SPACES OF GENERATORS FOR AZUMAYA ALGEBRAS WITH UNITARY INVOLUTION

OMER CANTOR[∗] AND URIYA A. FIRST[∗]

ABSTRACT. Let A be a finite dimensional algebra (possibly with some extra structure) over an infinite field K and let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. The r-tuples $(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in A^r$ which fail to generate A are the K-points of a closed subvariety Z_r of the affine space underlying A^r , the codimension of which may be thought of as quantifying how well a generic r -tuple in A^r generates A . Taking this intuition one step further, the second author, Reichstein and Williams showed that lower bounds on the codimension of Z_r in A^r (for every r) imply upper bounds on the number of generators of *forms* of the K -algebra A over finitely generated K-rings. That work also demonstrates how finer information on Z_r may be used to construct forms of A which require many elements to generate.

The dimension and irreducible components of Z_r are known in a few cases, which in particular lead to upper bounds on the number of generators of Azumaya algebras and Azumaya algebras with involution of the first kind (orthogonal or symplectic). This paper treats the case of Azumaya algebras with a unitary involution by finding the dimension and irreducible components of Z_r when A is the K-algebra with involution $(M_n(K) \times M_n(K), (a, b) \mapsto (b^t, a^t)).$ Our analysis implies that every Azumaya algebra with a unitary involution over a finitely generated K -ring of Krull dimension d can be generated by $\lfloor \frac{d}{2n-2} + \frac{3}{2} \rfloor$ elements. We also give examples which require at least half that many elements to generate, by building on the work of the second author, Reichstein and Williams.

Our method of finding the dimension and irreducible components of Z_r actually applies to all K-algebras A satisfying a mild assumption.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an infinite field and let A be a finite-dimensional K -algebra, possibly with additional structure such as an involution. Let R be a K-ring, i.e., a commutative K-algebra. By an R -form of A, we mean an R -algebra B for which there exists a faithfully flat R-ring S such that $A_S := A \otimes_K S$ is isomorphic to $B \otimes_R S$ as S-algebras (with additional structure, if any).

For this introduction, we will focus on the following algebras and algebras-withinvolution over K:

(i) $A = M_n(K)$,

- (ii) $A = (M_n(K), t)$, where t is matrix transposition,
- (iii) $A = (\mathcal{M}_n(K), \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d^t & -b^t \\ -c^t & a^t \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} a^r & -b^s \\ -c^t & a^t \end{bmatrix}$, where *n* is even and $a, b, c, d \in M_{n/2}(K)$,
- (iv) $A = (M_n(K) \times M_n(K), (a, b) \mapsto (b^t, a^t)).$

For a K-field F , it is well-known that in case (i), the F -forms of A are the central simple F-algebras of degree n, and in cases (ii), (iii) and (iv), the F-forms are the degree-n central simple F -algebras with involution of orthogonal, symplectic or unitary type, respectively; see [\[7\]](#page-18-0), for instance. This fact is fundamental to the study and classification of simple algebraic groups of classical type. Over a K-ring R, the R-forms of A are the degree-n Azumaya R-algebras in case (i), and the

[∗]University of Haifa

E-mail addresses: ocantor@proton.me, uriya.first@gmail.com.

degree-n Azumaya R-algebras carrying an involution of orthogonal, symplectic or unitary type in cases (ii), (iii) or (iv), respectively; see $[6, \text{ III.8.5}], [2, \text{§1.4}]$ $[6, \text{ III.8.5}], [2, \text{§1.4}]$ $[6, \text{ III.8.5}], [2, \text{§1.4}]$ and Proposition [4.1](#page-7-0) below.

For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let V_r denote the K-affine space underlying A^r . In [\[4,](#page-18-3) §2], it was observed that V_r has a closed subscheme Z_r of "r-tuples not generating A". that is, for every K-field F, the F-points of Z_r are the r-tuples $(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in A_F^r$ which do not generate A_F as an F-algebra (with extra structure, if any).

On its face, the codimension of Z_r in V_r quantifies how likely it is for an r-tuple in A^r to generate A. However, in [\[4\]](#page-18-3), the second author, Z. Reichstein and B. Williams observed that Z_r is strongly related to the number of generators of R forms of A. This manifests in two ways: First, a lower bound on the codimension of Z_r in V_r (for every r) implies an upper bound on the number of generators of any R-form of A depending only on the Krull dimension of R [\[4,](#page-18-3) Thm. 6.1]. Second, finer information on how Z_r sits inside V_r may be used in an ad hoc manner to produce forms of A which require many generators; see [\[4,](#page-18-3) Thm. $1.5(b)$], [\[5\]](#page-18-4), [\[12\]](#page-18-5).

At the basis of these results lies a deeper observation, which also serves as a motivation to study Z_r . Let G be the K-algebraic group of automorphisms of A and let $U_r = V_r - Z_r$. The evident action of G on V_r restricts to a free action on U_r , and the G-torsor $U_r \to Y_r := U_r/G$ corresponds to a Y_r -form of A (consisting of a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_{Y_r} -algebras). It turns out that this Y_r -form carries a canonical generating r-tuple (of global sections) and it is universal among the forms of A equipped with a generating r-tuple [\[4,](#page-18-3) Prop. 4.1]. Since the classifying stack of G , denoted BG , classifies forms of A, there is a canonical morphism $Y_r \to BG$ corresponding to forgetting the generating r-tuple. Finding the number of generators of an R-form B may therefore be restated as the problem of determining whether the morphism Spec $R \to BG$ corresponding to B may be lifted to a morphism Spec $R \to Y_r$ (corresponding to specifying r generators for B). Less formally, we wish to know "how well" does the K-algebraic space $Y_r = (V_r - Z_r)/G$ approximates BG. To see how this relates to Z_r , note for example that if the codimension of Z_r in V_r is m, then $Y_r \to BG$ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^i(BG) \to \mathrm{H}^i(Y_r)$ when $i < 2m - 1$ for some "nice" cohomology theories, e.g., singular cohomology when $K = \mathbb{C}$ [\[4,](#page-18-3) Lem. 11.2, Rmk. 1.7]. Thus, if we can guarantee that $m = \dim V_r - \dim Z_r$ is large, we may interchange BG and Y_r in some cohomological computations. On the other hand, information about when $\mathrm{H}^i(BG) \to \mathrm{H}^i(Y_r)$ is not an isomorphism may be used to obstruct lifts along $Y_r \to BG$ [\[4,](#page-18-3) Thm. 11.4], giving rise to forms of A which cannot be generated by r elements. Beyond what is guaranteed by dimension considerations, such information is usually obtained by careful case-specific analysis of the pair $Z_r \subseteq V_r$.

So far, the dimension and irreducible components of Z_r were determined in cases (i)–(iii) and for a few more K-algebras. Specifically, the cases where $A = M_n(K)$ and A is a split octonion K -algebra were treated in [\[4\]](#page-18-3), and cases (ii) and (iii) above were resolved in [\[9\]](#page-18-6). This led in particular to upper bounds on the number of generators of Azumaya algebras and Azumaya algebras carrying an orthogonal or symplectic involution listed in [\[4,](#page-18-3) Thm. 1.5(a), Prop. 7.3]. In addition, a more careful study of Z_r and $U_r = V_r - Z_r$ was done for $A = K \times \cdots \times K$ (*n* times) — in which case the forms of A are the rank-n finite étale algebras — and $A = M_n(K)$, leading to examples of finite \acute{e} tale algebras and degree-n Azumaya algebras which require many elements to generate; see [\[12\]](#page-18-5) for $A = K \times \cdots \times K$, [\[4,](#page-18-3) Thm. 1.5(b)] for $A = M_n(K)$ with $n > 2$ and [\[5\]](#page-18-4) for $A = M_2(K)$.

In this paper, we add to this picture by finding the dimension and irreducible components of Z_r in the "unitary" case (iv). We show:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose $A = (M_n(K) \times M_n(K), (a, b) \mapsto (b^t, a^t))$ and let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\dim Z_r = \begin{cases} 2rn^2 - (2r - 1)(n - 1) & n > 1 \\ r & n = 1. \end{cases}
$$

We also determine the irreducible components of Z_r in Theorem [7.1;](#page-15-0) it has n or $n + 1$ irreducible components depending on the values of n, r and char K.

In addition, our Proposition [4.1](#page-7-0) says that the R -forms of A from (iv) are the Azumaya R-algebras with unitary involution (in the sense of [\[2,](#page-18-2) §1.4]). Thus, our computation of dim Z_r and [\[4,](#page-18-3) Thm. 6.1] implies:

Corollary 1.2. Let R be a ring of Krull dimension d finitely generated over an infinite field K, let $n > 1$ and let (B, τ) be a degree-n Azumaya algebra with a unitary involution over R. Then

$$
\text{gen}_R(B,\tau) \le \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2n-2} + \frac{3}{2} \right\rfloor.
$$

By building on $[4, Thm. 1.5(b)]$ and $[5]$, which give examples of degree-n Azumaya algebras (without involution) over rings of Krull dimension d requiring slightly more than $\frac{d}{2n-2}$ elements to generate, we also observe that there exist degree-n Azumaya algebras with unitary involution over finitely generated K-rings which cannot be generated by less than $\frac{d}{4n-4}$ elements, provided char $K = 0$; see Remark [6.2.](#page-13-0)

Our approach to finding the dimension and irreducible components of Z_r in case (iv) relies on the following result, which gives a method to find them for any K-algebra A (or even a multialgebra, see Section [3\)](#page-3-0) satisfying a mild assumption.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose K is algebraically closed. Let G be the identity connected component of the K-algebraic group ${\rm Aut}_K(A)$, and suppose that the action of $G(K)$ on the maximal subalgebras of A has finitely many orbits represented by A_1, \ldots, A_t . For $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$, let X_i be the Zariski closure of $\bigcup_{g \in G(K)} g(A_i)^r$ in V_r . Then:

- (i) The irreducible components of Z_r are the maximal members of the family X_1, \ldots, X_t .
- (ii) dim $Z_r \leq \dim G + \max\{r \dim A_i \dim \text{Stab}_G(A_i) \mid i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}\}\$, and equality holds if the maximum is attained at an index i for which A_i can be generated by r elements.

Since tensoring with the algebraic closure \overline{K} does not affect dim Z_r , this implies:

Corollary 1.4. Let A be a finite-dimensional K-algebra such that the \overline{K} -algebra $A \otimes_K \overline{K}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0) Then there exist integers $a, b \geq 0$ such that for every sufficiently large r, we have dim $Z_r = ar + b$.

Suppose now that K is algebraically closed and A is the algebra with involution $(M_n(K) \times M_n(K), (a, b) \mapsto (b^t, a^t))$ from (iv). Thanks to Theorem [1.3,](#page-2-0) the task of finding dim Z_r breaks down to finding the maximal subalgebras of A (invariant under the involution) up to the action of $G(K)$ (G as in the theorem), finding the stabilizer in $G(K)$ of each representative, checking that every representative can be generated by r elements, and dealing separately with any exceptions to the latter. This is carried out in Section [5.](#page-7-1)

Determining the irreducible components is trickier, because contrary to what one may expect, the family X_1, \ldots, X_t from Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) may include non-maximal members, which indeed happens in our case; see Lemma [7.6.](#page-17-0) Another difficulty arises from the fact that $\bigcup_{g \in G(K)} g(A_i)^r$ is not always Zariski closed in $A^r = V_r(K)$ (Remark [7.3\)](#page-16-0). Our Theorem [7.1](#page-15-0) determines the maximal X_i for our A, showing in particular that the number of irreducible components is the expected number t , except when *n* is even and char $K = 2$, or $(n, r) = (2, 1)$.

