

## IDENTITIES IN THE MONOIDS OF TRIANGULAR BOOLEAN MATRICES

MIKHAIL V. VOLKOV

ABSTRACT. We give a combinatorial characterization of the identities holding in the monoid of all upper triangular Boolean  $n \times n$ -matrices.

A *Boolean* matrix is a matrix with entries 0 and 1 only. The addition and multiplication of such matrices are as usual, except that addition and multiplication of the entries are defined as  $x + y := \max\{x, y\}$  and  $x \cdot y := \min\{x, y\}$ . A Boolean  $n \times n$ -matrix  $(\alpha_{ij})$  is called *upper triangular* if  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ . The set  $T_n$  of all upper triangular Boolean  $n \times n$ -matrices forms a monoid under matrix multiplication. We aim to characterize the identities of the monoid  $T_n$ . The monoid  $T_1$  is the two-element semilattice, and it is well known that an identity  $\mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{w}'$  holds in the two-element semilattice if and only if the same variables occur in the words  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$ . So, we assume that  $n > 1$  in the sequel.

Denote by  $U_n$  the set of all *unitriangular* matrices in  $T_n$ , that is,

$$U_n := \{(\alpha_{ij}) \in T_n \mid \alpha_{ii} = 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Clearly,  $U_n$  is a submonoid of  $T_n$ . A known characterization of the identities of this submonoid involves the following concept from combinatorics on words.

Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a word of length  $k$  and for  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $u_\ell$  be the  $\ell$ -th from the left variable of  $\mathbf{u}$  so that  $\mathbf{u} = u_1 \cdots u_k$ . We say that  $\mathbf{u}$  occurs as a *scattered subword* in a word  $\mathbf{v}$  if there are words  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}$  (some of which may be empty) with

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 u_1 \mathbf{v}_2 \cdots \mathbf{v}_k u_k \mathbf{v}_{k+1}.$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{u}$  as a sequence of variables is a subsequence in  $\mathbf{v}$ . We refer to any decomposition of the form (1) as an *occurrence* of  $\mathbf{u}$  as a scattered subword in  $\mathbf{v}$ .

The following characterization of the identities of the monoid  $U_n$  comes from [6].

**Proposition 1.** *An identity holds in the monoid  $U_n$  with  $n > 1$  if and only if the same words of length less than  $n$  occur as scattered subwords in both sides of the identity.*

Since every identity of the monoid  $T_n$  holds in the submonoid  $U_n$ , scattered subwords of length less than  $n$  occurring in the left-hand and right-hand sides of any identity of  $T_n$  must coincide. The converse is not true; for instance, the words  $x^{n-1}$  and  $x^n$  have the same scattered subwords of length less than  $n$ , but the identity  $x^{n-1} \simeq x^n$  fails in  $T_n$  as one sees if substituting for  $x$  the  $n \times n$ -matrix

---

Institute of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Ural Federal University 620000 Ekaterinburg, Russia  
E-mail address: m.v.volkov@urfu.ru.

Supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (project FEUZ-2023-0022).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = e_{12} + e_{23} + \cdots + e_{n-1n},$$

where  $e_{ij}$  denotes the  $(i, j)$ -th *matrix unit*, i.e., the matrix with 1 in the position  $(i, j)$  and 0 in all other positions. Hence, a characterization of the identities of the monoid  $T_n$  inevitably requires a further selection among identities whose sides share the same scattered subwords of length less than  $n$ .

The set of all variables that occur in a non-empty word  $\mathbf{w}$  is denoted by  $\text{alph}(\mathbf{w})$ . If  $\mathbf{w}$  is the empty word, then we define  $\text{alph}(\mathbf{w})$  to be the empty set.

