

Pre-enriched, not primordial ellipticals

A. E. Sansom, R. N. Proctor

Centre for Astrophysics, University of Central Lancashire, Preston PR1 2HE

Accepted 1999 December 15. Received 1999 December 14; in original form 1999 October 11

ABSTRACT

We follow the chemical evolution of a galaxy through star formation and its feedback into the inter-stellar medium (ISM), starting from primordial gas and allowing for gas to inflow into the region being modelled. We attempt to reproduce observed spectral line-strengths for early-type galaxies to constrain their star formation histories (SFH). The efficiencies and times of star formation are varied as well as the amount and duration of inflow. We evaluate the chemical enrichment and the mass of stars made with time. Single stellar population (SSP) data are then used to predict line-strengths for composite stellar populations. The results are compared with observed line-strengths in ten ellipticals, including some features which help to break the problem of age-metallicity degeneracy in old stellar populations. We find that the elliptical galaxies modelled require high metallicity SSPs ($> 3 Z_{\odot}$) at later times. In addition the strong lines observed cannot be produced by an initial starburst in primordial gas, even if a large amount of inflow is allowed for during the first few $\times 10^8$ years. This is because some pre-enrichment is required for lines in the bulk of the stars to approach the observed line-strengths in ellipticals. These strong lines are better modelled by a system with a delayed burst of star formation, following an early SFH which can be a burst or more steady star formation. Such a model is representative of star formation in normal ellipticals or spirals respectively, followed by a starburst and gas inflow during a merger or strong interaction with a gas-rich galaxy. Alternatively, a single initial burst of normal stars with a Salpeter initial mass function could produce the observed strong lines if it followed some pre-enrichment process which did not form long-lived stars (e.g. population III stars).

Key words: galaxies:abundances – galaxies:formation – galaxies:interactions – galaxies:stellar content.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many previous studies of star formation histories in galaxies assumed solar metallicity stars throughout (e.g. Bruzual & Charlot 1993; Fritze - v. Alvensleben & Gerhard 1994 – hereafter FG94). Arimoto & Yoshii (1986) were amongst the first to account for non-solar metallicity stars in their photometric and chemical evolution models. They showed that the instantaneous recycling approximation is invalid for stellar populations that have light from long-lived, metal-poor giant stars. More recent models include better coverage of the different stages of stellar evolution as well as stars with different metallicities (Vazdekis et al. 1996 – hereafter V96; Greggio 1997). V96 explored the effects of varying the initial stellar mass function (IMF) and were able to generate colours and some of the line-strength indices in three early-type galaxies.

In this paper we build self-consistent chemical evolution models for different SFH in early-type galaxies, using published single stellar populations. We allow for gas inflow but

assume a Salpeter IMF for the distribution of stellar masses. These models are aimed at helping us to better understand the strengths and gradients of spectral features (lines, bands and colours) observed in early-type galaxies. Tantalo et al. (1996) allowed for inflow and outflow, but modelled only colours, not line-strengths. They showed that inflows can solve the problem of too many metal poor stars produced in closed box models which use a single IMF. We attempt to fit a range of spectral features defined by Worthey et al. (1994), some of which are sensitive to metallicity, others to age and yet others to both age and metallicity. This will provide a more stringent test of models than previous fits to galaxy colours and broad spectral features such as the Mg_2 index which are all degenerate to age and metallicity effects (Worthey 1994 – hereafter W94). This degeneracy is such that populations of young, metal rich stars look like populations of old, metal poor stars.

A population of stars made at a single age and with a single metallicity is known as a single stellar population (SSP). These can provide the building blocks for evaluating

arXiv:astro-ph/9711300v1 25 Nov 1997

the evolution of spectral features in composite stellar populations made up of stars with a range of ages and metallicities. We use SSPs from W94 to generate predicted feature strengths for different star formation histories. W94 emphasised that real galaxies contain stars covering a range of metallicities and probably a range of ages as well. So it is necessary to build a composite model in which the star formation and enrichment are followed with time in order to predict accurate spectral features seen now. The purpose of building such models is to improve our understanding of the SFH in galaxies, through use of the detailed observations which can be obtained from nearby galaxies. This approach complements, and must be consistent with, the coarser information which can be obtained from more distant galaxies.

This paper is set out as follows: Section 2 discusses the sources of enrichment data for supernovae (SN) and stars as well as the parameters and values used in our chemical evolution models. In Section 3 the model input, methods and output are described and compared to other models. Comparisons of best fit models to observed data for 10 ellipticals are shown in Section 4. Discussion (including allowed model parameter space and effects of varying input parameters) is given in Section 5, where a brief discussion of trends with velocity dispersion is also included. Conclusions are given in Section 6.