4 SPACES OF GENERATORS

The paper is organized as follows: Section [2](#page-3-1) sets notation and conventions for the paper. Section [3](#page-3-0) recalls some facts about Z_r and proves Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0) In Section [4,](#page-6-0) we recall Azumaya algebras with unitary involution, and prove that the R-forms of the algebra with involution A in (iv) are precisely the Azumaya R -algebras with unitary involution. Section [5](#page-7-1) contains results about the maximal subalgebras of A from (iv) that are needed in order to apply Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0) We then use Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) to prove Theorem [1.1](#page-2-1) and Corollary [1.2](#page-2-2) in Section [6.](#page-12-0) Finally, in Section [7,](#page-14-0) we use Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) to find the irreducible components of Z_r .

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Z. Reichstein and B. Williams for comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. The second author is supported by an ISF grant no. 721/24.

2. Conventions

Throughout, a ring means a commutative (associative) unital ring. By default, algebras are associative and unital, and may carry an involution when indicated. Subalgebras are required to include the unity and be stable under the involution, if present. Nevertheless, in Section [3](#page-3-0) we will allow non-unital non-associative algebras. Given a ring R , an R -ring means a commutative (associative, unital) R -algebra. The group of invertible elements in R is denoted R^{\times} .

We always let K denote a field. Elements of $Kⁿ$ are viewed as column vectors. The transpose of an $m \times n$ matrix a is denoted a^t . When there is no risk of confusion, we shall write M_n for $M_n(K)$ and GL_n for $GL_n(K)$. The $n \times n$ identity matrix is denoted I_n , or just I. When n is even, we also let $\Omega = \Omega_n := I_{n/2} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Recall that two matrices $a, a' \in M_n$ are called congruent, denoted $a \sim a'$, if there is $g \in GL_n$ such that $gag^t = a'$. This is equivalent to saying that the bilinear forms $b(x, y) = x^{\mathrm{t}}ay$ and $b'(x, y) = x^{\mathrm{t}}a'y$ on K^n are isomorphic. Let $a \in \mathrm{GL}_n$ and suppose K is algebraically closed. Then it is known $(1,$ Props. 1.9, 1.18], for instance) that a is symmetric and not alternating if and only if $a \sim I$ and a is alternating if and only if n is even and $a \sim \Omega$. (Here, as usual, a is alternating if it is skew-symmetric and has zeroes on the diagonal; the latter condition is needed only when char $K = 2$.)

A K-variety is a reduced K-scheme of finite type, not necessarily irreducible. A K-algebraic group is a group scheme of finite type over K , possibly not smooth. When K is algebraically closed, we will freely identify any K -variety (resp. K algebraic group) with its underlying set (resp. group) of K -points, to which we give the Zariski topology. We will also define morphisms between K-varieties by specifying them as functions between the corresponding sets of K -points. We shall view every finite-dimensional K-vector space as a K-affine space. In particular, such spaces will be endowed with the Zariski topology. The K-projective space associated to a finite dimensional K-vector space V is denoted $\mathbb{P}(V)$.

3. Spaces of Non-Generating Tuples

Let us fix a finite-dimensional K -multialgebra A . Recall that this means that A is a K-vector space equipped with some K-multilinear operations $\{\mu_i: A^{n_i} \to A\}_{i \in I}$. Typically, A will be an "ordinary" K -algebra — the product being a K -bilinear map $\mu : A \times A \rightarrow A$ — carrying some additional structure such as an involution (a K-linear map $\mu : A \to A$) or a unit element (encoded as a multilinear map with 0 arguments $\mu : A^0 \to A$). The K-algebraic group of K-multialgebra automorphisms of A is denoted $\text{Aut}_K(A)$. As usual, the sub-multialgebra of A generated by a subset $S \subseteq A$ is the smallest subspace of A that is closed under all the operations of A. We write

$$
gen(A) \qquad or \qquad gen_K(A)
$$

for the smallest cardinality of a generating subset for A . For a K -ring R , we let $A_R = A \otimes_K R$, which is a multialgebra over R. One may now consider R-forms of A as in the Introduction.

As before, given $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we write V_r for the K-affine space underlying A^r . In [\[4\]](#page-18-3), the second author, Reichstein and Williams defined a closed subscheme Z_r of V_r such that for every K -field L , we have

$$
Z_r(L) = \{(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in A_L^r : a_1, \ldots, a_r \text{ do not generate } A_L\}.
$$

The codimension of Z_r in the ambient variety V_r is

$$
c_A(r) := r \dim A - \dim Z_r.
$$

The following result from [\[4\]](#page-18-3) says that bounding $c_A(r)$ from below provides an upper bound for the number of generators of forms of A.

Theorem 3.1 ([\[4,](#page-18-3) Thm. 6.1]). Let $d, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $c_A(r) > d$, then every form of A over a finitely generated K-ring R of Krull dimension d or less can be generated by r elements as an R-multialgebra.

The formation of Z_r commutes with base change, so its dimension does not change if we extend scalars from K to its algebraic closure \overline{K} . We may therefore restrict our attention to the case $K = \overline{K}$. Provided this holds, we will abuse the notation and use Z_r to indicate its set of K-points as we do for K-varieties.

Suppose that K is algebraically closed and let m denote the maximal dimension of a proper subalgebra of A. By [\[4,](#page-18-3) Lem. 6.2], we have $mr \leq \dim Z_r \leq mr +$ $m(\dim A - m)$ for every $r > m$. Our first result gives a recipe to find the exact dimension of Z_r and also its irreducible components; it readily implies Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0)

Proposition 3.2. With notation as above, assume that K is algebraically closed and let G be a closed subgroup of ${\rm Aut}_K(A)$ (more precisely, its group of K-points). Suppose that the action of G on the set of maximal K-subalgebras of A has finitely many orbits represented by subalgebras A_1, \ldots, A_t . For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, let H_i denote the stabilizer of A_i in G. In addition, fixing $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
Y_i = \bigcup_{g \in G} g(A_i)^r \qquad and \qquad X_i = \overline{Y_i},
$$

where the closure is taken in A^r w.r.t. Zariski topology. Then:

- (*i*) $Z_r = \bigcup_{i=1}^t X_i$.
- (ii) dim $X_i \leq \dim G + r \dim A_i \dim H_i$, and if $\text{gen}(A_i) \leq r$, equality holds.
- (iii) If G is connected, then the irreducible components of Z_r are the maximal members of the family X_1, \ldots, X_t .
- (iv) If $\text{gen}(A_i) \leq r$ and Y_j is closed in A^r for some $i \neq j$, then $X_i \nsubseteq X_j$.
- (v) If H_i is a parabolic subgroup of G, then Y_i is closed in A^r , i.e., $X_i = Y_i$.

We will usually apply the proposition with G being the identity connected component of ${\rm Aut}_K(A)$.

Proof. Observe that G acts on A^r from the left by $g(a_1, \ldots, a_r) = (g(a_1), \ldots, g(a_r))$. (i) It is enough to show that $Z_r = \bigcup_{i=1}^t Y_i$, since that would imply $Z_r = \overline{Z_r}$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^t \overline{Y_i} = \bigcup_{i=1}^t X_i$. Every r-tuple $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in Y_i$ is contained in $g(A_i)^r$ for some $g \in G$, and thus generates a subalgebra of $g(A_i)$. In particular, a cannot generate A, so $a \in Z_r$. Conversely, any tuple $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in Z_r$ generates a proper subalgebra of A, which is contained in $g(A_i)$ for some i and $g \in G$, so $a \in \bigcup_{i=1}^t Y_i$.

6 SPACES OF GENERATORS

(ii) Define a morphism $\varphi_i: G \times A_i^r \to X_i$ by $\varphi_i(g, a) = g(a)$. The image of φ_i is precisely Y_i , which is dense in X_i . In view of the fiber dimension theorem, in order to prove that $\dim X_i \leq \dim G + r \dim A_i - \dim H_i$, it is enough to show that

(3.1)
$$
\dim(\varphi^{-1}(a)) \ge \dim(H_i),
$$

where $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in X_i$ is a point in general position in X_i (i.e., a ranges in a dense subset of X_i). We also need to show that equality holds when A_i can be generated by r elements.

We may assume that $a \in Y_i$. Moreover, since φ_i is G-equivariant, we may replace a with $g(a)$ for a suitable $g \in G$ and assume without loss of generality that $a \in A_i^r$. Define a morphism $\psi: H_i \to \varphi_i^{-1}(a)$ by $\psi(h) = (h^{-1}, h(a))$ (we have $h(a) \in A_i^r$ because H_i stabilizes A_i and $a \in A_i^r$). Then ψ is one-to-one and hence [\(3.1\)](#page-5-0) holds.

Suppose now that A_i can be generated by r elements. Arguing as before, we again assume that $a \in A_i^r$. However, we are now allowed to further assume that a generates A_i . We claim that $\psi : H_i \to \varphi_i^{-1}(a)$ is also surjective, and thus $\dim \varphi_i^{-1}(a) = \dim H_i$. Indeed, let $(g, x) \in \varphi^{-1}(a)$. Then $x = g^{-1}(a)$. Since the tuple a generates A_i and $x \in A_i^r$, this means that $g^{-1}(A_i) \subseteq A_i$, so $g^{-1} \in H_i$ and $\psi(g^{-1}) = (g, g^{-1}(a)) = (g, x).$

(iii) Since G is a connected algebraic group, it is irreducible. Thus, $G \times A_i^r$ is irreducible and so is $X_i = \overline{\varphi_i(G \times A_i^r)}$. Since $Z_r = \bigcup_{i=1}^t X_i$, the irreducible components of Z_r are the maximal members of X_1, \ldots, X_t .

(iv) Let U_i denote the set of r-tuples in A_i which generate A_i as a K-algebra. Our assumptions imply that $U_i \neq \emptyset$. Since $U_i \subseteq X_i$ and $Y_j = X_j$, in order to show that $X_i \nsubseteq X_j$, it is enough to show that $U_i \cap Y_j = \emptyset$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that there is $a \in U_i \cap Y_j$. Then there is some $g \in G$ such that $a \in g(A_j)^r$. Since the tuple a generates A_i , it follows that $A_i \subseteq g(A_j)$. The maximality of A_i and A_j now forces $A_i = g(A_j)$, but this contradicts our assumption that A_i and A_j are not in the same G-orbit. Thus a cannot exist and $U_i \cap Y_j = \emptyset$.

