

Positive definiteness of a class of cyclic symmetric tensors

Yisheng Song *

School of Mathematical Sciences, Chongqing Normal University,
Chongqing 401331 P.R. China. Email: yisheng.song@cqnu.edu.cn

Abstract

For a 4th order 3-dimensional cyclic symmetric tensor, a sufficient and necessary condition is bulit for its positive semi-definiteness. A sufficient and necessary condition of positive definiteness is showed for a 4th order n -dimensional symmetric tensor. With the help of such a condition, the positive definiteness of a class of 4th order 3-dimensional cyclic symmetric tensors is given. Moreover, the positive definiteness of a class of non-cyclic symmetric tensors is showed also. By applying these conclusions, several (strict) inequalities are erected for ternary quartic homogeneous polynomials.

1 Introduction

As we all know, a fundamental and challenging problem in dealing with tensors is to determine its positive definiteness, which is an NP-hard problem in general even when the order is 4. The notion of positive definiteness for a symmetric tensor was first used by Qi [1]. The vacuum stability of the Higgs scalar potential model in High-Energy Physics is one of the most direct applications of positive definiteness of 4th order tensors [2, 3, 4, 5]. In order to verifying the positive definiteness of higher order tensors (higher homogeneous polynomial), the notion of cyclic symmetric group was introduced, which may trace back to ones of Refs. Stanley [6, 7], Yuan [8], Gao-Geroldinger [9], Harris [10]. However, even if a tensor (or homogeneous polynomial) is cyclic symmetric with the order 4 and dimension 3, a prefect criterion of positive definiteness was not obtained untill now.

Since 2–dimensional symmetric tensor can be turn into a univariate polynomial and the positivity condition of a quartic univariate polynomial has had prefect identifying criterion, so the positive definiteness of a 4th order

*The author's work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (Grant No.12171064), by The team project of innovation leading talent in chongqing (No.CQYC20210309536) and by the Foundation of Chongqing Normal university (20XLB009).

2-dimensional symmetric tensor can be well determine and has analytic criterion. Such a criterion dates back to 1922 (Rees [11]). Subsequently, many academics devoted themselves to make sure its identifying conditions such as ones of Refs. Gadem-Li [12], Ku [13], Jury-Mansour [14] and Lazard [15]. Untill to 2005, Wang-Qi [16] perfectly gave analytic necessary and sufficient conditions for checking the positive definiteness of a 4th order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor. In 1996, Hasan-Hasa [17] claimed that they provided a necessary and sufficient condition of the positive definiteness of higher order tensors (higher than 4th order) without the discriminant. However, in 1998, Fu [18] pointed out that Hasan-Hasan's results are sufficient only. Recently, Guo[19] showed a new necessary and sufficient condition without the discriminant for a 4th order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor. Very recently, Qi-Song-Zhang [20] gave a analytic necessary and sufficient condition, which treats cubic and quartic coefficients in a symmetric way, and explicitly states a necessary condition.

In 2005, Qi [1] presented to verify the positive definiteness of a even order symmetric tensor by means of the sign of its all H-(Z-)eigenvalue. Subsequently, many scholars d committed themselves to solve or compute H-(Z-)eigenvalue of a tensor. Ni-Qi-Wang [21] provided a method of checking positive definiteness of a 4th order 3 dimensional tensor by computing its smallest eigenvalue. Song [22] and Song-Qi [23] proved several sufficient conditions and necessary conditions of its positive definiteness of a 4th order symmetric tensor. Ng-Qi-Zhou [24] gave an algorithm of the largest eigenvalue of a nonnegative tensor. Cui-Dai-Nie [25] presented a way of computing all real eigenvalues of a symmetric tensor. Zhang-Qi [26] gave linear convergence of the largest eigenvalue of tensors. Hu-Huang-Qi [27, 28] presented some properties of H-(Z-)eigenvalue of tensors and their calculation methods. For more details about H-(Z-)eigenvalues, see Refs. [29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55] and other references not cited here.

In this paper, we first discuss necessary and sufficient conditions of positive (semi-)definiteness of a class of symmetric tensors (Theorems 4.1 and 4.2). For a cyclic symmetric tensor of order 4 and dimension 3, the conditions determining its positive definiteness are given (Theorems 4.3 and 4.4). The condition checking the positive definiteness of a class of non-cyclic symmetric tensors is presented also (Theorem 4.6). Furthermore, several (strict) inequalities of quartic ternary homogeneous polynomial are built (Corollaries 4.7 and 4.8) with the help of the above conclusions about tensors.

2 Positive definiteness of 4th order 2-dimensional symmetric tensors

An d th order n dimensional tensor $\mathcal{T} = (t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d})$ is said to be **symmetric** if

$$t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d} = t_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_d}$$

whenever (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d) is a permutation of (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_d) .

Definition 2.1 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d})$ be an d th order n dimensional symmetric tensor with even number d . \mathcal{T} is called

- (i) **positive semi-definite** ([1]) if in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , its associated Homogeneous polynomial

$$\mathcal{T}x^d = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m} \geq 0;$$

- (ii) **positive definite** ([1]) if $\mathcal{T}x^d > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor. Then for $x = (x_1, x_2)^\top$,

$$Tx^4 = t_{1111}x_1^4 + 4t_{1112}x_1^3x_2 + 6t_{1122}x_1^2x_2^2 + 4t_{1222}x_1x_2^3 + t_{2222}x_2^4. \quad (2.1)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= 4 \times 12^3 (t_{1111}t_{2222} - 4t_{1112}t_{1222} + 3t_{1122}^2)^3 \\ &\quad - 72^2 \times 6^2 (t_{1111}t_{1122}t_{2222} + 2t_{1112}t_{1122}t_{1222} - t_{1122}^3 - t_{1111}t_{1222}^2 - t_{1112}^2t_{2222})^2 \\ &= 4 \times 12^3 (\eta^3 - 27\chi^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= t_{1111}t_{2222} - 4t_{1112}t_{1222} + 3t_{1122}^2, \\ \chi &= t_{1111}t_{1122}t_{2222} + 2t_{1112}t_{1122}t_{1222} - t_{1122}^3 - t_{1111}t_{1222}^2 - t_{1112}^2t_{2222}. \end{aligned}$$

and hence, the sign of Δ is the same as one of $(\eta^3 - 27\chi^2)$.

