The Orbital Ephemeris and X-Ray Light Curve of Cyg X-3

Steven M. Matz[∗]

[∗]Northwestern University Dept. of Physics and Astronomy Dearborn Observatory Evanston Illinois 60208-2900

Abstract.

The orbital dynamics of Cyg X-3 are a key to understanding this enigmatic X-ray binary. Recent observations by the RXTE ASM and the OSSE instrument on GRO enable us to extend the baseline of arrival time measurements and test earlier models of orbital period evolution. We derive new quadratic and cubic ephemerides from the soft X-ray data (including ASM). We find a significant shift between the predicted soft X-ray phase and the light curve phase measured by OSSE from ∼ 44 to 130 keV. Some of the apparent phase shift may be caused by a difference in light curve shape.

INTRODUCTION

Cygnus X–3 is a unique and poorly understood X-ray source which may represent a very short-lived, transitional state of binary evolution. A study of its dynamics (via the orbital ephemeris), and local environment (via the light curve and spectrum) may have broad implications for X-ray binaries in general. The period (4.8 hr, presumably orbital) is characteristic of low mass X-ray binaries. However, there is evidence that the companion is actually a high-mass Wolf-Rayet star [1]. The mass loss from the stellar wind of such a star could explain the large *positive* P measured in Cyg X–3, which is inconsistent with mass transfer via Roche lobe overflow [2]. The light curve, observed in IR and X-rays, is asymmetric with a nonzero eclipse, resulting, in most models, from interactions in a dense cocoon or wind around the system [3–6]. If the asymmetry is instead caused by an elliptical orbit, the light curve shape is expected to change significantly over time due to apsidal motion [7] which could also produce some or all of the apparent period increase [8]. Therefore, measurements of the light curve shape are directly related to the orbital dynamics.

OBSERVATION SUMMARY

OSSE [9] observed Cyg X-3 for ∼ 65 days in 7 intervals between 1991 May 30 and 1994 July 12. We use the 2-min background-subtracted ∼ 50 keV to 10 MeV spectra produced by the standard OSSE spectral analysis routines for these 7 observations. There was no significant flux contribution from Cyg X–1 in any of the source or background pointings. Some results of the earlier OSSE observations have been described elsewhere [10].

For the ASM we used the background subtracted 2–10 keV Cyg X-3 counting rates from the quick-look results provided by the ASM/RXTE team over the WWW. The data analyzed here cover 1996 Feb 22 – 1997 Apr 11.

TABLE 1. ASM Arrival Times

Interval	Cycle	Arr. Time	σ_T
$(HJD - 2440000.)$		$(HJD - 2440000.)$	$\rm (days)$
10135.8-10235.4	46251.0000	10185.6237	1.0×10^{-3}
10236.0-10335.9	46753.0000	10285.8687	1.1×10^{-3}
10335.9-10435.8	47254.0000	10385.9134	1.4×10^{-3}
10435.8-10535.7	47754.0000	10485.7588	1.2×10^{-3}

ASM ARRIVAL TIME DERIVATION

To correct for large, long term changes in the source DC flux level the ASM 2–10 keV Cyg X-3 rates were first "cleaned" by fitting a line to successive 10-day segments of the data and subtracting the fit. The linear fit removes trends on time scales much longer than an orbital period. This process did not significantly change the arrival times derived from the data.

The cleaned data were divided into approximately 100-day intervals. Long intervals are needed to average over the cycle-to-cycle light curve (LC) variations and to completely sample the Cyg X-3 orbit. The data in each interval were then corrected to the SSB and epoch-folded (using a constant period ephemeris) to produce orbital light curves. We fit the resulting light curves with the EXOSAT template [11] to determine the relative phase of the LC minimum. This phase is then used to calculate an arrival time for a cycle near the middle of the observation interval [12]. The arrival times are shown in Table 1 in heliocentric JD (HJD) – 2440000.

Figure 1 shows differences (residuals) between the arrival times predicted by a constant period ephemeris and those observed by the ASM and by other soft X-ray instruments going back to 1970 ([13] and references therein, plus [14]). Overplotted are fits with quadratic and cubic ephemeris models.

FIGURE 1. Arrival time residuals for OSSE, ASM, and historical data. The errors on the ASM points are approximately equal to the symbol size. Also shown are the best-fit quadratic and cubic ephemerides. An extrapolation of an older cubic fit [11] with a larger negative \ddot{P} is shown for comparison.

ORBITAL EPHEMERIS

The ASM arrival times were combined with those from earlier soft X-ray observations and fit with both quadratic and cubic ephemeris models (Figure 1). The resulting best-fit parameters are shown in Table 2. Neither quadratic nor cubic models provide a statistically acceptable fit to all the data. Possibly the errors in earlier data have been underestimated due to cycle-to-cycle variations or other systematic effects [13]. No single data set drives the bad χ^2 .

