

INVERSE PROBLEMS FOR SUMSET SIZES OF FINITE SETS OF INTEGERS

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

ABSTRACT. Let A be a finite set of integers and let hA be its h -fold sumset. This paper investigates the sequence of sumset sizes $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$ and the relations between these sequences for affinely inequivalent sets A and B .

1. THE SEQUENCE OF SUMSET SIZES

Let A be a nonempty finite set of integers. The h -fold sumset of the set A , denoted hA , is the set of all sums of h not necessarily distinct elements of A . Let $|hA|$ denote the size (or cardinality) of the set hA . A *direct problem* for sumset sizes in additive number theory is to compute the infinite sequence $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$. For sufficiently large h , this sequence is completely determined by Theorem 2 and is eventually an arithmetic progression. For small h , there is a lower bound for $|hA|$ from Theorem 3.

Sets of integers A and B are *affinely equivalent* if there exist rational numbers λ and μ with $\lambda \neq 0$ such that

$$B = \lambda * A + \mu = \{\lambda a + \mu : a \in A\}.$$

For example, the sets $\{0, 2\}$ and $\{1, 4\}$ are affinely equivalent because

$$\{0, 2\} = \frac{2}{3} * \{1, 4\} - \frac{2}{3}.$$

With $\lambda = -1$ and $a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$, the set A is affinely equivalent to its *reflection* $a_0 - A = (-1) * A + a_0$. All 1-element sets of integers are affinely equivalent and all 2-element sets of integers are affinely equivalent. The sets $[0, k - 1]$ and $[0, k - 2] \cup \{k\}$ are affinely inequivalent sets of integers of size k for all $k \geq 3$. If A and B are affinely equivalent sets with $B = \lambda * A + \mu$, then

$$hB = h(\lambda * A + \mu) = \lambda * hA + h\mu$$

and so $|hA| = |hB|$ for all $h \geq 1$. Thus, the size of a sumset is an *affine invariant*.

It is natural to consider *inverse problems* for the sequence of sumset sizes $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$ of a nonempty finite set A of integers. To what extent does the sequence of positive integers $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$ determine A ? If A and B are affinely equivalent sets, then $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty} = (|hB|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$. What are the relations between the sequences $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$ and $(|hB|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$ for affinely inequivalent sets of the same size? Do there exist affinely inequivalent finite sets of integers A and B such that $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty} = (|hB|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$? For every positive integer h_1 , do there exist finite sets of integers A and B such that

Date: December 23, 2024.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B05, 11B13, 11B75, 11P99.

Key words and phrases. Sumset, sumset size, inverse problems in additive number theory.

Supported in part by PSC-CUNY Research Award Program grant 66197-00 54.

$|hA| = |hB|$ for all $h \leq h_1$ but $|hA| < |hB|$ for all $h > h_1$? Do there exist finite sets of integers A and B such that $|hA| > |hB|$ for all $h \leq h_1$ but $|hA| < |hB|$ for all $h > h_1$? We answer some of these questions in this paper, but many problems remain open.

2. THE DIRECT PROBLEM FOR SUMSET SIZES

Every finite set A' of integers with $|A'| \geq 2$ is affinely equivalent to a unique *normalized* set A of integers such that $\min(A) = 0$ and $\gcd(A) = 1$. The *interval of integers* $[u, v]$ is the set of all integers n such that $u \leq n \leq v$.

The following result is fundamental in additive number theory.

Theorem 1 (Nathanson [5, 6]). *Let A be a finite set of $k \geq 2$ integers with $\min(A) = 0$, $\max(A) = a$, and $\gcd(A) = 1$. There exist integers C and D and subsets $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [0, C - 2]$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq [0, D - 2]$ such that*

$$hA = \mathcal{C} \cup [C, ha - D] \cup (ha - \mathcal{D})$$

for all $h \geq h_0(A)$.

The original result in [5] was $h_0(A) = a^2(k - 1)$. Recently, Andrew Granville, George Shakan, and Aled Walker [1, 2] obtained $h_0(A) = a - k + 2$. Vsevolod Lev [4] gives another proof of this result.

Theorem 1 immediately implies the following.

Theorem 2. *Let A be a finite set of $k \geq 2$ integers with $\min(A) = 0$, $\max(A) = a$, and $\gcd(A) = 1$. There exists an integer $\Delta(A)$ such that*

$$|hA| = ha - \Delta(A)$$

for all $h \geq h_0(A)$ and so the sequence of sumset sizes $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$ is eventually an arithmetic progression with difference a .

The hard part of the direct problem is to understand $|hA|$ for small h . For this, the following result is useful.

