

# Microlensing in the double quasar SBS1520+530

E. R. Gaynullina<sup>1</sup>, R. W. Schmidt<sup>2</sup>, T. Akhunov<sup>1,3</sup>, O. Burkhonov<sup>3</sup>, S. Gottlöber<sup>4</sup>, K. Mirtadjieva<sup>1,3</sup>, S. N. Nuritdinov<sup>1,3</sup>, I. Tadjibaev<sup>1,3</sup>, J. Wambsganss<sup>2</sup>, and L. Wisotzki<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National University of Uzbekistan, Physics Faculty, Tashkent, 700174, Uzbekistan

<sup>2</sup> Astronomisches Rechen-Institut, Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Mönchhofstraße 12-14, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute of the Uzbek Academy of Sciences and Isaac Newton Institute of Chile, Uzbek Branch, Astronomicheskaya 33, Tashkent, 700052, Uzbekistan

<sup>4</sup> Astrophysikalisches Institut Potsdam, An der Sternwarte 16, 14482 Potsdam, Germany

Draft November 10, 2021

**Abstract.** We present the results of a monitoring campaign of the double quasar SBS1520+530 at Maidanak observatory from April 2003 to August 2004. We obtained light curves in *V* and *R* filters that show small-amplitude  $\Delta m \approx 0.1$  mag intrinsic variations of the quasar on time scales of about 100 days. The data set is consistent with the previously determined time delay of  $\Delta t = (130 \pm 3)$  days by Burud et al. (2002). We find that the time delay corrected magnitude difference between the quasar images is now larger by  $(0.14 \pm 0.03)$  mag than during the observations by Burud et al. (2002). This confirms the presence of gravitational microlensing variations in this system.

**Key words.** gravitational lensing – dark matter – quasars: individual: SBS1520+530 – cosmology: observations

## 1. Introduction

The broad absorption line (BAL) quasar SBS1520+530 ( $z_q = 1.855$ ) was discovered by Chavushyan et al. (1997) as a gravitationally lensed double quasar with an angular separation of  $1''.56$ . The lensing galaxy was detected by Crampton, Schechter & Beuzit (1998) using infrared adaptive optics imaging at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope. Faure et al. (2002) observed the lensing galaxy with the Hubble Space Telescope. Burud et al. (2002) (in the following B02) finally succeeded in obtaining both the redshift  $z_{\text{gal}} = 0.717$  (consistent with absorption lines first found by Chavushyan et al. 1997) of the lensing galaxy with a Keck observatory spectrum and the time delay of  $\Delta t = (130 \pm 3)$  days between the two quasar images using monitoring data from the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT). For this, B02 obtained an almost gapless lightcurve of the object of about 800 days between February 1999 and May 2001. An almost continuous coverage of the light curve of SBS1520+530 is made possible by its high declination. Further photometry obtained at Maidanak observatory on the system was published by Zheleznyak, Sergeev & Burkhonov (2003).

Interestingly, B02 found that by simply shifting the light curve of image B backward by 130 days and correcting for the magnitude difference  $\Delta m = 0.69$  mag of the images made the quasar light curves align only approximately. They obtained


a better match by allowing for an additional linear trend, and by correcting for faster variations using an iterative scheme (Burud et al., 2001). B02 interpret these additional variations to be probably due to microlensing variability.

SBS1520+530 thus is one of the prime targets for microlensing studies since it provides at once the prospects for long, uninterrupted light curves with a known, relatively short time delay and known microlensing variations. For this reason we decided to continue the optical monitoring of this system.

## 2. Observations

We report here on our observations of SBS1520+530 (Fig. 1) with the 1.5 m AZT-22 telescope in Maidanak, Uzbekistan (Ehgamberdiev et al., 2000), between April 25 and October 12 in 2003, and again between January 16 and August 22 in 2004. In these intervals we observed the object almost daily whenever the weather permitted it. The *V* and *R*-filters provide photometry in the Johnson-Cousins system. We observed on average four frames per night with an exposure of 3.5 min in *V* and 3 min in *R* with a median seeing of 1.07 arcsec.

In total we obtained 116 nights in the *V* band and 191 nights in the *R* band. After selecting for good seeing (better than 1.4 arcsec full-width at half maximum) and low sky-background we finally used 80 nights in the *V*-band and 123 nights in the *R*-band. The observations were made with the BROCAM CCD detector with a pixel scale of 0.26 arcsec. Bias correction and



**Fig. 1.** *R*-band image of SBS1520+530 obtained on May 7, 2003. The quasar images, the reference stars S3 and S4, and the star we use for the PSF are labelled. The field size is  $2.8 \text{ arcmin} \times 3.5 \text{ arcmin}$ . The area marked with the box is shown in Fig. 2.


flat fielding of the images were done using standard IRAF software.