(v) Recall that H_i is parabolic if G/H_i is a complete K-variety. Write $m =$ $\dim A_i$ and let $\mathrm{Gr}(m, A)$ denote the Grassmannian variety of m-dimensional subspaces of A. There is a morphism $\psi: G/H_i \to Gr(m, A)$ mapping the coset gH_i to $g(A_i)$. Since G/H_i is complete, its image Z is a closed subvariety of $Gr(m, A)$, hence complete. Consider the closed subvariety W of $Z \times A^r$ consisting of tuples (V, a_1, \ldots, a_r) with $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in V$. The image of W under the second projection $p_2: Z \times A^r \to A^r$ is precisely Y_i . Since Z is complete, p_2 is closed, and we conclude that $Y_i = p_2(W)$ is closed in A^r . . В последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последн
В последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последнит

Remark 3.3. With notation as in Proposition [3.2,](#page-4-0) it may happen that $X_i \subsetneq X_j$ for some $i \neq j$ even when G is connected. In addition, is may also happen that Y_i is not closed, i.e., $Y_i \neq X_i$. This is demonstrated in Lemma [7.6](#page-17-0) and Remark [7.3](#page-16-0) below, and shows that the assumptions in (iv) and (v) cannot be removed in general.

Remark 3.4. It is in general not true that the action of (the K-points of) $\text{Aut}_K(A)$ on the maximal subalgebras of A has finitely many orbits. Here is such an example with A commutative, associative and unital: Let $f \in K[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ be a homogeneous polynomial such that the equation $f = 0$ defines a genus-3 curve in \mathbb{P}^2 having no nontrivial automorphisms; such f exists, e.g., by [\[10\]](#page-18-8). Write $m = \deg f > 1$ and let $A = K[x_0, x_1, x_2]/((x_0, x_1, x_2)^{m+1} + (f)).$ Then A is a local K-algebra with Jacobson radical $J = x_0 A + x_1 A + x_2 A$. Let $\overline{x_i}$ denote the image of x_i in J/J^2 . Then every automorphism g of A induces an element $\overline{g} \in GL(J/J^2)$ which must satisfy $f(\overline{g}(\overline{x_0}), \overline{g}(\overline{x_1}), \overline{g}(\overline{x_2})) \in Kf(\overline{x_0}, \overline{x_1}, \overline{x_2})$. Thus, \overline{g} induces an automorphism of the projective curve $f = 0$, and must therefore be scalar. As a result, if U is a codimension-1 subspace of J containing J^2 , then $g(U) = U$. For every such U,

the space $K + U$ is a maximal subalgebra of A fixed by ${\rm Aut}_K(A)$, and there are infinitely many such subalgebras since K is infinite.

The following proposition gives a more sophisticated way for checking that $X_i \nsubseteq$ X_i when dim $A_i = \dim A_i$. It will be needed in Section [7.](#page-14-0)

Proposition 3.5. Assume that K is algebraically closed, let A be a K-multialgebra and let G be a closed subgroup of ${\rm Aut}_K(A)$. Let A_1 and A_2 be two subalgebras of A having the same dimension m . Let X denote the Grassmannian of m -spaces inside A and, for $i = 1, 2$, let $Z_i = \{g(A_i) | g \in G\} \subseteq X$. If $Z_1 \nsubseteq Z_2$ (the closures are taken in X), then $\overline{\bigcup_{g\in G} g(A_1)^r} \nsubseteq \overline{\bigcup_{g\in G} g(A_2)^r}$ (the closures are in A^r) for every $r \ge \text{gen}_K(A_1).$

Proof. Fix some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $W_{\leq m}$ denote the set of tuples $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in A^r$ which generate a subalgebra of A of dimension m or less.

We first claim that $W_{\leq m}$ is closed in A^r . Indeed, let M denote the set of formal expressions obtained by repeatedly applying the operations of the multialgebra A on variables x_1, \ldots, x_r . Every $(m+1)$ -tuple $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_{m+1}) \in M^{m+1}$ determines a morphism $f_w: A^r \to \bigwedge^{m+1}_K A$ given by $f_w(a) = w_1(a) \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{m+1}(a)$. The subset $W_{\leq m}$ is the intersection $\bigcap_{w \in M^{m+1}} f_w^{-1}(0)$, so it is closed in A^r .

Let $W_m = W_{\leq m} - W_{\leq (m-1)}$ (with $W_{-1} = \emptyset$). Then W_m is open $W_{\leq m}$. We view $W_{\leq m}$ as a closed subvariety of A^r and W_m as an open subvariety of $W_{\leq m}$.

Next, we claim that the function $\varphi: W_m \to X$ mapping an r-tuple $a \in W_m$ to the K -subalgebra that it generates is a morphism. To that end, we embed in X in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge_{K}^{m} A)$ using the Plücker embedding. It is enough to show that the composition $W_m \to X \to \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge_K^m A)$, denoted φ' , is defined locally by rational functions. Let $a \in W_m$. Then there are $w_1, \ldots, w_m \in M$ such that $w_1(a), \ldots, w_m(a)$ form K-basis for the K-subalgebra generated by a. The image of a under φ' is therefore the line in $\bigwedge_{K}^{m} A$ generated by $w_1(a) \wedge \cdots \wedge w_m(a)$. The assignment $x \mapsto w_1(x) \wedge \cdots \wedge w_m(x)$ defines φ' in a Zariski neighborhood of a in W_m (namely, whenever it does not vanish), and by choosing bases to A^r and $\bigwedge_K^m A$, one readily sees it is a polynomial function. Thus, φ is a morphism.

Now, for $i = 1, 2$, put $Y_i = \bigcup_{g \in G} g(A_i)^r$. Then Y_i is a subset of $W_{\leq m}$ and $U_i := Y_i \cap W_m$ is the set of r-tuples in A^r which generate a K-subalgebra of the form $g(A_i)$ with $g \in G$. In particular, U_i is open in Y_i and $\varphi(U_i) \subseteq Z_i$. When $r \ge \text{gen}_K(A_i)$, each $g(A_i)$ is generated by some $a \in U_i$, which implies that U_i is dense in Y_i and also that $\varphi(U_i) = Z_i$.

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $r \ge \text{gen}_K(A_1)$, but $\overline{Y_1} \subseteq \overline{Y_2}$. Then $U_1 \subseteq \overline{Y_2} \cap W_m$. If $r < \text{gen}_K(A_2)$, then we would have $Y_2 \subseteq W_{\leq (m-1)}$, meaning that $\overline{Y_2} \subseteq W_{\leq (m-1)}$ and $\overline{Y_2} \cap W_m = \emptyset$, which contradicts with $U_1 \neq \emptyset$. It must therefore be the case that $r \ge \text{gen}_K(A_2)$, hence $U_1 \subseteq \overline{Y_2} = \overline{U_2}$. Now, the continuity of φ implies that $\underline{Z}_1 = \underline{\varphi}(U_1) \subseteq \varphi(U_2) \subseteq \varphi(\underline{U_2}) = \underline{Z}_2$, so $Z_1 \subseteq Z_2$. This contradicts our assumption $\overline{Z_1} \nsubseteq \overline{Z_2}$, so we must have $\overline{Y_1} \nsubseteq \overline{Y_2}$.

4. The Case of Azumaya Algebras with Unitary Involution

Recall our standing assumption that all algebras and algebras with involution are unital.

For the remainder of the paper, we focus on a specific K -algebra with involution. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let A_n denote the unital K-algebra $M_n(K) \times M_n(K)$ endowed with the involution

$$
(a,b)^* = (b^{\mathsf{t}}, a^{\mathsf{t}}).
$$

Forms of $(A_n, *)$ are therefore algebras with involution.

By the following proposition, the forms of $(A_n, *)$ are precisely the degree-n Azumaya algebras with unitary involution. Recall that given a ring R , an R -algebra with an R-involution (B, τ) is said to be Azumaya with unitary involution if B is an Azumaya algebra over its center S, S is a quadratic étale R-algebra, and $\tau|_S$ coincides with the standard R-involution of S (equivalently, $\tau(s) = \text{Tr}_{S/R}(s) - s$ for all $s \in S$). In this case, the rank of B as an S-module is a perfect square n^2 and n is called the degree of B and denoted deg B. See [\[2,](#page-18-2) $\S1.4$] for further details.

Proposition 4.1. Let R be a K-ring and let (B, τ) be an R-algebra with an Rinvolution. Then (B, τ) is an R-form of (A_n, \ast) if and only if (B, τ) is a degree-n Azumaya algebra with a unitary involution over R.

Proof. Suppose first that (B, τ) is a degree-n Azumaya algebra with a unitary involution over R. Observe that if R' is a faithfully flat R-ring, then it is harmless to replace (B, τ) with $(B_{R'}, \tau_{R'}) := (B \otimes_R R', \tau \otimes id_{R'})$. By assumption, the center $Z = \text{Cent}(B)$ is quadratic étale over R and $\tau|_Z$ is the standard R-involution of Z. Thus, $Z \otimes_R Z \cong Z \times Z$ as Z-rings [\[6,](#page-18-1) III.4.1]. We replace (B, τ) and R with (B_Z, τ_Z) and Z. Now, $Z = R \times R$ and $\tau|_Z$ is the swap involution $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. In this case, it is well-known ([\[2,](#page-18-2) Example 2.4], for instance) that there is an R -algebra B_0 such that $B \cong B_0 \times B_0^{\text{op}}$, and under this isomorphism, τ is given by $\tau(x, y^{\text{op}}) = (y, x^{\text{op}})$. We may therefore assume that $B = B_0 \times B_0^{\text{op}}$. Since B is Azumaya of degree n over Z, the R-algebra B_0 is Azumaya of degree n over R (because $B_0 \cong B \otimes_Z R$ when we view R as a Z-algebra via $(x, y) \mapsto x : Z \to R$). Thus, there exists a faithfully flat R-ring R' such that $(B_0)_{R'} \cong M_n(R')$ [\[6,](#page-18-1) III.5.1.15]. By replacing (B, τ) with $(B_{R'}, \tau_{R'})$, we reduce to the case $B = M_n(R) \times M_n(R)^{op}$ and $\tau(x, y^{op}) = (y, x^{op})$. One now readily checks that $(x, y) \mapsto (x, (y^{\mathrm{t}})^{\mathrm{op}})$ is an isomorphism from $(A_{n,R}, *_{R})$ to (B, τ) , so (B, τ) is an R-form of $(A_n, *)$.

Conversely, suppose that (B, τ) is an R-form of $(A_n, *)$. Then there is a faithfully flat R-ring R' such that $(B_{R'}, \tau_{R'}) \cong (A_{n,R'}, *_{R'})$. Since $A_{n,R'}$ is separable and projective over R' , the same holds for $B_{R'}$. This means that B is separable and projective over R. (Indeed, B is a finitely generated projective R-module by $[6,$ III.2.1.3], and it is separable over R because the morphism $\sum_i x_i \otimes y_i^{\rm op} \mapsto [b \mapsto$ $x_iby_i]: B\otimes_R B^{\text{op}} \to \text{End}_R(B)$ becomes an isomorphism after tensoring with R' , and is therefore an isomorphism.) Consequently, B is Azumaya over $Z := \text{Cent}(B)$. By [\[2,](#page-18-2) Lem. 1.4], we have $Z_{R'} \cong \text{Cent}(B_{R'}) \cong R' \times R'$, so Z is quadratic étale over R. Moreover, under the latter isomorphism, the restriction of $\tau_{R'}$ to $Z_{R'}$ corresponds to the swap involution $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$, hence $\tau|_Z : Z \to Z$ is the standard R-involution of Z . It remains to check that the degree of B (over Z) is n. By the isomorphism $B_{R'} \cong A_n \otimes_K R'$ we have $\operatorname{rank}_R B = 2n^2$, so $\operatorname{rank}_Z B = n^2$ and $\deg B = n$.