Lemma 2.1 ([3, 20]) *A 4th-order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ is positive definite if and only if*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \eta^3 - 27\chi^2 = 0, \quad t_{1112}\sqrt{t_{2222}} = t_{1222}\sqrt{t_{1111}}, \\ 2t_{1112}^2 + t_{1111}\sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}} = 3t_{1111}t_{1122} < 3t_{1111}\sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}}; \\ \eta^3 - 27\chi^2 > 0, \\ |t_{1112}\sqrt{t_{2222}} - t_{1222}\sqrt{t_{1111}}| \leq \sqrt{6t_{1111}t_{1122}t_{2222} + 2\sqrt{(t_{1111}t_{2222})^3}}, \\ (i) \quad -\sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}} < 3t_{1122} \leq 3\sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}}; \\ (ii) \quad t_{1122} > \sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}} \quad \text{and} \\ |t_{1112}\sqrt{t_{2222}} + t_{1222}\sqrt{t_{1111}}| \leq \sqrt{6t_{1111}t_{1122}t_{2222} - 2\sqrt{(t_{1111}t_{2222})^3}}. \end{array} \right.$$

A 4th-order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ is positive semidefinite if and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \eta^3 - 27\chi^2 \geq 0, \\ |t_{1112}\sqrt{t_{2222}} - t_{1222}\sqrt{t_{1111}}| \leq \sqrt{6t_{1111}t_{1122}t_{2222} + 2\sqrt{(t_{1111}t_{2222})^3}}, \\ (i) \quad -\sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}} \leq 3t_{1122} \leq 3\sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}}; \\ (ii) \quad t_{1122} > \sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}} \quad \text{and} \\ |t_{1112}\sqrt{t_{2222}} + t_{1222}\sqrt{t_{1111}}| \leq \sqrt{6t_{1111}t_{1122}t_{2222} - 2\sqrt{(t_{1111}t_{2222})^3}}. \end{array} \right.$$

Corollary 2.1 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor with its entries $|t_{ijkl}| \leq 1$ and $t_{1111} = t_{2222} = 1$. Then

(i) \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite if and only if

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{1}{3} < t_{1122} \leq 1, (t_{1112} - t_{1222})^2 \leq 6t_{1122} + 2, \\ 27(t_{1122} + 2t_{1112}t_{1122}t_{1222} - t_{1122}^3 - t_{1222}^2 - t_{1112}^2)^2 \leq (1 - 4t_{1112}t_{1222} + 3t_{1122}^2)^3. \end{cases}$$

(ii) \mathcal{T} is positive definite if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} \leq t_{1122} < 1, 2t_{1112}^2 + 1 = 3t_{1122}, t_{1112} = t_{1222}; \\ -\frac{1}{3} < t_{1122} \leq 1, (t_{1112} - t_{1222})^2 \leq 6t_{1122} + 2, \\ 27(t_{1122} + 2t_{1112}t_{1122}t_{1222} - t_{1122}^3 - t_{1222}^2 - t_{1112}^2)^2 < (1 - 4t_{1112}t_{1222} + 3t_{1122}^2)^3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) It follows from Theorem 2.1 that \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite if and only if

$$\eta^3 - 27\chi^2 \geq 0, \quad |t_{1112} - t_{1222}| \leq \sqrt{6t_{1122} + 2} \text{ and } -1 \leq 3t_{1122} \leq 3.$$

(ii) By Theorem 2.1, \mathcal{T} is positive definite if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^3 - 27\chi^2 = 0, \quad t_{1112} = t_{1222} \text{ and } 1 \leq 2t_{1112}^2 + 1 = 3t_{1122} < 3; \\ \eta^3 - 27\chi^2 > 0, \quad |t_{1112} - t_{1222}| \leq \sqrt{6t_{1122} + 2} \text{ and } -1 < 3t_{1122} \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left. \begin{aligned} t_{1112} = t_{1222} \\ 2t_{1112}^2 + 1 = 3t_{1122} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 27\chi^2 = 27(3t_{1122}^2 - t_{1122}^3 - 3t_{1122} - 1)^2 \\ \quad = 27(t_{1122}(1 + 2t_{1122}^2) - t_{1122}^3 - 2t_{1122}^2)^2, \\ \eta^3 = (1 - 4t_{1122}^2 + 3t_{1122}^2)^3 \\ \quad = (1 - 2(3t_{1122} - 1) + 3t_{1122}^2)^3, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$27\chi^2 = \eta^3 = 27(t_{1122} - 1)^6.$$

This completes the proof.

When $t_{1122} = 1$, $(t_{1112} - t_{1222})^2 \leq 6t_{1122} + 2 = 8$ is obviously satisfied since $|t_{ijkl}| \leq 1$ and $2t_{1112}^2 + 1 = 3t_{1122} < 3$ can't hold. It is easy to prove the following conclusions

Corollary 2.2 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor with its entries $|t_{ijkl}| \leq 1$ and $t_{1111} = t_{2222} = t_{1122} = 1$. Then

(i) \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite if and only if $27(t_{1222} - t_{1112})^4 \leq 64(1 - t_{1112}t_{1222})^3$;

(ii) \mathcal{T} is positive definite if and only if $27(t_{1222} - t_{1112})^4 < 64(1 - t_{1112}t_{1222})^3$.

Corollary 2.3 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor with its entires $|t_{ijkl}| = 1$ and $t_{1111} = t_{2222} = 1$. Then

(i) \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite if and only if $t_{1122} = 1$;

(ii) \mathcal{T} is positive definite if and only if $t_{1122} = 1$ and $t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1$.

Proof. (i) It follows from Corollaries 2.1 (i) and 2.2 (i) that $t_{1122} = 1$ and either $t_{1112}t_{1222} = 1$,

$$64(1 - t_{1112}t_{1222})^3 = 27(t_{1112} - t_{1222})^2 = 0,$$

or $t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1$,

$$64(1 + 1)^3 > 27(t_{1112} - t_{1222})^2 = 27 \times 4.$$

So \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite if and only if $t_{1122} = 1$.

(ii) It follows from Corollaries 2.1 (ii) and 2.2 (ii) that \mathcal{T} is positive definite if and only if $t_{1122} = 1$ and

$$t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1 \text{ and } 27(t_{1112} - t_{1222})^2 = 27 \times 4 < 64(1 + 1)^3.$$

Then \mathcal{T} is positive definite if and only if $t_{1122} = 1$ and $t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1$.

Corollary 2.4 Assume a 4th order 2-dimensional symmetric tensor \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite. Then

(1) $t_{1112} = 0$ and $t_{1122} \geq 0$ if $t_{1111} = 0$ and $t_{2222} > 0$;

(2) $t_{1222} = 0$ and $t_{1122} \geq 0$ if $t_{2222} = 0$ and $t_{1111} > 0$;

(3) $t_{1112} = t_{1222} = 0$ and $t_{1122} \geq 0$ if $t_{2222} = t_{1111} = 0$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |t_{1112}\sqrt{t_{2222}}| \leq 0 &\implies t_{1112} = 0; \\ 3t_{1122} \geq -\sqrt{t_{1111}t_{2222}} = 0 &\implies t_{1122} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the conclusion (2) is easy to be bulit.