2 STELLAR AND GASEOUS INPUTS

The first step in our modelling is the choice of input data for the feedback from stars to the ISM. This includes the choice of star and SN data. Many results for yields and masses are taken from tables of published data, linearly interpolated for the appropriate metallicity Z (mass fraction of elements heavier than helium) and star mass in our models. We make liberal use of linear interpolation to try to cover varying masses, metallicities and ages. However we do not extrapolate stellar data but take the nearest case when extremes of these variable spaces are reached in the models. Keywords for input parameters are given in capital letters in the text and all the input parameters are listed in table 1 which is discussed further in Section 3.

2.1 SNII

Woosley and Weaver (1995 – hereafter WW) produced comprehensive models of enrichment by supernova explosions of massive stars. They give ejected masses of individual elements as well as overall ejected mass. Since element ratios are proving to be a useful diagnostic in understanding the SFH in ellipticals (e.g. Davies, Sadler & Peletier 1993 – hereafter DSP93) we use these data (from WW) for stars between 10 and 40 solar masses (M_{\odot}) (the upper limit of their models). We assume their case A for stars up to 25 M_{\odot} and their case B for more massive stars, following the assumptions made by Timmes, Woosley & Weaver (1995) in their study of our Galaxy. Case B corresponds to higher energies and more mass being blown off during the SN, whereas case A (from WW) for massive stars corresponds to more mass collapsing back into a black hole. This is effected by use of a piston mechanism acting at different layers, with different

energies for different cases of their models. Drawbacks of the WW models are:

- (i) They do not allow for any stellar mass loss in a wind prior to the SN explosion.
- (ii) They assume stars more massive than 40 M_{\odot} contribute nothing to the chemical enrichment of the ISM and future stars made from it in a galaxy.

Both the above points are increasingly poor assumptions for more metal rich and massive stars (Maeder 1992 – hereafter M92). M92 modelled the yields from a wider range of initial star masses and, more importantly, modelled mass loss in winds prior to any explosion. Such mass loss can explain helium-rich, massive star supernovae (SN1b) and mass loss observed from massive, main sequence stars (Wolf-Rayets), singly or in binaries. Table 2 shows the range of initial masses (M_i) and metallicities for which the WW or M92 models of massive stars are more appropriate, assuming the fractional mass lost in winds (FLOSSLIM) is considered significant for fractions $> 0.0, 0.1$ or 0.9 . FLOSSLIM=0 corresponds to using only M92 data, whereas FLOSSLIM=1.0 corresponds to using only WW data (where available, plus M92 data for $> 40 M_{\odot}$). FLOSSLIM=0.1 is the compromise we use in most of our models and corresponds to taking M92 data for stars in which the M92 star models indicate > 10 per cent mass is lost in a wind and taking WW data (where available) for less significant mass loss. We use this compromise because a drawback of the M92 data is that it only predicts yields for a few elements and overall metallicities, whereas the WW data gives yields for many individual elements of interest.

The parameter FLOSSLIM also allows us to test the dependance of our models on the uncertainties in the SN models and their yields. In particular we predict abundances of Mg and Fe in our models, and for the M92 models we have to make some assumption about the yields of these elements. We assume their yields are the same fractions of the metal yield as for stars from WW with the same initial mass. This is only an estimate since models of stars with heavy mass loss are likely to produce different fractional yields of Mg and Fe than models with no mass loss. This is about the best we can do until more complete SNII yields are available for a wider range of initial masses, and for models incorporating mass loss and its yields via stellar winds prior to any explosion. Metal rich ($\sim Z_{\odot}$), massive stars (40 M_{\odot} or greater) throw large amounts of helium and light metals (C and O) back into the ISM during their wind phase and in some cases so much mass is lost that the remnant becomes a neutron star or white dwarf rather than a black hole (M92).

M92 also considered several cases corresponding to different amounts of mass trapped into a black hole. In their models this depends on the CO core mass left just prior to the SN explosion. Their case A corresponds to no mass going into black holes. This produces low helium-to-metal mass increase ($\Delta Y/\Delta Z$) above primordial compared with observed values of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ between 3 and 6 from observations of extragalactic H II regions (Pagel et al. 1992). More realistically their case C (M92) corresponds to stars with CO cores $> 6 M_{\odot}$ all collapsing back into black holes, thus trapping some heavy elements. We allow this core mass limit to be an input parameter (BHMASS) in our models. We use BHMASS=6 M_{\odot} in most of our models.

