

II. A method of estimating time scales of atmospheric piston and its application at Dome C (Antarctica).

A. Kellerer, M. Sarazin

European Southern Observatory

aglae.kellerer@eso.org

T. Butterley, R. Wilson

Department of Physics, University of Durham

Temporal fluctuations of the atmospheric piston are critical for interferometers as they determine their sensitivity. We characterize an instrumental set-up, termed the piston scope, that aims at measuring the atmospheric time constant, τ_0 , through the image motion in the focal plane of a Fizeau interferometer.

High-resolution piston scope measurements have been obtained at the observatory of Paranal, Chile, in April 2006. The derived atmospheric parameters are shown to be consistent with data from the astronomical site monitor, provided that the atmospheric turbulence is displaced along a single direction.

Piston scope measurements, of lower temporal and spatial resolution, were for the first time recorded in February 2005 at the Antarctic site of Dome C. Their re-analysis in terms of the new data calibration sharpens the conclusions of a first qualitative examination [1].

© 2018 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: 120.0120, 010.0010

1. Introduction

Interferometers have been introduced in astronomy to gain in spatial resolution without the need to build extremely large telescopes. To resolve *Sirius*, observations in the infrared domain ($\sim 2 \mu\text{m}$) would require a telescope of about 170 m mirror diameter. Fortunately, *Sirius* can also be resolved by two telescopes of more modest size, separated by 170 m and operated as an interferometer. Yet, despite this considerable gain in resolution, interferometers

are not the prime tool of today’s astronomers. This is largely due to their limited sensitivity: atmospheric turbulence makes the interferometric fringe pattern move in the detector plane. Accordingly, one tends to use exposure times that are short enough to “freeze” the turbulence, i.e. typically several milliseconds. To increase the sensitivity, phasing devices are being designed that measure the position of the fringe pattern due to a reference star, and correct continuously for the fringe motion of the target object. For such devices to work, a sufficient number of photons need to be collected on the reference star during the time when the atmosphere is frozen, i.e. during the *atmospheric coherence time* $\tau_0 = 0.314 r_0/\overline{V}_{5/3}$, where r_0 is the *Fried parameter* and $\overline{V}_{5/3}$ is a weighted average of the turbulent layers’ velocities. Clearly, the coherence time is the parameter that determines the performance of today’s interferometers. Different definitions of the atmospheric coherence time have been introduced in relation to various observational techniques: single telescopes with or without adaptive-optics, interferometers with or without fringe trackers etc. However the standard adaptive-optics coherence time τ_0 has been shown to quantify the performance of all these techniques [2].

In a previous article [1], we characterized the temporal evolution of fringe motion at Dome C, a summit on the antarctic continent, and a potential site for a future interferometer, using the motion of the fringe pattern formed in the focal plane of a Fizeau interferometer. The temporal and spatial sampling of the measurements were low due to the available equipment and, instead of determining coherence-time values, the mean duration of correlation was assessed by fitting the fringe correlation-function onto an exponential curve (cf. Section 4). Such measurements have now been repeated at the site of Paranal, Chile, with sufficient spatial and temporal sampling, to allow the determination of the coherence time. Further, all relevant atmospheric parameters are constantly monitored at Paranal by a meteorological station, hence the parameter values derived through our set-up (termed *piston scope*) can be checked against reference values.

In the first Section, the quantities measured with the piston scope are related to the following atmospheric parameters: the Fried parameter, the turbulent layers velocities and the coherence time – using the Kolmogorov theory of atmospheric turbulence. The relations are then tested on the observations performed at Paranal. It is shown that when the sampling is sufficient, the precision on the coherence time is limited by the piston scope’s sensitivity to wind direction. Given these results, the third Section presents a new analysis of the measurements obtained at Dome C [1]. The lower limits to the coherence time, derived through our first qualitative analysis, are confirmed and additional results on the Fried parameter and wavefront speed are given.

