The Cosmic Gamma-Ray Bursts and Their Host Galaxies in a Cosmological Context

S. G. Djorgovski^a, S. R. Kulkarni^a, D. A. Frail^b, F. A. Harrison^a, J. S. Bloom^a, E. Berger^a,

P. A. Price^a, D. Fox^a, A. M. Soderberg^a, T. J. Galama^a, D. E. Reichart^a, R. Sari^a, S. Yost^a, A. A. Mahabal^a, S. M. Castro^a, R. Goodrich^c, and F. Chaffee^c

^a Division of Physics, Mathematics, and Astronomy, Caltech, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
^b National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Socorro, NM 87801, USA

^c W.M. Keck Observatory, Kamuela, HI 96743, USA

ABSTRACT

Studies of the cosmic gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) and their host galaxies are now starting to provide interesting or even unique new insights in observational cosmology. Observed GRB host galaxies have a median magnitude $R \sim 25$ mag, and show a range of luminosities, morphologies, and star formation rates, with a median redshift $z \sim 1.0$. They represent a new way of identifying a population of star-forming galaxies at cosmological redshifts, which is mostly independent of the traditional selection methods. They seem to be broadly similar to the normal field galaxy populations at comparable redshifts and magnitudes, and indicate at most a mild luminosity evolution over the redshift range they probe. Studies of GRB optical afterglows seen in absorption provide a powerful new probe of the ISM in dense, central regions of their host galaxies, which is complementary to the traditional studies using QSO absorption line systems. Some GRB hosts are heavily obscured, and provide a new way to select a population of cosmological sub-mm sources. A census of detected optical tranistents may provide an important new way to constrain the total obscured fraction of star formation over the history of the universe. Finally, detection of GRB afterglows at high redshifts (z > 6) may provide a unique way to probe the primordial star formation, massive IMF, early IGM, and chemical enrichment at the end of the cosmic reionization era.

Keywords: Gamma-Ray Bursts, Galaxy Evolution, Cosmology

1. INTRODUCTION

Ever since their discovery (Klebesadel, Strong, & Olson 1973), the cosmic gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) represented one of the outstanding puzzles of science. A considerable progress in their understanding has been made since the discovery of GRB afterglows in x-rays (Costa et al. 1997), visible (van Paradijs et al. 1997), and radio (Frail et al. 1997), and the conclusive establishment of their cosmological nature (Metzger et al. 1997). Large telescopes such as the Kecks and the VLT have played crucial roles in the cracking of this cosmic mystery. Today, studies of GRBs are one of the most active and vibrant areas of astrophysics.

In this review we focus on some of the cosmological aspects of GRBs and their host galaxies. We do not address the issues of GRB afterglows and their physics, beaming, and progenitor mechanisms, including the GRB-Supernova connection. Instead, we direct the reader to some other, recent reviews which cover these subjects, e.g., Piran (1999), Mészáros (2001, 2002), van Paradijs, Kouveliotou, & Wijers (2000), Kulkarni et al. (2000), Pian (2002), and many others. Several recent conference volumes provide many additional reviews and relevant papers. Parts of the present text have also appeared in the review by Hurley, Sari, & Djorgovski (2003).

To appear in: Discoveries and Research Prospects from 6-10m Class Telescopes, ed. P. Guhathakurta, Proc. SPIE, vol. 4834 (2003)



Figure 1. Examples of GRB host galaxies, from the compilation by Bloom, Kulkarni, & Djorgovski (2002), based on the ground-based and HST imaging. The ellipses indicate the positions of the radio transient (for GRB 970828) and optical transient (for GRB 980703). Vertical bars give the observed image scale in arcsec, and the restframe scale in kpc.

2. GRB HOST GALAXIES AND REDSHIFTS

Host galaxies of GRBs serve a dual purpose: they determine the redshifts, which are necessary for a complete physical modeling of the bursts, and they provide some insights about the possible nature of the progenitors, e.g., their relation to massive star formation, etc. The subject has been reviewed previously, e.g., by Djorgovski et al. (2001b, 2002), Hurley, Sari & Djorgovski (2003), and many others.