Table 1. Input parameters and their values for (A) the 'primordial' and (B) the 'merger' (delayed starburst) reference models. Initial mass of gas is $10^6 M_\odot$ in these models. Parameters in bold font are the ones searched for best fits to ellipticals in Section 4.

PARAMETER NAME	PARAMETER VALUES		PARAMETER DESCRIPTION AND UNITS.
	(A)	(B)	
	'Primordial'	'Merging'	
	Elliptical	Spirals	
ROOCO	0.7	0.2	Initial constant C (Gyr^{-1}) in $\text{SFR} = C\rho^\alpha$
AL	1.0		Index α in SFR equation
RCRIT	0.0		Critical mass density for SF (M_\odot per volume)
FLOSSLIM	0.1		Fraction for significant star mass loss
SNIA_RATE	$3.8E - 5$		SN Ia (Number $M_\odot^{-1} \text{Gyr}^{-1}$)
DT	0.1		Time step (Gyr)
FLOWRATE0	$1.0E + 7$	0.0	Initial inflow rate ($M_\odot \text{Gyr}^{-1}$)
SNH	70.0		Upper star mass limit (M_\odot)
AM	1.35		Slope for IMF (1.35=Salpeter IMF)
TYPIMF	S		S=Single slope IMF
SSPDATA	W		SSP data (W=Worthey 1994)
X0	0.7718		Initial H mass fraction in gas
Y0	0.2280		Initial He mass fraction in gas
Z0	0.0002		Initial metal mass fraction in gas
TCHANGE1	0.3	12.0	1st discrete time change (Gyr)
ROOC1	0.7	4.0	1st changed SFR constant (Gyr^{-1})
FLOWRATE1	0.0	$1.0E+7$	1st changed inflow rate ($M_\odot \text{Gyr}^{-1}$)
TCHANGE2	18.0	12.1	2nd discrete time change (Gyr)
ROOC2	0.7	4.0	2nd changed SFR constant (Gyr^{-1}) (=ROOC1)
FLOWRATE2	0.0		2nd changed inflow rate ($M_\odot \text{Gyr}^{-1}$)
RICH	Y		Enriched inflow (Y=yes or N=no)
BHMASS	6.0		Mass of CO core for BH formation (M_\odot)
TIME	17.0		Age ago when stars first started forming (Gyr)

Table 2. Table showing where different SNII models apply for different assumptions about the level of significant mass loss. If mass loss is ignored WW data are used (where available), if mass loss is considered significant M92 data are used. M92 data are used for high masses since there are no WW models for masses above $40 M_\odot$. A blank means that M92 models predict < 10 per cent mass loss prior to any explosion for that metallicity and initial mass. An x corresponds to regions with > 10 per cent mass loss and an **X** corresponds to regions with > 90 per cent mass loss from M92. This shows that the high mass and/or high metallicity stars are most affected by mass loss in winds prior to any SNII explosion (M92).

Metallicity Z_i (Z_\odot)	M_i Initial masses (M_\odot)										
	12	13	15	18	20	22	25	30	35	40	> 40
0.0											x
10^{-4}											x
0.01											x
0.1											x
1.0			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	X
> 1.0			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	X	X

Our other SNII input parameters are: the upper mass for stars (taken to be $M_i = 70 M_\odot$ in most of our models); the lower mass limit for SNII (for which we assume $M_i = 10 M_\odot$) and the shape of the IMF. We assume an IMF consistent with that used to generate the SSPs used later in our models (e.g. Salpeter's law with slope 1.35 for the SSPs of W94). Richer and Fahlman (1996) recently searched for evidence of variations in the IMFs for different stellar environments and metallicities, but could find no evidence for variable IMFs. On the other hand several authors have suggested that starburst galaxies show evidence for a different IMF with a low mass cut-off of $> 3 M_\odot$ (Wright et al. 1988). Such a top heavy IMF may also help explain the quantities of metal enrichment in clusters (Elbaz et al. 1995). We briefly

discuss the effects of different assumptions about the IMF in Section 5. The main sequence lifetime for a $10 M_\odot$ star is about 3×10^7 years, so this is the shortest time step we can use in our models which assume the SNIIs evolve within the time step in which they were made.