### 3. Photometry

A special property of the SBS1520+530 system is its location within 14 arcseconds of a bright 12th magnitude star (Fig. 2). This is great for adaptive optics studies of the system because a bright reference star is at hand (Crampton et al., 1998). For photometry, however, the bright halo and the diffraction pattern caused by the star on the CCD needs to be subtracted carefully.

In order to do this, we followed the method described by Zheleznyak et al. (2003); we extracted the western half of the star and subtracted it from the eastern part where SBS1520 is situated. This procedure also efficiently subtracts the light due to the horizontal diffraction spike that extends towards the double quasar.

Photometry on the quasar components A and B was performed using the DAOPHOT package (Stetson, 1987). We chose this method because it is well-suited to the mildly asymmetric and time-variable AZT-22 point spread function (PSF). Because of the variable PSF it was not possible to use the image subtraction technique (Alard & Lupton, 1998; Alard, 2000). The quoted error bars are the standard  $1\sigma$  errors determined by DAOPHOT. Since there are four point sources (the quasar



**Fig. 2.** *R*-band zoom of the central part of SBS1520+530. The field size is  $30 \text{ arcsec} \times 25 \text{ arcsec}$ . North is up and East is to the left. The bright foreground star next to the two quasar images A and B is saturated in the centre. S1 and S2 are also foreground stars. The lens galaxy ( $m_{R,\text{gal}} \approx 21.6 \text{ mag}$ , Crampton et al. 1998) is too faint to be seen in this image.

components A and B, and the stars S1 and S2) crowded in a rather small region, we had to fit the positions and magnitudes of all four components at the same time. Absolute quasar magnitudes were calibrated using the brightness of the reference star S3 (see Fig. 1) in the *V*-band ( $m_V = (17.37 \pm 0.02) \text{ mag}$ ) and *R*-band ( $m_R = (17.18 \pm 0.02) \text{ mag}$ ) determined by Zheleznyak et al. (2003). The star we used as a template to model the point spread function in DAOPHOT is marked with “PSF” in Fig. 1.

In our analysis we ignore the presence of the lensing galaxy near image B because it is too faint to be detected in our images ( $m_{R,\text{gal}} = 21.6 \text{ mag}$ , Crampton et al. 1998). If all of the galaxy light contributes to our magnitude estimate of quasar image B, the measured brightness would increase by a constant offset of 0.08 mag (assuming  $m_{R,B} = 18.8 \text{ mag}$ ).


## 4. Results

### 4.1. Light curves


We present the results of the *R*-band photometry of SBS1520+530 in Fig. 3 and Table 1<sup>1</sup>. The light curves of the two quasar components A and B are plotted together with the light curve of an additional reference star S4 (see Fig. 1,  $m_R = 18.16 \text{ mag}$ ). This shows that both S3 (which is used for the absolute magnitude calibration) and S4 do not vary.

Both quasar components show low-amplitude  $\Delta m \approx 0.1 \text{ mag}$  variations on time scales of about 100 days. As we will show in the next section, the overall similarity of the two quasar

<sup>1</sup> Table 1 is being made available electronically at CDS. Columns 1-4 contain the A and B magnitudes with errors, Column 5 contains the Julian Date of the observations.



**Fig. 3.** *R*-band light curves of the two quasar images A and B in SBS1520+530 and the reference star S4. For clarity the magnitude for image B was shifted by -0.4 mag, S4 was shifted by +2.4 mag. The dotted vertical line indicates the day with the Julian Date-2452000=880 when the mirror was cleaned. The dashed horizontal line shows the magnitude of the reference star S4  $m_{S4} = 18.16$  mag.



**Fig. 4.** *V* - *R* colour curve for the quasar images A (top) and B (bottom). The best-fitting value for each quasar image is plotted with a dashed line.

image light curves is due to a gradual brightness decrease of the lensed quasar. On the day with Julian Date-2452000=880 the telescope mirror was cleaned. This led to a large sensitivity improvement that visibly improved the accuracy of the quasar brightness measurements, especially for the fainter image B.