Let  $\mathbf{u} = u_1 \cdots u_k$ , where  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  are variables, be a word and let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{k+1}$  be a sequence of sets of variables (some of which may be empty). We say that  $\mathbf{u}$  occurs in a word  $\mathbf{v}$  with *gaps*  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{k+1}$  if there is an occurrence (1) of  $\mathbf{u}$  as a scattered subword in  $\mathbf{v}$  such that  $\text{alph}(\mathbf{v}_\ell) = G_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *An identity holds in the monoid  $T_n$  with  $n > 1$  if and only if every word of length  $k < n$  that occurs in one side of the identity with gaps  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{k+1}$ , occurs in the other side with gaps  $G'_1, G'_2, \dots, G'_{k+1}$  such that  $G'_\ell \subseteq G_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$ .*

Back to our example of the identity  $x^{n-1} \simeq x^n$ , Theorem 1 explains why this identity fails in  $T_n$ . The word  $x^{n-1}$  occurs as a scattered subword of itself in a unique way, with all  $n+1$  gaps being empty. On the other hand, there are  $n+1$  occurrences of  $x^{n-1}$  as a scattered subword of  $x^n$ , but each of these occurrences has one non-empty gap so the condition of Theorem 1 is violated.

*Proof of Theorem 1. Necessity.* Let  $\mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{w}'$  be an identity valid in the monoid  $T_n$ . Then  $\mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{w}'$  also holds in the submonoid  $U_n$ , and by Proposition 1, the same words of length less than  $n$  occur as scattered subwords in  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$ . In particular, the same words of length 1, that is, the same variables, occur in  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$  whence  $\text{alph}(\mathbf{w}) = \text{alph}(\mathbf{w}')$ .

Let a word  $\mathbf{u} = u_1 \cdots u_k$ , where  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  are variables and  $k < n$ , be one of the common scattered subwords in  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$ . Fix an occurrence of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}$  and let  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{k+1}$  be the gaps corresponding to this occurrence. We define a substitution  $\varphi: \text{alph}(\mathbf{w}) \rightarrow T_n$  by letting for each variable  $x \in \text{alph}(\mathbf{w})$ ,

$$(2) \quad x\varphi := \sum_{x \in G_\ell} e_{\ell\ell} + \sum_{x=u_\ell} e_{\ell\ell+1}.$$

The rule (2) just says that the matrix  $x\varphi$  has 1s in the main diagonal and the superdiagonal only, the superdiagonal entry  $(x\varphi)_{\ell\ell+1}$  is equal to 1 if and only if  $x$  appears in the  $\ell$ -th from the left position of the word  $\mathbf{u}$  while the diagonal entry  $(x\varphi)_{\ell\ell}$  is equal to 1 if and only if  $x$  appears in the gap  $G_\ell$  of the chosen occurrence of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}$ . For instance, if  $k = 3$  and  $n = 4$ , say, and  $x$  appears in the word  $\mathbf{w}$  as shown by the arrows in the following scheme:

$$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_1 \overset{x}{\downarrow} u_1 \mathbf{w}_2 \overset{x}{\downarrow} u_2 \mathbf{w}_3 \overset{x}{\downarrow} \overset{x}{\downarrow} u_3 \mathbf{w}_3,$$

then

$$x\varphi = e_{11} + e_{23} + e_{34} + e_{44} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Write the word  $\mathbf{w}$  as a product of variables,  $\mathbf{w} = w_1 \cdots w_m$ , and let  $w_s \varphi = (\alpha_{ij}^{(s)})$  for each  $s = 1, \dots, m$ . Then we get the following expansion of the entry of the matrix  $\mathbf{w}\varphi$  in the position  $(1, k+1)$ :

$$(3) \quad (\mathbf{w}\varphi)_{1k+1} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{m-1} \leq k+1} \alpha_{1j_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{j_1 j_2}^{(2)} \cdots \alpha_{j_{m-1} k+1}^{(m)}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{u} = u_1 \cdots u_k$  occurs in  $\mathbf{w}$  with the gaps  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{k+1}$ , there exists a sequence  $1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_k \leq m$  such that  $u_\ell = w_{s_\ell}$  for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ , and moreover, if we let  $s_0 := 0$  and  $s_{k+1} := m+1$ , then  $\text{alph}(w_{s_{\ell-1}+1} \cdots w_{s_\ell-1}) = G_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, k+1$ . Then the rule (2) implies that all factors in the product

$$\alpha_{11}^{(1)} \cdots \alpha_{11}^{(s_1-1)} \alpha_{12}^{(s_1)} \alpha_{22}^{(s_1+1)} \cdots \alpha_{22}^{(s_2-1)} \alpha_{23}^{(s_2)} \cdots \\ \cdots \alpha_{kk}^{(s_{k-1}+1)} \cdots \alpha_{kk}^{(s_k-1)} \alpha_{kk+1}^{(s_k)} \alpha_{k+1 k+1}^{(s_k+1)} \cdots \alpha_{k+1 k+1}^{(m)}$$

are equal to 1, whence the product itself equals 1 and so does the sum in the right-hand side of (3) of which this product is one of the summands. Thus,  $(\mathbf{w}\varphi)_{1k+1} = 1$ .