The cubic term is still statistically significant, but the fitted magnitude has decreased with time: from $\ddot{P} = 1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ [11] to 4.1×10^{-11} yr⁻¹ in this work. The wind model predicts essentially zero \ddot{P} . Future ASM data should provide a definitive test of the reality of the non-zero P .

OSSE ARRIVAL TIME DERIVATION

Following the same procedure used for the ASM data, arrival times were determined for the each of the 7 OSSE observations of Cyg X–3 from 1991 to 1994 using light curves of 2-min background-subtracted counting rates ~ 44 –130 keV.

$T_n = T_0 + P_0 n + c_0 n^2$		$T_n = T_0 + P_0 n + c_0 n^2 + dn^3$	
T_0 P_0 c_0 χ^2 (dof) Conf. level	$2440949.89185 \pm 0.00088$ HJD $0.199684393 \pm (8.9 \times 10^{-8})$ d $(6.06 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-11}$ d c_0 $\begin{array}{c c} 168.42 & (84) & \chi^2 \text{ (dof)} \\ 1.3 \times 10^{-7} & \text{Conf. level} \end{array}$	T_0 P_0	2440949.8967 ± 0.0014 HJD $0.199683305 \pm (2.6 \times 10^{-7})$ d $(1.17 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-10}$ d $(-7.54 \pm 1.71) \times 10^{-16}$ d 136.37(83) 2.0×10^{-4}

TABLE 2. PRELIMINARY Best-Fit Quadratic and Cubic Ephemerides

The arrival times for the 7 observations were compared with the values predicted using the soft X-ray ephemerides (Table 2). The OSSE points fall systematically below the predicted curves on the residual plot.

The OSSE phase minimum (\sim 44–130 keV) is significantly (6–7 σ) earlier than the predicted soft X-ray (1–10 keV) minimum for both quadratic and cubic ephemerides $(\Delta t \sim -20 \pm 3 \text{ min}, \Delta \phi \sim -0.065 \pm 0.010)$. The data are consistent with a constant offset over more than 3 years of observations.

ORBITAL LIGHT CURVE ANALYSIS

Light curves (Figure 2) were produced from the ASM and OSSE data using the quadratic ephemeris in Table 2. The plotted errors in the ASM data reflect only the reported statistical errors. The light curves were fit with the EXOSAT soft X-ray template [11], varying phase, amplitude, and DC intensity to determine the phase of minimum and the consistency of the overall shape with the template.

Both the OSSE and ASM light curves are statistically inconsistent with the canonical X-ray template [11]. Qualitatively, however, the template reasonably describes the ASM data. The large χ^2 is due to the fact that the actual observed variations in each bin are larger that the statistical errors due to underlying source fluctuations.

Evolution of the light curve shape with time is expected if the apparent orbital period change is due to apsidal motion [7,8]. There is no evidence for this in the ASM observations.

The OSSE LC appears somewhat more symmetric than the template, with a faster rise, but this is difficult to constrain with current data. The differences in LC shape may contribute to the apparent phase shift with energy.

REFERENCES

- 1. van Kerkwijk, M. H. et al., Nature, 355, 703 (1992).
- 2. Molnar, L. A., ApJ, 331, L25 (1988).
- 3. Pringle, J. E., Nature, 247, 21 (1974).

FIGURE 2. ASM (top) and OSSE (bottom) light curves with best-fit X-ray templates overplotted (dashed line). The dashed-dotted line in the OSSE plot shows the best fit to the OSSE data with the ASM phase. The shift between the two energies is apparent.

- 4. Milgrom, M. and Pines, D., ApJ, 220, 272 (1978).
- 5. White, N. E. and Holt, S. S., ApJ, 257, 318 (1982).
- 6. Willingale, R., King, A. R., and Pounds, K. A., MNRAS, 215, 295 (1985).
- 7. Ghosh, P., Elsner, R. F., Weisskopf, M. C., and Sutherland, P. G., ApJ, 251, 230 (1981).
- 8. Elsner, R. F., Ghosh, P., Darbro, W., Weisskopf, M. C., Sutherland, P. G., and Grindlay, J. E., ApJ, 239, 335 (1980).
- 9. Johnson, W. N., et al., ApJS, 86, 693 (1993).
- 10. Matz, S. M., Grabelsky, D. A., Purcell, W. R., Ulmer, M. P., Johnson, W. N., Kinzer, R. L., Kurfess, J. D., and Strickman, M. S., in The Evolution of X-Ray Binaries (AIP Conf. Proc. 308), Holt, S. S. and Day, C. S., editors, 263 (AIP, New York, 1994).
- 11. van der Klis, M. and Bonnet-Bidaud, J. M., A&A, 214, 203 (1989).
- 12. Matz, S. M., Fender, R. P., Bell Burnell, S. J., Grove, J. E., and Strickman, M. S., $A\&AS$, 120, 235 (1996).
- 13. Kitamoto, S. et al., PASJ, 47, 233 (1995).
- 14. Bonnet-Bidaud, J. M. and van der Klis, M., in Cataclysmic Variables and Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries, Lamb, D. Q. and Patterson, J., editors, 147 (D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985).