(3) Obviously, $t_{1122} \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.1. Suppose $t_{1112} \neq 0$ or $t_{1222} \neq 0$. Then for all $x = (x_1, x_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\mathcal{T}x^4 = 4t_{1112}x_1^3x_2 + 6t_{1122}x_1^2x_2^2 + 4t_{1222}x_1x_2^3 \geq 0.$$

In the meantime, for $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_2 \neq 0$, we have

$$t_{1122} \geq -\frac{2}{3}t_{1112}\frac{x_1}{x_2} - \frac{2}{3}t_{1222}\frac{x_2}{x_1}.$$

If $t_{1112} > 0$, then take $x_1 \rightarrow -\infty$ to yield $t_{1122} \geq +\infty$; If $t_{1112} < 0$, then take $x_1 \rightarrow +\infty$ to yield $t_{1122} \geq +\infty$, a contradiction. Therefore, $t_{1112} = 0$.

If $t_{1222} > 0$, then take $x_2 \rightarrow -\infty$ to yield $t_{1122} \geq +\infty$; If $t_{1222} < 0$, then take $x_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ to yield $t_{1122} \geq +\infty$, a contradiction. Therefore, $t_{1222} = 0$.

Since any principal subtensor of a positive semi-definite tensor is still positive semi-definite, the following conclusion is obvious.

Corollary 2.5 *Assume a d th order symmetric tensor \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite. Then*

$$t_{iiii} = 0 \implies t_{iiij} = 0 \text{ and } t_{iiij} \geq 0, \text{ for all } i, j.$$

3 Inner Products and Outer Products

For any two d th order n -dimensional tensors $\mathcal{T} = (t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d})$ and $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d})$, the **inner product** of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{A} , denoted as $\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$, is defined as

$$\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A} \rangle = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_d=1}^n t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d}.$$

The **Frobenius norm** of \mathcal{T} , denoted as $\|\mathcal{T}\|_F$, is given by

$$\|\mathcal{T}\|_F = \sqrt{\langle \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} \rangle} = \sqrt{\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_d=1}^n t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d}^2}.$$

For any two vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it was already well known that the definition of the **outer product** of x and y , (also known as **tensor product**), denoted as $x \otimes y$, is

$$x \otimes y = (x_i y_j) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 y_1 & x_1 y_2 & x_1 y_3 & \cdots & x_1 y_n \\ x_2 y_1 & x_2 y_2 & x_2 y_3 & \cdots & x_2 y_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_n y_1 & x_n y_2 & x_n y_3 & \cdots & x_n y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Apparently, $x \otimes x \otimes x = (x_i x_j x_k)$ and $x \otimes x \otimes x \otimes x = (x_i x_j x_k x_l)$ are 3rd symmetric tensor and 4th symmetric tensor, respectively. Let

$$x^{\otimes d} = \overbrace{x \otimes x \otimes \cdots \otimes x}^{d \text{ times}} = (x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d}).$$

We call $x^{\otimes d}$ a **symmetric rank-one tensor**. It is easy to check that

$$\bullet \mathcal{T} x^d = \langle \mathcal{T}, x^{\otimes d} \rangle = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d=1}^n t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d};$$

$$\bullet \mathcal{T}x^{d-1} = (\langle \mathcal{T}_k, x^{\otimes(d-1)} \rangle) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_d=1}^n t_{1i_2 \dots i_d} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d} \\ \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_d=1}^n t_{2i_2 \dots i_d} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_d=1}^n t_{ni_2 \dots i_d} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_d} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_k = (t_{ki_2 \dots i_d}) \text{ for each } k = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

- $(x + y) \otimes z = x \otimes z + y \otimes z$, $z \otimes (x + y) = z \otimes x + z \otimes y$, for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- $(\alpha x) \otimes y = x \otimes (\alpha y) = \alpha x \otimes y$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha x + \beta y)^{\otimes 3} &= ((\alpha x_i + \beta y_i)(\alpha x_j + \beta y_j)(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)) \\ &= \alpha^3 x^{\otimes 3} + 3\alpha^2 \beta x^{\otimes 2} \otimes y + 3\alpha \beta^2 x \otimes y^{\otimes 2} + \beta^3 y^{\otimes 3} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha x + \beta y)^{\otimes 4} &= ((\alpha x_i + \beta y_i)(\alpha x_j + \beta y_j)(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)(\alpha x_l + \beta y_l)) \\ &= \alpha^4 x^{\otimes 4} + 4\alpha^3 \beta x^{\otimes 3} \otimes y + 6\alpha^2 \beta^2 x^{\otimes 2} \otimes y^{\otimes 2} + 3\alpha \beta^3 x \otimes y^{\otimes 3} + \beta^4 y^{\otimes 4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for a 4th order symmetric tensor $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ and a 3rd order symmetric tensor $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ijk})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\alpha x + \beta y)^4 &= \langle \mathcal{T}, (\alpha x + \beta y)^{\otimes 4} \rangle = \alpha^4 \mathcal{T}x^4 + 4\alpha^3 \beta \mathcal{T}x^3 y \\ &\quad + 6\alpha^2 \beta^2 \mathcal{T}x^2 y^2 + 4\alpha \beta^3 \mathcal{T}x y^3 + \beta^4 \mathcal{T}y^4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\alpha x + \beta y)^3 = \langle \mathcal{A}, (\alpha x + \beta y)^{\otimes 3} \rangle = \alpha^4 \mathcal{A}x^3 + 3\alpha^2 \beta \mathcal{A}x^2 y + 3\alpha \beta^2 \mathcal{A}x y^2 + \beta^3 \mathcal{A}y^3$$

where $\mathcal{T}x^k y^l = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d=1}^n t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_d} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k} y_{i_{k+1}} y_{i_{k+2}} \cdots y_{i_{k+l}}$ and $k + l = d$.

