LIE IDEALS IN PROPERLY INFINITE C*-ALGEBRAS

HANNES THIEL

ABSTRACT. We show that every Lie ideal in a unital, properly infinite C^* algebra is commutator equivalent to a unique two-sided ideal. It follows that the Lie ideal structure of such a C^* -algebra is concisely encoded by its lattice of two-sided ideals. This answers a question of Robert in this setting.

We obtain similar structure results for Lie ideals in unital, real rank zero C^* -algebras without characters. As an application, we show that every Lie ideal in a von Neumann algebra is related to a unique two-sided ideal, which solves a problem of Brešar, Kissin, and Shulman.

1. Introduction

A *Lie ideal* in a C^* -algebra A is a linear subspace $L \subseteq A$ such that for every $a \in A$ and $x \in L$ the commutator $[a, x] := ax - xa$ belongs to L. The study of Lie ideals in C ∗ -algebras has a long history, and we refer to Section 2 in [\[Mar10\]](#page-21-0) for an overview of available results and directions of active research.

Immediate examples of Lie ideals in a C^* -algebra A are all subspaces contained in the center $Z(A)$ and all subspaces containing the commutator subspace $[A, A]$. If A is unital and simple, then this does in fact describes all Lie ideals $-$ a consequence of Herstein's description of Lie ideals in simple rings [\[Her55\]](#page-21-1). Since the center of a unital, simple C^* -algebra A contains only scalar multiples of the identity, a subspace L is a Lie ideal in A if and only if $L = \{0\}, L = \mathbb{C}1$, or $[A, A] \subseteq L$.

Pop [\[Pop02\]](#page-21-2) showed that a unital C^* -algebra satisfies $A = [A, A]$ if and only if A has no tracial states. Consequently, a simple, unital C^* -algebra A without tracial states has exactly three Lie ideals: {0}, C1 and A. Cuntz and Pedersen [\[CP79\]](#page-20-0) gave a description of the closure $\overline{[A, A]}$ as the intersection of the kernels of all tracial states on A. In particular, a simple, unital C^* -algebra A with a unique tracial state has exactly four closed Lie ideals: $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{C}1$, $\overline{[A, A]}$ and A. This was first observed by Marcoux and Murphy [\[MM98\]](#page-21-3), generalizing the case of UHF-algebras, which was first proved by Marcoux [\[Mar95\]](#page-21-4).

Ng and Robert [\[NR16\]](#page-21-5) showed that $[A, A]$ is closed in every pure, exact C^* algebra. It follows that a simple, unital, exact, pure C^* -algebra A with a unique tracial state has exactly four Lie ideals: $\{0\}$, $\mathbb{C}1$, $[A, A]$ and A. This applies for example to UHF-algebras, to irrational rotation algebras, and to the Jiang-Su algebra (where it was first shown by Ng [\[Ng12\]](#page-21-6)). It also applies to many, and possibly all, simple, reduced group C^* -algebras. Indeed, if G is a countable, exact, acylindrically hyperbolic group with trivial finite radical and with the rapid decay property, then $C^*_{red}(G)$ has strict comparison [\[AGEP24\]](#page-20-1), and therefore is pure [\[APTV24\]](#page-20-2), and has a unique tracial state [\[BKKO17\]](#page-20-3).

Date: 23 December 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L05, 46L10. Secondary 16W10, 17B60, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Lie ideals, $C[*]$ -algebras, properly infinite, von Neumann algebras, commutators, square-zero elements.

The first named author was partially supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW 2021.0140).

2 HANNES THIEL

Turning towards Lie ideals in non-simple C^* -algebras, it is clear that the structure of the lattice of two-sided ideals will play a role. A systematic study of the interplay between Lie and two-sided ideals was initiated by Brešar, Kissin, and Shulman [\[BKS08\]](#page-20-4) We first introduce the necessary notation. Following the usual convention, given subspaces $M, N \subseteq A$, we use $[M, N]$ to denote the subspace of A generated by the set of commutators $[x, y]$ for $x \in M$ and $y \in N$. Further, given a subspace $K \subseteq A$, we set

$$
T(K) := \{ a \in A : [A, a] \subseteq K \}.
$$

This 'derived subspace' was first considered by Herstein [\[Her55\]](#page-21-1), and it is denoted by $N(K)$ in [\[BKS08\]](#page-20-4).

Given a two-sided ideal $I \subseteq A$, Brešar, Kissin, and Shulman observed that a subspace $L \subseteq A$ is a Lie ideal whenever

$$
[A, I] \subseteq L \subseteq T([A, I]).
$$

We say that a Lie ideal L is *embraced by* I if it satisfies these inclusions. The Lie ideals that are embraced by some two-sided ideal are thought of as 'unsurprising'. A major question is if there exist any Lie ideals that do not arise this way:

Problem A (Brešar, Kissin, and Shulman [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) p. 74]). Given a C^* -algebra A, is every Lie ideal of A embraced by some two-sided ideal of A?

To study this problem, two further notions were introduced in [\[BKS08\]](#page-20-4): Given a Lie ideal $L \subseteq A$ and a two-sided ideal $I \subseteq A$, we say that L is *commutator equivalent to* I if

$$
[A, I] = [A, L].
$$

Further, we say that L is *related to* I if

 $[A, I] \subseteq L \subseteq T(I).$

It is easy to see that these constitute a sufficient and a necessary conditions for embracement. Indeed, if L is commutator equivalent to I , then L is embraced by I . Further, if L is embraced by I , then L is related to I .

It was shown in [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Theorem 5.27] that every Lie ideal L in a C^* -algebra A is topologically commutator equivalent to a two-sided ideal I in the sense that $[A, L]$ and [A, I] have the same closure. This leads to a description of all *closed* Lie ideals in terms of *closed* two-sided ideals. However, this does not show that all closed Lie ideals in a C^* -algebra are commutator equivalent to (let alone embraced by or related to) a two-sided ideal. For general non-closed Lie ideals even less is known, and [Problem A](#page-1-0) as well as the following stronger problem remain open:

Problem B (Robert [\[Rob16,](#page-21-7) Question 1.12]). Given a C^* -algebra A, is every Lie ideal of A commutator equivalent to some two-sided ideal of A?

The results mentioned at the beginning of the introduction show that every Lie ideal in a unital, simple C^* -algebra A is commutator equivalent to a two-sided ideal, which gives positive solutions to Problems [A](#page-1-0) and [B](#page-1-1) in this setting. Indeed, the only two-sided ideals are $\{0\}$ and A, and a Lie ideal is commutator equivalent to $\{0\}$ if and only if it is contained in $Z(A)$, while a Lie ideal it is commutator equivalent to A if and only if it contains $[A, A]$. (This uses that $[A, A] = [A, [A, A]]$, which follows for example from [\[GT24,](#page-21-8) Corollary 3.4].)

In [\[FMS82\]](#page-20-5), Fong, Miers and Sourour showed that every Lie ideal in the von Neumann algebra of bounded, linear operators on a separable Hilbert space is embraced by a two-sided ideal. This was substantially extended by Brešar, Kissin, and Shulman, who showed in [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Theorem 5.19] that every Lie ideal in a von Neumann algebra is commutator equivalent to a two-sided ideal, thereby providing positive solutions to Problems [A](#page-1-0) and [B](#page-1-1) for von Neumann algebras.

Our main result is a positive solution to [Problem B](#page-1-1) for unital, properly infinite C^* -algebras.

Theorem C [\(5.3\)](#page-17-0). Let L be a Lie ideal in a unital, properly infinite C^* -algebra A. Then L is commutator equivalent to the two-sided ideal $I := A[A, L]A$, and hence also embraced by I , and related to I . Further, I is the only two-sided ideal of A to which L is related.

The proof of [Theorem C](#page-2-0) is inspired by a result of Marcoux [\[Mar95\]](#page-21-4), who showed that if B is a unital C^* -algebra and $n \geq 2$, then every Lie ideal in the matrix algebra $A = M_n(B)$ is related to a two-sided ideal in A. Our main technical advancement is a generalization of this result to unital C^* -algebras A that admit a unital ∗-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$.

The next result summarizes Theorems [2.7,](#page-6-0) [2.8,](#page-7-0) [3.8,](#page-10-0) and [3.10.](#page-11-0)¨

Theorem D. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra that admits a unital *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$. The following statements hold:

(1) Given a Lie ideal $L \subseteq A$, for the two-sided ideal $I = A[A, L]A$, we have

$$
[A, I] = [A, [A, L]] \subseteq [A, L] \subseteq I, \text{ and } [A, I] \subseteq L \subseteq T(I).
$$

Further, I is the only two-sided ideal of A to which L is related.

- (2) A Lie ideal $L \subseteq A$ is embraced by some two-sided ideal (which then necessarily is $A[A, L]A$ if and only if L is commutator equivalent to some two-sided ideal, if and only if $[A, L] = [A, [A, L]].$
- (3) Given a two-sided ideal $I \subseteq A$, we have

$$
I = A[A, I]A
$$
, and $[A, I] = [A, [A, I]].$

(4) There is a natural bijection between the set $\mathcal I$ of two-sided ideals in A and the set

$$
\mathcal{L} = \{ L \subseteq A \text{ Lie ideal} : L = [A, L] \}.
$$

given by the maps $I \mapsto [A, I]$ and $L \mapsto A[A, L]A$.

We note that [Theorem D](#page-2-1) applies to all unital, properly infinite C^* -algebras, which then yields [Theorem C.](#page-2-0) It also applies to unital, real rank zero C^* -algebras without characters, and in particular to all von Neumann algebras with zero commutative summand, which we use to recover the solution to [Problem B](#page-1-1) for von Neumann algebras from [\[BKS08\]](#page-20-4). Our approach also shows that a Lie ideal in a von Neumann algebra without commutative summand is related to a unique twosided ideal, which solves [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Problem 5.21].

Theorem E [\(6.1\)](#page-18-0). Let L be a Lie ideal in a von Neumann algebra M . Then L is commutator equivalent to the two-sided ideal $I := M[M, L]M$, and hence also embraced by I, and related to I.

