

## X/ $\gamma$ -ray measurements of the faint GRB 020321

J.J.M. in 't Zand, L. Kuiper, J. Heise

*Space Research Organization Netherlands & University Utrecht*

L. Amati, E. Costa, M. Feroci, F. Frontera, G. Gandolfi, L. Nicastro, L. Piro

*CNR Istituto Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica, Italy*

P. Rodriguez-Pascual, M. Santos-Lleo, N. Schartel

*XMM-Newton Operations Centre, European Space Agency, Madrid, Spain*

**Abstract.** GRB 020321 is a faint GRB that received wide follow-up attention in X-rays (BeppoSAX/NFI, Chandra/ACIS-S, XMM-Newton), radio (ATCA) and optical (ESO, HST). We identify a weak X-ray afterglow by a combined study of the Chandra and XMM-Newton observations. Its decay index of 1.2 is typical for GRB afterglows. Inside the 4'' accurate error box there is a weak optical counterpart candidate with a much shallower decay index.

### 1. Introduction

GRB 020321 was detected by the BeppoSAX Wide Field Camera unit 1 (WFC; Jager et al. 1997) and Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor (GRBM; Costa et al. 1998) at 4:20:40 U.T. (Gandolfi 2002a). The WFC-determined position, 7° from the celestial south pole, was disseminated 6 hours after the burst. Due to a non-optimum attitude solution the positional accuracy was limited to 5' (99% confidence). The burst was followed up with major observatories in X-rays (Chandra [Fox 2002] and XMM-Newton [public TOO]), optical (ESO, HST), and radio (ATCA; Wieringa et al. 2002). We here summarize the X/ $\gamma$ -ray measurements.

### 2. Prompt emission

Fig. 1 shows the time profiles from the WFC and GRBM data. The peak intensities are among the lowest of the bursts and flashes seen with the WFC. The  $\gamma$ -ray duration is typical at about 70 sec. Due to the faintness, the GRBM was not triggered into a high time-resolution data acquisition mode. A hard-to-soft evolution can be discerned from comparing X-ray and  $\gamma$ -ray data. The complete WFC+GRBM spectrum is consistent with a 'Band' spectrum (Band et al. 1993;  $\chi^2_\nu = 0.52$  for 12 dof) with parameters  $\alpha = -0.95 \pm 0.25$ ,  $\beta = -2.01 \pm 0.68$  and




Figure 1. GRB 020321 light curves in X-rays (left) and  $\gamma$ -rays (right).

$E_p = 144 \pm 130$  keV. The 2-10 to 50-300 keV fluence ratio is 0.07 which is typical for GRBs (cf, Heise et al. 2001). Further details are listed in Table 1.

### 3. X-ray afterglow

The BeppoSAX Narrow Field Instruments followed up for only a limited time, from 8.1 to 10.6 hrs after the burst. The exposure time for the MECS instrument is 6133 s. Although there was an initial report of an afterglow detection (Gandolfi 2002b), a refined analysis could not confirm this (In 't Zand et al. 2002). No source was detected above an upper limit of  $3 \times 10^{-13}$  erg s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$  (2-10 keV; for a Crab spectrum). XMM-Newton followed up from 10.3 to 24.2 hrs after the burst, for an exposure time of 50 ksec. Seven sources are obvious in the PN-image (see Fig. 2). Chandra followed up 10.0 days after the burst for 20 ksec with ACIS-S and no grating. Seven sources are apparent (Fox 2002). The S-3 chip only covers 3 of the XMM sources. Two of these are detected, but XMM-Newton 'source 2' is not, thus it is a good afterglow candidate. The position

Table 1. Characteristics of GRB 020321.

Parameter	Band	Value
Duration	2–28 keV	$\approx 140$ s
	40–700	$\approx 70$ s
Peak flux	2–10	$4 \times 10^{-9}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$
	50–300	$5 \times 10^{-8}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$
	40–700	$1 \times 10^{-7}$ erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$
Fluence	2–10	$9 \times 10^{-8}$ erg cm $^{-2}$
	50–300	$2 \times 10^{-6}$ erg cm $^{-2}$
	40–700	$3 \times 10^{-6}$ erg cm $^{-2}$
Isotropic energy output for $z = 1$	20–2000	$6 \times 10^{52}$ erg





Figure 2. EPIC PN image (top) and light curves based on preliminary net count rates of all 7 detected sources (bottom). The large circle in the image is the error circle of the initially reported but unconfirmed X-ray afterglow (Gandolfi 2002b; In 't Zand et al. 2002).




Figure 3. X-ray light curve. Arrows indicate upper limits. The XMM-Newton point is based on the latter 40 ksec of the observation.

as determined with XMM-Newton has an error circle with radius  $4''$ . Figure 3 presents the 2-10 keV flux time history of the prompt emission and 'source 2'. The combined data are consistent with a decay index of 1.2.

#### 4. Discussion

It was only after 18 d that a promising X-ray afterglow candidate could be identified, with a position 3.0 off the initially reported afterglow. This is probably the reason why some follow up was not successful. Nevertheless, observations with the 3.6 m ESO telescope at La Silla covered source 2 and show two extended sources in the error box of the X-ray afterglow (Salamanca et al. 2002). One of them shows clear signs of decay in  $R$ , with  $R = 22.84$  at 1.1 days after the burst. The decay index is  $\approx 0.2$ . Salamanca et al. propose that the shallow index may be due to an optical transient superposed on a host galaxy.

#### References

- Band, D., et al. 1993, ApJ, 413, 281
- Costa, E., et al. 1998, Adv. Sp. Sc, 22, (7)1129
- Fox, D. 2002, GCN 1342
- Gandolfi, G. 2002a and b, GCN 1281 and 1285 respectively
- In 't Zand, J.J.M., et al. 2002, GCN 1348
- Heise, J., et al. 2001, in 2nd Rome GRB workshop, GRBs in the Afterglow Era, eds. E. Costa, F. Frontera and J. Hjorth (Berlin: Springer), 16
- Jager, R., et al. 1997, A&AS, 125, 557
- Salamanca, I., et al. 2002, GCN 1385
- Wieringa, M., et al. 2002, GCN 1308