4 Positive definiteness of 4th order 3-dimensional symmetric tensors

A 4th order 3-dimensional tensor has 81 components,

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{pmatrix} t_{1111} & t_{1211} & t_{1311} \\ t_{2111} & t_{2211} & t_{2311} \\ t_{3111} & t_{3211} & t_{3311} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} t_{1112} & t_{1212} & t_{1312} \\ t_{2112} & t_{2212} & t_{2312} \\ t_{3112} & t_{3212} & t_{3312} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} t_{1113} & t_{1213} & t_{1313} \\ t_{2113} & t_{2213} & t_{2313} \\ t_{3113} & t_{3213} & t_{3313} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} t_{1121} & t_{1221} & t_{1321} \\ t_{2121} & t_{2221} & t_{2321} \\ t_{3121} & t_{3221} & t_{3321} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} t_{1122} & t_{1222} & t_{1322} \\ t_{2122} & t_{2222} & t_{2322} \\ t_{3122} & t_{3222} & t_{3322} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} t_{1123} & t_{1223} & t_{1323} \\ t_{2123} & t_{2223} & t_{2323} \\ t_{3123} & t_{3223} & t_{3323} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} t_{1131} & t_{1231} & t_{1331} \\ t_{2131} & t_{2231} & t_{2331} \\ t_{3131} & t_{3231} & t_{3331} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} t_{1132} & t_{1232} & t_{1332} \\ t_{2132} & t_{2232} & t_{2332} \\ t_{3132} & t_{3232} & t_{3332} \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} t_{1133} & t_{1233} & t_{1333} \\ t_{2133} & t_{2233} & t_{2333} \\ t_{3133} & t_{3233} & t_{3333} \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \right)$$

Moreover, such a tensor has only 15 free entries if it is symmetric,

$$t_{1111}, t_{2222}, t_{3333}, t_{1122}, t_{1133}, t_{2233}, t_{1112}, t_{1113}, \\ t_{1222}, t_{1333}, t_{2223}, t_{2333}, t_{1123}, t_{1223}, t_{1233}.$$

Then for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top$, a ternary quartic form (homogeneous polynomial) is uniquely given by a fourth order symmetric tensor \mathcal{T} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}x^4 = & t_{1111}x_1^4 + t_{2222}x_2^4 + t_{3333}x_3^4 + 6t_{1122}x_1^2x_2^2 + 6t_{1133}x_1^2x_3^2 + 6t_{2233}x_2^2x_3^2 \\ & + 4t_{1112}x_1^3x_2 + 4t_{1113}x_1^3x_3 + 4t_{1222}x_1x_2^3 + 4t_{1333}x_1x_3^3 + 4t_{2223}x_2^3x_3 + 4t_{2333}x_2x_3^3 \\ & + 12t_{1123}x_1^2x_2x_3 + 12t_{1223}x_1x_2^2x_3 + 12t_{1233}x_1x_2x_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.1 A fourth order 3-dimensional tensor $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ is said to be

- **cyclic symmetric** if it is symmetric and

$$t_{1111} = t_{2222} = t_{3333}, t_{1112} = t_{2223} = t_{1333}, t_{1113} = t_{1222} = t_{2333}, \\ t_{1122} = t_{1133} = t_{2233}, t_{1123} = t_{1223} = t_{1233};$$

- **totally symmetric** if it is symmetric and

$$t_{1111} = t_{2222} = t_{3333}, t_{1112} = t_{2223} = t_{1333} = t_{1113} = t_{1222} = t_{2333}, \\ t_{1122} = t_{1133} = t_{2233}, t_{1123} = t_{1223} = t_{1233};$$

Theorem 4.1 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order n -dimensional symmetric tensor. Then \mathcal{T} is positive definite if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{T}x^4 = 0 \implies x = 0, \\ \text{there is a } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \mathcal{T}y^4 > 0; \end{cases}$$

Proof. The necessity is obvious. Now we show the sufficiency. Suppose \mathcal{T} is not positive definite when the conditions are satisfied. There exists $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathcal{T}u^4 \leq 0$. Since $\mathcal{T}u^4 = 0$ means $u = 0$ by the conditions, then $\mathcal{T}u^4 < 0$. Apply the intermediate value theorem to continuous function $\mathcal{T}x^4$, there is an $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$z = (1 - \lambda)u + \lambda y \text{ satisfying } \mathcal{T}z^4 = 0.$$

This implies $z = 0$, i.e., $y = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda}u$. So, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}z^4 &= \langle \mathcal{T}, ((1 - \lambda)u + \lambda y)^{\otimes 4} \rangle = (1 - \lambda)^4 \mathcal{T}u^4 + 4(1 - \lambda)^3 \lambda \mathcal{T}u^3 y \\ &\quad + 6(1 - \lambda)^2 \lambda^2 \mathcal{T}u^2 y^2 + 4(1 - \lambda) \lambda^3 \mathcal{T}u y^3 + \lambda^4 \mathcal{T}y^4 \\ &= (1 - \lambda)^4 \mathcal{T}u^4 - 4(1 - \lambda)^4 \mathcal{T}u^4 + 6(1 - \lambda)^4 \mathcal{T}u^4 - 4(1 - \lambda)^4 \mathcal{T}u^4 + \lambda^4 \mathcal{T}y^4 \\ &= - (1 - \lambda)^4 \mathcal{T}u^4 + \lambda^4 \mathcal{T}y^4 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, \mathcal{T} is positive definite.

Theorem 4.2 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 3-dimensional cyclic symmetric tensor. Suppose \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite and

$$|t_{1112}| = |t_{1222}| = t_{1122} = t_{1111} = 1 \text{ and } t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1.$$

Then $t_{1123} \geq -\frac{7}{12}$.

Proof. By the cyclic symmetry of \mathcal{T} , we might take $t_{1222} = t_{2333} = t_{1113} = 1$ and $t_{1112} = t_{1333} = t_{2223} = -1$ without loss the generality.

From the positive semidefiniteness of \mathcal{T} , it follows that for $x = (1, 1, 1)^\top$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}x^4 &= x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + 6(x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_1^2 x_3^2 + x_2^2 x_3^2) \\ &\quad + 4(x_1 x_2^3 + x_1^3 x_3 + x_2 x_3^3) - 4(x_1^3 x_2 + x_1 x_3^3 + x_2^3 x_3) \\ &\quad + 12t_{1123}(x_1^2 x_2 x_3 + x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 x_3^2) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3 x_2 + x_1 x_3^3 + x_2^3 x_3) \\ &\quad + 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1 x_2 x_3 (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\ &= 3^4 - 8 \times 3 + 36(t_{1123} - 1) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$t_{1123} \geq -\frac{7}{12}.$$

Theorem 4.3 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 3-dimensional cyclic symmetric tensor. Suppose

$$|t_{1112}| = |t_{1222}| = t_{1122} = t_{1111} = 1 \text{ and } t_{1123} = -\frac{7}{12}.$$

Then \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite if and only if $t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1$.