2. Formalism

The purpose of the piston scope experiment is to track the rapid fluctuations of the atmospheric piston. To this effect, the entrance pupil of a telescope is covered by a mask with two circular openings. The resulting image is a fringe pattern within the superposition of the two diffraction discs. Atmospheric turbulence keeps the image of the star moving on the detector. The local inclination of the wave front over each of the holes causes the movement of the Airy discs, whereas difference in the optical path for the two holes, i.e. the piston, shifts the fringe pattern relative to the center of the Airy discs. Telescope vibrations, on the other hand, cause merely a common movement of Airy discs and fringes. The relative movements between Airy discs and fringes are, therefore, solely due to the atmospheric turbulence. The subsequent analysis deals with their temporal patterns. Piston changes shift the fringe pattern relative to the Airy discs along the interferometric axis. Accordingly, in order to assess the temporal fluctuations of the piston it is sufficient to consider the shift along the axis.

As suggested by Conan et al. [3], the spatial power spectrum W_ϕ of the relative movements between Airy discs and fringes is derived from the phase spectrum W_φ , assuming a Kolmogorov model of turbulence with an infinite outer scale. In the following we use the notations of Conan et al. [3].

$$W_\varphi(\mathbf{f}) = 0.00969 k^2 \int_0^{+\infty} f^{-11/3} C_n^2 dh, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{f} is the spatial frequency and $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ the wavenumber. The turbulence intensity of a layer i of thickness dh at altitude h is specified in terms of $C_n^2 dh$. The explicit dependence of C_n and all following parameters on h is dropped to ease the reading of the formulae. The measured quantity is the separation – along the interferometric axis, x – between the central fringe and the center of the combined Airy discs. The spatial filter \tilde{M} that converts W_φ into the power spectrum W_ϕ equals:

$$\tilde{M}(\mathbf{f}) = \lambda/(2\pi) A(\mathbf{f}) FT[(\delta_B - \delta_0)/B - (\delta_B + \delta_0)/2 * d/dx](\mathbf{f}), \quad (2)$$

for a baseline vector \mathbf{B} and the aperture filter function $A(\mathbf{f})$. For a circular aperture of diameter D , $A(\mathbf{f}) = 2J_1(\pi f D)/(\pi f D)$ and $f = |\mathbf{f}|$. J_n stands for the Bessel function of order n . FT represents the Fourier transform, δ_L is the delta function centered on L and $*$ denotes convolution. Hence,

$$\tilde{M}(\mathbf{f}) = \lambda/(2\pi) A(\mathbf{f}) [2 \sin(\pi \mathbf{f} \mathbf{B}) - 2\pi \mathbf{f} \mathbf{B} \cos(\pi \mathbf{f} \mathbf{B})] / B \quad (3)$$

$$W_\phi(\mathbf{f}) = \tilde{M}^2(\mathbf{f}) W_\varphi(\mathbf{f}). \quad (4)$$

In the single layer approximation, we assume the turbulent layer to be transported with a velocity \mathbf{V} directed at an angle α with respect to the baseline. The temporal power spectrum of the measured quantity is obtained by integrating in the frequency plane over a line

displaced by $f_x = \nu/V$ from the coordinate origin and inclined at angle α . Let f_y be the integration variable along this line and $f^2 = f_x^2 + f_y^2$. The temporal power spectrum equals:

$$w_\phi(\nu) = \frac{1}{V} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_\phi(f_x \cos \alpha + f_y \sin \alpha, f_y \cos \alpha - f_x \sin \alpha) df_y \quad (5)$$

We then derive the expression of the structure function:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\phi(t) &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 - \cos(2\pi\nu t)) w_\phi(\nu) d\nu & (6) \\ &= 2 \times 0.00969 C_n^2 dh / B^2 \int_0^{+\infty} f^{-8/3} (2J_1(\pi fd) / (\pi fd))^2 df \\ &\quad \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - \cos(2\pi f \cos(\theta + \alpha) Vt)) \\ &\quad [2 \sin(\pi B f \cos \theta) - 2\pi f B \cos \theta \cos(\pi f B \cos \theta)]^2 d\theta & (7) \end{aligned}$$