If M has zero commutative summand, then I is the only two-sided ideal of M to which L is related.

Conventions. Given a C^* -algebra, we use A_+ to denote its collection of positive elements, and we use \widetilde{A} to denote the minimal unitization. The absolute value of an element $x \in A$ is defined as $|x| := (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Leonel Robert for valuable comments on the Dixmier property for C^* -algebras, and Matej Brešar for useful feedback on an earlier version of this paper.

4 HANNES THIEL

2. LIE IDEALS IN C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH WEAKLY DIVISIBLE UNIT

In this section, we prove that every Lie ideal L in a C^* -algebra A that admits a unital *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$ is related to a unique two-sided ideal I in A; see [Theorem 2.7.](#page-6-0) We further show that L is commutator equivalent to I if and only if $[A, L] = [A, [A, L]]$; see [Theorem 2.8.](#page-7-0)

Following [\[PR04,](#page-21-9) Definition 5.1], a non-zero projection p in a C^* -algebra is said to be *weakly divisible of degree* n if there exists a unital ∗-homomorphism

$$
M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n_2}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \ldots \oplus M_{n_r}(\mathbb{C}) \to pAp
$$

for some natural numbers $r \geq 1$ and $n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq n$. The ∗-homomorphism may additionally be assumed to be injective.

As noted in [\[PR04,](#page-21-9) p.164], every matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $n \geq 2$ admits a unital (not necessarily injective) *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and it follows that a projection p weakly divisible of degree 2 if and only if there exists a unital (not necessarily injective) *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to pAp$. We deduce that the unit in a C^* -algebra A is weakly divisible of degree 2 if and only if there exists an injective ∗-homomorphism

$$
M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to A
$$
, $M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$, or $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$.

In the first two cases, we get $A \cong M_2(B)$ and $A \cong M_3(C)$ for suitable unital C^* algebras B and C , and for such matrix algebras it was shown by Marcoux [\[Mar95,](#page-21-4) Theorem 2.6] that every Lie ideal is related to a two-sided ideal (which is also unique, as shown in [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Corollary 4.18]).

Our main contribution to [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) is therefore in the case that A admits a unital (injective) *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$ but no unital *-homomorphism $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to A$ for $n = 2$ or $n = 3$ (or actually any $n \geq 2$). The Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_{∞} is an important such example.

Our approach is inspired by the methods developed by Marcoux [\[Mar95\]](#page-21-4) to describe Lie ideals in matrix algebras, as well as the techniques of Brešar, Kissin, and Shulman [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Section 3] to study Lie ideals in algebras that decompose with respect to an idempotent. However, the result does not simply follow by combining these methods, for example by applying [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Theorem 3.4] for a suitable idempotent in the C^* -algebra. Specifically, given a unital $*$ -homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$, we do not decompose A with respect to the projection p given by the image of the unit of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, in which case $pAp+(1-p)A(1-p)$ is a direct sum of matrix algebras (whence its Lie ideals are related to two-sided ideals), but it is unclear if (3.17) in [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Theorem 3.4] holds (or if p is locally cyclic in the sense of [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Definition 3.5]). Instead, we use a 'mixed' decomposition with respect to a projection q that is given by the image of the sum of a rank-one projection in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with a rank-one projection in $M_3(\mathbb{C})$, as explained in [Paragraph 2.1.](#page-3-0) In this case, one can show that q is locally cyclic, which is the idea underlying the proof that $A_{12}LA_{12} \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$ in [Lemma 2.2.](#page-4-0) On the other hand, the algebra $gAg + (1-g)A(1-g)$ is not a sum of matrix algebras and it is therefore not immediate that its Lie ideals are related to two-sided ideals.

2.1. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra that admits a unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$
\varphi\colon M_2(\mathbb{C})\oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})\to A.
$$

Let $(e_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$ denote the image of matrix units in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ under φ , and similarly let $(f_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3}$ denote the image of matrix units in $M_3(\mathbb{C})$ under φ . We do not assume that φ is injective, and therefore the e_{ij} or f_{ij} may be zero.

Set

$$
g := e_{11} + f_{11}
$$
, and $h := e_{22} + f_{22} + f_{33}$.

Then $1 = g + h$, and we view elements in A as operator matrices with respect to the decomposition induces by g and h . More formally, we set

$$
A_{11} := gAg
$$
, $A_{12} := gAh$, $A_{21} := hAg$, and $A_{22} := hAh$,

and every element a in A can be written uniquely as a sum $a = a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{21} + a_{22}$ with $a_{ij} \in A_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Retain the notation from [Paragraph 2.1,](#page-3-0)* and let $L \subseteq A$ be a Lie *ideal. Then the sets*

$$
A_{11}LA_{22}
$$
, $A_{22}LA_{11}$, $A_{12}LA_{12}$, and $A_{21}LA_{21}$

are all contained in [A, [A, L]]*, and thus also in* [A, L] *and in* L*.*

Proof. We verify that $A_{11}LA_{22} \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$. We first show that $gLh \subseteq L$. To see this, let $x \in L$. Then

 $gx - xg = [g, x] \in [A, L], \text{ and } gx - 2gxg + xg = [g, [g, x]] \in [A, [A, L]] \subseteq [A, L].$ Adding these expressions, we get

$$
2gxh = 2gx - 2gxg \in [A, L],
$$

and using that $hg = 0$ we get

$$
gxh=[\tfrac{1}{2}g,gxh]\in [A,L],
$$

We have shown that $gLh \subseteq L$.

Now, given $x \in L$ and $a, b \in A$, we have

$$
gagxhbh = [[gag, gxh], hbh] \in [[A, L], A] = [A, [A, L]].
$$

We have shown that $A_{11}LA_{22} \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$

Analogously, one verifies that $A_{22}LA_{11} \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$

We verify that $A_{12}LA_{12} \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$ *.* Given $v \in A_{12}$ and $y \in L$, we have

(1)
$$
vxv = [-\frac{1}{2}v, [v, y]] \in [A, [A, L]].
$$

Set

$$
v_1 := e_{12} + f_{12}
$$
, $w_1 := e_{21} + f_{21}$, $v_2 := e_{12} + f_{13}$, and $w_2 := e_{21} + f_{31}$.
We have

 $\mathbf W$

 $v_1w_1 = v_2w_2 = e_{11} + f_{11} = g$, $w_1v_1 = e_{22} + f_{22}$, and $w_2v_2 = e_{22} + f_{33}$. Now, given $x \in L$ and $a, b \in A$, set

$$
b' = b(\frac{1}{2}e_{22} + f_{22} + f_{33}).
$$

Then b' belongs to gAh and we have

$$
b = b'(2e_{22} + f_{22} + f_{33}).
$$

We have already proved that $gAgLhAh \subseteq L$, which gives

$$
w_1axb'w_1 \in L
$$
, and $w_2axb'w_2 \in L$.

Applying [\(1\)](#page-4-1) for $y = w_1 a x b' w_1$ and $v = v_1$, we get

$$
axb'(e_{22} + f_{22}) = v_1(w_1axb'w_1)v_1 \in [A, [A, L]].
$$

Analogously, we obtain that

$$
axb'(e_{22} + f_{33}) = v_2(w_2axb'w_2)v_2 \in [A, [A, L]],
$$

and consequently

$$
axb = axb'(2e_{22} + f_{22} + f_{33}) \in [A, [A, L]].
$$

We have shown that $A_{12}LA_{12} \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$

Analogously, one verifies that $A_{21}LA_{21} \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$

Lemma 2.3. *Retain the notation from [Paragraph 2.1,](#page-3-0)* and let $L \subseteq A$ be a Lie *ideal. Then*

$$
AgLhA = AhLgA.
$$

Proof. Using at the first step that $A = AgA = AhA$ since g and h are full, and applying at the third step that $hAgLhAg \subseteq L$ by [Lemma 2.2,](#page-4-0) we get

$$
AgLhA = AhAgLhAgA = Ah(hAgLhAg)gA \subseteq AhLgA.
$$

Analogously, we have

$$
AhLgA = AgAhLgAhA = Ag(gAhLgAh)hA \subseteq AgLhA,
$$

as desired. \Box

Lemma 2.4. *Retain the notation from [Paragraph 2.1,](#page-3-0)* and let $L \subseteq A$ be a Lie *ideal. Then*

$$
[A, L] \subseteq AgLhA.
$$

Proof. To simplify notation, we set $I = AgLhA$. We have

 $[A, gLh] \subseteq AgLh + gLhA \subseteq I.$

Further, using [Lemma 2.3](#page-5-0) at the third step, we have

$$
[A, hLg] \subseteq AhLg + hLgA \subseteq AhLgA = AgLhA = I.
$$

Thus, given $x \in L$, we have $[A, gxh + hxg] \subseteq I$. Note that $gxh, hxg \in L$ by [Lemma 2.2.](#page-4-0) It follows that

$$
gxg + hxh = x - gxh + hxg \in L.
$$

We need to show that $[A, gxg + hxh] \subseteq I$. Using additivity of the Lie bracket and that I is an additive subgroup, it suffices to verify that $[A_{ij}, gxg + hxh] \subseteq I$ for $i, j = 1, 2$.

We show that $[A_{12}, gxg + hxh] \subseteq I$. Given $a \in A$, we have

 $[gah, gxg + hxh] = gahxh - gxgah.$

Using that $gxq + hxh \in L$, we have $[gah, gxg + hxh] \in L$, and thus

$$
[gah, gxg + hxh] \in L \cap gAh = gLh \subseteq AgLhA = I.
$$

We have shown that $[A_{12}, gxg + hxh] \subseteq I$.

Similarly, and using also [Lemma 2.3](#page-5-0) at the last step, we get

$$
[hag, gxg + hxh] = hagxg - hxhag \in L \cap hAg = hLg \subseteq AhLgA = I
$$

for every $a \in A$. This shows that $[A_{21}, gxg + hxh] \subseteq I$.