Proof. “only if. ” Suppose \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite, but the condition can't hold. Then $t_{1112}t_{1222} = 1$. That is, $t_{1112} = t_{1222} = 1$ or $t_{1112} = t_{1222} = -1$.

Case 1. $t_{1222} = t_{2333} = t_{1113} = t_{1112} = t_{1333} = t_{2223} = 1$. Then for $x = (1, 1, -5)^\top$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}x^4 &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 + 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 19x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\ &= (1 + 1 - 5)^4 + 19 \times 5(1 + 1 - 5) = -204 < 0.\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction to the positive semidefiniteness of \mathcal{T} .

Case 2. $t_{1222} = t_{2333} = t_{1113} = t_{1112} = t_{1333} = t_{2223} = -1$. For $x = (1, 1, 1)^\top$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}x^4 &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3 + x_1x_2^3 + x_1^3x_3 + x_2x_3^3) \\ &\quad - 19x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\ &= 3^4 - 8 \times 6 - 19 \times 3 = -24 < 0,\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. So with all that, the condition, $t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1$ is necessary.

“if. ” Assume $t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1$. Then we might take $t_{1222} = t_{2333} = t_{1113} = 1$ and $t_{1112} = t_{1333} = t_{2223} = -1$. Rewriting $\mathcal{T}x^4$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}x^4 &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - 19x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\ &= (x_1 - x_2)^4 + (x_1 - x_3)^4 + (x_3 - x_2)^4 - x_1^4 - x_2^4 - x_3^4 \\ &\quad + 8(x_1x_2^3 + x_1^3x_3 + x_2x_3^3) - 7x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3).\end{aligned}$$

Solve the optimization problem:

$$\min\{\mathcal{T}x^4 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\}$$

to yield the minimum value 0 of $\mathcal{T}x^4$ at two minimizers

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^\top, \quad \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^\top.$$

So, \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite.

Theorem 4.4 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 3-dimensional cyclic symmetric tensor. Suppose

$$t_{1123} \in \left(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{6}\right], |t_{1112}| = |t_{1222}| = t_{1122} = t_{1111} = 1 \text{ and } t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1.$$

Then \mathcal{T} is positive definite.

Proof. Let $t_{1222} = t_{2333} = t_{1113} = 1$ and $t_{1112} = t_{1333} = t_{2223} = -1$ without loss the generality. For $t_{1123} = t_{1223} = t_{1233} \in \left(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{6}\right]$, $\mathcal{T}x^4$ may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}x^4 &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) \\ &\quad + 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3).\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Then it can be divided into three kinds of circumstances.

Case 1. $x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = 0$. This implies that $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$ or $x_3 = 0$ or $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$, and then, the top three conditions defined respectively a 4th order 2-dimensional principal subtensor. Therefore, an immediate application of Corollary 2.3 yields $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$ under the top three conditions. Next we show that $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$ with $x_1 = -x_2 - x_3$. In fact, by this time, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}x^4 &= -8(-(x_2 + x_3)^3x_2 - (x_2 + x_3)x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) \\ &= 8(x_2^4 + 2x_2^3x_3 + 3x_2^2x_3^2 + 2x_2x_3^3 + x_3^4) \\ &= 8\mathcal{A}x^4,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = (a_{ijkl})$ is a 4th order 2-dimensional tensor with its entries

$$a_{2222} = a_{3333} = 1, a_{2223} = a_{2333} = a_{2233} = \frac{1}{2},$$

and then, such a tensor \mathcal{A} satisfies the conditions of Corollary 2.1,

$$a_{2223} = \frac{1}{2}, 2a_{2223}^2 + 1 = 3a_{2233}, a_{2223} = a_{2333}.$$

So an application of Corollary 2.1 yields that $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$ with $x_1 = -x_2 - x_3$.

Case 2. $x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) > 0$. That's when $\mathcal{T}x^4$ is always greater than the value of $\mathcal{T}x^4$ with $t_{1123} = -\frac{7}{12}$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}x^4 &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\ &> (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - 19x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3),\end{aligned}$$

and so, it follows from Theorem 4.2 that $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ under this condition.

Case 3. $x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) < 0$. By this time, for each x , $\mathcal{T}x^4$ always reaches its minimum value at $t_{1123} = -\frac{1}{6}$. That is,

$$\mathcal{T}x^4 \geq (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - 14x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3).$$

Let $\mathcal{T}'x^4$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{T}'x^4 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - 14x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3).$$

We directly solve the equation, $\mathcal{T}'x^4 = 0$ to yield $x = 0$, and then apply Theorem 4.1 to establish the conclusion that \mathcal{T}' is positive definite. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ under this condition.

So with all that, \mathcal{T} is positive definite.

Corollary 4.5 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 3-dimensional cyclic symmetric tensor. Assume that

$$t_{1111} = |t_{1112}| = |t_{1222}| = 1, t_{1112}t_{1222} = -1.$$

Then

- (i) \mathcal{T} is positive semi-definite if $t_{1123} \in [-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{6}]$ and $t_{1122} \geq 1$;
- (ii) \mathcal{T} is positive definite if $t_{1123} \in (-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{6}]$ and $t_{1122} \geq 1$.

Proof. Since $t_{1122} \geq 1$ and \mathcal{T} is cyclic symmetric, for each $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}x^4 &= x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + 4t_{1112}(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_3x_2^3) + 4t_{1222}(x_1^3x_3 + x_1x_2^3 + x_2x_3^3) \\
&\quad + 6t_{1122}(x_1^2x_2^2 + x_1^2x_3^2 + x_2^2x_3^2) + 12t_{1123}x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\
&\geq x_1^4 + x_2^4 + x_3^4 + 4t_{1112}(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_3x_2^3) + 4t_{1222}(x_1^3x_3 + x_1x_2^3 + x_2x_3^3) \\
&\quad + 6(x_1^2x_2^2 + x_1^2x_3^2 + x_2^2x_3^2) + 12t_{1123}x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\
&= \mathcal{T}'x^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Then a tensor \mathcal{T}' reaches the conditions of Theorems 4.3 ($t_{1123} = -\frac{7}{12}$) and 4.4, and so, the desired results follow.

The positive semidefiniteness of \mathcal{T} does not have to require three elements, $t_{1123} = t_{1223} = t_{1233}$.