5. THE MAXIMAL SUBALGEBRAS OF $(A_n, *)$

Let K and $(A_n, *)$ be as in Section [4](#page-6-0) and suppose that K is algebraically closed. Fixing *n* throughout, we abbreviate $(A_n, *)$ to A.

Let Z_r be the K-scheme of r-tuples $(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in A^r$ which do not generate A (as a unital algebra with involution). We would like to apply Proposition [3.2](#page-4-0) and Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) in order to find the dimension and irreducible components of Z_r . To that end, we need to:

- describe the maximal (unital, ∗-invariant) subalgebras of A;
- describe (the K-points of) $G := Aut(A)$ and its identity connected component G° ;
- verify that the action of G° on the maximal subalgebras of A has finitely many orbits with representatives A_1, \ldots, A_t ;
- compute the stabilizer H_i of each A_i under the action of G° ;

• compute the number of generators of each A_i .

The purpose of this section is to address these points. The conclusions for the structure of Z_r are stated in the next sections.

We set additional notation to be used throughout.

As the base field K is fixed, we shall write M_n for $M_n(K)$. We write π_i $(i = 1, 2)$ for the projection from $A = M_n \times M_n$ to its *i*-th factor.

Given a subspace $V \subseteq K^n$, we let $V^{\perp} = \{x \in K^n : \langle x, V \rangle = 0\}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard bilinear form $\langle x, y \rangle = x^{\mathrm{t}} y$ on K^n . We say that V is nontrivial if $0 \neq V \neq K^n$, and in this case, define $S_V = \{a \in M_n | aV \subseteq V\}.$

As usual, GL_n is the algebraic group of invertible matrices in M_n (and also its K-points), and PGL_n is the quotient of GL_n by its center. The image of $c \in GL_n$ in PGL_n is denoted [c]. The algebraic group of invertible matrices preserving the standard bilinear form on K^n is denoted O_n^b ; on the level of K-points, O_n^b = ${a \in GL_n | a^t a = I}$.^{[1](#page-8-0)} When is *n* even, the symplectic group of $n \times n$ matrices is $\text{Sp}_n = \{a \in \text{GL}_n | a^t \Omega a = \Omega \}, \text{ where } \Omega = I_{n/2} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$ Given a nontrivial subspace $V \subseteq K^n$, we let $S_V^{\times} = S_V \cap GL_n$. The images of O_n^b , Sp_n and S_V^{\times} under the projection $GL_n \to PGL_n$ are denoted PO_n^b , PSp_n and PS_N^{\times} , respectively.

We say that $[c] \in \text{PGL}_n$ is symmetric, resp. alternating, if c is; this is independent of the representative $c \in GL_n$. We also abbreviate $(c^t)^{-1}$ to c^{-t} .

Unless indicated otherwise, algebras are algebras with involution, and the terms "subalgebra" and "generating set" are to be understood in this context.

Proposition 5.1. The maximal subalgebras of A are:

- (1) $A_V := S_V \times S_{V^{\perp}}$, where V is a nontrivial subspace of K^n , and
- (2) $B_{[p]} := \{(a, pap^{-1}) \mid a \in M_n\}$, where $[p] \in \text{PGL}_n$ is symmetric or alternating.

There are no repetitions in this list.

Proof. Let us first show that A_V and $B_{[p]}$ are indeed subalgebras of A. This is clear if we forget the involution, so it remains to check that they are invariant under ∗.

Let V be a nontrivial subspace of K^n . To show that A_V is closed under the involution, it suffices to show that $(S_V)^t = S_{V^{\perp}}$. Indeed, $a \in S_V \iff ax \in V$ for all $x \in V \iff \langle x, a^t y \rangle = \langle ax, y \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in V$ and $y \in V^{\perp} \iff a^t y \in V^{\perp}$ for all $y \in V^{\perp} \iff a^t \in S_{V^{\perp}}$.

Let $p \in GL_n$ be symmetric or alternating. The subspace $B_{[p]}$ is closed under $*$ because, given $a \in M_n$, we may define $b = p^{-1}a^tp = p^{-t}a^tp^t$ (because $p \in {\pm p^t}$) and get $(a, pap^{-1})^* = (p^{-t}a^tp^t, a^t) = (b, pbp^{-1}) \in B_{[p]}.$

Next, let us show that no two of the subalgebras listed in (1) and (2) contain each other. If V, W are distinct nontrivial subspaces of $Kⁿ$, then elementary linear algebra implies $S_V \nsubseteq S_W$ and so $A_V \nsubseteq A_W$. If $p, q \in GL_n$ are symmetric or alternating, then $B_{[p]} \subseteq B_{[q]}$ if and only if $pap^{-1} = qaq^{-1}$ for all $a \in M_n$ if only if $[p] = [q]$. If V is a nontrivial subspace of K^n and $p \in GL_n$ is symmetric or alternating, then $A_V \nsubseteq B_{[p]}$ because $(I, 0) \in A_V - B_{[p]}$, and $B_{[p]} \nsubseteq A_V$ because $\pi_1(B_{[p]}) = M_n \nsubseteq S_V = \pi_1(A_V).$

To finish, we show that for any proper subalgebra $B \subseteq A$, there is a nontrivial subspace $V \subseteq K^n$ such that $B \subseteq A_V$, or a symmetric or alternating matrix $p \in GL_n$ such that $B \subseteq B_{[p]}.$

Suppose first that $\pi_1(B) \neq M_n$ or $\pi_2(B) \neq M_n$. Since $\pi_2(B) = \pi_2(B^*) = \pi_1(B)^t$, we have $\pi_1(B) \subseteq M_n$ in both cases. Then $\pi_1(B)$ is a proper subalgebra of M_n (considered as a unital algebra without involution). By Burnside's theorem (see

¹The algebraic group O_n^b coincides with the orthogonal group of a regular quadratic form if char $K \neq 2$, but this is not the case if char $K = 2$.

[\[8,](#page-18-9) Lem. 7.3], for instance), there is a nontrivial subspace $V \subseteq K^n$ such that $\pi_1(B) \subseteq S_V$ and thus $B \subseteq S_V \times M_n$. We have shown that $(S_V)^{\mathsf{t}} = S_{V^{\perp}}$ and thus $B = B^* \subseteq M_n^{\mathbf{t}} \times (S_V)^{\mathbf{t}} = M_n \times S_{V^{\perp}}$. As a result, $B \subseteq (S_V \times M_n) \cap (M_n \times S_{V^{\perp}}) = A_V$.

Suppose now that $\pi_1(B) = \pi_2(B) = M_n$. We claim that in this case $\pi_2 : B \to M_n$ is an isomorphism of algebras without involution. For the sake of contradiction, suppose it is not. Since π_2 is surjective, its kernel is not trivial, i.e., there is $0 \neq b \in M_n$ such that $(b, 0) \in B$. Let $a \in M_n$. Since $a \in \pi_1(B)$, there is $c \in M_n$ such that $(a, c) \in B$. Therefore, $(ab, 0) = (a, c)(b, 0) \in B$ and $(ba, 0) = (b, 0)(a, c) \in B$, which means that $\langle b \rangle \times 0 \subseteq B$, where $\langle b \rangle$ is the two-sided ideal of M_n generated by b. The ring M_n is simple and $b \neq 0$, so $\langle b \rangle = M_n$ and $M_n \times 0 \subseteq B$. As a result, $0 \times M_n = (M_n \times 0)^* \subseteq B$, and it follows that $B = A$, a contradiction. Therefore, π_2 is an isomorphism. This implies that $\dim B = n^2$, so $\pi_1 : B \to M_n$ is also an isomorphism.

Define $f = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1}$. Then f is an automorphism of M_n and $B = \{(a, f(a)) | a \in$ M_n . By the Skolem–Noether theorem, there exists $p \in GL_n$ such that $f(a) =$ pap^{-1} for all $a \in M_n$. All we have left to prove is that p is symmetric or alternating. Let $a \in M_n$. We have $(a^t, pa^t p^{-1}) \in B$ and thus also $(p^{-t}ap^t, a) = (a^t, pa^t p^{-1})^* \in A$ B, hence $a = p(p^{-t}ap^t)p^{-1}$, or rather, $p^tp^{-1}a = ap^tp^{-1}$. As this holds for every $a \in M_n$, the matrix $p^t p^{-1}$ is scalar, i.e., there exists $\varepsilon \in K$ such that $p^t p^{-1} = \varepsilon I$, or equivalently, $p^{\text{t}} = \varepsilon p$. We have $p = p^{\text{tt}} = (\varepsilon p)^{\text{t}} = \varepsilon^2 p$, so $\varepsilon \in {\pm 1}$. If $\varepsilon = 1$ then p is symmetric, and otherwise $\varepsilon = -1$ and char $K \neq 2$, so p is alternating.

We proceed with describing $G = Aut_K(A)$ and $G[°]$. We denote the nontrivial element of the permutation group S_2 by σ .

Proposition 5.2. The action of PGL_n on A given by $[c](a, b) = (cac^{-1}, c^{-t}bc^{t})$ and the action of S_2 on A given by $\sigma(a, b) = (b, a)$ give rise to isomorphisms $G \cong \mathrm{PGL}_n \rtimes S_2$ and $G^{\circ} \cong \mathrm{PGL}_n$. Here, S_2 acts on PGL_n by $\sigma([c]) = [c^{-t}]$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check that the action of PGL_n is well-defined and that PGL_n and S_2 act on A by algebra automorphisms. Moreover, one readily checks that $\sigma[c]\sigma^{-1}(a,b) = [c^{-t}](a,b)$ for all $(a,b) \in A$ and $c \in GL_n$, so the induced homomorphisms $PGL_n \to G$, $S_2 \to G$ glue into a homomorphism $PGL_n \rtimes S_2 \to G$, which is clearly injective. We need to show that it is also surjective.

Let $f \in G$. The center of A is $K \times K$, so the only nontrivial central idempotents in A are $(I, 0)$ and $(0, I)$. Thus, f either fixes both of them or exchanges them.

If f fixes $(I, 0)$ and $(0, I)$, then f is a $(K \times K)$ -algebra automorphism of $A = M_n \times$ M_n . This means that $f(a, b) = (g(a), h(b))$ for some $g, h \in Aut_K(M_n)$, so by the Skolem–Noether theorem, there are $c, d \in GL_n$ such that $f(a, b) = (cac^{-1}, abd^{-1})$. The automorphism f respects the involution \ast , so for every $a, b \in M_n$, we have

$$
c^{-t}a^{t}c^{t} = \pi_2((f(a,b))^{*}) = \pi_2(f((a,b)^*)) = da^{t}d^{-1}.
$$

This means that $[d] = [c^{-t}]$ and so $f(a, b) = (cac^{-1}, c^{-t}bc^{t}) = [c](a, b)$.