We show that $[A_{11}, g x g + h x h] \subseteq I$. Given $a \in A$, set $y = g a g x g - g x g a g$. Then

 $y = q a q x q - q x q a q = [q a q, q x q + h x h] \in [A, L] \subseteq L.$

Then

$$
y(e_{12} + f_{12}) = [y, e_{12} + f_{12}] \in [L, A] \subseteq L
$$

and thus

$$
y(e_{12} + f_{12}) \in L \cap gAh = gLh \subseteq I.
$$

We deduce that

 $y = y(e_{12} + f_{12})(e_{21} + f_{21}) \in IA = I.$

We show that $[A_{22}, gxg + hxh] \subseteq I$. Given $b \in A$, set $z = hbhxh - hxhbh$. Then

$$
z = hbhxh - hxhbh = [hbh, gxg + hxh] \in L \cap A_{22}.
$$

Then

$$
(e_{12} + f_{12})z = [e_{12} + f_{12}, z] \in L \cap gAh \subseteq I
$$
, and $f_{13}z = [f_{13}, z] \in I$.

We deduce that

$$
z = (e_{21} + f_{21})(e_{12} + f_{12})z + f_{31}f_{13}z \in AI + AI = I,
$$

as desired. \Box

Proposition 2.5. *Retain the notation from [Paragraph 2.1,](#page-3-0) and let* $L \subseteq A$ *be a Lie ideal. Then*

$$
A[A, L] = A[A, L]A = AgLhA = AhLgA.
$$

Proof. In general, if $M \subseteq A$ is a Lie ideal, then $AMA = AM$; see, for example, [\[GLT24,](#page-20-6) Lemma 3.2]. Since $[A, L]$ is a Lie ideal, we get $A[A, L] = A[A, L]A$.

By [Lemma 2.4,](#page-5-1) we have $[A, L] \subseteq AgLhA$, and thus $A[A, L]A \subseteq AgLhA$. By [Lemma 2.3,](#page-5-0) we have $AgLh\ddot{A} = AhLg\dot{A}$. Finally, by [Lemma 2.2,](#page-4-0) we have $gLh \subseteq$ $[A, L]$, and thus $AgLhA \subseteq A[A, L]A$.

Lemma 2.6. *Retain the notation from [Paragraph 2.1,](#page-3-0)* and let $L \subseteq A$ be a Lie *ideal, and set* $I = A[A, L]A$ *. Then*

$$
[A, I] \subseteq [A, [A, L]].
$$

Proof. For each $i, j = 1, 2$, set $I_{ij} := I \cap A_{ij}$. Since I is a two-sided ideal, we have $I = I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{21} + I_{22}$. By [Proposition 2.5,](#page-6-1) we have $I = AgLhA$, and thus

$$
I = AgLhA = \underbrace{gAgLhAg}_{I_{11}} + \underbrace{gAgLhAh}_{I_{12}} + \underbrace{hAgLhAg}_{I_{21}} + \underbrace{hAgLhAh}_{I_{22}}
$$

By additivity of the Lie bracket and since $[A, L]$ is an additive subgroup, it suffices to show that $[A, I_{ij}] \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$ for each $i, j = 1, 2$.

By [Lemma 2.2,](#page-4-0) we have

$$
I_{12} = gAgLhAh \subseteq [A, L], \text{ and } I_{21} = hAgLhAg \subseteq [A, L],
$$

and thus $[A, I_{12}] \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$ and $[A, I_{21}] \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$

We show that $[A, I_{11}] \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$. It suffices to verify that $[A_{ij}, I_{11}] \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$ for each $i, j = 1, 2$. Using that $hg = 0$, and using [Lemma 2.2](#page-4-0) at the last step, we get

 $[A_{12}, I_{11}] = [gAh, gAgLhAg] = -(gAgLhAg)(gAh) = gAgLhAgAh \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$ Similarly, we have

 $[A_{21}, I_{11}] = [hAg, gAgLhAg] = (hAg)(gAgLhAg) = hAgAgLhAg \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$ We also have $[A_{22}, I_{11}] = \{0\} \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$

Further, using [Lemma 2.2](#page-4-0) at the last step, we have

$$
I_{11} = (gAgLh)Ag \subseteq [gAgLh, Ag] + Ag(gAgLh) \subseteq [A, L] + AgLh.
$$

Using again [Lemma 2.2](#page-4-0) at the last step, we get

$$
[A_{11}, I_{11}] \subseteq [A_{11}, [A, L]] + [A_{11}, AgLh] \subseteq [A, [A, L]] + [gAg, AgLh]
$$

$$
\subseteq [A, [A, L]] + gAgAgLh \subseteq [A, [A, L]].
$$

We have verified that $[A, I_{11}] \subseteq [A, [A, L]].$

Analogously, one shows that $[A, I_{22}] \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$, which completes the proof. \Box

The next result verifies statement (1) in [Theorem D.](#page-2-1)

Theorem 2.7. *Let* A *be a unital* C ∗ *-algebra that admits a unital* ∗*-homomorphism* $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$, and let $L \subseteq A$ be a (not necessarily closed) Lie ideal. Then, *for the two-sided ideal* $I := A[A, L]A$ *, we have*

$$
(2) \qquad [A, I] = [A, [A, L]] \subseteq [A, L] \subseteq I, \quad and \quad [A, I] \subseteq L \subseteq T(I).
$$

Further, I *is the only two-sided ideal of* A *to which* L *is related.*

.

8 HANNES THIEL

Proof. Using [Lemma 2.4](#page-5-1) at the first step, and [Proposition 2.5](#page-6-1) at the second step, we have

$$
[A, L] \subseteq AgLhA = I.
$$

This implies that $[A, [A, L]] \subseteq [A, I]$, and the converse inclusion $[A, I] \subseteq [A, [A, L]]$ is shown in [Lemma 2.6.](#page-6-2) Using that L is a Lie ideal, we have $[A, L] \subseteq L$, and therefore $[A, [A, L]] \subseteq [A, L]$. This shows the left chain of inclusions in [\(2\)](#page-6-3).

The inclusion $[A, I] \subseteq L$ follows using that $[A, I] \subseteq [A, L]$ and $[A, L] \subseteq L$. Finally, since $[A, L] \subseteq I$, we have $L \subseteq T(I)$.

To show uniqueness of I , let J be a two-sided ideal that is related to L , that is, such that

$$
[A, J] \subseteq L \subseteq T(J).
$$

Then $[A, L] \subseteq J$, and since I is the two-sided ideal generated by $[A, L]$ we get $I \subseteq J$. Applying [Lemma 2.2](#page-4-0) at the first step for J , considered as a Lie ideal, we get

$$
gJh\subseteq [A,J]\subseteq L,
$$

and thus

$$
gJh \subseteq L \cap gAh = gLh.
$$

Since g and h are full, we have $A = AgA$ and $A = AhA$, and consequently

$$
J = AJA = AgAJAhA = AgJhA \subseteq AgLhA = I.
$$

In conclusion, we get $I = J$, as desired.

The next result verifies statement (2) in [Theorem D.](#page-2-1)

Theorem 2.8. *Let* A *be a unital* C ∗ *-algebra that admits a unital* ∗*-homomorphism* $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$, and let $L \subseteq A$ be a (not necessarily closed) Lie ideal. Then *the following statements are equivalent:*

- *(1) The Lie ideal* L *is commutator equivalent to the two-sided ideal* A[A, L]A*.*
- *(2) The Lie ideal* L *is embraced by some two-sided ideal.*
- *(3)* We have $[A, L] = [A, [A, L]].$

Proof. It is clear that (1) implies (2). Assuming (2), let us show that (3) holds. Set $I := A[A, L]A$, and let $J \subseteq A$ be some two-sided ideal that embraces L. Then L is also related to J. It follows that $I = J$, since I is the unique two-sided ideal to which L is related, by [Theorem 2.7.](#page-6-0) It follows that $L \subseteq T([A, I])$. Using this at the first step, and using [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) at the second step, we get

$$
[A, L] \subseteq [A, I] = [A, [A, L]],
$$

which verifies (3) .

Assuming (3), let us show that (1) holds. Using that assumption at the firs step, and [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) at the second step, we get

$$
[A, L] = [A, [A, L]] = [A, I],
$$

which verifies (1) .

The next result is essentially contained in [\[PR04,](#page-21-9) Proposition 5.7]. We only show how to remove the separability assumption. A *character* on a C^* -algebra A is one-dimensional, irreducible representation, that is, a surjective ∗-homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.9 (Perera, Rørdam). *Let* A *be a unital* C ∗ *-algebra of real rank zero. Then* A *has no characters if and only if* A *admits a unital* ∗*-homomorphism* $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$.

Proof. The backwards implication is clear. To show the forward implication, assume that A has no characters. By [\[KR15,](#page-21-10) Lemma 3.5], there exists a *separable* sub-C^{*}-algebra $A_0 \subseteq A$ containing the unit of A. Using that real rank zero is sepa-rably inheritable (see [\[Bla06,](#page-20-7) Section II.8.5]), we obtain a *separable* sub-C^{*}-algebra $B \subseteq A$ of real rank zero with $A_0 \subseteq B$. It follows that B has no characters, which allows us to apply [\[PR04,](#page-21-9) Proposition 5.7] for B. We obtain a unital ∗-homomorphism from $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ to B, and thus also to A.

Example 2.10. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra of real rank zero that has no charac-ters. Then [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) applies to A . In particular, every Lie ideal in A is related to a (unique) two-sided ideal.

We expect that the following question has a positive answer.

Question 2.11. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra that has no characters. Is every Lie ideal in A is related to a (unique) two-sided ideal?

3. TWO-SIDED IDEALS IN C^* -ALGEBRAS WITH WEAKLY DIVISIBLE UNIT

In this section, we prove that every two-sided ideal I in a C^* -algebra A that admits a unital *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$ satisfies $I = A[A, I]A$, and we describe $[A, I]$ as the subspace spanned by certain square-zero elements in I ; see [Theorem 3.8.](#page-10-0) If *I* is a Dixmier ideal (in which case there is a well-defined ideal $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfying $(I^{\frac{1}{2}})^2 = I$, then $I = [A, I] + [A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2$; see [Theorem 3.14.](#page-12-0) In this case every element in I is a sum of square-zero elements in I and of products of pairs of square-zero elements in $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The next definition generalizes the notion of orthogonally factorizable squarezero elements in a ring ([\[GT23,](#page-20-8) Definition 5.1]) to a relative notion with respect to a two-sided ideal.