Theorem 4.6 Let $\mathcal{T} = (t_{ijkl})$ be a 4th-order 3-dimensional symmetric tensor. Assume $t_{1222} = t_{2333} = t_{1113}$, $t_{1112} = t_{1333} = t_{2223}$ and

$$|t_{iii}| = t_{iii} = t_{ijj} = 1 \text{ and } t_{ijjj}t_{iiij} = -1 \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j.$$

Then

- (i) \mathcal{T} is positive definite if $t_{1123}, t_{1223}, t_{1233} \in (-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{4}]$;
- (ii) \mathcal{T} is positive definite if $t_{1123}, t_{1223}, t_{1233} \in [-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6}]$.

Proof. Let $t_{1222} = t_{2333} = t_{1113} = 1$ and $t_{1112} = t_{1333} = t_{2223} = -1$ without loss the generality.

- (i) For all $t_{1123}, t_{1223}, t_{1233} \in (-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{4}]$, $\mathcal{T}x^4$ may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}x^4 &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) \\
&\quad + 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1^2x_2x_3 + 12(t_{1223} - 1)x_1x_2^2x_3 \\
&\quad + 12(t_{1233} - 1)x_1x_2x_3^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Then it can be divided into two cases.

Case 1. $x_1^2x_2x_3 = 0$ or $x_1x_2^2x_3 = 0$ or $x_1x_2x_3^2 = 0$. This means that $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$ or $x_3 = 0$, which respectively gives a 4th order 2-dimensional principal subtensor, and hence, an immediate application of Corollary 2.3 yields that $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$ under this case.

Case 2. $x_1^2x_2x_3 \neq 0$ and $x_1x_2^2x_3 \neq 0$ and $x_1x_2x_3^2 \neq 0$, which implies $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_2 \neq 0$ and $x_3 \neq 0$. This can be divided into three subcases.

Subcase 1. $t_{1123} = t_{1223} = t_{1233}$. The conclusion directly follows from Theorem 4.4.

Subcase 2. Two of three elements $t_{1123}, t_{1223}, t_{1233}$ are equal. We might take $t_{1223} = t_{1233}$ without loss of generality. Rewrite Eq. (4.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}x^4 &= (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) \\ &\quad + 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1^2x_2x_3 + 12(t_{1223} - 1)x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

If $x_2 + x_3 = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}x^4 &= x_1^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 - x_1x_2^3 - x_2^3x_2) - 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1^2x_2^2 \\ &= x_1^4 - 8x_1^3x_2 + 8x_1x_2^3 + 8x_2^4 - 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1^2x_2^2 \\ &\geq x_1^4 - 8x_1^3x_2 + 15x_1^2x_2^2 + 8x_1x_2^3 + 8x_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}x^4 = x_1^4 - 8x_1^3x_2 + 15x_1^2x_2^2 + 8x_1x_2^3 + 8x_2^4$. This gives a 4th order 2-dimensional tensor $\mathcal{B} = (b_{ijkl})$ with its entries

$$b_{1111} = 1, b_{2222} = 8, b_{1112} = -2, b_{1122} = 2, b_{1122} = \frac{5}{2}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, \mathcal{B} is positive definite, and so, $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ with $x_2 + x_3 = 0$.

If $x_2 + x_3 \neq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1^2x_2x_3 > -19x_1^2x_2x_3, & x_2x_3 > 0; \\ 12(t_{1123} - 1)x_1^2x_2x_3 \geq -15x_1^2x_2x_3, & x_2x_3 < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} 12(t_{1223} - 1)x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3) > -19x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3), & x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3) > 0; \\ 12(t_{1223} - 1)x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3) \geq -15x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3), & x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, from Eq. (4.3), they follow that when $x_1^2x_2x_3 < 0$ and $x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3) < 0$,

$$\mathcal{T}x^4 \geq (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(15x_1 + 15x_2 + 15x_3);$$

when $x_1^2x_2x_3 > 0$ and $x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3) < 0$,

$$\mathcal{T}x^4 > (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(19x_1 + 15x_2 + 15x_3);$$

when $x_1^2x_2x_3 < 0$ and $x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3) > 0$,

$$\mathcal{T}x^4 > (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(15x_1 + 19x_2 + 19x_3);$$

when $x_1^2x_2x_3 > 0$ and $x_1x_2x_3(x_2 + x_3) > 0$,

$$\mathcal{T}x^4 > (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(19x_1 + 19x_2 + 19x_3).$$

Similarly to Case 3 of Theorem 4.4, it is easy to verify that in the above right polynomials, the top three ones are all positive and the fourth one is non-negative for all $x \neq 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ with $x_2 + x_3 \neq 0$.

Subcase 3. $t_{1123} \neq t_{1223} \neq t_{1233}$, which are mutually different. For all $t_{1123}, t_{1223}, t_{1233} \in (-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{4}]$, similarly to **Subcase 2**, we also have

$$\begin{cases} 12(t_{1223} - 1)x_1x_2^2x_3 > -19x_1x_2^2x_3, & x_1x_3 > 0; \\ 12(t_{1223} - 1)x_1x_2^2x_3 \geq -15x_1x_2^2x_3, & x_1x_3 < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} 12(t_{1233} - 1)x_1x_2x_3^2 > -19x_1x_2x_3^2, & x_1x_2 > 0; \\ 12(t_{1233} - 1)x_1x_2x_3^2 \geq -15x_1x_2x_3^2, & x_1x_2 < 0. \end{cases}$$

However, three inequalities, $x_2x_3 < 0$, $x_1x_3 < 0$ and $x_1x_2 < 0$ can't simultaneously hold. In fact, the inequalities $x_1x_3 < 0$ and $x_1x_2 < 0$ imply that x_3 and x_2 have the same sign, and hence, $x_2x_3 > 0$.

Three inequalities, $x_2x_3 < 0$, $x_1x_3 > 0$ and $x_1x_2 > 0$ are incompatible. In fact, two inequalities $x_2x_3 < 0$ and $x_1x_3 > 0$ mean that x_2 and x_1 have opposite sign, and hence, $x_1x_2 < 0$. So there doesn't exist this case: two of three numbers, x_2x_3 , x_1x_3 and x_1x_2 are positive, and one of them is negative.

Thus, from Eq. (4.2), it follows that when two of $x_1^2x_2x_3$, $x_1x_2^2x_3$ and $x_1x_2x_3^2$ is negative, without loss of generality, we may take $x_1^2x_2x_3 > 0$ and $x_1x_2^2x_3 < 0$ and $x_1x_2x_3^2 < 0$, and then

$$\mathcal{T}x^4 > (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(19x_1 + 15x_2 + 15x_3);$$

when $x_1^2x_2x_3 > 0$ and $x_1x_2^2x_3 > 0$ and $x_1x_2x_3^2 > 0$,

$$\mathcal{T}x^4 > (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(19x_1 + 19x_2 + 19x_3).$$

Similarly to Case 3 of Theorem 4.4, it is easy to verify that in the above right polynomials, the first one is positive and the second one is non-negative for all $x \neq 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}x^4 > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$ under this subcase.