Since the identity  $\mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{w}'$  holds in  $T_n$ , we have  $\mathbf{w}\varphi = \mathbf{w}'\varphi$  whence  $(\mathbf{w}'\varphi)_{1k+1} = 1$ . Write the word  $\mathbf{w}'$  as a product of variables,  $\mathbf{w}' = w'_1 \cdots w'_{m'}$ , and let  $w'_t \varphi = (\beta_{ij}^{(t)})$  for each  $t = 1, \dots, m'$ . The entry of the matrix  $\mathbf{w}'\varphi$  in the position  $(1, k+1)$  expresses as:

$$(\mathbf{w}'\varphi)_{1k+1} = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{m'-1} \leq k+1} \beta_{1j_1}^{(1)} \beta_{j_1 j_2}^{(2)} \cdots \beta_{j_{m'-1} k+1}^{(m')}.$$

For this sum to be equal to 1, there must be a summand equal to 1. Consider some indices  $j_1, \dots, j_{m'-1}$  such that

$$(4) \quad \beta_{1j_1}^{(1)} \beta_{j_1 j_2}^{(2)} \cdots \beta_{j_{m'-1} k+1}^{(m')} = 1.$$

By the rule (2), all matrices of the form  $x\varphi$  have 1s in the main diagonal and the super-diagonal only, whence  $\beta_{ij}^{(t)} = 0$  whenever  $j \neq i, i+1$ . Therefore all strict inequalities in the sequence  $j_0 := 1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{m'-1} \leq k+1 =: j_{m'}$  must be of the form  $j_r < j_{r+1} = j_r + 1$ . To ascend from  $j_0 = 1$  to  $j_{m'} = k+1$ , one needs exactly  $k$  steps from  $j_r$  to  $j_{r+1} = j_r + 1$ . Let  $t_\ell$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ , denote the position of the  $\ell$ -th from the left such step. We see that for the equality (4) to hold, the product  $\beta_{1j_1}^{(1)} \beta_{j_1 j_2}^{(2)} \cdots \beta_{j_{m'-1} k+1}^{(m')}$  must have the form

$$(5) \quad \beta_{11}^{(1)} \cdots \beta_{11}^{(t_1-1)} \beta_{12}^{(t_1)} \beta_{22}^{(t_1+1)} \cdots \beta_{22}^{(t_2-1)} \beta_{23}^{(t_2)} \cdots \\ \cdots \beta_{kk}^{(t_{k-1}+1)} \cdots \beta_{kk}^{(t_k-1)} \beta_{kk+1}^{(t_k)} \beta_{k+1 k+1}^{(t_k+1)} \cdots \beta_{k+1 k+1}^{(m')}$$

with all factors equal to 1. By the rule (2), the equality  $\beta_{\ell\ell+1}^{(t_\ell)} = 1$  is only possible if  $w'_{t_\ell} = u_\ell$ . This fixes an occurrence of the word  $\mathbf{u} = u_1 \cdots u_k$  as a scattered subword of the word  $\mathbf{w}'$ . For convenience of notation, let  $t_0 := 0$  and  $t_{k+1} := m' + 1$ . Now for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, k+1$ , consider the segment  $\beta_{\ell\ell}^{(t_{\ell-1}+1)} \cdots \beta_{\ell\ell}^{(t_\ell-1)}$  of the product (5), that is, the  $\ell$ -th of the maximal segments of (5) whose entries come from the main diagonals of the matrices  $w'_t \varphi$ . The segment  $\beta_{\ell\ell}^{(t_{\ell-1}+1)} \cdots \beta_{\ell\ell}^{(t_\ell-1)}$  corresponds to the factor  $w'_{t_{\ell-1}+1} \cdots w'_{t_\ell-1}$  of the word  $\mathbf{w}'$ . If  $G'_\ell := \text{alph}(w'_{t_{\ell-1}+1} \cdots w'_{t_\ell-1})$ , then the sets  $G'_1, G'_2, \dots, G'_{k+1}$  constitute the gaps corresponding to the occurrence of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}'$  that we just fixed. We have  $\beta_{\ell\ell}^{(t_\ell)} = 1$  for all  $t_{\ell-1} < t < t_\ell$  but by the rule (2), this is only possible if all variables  $w'_{t_{\ell-1}+1}, \dots, w'_{t_\ell-1}$  belong to the set  $G_\ell$ . Hence,  $G'_\ell \subseteq G_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, k+1$ .