Definition 3.1. Let I be a two-sided ideal in a C^* -algebra A. We let $N_2(I)$ denote the square-zero elements in I , that is,

$$
N_2(I) := \{ x \in I : x^2 = 0 \}.
$$

We further set

 $FN_2(A, I) := \{x \in I : \text{ there exist } a, b \in A, y \in I \text{ with } x = ayb \text{ and } ba = 0\}.$

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $I \subseteq A$ *be a two-sided ideal in a* C^* -algebra, and let $x \in \text{FN}_2(A, I)$ *. Then there exist* $a, b \in A_+$ *and* $y \in FN_2(A, I) \subseteq I$ *such that*

$$
x = ayb
$$
, and $ab = ba = 0$.

Proof. By definition, there are $c, d \in A$ and $z \in I$ such that

$$
x = czd, \text{ and } dc = 0.
$$

Let $c = v|c|$ and $d = w|d|$ the polar decompositions in A^{**} and set

$$
a := |c^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad b := |d|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad y := |c^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}vxw|d|^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

By properties of the polar decomposition (see, for example, [\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Proposition 2.1]), we have $|c^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}v, w|d|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in A$, and thus $y \in A$. Further, we have $x = ayb$.

Since $dc = 0$, we have $(d^*d)^n (cc^*)^m = 0$ for every $m, n \ge 1$. It follows that $p(d^*d)q(cc^*) = 0$ for every polynomials p and q with vanishing constant terms. Using functional calculus, we get $f(d^*d)g(cc^*)=0$ for every continuous functions $f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $f(0) = g(0) = 0$. In particular, we get $|d|^{\frac{1}{2}} |c^*|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. Thus, we have $ba = 0$, and then also $ab = 0$.

To show that y belongs to $FN_2(A, I)$, consider the decomposition

$$
y = |c^*|^{\frac{1}{4}} (|c^*|^{\frac{1}{4}} v x w |d|^{\frac{1}{4}}) |d|^{\frac{1}{4}}.
$$

Then $|c^*|^{\frac{1}{4}} v x w |d|^{\frac{1}{4}}$ belongs to I, and we have also seen above that $|c^*|^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $|d|^{\frac{1}{4}}$ have zero product, whence $y \in \mathrm{FN}_2(A, I)$.

The next result is analogous to [\[GT23,](#page-20-8) Lemma 5.2].

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $I \subseteq A$ *be a two-sided ideal in a* C^* -algebra. Then

 $\text{FN}_2(A, I) \subseteq [A, \text{FN}_2(A, I)] \subseteq [A, [A, I]] \subseteq [A, I], \text{ and } \text{FN}_2(A, I) \subseteq \text{N}_2(I).$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathrm{FN}_2(A, I)$. By [Lemma 3.2,](#page-8-0) we can pick $a, b \in A_+$ and $y \in I$ such that $x = ayb$ and $ba = 0$. As in the proof of [Lemma 3.2,](#page-8-0) we see that $ba^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. It follows that $a^{\frac{1}{2}}yb \in FN_2(A, I)$ and then

$$
x = ayb = \left[a^{\frac{1}{2}}, a^{\frac{1}{2}}yb\right] \in [A, \mathrm{FN}_2(A, I)].
$$

Since $FN_2(A, I)$ is a subset of I, we have $[A, FN_2(A, I)] \subseteq [A, I]$. Combining these results, and using at the last step that $[A, I]$ is a Lie ideal, we get

$$
FN_2(A, I) \subseteq [A, FN_2(A, I)] \subseteq [A, [A, FN_2(A, I)]] \subseteq [A, [A, I]] \subseteq [A, I].
$$

Given $x = ayb \in FN_2(A, I)$, with $a, b \in A$ and $y \in I$ such that $ba = 0$, we have

$$
x^2 = (ayb)(ayb) = 0,
$$

and thus $x \in N_2(I)$.

Remark 3.4. We note that [Definition 3.1](#page-8-1) and [\[GT23,](#page-20-8) Definition 5.1] agree when considering the ideal $I = A$ in a C^* -algebra A. Indeed, an element x in A belongs to $FN_2(A)$ in the sense of [\[GT23,](#page-20-8) Definition 5.1] if there exist $s, t \in A$ such that $x = st$ and $ts = 0$, while x belongs to $FN_2(A, A)$ as defined in [Definition 3.1](#page-8-1) if there exist $a, y, b \in A$ such that $x = ayb$ and $ba = 0$.

Given a factorization $x = ayb$ with $ba = 0$, we can use $s = a$ and $t = yb$. Conversely, given a factorization $x = st$ with $ts = 0$, it follows that $|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}s = 0$. We consider the polar decomposition $t = v|t|$ in A^{**} . Then $v|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ belongs to A, and we can use $a = s, y = v|t|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $b = |t|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Given a subset V in a complex vector space, we use $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} V$ to denote the linear subspace generated by V. Following $[GT23, Definition 2.6]$, we say that a C-algebra A is *zero-product balanced* if for all $a, b, c \in A$ we have

 $ab \otimes c - a \otimes bc \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ u \otimes v \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A : uv = 0 \} \subseteq A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A.$

We see that the class of C^* -algebras considered in this section are zero-product balanced:

Lemma 3.5. *Let* A *be a unital* C ∗ *-algebra that admits a unital* ∗*-homomorphism* $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$. Then A is zero-product balanced as a \mathbb{C} -algebra.

Proof. As in [Paragraph 2.1,](#page-3-0) let $(e_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$ and $(f_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,3}$ be the images in A of matrix units in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_3(\mathbb{C})$. Then $e_{11} + f_{11}$ and $e_{22} + f_{22}$ are two full orthogonal projections in A. This allows us to apply [\[Rob16,](#page-21-7) Corollary 3.8], which shows that A is generated by its projections as a $\mathbb C$ -algebra, and thus is zero-product balanced by [\[GT23,](#page-20-8) Proposition 3.6].

A two-sided ideal $I \subseteq A$ in a C^* -algebra is said to be *idempotent* if $I = I^2$, that is, if every element in I is of the form $x_1y_1 + \ldots + x_ny_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suitable $x_j, y_j \in I$.

Proposition 3.6. Let A be a C^{*}-algebra that is zero-product balanced as a \mathbb{C} *algebra, and let* $I \subseteq A$ *be a two-sided ideal such that* $I = AIA$ *(for example,* A *is unital or* I *is idempotent). Then*

$$
[A, I] = [A, [A, I]] = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{FN}_2(A, I).
$$

Proof. The inclusions ' \supset ' hold by [Lemma 3.3.](#page-9-0) The proof of the converse inclusion is based on a combination of the methods used in the proofs of Proposition 2.14 and Theorem 5.3 in [\[GT23\]](#page-20-8), which in turn are inspired by that of [\[Bre21,](#page-20-10) Theorem 9.1]. To verify that $[A, I] \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{FN}_2(A, I)$, let $x \in A$ and $y \in I$. Set $F := \text{span}_{\mathcal{C}} \text{FN}_2(A, I)$, and define

$$
\varphi_y \colon A \times A \to I/F, \quad \varphi_y(a, b) := bya + F. \tag{a, b \in A}
$$

Note that φ_y is a well-defined C-bilinear map. Further, φ_y preserves zero-products since if $a, b \in A$ satisfy $ab = 0$, then $bya \in FN_2(A, I) \subseteq F$. Using [\[GT23,](#page-20-8) Proposition 2.8] at the second step, we obtain that

$$
cyab + F = \varphi_y(ab, c) = \varphi_y(a, bc) = bcya + F
$$

and thus

$$
bcya-cyab \in F = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{FN}_2(A, I)
$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$. The assumption that $I = AIA$ allows us to pick n and $c_j, a_j \in A$ and $y_j \in I$ for $j = 1, ..., n$ such that $y = \sum_j c_j y_j a_j$. Then

$$
[x, y] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} [x, c_j y_j a_j] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (x c_j y_j a_j - c_j y_j a_j x) \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{FN}_2(A, I),
$$

which implies that $[A, I] \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{FN}_2(A, I).$

Question 3.7. Does there exist a two-sided ideal I in a C^* -algebra A such that $[A, [A, I]] \neq [A, I]$?

The next result verifies statement (3) in [Theorem D.](#page-2-1)

Theorem 3.8. *Let* A *be a unital* C ∗ *-algebra that admits a unital* ∗*-homomorphism* $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$, and let $I \subseteq A$ be a (not necessarily closed) two-sided ideal. *Then*

$$
I = A[A, I]A = A[A, I],
$$
 and $[A, I] = [A, [A, I]] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{FN}_2(A, I).$

Proof. Considering I as a Lie ideal in A, it follows from [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) that $A[A, I]A$ is the unique two-sided ideal in A to which I is related. Since I is clearly related to itself, we get $I = A[A, I]A$. The inclusion $A[A, I] \subseteq A[A, I]A$ holds since A is unital. The converse inclusion holds since $[A, I]$ is a Lie ideal in A; see, for example, [\[GLT24,](#page-20-6) Lemma 3.2].

Now the equality $[A, I] = [A, [A, I]]$ also follows from [Theorem 2.7.](#page-6-0) Further, since A is zero-product balanced by [Lemma 3.5,](#page-9-1) we have $[A, I] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{FN}_2(A, I)$ by [Proposition 3.6.](#page-10-1) \Box

Remark 3.9. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra that admits a unital *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$. Applying [Theorem 3.8](#page-10-0) for the ideal A, we get

$$
A = A[A, A]A,
$$

which means that A is generated by its commutators as an ideal.

In fact, A is even generated by its commutators as a ring. Indeed, by [\[GT25,](#page-21-11) Theorem 5.15], every element in A is a sum of a commutator and the product of two commutators, and also the sum of three elements that are products of commutators.