2.1. Overall Properties of GRB Hosts

As of the late 2002, plausible or certain host galaxies have been found for all but 1 or 2 of the bursts with optical, radio, or x-ray afterglows localised with arcsecond precision. Two examples are shown in Fig. 1. The median apparent magnitude is $R \approx 25$ mag, with tentative detections or upper limits reaching down to $R \approx 29$ mag (Fig. 2). The few missing cases are at least qualitatively consistent with being in the faint tail of the observed distribution of host galaxy magnitudes.

Down to $R \sim 25$ mag, the observed distribution is consistent with deep field galaxy counts (Brunner, Connolly, & Szalay 1999), but fainter than that, complex selection effects may be playing a role. It can also be argued that the observed distribution should correspond roughly to luminosity-weighted field galaxy counts. However, the actual distribution would depend on many observational selection and physical (galaxy evolution) effects, and a full interpretation of the observed distribution of GRB host galaxy magnitudes requires a careful modeling. We note also that the observations in the visible probe the UV in the restframe, and are thus especially susceptible to extinction. However, sub-mm detections of dusty GRB hosts are currently limited by the available technology to only a handful of ultraluminous sources.

Starting with the first redshift measurement which unambiguosly demonstrated the cosmological nature of GRBs (Metzger et al. 1997) there are now (late 2002) over 30 redshifts measured for GRB hosts and/or afterglows. The median redshift is $\langle z \rangle \approx 1.0$, spanning the range from 0.25 (or 0.0085, if the association of GRB 980425 with SN 1998bw is correct) to 4.5 (for GRB 000131). The majority of redshifts so far are from the spectroscopy of host galaxies, but an increasing number are based on the absorption-line systems seen in the spectra of the afterglows (which are otherwise featureless power-law continua). Figure 3 shows two examples. Reassuring overlap exists in several cases; invariably, the highest-z absorption system corresponds to that of



Figure 2. The distribution of observed GRB host galaxy magnitudes in the R band, corrected for the Galactic extinction. The bright end mimics the overall shape of field galaxy counts, but the decline at R > 25 mag is *not* due to the incompleteness: to date, only a couple of well-localised GRBs lack convincing host detections.

the host galaxy, and has the strongest lines. In some cases (a subset of the so-called "dark bursts") no optical transient (OT) is detected, but a combination of the X-ray (XT) and radio transient (RT) unambiguously pinpoints the host galaxy.

A new method for obtaining redshifts may come from the X-ray spectroscopy of afterglows, using the Fe K line at ~ 6.55 keV (Piro et al. 1999, 2000; Antonelli et al. 2000), or the Fe absorption edge at ~ 9.28 keV (Weth et al. 2000; Yohshida et al. 1999; Amati et al. 2000). Rapid X-ray spectroscopy of GRB afterglows may become a powerful tool for understanding their physics and origins.

Are the GRB host galaxies special in some way? If GRBs are somehow related to massive star formation (e.g., Paczyński 1998, Totani, 1997, etc.), it may be worthwhile to examine their absolute luminosities and star formation rates (SFR), or spectroscopic properties in general. This is hard to answer (Krumholz, Thorsett, & Harrison 1998; Hogg & Fruchter 1999; Schaefer 2000) from their visible (~ restframe UV) luminosities alone: the observed light traces an indeterminate mix of recently formed stars and an older population, and cannot be unambiguously interpreted in terms of either the total baryonic mass, or the instantaneous SFR.

The magnitude and redshift distributions of GRB host galaxies are typical for the normal, faint field galaxies, as are their morphologies (Odewahn et al. 1998; Holland 2001; Bloom, Kulkarni & Djorgovski 2002) when observed with the HST: they are often compact, and sometimes suggestive of a merging system (Djorgovski, Bloom & Kulkarni 2001; Hjorth et al. 2002), but that is not unusual for galaxies at comparable redshifts.

Within the host galaxies, the distribution of GRB-host offsets follows the light distribution closely (Bloom, Kulkarni & Djorgovski 2002), which is roughly proportional to the density of star formation (especially for the high-z galaxies). It is thus fully consistent with a progenitor population associated with the sites of massive star formation.