If $f(I, 0) = (0, I)$, then $f \circ \sigma$ is an automorphism of A that fixes $(I, 0)$ and $(0, I)$. By what we have shown, there is $[c] \in \text{PGL}_n$ such that $f \circ \sigma = [c]$, so $f = [c] \sigma$. This shows that $G \cong \mathrm{PGL}_n \rtimes S_2$.

Since PGL_n is connected, the identity connected component of PGL_n $\rtimes S_2$ is PGL_n (more precisely, its copy in PGL_n $\rtimes S_2$). The isomorphism PGL_n $\rtimes S_2 \cong G$ therefore restricts to an isomorphism $\mathrm{PGL}_n \cong G^{\circ}$.

Henceforth, we shall freely identify G° with PGL_n as in Proposition [5.2.](#page-9-0)

Lemma 5.3. Let $[c] \in \text{PGL}_n = G^\circ$, let V be a nontrivial subspace of K^n and let $p \in GL_n$ be symmetric or alternating. Then, with notation as in Proposition [5.1,](#page-8-1) we have $[c] A_V = A_{cV}$ and $[c] B_{[p]} = B_{[c^{-1} p c^{-1}]}$.

Proof. If $a \in S_V$, then $(cac^{-1})cV = caV \subseteq cV$ and so $cac^{-1} \in S_{cV}$. This means that $\pi_1([c]A_V) = \{cac^{-1} | a \in S_V\} \subseteq S_{cV}$. The only maximal subalgebra B of A that satisfies $\pi_1(B) \subseteq S_{cV}$ is A_{cV} , so we must have $[c]A_V = A_{cV}$. This proves the first assertion. Next, $[c]B_{[p]} = \{(cac^{-1}, c^{-t}pap^{-1}c^{t}) | a \in M_n \}$, and by substituting a with $c^{-1}ac$, we get $[c]B_{[p]} = \{(a, c^{-1}pc^{-1}acp^{-1}c^{t}) | a \in M_n\} = B_{[c^{-1}pc^{-1}]}$. □

Proposition 5.4. The action of G° on the maximal subalgebras of A has finitely many orbits, and they are represented by the following subalgebras:

- (1) $A_{V(i)}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$, where $V(i) = Ke_1 + \cdots + Ke_i$;
- (2) $B_{[I]}$ and, if n is even, also $B_{[\Omega]}$.

Here, e_1, \ldots, e_n is the standard basis of K^n .

Proof. Let $V \subseteq K^n$ be a nontrivial subspace of dimension i. By Lemma [5.3,](#page-9-1) the G° -orbit of A_V is $\{A_{cV} | c \in GL_n\} = \{A_W : \dim W = i\}.$ This means that A_V is in the G° -orbit of $A_{V(i)}$ and not in any other G° -orbit.

Let $p \in GL_n$ be symmetric or alternating. Since $[c]B_{[p]} = B_{[c^{-1}pc^{-1}]}$, the G° orbit of $B_{[p]}$ consists of those $B_{[q]}$ with q congruent to p. We noted in Section [2](#page-3-1) that $p \sim I$ if p is symmetric and not alternating, $p \sim \Omega$ if p is alternating, and $I \nsim \Omega$. Thus, $B_{[p]}$ is in the G[°]-orbit of $B_{[I]}$, or that of $B_{[\Omega]}$ (provided *n* is even), and these two orbits are different. \Box

Proposition 5.5. The stabilizers of the subalgebras from Proposition [5.4](#page-10-0) under the action of $G^{\circ} = \text{PGL}_n$ are given as follows:

- (1) Stab_G∘($A_{V(i)}$) = PS_{V(i)} for $1 \le i \le n 1$;
- (2) $\text{Stab}_{G^{\circ}}(B_{[I]}) = \text{PO}_n^b$ and, if n is even, $\text{Stab}_{G^{\circ}}(B_{[\Omega]}) = \text{PSp}_n$.

Proof. Let $1 \le i \le n - 1$. By Lemma [5.3,](#page-9-1) $\text{Stab}(A_{V(i)}) = \{ [c] \in \text{PGL}_n : cV(i) =$ $V(i)$ } = P $S_{V(i)}^{\times}$, so (1) holds.

To prove (2), it suffices to show that if $p \in \{I, \Omega\}$, then $\text{Stab}(B_{[p]}) = \{[c] | c \in$ GL_n, $c^{\text{t}}pc = p$. By Lemma [5.3,](#page-9-1) $\text{Stab}(B_{[p]}) = \{ [c] \in \text{PGL}_n : [c^{-\text{t}}pc^{-1}] = [p] \} =$ $\{[c] \in \mathrm{PGL}_n : [c^t pc] = [p]\}.$ It is now clear that $\{[c] | c \in \mathrm{GL}_n, c^t pc = p\} \subseteq$ Stab $(B_{[p]})$. The converse holds because if $[c^t pc] = [p]$, then $\lambda c^t pc = p$ for some $\lambda \in K^{\times}$, so upon setting $d = \sqrt{\lambda}c$, we have $[c] = [d]$ and $d^{\text{t}}pd = p$.

The remainder of this section is dedicated to determining the number of generators for the algebras considered in Proposition [5.4.](#page-10-0)

Lemma 5.6. Let K be any field, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. As usual, let $e_{i,j} \in M_n(K)$ be the matrix with 1 in the (i,j) -entry and 0 elsewhere. Define $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{i,i+1}$ and let $d_{i,j}$ be $e_{i,i}$ if $i = j$ and $e_{i,j} + e_{j,i}$ otherwise. Then:

(i)
$$
u^k e_{i,j} u^{\ell} =\begin{cases} e_{i-k,j+\ell} & k < i \text{ and } \ell \leq n-j \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}
$$
 for all integers $k, \ell \geq 0$.
\n(ii) $d_{i,j}^{\ell} = d_{i,j}$ for every odd $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

(iii) $d_{i,j}u^{j-i}d_{i,j}u^{j-i}d_{i,j} = e_{j,i}$, provided $i < j$.

Proof. (i) Note that $ue_{i,j}$ is $e_{i-1,j}$ if $1 \lt i$ and 0 otherwise, and $e_{i,j}u$ is $e_{i,j+1}$ if $j < n$ and 0 otherwise. The identity now follows by induction.

(ii) This holds because $d_{i,j}^3 = d_{i,j}$, which is seen by computation.

(iii) Suppose first that $j - i < i$ and $j \leq n - (j - i)$. By (i),

$$
d_{i,j}u^{j-i}d_{i,j}u^{j-i}d_{i,j} = d_{i,j}u^{j-i}(e_{j,i} + e_{i,j})u^{j-i}d_{i,j} = d_{i,j}(e_{i,j} + e_{2i-j,2j-i})d_{i,j}
$$

= $d_{i,j}e_{i,j}d_{i,j} + d_{i,j}e_{2i-j,2j-i}d_{i,j} = e_{j,i}.$

The other case is handled similarly, except the factor $e_{2i-j,2j-i}$ does not occur. \Box

We will only need a special case of the following result, but we prove it in full generality because it may be of general interest.

Proposition 5.7. Let K be any field (possibly finite) and let (B, τ) be a degree-n central simple algebra with involution over K.^{[2](#page-11-0)} Then $\text{gen}_K(B, \tau) = 1$, unless $n = 2$ and the involution τ is symplectic, in which case $\text{gen}_K(B, \tau) = 2$, or $n = 1$ and τ is orthogonal, where $\text{gen}_K(B, \tau) = 0$.

Proof. Contrary to our convention elsewhere in this section, we will consider algebras without involution. When discussing an algebra with involution, the involution will be written explicitly, e.g., (B, τ) . We will use the matrices introduced in Lemma [5.6.](#page-10-1)

We first prove that $\text{gen}_K(B, \tau) \leq 1$ in three special cases.

Case I. $B = M_n$ and $\tau(a) = d^{-1}a^t d$ with $d = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in GL_n$. By Lemma [5.6\(](#page-10-1)i), $e_{i,j} = u^{n-i} e_{n,1} u^{j-1}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$, and so u and $e_{n,1}$ generate M_n . Note that $u^{n-1} = e_{1,n}$ and therefore $\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1} \tau(u^{n-1}) = e_{n,1}$. This shows that u generates (B, τ) .

Case II. $B = M_n$, $\tau(a) = \Omega a^t \Omega^{-1}$ and $n > 2$ is even. Again, we will show that u generates (B, τ) . Indeed, using Lemma [5.6\(](#page-10-1)i),

$$
\tau(u^{n-3}\tau(u^{n-1})u^{n-3}) = \tau(u^{n-3}\tau(e_{1,n})u^{n-3}) = \tau(u^{n-3}(-e_{n-1,2})u^{n-3})
$$

= $\tau(-e_{2,n-1}) = e_{n,1}.$

We already observed that u and $e_{n,1}$ generate M_n , so this case is done.

Case III. $(B, \tau) = (A_n, *)$. We claim that $(u, d_{1,n})$ generates (B, τ) . Let $\ell \geq n$ be odd. Since $u^{\ell} = 0$, we have $(u, d_{1,n})^{\ell} = (0, d_{1,n})$ (Lemma [5.6\(](#page-10-1)ii)). This means that both $(u, 0)$ and $(0, d_{1,n})^* = (d_{1,n}, 0)$ are in the subalgebra of $(A_n, *)$ generated by $(u, d_{1,n})$. By Lemma [5.6\(](#page-10-1)iii), $(e_{n,1}, 0)$ is also in that subalgebra, so it contains $M_n \times 0$. It must also contain $0 \times M_n = (M_n \times 0)^*$, and we conclude that $(u, d_{1,n})$ generates $(A_n, *)$.

Returning to the general case, we now show that $\text{gen}(B, \tau) \leq 1$ unless $n = 2$ and τ is symplectic. Since τ is orthogonal, symplectic, or unitary, B is a K-form of the algebras with involution considered in Cases I, II and III, respectively (the unitary case is a consequence of Proposition [4.1\)](#page-7-0). If K is infinite, then this implies the proposition by [\[3,](#page-18-10) Prop. 4.1].