The next result verifies statement (4) in [Theorem D.](#page-2-1)

Theorem 3.10. *Let* A *be a unital* C ∗ *-algebra that admits a unital* ∗*-homomorphism* $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$. There is a natural bijection between the set $\mathcal I$ of two-sided *ideals in* A *and the set*

$$
\mathcal{L} := \{ L \subseteq A \text{ Lie ideal} : L = [A, L] \}
$$

given by the maps $I \mapsto [A, I]$ *and* $L \mapsto A[A, L]A$ *.*

Proof. We denote the maps by $\alpha: \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{L}$ and $\beta: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{I}$. Given $I \in \mathcal{I}$, using [Theorem 3.8](#page-10-0) at the second step, we have

$$
\alpha(I) = [A, I] = [A, [A, I]] = [A, \alpha(I)]
$$

which shows that α is well-defined.

Next, we show that α and β are inverses of each other. First, given $I \in \mathcal{I}$, using [Theorem 3.8](#page-10-0) at the last step, we get

$$
\beta(\alpha(I)) = \beta([A, I]) = A[A, I]A = I.
$$

Second, given $L \in \mathcal{L}$, it follows from $L = [A, L]$ that $[A, L] = [A, [A, L]]$, and thus $L = [A, [A, L]]$. Using this at the last step, and using [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) at the third step, we get

$$
\alpha(\beta(L)) = \alpha(A[A, L]A) = [A, A[A, L]A] = [A, [A, L]] = L,
$$
 as desired,

Robert showed in [\[Rob16,](#page-21-7) Lemma 2.1] that every nilpotent element in a C^* algebra is a sum of commutators. With view towards [Theorem 3.8,](#page-10-0) we ask:

Question 3.11. Let I be a (not necessarily closed) two-sided ideal in a C^* algebra A. Does every nilpotent element in I belong to $[A, I]$?

We give positive answers to [Question 3.11](#page-11-1) for arbitrary two-sided ideals in von Neumann algebras [\(Proposition 6.2\)](#page-18-1), and for semiprime two-sided ideals in C^* algebras [\(Proposition 4.5\)](#page-15-0).

Following [\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Definition 3.2], a *Dixmier ideal* in a C^* -algebra A is a twosided ideal $I \subseteq A$ such that I is positively spanned (that is, $I = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(I \cap A_+)$) and hereditary (that is, if $a, b \in A_+$ satisfy $a \leq b$ and $b \in I$, then $a \in I$) and strongly invariant (that is, if $x \in A$ satisfies $x^*x \in I$, then $xx^* \in I$). Two-sided ideals in von Neumann algebras are automatically Dixmier ideals, which was essentially shown by Dixmier (see [\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Proposition 3.4]).

Given a Dixmier ideal I and $s \in (0, \infty)$, there is a unique Dixmier ideal whose set of positive elements is $\{a^s : a \in I \cap A_+\}$, and we use I^s to denote this ideal; see Proposition 3.7 and Definition 3.8 in [\[GKT23\]](#page-20-9). We note that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the ring-theoretic definition of I^n as the two-sided ideal generated by $x_1 \cdots x_n$ for $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$ agrees with the 'new' definition of I^n as the linear span of elements a^n for $a \in I \cap A_+$. This leads to a well-behaved theory of roots and powers for Dixmier ideals ([\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Theorem 3.9]), which we recall for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 3.12 (Gardella, Kitamura, Thiel). *Let* I ⊆ A *be a Dixmier ideal in a* C ∗ *-algebra. Then*

$$
(Is)t = Ist = (It)s, and IsIt = Is+t = ItIs
$$

for all $s, t \in (0, \infty)$ *.*

Lemma 3.13. Let $I \subseteq A$ be a Dixmier ideal in a C^* -algebra, let $x \in I$ with polar *decomposition* $x = v|x|$ *in* A^{**} *, and let* $s \in (0, \infty)$ *. Then*

$$
v|x|^{s}, |x|^{s}, |x^*|^{s}, |x|^{s}v^* \in I^s.
$$

Proof. Since *I* is a Dixmier ideal, we have $x^* \in I$, and thus $x^*x, xx^* \in I^2$. Then by [Theorem 3.12,](#page-11-2) we get

$$
|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in (I^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = I
$$
, and $|x^*| = (xx^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in (I^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = I$.

It follows that $|x|^s, |x^*|^s \in I^s$.

By [\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Proposition 3.10], given a Dixmier ideal $J \subseteq A$, an element $y \in A$ belongs to $J^{\frac{1}{2}}$ if and only if $y^*y \in J$. Applying this for the Dixmier ideal I^{2s} , and using that

$$
(v|x|^s)^*(v|x|^s) = |x|^{2s} \in I^{2s},
$$

we deduce that $v|x|^s \in (I^{2s})^{\frac{1}{2}} = I^s$. Since I^s is a Dixmier ideal, we further have $|x|^s v^* = (v|x|^s)^* \in I^s$.

The next result showcases a situation when every element in a Dixmier ideal I is a sum of square-zero element in I and products of pairs of square-zero elements in $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In [Theorem 6.4,](#page-19-0) we strengthen this result for ideals in von Neumann algebras.

Theorem 3.14. *Let* A *be a unital* C ∗ *-algebra that admits a unital* ∗*-homomorphism* $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$, and let $I \subseteq A$ be a Dixmier ideal. Then

$$
I = [A, I] + [A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2}.
$$

Proof. We first show that

$$
A \cdot \text{FN}_2(A, I) \subseteq [A, I] + [A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2.
$$

Let $c \in A$ and $x \in FN_2(A, I)$. By [Lemma 3.2,](#page-8-0) we can pick $a, b \in A_+$ and $y \in I$ such that $x = ayb$ and $ab = ba = 0$. Set $z := yb \in I$, and let $z = v|z|$ be the polar decomposition in A∗∗. Then

$$
cx = \left[ca^{\frac{1}{2}}, a^{\frac{1}{2}}z\right] + a^{\frac{1}{2}}zca^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left[ca^{\frac{1}{2}}, a^{\frac{1}{2}}z\right] + \left(a^{\frac{1}{2}}v|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}ca^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).
$$

By [Lemma 3.13,](#page-11-3) $v|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ belong to $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Further, since $za = 0$, we get $|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}a^{\frac{1}{2}}=0$, and hence

$$
a^{\frac{1}{2}}v|z|^{\frac{1}{2}} = [a^{\frac{1}{2}}, v|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}] \in [A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}], \text{ and } |z|^{\frac{1}{2}}ca^{\frac{1}{2}} = [-ca^{\frac{1}{2}}, |z|^{\frac{1}{2}}] \in [A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}].
$$

It follows that cx belongs to $[A, I] + [A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]^{2}$.

Using [Theorem 3.8](#page-10-0) at the first and second step, and using the above at the last step, we get

$$
I = A[A, I] = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(A \cdot \operatorname{FN}_2(A, I)\right) \subseteq [A, I] + [A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2.
$$

On the other hand, we clearly have $[A, I] \subseteq I$. Using [Theorem 3.12,](#page-11-2) we also have $[A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2 \subseteq (I^{\frac{1}{2}})$ $2^2 = I$.

4. Square-zero elements in semiprime ideals in C*-algebras

In this section, we study the subspace N generated by the square-zero elements in a semiprime ideal in a C^* -algebra A. We show that ANA , the two-sided ideal generated by N, satisfies $ANA = [A, N] + N^2$; see [Theorem 4.3.](#page-13-0) This generalize results from [\[GT24,](#page-21-8) Section 4].

We then characterize semiprimeness for two-sided ideals in a C^* -algebra whose unit is weakly divisible of degree 2; see [Theorem 4.6.](#page-15-1) In particular, such an ideal is semiprime if and only if it is generated by its commutators as an ideal (or as a ring).

We begin with some preparatory results about commutators involving Dixmier ideals, which might be of use in future work.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $I \subseteq A$ *be a Dixmier ideal in a C*^{*}-algebra. Then

$$
[A,I]\subseteq \left[I^{\frac{1}{2}},I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\subseteq I.
$$

Proof. By [Theorem 3.12,](#page-11-2) we have $I = I^{\frac{1}{2}}I^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using that $[a, xy] = [ax, y] + [ya, x]$ for $a \in A$ and $x, y \in I$, it follows that

$$
[A,I] = [A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}I^{\frac{1}{2}}] \subseteq [AI^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}] + [I^{\frac{1}{2}}A, I^{\frac{1}{2}}] \subseteq [I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}].
$$

Further, we have $[I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}] \subseteq I^{\frac{1}{2}} I^{\frac{1}{2}} = I.$

Recall that
$$
N_2(I)
$$
 denotes the set of square-zero elements in an ideal I .

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $I \subseteq A$ *be a Dixmier ideal in a C*^{*}-algebra. Then

$$
N_2(I) \subseteq \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left[N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}}), N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}})\right].
$$

Proof. Let $x \in N_2(I)$, and let $x = v|x|$ be the polar decomposition in A^{**} . We have $x = |x^*|v = |x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $x^2 = 0$, the elements |x| and $|x^*|$ are orthogonal, and hence so are $|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Therefore

$$
x = \left[\frac{1}{2}v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}, |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} - |x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right].
$$

By [Lemma 3.13,](#page-11-3) the element $\frac{1}{2}v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ belongs to $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and thus $\frac{1}{2}v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Set

$$
y:=|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}-|x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}+v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}-v^*|x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

It is elementary (but tedious) to check that $y^2 = 0$. Note that y belongs to $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by [Lemma 3.13,](#page-11-3) and thus $y \in N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Using that $v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $v^*|x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ also belong to $N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}})$, it follows that

$$
|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} - |x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}} = y - v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} + v^*|x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}}),
$$

and thus

$$
x = \left[\frac{1}{2}v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}, |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} - |x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left[N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}}), N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}})\right],
$$
 as desired.