In Fig. 4 and Table 2<sup>2</sup> we show the difference between our *V*-band and *R*-band light curves of SBS1520+530. We find an average *V* - *R* colour  $m_V - m_R = 0.15$  mag for image A and  $m_V - m_R = 0.18$  mag for image B. We do not find any evidence for significant colour variations during our observing interval. The small difference  $\Delta(V - R) \approx 0.03$  mag of the *V* - *R* colour between the quasar images indicates the presence of a small level of differential reddening along the light paths.

#### 4.2. Time delay

A wide variety of algorithms have been developed for the determination of time delays in gravitational lens systems (e.g., Kundic et al., 1997; Burud et al., 2001; Gil-Merino et al., 2002). We choose here a straightforward linear interpolation scheme because our light curve mainly consists of two frequently sampled observing intervals. The unfrequently sampled gap in the middle cannot be confidently interpolated with any method. In detail we use the following recipe:

1. The B light curve is shifted by the time delay  $\Delta t$  to be tested.
2. One of the two light curves is linearly interpolated to match the observing dates of the other light curve.
3. Only gaps that are less than 40 days (including the gaps between Julian Date-2452000=1000 and 1100) are interpolated, no difference is calculated for larger gaps because they can introduce a false signal due to sparse sampling of the light curve.
4. For the remaining  $N$  days of overlap, the weighted difference  $\Delta m = \langle m_A - m_B \rangle$  is determined and the goodness of fit estimator


$$\chi^2_v = \frac{1}{N-2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(m_A(t_i) - m_B(t_i + \Delta t) - \Delta m)^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2} \quad (1)$$

(corresponding to the time delay  $\Delta t$ ) is calculated.

For the linear interpolation the errors are added in quadrature. The number of degrees of freedom is  $\nu = N - 2$  because there are two free parameters: time delay and magnitude shift. The  $1/(N - 2)$  factor penalizes solutions with a small number of overlap days.

The best-fitting time delay can be determined by calculating  $\chi^2_v$  values for a range of time delays, and by choosing the time delay with the lowest  $\chi^2_v$  value. In order to determine the associated measurement uncertainties we used 10000 bootstrap resamplings of the observed light curve, smoothed by a triangular filter with a full width of 20 days (e.g., Kundic et al., 1997). For each smoothed resampling the best-fitting time delay was determined for time delays between 0 and 220 days (image A leading). This procedure is robust with respect to the size of the filter. We always interpolated image A because in this case the sparse sampling of our light curve between Julian Date-2452000=900 and 1100 has a smaller impact on the determined limits. We have verified that interpolating image B does

<sup>2</sup> Table 2 is being made available electronically at CDS. Columns 1-4 contain the *V* - *R* colours for images A and B with errors, Column 5 contains the Julian Date of the observations.



**Fig. 5.** Probability  $p$  for time delays based on our SBS1520+530 light curve. The probability was calculated from 10000 Monte-Carlo realizations of the light curve, and by interpolating quasar A each time. 95 per cent of the probability is contained in the four separate regions above the dashed line.


not change the answers. It would only weaken the determined constraints.

The result of the Monte-Carlo resampling is shown in Fig. 5. For two-day bins of the time delay  $\Delta t$  the number of Monte-Carlo light curves with a best-fitting time delay in the given range has been calculated. The probability  $p$  of each bin was calculated by dividing by the total number of resamplings. We find that there are several time delays that are consistent with our data; the 95 per cent confidence region consists of four separate regions. For these sub-regions we can calculate the average time delay and the standard deviation, yielding  $\Delta t = (115.4 \pm 2.1)$  days,  $(130.5 \pm 2.9)$  days,  $(146.3 \pm 1.0)$  days and  $(198.8 \pm 1.1)$  days. The regions carry 33 per cent, 54 per cent, 2 per cent and 6 per cent, respectively, of the statistical weight.

The sub-region with the largest statistical weight is consistent with the time delay  $\Delta t = (130 \pm 3)$  days found by B02. For this time delay our data require an offset of  $\Delta m = -0.83$  mag. In the remainder of this paper we will use this time delay for our data.

### 4.3. Microlensing

In the top panel of Fig. 6 we show the quasar A and B light curves in one plot, where image B was shifted to the left by the time delay of 130 days and up by the magnitude offset of  $-0.83$  mag (see Sect. 4.2). The composite light curve has no large gaps. It shows that the quasar has been going through a



**Fig. 6. Top panel:** R-band light curves of the two quasar images A (filled circles) and B (open circles). The B light curve has been shifted by  $-130$  days, as indicated by the arrow, and by  $-0.83$  mag. **Bottom panels:** Difference light curve between the shifted and interpolated quasar images. This was calculated in both ways: by interpolating image A or by interpolating image B (see text).

series of three small  $\Delta m \approx 0.1$  mag brightness variations that each lasted about 100 days.

Microlensing in the lens galaxy would only affect one of the light paths to the quasar and could thus be detected as a residual light curve difference (e.g., Schmidt & Wambsganss, 1998; Wambsganss et al., 2000). In order to study whether microlensing variations are present in our data, we calculated the difference between the two observed light curves for the time delay  $\Delta t = 130$  days found by B02 and the magnitude offset of  $\Delta m = -0.83$  mag.

To calculate the difference light curve, quasar B was shifted in time and magnitude. The rest of the procedure is identical to the one described in Sect. 4.2; we calculated the difference by linearly interpolating the light curves of quasar A or B. The light curves were interpolated whenever the gap was less than 40 days. No difference was calculated for larger gaps. The error bars were added in quadrature. The resulting two difference light curves are plotted in the bottom two panels of Fig. 6.

It can be taken from these plots that we do not detect a significant difference between the two quasar light curves. We can

calculate the goodness-of-fit estimator for the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the light curves on the  $N$  days for which the difference was calculated (yielding  $\nu = N - 1$  degrees of freedom):

$$\chi^2_\nu = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_i \frac{\Delta m_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}. \quad (2)$$

This calculation yields  $\chi^2_\nu = 1.0$  regardless of whether image A or B is interpolated, indicating that there is excellent agreement between the light curves. The data are formally compatible at a probability of 47 per cent with the null hypothesis. This  $\chi^2_\nu$ -procedure ignores a possible temporal correlation of the data, but there is also no evidence in Fig. 6 for such a correlation.

Assuming that the data have a Gaussian scatter around zero we can determine the standard deviation

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_i \Delta m_i^2. \quad (3)$$


This yields  $\sigma = 0.03$  mag if image A is interpolated and  $\sigma = 0.04$  mag if image B is interpolated. These values are indicated in Fig. 6 (dashed lines). They are of the same magnitude as the error bars, again showing that the difference light curve is consistent with being entirely due to measurement uncertainties.

In their earlier data taken between February 1999 and May 2001, B02 did find a difference between the light curves of the two quasar images in this system. Applying our procedure to the light curves in their table 2, we can also calculate the difference light curve of their data. The result is shown with in Fig. 7 (open circles) together with our difference light curve (filled circles) from the first of the two bottom panels in Fig. 6 (image A was linearly interpolated). The difference curve in this figure is plotted without offset.

Fig. 7 shows that B02 observed a coherent and highly significant difference light curve with a maximum amplitude of  $\Delta m \approx 0.08$  mag. Since the time of the observations by B02 the magnitude difference between the quasar images has increased by  $0.14 \pm 0.03$  mag (see the discussion in Sect. 5). B02 already identified a linear trend (dashed line) in their data. Our data are consistent with this linear trend having continued until the epoch of our observations.

Although the exposure times for the Maidanak and the B02 data are similar, the error bars of the Maidanak data are larger than the error bars obtained by B02. The main reason for this are the different telescope apertures (1.5m at the AZT-22 vs 2.5m at the NOT). In addition, however, the AZT-22 transmission was reduced by about one magnitude before the mirror was cleaned on Julian Date-2452000=880 (see Fig. 3).

We note that the long-term variation of the difference light curve may even be slightly larger than shown in this plot because some light from the lensing galaxy could be included in our magnitude estimate of image B (see Sect. 3). If all of the lensing galaxy light were included, the Maidanak difference light curve would have to be shifted upward by a constant offset of -0.08 mag to correct for the galaxy contribution.



**Fig. 7.** Composite of the difference light curves based on our Maidanak data (filled circles, see Fig. 6) and the data published by Burud et al. (2002) (open circles, the linear trend determined by them is shown with a dashed line). In both cases image A was linearly interpolated.

## 5. Summary and discussion

We have presented  $V$ -band and  $R$ -band photometry of the gravitational lens system SBS1520+530 taken at Maidanak Observatory in the years 2003 (April to October) and 2004 (January to August). During the  $\approx 500$  day observation period with 80 data points in  $V$  and 123 data points in  $R$  we find small amplitude intrinsic variations ( $\Delta m \approx 0.1$  mag) on time scales of about 100 days in both quasar images. The  $V - R$  colour of the quasar is consistent with being constant during the observed period.

Using linear interpolation of the quasar light curves, we have determined the 95 per cent confidence region of time delays for our data set. Due to gaps in the light curve, our data allow four separate values of the time delay, the best one of which agrees with the time delay of  $(130 \pm 3)$  days found by B02. Image A is leading, which is also consistent with lens models of the system (Asano 2000; Faure et al. 2002; B02; Zheleznyak et al. 2003).

Using the B02 time delay, we calculated the difference light curve between the two quasar images. This shows that within the statistical uncertainty the two quasar light curves are identical during our observing interval (Fig. 6). In the observations taken by B02 between 1999 and 2001, a highly significant and variable difference with an amplitude of  $\Delta m \approx 0.08$  mag was present for a fraction of the observing interval. Since then, the overall  $R$ -band magnitude difference between the A and B light curves has changed by  $0.14 \pm 0.03$  mag, the difference being larger in our data (Fig. 7).

Any variable difference between the light curves of SBS1520+530 can be interpreted as gravitational microlensing because other changes of the source would be visible in both quasar images, delayed by the time delay. In addition to the microlensing variability on short time-scales ( $\approx 100$  days and less) found by B02, our data show that there

are also variations on longer time-scales of  $\Delta t \approx (100 - 1000)$  days. This overall level of microlensing variations in SBS1520+530 appears comparable to variations seen in other lens systems (e.g., Hjorth et al., 2002; Wyithe & Loeb, 2002; Schechter et al., 2003).

An exciting prediction for the microlensing effect of quasars is the colour-dependence of the microlensing light curve in the vicinity of caustics (Wambsganss & Paczynski, 1991). In such a situation the difference between the  $V$  and the  $R$  light curve could provide valuable clues to the source structure of the quasar. We will continue to observe SBS1520+530 from Maidanak observatory because frequent sampling of the source remains crucial to derive limits on microlensing variability. If colour variations associated with microlensing could be proven in this system, there would be a strong case for parallel spectral observations of the quasar (see also B02).

Since microlensing currently remains the only technique with the promise to scan the continuum emission regions of quasars on microarcsecond scales, SBS1520+530 should be viewed as a prime target because of the combination of known quasar variability and the at least occasional occurrence of microlensing diagnostics at the same time.

*Acknowledgements.* We thank B. P. Artamonov and V. N. Dudinov for useful advice on the realization of our observations. We thank the former German Ambassador to Uzbekistan, Dr. Martin Hecker, for his support of our collaboration. The Uzbek team thanks the AIP and the University of Potsdam for hospitality during visits. SG and RWS thank the Ulugh Begh Astronomical Institute for hospitality. This project was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), grant 436 USB 113/5/0-1. We also acknowledge support by the European Community's Sixth Framework Marie Curie Research Training Network Programme, Contract No. MRTN-CT-2004-505183 "ANGLES".

## References

- Alard C., 2000, A&AS, 144, 363  
 Alard C., Lupton R.H., 1998, ApJ, 503, 325  
 Asano K., 2000, PASJ 52, 99  
 Burud I., Magain P., Sohy S., Hjorth J., 2001, A&A 360, 805  
 Burud I., Hjorth J., Courbin F., et al., 2002, A&A 391, 481 (B02)  
 Chavushyan V.H., Vlasyuk V.V., Stepanian J.A., Erastova L.K., 1997, A&A 318, L67  
 Crampton D., Schechter P.L., Beuzit J.-L., 1998, AJ 155, 1383  
 Ehgamberdiev S.A., Baijumanov A.K., Ilyasov S.P., Sarazin M., Tillayev Y.A., Tokovinin A.A., Ziad A., 2000, A&A Suppl. Ser., 145, 293  
 Faure C., Courbin F., Kneib J. P., et al., 2002, A&A 386, 69  
 Gil-Merino R., Wisotzki L., Wambsganss J., 2002, A&A, 381, 428  
 Hjorth J., et al., 2002, ApJL, 572, L11  
 Kundic T., et al., 1997, ApJ 482, 75  
 Schechter P.L., et al., 2003, ApJ, 584, 657  
 Schmidt R., Wambsganss J., 1998, A&A, 335, 379  
 Stetson P., 1987, PASP 99, 191  
 Wambsganss J., Paczynski B., 1991, AJ 102, 864

- Wambsganss J., Schmidt R.W., Colley W., Kundić T., Turner E.L., 2000, A&A, 362, L37  
 Wyithe J.S.B., Loeb A., 2002, ApJ, 577, 615  
 Zheleznyak A.P., Sergeev A.V., Burkhonov O.A., 2003, Astronomy Reports 47, 717