2.2 SNIa

Supernovae in binaries contribute to the heavy element enrichment in galaxies, and occur throughout most of the history of the galaxy. We use the well known standard model of Nomoto et al. (1984) (their W7 model) which predicts complete disruption of a CO white dwarf. A thermonuclear front

converts most of the white dwarf to iron plus a few other heavy elements. SNIa return no H or He in these models, so they only contribute to increasing the metallicity with time in the ISM and subsequently formed stars. This has implications for the helium-to-metal mass increase with time in the ISM, which may provide a constraint on the validity of any chemical evolution model.

SNIa occur in binary systems which take about a Gyr to evolve to the SN stage (e.g. Timmes et al. 1995). Thus a time delay of 1 Gyr is included in our models before stars contribute to ISM enrichment through SNIa explosions. The SNIa rate per total mass of stars present 1 Gyr ago is one of our input parameters (SNIA_RATE). This rate is assumed constant with time. The SNIa mass is thus taken from stars made a Gyr ago unless there is not enough star mass available then, in which case the SNIa mass is taken from stars made at earlier times, allowing for some lower mass stars to evolve into SNIas. The nominal rate in most of our models is taken from the rate inferred by Timmes et al. (1995) for our Galaxy ($0.53 \text{ century}^{-1}$), allowing for a Galaxy mass of $1.4 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. This gives a rate of $3.8 \times 10^{-5} \text{ SNIa Gyr}^{-1} M_{\odot}^{-1}$. Cappellero et al. (1993) give SN numbers in different galaxy types. For SNIa+Ib/c they find ~ 0.15 SN per 100 years for E/S0 galaxies and ~ 0.4 SN per 100 years for Sab to Sc galaxies (averaging their samples from three different catalogues of galaxies). Their data indicate that SNIb/c rates are ~ 25 to 30 per cent of the SNIa rates. Taking a typical galaxy mass as $\sim 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ this gives a SNIA_RATE of between 1.5 and $4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ Gyr}^{-1} M_{\odot}^{-1}$, including SNIa+Ib/c. Our nominal rate is within this range. The effects of varying this rate are discussed in Section 5.

2.3 Intermediate mass stars (IMS)

The yields from IMS are taken from Renzini and Voli (1981). IMS contribute mainly C,N,O plus H and He via stellar winds and planetary nebulae. They are another source of delayed enrichment. We take the mass range for IMS to cover $0.8 < M_i < 10 M_{\odot}$. We assume they contribute most of their mass to the ISM after their main sequence (MS) lifetimes. So there is a time delay before they contribute to the ISM enrichment. The timescales to evolve to white dwarfs are taken from Wood (1992) and the mass locked up in remnants is taken from the formula given in Wood (1992), and estimated from M92 (their figure 4) for low Z. These parameters vary as a function of initial mass and metallicity at the time the stars formed.

2.4 Low mass stars

For stars with $M_i < 0.8 M_{\odot}$ we assume that they contribute negligibly to the chemical enrichment of the ISM. They remain on the main sequence and lose very little mass throughout their evolution to date. We fix the lower limit to the mass range in our models at $0.1 M_{\odot}$ to be consistent with Worthey's SSPs, and we assume that lower mass stars or brown dwarfs play no part in the chemical evolution or light contribution in our models. Provided the fractional mass and metals locked up in low mass stars and brown dwarfs in real galaxies is small then this is a valid assumption.

2.5 Gas initial conditions and inflow

We start our models from gas which is initially only very weakly enriched ($Z_o = 0.0002$, $Y_o = 0.228$ and $X_o = 0.7718$, for initial metal, helium and hydrogen gas mass fractions respectively). The initial helium mass fraction is from Pagel et al. (1992). The initial metal mass fraction corresponds to the lowest metallicity data available from W94 SSPs, and it seems reasonable to suppose that there is some finite start-up time before star formation really gets going in a gas cloud, during which a small amount of enrichment occurs. Some exploration of varying the initial input parameters Z_o, Y_o, X_o values is described in Section 5.

We allow for enriched (RICH=Y) or un-enriched (RICH=N) inflow, to simulate the effects of gas inflow known to occur during interactions and mergers between galaxies (Barnes & Hernquist 1991). In the case of enriched gas we take the enrichment to be the same as in the existing gas, as if inflow is occurring from neighbouring regions with similar SFH to the region being modelled. Inflow of stars formed at earlier times into the region being modelled is not included, only inflow of gas. The inflow rate is allowed to change in our models at specified times to simulate e.g. initial collapse, gas inflow during an interaction or merger and cessation of inflow. Thus we can explore a range of possible galaxy histories with these models and test if they can lead to observed absorption line-strengths in galaxies.