*Sufficiency.* Take two words  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$  with the property that every word of length  $k < n$  that occurs in one of these words with gaps  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{k+1}$ , occurs in the other word with gaps  $G'_1, G'_2, \dots, G'_{k+1}$  such that  $G'_\ell \subseteq G_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$ . Applying this property to variables, that is, scattered subwords of length 1, we see that the words  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$  involve the same variables, that is,  $\text{alph}(\mathbf{w}) = \text{alph}(\mathbf{w}')$ .

We aim to show that the identity  $\mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{w}'$  holds in the monoid  $T_n$  which means that for every substitution  $\varphi: \text{alph}(\mathbf{w}) \rightarrow T_n$  one has  $\mathbf{w}\varphi = \mathbf{w}'\varphi$ . The matrices  $\mathbf{w}\varphi$  and  $\mathbf{w}'\varphi$  are upper triangular, so we only need to show that

$$(\mathbf{w}\varphi)_{pq} = (\mathbf{w}'\varphi)_{pq}$$

for all  $p, q$  such that  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq n$ . By symmetry, it suffices to verify that

$$(\star) \quad (\mathbf{w}'\varphi)_{pq} = 1 \text{ whenever } (\mathbf{w}\varphi)_{pq} = 1.$$

Write the word  $\mathbf{w}$  as a product of variables,  $\mathbf{w} = w_1 \cdots w_m$ , and let  $w_s \varphi = (\alpha_{ij}^{(s)})$  for each  $s = 1, \dots, m$ . Clearly, for each  $p = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$(\mathbf{w}\varphi)_{pp} = \prod_{1 \leq s \leq m} \alpha_{pp}^{(s)},$$

and therefore,  $(\mathbf{w}\varphi)_{pp} = 1$  implies  $(x\varphi)_{pp} = 1$  for each variable  $x \in \text{alph}(\mathbf{w})$ . Then the equality  $\text{alph}(\mathbf{w}) = \text{alph}(\mathbf{w}')$  ensures  $(\mathbf{w}'\varphi)_{pp} = 1$ .

Thus, when verifying the claim  $(\star)$ , we may assume that  $p < q$ . Then

$$(\mathbf{w}\varphi)_{pq} = \sum_{p \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{m-1} \leq q} \alpha_{pj_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{j_1 j_2}^{(2)} \cdots \alpha_{j_{m-1} q}^{(m)},$$

and since the sum on the right hand side is equal to 1, so is one of its summands. Let the product  $\alpha_{pj_1}^{(1)} \alpha_{j_1 j_2}^{(2)} \cdots \alpha_{j_{m-1} q}^{(m)}$  be such a summand. For convenience of notation, let  $j_0 := p$  and  $j_m := q$ . Since  $p < q$ , among the inequalities  $j_0 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{m-1} \leq j_m$ , there must be some strict ones. Let  $j_{s_1-1} < j_{s_1}$ ,  $j_{s_2-1} < j_{s_2}$ ,  $j_{s_k-1} < j_{s_k}$  be all such strict inequalities and let  $d_\ell := j_{s_\ell} - j_{s_\ell-1}$  for each  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ . Then the chosen product can be written as

$$(6) \quad \alpha_{pp}^{(1)} \cdots \alpha_{pp}^{(s_1-1)} \alpha_{p \ p+d_1}^{(s_1)} \alpha_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1}^{(s_1+1)} \cdots \alpha_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1}^{(s_2-1)} \alpha_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1+d_2}^{(s_2)} \cdots \\ \cdots \alpha_{q-d_k \ q-d_k}^{(s_{k-1}+1)} \cdots \alpha_{q-d_k \ q-d_k}^{(s_k)} \alpha_{q-d_k \ q}^{(s_k+1)} \cdots \alpha_{qq}^{(m)}.$$