The best estimate of the parameters is obtained by fitting the measured points to: $D_\phi(t) + K$, where K is a constant that allows for white measurement noise. As seen from Eq. 7, the structure function depends on the wind orientation α because the mask of the piston scope is not rotationally symmetric. Temporal evolutions of the structure functions, for different values of α , are represented on Fig. 1. The asymptotic value of the structure function at large time increments is determined by the Fried parameter r_0 , whereas the time needed to reach the asymptotic value is a function of the velocity V .

3. Measurements at Paranal

3.A. Observational set-up

Several observations of *Spica* were obtained at Paranal on the nights from 22-23 and 23-24 April 2006, using a modified SLODAR [4] (Slope detection and ranging). This SLODAR is designed to measure profiles of the atmospheric turbulence with a telescope that has a 0.4 m diameter primary mirror, and a focal length of 4.064 m. The detector is a 128×128 array of $(24 \times 24) \mu\text{m}^2$ pixels with a peak quantum efficiency of 92% at $\lambda_0 = 550$ nm and next to zero read-out noise. For our experiment the entrance pupil of SLODAR was covered by a mask with two circular openings of diameter $D = 0.115$ m and centers $B = 0.260$ m apart. The resulting image is a fringe pattern of angular period $\lambda_0/B = 0.44''$ within the superposition of two Airy discs of diameter $2.44\lambda_0/D = 2.41''$. Two lenses were used to increase the focal length by a factor 16.67, this makes each pixel correspond to an angular increment of $0.073''$. During the first night, a sequence of 1000 images was recorded at 240 Hz with an exposure time equal to 2 ms. On the following night, six sequences of 1000 images were recorded at 300 Hz with 1 ms exposure time.

The piston is quantified in terms of the motion of the fringe packet relative to the combined Airy discs. The quantification of the axial motion requires the extraction of the following

parameters from the observed images: the position of the central fringe and the position – along the interferometric axis – of the center of the combined Airy discs. This extraction has been described in detail in a previous article [1]. An example of a raw image is shown on Fig. 2 with the corresponding, fitted intensity profile.

3.B. Derivation of atmospheric parameters

The Fried parameter r_0 , the wavefront velocity V and orientation α are derived by fitting $D_\phi(t) + K$ onto the data points, as described in Section 2. $D_\phi(t)$ corresponds to an atmospheric model where the turbulence is contained in a single layer, that is displaced as a whole with the velocity V under an angle α .

The resulting parameter values and uncertainties are indicated on Fig. 3. The latter correspond to a doubling of the squared deviation of the data points to the theoretic structure function. The Fried parameter is determined by the asymptotic value of the structure function at large time increments. To ease the comparison with the meteorological station of Paranal, we indicate the *seeing angle* ϵ_0 rather than the Fried parameter r_0 , these two parameters are essentially equivalent: $\epsilon_0 = 0.976 \lambda/r_0$ [rad]. V and α are derived from the first few measurement points and the coherence time, τ_0 , is then obtained through the classic relation: $\tau_0 = 0.314 r_0/V$.

3.C. Performance of the piston scope

On Figs. 4-6, the values of ϵ_0 , V_{ps} and τ_0 obtained with the piston scope are compared to measurements in terms of the Paranal monitoring-instruments. We do not compare the wind orientations, because the value of α that is obtained with the piston scope depends on the position of the mask, hence on the pointing of the telescope, and it is difficult to relate it to the angle measured by the meteorological station.

- Seeing values (see Fig. 4): The values estimated with the piston scope coincide with those measured at 6 m height by the DIMM [5] (Differential Image Motion Monitor). Note that we assume the atmosphere to consist of one layer displaced along a single direction, yet Fig. 7 shows that on 22-23 April the turbulence was contained in several layers with similar intensity. However, the asymptotic value of the structure function has the same altitude dependence as the seeing:

$$D_\phi(t \gg \tau_0) \propto r_0^{-5/3} \propto \int_0^{+\infty} C_n^2 dh \quad (8)$$

therefore the seeing estimated by the piston scope is correct independently of turbulence profile.