Spectroscopic measurements provide direct estimates of recent, massive SFR in GRB hosts. Most of them are based on the luminosity of the [O II] 3727 doublet (Kennicut 1998), the luminosity of the UV continuum at $\lambda_{rest} = 2800$ Å (Madau, Pozzetti, & Dickinson 1998), in some cases (e.g., Kulkarni et al. 1998) from the luminosity of the Ly α 1216 line, and in others (e.g., Djorgovski et al. 1998) from the luminosity of Balmer lines (Kennicut 1998). All of these estimators are susceptible to the internal extinction and its geometry, and



Figure 3. Examples of spectra of a grb host galaxy (GRB 970228, top), and afterglow (GRB 990123, bottom), with the prominent emission and absorption features labeled. Both were obtained at the Keck telescope; see Bloom, Djorgovski, & Kulkarni (2001) and Kulkarni et al. (1999) for more details.



Figure 4. Redshift distribution of GRBs, as of November 2002. The two hatched histograms represent the same data, but with a binning offset by half a bin.

have an intrinsic scatter of at least 30%. The observed unobscured SFR's range from a few tenths to a few M_{\odot} yr⁻¹. Applying the reddening corrections derived from the Balmer decrements of the hosts, or from the modeling of the broad-band colors of the OTs (and further assuming that they are representative of the mean extinction for the corresponding host galaxies) increases these numbers typically by a factor of a few. All this is entirely typical for the normal field galaxy population at comparable redshifts. However, such measurements are completely insensitive to any fully obscured SFR components.

Equivalent widths of the [O II] 3727 doublet in GRB hosts, which may provide a crude measure of the SFR per unit luminosity (and a worse measure of the SFR per unit mass), are on average somewhat higher (Djorgovski et al. 2001b) than those observed in magnitude-limited field galaxy samples at comparable redshifts (Hogg et al. 1998). A larger sample of GRB hosts, and a good comparison sample, matched both in redshift and magnitude range, are necessary before any solid conclusions can be drawn from this apparent difference.

One intriguing hint comes from the flux ratios of [Ne III] 3869 to [O II] 3727 lines: they are on average a factor of 4 to 5 higher in GRB hosts than in star forming galaxies at low redshifts (Djorgovski et al. 2001b). Strong [Ne III] requires photoionization by massive stars in hot H II regions, and may represent indirect evidence linking GRBs with massive star formation.

The interpretation of the luminosities and observed star formation rates is vastly complicated by the unknown amount and geometry of extinction. The observed quantities (in the visible) trace only the unobscured stellar component, or the components seen through optically thin dust. Any stellar and star formation components hidden by optically thick dust cannot be estimated at all from these data, and require radio and sub-mm observations.

Both observational windows, the optical/NIR (rest-frame UV) and the sub-mm (rest-frame FIR)suffer from some biases: the optical band is significantly affected by dust obscuration, while the sub-mm and radio bands lack sensitivity, and therefore uncover only the most prodigiously star-forming galaxies. As of late 2002, radio and/or sub-mm emission powered by obscured star formation has been detected from 4 GRB hosts (Berger, Kulkarni & Frail 2001; Berger et al. 2002b; Frail et al. 2002). The surveys to date are sensitive only to the ultra-luminous $(L > 10^{12}L_{\odot})$ hosts, with SFR of several hundred M_{\odot} yr⁻¹. Modulo the uncertainties posed by the small number statistics, the surveys indicate that about 20% of GRB hosts are objects of this type, where about 90% of the total star formation takes place in obscured regions.

Given the uncertainties of the geometry of optically thin and optically thick dust, optical colors of GRB hosts cannot be used to make any meaningful statements about their net star formation activity. The broad-band optical colors of GRB hosts are not distinguishable from those of normal field galaxies at comparable magnitudes and redshifts (Bloom, Djorgovski, & Kulkarni 2001; Sokolov et al. 2001; Chary, Becklin, & Armus 2002; Le Floc'h et al. 2003). It is notable that the optical/NIR colors of GRB hosts detected in the sub-mm are much bluer than typical sub-mm selected galaxies, suggesting that the GRB selection may be probing a previously unrecognised population of dusty star-forming galaxies.