Suppose now that K is finite. By Wedderburn's theorem, every central simple K-algebra is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over K. Thus, if τ is of the first kind, $B \cong M_n(K)$ and otherwise, either $B \cong M_n(K) \times M_n(K)$, or $B \cong M_n(L)$, where L is the unique quadratic extension of K. If τ is orthogonal, then it is the adjoint involution of a symmetric diagonalizable bilinear form [\[7,](#page-18-0) §2]. This case is therefore covered (up to isomorphism) by Case I (the involution in this case is adjoint to the diagonal bilinear form $b(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i y_i$ on K^n). Similarly, the case where τ is symplectic and $n > 2$ is covered by Case II. In the case where τ is unitary and $B \cong M_n(K) \times M_n(K)$, we have $(B, \tau) \cong (A_n, *)$ (e.g., see [\[7,](#page-18-0) Prp. 2.14]), so we are done by Case III. It remains to consider the case where τ is unitary and $B \cong M_n(L)$. In this case, $(B, \tau) \cong (M_n(L), \sigma)$, where σ acts on a matrix by transposing and then applying the nontrivial automorphism of L/K to each entry (see [\[11,](#page-18-11) Chp. 10, Example 1.6(i)] and also p. 302 in this source). Let $\alpha \in L - K$. We claim that $\alpha I + u$ generates $(M_n(L), \sigma)$. Indeed, let m be a power of |L| greater than n. Since αI and u commute and m is a power of $|L|$, and in particular of

²Our definition of a central simple algebra with involution is as in [\[7\]](#page-18-0). Thus, in the unitary case, the center of B is a quadratic étale K-algebra and the restriction of τ to the center is its unique nontrivial K -automorphism.

char L, we have $(\alpha I + u)^m = \alpha^m I + u^m = \alpha I$. Thus, αI and u are in the Ksubalgebra of $M_n(L)$ generated by $\alpha I + u$. As u generates $(M_n(K), t)$ (Case I) and α generates L, the element $\alpha I + u$ generates $(M_n(L), \sigma)$. This completes the proof that $\text{gen}_K(B, \tau) \leq 1$.

Notice that the (unital, involutive) subalgebra of (B, τ) generated by the empty set is K, so if $B \neq K$, we must have $\text{gen}_K(B) > 0$. Together with what we showed, this proves the proposition in all cases except when $n = 2$ and τ is symplectic.

To finish, suppose $n = 2$ and τ is symplectic. Then for all $b \in B$, we have $b + \tau(b) \in K$ ([\[7,](#page-18-0) Prop. 2.6]) and $b^2 = \text{Trd}_{B/K}(b)b - \text{Nrd}_{B/K}(b)$ (by the Cayley– Hamilton theorem), hence the subalgebra of (B, τ) generated by b is contained in $K + Kb$. This means that $\text{gen}_K(B, \tau) > 1$. On the other hand, $\text{gen}_K(B, \tau) \leq$
 $\text{gen}_K(B) < 2$ because B is a central simple K-algebra. $\text{gen}_K(B) \leq 2$ because B is a central simple K-algebra.

Proposition 5.8. Let B be a maximal subalgebra of A. Then $gen(B) \leq 1$ unless $n = 2$ and $B = B_{\lbrack \Omega \rbrack}$ (notation as in Proposition [5.4\)](#page-10-0), in which case gen $(B_{\lbrack \Omega \rbrack}) = 2$.

Proof. By replacing B with $[c]B$ for some $[c] \in \text{PGL}_n$, we may assume B is one of the algebras listed in Proposition [5.4.](#page-10-0) (Note that when $n = 2$ and $B = B_{\Omega}$), Lemma [5.3](#page-9-1) tells us that $[c]B = B$, because $[d^t \Omega_2 d] = [\Omega_2]$ for every $d \in GL_2$.) We shall use the matrices defined in Lemma [5.6.](#page-10-1)

Suppose first that $B = A_{V(k)}$, where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let $\alpha \in K - \{0, \pm 1\}$ and denote $g = (u, d_{1,k} + \alpha d_{k+1,n}) \in A_{V(k)}$. Let $\langle g \rangle$ be the (unital, involutive) subalgebra of $A_{V(k)}$ generated by g. We will show that $\langle g \rangle = A$.

Let $\ell \geq n$ be odd. Since $u^n = 0$ and $d_{1,k} d_{k+1,n} = d_{k+1,n} d_{1,k} = 0$, we have $g^{\ell} = (0, d_{1,k} + \alpha^{\ell} d_{k+1,n}) = (0, d_{1,k}) + \alpha^{\ell} (0, d_{k+1,n})$ and similarly $g^{\ell+2} = (0, d_{1,k}) +$ $\alpha^{\ell+2}(0, d_{k+1,n})$. Since $\alpha \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$ we have $\alpha^{\ell} \neq \alpha^{\ell+2}$ and so the coefficient vectors $(1, \alpha^{\ell})$ and $(1, \alpha^{\ell+2})$ are linearly independent. Hence, $(0, d_{1,k})$ and $(0, d_{k+1,n})$ are linear combinations of g^{ℓ} and $g^{\ell+2}$ and so $(0, d_{1,k}), (0, d_{k+1,n}) \in \langle g \rangle$. Therefore, $(d_{1,k}, 0) = (0, d_{1,k})^*$ and $(d_{k+1,n}, 0) = (0, d_{k+1,n})^*$ are also in $\langle g \rangle$. By Lemma [5.6\(](#page-10-1)iii), this implies $(e_{k,1}, 0), (e_{n,k+1}, 0) \in \langle g \rangle$. Now, by Lemma [5.6\(](#page-10-1)i), if $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $(e_{i,j}, 0) = (u^{k-i}e_{k,1}u^{j-1}, 0) = g^{k-i}(e_{k,1}, 0)g^{j-1} \in \langle g \rangle$, and if $k < j \le n$, then $(e_{i,j}, 0) = (u^{n-i}e_{n,k+1}u^{j-k-1}, 0) = g^{n-i}(e_{k,1}, 0)g^{j-k-1} \in \langle g \rangle$. The matrices $e_{i,j}$ with $i \leq k$ or $k < j$ form a basis of $S_{V(k)}$, so $S_{V(k)} \times 0 \subseteq \langle g \rangle$. Thus, $0 \times S_{V(k)^{\perp}} = (S_{V(k)} \times 0)^* \subseteq \langle g \rangle$ and we conclude that $\langle g \rangle = A_{V(k)}$.

Suppose next that $B = B_{[I]}$. Then $\pi_1 : B \to (\mathcal{M}_n, \mathbf{t})$ is an isomorphism of algebras with involution, hence $\text{gen}(B_{I}) \leq 1$ by Proposition [5.7.](#page-11-1)

Finally, if $B = B_{\Omega}$, then π_1 induces an isomorphism from B to M_n with the symplectic involution $a \mapsto \Omega a^t \Omega^{-1}$, and again we finish by Proposition [5.7.](#page-11-1) \Box

6. THE DIMENSION OF Z_r in the Case $A = (A_n, *)$

In this section, we apply Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) and the results of Section [5](#page-7-1) in order to prove Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-1) i.e., finding dim Z_r for the algebra $(A_n, *)$ of Section [4.](#page-6-0) We then prove Corollary [1.2](#page-2-2) and a few more results about the number of generators of Azumaya algebras with involution.

Throughout, K is field, $A = (A_n, *)$ is as in Section [4](#page-6-0) and Z_r is the scheme of r-tuples not generating A (Section [3\)](#page-3-0).

Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-2-1) Recall that we need to show that dim $Z_r = 2rn^2 - (2r - 1)$ 1)(n − 1) if $n > 1$ and dim $Z_r = r$ otherwise. It is enough to show this when K is algebraically closed. To that end, we apply Theorem [1.3\(](#page-2-0)ii).

Let G being the identity connected component of $\text{Aut}_K(A)$, which we identify with PGL_n using Proposition [5.2.](#page-9-0) The action of G on the maximal subalgebras of A has finitely many orbits with representatives listed in Proposition [5.4.](#page-10-0) Using the notation of that proposition, let $s(i, r) = r \dim A_{V(i)} - \dim \text{Stab}_G(A_{V(i)}),$ $s'(r) = r \dim B_{[I]} - \dim \text{Stab}_G(B_{[I]})$ and for even n, let $s''(r) = r \dim B_{[\Omega]}$ dim $\mathrm{Stab}_G(B_{\Omega}$).

Suppose $n > 1$. By Proposition [5.5,](#page-10-2) we have

$$
s(i,r) = r \dim A_{V(i)} - \dim \text{Stab}_G(A_{V(i)}) = 2r \dim S_{V(i)} - \dim \text{PS}_{V(i)}^{\times}
$$

= $2r[n^2 - i(n - i)] - [n^2 - i(n - i) - 1] = (2r - 1)(n^2 - i(n - i)) + 1.$

Similarly, we have

$$
s'(r) = r \dim B_{[I]} - \dim \text{Stab}_G(B_{[I]}) = rn^2 - \dim \text{PO}_n^b,
$$

$$
s''(r) = r \dim B_{[\Omega]} - \dim \text{Stab}_G(B_{[\Omega]}) = rn^2 - \dim \text{PSp}_n,
$$

where in the second equation, n is assumed to be even. It is well-known that $\dim \text{PO}_n^{\text{b}} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ when $\text{char } K \neq 2$ and $\dim \text{PSp}_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ in any characteristic. As for dim PO_n^b in the case char $K = 2$, since $I = -I$, on the level of K-points, the quotient map $O_n^b \to PO_n^b$ is a homeomorphism, so dim $O_n^b = \dim PO_n^b$. The algebraic group O_n^b is the scheme of $n \times n$ matrices a satisfying $a^{\dagger}a = I$, so it is the zero locus of $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ equations in n^2 variables, which means that dim $O_n^b \ge$ $n^2 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ $\frac{i-1}{2}$. Putting everything together gives

$$
s'(r) \le rn^2 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}
$$
 and $s''(r) = rn^2 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

The maximum of $s(i, r)$ with $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is attained at $i = 1$ and $i = n-1$, and elementary analysis implies that

$$
s(1,r) = (2r - 1)(n2 - n + 1) + 1 = rn2 + (r - 1)(n - 1)2 - n + (r + 1)
$$

is greater than $s'(r)$ and $s''(r)$. By Proposition [5.8,](#page-12-1) $gen(A_{V(1)}) = 1 \leq r$, so Theorem [1.3\(](#page-2-0)ii) tells us that

$$
\dim Z_r = \dim \mathrm{PGL}_n + s(1, r) = (n^2 - 1) + (2r - 1)(n^2 - n + 1) + 1
$$

= $2rn^2 - (2r - 1)(n - 1).$

In the remaining case $n = 1$, A has only one maximal subalgebra, namely $B_{[I]} \cong$ (K, id_K) , it can be generated by 0 elements (using the unity), and dim $G = 0$. Thus, by Theorem [1.3\(](#page-2-0)ii), $\dim Z_r = r \dim B_{[I]} = r$.

Proof of Corollary [1.2.](#page-2-2) Let R be a ring of Krull dimension d finitely generated over an infinite field K and let (B, τ) be an Azumaya algebra with unitary involution of degree $n > 1$ over R. Then (B, τ) is an R-form of $A = (A_n, *)$ (Proposition [4.1\)](#page-7-0). By Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-1) we have $c_A(r) := \dim A^r - \dim Z_r = (2r - 1)(n - 1)$. One readily checks that $c_A(r) > d$ if and only if $r > \frac{d}{2n-2} + \frac{1}{2}$, or equivalently, if $r \geq \lfloor \frac{d}{2n-2} + \frac{3}{2} \rfloor$. The corollary is now a consequence of Theorem [3.1.](#page-4-1)

Remark 6.1. In Corollary [1.2,](#page-2-2) we view (B, τ) as a *unital* algebra with involution. This means in particular that the unity may be used in generating the algebra by a subset. However, by [\[4,](#page-18-3) Lem. 2.2] (which extends verbatim to algebras with involution), the number of generators of a form of $(A_n, *)$ is not changed if we consider it as a non-unital algebra with involution.