So with all that, \mathcal{T} is positive definite.

(ii) Using the same argumentation technique of (i), the desired conclusion follows. This completes the proof.

Remark 4.1 In Theorem 4.6, two intervals $(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{4}]$ and $[-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6}]$ can't write as their union, $(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{6}]$ because the four polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(19x_1 + 14x_2 + 14x_3), \\ & (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(18x_1 + 14x_2 + 14x_3), \\ & (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(17x_1 + 14x_2 + 14x_3), \\ & (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(16x_1 + 14x_2 + 14x_3), \end{aligned}$$

are not all positive for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ (for example, $x = (-1.2, 5, 1)^\top$). But the polynomials,

$$(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3(15x_1 + 14x_2 + 14x_3) > 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3 \left(\frac{46}{3}x_1 + 14x_2 + 14x_3 \right) > 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, if we respectively replace two intervals $(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{4}]$ and $[-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6}]$ with $(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{5}{18}]$ and $[-\frac{5}{18}, -\frac{1}{6}]$ in Theorem 4.6, then the conclusions still hold.

Remark 4.2 In Theorem 4.4, the right node $-\frac{1}{6}$ of the interval $(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{6}]$ may be replaced by $-\frac{5}{36}$ since it is easy to verify a polynomial

$$(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - \frac{41}{3}x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) > 0$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. So, in Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.5, the interval $(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{5}{36}]$ can substitute for $(-\frac{7}{12}, -\frac{1}{6}]$. However, in Theorem 4.6, $[-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{5}{36}]$ can not substitute for $[-\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6}]$ as a polynomial

$$(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 - 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) - x_1x_2x_3 \left(\frac{41}{3}x_1 + 15x_2 + 15x_3 \right) > 0$$

may not hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ (for example, $x = (-9.4, -2, 2.3)^\top$).

By applying Theorems 4.2, 4.4 and 4.6, the following inequalities are established easily for ternary quartic homogeneous polynomials.

Corollary 4.7 *If $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, then*

- (i) $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 \geq 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + 19x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$,
with equality if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = x_3$;
- (ii) $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + 14x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$;
- (iii) $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + 15x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$;
- (iv) $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + 16x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$;
- (v) $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + 17x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$;
- (vi) $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + 18x_1x_2x_3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)$.

Furthermore, these (strict) inequalities still hold if $x_1^3x_2$ and $x_1x_2^3$, $x_1^3x_3$ and $x_1x_3^3$, $x_2^3x_3$ and $x_2x_3^3$ are simultaneously exchangeable.

Corollary 4.8 *If $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, then*

- (i) $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + x_1x_2x_3(19x_1 + 17x_2 + 15x_3)$;
- (iii) $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + x_1x_2x_3(19x_1 + 16x_2 + 15x_3)$;

$$(iv) (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + x_1x_2x_3(15x_1 + 14x_2 + 14x_3);$$

$$(v) (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + x_1x_2x_3(15x_1 + 16x_2 + 14x_3);$$

$$(vi) (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^4 > 8(x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_3^3 + x_2^3x_3) + x_1x_2x_3(17x_1 + 15x_2 + 18x_3).$$

Furthermore, these strict inequalities still hold if $x_1^3x_2$ and $x_1x_2^3$, $x_1^3x_3$ and $x_1x_3^3$, $x_2x_3^3$ and $x_2^3x_3$ are simultaneously exchangeable.

5 Conclusions

For a class of 4th order 3-dimensional tensors, the conditions testing its positive definiteness are obtained under such tensors with cyclic symmetry and non-cyclic symmetry, respectively. The necessary and sufficient conditions are established for the positive (semi-)definiteness of fourth order tensors. Several (strict) inequalities of quartic ternary homogeneous polynomial are showed with the help of these analytic conditions.

References

- [1] Qi, L.: Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, J. Symbolic Comput. 40(2005) 1302-1324
- [2] Kannike, K.: Vacuum stability of a general scalar potential of a few fields. Eur. Phys. J. C. 76(2016), 324; Erratum. Eur. Phys. J. C. 78(2018), 355
- [3] Song, Y., Qi, L.: Boundedness from below conditions for a general scalar potential of two real scalars fields and the Higgs boson. Theor. Math. Phys. **220(3)**, 591–604 (2024)
- [4] Song, Y.: Vacuum stability conditions of the general two-Higgs-doublet potential. Modern Physics Letters A, **38:28n29**, 2350130 (2023)
- [5] Song, Y.: Co-positivity of tensors and boundedness from below conditions of CP conserving two-Higgs-doublet potential. International J. Modern Physics A., **38:31**, 2350164 (2023)
- [6] Stanley, R. P.: Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **1**, 475–511(1979)
- [7] Stanley R P.: Combinatorics and commutative algebra. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007
- [8] Yuan, P.: On the index of minimal zero-sum sequences over finite cyclic groups. J. Comb. Theory, Series A **114** 1545–1551(2007)
- [9] Gao, W., Geroldinger, A.: Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: A survey. Expo. Math. **24**, 337 – 369(2006)