On the whole, the GRB hosts seem to be representative of the normal, star-forming field galaxy population at comparable redshifts, and so far there is no evidence for any significant systematic differences between them.

2.2. GRB Hosts in the Context of Galaxy Evolution

The observed redshift distribution of GRB hosts is about what is expected for an evolving, normal field galaxy population at these magnitude levels. There is an excellent qualitative correspondence between the observations and simple galaxy evolution models (Mao & Mo 1998).

If GRB's follow the luminous mass, then the expected distribution would be approximated by the luminosityweighted galaxy luminosity function (GLF) for the appropriate redshifts. The hosts span a wide range of luminosities, with a characteristic absolute restframe B band magnitude $M_{B,*} \approx -20$ mag, approximately half a magnitude fainter than in the GLF at $z \approx 0$, but comensurate with the late-type (i.e., star forming disk) galaxy population at $z \approx 0$ (Madgwick et al. 2002; Norberg et al. 2002). This is somewhat surprising, since one expects that the evolutionary effects would make the GRB host galaxies, with a typical $z \sim 1$, brighter than their descendants today. The GRB host GLF also has a somewhat steeper tail than the composite GLF at $z \approx 0$, but again similar to that of the star-forming, late-type galaxies. This is in a broad agreement with the results of deep redshift surveys which probe the evolution of field galaxy populations out to $z \sim 1$ (Lilly et al. 1995; Ellis 1997; Fried et al. 2001; Lin et al. 1999).

The interpretation of these results is complex: the observed light reflects an unknown combination of the unobscured fraction of recent star formation (especially in the high-z galaxies, where we observe the restframe UV continuum) and the stellar populations created up to that point. Our understanding of the field galaxy evolution in the same redshift range as probed by the GRB hosts is still largely incomplete. Different selection effects may be plaguing the field and the GRB host samples. While much remains to be done, it seems that GRB hosts provide a new, independent check on the traditional studies of galaxy evolution at moderate and high redshifts.

3. GRBS AND COSMOLOGY

While interesting on their own, GRBs are now rapidly becoming powerful tools to study the high-redshift universe and galaxy evolution, thanks to their apparent association with massive star formation, and their brilliant luminosities. GRBs and their afterglows should be readly detectable at large redshifts (Lamb & Reichart 2000).

There are three basic ways of learning about the evolution of luminous matter and gas in the universe. First, a direct detection of sources (i.e., galaxies) in emission, either in the UV/optical/NIR (the unobscured components), or in the FIR/sub-mm/radio (the obscured component). Second, the detection of galaxies selected in absorption along the lines of sight to luminous background sources, traditionally QSOs. Third, diffuse extragalactic backgrounds, which bypass all of the flux or surface brightness selection effects plaguing all surveys of discrete sources found in emission, but at a price of losing the redshift information, and the ability to discriminate between the luminosity components powered by star formation and powered by AGN. Studies of GRB hosts and afterglows can contribute to all three of these methodological approaches, bringing in new, independent constraints for models of galaxy evolution and of the history of star formation in the universe.

3.1. Dark Bursts: Probing the Obscured Star Formation History

Already within months of the first detections of GRB afterglows, no OT's were found associated with some well-localised bursts despite deep and rapid searches; the prototype "dark burst" was GRB 970828 (Djorgovski et al. 2001a). Perhaps the most likely explanation for the non-detections of OT's when sufficiently deep and prompt searches are made is that they are obscured by dust in their host galaxies. This is an obvious culprit if indeed GRBs are associated with massive star formation.

Support for this idea also comes from detections of RTs without OTs, including GRB 970828, 990506, and possibly also 981226 (see Frail et al. 2000 and Taylor et al. 2000). Dust reddening has been detected directly in some OTs (e.g., Ramaprakash et al. 1998; Bloom et al. 1998; Djorgovski et al. 1998, etc.); however, this only covers OTs seen through optically thin dust, and there must be others, hidden by optically thick dust. An especially dramatic case was the RT (Taylor et al. 1998) and IR transient (Larkin et al. 1998) associated with GRB 980329 (Yost et al. 2002). We thus know that at least some GRB OTs must be obscured by dust.