Theorem 3 (Lev [3]). *Let A be a finite set of $k \geq 2$ integers with $\min(A) = 0$, $\max(A) = a$, and $\gcd(A) = 1$. For all $h \geq 2$,*

$$|hA| \geq |(h - 1)A| + \min(a, h(k - 2) + 1).$$

This is weaker than Theorem 2 for $h \geq a - k + 2$.

3. INVERSE PROBLEMS FOR SUMSET SIZE

Let A be a finite set of integers. The next result shows that the sumset size sequence $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$ does not determine the affine equivalence class A .

Theorem 4. *For all $k \geq 3$, there exist affinely inequivalent sets A and B such that $|A| = |B| = k$ and $|hA| = |hB|$ for all $h \geq 2$.*

Proof. The proof is by explicit construction.

Let $k = 3$. The sets

$$A = \{0, 2, 7\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{0, 3, 7\}$$

are affinely inequivalent with $|A| = |B| = 3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2A &= \{0, 2, 4, 7, 9, 14\} \\ 2B &= \{0, 3, 6, 7, 10, 14\} \\ 3A &= \{0, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 21\} \\ 3B &= \{0, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, 21\} \\ 4A &= \{0, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 18, 21, 23, 28\} \\ 4B &= \{0, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20, 21, 24, 28\} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} |2A| &= |2B| = 6 \\ |3A| &= |3B| = 10 \\ |4A| &= |4B| = 15. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorems 1 and 2, we obtain finite sets

$$\mathcal{C}_A = \{0, 2, 4\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_A = \{0, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_B = \{0, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_B = \{0, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16\}$$

such that

$$|\mathcal{C}_A| + |\mathcal{D}_A| = |\mathcal{C}_B| + |\mathcal{D}_B| = 15.$$

For all $h \geq 5$ we have

$$hA = \mathcal{C}_A \cup [6, 7h - 18] \cup (7h - \mathcal{D}_A)$$

and

$$hB = \mathcal{C}_B \cup [18, 7h - 18] \cup (7h - \mathcal{D}_B)$$

and so

$$|hA| = |hB| = 7h - 14.$$

It follows that $|hA| = |hB|$ for all $h \geq 1$.

Let $k = 4$. The sets

$$A = \{0, 3, 5, 6\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{0, 4, 5, 6\}$$

are affinely inequivalent with $|A| = |B| = 4$. For all $h \geq 2$ we have

$$hA = A \cup [8, 6h]$$

and

$$hB = B \cup [8, 6h]$$

and so $|hA| = |hB| = 6h - 3$ for all $h \geq 2$.

For all $k \geq 5$, the sets

$$A = \{0, 3, 5, 6\} \cup [8, k + 3] \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{0, 4, 5, 6\} \cup [8, k + 3]$$

are affinely inequivalent with $|A| = |B| = k$. For all $h \geq 2$ we have

$$hA = A \cup [8, h(k + 3)]$$

and

$$hB = B \cup [8, h(k + 3)]$$

and so $|hA| = |hB| = h(k + 3) - 3$ for all $h \geq 2$. This completes the proof. \square

A useful experimental class of finite sets of integers consists of sets that are the union of a finite interval of integers and one additional element. The following theorem computes the sumset sizes of these sets. In Appendix 4, there is a table of sumset sizes $|hA|$ for such sets of size 6, that is, sets of the form $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\} \cup \{w\}$ for $w \geq 5$.

Theorem 5. *Let h and ℓ be positive integers. For every integer $w \geq \ell + 1$, let*

$$A_w = [0, \ell] \cup \{w\}$$

and

$$j_0 = j_0(w) = \left\lceil h + 1 - \frac{w}{\ell} \right\rceil.$$

If $w \leq h\ell$, then

$$(1) \quad |hA_w| = j_0 w + 1 + \frac{(h - j_0)(2 + \ell(h - j_0 + 1))}{2}.$$

If $w \geq h\ell + 1$, then

$$(2) \quad |hA_w| = \frac{(h + 1)(\ell h + 2)}{2}.$$

Proof. This is true for $h = 1$ and all $\ell \geq 1$ because $|A_w| = \ell + 2$.

Let $h \geq 2$. For all $j \in [0, h]$, consider the interval of integers

$$I_j = jw + (h - j)[0, \ell] = [jw, jw + (h - j)\ell].$$

Then

$$hA_w = h([0, \ell] \cup \{w\}) = \bigcup_{j=0}^h (jw + (h - j)[0, \ell]) = \bigcup_{j=0}^h I_j.$$