- Velocities (see Fig. 5): The wavefront velocity V_{ps} derived with the piston scope is a turbulence-weighted average of the layers' velocities $V(h)$. Ideally, V_{ps} should have the same dependence on turbulence parameters as τ_0 , hence:

$$V_{\text{ps}} \propto \bar{V}_{5/3} = \left[\frac{\int_0^{+\infty} V(h)^{5/3} C_n^2(h) dh}{\int_0^{+\infty} C_n^2(h) dh} \right]^{3/5} \quad (9)$$

Sarazin & Tokovinin [6] give an empirical relation between $\bar{V}_{5/3}$ and the wind speeds measured at ground level and at 200 mB pressure. That relation has been verified at Paranal and Cerro Pachon in Chile, and later confirmed at San Pedro de Martir, Mexico:

$$\bar{V}_{5/3} \approx \max(V_g, 0.4 V_{200\text{mB}}) \quad (10)$$

At Paranal, V_g is measured by wind sensors at 30 m height and $V_{200\text{mB}}$ is estimated every 6 hours by the ECMWF [7] (European Center for Medium Range Weather Forecast) through a global meteorological model which runs twice a day at 00 UT and 12 UT. This involves the assimilation of worldwide-collected data from radio soundings, satellite observations etc.

It appears from Fig. 5 that the wavefront velocities derived with the piston scope coincide with $0.4 V_{200\text{mB}}$, rather than $\bar{V}_{5/3} \approx \max(V_g, 0.4 V_{200\text{mB}})$. When the turbulence is contained in several layers, the measured structure function is an average of single-layer structure functions as represented on Fig. 1. If these layers have different wind velocities and orientations, the dispersion of the data points around the best-fitting structure function is large and the resulting wavefront velocity is poorly constrained. Accordingly, and in line with Fig. 7, V_{ps} is derived with respectively 55% and 10% uncertainties during the first and second night of observations.

- The coherence time (see Fig. 6) is a combination of the seeing and wavefront velocity, thus it is essentially unconstrained during the first night. On the subsequent night, the values are consistent with those derived through the two following methods: With MASS, τ_0 is assessed from the scintillation through a 2 cm diameter aperture. MASS is not sensitive to the lower layers of turbulence (< 500 m), and, correspondingly, measures higher coherence times. A second value of τ_0 is obtained by combining DIMM seeing-values with measurements of the wind speed: $\tau_0 = 0.314 r_0 / \bar{V}_{5/3}$, where $\bar{V}_{5/3}$ is estimated by Eq. 10. Since these values are obtained from distinct locations with different telescopes pointing at different stars, we do not expect them to coincide. The results seem to suggest that the piston scope sees more turbulence than MASS and DIMM: While this is probable – the piston scope is installed inside a dome at ground level, whereas MASS and DIMM are placed on an open platform at 6 m above the ground – no definite conclusion is possible given the amount of data.

4. Measurements at Dome C

Dome C is one of the summits on the Antarctic plateau with altitude 3233 m. The station, which is jointly operated by France and Italy, is located 1100 km inland from the French research station Dumont Durville and 1200 km inland from the Italian Zuchelli station. Dome C is known as a site with extremely low wind speeds at high altitudes. Because of its elevated location and its relative distance from the edges of the Antarctic Plateau, Dome C does not experience the katabatic winds characteristic of the coastal regions of Antarctica. Hence the coherence times could be particularly high. Lawrence et al. [8] have, during the Antarctic night, determined high-altitude turbulence parameters that are 2 to 3 times better than at mid-latitude sites. Accordingly, they concluded that an interferometer located on Dome C might allow projects that would otherwise require instruments in space. The value of $\tau_0 = 7.9$ ms obtained by Lawrence et al. was derived from measurements with the MASS instrument and hence, it does not take into account the turbulence below 500 m (see the MASS website for corresponding calibration studies [9]). Measurements of τ_0 integrated over the whole atmosphere still need to be obtained.