The census of OT detections for well-localised bursts can thus provide a completely new and independent estimate of the mean obscured star formation fraction in the universe. Recall that GRBs are now detected out to $z \sim 4.5$ and that there is no correlation of the observed fluence with the redshift (Djorgovski et al. 2002), so that they are, at least to a first approximation, good probes of the star formation over the observable universe.

As of late 2002, there have been ~ 70 adequately deep and rapid searches for OTs from well-localised GRBs. We define "adequate searches" as reaching at least to $R \sim 20$ mag within less than a day from the burst, and/or to at least to $R \sim 23 - 24$ mag within 2 or 3 days; this is a purely heuristic, operational definition, and an intentionally liberal one. In just over a half of such searches, OTs were found. Inevitably, some OTs may have been missed due to an intrinsically low flux, an unusually rapid decline rate (Fynbo et al. 2001; Berger et al. 2002a), or very high redshifts (so that the brightness in the commonly used BVR bands would be affected by the intergalactic absorption). Thus the maximum fraction of all OTs (and therefore massive star formation) hidden by the dust is ~ 50%.

This is a remarkable result. It broadly agrees with the estimates that there is roughly an equal amount of energy in the diffuse optical and FIR backgrounds (see, e.g., Madau 1999). This is contrary to some claims in the literature which suggest that the fraction of the obscured star formation was much higher at high redshifts. Recall also that the fractions of the obscured and unobscured star formation in the local universe are comparable.

There is one possible loophole in this argument: GRBs may be able to destroy the dust in their immediate vicinity (up to ~ 10 pc?) (Waxman & Draine 2000; Galama & Wijers 2000), and if the rest of the optical path through their hosts (\sim kpc scale?) was dust-free, OTs would become visible. Such a geometrical arrangement may be unlikely in most cases, and our argument probably still applies. A more careful treatment of the dust evaporation geometry is needed, but it is probably safe to say that GRBs can provide a valuable new constraint on the history of star formation in the universe.

3.2. GRBs as Probes of the ISM in Evolving Galaxies

Absorption spectroscopy of GRB afterglows is now becoming a powerful new probe of the ISM in evolving galaxies, complementary to the traditional studies of QSO absorption line systems. The key point is that the GRBs almost by definition (that is, if they are closely related to the sites of ongoing or recent massive star formation, as the data seem to indicate) probe the lines of sight to dense, central regions of their host galaxies ($\sim 1-10$ kpc scale). On the other hand, the QSO absorption systems are selected by the gas cross section, and favor large impact parameters ($\sim 10-100$ kpc scale), mostly probing the gaseous halos of field galaxies, where the physical conditions are very different.

The growing body of data on GRB absorption systems shows exceptionally high column densities of gas, when compared to the typical QSO absorption systems; only the highest column density DLA systems (themselves ostensibly star-forming disks or dwarfs) come close (Savaglio, Fall, & Fiore 2002; Castro et al. 2002; Mirabal et al. 2002). This is completely consistent with the general picture described above. (We are referring here to the highest redshift absorbers seen in the afterglow spectra, which are presumably associated with the host galaxies themselves; lower redshift, intervening absorbers are also frequently seen, and their properties appear to be no different from those of the QSO absorbers.)

This opens the interesting prospect of using GRB absorbers as a new probe of the chemical enrichment history in galaxies in a more direct fashion than what is possible with the QSO absorbers, where there may be a very complex dynamics of gas ejection, infall, and mixing at play.

Properties of the GRB absorbers are presumably, but not necessarily (depending on the unknown geometry of the gas along the line of sight) reflecting the ISM of the circum-burst region. Studies of their chemical composition do not yet reveal any clear anomalies, or the degree of depletion of the dust, but the samples in hand are still too small to be really conclusive. Also, there have been a few searches for the variability of the column density of the gas on scales of hours to days after the burst, with no clear detections so far. Such an effect may be expected if the burst afterglow modifies the physical state of the gas and dust along the line of sight by the evaporation of the dust grains, additional photoionization of the gas, etc. However, it is possible that all such changes are observable only on very short time scales, seconds to minutes after the burst. In any case, a clear detection of a variable ISM absorption against a GRB afterglow would be a very significant result, providing new insight into the cisrcumstances of GRB origins.