- [10] Harris, J. C., Wehlau D. L.: Non-Negative Integer Linear Congruences. *Indagationes Mathematicae* **17(1)** 37-44(2006)
- [11] Rees, E. L.: Graphical Discussion of the Roots of a Quartic Equation, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **29(2)**, 51-55(1922)
- [12] Gadem, R.N., Li, C.C.: On positive definiteness of quartic forms of two variables, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control.* **AC-9**, 187-188(1964)
- [13] Ku, W.H.: Explicit criterion for the positive definiteness of a general quartic form, *IEEE Tram. Autom. Control.* **AC-10(3)**, 372-373 (1965)
- [14] Jury, E.I., Mansour, M.: Positivity and nonnegativity of a quartic equation and related problems, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control.* **AC-26(2)**, 444-451 (1981)
- [15] Lazard, D.: Quantifier elimination: Optimal solution for two classical examples, *J. Sym. Comput.* **5(1-2)** 261-266(1988)
- [16] Wang, F., Qi, L.: Comments on “Explicit criterion for the positive definiteness of a general quartic form”, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control.* **50(3)**, 416-418(2005)
- [17] Hasan, M. A., Hasan, A. A.: A procedure for the positive definiteness of forms of even order. *IEEE Trans. Auto. Control.* **41(4)**, 615-617(1996)
- [18] Fu, M.: Comments on “A procedure for the positive definiteness of forms of even order”. *IEEE Trans. Auto. Control.* **43(10)**, 1430 (1998)
- [19] Guo, Y.: A necessary and sufficient condition for the positive definite problem of a binary quartic form. *J. Math.* **2021**, 2339746(2021)
- [20] Qi, L., Song, Y., Zhang, X.: Positivity Conditions for Cubic, Quartic and Quintic Polynomials, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **23(2)**, 191-213(2022)
- [21] Ni, Q., Qi, L., Wang, F.: An eigenvalue method for testing the positive definiteness of a multivariate form. *IEEE Trans Automatic Control*, 2008, 53: 1096-1107
- [22] Song, Y.: Positive definiteness for 4th order symmetric tensors and applications, *Analy. Math. Physics*, **10**, 11(2021)
- [23] Song, Y., Qi, L.: Analytical expressions of copositivity for 4th order symmetric tensors, *Analy. Appl.* **19(5)**, 779-800(2021)
- [24] Ng, M., Qi, L., Zhou, G.: Finding the largest eigenvalue of a non-negative tensor, *SIAM J Matrix Anal Appl.* **31**, 1090-1099 (2009)
- [25] Cui, C. F., Dai, Y. H., Nie, J.: All real eigenvalues of symmetric tensors. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, **35(4)**, 1582-1601 (2014)

- [26] Zhang, L., Qi, L.: Linear convergence of an algorithm for computing the largest eigenvalue of a nonnegative tensor. *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* **19(5)**, 830-841 (2012)
- [27] Hu, S., Huang, Z. H., Qi, L.: Finding the extreme Z-eigenvalues of tensors via a sequential semidefinite programming method. *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* **20(6)**, 972-984 (2013)
- [28] Hu, S., Huang, Z. H., Qi, L.: Strictly nonnegative tensors and nonnegative tensor partition. *Science China Math.* **57**, 181-195 (2014)
- [29] Hu, S., Huang, Z. H., Ling, C., Qi, L.: On determinants and eigenvalue theory of tensors. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **50**, 508-531 (2013)
- [30] Hu, S., Li, G., Qi, L., Song, Y.: Finding the maximum eigenvalue of essentially nonnegative symmetric tensors via sum of squares programming. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **158**, 717-738 (2013)
- [31] Li, C., Wang, F., Zhao, J., Zhu, Y., Li, Y.: Criteria for the positive definiteness of real supersymmetric tensors. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **255**, 1-14 (2014)
- [32] Cui, L. B., Chen, C., Li, W., Ng, M. K.: An eigenvalue problem for even order tensors with its applications. *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* **64(4)**, 602-621 (2016)
- [33] Qi, L., Luo, Z.: *Tensor analysis: spectral theory and special tensors*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2017.
- [34] Qi, L., Chen, H., Chen, Y.: *Tensor eigenvalues and their applications*. Singapore: Springer, 2018.
- [35] Ding W., Qi L., Wei Y.: M-tensors and nonsingular M-tensors. *Linear Alge. Appl.* **439(10)**, 3264-3278(2013)
- [36] Kolda T. G., Mayo J. R.: Shifted power method for computing tensor eigenpairs. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **32(4)**, 1095-1124 (2011)
- [37] Zhang, L., Qi, L., Zhou, G.: M-tensors and some applications. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **35(2)**, 437-452(2014)
- [38] Yang. Y., Yang, Q.: Further results for Perron–Frobenius theorem for non-negative tensor. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **31(5)**, 2517-2530 (2010)
- [39] Yang. Y., Yang, Q.: Further results for Perron–Frobenius theorem for non-negative tensors II. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **32(4)**: 1236-1250(2011)
- [40] Kolda, T. G., Mayo, J. R.: An adaptive shifted power method for computing generalized tensor eigenpairs. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **35(4)**, 1563-1581 (2014)

- [41] Chen, L., Han, L., Zhou, L.: Computing tensor eigenvalues via homotopy methods. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **37(1)**, 290-319 (2016)
- [42] Kannan, M. R., Shaked-Monderer, N., Berman, A.: Some properties of strong H-tensors and general H-tensors. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **476**, 42-55 (2015)
- [43] Liu, Y., Zhou, G., Ibrahim, N. F.: An always convergent algorithm for the largest eigenvalue of an irreducible nonnegative tensor. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **235(1)**, 286-292 (2010)
- [44] Ni, Q., Qi, L.: A quadratically convergent algorithm for finding the largest eigenvalue of a nonnegative homogeneous polynomial map. *J. Glob. Optim.* **61(4)**, 627-641 (2015)
- [45] Nie, J., Zhang, X.: Real eigenvalues of nonsymmetric tensors. *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **70(1)**, 1-32(2018)
- [46] Zhou, G., Caccetta, L., Qi, L.: Convergence of an algorithm for the largest singular value of a nonnegative rectangular tensor. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438(2)**, 959-968 (2013)
- [47] Zhou, G., Wang, G., Qi, L., Alqahtani, M.: A fast algorithm for the spectral radii of weakly reducible nonnegative tensors. *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* **25(2)**, e2134 (2018)
- [48] Li, W., Ng, M. K.: Some bounds for the spectral radius of nonnegative tensors. *Numerische Mathematik*, **130(2)**, 315-335 (2015)
- [49] Song, Y., Qi, L.: Eigenvalue analysis of constrained minimization problem for homogeneous polynomial. *J. Global Optim.* **64(3)**, 563-575 (2016)
- [50] Chen, H., Wang, Y.: On computing minimal H-eigenvalue of sign-structured tensors. *Front. Math. China.* **12**, 1289-1302 (2017)
- [51] Zhang, L., Qi, L., Xu, Y.: Linear convergence of the LZI algorithm for weakly positive tensors. *J. Comput. Math.* **2012**, 24-33 (2012)
- [52] Ma, W., Ding, W., Wei, Y.: Noda iteration for computing generalized tensor eigenpairs. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **432**, 115284 (2023)
- [53] Luo, Z. Y., Qi, L., Ye, Y. Y.: Linear operators and positive semidefiniteness of symmetric tensor spaces. *Science China Math.* **58**, 197-212 (2015)
- [54] He, J.: Bounds for the largest eigenvalue of nonnegative tensors. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **20(7)**, 1290-1301 (2016)
- [55] Zhou, G., Qi, L., Wu, S. Y.: On the largest eigenvalue of a symmetric nonnegative tensor. *Numerical Linear Algebra Appl.* **20(6)**, 913-928 (2013)