For all $j \in [1, h]$, we have

$$\min(I_{j-1}) = (j - 1)w < jw = \min(I_j).$$

The intervals I_{j-1} and I_j intersect if and only if

$$\min(I_j) \leq \max(I_{j-1})$$

if and only if

$$jw \leq (j - 1)w + (h - j + 1)\ell$$

if and only if

$$w \leq (h - j + 1)\ell$$

if and only if

$$j \leq j_0 = \left\lceil h + 1 - \frac{w}{\ell} \right\rceil.$$

If $\ell + 1 \leq w \leq h\ell$, then $j_0 \geq 1$, the $j_0 + 1$ intervals I_0, I_1, \dots, I_{j_0} overlap, and

$$L = \bigcup_{j=0}^{j_0} I_j = [0, j_0 w + (h - j_0)\ell].$$

The $h + 1 - j_0$ intervals L, I_{j_0+1}, \dots, I_h are pairwise disjoint and so

$$\begin{aligned} |hA_w| &= \left| \bigcup_{j=0}^h I_j \right| = |L| + \sum_{j=j_0+1}^h |I_j| \\ &= j_0 w + (h - j_0)\ell + 1 + \sum_{j=j_0+1}^h ((h - j)\ell + 1) \\ &= j_0 w + 1 + \frac{(h - j_0)(2 + \ell(h - j_0 + 1))}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $w \geq h\ell + 1$, then the intervals I_0, I_1, \dots, I_h are pairwise disjoint and

$$\begin{aligned} |hA_w| &= \sum_{j=0}^h |I_j| = \sum_{j=0}^h ((h - j)\ell + 1) \\ &= \frac{(h + 1)(\ell h + 2)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Note that if $w = \ell q + r$ with $r \in [1, \ell]$, then $j_0(w) = h - q$.

It is interesting to consider the ‘‘experimental’’ data in Appendix 4. For $k = 6$ and $h \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, there are the following pairs of sets.

For $h_1 = 2$, we have $|2A_9| = |2A_{10}| = 15$ and $|hA_9| < |hA_{10}|$ for all $h \geq 3$.

For $h_1 = 3$, we have $|2A_{13}| = |2A_{14}| = 15$, $|3A_{13}| = |3A_{14}| = 28$, and $|hA_{13}| < |hA_{14}|$ for all $h \geq 4$.

For $h_1 = 4$, we have $|2A_{17}| = |2A_{18}| = 15$, $|3A_{17}| = |3A_{18}| = 28$, $|4A_{17}| = |4A_{18}| = 45$, and $|hA_{17}| < |hA_{18}|$ for all $h \geq 5$.

For $h_1 = 5$, we have $|2A_{21}| = |2A_{22}| = 15$, $|3A_{21}| = |3A_{22}| = 28$, $|4A_{21}| = |4A_{22}| = 45$, $|5A_{21}| = |5A_{22}| = 66$, and $|hA_{21}| < |hA_{22}|$ for all $h \geq 6$.

These examples suggest the following result.

Theorem 6. *For all integers $k \geq 3$ and $h_1 \geq 1$, there exist finite sets A and B with $|A| = |B| = k$ such that $|hA| = |hB|$ for all $h \leq h_1$ and $|hA| < |hB|$ for all $h > h_1$.*

Proof. The proof is by explicit construction.

For $k = 3$ and $h_1 \geq 1$, let $A = \{0, 1, h_1 + 1\}$ and $B = \{0, 1, h_1 + 2\}$.

For $k \geq 4$, let $\ell = k - 2$ and $w = (h_1 + 1)\ell$. Note that $\ell \geq 2$. Consider the sets

$$A = A_w = [0, \ell] \cup \{w\}$$

and

$$B = A_{w+1} = [0, \ell] \cup \{w + 1\}.$$

For all $h \in [1, h_1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h\ell + 1 &\leq h_1\ell + 1 < (h_1 + 1)\ell = w \\ &< w + 1 = (h_1 + 1)\ell + 1 \\ &< (h_1 + 2)\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Applying formula (2) of Theorem 5, for all $h \in [1, h_1]$ we obtain

$$|hA| = |hB| = \frac{(h + 1)(\ell h + 2)}{2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |(h_1 + 1)B| &= \frac{(h_1 + 2)(\ell(h_1 + 1) + 2)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(h_1 + 2)(w + 2)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

For $h = h_1 + 1$ and $w = (h_1 + 1)\ell$, we have

$$j_0 = \left\lceil h + 1 - \frac{w}{\ell} \right\rceil = \left\lceil h_1 + 2 - \frac{(h_1 + 1)\ell}{\ell} \right\rceil = 1.$$