3.3. High-Redshift GRBs: Probing the Primordial Star Formation and Reionization

Possibly the most interesting use of GRBs in cosmology is as probes of the early phases of star and galaxy formation, and the resulting reionization of the universe at $z \sim 6 - 20$. If GRBs reflect deaths of massive stars, their very existence and statistics would provide a superb probe of the primordial massive star formation and the initial mass function (IMF). They would be by far the most luminous sources in existence at such redshifts (much brighter than SNe, and most AGN), and they may exist at redshifts where there were *no* luminous AGN. As such, they would provide unique new insights into the physics and evolution of the primordial IGM during the reionization era (see, e.g., Lamb & Reichart 2001; Loeb 2002a,b).

There are two lines of argument in support of the existence of copious numbers of GRBs at z > 5 or even 10. First, a number of studies using photometric redshift indicators for GRBs suggests that a substantial fraction (ranging from ~ 10% to ~ 50%) of all bursts detectable by past, current, or forthcoming missions may be originating at such high redshifts, even after folding in the appropriate spacecraft/instrument selection functions (Fenimore & Ramirez-Ruiz 2002; Reichart et al. 2001; Lloyd-Ronning, Fryer, & Ramirez-Ruiz 2002).

Second, a number of modern theoretical studies suggest that the very first generation of stars, formed through hydrogen cooling alone, were very massive, with $M \sim 100 - 1000 M_{\odot}$ (Bromm, Coppi & Larson 1999; Abel, Bryan, & Norman 2000; Bromm, Kudritzki, & Loeb 2001; Bromm, Coppi & Larson 2002; Abel, Bryan & Norman 2002). While it is not yet absolutely clear that some as-yet unforseen effect would lead to a substantial fragmentation of a protostellar object of such a mass, a top-heavy primordial IMF is at least plausible. It is also not yet completely clear that the (probably spectacular) end of such an object would generate a GRB, but that too is at least plausible (Fryer, Woosley & Heger 2001). Thus, there is some real hope that significant numbers of GRBs and their afterglows would be detectable in the redshift range $z \sim 5 - 20$, spanning the era of the first star formation and cosmic reionization (Bromm & Loeb 2002).

Spectroscopy of GRB aftergows at such redshifts would provide a crucial, unique information about the physical state and evolution of the primordial ISM during the reionization era. The end stages of the cosmic reionization have been detected by spectroscopy of QSOs at $z \sim 6$ (Djorgovski et al. 2001; Fan et al. 2001; Becker et al. 2001). GRBs are more useful in this context than the QSOs, for several reasons. First, they may exist at high redshifts where there were no comparably luminous AGN yet. Second, their spectra are highly predictable power-laws, without complications caused by the broad Ly α lines of QSOs, and can reliably be extrapolated blueward of the Ly α line. Finally, they would provide a genuine snapshot of the intervening ISM, without an appreciable proximity effect which would inevitably complicate the interpretation of any high-z QSO spectrum (luminous QSOs excavate their Stromgren spheres in the surrounding neutral ISM out to radii of at least a few Mpc, whereas the primordial GRB hosts would have a negligible effect of that type; see, e.g., Lazzati et al.(2001).

Detection of high-z GRBs is thus an urgent cosmological task. It requires a rapid search for afterglows, as well as high-resolution follow-up spectroscopy, in both the optical and NIR. However, such effort would be well worth the considerable scientific rewards in the end.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank numerous collaborators, and the staff of Palomar and W.M. Keck Observatories for their expert help during our observing runs. Our work was supported by grants from the NSF, NASA, and private donors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Abel, T., Bryan, G., & Norman, M. 2000, ApJ, 540, 39
- 2. Abel, T., Bryan, G., & Norman, M. 2002, Science, 295, 93
- 3. Antonelli, L.A., et al. 2000, ApJ, 545, L39
- 4. Becker, R., et al. (the SDSS collaboration) 2001, AJ, 122, 2850
- 5. Berger, E., Kulkarni, S.R., & Frail, D.A. 2001, ApJ, 560, 652
- 6. Berger, E., et al. 2002a, submitted to ApJ [astro-ph/0207320]
- 7. Berger, E., et al. 2002b, submitted to ApJ [astro-ph/0210645]
- 8. Bloom, J.S., et al. 1998, ApJ, 508, L21
- 9. Bloom, J.S., Djorgovski, S.G. & Kulkarni, S.R. 2001, ApJ, 554, 678
- 10. Bloom, J.S., Kulkarni, S.R., & Djorgovski, S.G. 2002, AJ, 123, 1111
- 11. Bromm, V., Coppi, P., & Larson, R. 1999, ApJ, 527, L5
- 12. Bromm, V., Coppi, P., & Larson, R. 2002, ApJ, 564, 23
- 13. Bromm, V., Kudritzki, R., & Loeb, A. 2001, ApJ, 552, 464
- 14. Bromm, V., & Loeb, A. 2002, ApJ, 575, 111
- 15. Bromm, V., & Schaefer, B. 1999, ApJ, 520, 661
- 16. Brunner, R., Connolly, A., & Szalay, A. 1999, ApJ, 516, 563
- 17. Castro, S., et al. 2003, ApJ, in press
- 18. Chary, R., Becklin, E., & Armus, L. 2002, ApJ, 566, 229
- 19. Costa, E., et al. 1997, Nature, 387, 783
- 20. Djorgovski, S.G., et al. 1998, ApJ, 508, L17
- 21. Djorgovski, S.G., et al. 2001a, ApJ, 562, 654
- 22. Djorgovski, S.G., et al. 2001b, in Gamma-Ray Bursts in the Afterglow Era: 2nd Workshop, eds. E. Costa et al., ESO Astrophysics Symposia, Berlin: Springer Verlag, p. 218
- 23. Djorgovski, S.G., et al. 2001c, ApJ, 560, L5
- 24. Djorgovski, S.G., Bloom, J.S., & Kulkarni, S.R. 2002, ApJ, in press [astro-ph/0008029]
- Djorgovski, S.G., et al. 2002, in Proc. IX Marcel Grossmann Meeting, eds. V. Gurzadyan et al. Singapore: World Scientific, in press [astro-ph/0106574]
- 26. Ellis, R. 1997, ARAA, 35, 389
- 27. Fan, X., et al. (the SDSS collaboration) 2001, AJ, 122, 2833
- 28. Fenimore, E., & Ramirez-Ruiz, E. 2002, ApJ, in press [astro-ph/0004176]
- 29. Frail, D.A., et al. 2000, ApJ, 538, L129
- 30. Frail, D.A., et al. 2002, ApJ, 565, 829
- 31. Fried, J., et al. 2001, A&A, 367, 788
- 32. Fryer, C., Woosley, S., & Heger, A. 2001, ApJ, 550, 372
- 33. Fynbo, J., et al. 2001, A&A, 369, 373
- 34. Galama, T.J., & Wijers, R. 2000, ApJ, 549, L209
- 35. Hjorth, J., et al. 2002, ApJ, 576, 113
- 36. Holland, S. 2001, [astro-ph/0102413]
- 37. Hogg, D., et al. 1998, ApJ, 504, 622
- 38. Hogg, D., & Fruchter, A. 1999, ApJ, 520, 54
- Hurley, K., Sari, R., & Djorgovski, S.G. 2003, in Compact Stellar X-Ray Sources, eds. W. Lewin & M. van der Klis, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press [astro-ph/0211620]