A two-sided ideal I in a ring R is said to be *semiprime* if for all two-sided ideals $J \subseteq R$ we have $J \subseteq I$ whenever $J^2 \subseteq I$. We refer to [\[Lam01,](#page-21-12) Section 10] for details. In [\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Section 5], together with Gardella and Kitamura, we showed that a two-sided ideal I in a C^* -algebra is semiprime if and only if it is idempotent (that is, $I = I^2$), if and only if it is a Dixmier ideal and satisfies $I = I^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The next result is a generalization of [\[GT24,](#page-21-8) Theorem 4.2] from the study of square-zero elements in C^* -algebras to square-zero elements in semiprime ideals in C^* -algebras. Recall that \tilde{A} denotes the minimal unitization of a C^* -algebra A .

Theorem 4.3. Let $I \subseteq A$ be a semiprime ideal in a C^* -algebra, let N denote the *subspace generated by* $N_2(I)$ *, and let* $J := ANA$ *denote the two-sided ideal of* A *generated by* N*. Then* J *is semiprime, and we have*

$$
N = [N, N] \subseteq N^2, \quad J = [J, J]^2 = AN = [A, N]^2 = [A, N] + N^2,
$$

and

$$
[J, J] = [A, J] = [A, [A, N]] = [[J, J], [J, J]] = [[A, N], [A, N]].
$$

Proof. We will use that I is a Dixmier ideal satisfying $I = I^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Applying [Lemma 4.2](#page-13-1) at the second step, we have

 $N = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} N_2(I) \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} [N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}}), N_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}})] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} [N_2(I), N_2(I)] = [N, N].$

The inclusion $[N, N] \subseteq N^2$ follows since every commutator in $[N, N]$ belongs to N^2 . To verify that $[N, N] \subseteq N$, let $x, y \in N_2(I)$. Then

$$
[x, y] = (1+x)y(1-x) - y + xyx.
$$

Each of the three summands is a square-zero element in I, which shows $[x, y] \in N$.

We have

$$
J := \widetilde{A}N\widetilde{A} \subseteq \widetilde{A}N^2\widetilde{A} \subseteq (\widetilde{A}N)(N\widetilde{A}) \subseteq J^2,
$$

which shows that J is idempotent and therefore semiprime by [\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Theorem A]. The inclusion $AN \subseteq \widetilde{A}N\widetilde{A} = J$ is clear. Conversely, given $a \in \widetilde{A}$, $x \in N_2(I)$ and

a unitary $u \in \tilde{A}$, using that $u^*xu \in N_2(I)$ and that $N \subseteq N^2$, we have

$$
axu = au(u^*xu) \in \widetilde{A} N_2(I) \subseteq \widetilde{A}N \subseteq \widetilde{A}N^2 \subseteq AN.
$$

Since every element in \widetilde{A} is a linear combination of four unitaries, we get $J = AN$.

The inclusion $[A, N] + N^2 \subseteq \widetilde{A}N\widetilde{A} = J$ is clear. For the converse inclusion, we use a similar argument as in the proof of [Theorem 3.14](#page-12-0) to show that $AN \subseteq [A, N]+N^2$. Given $a \in A$ and $x \in N_2(I)$, let $x = v|x|$ be the polar decomposition in A^{**} . Since I is semiprime, it is Dixmier and $I = I^{\frac{1}{2}} = I^{\frac{1}{4}}$. By [Lemma 3.13,](#page-11-3) we have $v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in I^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and thus $v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in N_2(I)$. Similarly, we have $v|x|^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $|x|^{\frac{1}{4}}a|x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in N_2(I)$. Using that $x = |x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}},$ we get

$$
ax = [a|x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}, v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}] + (v|x|^{\frac{1}{4}})(|x|^{\frac{1}{4}}a|x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \in [A, \mathcal{N}_2(I)] + \mathcal{N}_2(I)^2.
$$

Using that $J = AN$, we get $J = [A, N] + N^2$.

The inclusion $[A, N]^2 \subseteq \widetilde{A}N\widetilde{A} = J$ is clear. Conversely, using that $N = [N, N]$, we have

$$
[A, N] = [A, [N, N]] \subseteq [N, [A, N]] \subseteq [[A, N], [A, N]] \subseteq [A, N]^2.
$$

We also have $N^2 = [N, N]^2 \subseteq [A, N]^2$ and thus

$$
J = [A, N] + N^2 \subseteq [A, N]^2.
$$

The inclusion $[J, J] \subseteq [A, J]$ is clear. Using that $J = J^2$ and $[a, xy] = [ax, y] +$ [ya, x] for $a \in A$ and $xy \in J$, we have

$$
[A,J] = [A,J^2] \subseteq [AJ,J] + [JA,J] \subseteq [J,J].
$$

This shows that $[J, J] = [A, J]$. We get

$$
[[A,N],[A,N]]\subseteq [A,[A,N]]\subseteq [A,J]=[J,J].
$$

We have

$$
[[A, N], N^2] \subseteq [A, N^2] \subseteq [AN, N] + [NA, N] \subseteq [A, N].
$$

and similarly $[N^2, N^2] \subseteq [A, N^2] \subseteq [A, N]$. We also have

$$
[A, N] = [A, [N, N]] \subseteq [N, [A, N]] = [[N, N], [A, N]] \subseteq [[A, N], [A, N]].
$$

Using that $J = [A, N] + N^2$, it follows that

$$
[J, J] \subseteq [[A, N], [A, N]] + [N^2, [A, N]] + [[A, N], N^2] + [N^2, N^2]
$$

$$
\subseteq [[A, N], [A, N]].
$$

Using that $[A, N] \subseteq [A, J] = [J, J]$, we also have

$$
[J, J] = [[A, N], [A, N]] \subseteq [[J, J], [J, J]].
$$

Since the converse inclusion is clear.

Finally, since $[A, N] \subseteq [J, J]$ and $J = [A, N]^2$, we have $J = [J, J]^2$

Lemma 4.4. Let $I \subseteq A$ be a Dixmier ideal in a C^* -algebra, and let $x \in I$ be *nilpotent.* Then $x \in [\overline{I^{\frac{1}{2}}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]$.

 \Box

Proof. As in the proof of [\[Rob16,](#page-21-7) Lemma 2.1], we proceed by induction on the degree of nilpotency. To start, let $x \in I$ be a square-zero element. By [Lemma 3.13,](#page-11-3) the elements $v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ belong to $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and thus

$$
x=\big[v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}},x^{\frac{1}{2}}\big]\in\big[I^{\frac{1}{2}},I^{\frac{1}{2}}\big].
$$

Next, assume that for some $k \geq 2$ we have shown that every element $x \in I$ with $x^k = 0$ belongs to $[I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]$. Let $\overline{x} \in I$ satisfy $x^{k+1} = 0$, and let $x = v|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x in A^{**} . Set $y := |x|^{\frac{1}{2}}v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which belongs to A. As in the proof of [\[Rob16,](#page-21-7) Lemma 2.1], one shows that $y^k = 0$. By [Lemma 3.13,](#page-11-3) we have $v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}, |x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in I^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By assumption of the induction, we have $y \in [I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]$, and thus

$$
x = \left[v|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}, |x|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] + y \in \left[I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]
$$
 as desired. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let* $I \subseteq A$ *be a semiprime ideal in a* C^* -algebra, and let $x \in I$ *be nilpotent.* Then $x \in [A, I]$.

Proof. Since I is semiprime, it is a Dixmier ideal and satisfies $I = I^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Applying [Lemma 4.4,](#page-14-0) we have

$$
x\in [I^{\frac{1}{2}},I^{\frac{1}{2}}]\subseteq [A,I^{\frac{1}{2}}]=[A,I],
$$
 as desired. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let* A *be a unital* C ∗ *-algebra that admits a unital* ∗*-homomorphism* $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$, and let $I \subseteq A$ be a two-sided ideal. The following statements *are equivalent:*

- *(1)* The ideal $I \subseteq A$ is semiprime.
- (2) We have $I = A[I, I]A$, that is, I is generated by its commutators as an *ideal in* A*.*
- *(3) We have* $I = \tilde{I}[I, I]\tilde{I}$ *, that is, I is generated by its commutators as an ideal.*
- (4) We have $I = [I, I]^2$.
- *(5)* We have $[I, I] = [[I, I], [I, I]].$

Proof. To show that (2) implies (1), assume that I is generated by $[I, I]$ as an ideal in A. We clearly have $[I, I] \subseteq I^2$, and therefore

$$
I = A[I, I]A \subseteq AI^2A = I^2.
$$

It follows that I is idempotent, and thus semiprime by [\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Theorem A].

It is clear that (4) implies (3), which in turn implies (2).

Assume that I is semiprime, and let N denote the subspace generated by the square-zero elements in I. Applying [Theorem 3.8,](#page-10-0) we have

$$
I = A[A, I]A = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} A \operatorname{FN}_2(A, I)A \subseteq ANA.
$$

Now it follows from [Theorem 4.3](#page-13-0) that

$$
I = ANA = [ANA, ANA]^2 = [I, I]^2,
$$

which verifies (4), and that

 $[I, I] = [ANA, ANA] = [[ANA,ANA], [ANA,ANA]] = [[I, I], [I, I]],$ and which verifies (5) .

Finally, assuming (5) let us verify (1). Using that $[a, xy] = [ax, y] + [ya, x]$ for $a \in A$ and $x, y \in I$, we have

$$
[A, I^2] \subseteq [AI, I] + [IA, I] \subseteq [I, I].
$$

Applying [Theorem 3.8](#page-10-0) for the ideal I^2 , we get

 $I^2 = A[A, I^2]A \subseteq A[I, I]A \subseteq A[[I, I], [I, I]]A \subseteq I^4.$

It follows that $I^2 = I^4$, and thus semiprime by [\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Theorem A].

5. Lie ideals in properly infinite C*-algebras

Let A be a C^* -algebra with a unit that is weakly divisible of degree 2, that is, that admits a unital *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$, and let $L \subseteq A$ be a (not necessarily closed) Lie ideal. We have seen in [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) that L is related to the two-sided ideal $I := A[A, L]A$. Further, by [Theorem 2.8,](#page-7-0) L is commutator equivalent to I if and only if $[A, L] = [A, [A, L]].$

This raises the question when Lie ideals L in A satisfy $[A, L] = [A, [A, L]]$. sufficient condition is that $A = Z(A) + [A, A]$, where $Z(A)$ denotes the center of A; see [Lemma 5.1.](#page-16-0) We observe in [Proposition 5.2](#page-16-1) that this condition is satisfies in a number of situations, in particular for all properly infinite C^* -algebras.

We then prove the main result of the paper: Every Lie ideal in a unital, properly infinite C^* -algebra is commutator equivalent to a (unique) two-sided ideal; see [Theorem 5.3.](#page-17-0)

Lemma 5.1. Let A be a unital C^{*}-algebra such that $A = Z(A) + [A, A]$, and let L ⊆ A *be a Lie ideal. Then*

$$
[A, L] = [A, [A, L]].
$$

Proof. The inclusion ' \supseteq ' is clear. Conversely, using the assumption at the first step, and using the Jacobi identity at the last step, we get

$$
[A, L] = [Z(A) + [A, A], L] = [[A, A], L] \subseteq [A, [A, L]],
$$

as desired. \Box

Following Winter [\[Win12\]](#page-21-13), a C^{*}-algebra A is said to be *pure* if its Cuntz semigroup $Cu(A)$ is almost unperforated and almost divisible. Pure C^* -algebras form a robust class [\[APTV24\]](#page-20-2) that includes every C^* -algebra that tensorially absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra Z, that is, $A \cong A \otimes Z$. By [\[APT18,](#page-20-11) Theorem 7.3.11], a C^* -algebra A is pure if and only if $Cu(A) \cong Cu(A) \otimes Cu(\mathcal{Z})$.

By a *quasitrace* of a C^* -algebra A we mean a lower-semicontinuous, $[0, \infty]$ -valued 2-quasitrace. By Haagerup's theorem, every bounded quasitrace on a unital, exact C^* -algebra is a trace, and this was later extended by Kirchberg, who showed that arbitrary quasitraces on exact C^* -algebras are traces.

A unital C^* -algebra A is *weakly central* if the center separates maximal ideals: Maximal ideals $M_1, M_2 \subseteq A$ satisfy $M_1 = M_2$ whenever $M_1 \cap Z(A) = M_2 \cap Z(A)$. By Vesterstrøm's theorem, A is weakly central if and only if A has the *centre-quotient property*: For every closed, two-sided ideal $I \subseteq A$, the quotient map $A \to A/I$ maps $Z(A)$ onto $Z(A/I)$.

A unital C^* -algebra A has the *Dixmier property* if for every element $a \in A$ the closed convex hull of the unitary orbit ${uau^* : u \in A \text{ unitary}}$ has nonempty intersection with the center $Z(A)$. It was shown in [\[ART17,](#page-20-12) Theorem 1.1] that this property holds if and only if A is weakly central, every simple quotient of A has at most one tracial state, and every extreme tracial state of A factors through a simple quotient. Dixmier showed that every von Neumann algebra has the property named after him.

Proposition 5.2. Let A be a unital C^{*}-algebra. Then $A = Z(A) + [A, A]$ in each *of the following cases:*

- *(1) If* A *is pure, every quasitrace on* A *is a trace, and* A *has the Dixmier property.*
- *(2) If* A *has no tracial states (in particular, if* A *is properly infinite).*
- *(3) If* A *is a von Neumann algebra.*

Proof. (1) If A has the Dixmier property, then every element in A is a Dixmier element in the sense of [\[AGR23\]](#page-20-13), and thus it follows from [\[AGR23,](#page-20-13) Lemma 4.10] that $A = Z(A) + [A, A]$.

If A is pure and every quasitrace on A is a trace, then $[A, A]$ is closed by [\[NR16,](#page-21-5) Theorem 1.1]. Combining both results, we get $A = Z(A) + [A, A]$.

(2) By [\[Pop02,](#page-21-2) Theorem 1], we have $A = [A, A]$ if (and only if) A has no tracial states.

(3) It was shown in the proof of [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Theorem 5.19] that every von Neumann algebra A satisfies $A = Z(A) + [A, A]$.

Theorem 5.3. Let A be a unital, properly infinite C^* -algebra, and let $L \subseteq A$ be a *Lie ideal. Then for the two-sided ideal* $I := A[A, L]A$ *, we have*

$$
[A, L] = [A, [A, L]] = [A, I].
$$

In particular, L *is commutator equivalent to* I*, and hence also embraced by* I*, and related to* I*.*

Further, I *is the only two-sided ideal of* A *to which* L *is related.*

Proof. Since *A* is unital and properly infinite, there exists a unital ∗-homomorphism from the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_{∞} to A; see [\[Bla06,](#page-20-7) Proposition III.1.3.3]. Further, it is well-known that \mathcal{O}_{∞} admits a unital ∗-homomorphism from $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$, using for example [Proposition 2.9](#page-7-1) and that \mathcal{O}_{∞} is a unital C^{*}-algebra of real rank zero that admits no characters. It follows that A admits a unital ∗-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A.$

We may therefore apply [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) and obtain that L is related to I , and that I is the only two-sided ideal to which L is related. Further, since A is unital and properly infinite, we have $A = [A, A]$ by Pop's theorem [\[Pop02\]](#page-21-2), and thus $[A, L] = [A, [A, L]]$ by [Lemma 5.1.](#page-16-0) It therefore follows from [Theorem 2.8](#page-7-0) that L is commutator equivalent to I (and thus also embraced by I). \Box

Corollary 5.4. *Let* A *be a unital, properly infinite* C ∗ *-algebra. Then an additive subgroup* $L \subseteq A$ *is a Lie ideal if and only if* $[A, I] \subseteq L \subseteq T([A, I])$ *for some (unique) two-sided ideal* I ⊆ A*. We get a decomposition of the family* L *of all Lie ideals in* A *as:*

$$
\mathcal{L} = \bigsqcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \{ L \subseteq A \text{ additive subgroup}: [A, I] \subseteq L \subseteq T([A, I]) \}
$$

where I *denotes the lattice of two-sided ideals in* A*.*

Remark 5.5. The proof of [Theorem 5.3](#page-17-0) can easily be adapted to show the following: In a C^* -algebra A that admits a unital *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to A$ and such that $A = Z(A) + [A, A]$, every Lie ideal L is commutator equivalent to the two-sided ideal $A|A, L|A$.

We note that a unital C^* -algebra admits no characters whenever it is pure or has no tracial stetes. Therefore, with view towards [Question 2.11](#page-8-2) and [Proposition 5.2,](#page-16-1) we expect that every Lie ideal L is commutator equivalent to $A[A, L]A$ whenever A is a unital, pure, C^* -algebra with the Dixmier property and such that every quasitrace on A is a trace, or whenever A is unital and has no tracial states.

Question 5.6. Given Lie ideals $L, M \subseteq A$ in a unital, properly infinite C^* -algebra, what is the relationship between the two-sided ideals generated by $[L, M]$, by $[A, [L, M]]$, and by $[A, L]$ and $[A, M]$?

6. Lie ideals and two-sided ideals in von Neumann algebras

In this section, we show that every (not necessarily closed) Lie ideal L in a von Neumann algebra M is commutator equivalent to the two-sided ideal $I :=$

 $M[M, L]M$, that is, $[M, L] = [M, I]$; see [Theorem 6.1.](#page-18-0) This recovers [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Theorem 5.19]. Moreover, if M has zero commutative summand, then we show that I is the only two-sided ideal to which L is related, which solves [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Problem 5.21].

Given a two-sided ideal I in a von Neumann algebra with zero commutative summand, every element in I is a sum of products of pairs of square-zero elements in $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$; see [Theorem 6.4.](#page-19-0)

Theorem 6.1. *Let* M *be a von Neumann algebra, and let* $L \subseteq M$ *be a Lie ideal. Then, for the two-sided ideal* $I := M[M, L]M$ *, we have*

$$
[M, L] = [M, [M, L]] = [M, I].
$$

In particular, L *is commutator equivalent to* I*, and hence also embraced by* I*, and related to* I*.*

If M *has zero commutative summand, then* I *is the only two-sided ideal of* M *to which* L *is related.*

Proof. Let $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ be the (unique) decomposition of M into a commutative summand M_0 and a summand M_1 that admits no characters. By [Proposition 2.9,](#page-7-1) there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to M_1$. Set

$$
L_1 := M_1 \cap L, \quad \text{and} \quad I_1 := M_1 \cap I.
$$

Then L_1 is a Lie ideal in M_1 , and we have

$$
[M,L] = [M_1, L_1],
$$
 and $I = I_1 = M_1[M_1, L_1]M_1.$

We may apply [Theorem 2.7](#page-6-0) for the Lie ideal L_1 in M_1 and obtain that L_1 is related to I_1 , and that I_1 is the only two-sided ideal of M_1 to which L_1 is related. It follows that L is related to I , and if M_0 is zero, then I is also unique with this property. (If M_0 is nonzero, then $M_0 \oplus I$ is another two-sided ideal of M to which L is related.)

As shown in the proof of [\[BKS08,](#page-20-4) Theorem 5.19], we have $M = Z(M) + [M, M]$. It follows that $[M, L] = [M, [M, L]]$ by [Lemma 5.1,](#page-16-0) and then

$$
[M,L] = [M,[M,L]] = [M,I].
$$

by [Theorem 2.8.](#page-7-0) \Box

Recall that $N_2(I)$ denotes the square-zero elements, and we use $Nil(I)$ to denote the set of nilpotent elements in an ideal I. Recall the definition of $\text{FN}_2(M, I)$ from [Definition 3.1.](#page-8-1)

Proposition 6.2. *Let* $I \subseteq M$ *be a two-sided ideal in a von Neumann algebra. Then*

$$
[M, I] = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} N_2(I) = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} Nil(I) = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} FN_2(M, I).
$$

Proof. Let $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ denote the (unique) decomposition of M into a commutative summand M_0 and a summand M_1 that admits no characters. Then

$$
[M, I] = [M_1, M_1 \cap I], \quad N_2(I) = N_2(M_1 \cap I),
$$

$$
\text{Nil}(I) = \text{Nil}(M_1 \cap I), \text{ and } \text{FN}_2(M, I) = \text{FN}_2(M_1, M_1 \cap I),
$$

which allows us to reduce the problem to studying the ideal $M_1 \cap I$ in M_1 .

Without loss of generality, we may therefore assume that M has zero commutative summand. Then M admits a unital *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to M$ by [Proposition 2.9.](#page-7-1) Using [Theorem 3.8](#page-10-0) at the first step, we get

$$
[M, I] = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{FN}_2(M, I) \subseteq \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} N_2(I) \subseteq \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} Nil(I).
$$

It remains to show that $Nil(I) \subseteq [M, I]$. As in the proof of [Lemma 4.4](#page-14-0) (and [\[Rob16,](#page-21-7) Lemma 2.1]), we proceed by induction on the degree of nilpotency. To start, let $x \in I$ be a square-zero element. Let $x = v|x|$ be the polar decomposition in M. Then $|x| = v^*x \in I$. Since $x^2 = 0$, we have $|x|v = 0$, and thus

$$
x = [v, |x|] \in [M, I].
$$

Next, assume that for some $k \geq 2$ we have shown that every element $x \in I$ with $x^k = 0$ belongs to [M, I]. Let $x \in I$ satisfy $x^{k+1} = 0$. Again, let $x = v|x|$ be the polar decomposition in M. We will show that the element $y := |x|v$ satisfies $y^k = 0$. Since $x^{k+1} = 0$ and $|x| = v^*v|x|$, we have

$$
y^{k}|x| = |x|v \cdots |x|v|x| = v^{*}v|x|v \cdots |x|v|x| = v^{*}x^{k+1} = 0.
$$

For every polynomial p with vanishing constant term it follows that $y^k p(|x|) = 0$. Using functional calculus, we get $y^k|x|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for every $n \geq 1$. The sequence $(|x|^{\frac{1}{n}})_{n}$ converges in the weak^{*}-topology of M to v^*v , which is the support projection of |x|. We deduce that $y^k v^* v = 0$. Using that $v = v v^* v$, we get

$$
y^{k} = y^{k-1}|x|v = y^{k-1}|x|vv^*v = y^kv^*v = 0.
$$

By assumption of the induction, we have $y \in [M, I]$, and thus

$$
x = [v, |x|] + |x|v \in [M, I],
$$

as desired. \Box

Remark 6.3. Let H be a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let I be a two-sided ideal in the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Given a nilpotent element $x \in I$, it follows from [Proposition 6.2](#page-18-1) that $x \in [\mathcal{B}(H), I]$, that is, x is a finite sum of commutators of an element in $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and an element in I. Dykema and Krishnaswamy-Usha showed in [\[DKU18,](#page-20-14) Proposition 3.1] that one summand suffices, that is, we have $x = [y, z]$ for some $y \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $z \in I$. Does their result hold for nilpotent elements in two-sided ideals in arbitrary von Neumann algebras?

Theorem 6.4. *Let* M *be a von Neumann algebra with zero commutative summand,* and let $I \subseteq M$ be a two-sided ideal. Then

$$
I=\left[M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^2=\left[I^{\frac{1}{4}},I^{\frac{1}{4}}\right]^2,
$$

and

$$
[M,I]\subseteq \big[[M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}],[M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}]\big]\subseteq \big[[I^{\frac{1}{4}},I^{\frac{1}{4}}],[I^{\frac{1}{4}},I^{\frac{1}{4}}]\big]\subseteq [I^{\frac{1}{2}},I^{\frac{1}{2}}].
$$

Proof. We will use that I is a Dixmier ideal, since two-sided ideals in von Neumann algebras are automatically Dixmier ideals ([\[GKT23,](#page-20-9) Proposition 3.4]). Using [Proposition 6.2](#page-18-1) at the first and last step, and using [Lemma 4.2](#page-13-1) at the second step, we have

$$
[M,I]=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\operatorname{N}_2(I)\subseteq\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left[\operatorname{N}_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}}),\operatorname{N}_2(I^{\frac{1}{2}})\right]=\left[[M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}],[M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}]\right].
$$

Using [Lemma 4.1,](#page-13-2) we then get

$$
[M,I]\subseteq \big[[M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}],[M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}]\big]\subseteq \big[[I^{\frac{1}{4}},I^{\frac{1}{4}}],[I^{\frac{1}{4}},I^{\frac{1}{4}}]\big]\subseteq \big[I^{\frac{1}{2}},I^{\frac{1}{2}}\big]
$$

By [Proposition 2.9,](#page-7-1) there is a unital *-homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \to M$. We can therefore apply [Theorem 3.14](#page-12-0) at the first step, and together with the above we obtain that

$$
I=[M,I]+[M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2\subseteq [M,I^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2.
$$

Applying [Lemma 4.1](#page-13-2) for the Dixmier ideal $I^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and using [Theorem 3.12](#page-11-2) at the last step, we get

$$
I \subseteq \left[M, I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^2 \subseteq \left[I^{\frac{1}{4}}, I^{\frac{1}{4}}\right]^2 \subseteq \left(I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 = I,
$$
 as desired. \square

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

Remark 6.5. It is likely that the inclusions involving $[M, I]$ in [Theorem 6.4](#page-19-0) are equalities. The key question is whether $[I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ is a subset of $[M, I]$. Using that I is a Dixmier ideal and therefore admits a well-behaved theory of roots and powers [\(Theorem 3.12\)](#page-11-2), we have

$$
\left[I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] = \left[I^{\frac{1}{4}} I^{\frac{1}{4}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \subseteq \left[I^{\frac{1}{4}}, I^{\frac{1}{4}} I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] + \left[I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}} I^{\frac{1}{4}}\right] = \left[I^{\frac{1}{4}}, I^{\frac{3}{4}}\right],
$$

and by an analogous argument $[I^{\frac{1}{4}}, I^{\frac{3}{4}}] \subseteq [I^{\frac{1}{8}}, I^{\frac{7}{8}}]$. Inductively, it follows that $[I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}] \subseteq [I^{\frac{1}{2^n}}, I^{\frac{2^n-1}{2^n}}]$ for every $n \geq 1$, and thus

$$
\left[I^{\frac{1}{2}},I^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\subseteq\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\left[I^{\frac{1}{2^n}},I^{\frac{2^n-1}{2^n}}\right]\subseteq\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0}\left[M,I^{1-\varepsilon}\right].
$$

It is, however, not clear if $\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} [M, I^{1-\varepsilon}] = [M, I].$

For two-sided ideals $I, J \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$, it was shown in [\[DFWW04,](#page-20-15) Theorem 5.10] that $[\mathcal{B}(H), IJ] = [I, J]$. In particular, we have $[\mathcal{B}(H), I] = [I^{\frac{1}{2}}, I^{\frac{1}{2}}]$, and we obtain the following consequence of [Theorem 6.4:](#page-19-0)

Corollary 6.6. *Let* H *be a separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let* I *be a two-sided ideal in* B(H)*. Then*

$$
[\mathcal{B}(H),I]=\big[[\mathcal{B}(H),I^{\frac{1}{2}}],[\mathcal{B}(H),I^{\frac{1}{2}}]\big]=\big[[I^{\frac{1}{4}},I^{\frac{1}{4}}],[I^{\frac{1}{4}},I^{\frac{1}{4}}]\big]=\big[I^{\frac{1}{2}},I^{\frac{1}{2}}\big].
$$

Question 6.7. Let $I, J \subseteq M$ be two-sided ideals in a von Neumann algebra. Do we have $[M, IJ] = [I, J]$?

REFERENCES

- [AGEP24] T. AMRUTAM, D. GAO, S. K. ELAYAVALLI, and G. PATCHELL, Strict comparison in reduced group C^* -algebras, preprint (arXiv:2412.06031), 2024. [APT18] R. ANTOINE, F. PERERA, and H. THIEL, Tensor products and regularity properties
- of Cuntz semigroups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (2018), viii+191.
- [APTV24] R. Antoine, F. Perera, H. Thiel, and E. Vilalta, Pure C∗-algebras, preprint (arXiv:2406.11052 [math.OA]), 2024.
- [ART17] R. ARCHBOLD, L. ROBERT, and A. TIKUISIS, The Dixmier property and tracial states for C^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **273** (2017), 2655–2718.
- [AGR23] R. J. ARCHBOLD, I. GOGIĆ, and L. ROBERT, Local variants of the Dixmier property and weak centrality for C^* -algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2023), 1483-1513.
- [Bla06] B. Blackadar, Operator algebras, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 122, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Theory of C∗-algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III.
- [BKKO17] E. Breuillard, M. Kalantar, M. Kennedy, and N. Ozawa, C∗-simplicity and the unique trace property for discrete groups, $Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 126$ (2017), 35–71.
- [Bre21] M. BREŠAR, Zero product determined algebras, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [BKS08] M. BREŠAR, E. KISSIN, and V. S. SHULMAN, Lie ideals: from pure algebra to C^* algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 623 (2008), 73–121.
- [CP79] J. Cuntz and G. K. Pedersen, Equivalence and traces on C∗-algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 33 (1979), 135–164.
- [DFWW04] K. DYKEMA, T. FIGIEL, G. WEISS, and M. WODZICKI, Commutator structure of operator ideals, Adv. Math. 185 (2004), 1–79.
- [DKU18] K. Dykema and A. Krishnaswamy-Usha, Nilpotent elements of operator ideals as single commutators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (2018), 3031–3037.
- [FMS82] C. K. Fong, C. R. Miers, and A. R. Sourour, Lie and Jordan ideals of operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (1982), 516–520.
- [GKT23] E. GARDELLA, K. KITAMURA, and H. THIEL, Semiprime ideals in C^{*}-algebras, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (to appear), preprint (arXiv:2311.17480), 2023.
- [GLT24] E. GARDELLA, T.-K. LEE, and H. THIEL, Fully noncentral Lie ideals and invariant additive subgroups in rings, preprint (arXiv:2409.03362), 2024.
- [GT23] E. GARDELLA and H. THIEL, Zero-product balanced algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 670 (2023), 121–153.

22 HANNES THIEL

Hannes Thiel, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg SE-412 96, Sweden. Email address: hannes.thiel@chalmers.se

URL: www.hannesthiel.org