Remark 6.2. Let R be a ring and let B be an Azumaya algebra over R. Then $B^+ := B \times B^{\text{op}}$ with the involution $\sigma(x, y^{\text{op}}) = (y, x^{\text{op}})$ is an Azumaya algebra with a unitary involution over R. It is straightforward to see that if (B^+, σ) can be generated by r elements, then B can be generated by $2r$ elements, hence

$$
\text{gen}_R(B^+,\sigma) \ge \left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \text{gen}_R(B) \right\rceil.
$$

Suppose char $K = 0$. In [\[4,](#page-18-3) Thm. 1.5(b)] and [\[5,](#page-18-4) Thm. 1.3], it is shown that for every $d \geq 0$ and $n \geq 2$, there is a smooth K-ring R of Krull dimension d carrying an Azumaya algebra B of degree n such that $\text{gen}_R(B) \ge \left| \frac{d}{2n-2} \right| + 2$ if $n > 2$ and $\text{gen}_R(B) \geq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{d}{4} \right\rfloor + 2$ if $n = 2$. Since $\left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \lfloor x \rfloor \right\rceil = \left\lfloor \frac{x+1}{2} \right\rfloor$, for that R-algebra B, we get

$$
\text{gen}_R(B^+,\sigma) \ge \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left\lfloor \frac{d}{4n-4} + \frac{3}{2} \right\rfloor & n > 2\\ \left\lfloor \frac{d}{4} \right\rfloor + 1 & n = 2, \end{array} \right.
$$

which is about half of the upper bound given in Corollary [1.2.](#page-2-2)

By $[4, Thm. 1.5(a)]$, every degree-n Azumaya algebra over a finitely generated K-ring R of Krull dimension d can be generated by $\left| \frac{d}{n-1} \right| + 2$ elements. If this upper bound is tight, i.e., there are examples B meeting this bound, then we would get $\text{gen}_R(B^+,\sigma) \geq \left[\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d}{n-1} \right|\right] + 1 = \left|\frac{d}{2n-2} + \frac{3}{2}\right|$, meaning that the upper bound of Corollary [1.2](#page-2-2) is tight as well.

Note that the upper bound of Corollary [1.2](#page-2-2) applies only when the base ring R is finitely generated over an infinite field. By building on [\[3,](#page-18-10) Thm. 1.2] and our Proposition [5.7,](#page-11-1) we can give a weaker upper bound which applies over any noetherian ring R and to Azumaya algebras with involution of any type.

Here, following [\[2,](#page-18-2) §1.4], we call an R-algebra with R-involution (B, τ) Azumaya if B is Azumaya over its center Z , Z is finite étale over R and the structure map $R \to \{b \in Z : \tau(b) = b\}$ is an isomorphism. Given a maximal ideal m of R, we write $B(\mathfrak{m}) = B/B\mathfrak{m}$ and $\tau(\mathfrak{m})$ for the involution of $B(\mathfrak{m})$ induced by τ . The pair $(B(\mathfrak{m}), \tau(\mathfrak{m}))$ is then a central simple algebra with involution over R/\mathfrak{m} .

Proposition 6.3. Let R be a noetherian ring and let (B, τ) be an Azumaya algebra with involution over R. Let d be the dimension^{[4](#page-14-2)} of Max R, the set of maximal ideals in R, viewed as a topological subspace of $Spec R$. If for every $\mathfrak{m} \in Max R$, $(B(\mathfrak{m}), \tau(\mathfrak{m}))$ is not a degree-2 central simple algebra with a symplectic involution, then $\text{gen}_R(B, \tau) \leq d+1$.

Proof. By [\[3,](#page-18-10) Thm. 1.2], $\text{gen}_R(B, \tau) \leq d + \text{sup}\{\text{gen}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(B(\mathfrak{m}), \tau(\mathfrak{m})) | \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } R\}.$ Since $(B(\mathfrak{m}), \tau(\mathfrak{m}))$ is a central simple algebra with involution over R/\mathfrak{m} , the required upper bound follows from Proposition [5.7.](#page-11-1)

7. THE IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENTS OF Z_r in the CASE $A = (A_n, *)$

We finish with describing the irreducible components of Z_r in the case $A =$ $(A_n, *)$. Thanks to what we have shown so far, giving a finite list of closed subsets of Z_r which includes the irreducible components is a simple task, and the majority of the work will be dedicated to determining which of these sets is indeed an irreducible component. That turns out to depend on r , n and char K .

³This fact is explained in [\[2,](#page-18-2) §1.4] under the assumption $2 \in R^{\times}$, but it holds without it. Indeed, $B(\mathfrak{m})$ is Azumaya over its center, which may be identified with $Z(\mathfrak{m})$ by [\[2,](#page-18-2) Lem. 1.4]. The Rinvolution $\tau|_Z : Z \to Z$ is standard in the sense that for all $z \in Z$, we have $\tau(z) + z$, $\tau(z)z \in R$, so this also holds for the R/\mathfrak{m} -involution $\tau(\mathfrak{m}) : Z(\mathfrak{m}) \to Z(\mathfrak{m})$. Using the fact that $Z(\mathfrak{m})$ is étale of dimension 1 or 2 over R/\mathfrak{m} , it follows easily that the fixed subring of $\tau(\mathfrak{m}) : Z(\mathfrak{m}) \to Z(\mathfrak{m})$ is R/\mathfrak{m} .

⁴Here, the dimension of a topological space X is the supremum of the set of integers $d \geq 0$ for which there is a chain of irreducible closed subsets $X_0 \subsetneq X_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq X_d$ in X.

Throughout this section, we fix $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let K be an algebraically closed field and write $A = (A_n, *)$. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, we denote by

$$
X_i \subseteq A^r
$$

the set of tuples $((a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_r, b_r)) \in A^r$ for which there is an *i*-dimensional subspace $V \subseteq K^n$ such that a_1, \ldots, a_r stabilize V and b_1, \ldots, b_r stabilize V^{\perp} . (As before, V^{\perp} is w.r.t. the bilinear pairing $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_j x_j y_j$.) In addition, let

$$
Y := \{((a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_r, b_r)) \in A^r : \text{there is}
$$

a symmetric non-alternating
$$
p \in GL_n(K)
$$
 s.t. $pa_ip^{-1} = b_i$ for all i ,

$$
Y' := \{ ((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_r, b_r)) \in A^r : \text{there is}
$$

an alternating $p \in GL_n(K)$ s.t. $pa_i p^{-1} = b_i$ for all $i \}.$

The set Y' is empty if n is odd. When referring to \overline{Y} and $\overline{Y'}$, the closure is taken in A^r and with respect to the Zariski topology.

Theorem 7.1. With notation as above:

- (i) If n is odd, or $(n, r) = (2, 1)$, or char $K = 2$, then the irreducible components of Z_r are X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} and \overline{Y} .
- (ii) If n is even, $(n, r) \neq (2, 1)$ and char $K \neq 2$, then the irreducible components of Z_r are $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \overline{Y}$ and $\overline{Y'}$.

We will prove the theorem in a series of lemmas. As before, we let $M_n = M_n(K)$, $GL_n = GL_n(K)$ and so forth. In addition, let G denote the identity connected component of $\text{Aut}_K(A)$, which we identify with PGL_n (Proposition [5.2\)](#page-9-0). Recall from Proposition [5.4](#page-10-0) that G acts on the maximal subalgebras of A and the orbits are represented by $A_{V(1)},\ldots,A_{V(n-1)},$ $B_{[I]}$ if n is odd and $A_{V(1)},\ldots,A_{V(n-1)},$ $B_{[I]},$ $B_{\lbrack\Omega\rbrack}$ if *n* is even.

Lemma 7.2. The following holds:

- (i) $X_i = \bigcup_{g \in G} g(A_{V(i)})^r$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Moreover, X_i is closed in A^r and dim $X_i = 2rn^2 - (2r - 1)(n - i)i$.
- (ii) $Y = \bigcup_{g \in G} g(B_{[I]})^r$ and $\dim \overline{Y} \le (r+1)n^2 \frac{n(n-1)}{2} 1$. When $\text{char } K \ne 2$, equality holds.
- (iii) $Y' = \bigcup_{g \in G} g(B_{\lceil \Omega \rceil})^r$ and $\dim \overline{Y'} = (r+1)n^2 \frac{n(n+1)}{2} 1$, provided n is even.

Proof. That $X_i = \bigcup_{g \in G} g(A_{V(i)})^r$ follows immediately from Lemma [5.3.](#page-9-1) The same lemma tells us that

$$
\bigcup_{g \in G} g(B_{[I]})^r = \bigcup_{p \in \mathrm{GL}_n: p \sim I} \{ ((a_1, pa_1 p^{-1}), \dots, (a_r, pa_r p^{-1})) \mid a_1, \dots, a_r \in M_n \},\
$$

 $(p \sim I$ means that p is congruent to I). Since K is algebraically closed, a matrix is congruent to I if and only if and only it is symmetric and not alternating, so $\bigcup_{g\in G} g(B_{[I]})^r = Y$. A similar argument shows that $Y' = \bigcup_{g\in G} g(B_{[\Omega]})^r$, because a matrix $p \in GL_n$ is congruent to Ω if and only if p is alternating.

We turn to prove that X_i is closed in A^r . This follows from Proposition [3.2\(](#page-4-0)v) because $\text{Stab}_G(\overline{A}_{V(i)}) = PS_{V(i)}^{\times}$ (Proposition [5.5\)](#page-10-2) and $PS_{V(i)}^{\times}$ is a parabolic subgroup of PGL_n. (Indeed, PGL_n/PS_{V(i)} \cong GL_n/S_{V(i)} is the Grassmannian of *i*-spaces inside an n -space, which is a complete variety.)

We finish by computing (or bounding) the dimensions of X_i , \overline{Y} and $\overline{Y'}$ us-ing Proposition [3.2\(](#page-4-0)ii). Since $\text{gen}_K(A_{V(i)}) = 1 \leq r$ (Proposition [5.8\)](#page-12-1), we have $\dim X_i = \dim G + r \dim A_{V(i)} - \dim \text{Stab}_G(A_{V(i)})$. The quantity $r \dim A_{V(i)} -$

 $\dim \text{Stab}_G(A_{V(i)})$ is the number $s(i, r)$ computed in the proof of Theorem [1.1,](#page-2-1) so $\dim X_i = s(i, r) + n^2 - 1 = 2rn^2 - (2r - 1)(n - i)i$. In the same way, we have

$$
\dim \overline{Y} \le \dim G + s'(i, r) = (r+1)n^2 - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - 1,
$$

with equality holding when char $K \neq 2$, and when n is even,

$$
\dim \overline{Y'} = \dim G + s''(i, r) = (r + 1)n^2 - \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} - 1,
$$

provided gen($B_{\lbrack \Omega \rbrack}$) ≥ r. The latter condition holds by Proposition [5.8](#page-12-1) as long as $(n, r) \neq (2, 1)$, so it remains to find dim $\overline{Y'}$ when $(n, r) = (2, 1)$.

In the exceptional case $(n, r) = (2, 1)$, all alternating matrices $p \in GL_2$ are proportional to Ω , so $Y' = B_{\Omega}$. In particular, Y' is closed and dim $Y' = 4$, which happens to coincide with $(r+1)n^2 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1$.