Because $w \leq (h_1 + 1)\ell$, formula (1) of Theorem 5 gives

$$\begin{aligned} |(h_1 + 1)A| &= j_0 w + 1 + \frac{(h_1 + 1 - j_0)(2 + \ell(h_1 + 2 - j_0))}{2} \\ &= w + 1 + \frac{h_1(2 + w)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(h_1 + 2)(w + 2)}{2} - 1 \\ &= |(h_1 + 1)B| - 1 \end{aligned}$$

and so $|(h_1 + 1)A| < |(h_1 + 1)B|$. This completes the proof. \square

4. OPEN PROBLEMS

Problem 1. *There are finite sets A and B with $|h_1 A| > |h_1 B|$, $|h_2 A| = |h_2 B|$, and $|h_3 A| < |h_3 B|$ for some $h_1 < h_2 < h_3$. For example, if*

$$A = [0, 4] \quad B = \{0, 1, 3, 6\} \quad C = \{0, 13, 7\}$$

then

$$2A = [0, 8] \quad 2B = [0, 4] \cup \{6, 7, 9, 12\} \quad 2C = [0, 4] \cup \{6, 7, 8, 10, 14\}$$

and

$$3A = [0, 12] \quad 3B = [0, 10] \cup \{12, 13, 15, 18\} \quad 3C = [0, 11] \cup \{13, 14, 15, 17, 21\}$$

and

$$4A = [0, 16] \quad 4B = [0, 16] \cup \{18, 19, 21, 24\} \quad 4C = [0, 18] \cup \{20, 21, 22, 24, 28\}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &= 5 > 4 = |B| = |C| \\ |2A| &= 9 = |2B| \quad |2C| = [0, 11] \cup \{13, 14, 15, 17, 21\} \\ |3A| &= 13 < 15 = |3B| \quad |3C| = 17 \\ |4A| &= 17, \quad |4B| = 21, \quad |4C| = 24. \end{aligned}$$

Do there exist finite sets of integers A and B with $|h_1 A| > |h_1 B|$ and $|h_2 A| < |h_2 B|$ and $|h_3 A| > |h_3 B|$ for some $h_1 < h_2 < h_3$?

Problem 2. *Let A and B be finite sets of integers with $|A| = |B|$. Prove or disprove: If $|h_1 A| > |h_1 B|$, then $|hA| > |hB|$ for all $h > h_1$.*

Problem 3. *Let A and B be nonempty finite sets of integers with $|A| = |B|$. Prove that if $|h_0 A| < |h_0 B|$, then $|hA| < |hB|$ for all $h > h_0$. Note that this implies that if $|h_0 A| < |h_0 B|$, then $|hA| \leq |hB|$ for all $h \leq h_0$.*

Problem 4. *Let A be a finite set of integers. What structural information about A can be deduced from the sumset size sequence $(|hA|)_{h=1}^{\infty}$?*

Problem 5. *Consider analogous inverse problems for restricted sumsets, that is, sumsets of the form*

$$\widehat{hA} = \{a_1 + \cdots + a_h : a_i \in A \text{ and } a_i \neq a_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

Problem 6. *Consider analogous inverse problems for sums of finite subsets of the additive group of lattice points \mathbf{Z}^n .*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Granville and G. Shakan, The Frobenius Postage Stamp Problem, and beyond, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 161 (2020), 700–718.
- [2] A. Granville and A. Walker, A tight structure theorem for sumsets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 149 (2021), 4073–4082.
- [3] V. F. Lev, Structure theorem for multiple addition and the Frobenius problem, *J. Number Theory* 58 (1996), 79–88.
- [4] V. F. Lev, The structure of higher sumsets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 150 (2022), 5165–5177.
- [5] M. B. Nathanson, Sums of finite sets of integers, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 79 (1972), 1010–1012.
- [6] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets*, Springer, New York, 1996.

APPENDIX A. TABLE OF $|hA_w|$ FOR $A_w = [0, 4] \cup \{w\}$,
 $h \in [2, 9]$ AND $w \in [5, 25] \cup \{30, 35, 40, 45, 50\}$

$ hA_w $	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
5	11	16	21	26	31	36	41	46
6	12	18	24	30	36	42	48	54
7	13	20	27	34	41	48	55	62
8	14	22	30	38	46	54	62	70
9	15	24	33	42	51	60	69	78
10	15	25	35	45	55	65	75	85
11	15	26	37	48	59	70	81	92
12	15	27	39	51	63	75	87	99
13	15	28	41	54	67	80	93	106
14	15	28	42	56	70	84	98	112
15	15	28	43	58	73	88	103	118
16	15	28	44	60	76	92	108	124
17	15	28	45	62	79	96	113	130
18	15	28	45	63	81	99	117	135
19	15	28	45	64	83	102	121	140
20	15	28	45	65	85	105	125	145
21	15	28	45	66	87	108	129	150
22	15	28	45	66	88	110	132	154
23	15	28	45	66	89	112	135	158
24	15	28	45	66	90	114	138	162
25	15	28	45	66	91	116	141	166
30	15	28	45	66	91	120	150	180
35	15	28	45	66	91	120	153	188
40	15	28	45	66	91	120	153	190
45	15	28	45	66	91	120	153	190
50	15	28	45	66	91	120	153	190

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NY 10468
Email address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu