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + 2\nu_1 - 1) C(0, 0, 1, -1, -1) D(1, 0, 0, 1; 0) \\
&= C(0, 0, 1, -1, 1) D(0, -1, 1, 2; -1).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we substituted  $q \rightarrow q + 1$ . In view of

$$D(0, 0, 1, 0; -1) + D(0, -1, 1, 2; -1) = (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}) D(0, -1, 1, 0; -1),$$

Lemma 6.11 (ii) leads us that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}) C(0, 0, 1, -1, 1) D(0, -1, 1, 0; -1) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_3 + \frac{\kappa_1-1}{2}) L_1(0, 1, 0) L_2(0, -1, 1, 1) \\
&= L_1(0, 1, 0) L_2(0, 0, 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the contragredient zeta integral  $Z(s_1, s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi})$ . We first treat the case 2-(a). Using Proposition 6.3 and  $\widehat{\Phi} = \Phi$ , we have

$$Z(s_1, s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 - \gamma_1) \cdot (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1}{2}}{j} (-1)^{\kappa_1 - 2j} V_{\widetilde{\sigma}, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - 2j)e_3}(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2).$$

Thus in the same way as in the evaluation of  $Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi)$ , we know that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}) = (\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} L(s_1, \Pi_{\widetilde{\sigma}}) L(s_2, \Pi_{\widetilde{\sigma}}, \Lambda^2)$$

as desired. The cases 2-(b) and (e) can be similarly done.

Let us consider the case 2-(c). Using Lemma 6.4, Proposition 6.3 and  $\widehat{\Phi} = \Phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 - \gamma_1) \cdot (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - 2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - 2}{2}}{j} \\ &\times \{ \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{-1}^{-1} (-1)^{\kappa_1 - 2j - 1} V_{\bar{\sigma}, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - 2j - 1)e_3 + e_4}(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_3) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{-1}^{-1} (-1)^{\kappa_1 - 2j - 2} V_{\bar{\sigma}, (2j+1)e_1 + (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2)e_3 + e_4}(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sqrt{-1} (-1)^{\kappa_1 - 2j - 2} V_{\bar{\sigma}, (2j+1)e_1 + e_2 + (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2)e_3}(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 1) \\ &\quad - (2\pi)^{-1} (\kappa_1 - 2j - 2) (-1)^{\kappa_1 - 2j - 3} V_{\bar{\sigma}, (2j+1)e_1 + e_2 + (\kappa_1 - 2j - 3)e_3 + e_4}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in the same way as in the evaluation of  $Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi)$ , we know that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}) = (\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1 + 2} L(s_1, \Pi_{\bar{\sigma}}) L(s_2, \Pi_{\bar{\sigma}}, \wedge^2)$$

as desired. The cases 2-(d) and (f) can be similarly done.

**6.7. Proof of Theorem 6.10.** By Lemma 6.7, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} W'(\hat{y}) &= 2^{-2} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W(m_i \hat{y} \tilde{l}(k_{\theta_1}^{(2)}, k_{\theta_2}^{(2)})) \exp(-\sqrt{-1} b \theta_2) \frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta_2}{2\pi} \\ &= \begin{cases} W(\hat{y}) & \text{cases 3-(a), (b),} \\ (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1 + \delta_2 - \delta_1} \varphi_{\sigma}(\delta_2 w_2 + \delta_1 w_4)(\hat{y}) & \text{case 3-(c).} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then Lemma 6.1 implies that

$$(6.4) \quad Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi_{(0,b)}) = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + b) V'(s_1, s_2, s_1 + s_2).$$

Here we use the notation  $\gamma_1 = 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2$ .