Remark 7.3. In general, the sets Y and Y' are not closed in A^r . Indeed, suppose that $n \geq 2$, let $\alpha \in K - \{1\}$ and put $a_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-2}$, $b_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-2}$ and $p_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha-1 \\ \alpha-1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus I_{n-2}$. One readily checks that $p_{\alpha}a_{\alpha}p_{\alpha}^{-1} = b_{\alpha}$ and therefore $((a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha}), \ldots, (a_{\alpha}, b_{\alpha})) \in Y$ for all $\alpha \neq 1$. This means that $((a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_1, b_1)) \in Y$ \overline{Y} , but $((a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_1, b_1))$ cannot be in Y because a_1 is not conjugate to b_1 .

A similar argument using $\frac{n}{2}$ in place of n and the matrices $I_2 \otimes a_{\alpha}$, $I_2 \otimes b_{\alpha}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes p_{\alpha}$ shows that Y' is not closed in A^r when n is even and $n \ge 4$. On the other hand, Y is closed when $n = 1$, because then $Y = B_{[I]}^r$, and Y' is closed when $n = 2$, because then $Y' = B_{\lbrack \Omega \rbrack}^r$.

Lemma 7.4. If n is odd (resp. even), then the irreducible components of Z_r are the maximal members of $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \overline{Y}$ (resp. $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Y'}$).

Proof. This follows from Proposition [3.2\(](#page-4-0)iii), Proposition [5.4](#page-10-0) and Lemma [7.2.](#page-15-1) \Box

The maximal members of $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Y'}$ will be determined in the following lemmas.

Lemma 7.5. With notation as above:

(i) No two of the sets X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1} , Y contain each other.

Furthermore, when n is even:

(ii) None of $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \overline{Y}$ is contained in $\overline{Y'}$.

(iii) $\overline{Y'} \nsubseteq X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$, provided $(n, r) \neq (2, 1)$.

(iv) $\overline{Y'} \nsubseteq \overline{Y}$, provided $(n, r) \neq (2, 1)$ and char $K \neq 2$.

Proof. Let $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Each of the algebras $A_{V(1)}, \ldots, A_{V(n-1)}, B_{[I]}$ can be generated by 1 element by Proposition [5.8.](#page-12-1) Since X_i is closed (Lemma [7.2\)](#page-15-1), Proposition [3.2\(](#page-4-0)iv) tells us that $\overline{Y} \nsubseteq X_i$ and $X_i \nsubseteq X_j$ for $i \neq j$. The same argument shows that $\overline{Y'} \nsubseteq X_i$ when n is even and $(n, r) \neq (2, 1)$.

The assertions $X_i \nsubseteq \overline{Y}$ and $X_i \nsubseteq \overline{Y'}$ hold because the varieties on left hand sides have larger dimension than those on the right (Lemma [7.2\)](#page-15-1). This also shows that $\overline{Y} \nsubseteq \overline{Y'}$ when char $K \neq 2$.

It remains to show that $\overline{Y} \nsubseteq \overline{Y'}$ when char $K = 2$, and $\overline{Y'} \nsubseteq \overline{Y}$ when $(n, r) \neq 0$ $(2, 1)$ and char $K \neq 2$.

We begin with showing the second statement, i.e., (iv). To that end, we apply Proposition [3.5](#page-6-1) with $A_1 = B_{\Omega}$, $A_2 = B_{\Omega}$ and $G = \text{PGL}_n$. Note that $\text{gen}_K(A_1) \geq r$ by Proposition [5.8,](#page-12-1) because $(n, r) \neq (2, 1)$.

Let S , resp. S' , denote set of elements in PGL_n represented by a symmetric, resp. alternating, matrix in GL_n . By thinking of PGL_n as an open subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(M_n)$, one sees that S and S' are closed in PGL_n. Write $m = n^2$ and let X be

the Grassmannian of m-spaces in $A = M_n \times M_n$. Then the subsets $Z_1, Z_2 \subseteq X$ from Proposition [3.5](#page-6-1) are $Z_1 = \{B_{[p]} | p \in S'\}$ and $Z_2 = \{B_{[p]} | p \in S\}$. By that proposition, in order to show that $\overline{Y'} \nsubseteq \overline{Y}$, it is enough to show that $\overline{Z_1} \nsubseteq \overline{Z_2}$ in X.

Let H be the K-vector space of linear endomorphisms of M_n . The map $\varphi : H \to$ X sending $t \in H$ to its graph, $\{(a, t(a)) | a \in M_n\}$, defines an isomorphism from H onto the open subset U of X consisting of K-subspaces $L \subseteq M_n \times M_n$ satisfying $L \oplus (0 \times M_n) = M_n \times M_n$. Since every K-algebra endomorphism of M_n is an automorphism (because M_n is simple and finite dimensional), PGL_n conincides with the K-algebra endomorphisms of M_n , which form a closed subvariety of H. We may therefore view S and S' as closed subvarieties of H. Now observe that $Z_1 = \varphi(S')$ while $Z_2 = \varphi(S)$ (because char $K \neq 2$). Since $\varphi : H \to U$ is an isomorphism of Kvarieties, it follows that $\varphi^{-1}(\overline{Z_1}) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathrm{Cl}_U(Z_1)) = \mathrm{Cl}_H(S') = S'$, and similarly, $\varphi^{-1}(\overline{Z_2}) = S$. Since $S' \nsubseteq S$ (because char $K \neq 2$), we must have $\overline{Z_1} \nsubseteq \overline{Z_2}$, and we conclude that $\overline{Y'} \nsubseteq \overline{Y}$.

Showing that $\overline{Y} \nsubseteq \overline{Y'}$ when char $K = 2$ is similar, but with the following differences: We take $A_1 = B_{[I]}$ and $A_2 = B_{[\Omega]}$ in Proposition [3.5,](#page-6-1) and since char $K = 2$, we get $Z_1 = \varphi(S - S')$ and $Z_2 = \varphi(S')$. The desired conclusion $\overline{Z_1} \nsubseteq \overline{Z_2}$ follows from $\overline{S - S'} = S \nsubseteq S'$.

When char $K = 2$ or $(n, r) = (2, 1)$, it can happen that $\overline{Y'} \subset X_i$ or $\overline{Y'} \subset \overline{Y}$.

Lemma 7.6. Suppose n is even.

(i) If char $K = 2$, then $\overline{Y'} \subseteq \overline{Y}$. (ii) If $(n, r) = (2, 1)$, then $\overline{Y'} \subseteq X_1 \cap \overline{Y}$.

Proof. (i) When char $K = 2$, the space of alternating $n \times n$ matrices is strictly contained in the space of symmetric matrices. This implies readily that $Y' \subseteq \overline{Y}$, so $\overline{Y'} \subseteq \overline{Y}$.

(ii) Suppose $(n,r) = (2, 1)$. We first prove that $\overline{Y'} \subseteq \underline{X_1}$. As noted in the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma [7.2,](#page-15-1) we have $Y' = \overline{Y'} = B_{\lbrack \Omega \rbrack}$. Let $x =$ $(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}) \in B_{[\Omega]}$. Since K is algebraically closed, $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ has an eigenvector $v := \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t \end{bmatrix} \in K^2$. One readily checks that v^{\perp} is spanned by $v' := \begin{bmatrix} -t \\ s \end{bmatrix}$ and v' is an eigenvector of $\left[\begin{array}{cc} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{array}\right]$. It follows that $x \in S_{Kv} \times S_{(Kv)^{\perp}} \subseteq X_1$.

We turn to prove that $\overline{Y'} \subset \overline{Y}$. We already showed it when char $K = 2$, so assume char $K \neq 2$. It is enough to prove that a dense subset of $Y' = B_{\Omega}$ is contained in Y. Again, let $x = (\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}) \in B_{\lbrack \Omega \rbrack}$ and, given $\gamma \in K$, put $u_{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} + \gamma I$. Since $\Omega[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}]$ $\Omega^{-1} = [\begin{array}{cc} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{array}]$, we also have $(\Omega u_{\gamma})[\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}] (\Omega u_{\gamma})^{-1} = [\begin{array}{cc} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{array}]$, provided $u_{\gamma} \in GL_2$. The set of $x \in B_{[\Omega]}$ for which $u_{-\frac{a+d}{2}} \in GL_2$ is dense in $B_{[\Omega]}$. Since $\Omega u_{-\frac{a+d}{2}}$ is symmetric, all those x-s satisfy $x \in Y$. We conclude that Y contains a dense subset of Y' .

We can now conclude the proof of Theorem [7.1.](#page-15-0)

Proof of Theorem [7.1.](#page-15-0) Suppose *n* is odd. By Lemma [7.4,](#page-16-1) the irreducible components of Z_r are the maximal members of $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \overline{Y}$, and none of these sets is contained in any of the others by Lemma [7.5\(](#page-16-2)i).

Suppose *n* is even. By Lemma [7.4,](#page-16-1) the irreducible components of Z_r are the maximal members of $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Y'}$. If char $K = 2$ or $(n,r) = (2,1)$, then $\overline{Y'} \subseteq \overline{Y}$ (Lemma [7.6\)](#page-17-0) and we are done by Lemma [7.5\(](#page-16-2)i). If char $K \neq 2$ and $(n, r) \neq (2, 1)$, then Lemma [7.5\(](#page-16-2)i)–(iv) tells us that $X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Y'}$ are all maximal. maximal. \square

SPACES OF GENERATORS 19

REFERENCES

- [1] Richard Elman, Nikita Karpenko, and Alexander Merkurjev. The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms, volume 56 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [2] Uriya A. First. An 8-periodic exact sequence of Witt groups of Azumaya algebras with involution. Manuscripta Math., 170(1-2):313–407, 2023.
- [3] Uriya A. First and Zinovy Reichstein. On the number of generators of an algebra. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 355(1):5–9, 2017.
- [4] Uriya A. First, Zinovy Reichstein, and Ben Williams. On the number of generators of an algebra over a commutative ring. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 375(10):7277–7321, 2022.
- [5] W. S. Gant and Ben Williams. Spaces of generators for the 2 × 2 complex matrix algebra. New York J. Math., 30:756–773, 2024.
- [6] Max-Albert Knus. Quadratic and Hermitian forms over rings, volume 294 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. With a foreword by I. Bertuccioni.
- [7] Max-Albert Knus, Alexander Merkurjev, Markus Rost, and Jean-Pierre Tignol. The book of involutions, volume 44 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. With a preface in French by J. Tits.
- [8] T. Y. Lam. A first course in noncommutative rings, volume 131 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [9] Taeuk Nam, Cindy Tan, and Ben Williams. Spaces of generators for matrix algebras with involution. Linear Multilinear Algebra, 71(8):1407–1430, 2023.
- [10] Bjorn Poonen. Varieties without extra automorphisms. I. Curves. Math. Res. Lett., 7(1):67– 76, 2000.
- [11] Winfried Scharlau. Quadratic and Hermitian forms, volume 270 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [12] Abhishek Kumar Shukla and Ben Williams. Classifying spaces for étale algebras with generators. Canad. J. Math., 73(3):854–874, 2021.