In this context, similar measurements to those presented in Section 3, have been performed at Dome C, Antarctica, on January 31st and February 1st 2005 at daytime. For these measurements, *Canopus* was observed with a telescope of focal length 2.80 m and a primary mirror of 0.28 m, placed 3.5 m above the ground. The entrance pupil was covered by a mask with two 0.06 m diameter circular openings and centers 0.20 m apart. The observational set-up, as well as a first qualitative data analysis has been presented in a previous article [1]. The observations – done with the available equipment – were both spatially and temporally under sampled. During six sequences out of nine, it was nevertheless possible to place a lower limit equal to 10 ms to the mean duration of correlation t_c of the fringe patterns. This was done by fitting an exponential curve onto the measured structure functions:

$$D_\phi(t) = D_\phi(t \gg t_c) \times (1 - \exp(-(t/t_c)^{-5/3})) \quad (11)$$

In Section 2, the structure function has been related to the Fried parameter r_0 and to the velocity vector \mathbf{V} in the case of a single turbulent layer, using the Kolmogorov model of atmospheric turbulence. This relation has been tested on well sampled piston scope measurements recorded at Paranal (see Section 3), and is now applied to re-analyze the data from Dome C. We consider six out of nine sequences that were presented in the previous article. Images were taken every 28 ms, with exposure times of 1, 2 or 3 ms. Each sequence contains between 209 and 723 images and, thus, lasts roughly 5 to 20 s. Two sequences – recorded on February 1st at 7:49 UT and 9:41 UT – are not re-analyzed because the central positions of the fringe pattern and of the combined Airy discs are determined with too large uncertainties. In the previous article they were part of the three sequences during which the correlation time t_c

was found to be less than 10 ms. For the third such sequence, recorded on January 31st at 9:07 UT, the fringe pattern can be fitted but since the structure function reaches its asymptotic value at the first measurement point, it can not be compared to a theoretical curve.

As seen on Fig. 8, the structure functions reach their asymptotic value after the 4th to 5th data point. The fit involves three free parameters ϵ_0, V, α besides the white noise, K , that is approximately constant if the instrumental settings do not vary. The data obtained at Paranal from April 23rd to 24th yield: $K = (3.2 \pm 0.7) 10^{-14} \text{ rad}^2$. To constrain the fit, K is therefore fixed to the value that optimizes the global result of the six fitting procedures: $K = 1.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ rad}^2$.

The derived parameter-values and uncertainties are indicated on Fig. 8. As specified in Section 3, the uncertainties correspond to a two-fold increase in the squared deviation of the data points to the theoretic structure function. The values of the seeing are consistent with measurements by DIMM (Fig. 9): The difference in the estimates by the piston scope and the DIMM at 8.5 m height, resembles the scatter between the values estimated by the DIMM instruments at 3.5 m and 8.5 m, and is due to ground layer turbulence. In line with our previous qualitative analysis, coherence times are found to lie above 10 ms during the periods when five of the nine sequences were recorded.

Note that the wind orientations are not constrained by the analysis. To derive – without continuous assessment of wind-direction profiles – more accurate values of τ_0 , a parameter needs to be measured that is independent of the wind orientation. We have pointed out what appears to be a suitable new method in a previous article [2].

5. Conclusions

The atmospheric coherence time, τ_0 , is the crucial parameter for interferometers because it determines their sensitivity. Yet, a simple method is still lacking to monitor the coherence time at different sites, and to decide where the future large interferometers ought to be built. Does the piston scope fulfill this need? To answer that question, we have related the measured quantity to parameters of the Kolmogorov model of turbulence.