**Lemma 6.13.** For  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $a_i, b_j, c_k, d_l \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $1 \leq i, k \leq 7, 1 \leq j \leq 6, 1 \leq l \leq 4$ ), we set

$$\begin{aligned} A(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6; a_7) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + a_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + a_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j + a_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + 2j + a_4) \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + s_2 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + a_5) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - 1 + a_6)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2} - 1 + a_7)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4; b_5, b_6) &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + 2j + b_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - \kappa_2 + b_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + b_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} + b_4)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + b_5) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2j + b_6)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6; c_7) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + c_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + s_2 + \nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + c_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + c_3) \\ &\times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + c_4) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + c_5) \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2} - 1 + c_6)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2} - 1 + c_7)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$D_0(d_1, d_2, d_3; d_4) = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 - q + d_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 - q + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} - \kappa_2 + d_2) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(q + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_2 - 1}{2} + d_3)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_1 + 2s_2 - q + 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 + d_4)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4; b_5, b_6) &= \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q B_0(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4; b_5, b_6) dq, \\ D(d_1, d_2, d_3; d_4) &= \frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_q D_0(d_1, d_2, d_3; d_4) dq. \end{aligned}$$

(i) Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \delta \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . If  $a_3 + a_4 + b_1 + \delta = b_6$ ,  $a_3 + b_1 + \delta = b_3$  and  $a_3 + b_1 = b_5$ , then we have

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \delta}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \delta}{2}}{j} A(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6; a_7) B(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4; b_5, b_6)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + a_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + a_3 + a_4 + \delta)} \cdot C(a_2, a_5, a_3 + a_4, a_3 + \delta, a_4, a_6; a_7) D(b_1, b_2, b_4; a_3 + a_4 + b_1).$$

(ii) If  $d_4 = d_1 + 2d_2 + 2d_3$ ,  $c_2 = d_1 + d_2 + 2d_3$ ,  $c_3 = 2(d_2 + d_3)$  and  $c_7 = d_2 + d_3$ , then we have

$$C(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7) D(d_1, d_2, d_3; d_4) = L_1(c_1, d_1 + d_3) L_2(d_2 + d_3, c_6, c_4, c_5).$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_1 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \alpha_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - 1}{2} + \alpha_2), \\ L_2(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2} + \beta_1) \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s_2 + \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 - 2}{2} + \beta_2) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_1 + \beta_3) \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s_2 + 2\nu_2 + \beta_4). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As is Lemma 6.12, our claim follows from Lemmas 1.2 and 6.2.  $\square$

**Case 3-(a):** We know

$$V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j)e_3 + \kappa_2 e_{24}}(s_1, s_2, s_3).$$

Then (6.4), Theorem 5.16 and Lemma 6.13 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0; 0) B(0, 0, 0, 0; 0, 0) \\ &= C(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0; 0) D(0, 0, 0; 0) \\ &= L_1(0, 0) L_2(0, 0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 3-(b):** As is the case 2-(f), we know

$$\begin{aligned} W'(\hat{y}) &= (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1} (4\pi)^{-1} \{ R(2E_{1,2}) \varphi(w_{12})(\hat{y}) - \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{1,2}^{\dagger}) w_{12})(y) \\ &\quad - R(2E_{2,3}) \varphi(w_{23})(\hat{y}) + \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{2,3}^{\dagger}) w_{23})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad + R(2E_{3,4}) \varphi(w_{34})(\hat{y}) - \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{3,4}^{\dagger}) w_{34})(\hat{y}) \\ &\quad + R(2E_{1,4}) \varphi(w_{14})(\hat{y}) - \varphi(\tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{1,4}^{\dagger}) w_{14})(\hat{y}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & - \tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{1,2}^{\dagger}) w_{12} + \tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{2,3}^{\dagger}) w_{23} - \tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{3,4}^{\dagger}) w_{34} - \tau_{(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, 0)}(E_{1,4}^{\dagger}) w_{14} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} \{ 2(\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j) u_{2je_1 + e_2 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j - 1)e_3 + (\kappa_2 - 1)e_{24} + e_{23}} \\ &\quad - 2(\kappa_2 - 1) u_{2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j)e_3 + (\kappa_2 - 2)e_{24} + e_{23} + e_{34}} \}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} \{ V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j)e_3 + (\kappa_2 - 1)e_{24} + e_{12}}(s_1 + 1, s_2, s_3) \\ &\quad + V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j)e_3 + (\kappa_2 - 1)e_{24} + e_{23}}(s_1, s_2 + 1, s_3) \\ &\quad + V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j)e_3 + (\kappa_2 - 1)e_{24} + e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3 + 1) \\ &\quad - (2\pi)^{-1} (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j) V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + e_2 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j - 1)e_3 + (\kappa_2 - 1)e_{24} + e_{23}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\ &\quad - (2\pi)^{-1} (\kappa_2 - 1) V_{\sigma, 2je_1 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j)e_3 + (\kappa_2 - 2)e_{24} + e_{23} + e_{34}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (6.4) and Theorem 5.16 imply that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = Z_1 + Z_{2,1} + Z_{2,2} + Z_3 + Z_{4,1} + Z_{4,2} + Z_{5,1} + Z_{5,2},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} A(0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 1) B(1, 1, 0, 0; 2, 1), \\ Z_{2,1} &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1; 2) B(0, 2, 1, 0; 1, 2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2,2} &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1; 2) B(1, 2, 0, 0; 2, 1), \\
Z_3 &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0; 1) B(0, 1, 1, 0; 1, 2), \\
Z_{4,1} &= \frac{-\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2\pi} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0; 1) B(0, 1, 1, 0; -1, 2), \\
Z_{4,2} &= \frac{-\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2\pi} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0; 1) B(1, 1, 0, 0; 0, 1), \\
Z_{5,1} &= \frac{-\kappa_2 + 1}{2\pi} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0; 2) B(0, 2, 1, 0; 1, 2), \\
Z_{5,2} &= \frac{-\kappa_2 + 1}{2\pi} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} A(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0; 2) B(1, 2, 0, 0; 2, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used  $(-\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + 2j) \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2}{2}}{j} = (-\kappa_1 + \kappa_2) \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2}{2}}{j}$  in  $Z_{4,1}$  and  $Z_{4,2}$ . Then Lemma 6.13 (i) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{2,1} &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \cdot C(0, 0, 2, 1, 1, 1; 2) D(0, 2, 1; 2), \\
Z_3 &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \cdot C(0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 0; 1) D(0, 1, 0; 2), \\
Z_{4,1} &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \cdot \frac{-\kappa_1 + \kappa_2}{2\pi} \cdot C(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0; 1) D(0, 1, 0; 0), \\
Z_{5,1} &= \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 + \gamma_1 + 2)} \cdot \frac{-\kappa_2 + 1}{2\pi} \cdot C(0, 0, 2, 1, 1, 0; 2) D(0, 2, 1; 2).
\end{aligned}$$

As is the case 2-(f) we can see that

$$Z_{2,1} + Z_3 + Z_{4,1} + Z_{5,1} = C(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0; 1) D(0, 1, 0; 0).$$

For the sum  $Z_1 + Z_{2,2} + Z_3 + Z_{4,2} + Z_{5,2}$ , in view of

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0; 1) B(1, 1, 0, 0; 2, 1) + A(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1; 2) B(1, 2, 0, 0; 2, 1) \\
&+ (2\pi)^{-1} (-\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + 2j) A(0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0; 1) B(1, 1, 0, 0; 0, 1) \\
&+ (2\pi)^{-1} (-\kappa_2 + 1) A(0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0; 2) B(0, 2, 1, 0; 1, 2) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + 2\nu_1 - 1) A(0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0; 1) B(1, 1, 0, 0; 0, 1),
\end{aligned}$$

we can use Lemma 6.13 (i) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_1 + Z_{2,2} + Z_{4,2} + Z_{5,2} &= (2\pi)^{-1} (s_2 + 2\nu_1 - 1) C(0, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0; 1) D(1, 1, 0; 1) \\
&= C(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0; 1) D(0, 0, 1; 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we substituted  $q \rightarrow q + 1$ . Therefore Lemma 6.13 (ii) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) &= C(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0; 1) \{D(0, 1, 0; 0) + D(0, 0, 1; 0)\} \\
&= C(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0; 0) D(0, 0, 0; 0) \\
&= L_1(0, 0) L_2(0, 0, 1, 1).
\end{aligned}$$

**Case 3-(c):** We know

$$V'(s_1, s_2, s_3) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 1}{2}}{j} V_{\sigma, 2j e_1 + \delta_2 e_2 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j - 1) e_3 + \delta_1 e_4 + \kappa_2 e_{24}}(s_1, s_2, s_3).$$

Then (6.4) and Theorem 5.16 and Lemma 6.13 lead us that

$$Z(s_1, s_2, W, \Phi) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 1}{2}}{j} A(1, 0, -\delta_2, \delta_2, 0, 0; 0) B(0, 0, \delta_1, 0; -\delta_2, 1)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= C(0, 0, 0, \delta_1, \delta_2, 0; 0)D(0, 0, 0; 0) \\
&= L_1(0, 0)L_2(0, 0, \delta_1, \delta_2).
\end{aligned}$$

The evaluation of the contragredient zeta integral  $Z(s_1, s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi})$  can be done in the same way as in the case 2. For example, in the case 3-(c), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(s_1, s_2, \widetilde{W}, \widehat{\Phi}) &= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2s_2 - \gamma_1) \cdot (-\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1 + \delta_2 - \delta_1} (\sqrt{-1}) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{0 \leq j \leq \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 1}{2}} \binom{\frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 1}{2}}{j} (\sqrt{-1})^{\delta_2 - \delta_1 - \kappa_2} (-1)^{\kappa_2 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j - 1)} \\
&\quad \times V_{\widehat{\sigma}, 2je_1 + \delta_2 e_2 + (\kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - 2j - 1)e_3 + \delta_1 e_4 + \kappa_2 e_{24}}(s_1, s_2, s_3) \\
&= (\sqrt{-1})^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2} \cdot (\sqrt{-1})^{2\kappa_1 + 1} L(s_1, \Pi_{\widehat{\sigma}}) L(s_2, \Pi_{\widehat{\sigma}}, \wedge^2)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bailey, W. N. Generalized hypergeometric series. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 32 Stechert-Hafner, Inc., New York 1964 v+108 pp.
- [2] Bump, Daniel. Automorphic forms on  $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$ . Lecture Notes in Math., **1083** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, xi+184 pp.
- [3] Buttcane, Jack. Kuznetsov, Petersson and Weyl on  $GL(3)$ , II: The generalized principal series forms. *Math. Ann.* **380** (2021), no. 1-2, 231–266.
- [4] Bump, Daniel; Friedberg, Solomon. The exterior square automorphic  $L$ -functions on  $GL(n)$ . *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.*, 3 Weizmann Science Press of Israel, Jerusalem, 1990, 47–65.
- [5] Goldfeld, Dorian; Stade, Eric; Woodbury, Michael. An orthogonality relation for  $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$  (with an appendix by Bingrong Huang). *Forum Math. Sigma* **9** (2021), Paper No. e47, 83 pp.
- [6] Hashizume, Michihiko. Whittaker functions on semisimple Lie groups. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **12** (1982), no. 2, 259–293.
- [7] Hara, Takashi; Miyazaki, Tadashi; Namikawa, Kenichi. Uniform Integrality of critical values of the Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -function for  $GL_n \times GL_{n-1}$ . arXiv:2308.12200.
- [8] Hirano, Miki; Ishii, Taku; Miyazaki, Tadashi. Archimedean zeta integrals for  $GL(3) \times GL(2)$ . *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278** (2022), no. 1366, viii+122 pp.
- [9] Humphries, Peter; Jo, Yeongseong. Test vectors for archimedean period integrals. *Publ. Mat.* **68** (2024), no. 1, 139–185.
- [10] Roger Howe and Tōru Umeda. The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem, and multiplicity-free actions. *Math. Ann.*, **290** (3):565–619, 1991.