- 40. Kennicut, R. 1998, ARAA, 36, 131
- 41. Klebesadel, R., Strong, I., & Olson, R. 1973, ApJ, 182, L85
- 42. Krumholtz, M., Thorsett, S., & Harrison, F. 1998, ApJ, 506, L81
- 43. Kulkarni, S.R., et al. 1998, Nature, 393, 35
- 44. Kulkarni, S.R., et al. 1999, Nature, 398, 389
- 45. Kulkarni, S.R., et al. 2000, Proc. SPIE, 4005, 9
- 46. Lamb, D., & Reichart, D. 2000, ApJ, 536, 1
- 47. Lamb, D., & Reichart, D. 2001, in Gamma-Ray Bursts in the Afterglow Era: 2nd Workshop, eds. E. Costa et al., ESO Astrophysics Symposia, Berlin: Springer Verlag, p. 226
- 48. Larkin, J., et al. 1998, GCN Circ. 44
- Lazzati, D., et al. 2001, in Gamma-Ray Bursts in the Afterglow Era: 2nd Workshop, eds. E. Costa et al., ESO Astrophysics Symposia, Berlin: Springer Verlag, p. 236
- 50. Le Floc'h, E., et al. 2003, A&A, in press [astro-ph/0301149]
- 51. Lilly, S., et al. 1995, ApJ, 455, 108
- 52. Lin, H., et al. 1999, ApJ, 518, 533
- 53. Lloyd-Ronning, N., Fryer, C., & Ramirez-Ruiz, E. 2002, ApJ, 574, 554
- Loeb, A. 2002a, in Lighthouses of the Universe: The Most Luminous Celestial Objects and Their Use for Cosmology, Eds. M. Gilfanov, R. Sunyaev & E. Churazov, Berlin: Springer Verlag, p.137
- Loeb, A. 2002b, in Supernovae and Gamma-Ray Bursters, ed. K. Weiler, Berlin: Springer Verlag, in press [astro-ph/0106455]
- 56. Madau, P., Pozzetti, L., & Dickinson, M. 1998, ApJ, 498, 106
- 57. Madau, P. 1999, ASPCS, 193, 475
- 58. Madgwick, D., et al. (the 2dF team) 2002, MNRAS, 333, 133
- 59. Mao, S., & Mo, H.J. 1998, A&A, 339, L1
- 60. Mészáros, P. 2001, Science, 291, 79
- 61. Mészáros, P. 2002, ARAA, 40, 137
- 62. Mészáros, P., & Rees M. J. 1997, ApJ, 476, 232
- 63. Mészáros, P. & Rees, M. J. 1999, MNRAS, 306, L39
- 64. Metzger, M.R., et al. 1997, Nature 387, 879
- 65. Mirabal, M., et al. 2002, ApJ, 578, 818
- 66. Norberg, P., et al. (the 2dF team) 2002, MNRAS, 336, 907
- 67. Odewahn, S.C., et al. 1998, ApJ, 509, L5
- 68. Paczyński, B. 1998, ApJ, 494, L45
- Pian, E. 2002, in Supernovae and Gamma-Ray Bursters, ed. K. W. Weiler, Lecture Notes in Physics, Berlin: Springer-Verlag [astro-ph/0110051]
- 70. Piran, T. 1999, Phys. Rep., 314, 575
- 71. Piro, L., et al. 1999, A&ASup, 138, 431
- 72. Piro, L., et al. 2000, Science, 290, 955
- 73. Ramaprakash, A., et al. 1998, Nature, 393, 43
- 74. Reichart, D., et al. 2001, ApJ, 552, 57
- 75. Savaglio, S., Fall, S.M., & Fiore, F. 2002, ApJ, in press
- 76. Schaefer, B. 2000, ApJ, 532, L21
- 77. Sokolov, V.V., et al. 2001, A&A, 372, 428
- 78. Taylor, G.B., et al. 1998, ApJ, 502, L115
- 79. Taylor, G.B., et al. 2000, ApJ, 537, L17
- 80. Totani, T. 1997, ApJ, 486, L71
- 81. van Paradijs, J., et al. 1997, Nature, 386, 686
- 82. van Paradijs, J., Kouveliotou, C., & Wijers, R. 2000, ARAA, 38, 379
- 83. Waxman, E., & Draine, B. 2000, ApJ, 537, 796
- 84. Weth, C., et al. 2000, ApJ, 534, 581
- 85. Yost, S., et al. 2002, ApJ, 577, 155