Since the product is equal to 1, so are all its factors. In particular,

$$(7) \quad \alpha_{p \ p+d_1}^{(s_1)} = \alpha_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1+d_2}^{(s_2)} = \cdots = \alpha_{q-d_k \ q}^{(s_k)} = 1.$$

Consider the scattered subword  $\mathbf{u} := w_{s_1} w_{s_2} \cdots w_{s_k}$  of the word  $\mathbf{w}$ . The length  $k$  of  $\mathbf{u}$  is strictly less than  $n$ ; indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} 1 \leq \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} d_\ell && \text{(since } d_\ell \geq 1 \text{ for each } \ell) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq k} (j_{s_\ell} - j_{s_\ell-1}) && \text{(by the definition of } d_\ell) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq s \leq m} (j_s - j_{s-1}) && \text{(we added zero summands to get a telescopic sum)} \\ &= j_m - j_0 = q - p \leq n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The word  $\mathbf{u}$  occurs in  $\mathbf{w}$  with gaps  $G_\ell := \text{alph}(\prod_{s_{\ell-1} < s < s_\ell} w_s)$ , where  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$  and we set  $s_0 := 0$  and  $s_{k+1} := m+1$  so that for  $\ell = 1$  and  $\ell = m+1$ , the expression  $\prod_{s_{\ell-1} < s < s_\ell} w_s$  represents the prefix  $w_1 \cdots w_{s_1-1}$  and, respectively, the suffix  $w_{s_k+1} \cdots w_m$  of

the word  $\mathbf{w}$ . By the condition of our theorem,  $\mathbf{u}$  occurs in  $\mathbf{w}'$  with gaps  $G'_1, G'_2, \dots, G'_{k+1}$  such that  $G'_\ell \subseteq G_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$ . Fix an occurrence of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}'$  with these gaps. Write the word  $\mathbf{w}'$  as a product of variables,  $\mathbf{w}' = w'_1 \cdots w'_{m'}$ , and let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k$  be the positions corresponding to the chosen occurrence of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}'$ . This means that, first,  $w'_{t_\ell} = w_{s_\ell}$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and second,  $G'_\ell := \text{alph}(\prod_{t_{\ell-1} < t < t_\ell} w'_t) \subseteq G_\ell$ , where  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$  and, similarly to the above, we set  $t_0 := 0$  and  $t_{k+1} := m' + 1$  in order to accommodate all gaps in a uniform expression, including the extremes  $G'_1$  and  $G'_{k+1}$ . In the below scheme, the words  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$  are aligned at their common scattered subword  $\mathbf{u}$ , with both gap sequences explicitly written down.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
G_1 = \text{alph}(w_1 \cdots w_{s_1-1}) & & G_2 = \text{alph}(w_{s_1+1} \cdots w_{s_2-1}) & & G_k = \text{alph}(w_{s_k+1} \cdots w_m) \\
\overbrace{w_1 \cdots w_{s_1-1}} & \cdot & w_{s_1} & \cdot & \overbrace{w_{s_1+1} \cdots w_{s_2-1}} & \cdot & w_{s_2} & \cdots & w_{s_k} & \cdot & \overbrace{w_{s_k+1} \cdots w_m} \\
& & \updownarrow & & & & \updownarrow & & \cdots & & \updownarrow \\
\overbrace{w'_1 \cdots w'_{t_1-1}} & \cdot & w'_{t_1} & \cdot & \overbrace{w'_{t_1+1} \cdots w'_{t_2-1}} & \cdot & w'_{t_2} & \cdots & w'_{t_k} & \cdot & \overbrace{w'_{t_k+1} \cdots w'_{m'}} \\
G'_1 = \text{alph}(w'_1 \cdots w'_{t_1-1}) & & & & G'_2 = \text{alph}(w'_{t_1+1} \cdots w'_{t_2-1}) & & & & & & G'_k = \text{alph}(w'_{t_k+1} \cdots w'_{m'})
\end{array}$$

Let  $w'_t \varphi = (\beta_{ij}^{(t)})$  for each  $t = 1, \dots, m'$  and consider the product

$$(8) \quad \beta_{pp}^{(1)} \cdots \beta_{pp}^{(t_1-1)} \beta_{p \ p+d_1}^{(t_1)} \beta_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1}^{(t_1+1)} \cdots \beta_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1}^{(t_2-1)} \beta_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1+d_2}^{(t_2)} \cdots \\
\cdots \beta_{q-d_k \ q-d_k}^{(t_{k-1}+1)} \cdots \beta_{q-d_k \ q-d_k}^{(t_{k-1}-1)} \beta_{q-d_k \ q}^{(t_k)} \beta_{qq}^{(t_k+1)} \cdots \beta_{qq}^{(m')},$$

that appears as one of the summands in the expansion of  $(\mathbf{w}'\varphi)_{pq}$  via entries of the matrices  $(\beta_{ij}^{(t)})$ ,  $t = 1, \dots, m'$ . We aim to show that the product (8) is equal to 1, which will yield the desired equality  $(\mathbf{w}'\varphi)_{pq} = 1$ .

The equalities  $w'_{t_\ell} = w_{s_\ell}$  imply the matrix equalities  $(\beta_{ij}^{(t_\ell)}) = (\alpha_{ij}^{(s_\ell)})$  for all  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Hence, from (7) we get

$$\beta_{p \ p+d_1}^{(t_1)} = \beta_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1+d_2}^{(t_2)} = \cdots = \beta_{q-d_k \ q}^{(t_k)} = 1,$$

that is, all factors of the product (8) that are not on the main diagonals of the matrices involved in the product are equal to 1. It remains to understand why the factors from the main diagonals are also equal to 1.

From the fact that the product (6) is equal to 1, we conclude that if  $x$  is a variable belonging to the gap  $G_\ell$ , then  $(x\varphi)_{jj} = 1$  for every  $j_{s_{\ell-1}} \leq j \leq j_{s_\ell-1}$  and  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$  (recall that we have set  $s_0 = 0$  and  $s_{k+1} = m+1$  while  $j_0 = p$  and  $j_m = q$  so that  $j_{s_0} = j_0 = p$  and  $j_{s_{k+1}-1} = j_m = q$ ). Since  $G'_\ell \subseteq G_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$  and  $G'_\ell = \text{alph}(\prod_{t_{\ell-1} < t < t_\ell} w'_t)$ , we see that every variable  $w'_t$  with  $t_{\ell-1} < t < t_\ell$  belongs to  $G_\ell$ . Hence  $(w'_t\varphi)_{jj} = \beta_{jj}^{(t)} = 1$  for all  $t_{\ell-1} < t < t_\ell$  and  $j_{t_{\ell-1}} \leq j \leq j_{t_\ell-1}$ . In the notation used in (8), this means  $\beta_{pp}^{(t)} = 1$  for  $t = 1, \dots, t_1 - 1$ ,  $\beta_{p+d_1 \ p+d_1}^{(t)} = 1$  for  $t = t_1 + 1, \dots, t_2 - 1, \dots$ ,  $\beta_{qq}^{(t)} = 1$  for  $t = t_k + 1, \dots, m'$ . Thus, all factors in the product (8) are equal to 1 whence  $(\mathbf{w}'\varphi)_{pq} = 1$  as required.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* Theorem 1 easily implies that every identity  $\mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{w}'$  holding in the monoid  $T_n$  with  $n > 1$  satisfies the following condition:

( $\exists$ ) for every common scattered subword  $\mathbf{u}$  of  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$  of length  $k < n$ , there exist occurrences of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$  with the same gaps.

Indeed, let  $\mathbf{u}$  occur in  $\mathbf{w}$  with gaps  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{k+1}$ . Then, by Theorem 1,  $\mathbf{u}$  must occur in  $\mathbf{w}'$  with gaps  $G'_1, G'_2, \dots, G'_{k+1}$  such that  $G'_\ell \subseteq G_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$ . If any of the

inclusions  $G'_\ell \subseteq G_\ell$  is strict, we apply the theorem to this occurrence of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}'$  and get an occurrence of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}$  with  $G''_1, G''_2, \dots, G''_{k+1}$  such that  $G''_\ell \subseteq G'_\ell$  for each  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$ , and so on. Since all gaps are finite sets, this “tying shoes” process eventually stops at some occurrences of  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$  with the same gaps.

However, the condition  $(\exists=)$  is not sufficient for an identity to hold in the monoid  $T_n$ . For instance, the identity  $xyx^2yx \simeq xyxyx$  fails in  $T_3$  as the substitution

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

reveals. At the same time, a direct inspection shows that the identity satisfies  $(\exists=)$ .

On the other hand, the stronger condition

$(\forall=)$  if a scattered subword  $\mathbf{u}$  of length  $k < n$  occurs in one of the words  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$  with some gaps, then  $\mathbf{u}$  occurs in the other word with the same gaps,

which is sufficient for  $\mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{w}'$  to hold in  $T_n$  by Theorem 1, is not necessary. For instance, the identity  $x^2yx \simeq xyx$  is easily seen to hold in the monoid  $T_2$  and at the same time, the word  $x$  of length 1 occurs with the gaps  $\{x\}, \{x, y\}$  in the word  $x^2yx = x \cdot \underline{x} \cdot yx$ , but not in the word  $xyx$ .

These observations indicate that relating gaps by inclusions rather than equalities in Theorem 1 is essential.

*Remark 2.* Let  $n \leq m$  be two positive integers. The number of all possible occurrences of scattered subwords of length less than  $n$  in a fixed word of length  $m$  is

$$\binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \dots + \binom{m}{n-1},$$

which is a polynomial of  $m$ . Therefore, given an arbitrary identity  $\mathbf{w} \simeq \mathbf{w}'$ , the condition of Theorem 1 can be checked in time polynomial in the size of the identity, that is, the sum of the lengths of the words  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\mathbf{w}'$ . Thus, for any fixed  $n$ , Theorem 1 leads to a polynomial time algorithm to decide if an identity holds in the monoid of all upper triangular Boolean  $n \times n$ -matrices. Observe that there are numerous examples of finite monoids (and even finite groups) for which the existence of such a polynomial time algorithm is incompatible with the standard assumptions of complexity theory (such as  $P \neq \text{co-NP}$ ); we refer the reader to [1] and references therein for concrete examples and a general discussion of the problem of checking identities in finite monoids.

*Remark 3.* Recall that the *pseudovariety* generated by a set  $\mathbb{M}$  of finite monoids is the least class of finite monoids containing  $\mathbb{M}$  and closed under taking homomorphic images, submonoids and finite direct products. Pseudovarieties of finite monoids are actively studied because of their tight connections to certain classes of recognizable languages via the Eilenberg correspondence ([2], see also [4]). The pseudovariety generated by a single finite monoid is known to be *equational* [3], that is, it consists of all finite monoids satisfying a certain system of identities.

From the pseudovariety viewpoint, Theorem 1 is a localized version of a result by Pin and Straubing [5, Theorem 2]. Their result provides a (language-theoretical) characterization of the pseudovariety of finite monoids generated by **all** monoids  $T_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , while our result characterizes the (equational) pseudovariety generated by each **particular** monoid  $T_n$ . Even though [5, Theorem 2] and Theorem 1 are stated in different terms, the two results are actually quite close to each other, and the above proof of Theorem 1 re-deploys some of Pin and Straubing’s arguments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Almeida, J., Volkov, M.V., Goldberg, S.V. Complexity of the identity checking problem for finite semigroups. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI* **358**, 5–22 (2008) [Russian; Engl. translation *J. Math. Sci.* **158**(5), 605–614 (2009)]
- [2] Eilenberg, S.: *Automata, Languages and Machines*, Vol. B. Academic Press, New York (1976)
- [3] Eilenberg, S., Schützenberger, M.P.: On pseudovarieties. *Adv. Math.* **19**, 413–418 (1976)
- [4] Pin, J.-É.: *Variétés de Langages Formels*. Masson, Paris (1984) [French; Engl. translation: *Varieties of Formal Languages*. North Oxford Academic, London (1986) and Plenum, New York (1986)]
- [5] Pin, J.-É., Straubing, H.: Monoids of upper triangular matrices. In: Gy. Pollák, Št. Schwarz, O. Steinfield (eds), *Semigroups. Structure and Universal Algebraic Problems*. *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai*, vol. 39, pp. 259–272. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1985)
- [6] Volkov, M.V.: Reflexive relations, extensive transformations and piecewise testable languages of a given height. *Int. J. Algebra Comput.* **14**(5-6), 817–827 (2004)