- [11] Ishii, Taku. Archimedean zeta integrals for the exterior square  $L$ -functions on  $GL_n$ . *J. Number Theory* **186** (2018), 304–345.
- [12] Ishii, Taku; Miyazaki, Tadashi. Calculus of archimedean Rankin-Selberg integrals with recurrence relations. *Represent. Theory* **26** (2022), 714–763.
- [13] Ishii, Taku; Stade, Eric. New formulas for Whittaker functions on  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . *J. Funct. Anal.* **244** (2007), no. 1, 289–314.
- [14] Ishii, Taku; Oda, Takayuki. Calculus of principal series Whittaker functions on  $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . *J. Funct. Anal.*, **266** (2014), no.3, 1286–1372.
- [15] Lin, Bingchen. Archimedean Godement-Jacquet zeta integrals and test functions. *J. Number Theory* **191** (2018), 396–426.
- [16] Knapp, Anthony W. Representation theory of semisimple groups. An overview based on examples Princeton Math. Ser., 36 Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986. xviii+774 pp. ISBN:0-691-08401-7
- [17] Knapp, Anthony W. Local Langlands correspondence: the Archimedean case. *Motives* (Seattle, WA, 1991), 393–410. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 2 American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [18] Kostant, Bertram. On Whittaker vectors and representation theory. *Invent. Math.* **48** (1978), no.2, 101–184.
- [19] Matumoto, Hisayosi. Whittaker vectors and the Goodman-Wallach operators. *Acta Math.* **161** (1988), no.3-4, 183–241.
- [20] Shalika, J. A. The multiplicity one theorem for  $GL_n$ . *Ann. of Math. (2)* **100** (1974), 171–193.
- [21] Stade, Eric. On explicit integral formulas for  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ -Whittaker functions. With an appendix by Daniel Bump, Solomon Friedberg and Jeffrey Hoffstein *Duke Math. J.* **60** (1990), no.2, 313–362.
- [22] Stade, Eric. Mellin transforms of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  Whittaker functions. *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), no.1, 121–161.
- [23] Speh, Birgit. The Unitary Dual of  $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$  and  $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ . *Math. Ann.*, **258** (1981), 113-133.
- [24] Speh, Birgit; Vogan, David A., Jr. Reducibility of generalized principal series representations. *Acta Math.* **145** (1980), no.3-4, 227–299.
- [25] Vogan, David A., Jr. Gel'fand-Kirillov dimension for Harish-Chandra modules. *Invent. Math.* **48** (1978), no.1, 75–98.
- [26] Wallach, Nolan R. Asymptotic expansions of generalized matrix entries of representations of real reductive groups. *Lie group representations, I* (College Park, Md., 1982/1983), 287–369. Lecture Notes in Math., **1024**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [27] Wallach, Nolan R. Real reductive groups. II, *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 132. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.

(M.H.) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, EHIME UNIVERSITY, 2-5, BUNKYO-CHO, MATSUYAMA, EHIME, 790-8577, JAPAN

*Email address:* hirano.miki.mf@ehime-u.ac.jp

(T.I.) FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SEIKEI UNIVERSITY, 3-3-1 KICHIJOJI-KITAMACHI, MUSASHINO, TOKYO, 180-8633, JAPAN

*Email address:* ishii@st.seikei.ac.jp

(T.M.) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES, KITASATO UNIVERSITY, 1-15-1 KITASATO,  
MINAMIKU, SAGAMIHARA, KANAGAWA, 252-0373, JAPAN  
*Email address:* [miyaza@kitasato-u.ac.jp](mailto:miyaza@kitasato-u.ac.jp)