It was found that due to its sensitivity to the wind direction the piston scope can be used to assess the wavefront velocity and the coherence time if, and only if, the whole turbulence is displaced along a single direction. Since the single layer model is not a permanent feature on most sites, the estimation of the coherence time is insecure. This conclusion is supported by seven sequences of 1000 images, recorded with the piston scope at the observatory of Paranal in April 2005. To determine the coherence time for any kind of atmospheric turbulence, a rotationally symmetric set-up has been proposed [2] and first measurements are planned.

The measurements performed at Dome C have been analyzed using the method here presented. Within the uncertainties due to low samplings, seeing angles are derived that coincide

with simultaneous DIMM measurements. Mean wavefront speeds are found to be remarkably low. In agreement with a first qualitative analysis [1], the corresponding coherence times are determined to be superior to 10 ms during five out of nine sequences.

References

1. Kellerer, A., Sarazin, M., Coudé Du Foresto, V., Agabi, K., Aristidi, E., Sadibekova, T., “A method of estimating time scales of atmospheric piston and its application at Dome C (Antarctica)”, *Applied Optics*, **45**, 5709-5715 (2006)
2. Kellerer, A., Tokovinin, A., “Atmospheric coherence time in interferometry: definition and measurement”, *A&A*, **461**, 775-781 (2007)
3. Conan, J.M., Rousset, G., Madec, P.Y., “Wave-front spectra in high-resolution imaging through turbulence”, *J. Opt. Soc. AM. A*, **12**, 1559-1570 (1995)
4. Butterley, T., Wilson, R., Sarazin, M., “Determination of the profile of atmospheric optical turbulence strength from SLODAR data”, *MNRAS*, **369**, 835-845 (2006)
5. Sarazin, M., Roddier, F., “The ESO differential image motion monitor” , *A&A*, **227**, 294-300 (1990)
6. Sarazin, M., Tokovinin, A., “The statistics of Isoplanatic Angle and Adaptive Optics Time Constant derived from DIMM data”, *Beyond conventional adaptive optics, ESO Conference and Workshop Proceedings*, **58**, 321-328 (2001)
7. European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, <http://www.ecmwf.int/>
8. Lawrence, J., Ashley, M., Tokovinin, A., Travouillon, T., “Exceptional astronomical seeing conditions above Dome C in Antarctica”, *Nature*, **431**, 278-281 (2004)
9. Tokovinin, A., National Optical Astronomy Observatory: MASS presentation, <http://www.ctio.noao.edu/~atokovin/profiler/> (2006)




Fig. 1. Structure functions of the fringe position relative to the combined Airy discs, for an interferometer with mirror diameters D and baseline length B . The atmosphere is assumed to consist of a single layer displaced with wind speed V at an angle α from the baseline. The values of α are indicated in the bottom right box.











Fig. 2. Example of an image recorded with 1 ms exposure time at Paranal on the night of 23-24 April at 02:03:55 UT and fitted intensity profile along the axial direction.






Fig. 3. Theoretical structure functions (dashed line) fitted onto data obtained at Paranal, the resulting seeing ϵ_0 , velocity V , wind orientation α and coherence time τ_0 are indicated.




Fig. 4. Seeing values measured at Paranal with the DIMM and the piston scope. The uncertainties of the piston scope values correspond to a twofold increase in the quality of the data adjustment.




Fig. 5. Wavefront velocities obtained with the piston scope (V_{ps}), wind velocities measured by sensors at 30 m above the ground of Paranal (V_g) and interpolated at 200 mB from ECMWF data (V_{200mB}).




Fig. 6. Coherence times obtained at Paranal through three different methods.




Fig. 7. Profiles of the free atmosphere turbulence obtained by MASS at Paranal. On 22-23 April (left panel) the turbulence was contained in several layers of similar intensity, while on 23-34 April (right panel) one layer at 4 km was predominant around 2:00 UT.




Fig. 8. Atmospheric parameter values derived from measurements at Dome C.




Fig. 9. Seeing values measured at Dome C with the DIMM and the piston scope.