2.6 Star formation history

We assume the star formation rate (SFR) is proportional to the gas density $SFR = C\rho^{\alpha} (M_{\odot} \text{ Gyr}^{-1})$, where $\alpha = 1$ is assumed in our models and C can be varied. From observations α is thought to lie somewhere between 1 and 2 (e.g. Kennicutt 1989). We can allow for a possible critical density (RCRIT) below which no star formation takes place. However this is set to zero in our models since such a sharp cut-off is not well determined observationally (Kennicutt 1989) and does not produce very physically realistic behaviour in the models since it forces the gas density to sit around RCRIT making star formation switch on and off to keep it so. Therefore until we know more quantitative information about a critical density for star formation it is not a meaningful parameter to try to use in these models. The SFR constant C is related to the SF efficiency in a closed system (after a short infall period). We make use of the timescales from figure 2 of FG94 to identify particular SF efficiencies with different Hubble types of galaxies. Table 3 gives the corresponding values for different galaxy types.

This completes all the input stellar and gaseous data. Next we describe how the model is built up.

3 MODEL INPUT, METHODS AND OUTPUT

3.1 Inputs

A text file is used to specify values for all the model parameters we can vary on input. Table 1 shows these parameters for two models used to generate the chemical evolution and line-strengths in:

Table 3. Star formation efficiencies in different galaxy types.

Galaxy Type	Timescale ($\tau_{1/2}$) Gyr	SFR Constant C ($M_{\odot} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$)	Reference
Sd	16	0.04	FG94
Sc	10	0.07	FG94
Sb	3	0.23	FG94
Sa	2	0.35	FG94
E	1	0.69	FG94; Silk 86
ulLir	SFR > 10× Spiral	> 1.0	FG94

- A) a 'primordial' elliptical (closed system, rapid SF, no gas inflow after a short initial phase of inflow).
 B) a 'merger' or starburst (modelled by a delayed starburst with gas inflow).

The above two models are referred to as reference models in this paper and they represent two extremes of galaxy formation. Reference model A represents a primordial gas cloud forming stars in a closed system. On the other hand, reference model B represents a major merger of two gas-rich progenitors, which induces a strong burst of star formation via increased SF efficiency and inflow of gas. Inflow of gas is known to occur in merging spirals of similar mass (such as the 'Antennae', NGC 4038/9 Mihos, Bothun & Richstone 1993, or NGC 7252 Hibbard & Mihos 1995). Strong starbursts, detected as ultra-luminous infra-red (ulLir) sources, occur in galaxies associated with strong interactions and mergers (e.g. Sanders et al. 1988). Our two reference models were chosen as a first test to see if either of these two ideas for elliptical galaxy formation (A or B) could predict line-strengths comparable with those observed in nearby ellipticals.

SFR and IMF parameters are included in table 1 as well as gas inflow rate, plus changes in SF efficiency and inflow rate at discrete times after the galaxy first starts to form. Up to two time changes are included, which can correspond to conditions changing at the start and end of a later starburst, and/or a period of increased/decreased inflow. Another input parameter is the time since the galaxy first started forming (taken to be 17 Gyr – corresponding to the oldest SSP data tabulated by W94).

Using solar metallicity stars FG94 modelled starbursts due to equal mass, merging spirals. They showed that the shape of a starburst with time has little effect on the observable properties soon after the end of the burst. So we do not worry about the starburst shape in this work. FG94 found that: pre-burst SFR, onset time and duration of a burst, and average SFR in the burst were the most important parameters determining the observable colours of their models.

In this Section (3) we concentrate on results from the two reference model, then in Section 4 a more thorough exploration of parameter values is carried out to try to obtain the best fits to line-strength indices observed in elliptical galaxies. We compare our results with observed spectral feature strength in early-type galaxies.

3.2 Method

We start the model with nearly primordial gas. In time steps of 10^8 years ($>$ SNII lifetimes) stars are then created in the

model according to the current SFR and distributed into mass bins according to the IMF. Then we let all the SNIIs evolve in that time step so that at the end of that step all the enrichment from those newly formed massive stars is accounted for. At the end of each step delayed enrichments from SNIa and IMS from previous time steps are accounted for in the ISM. Finally the ISM conditions are updated for any gas flow which occurred in that step. The resulting ISM conditions at the end of the time step are then fed into the next time step. A check for mass conservation is made at each step by comparing the expected mass, from the starting mass plus inflow up to that time, to the total mass present in stars and gas. These numbers are equal when the mass loss from stars, SN and inflow from gas is correctly accounted for.

At each step the initial mass going into stars and the metallicity of the ISM from which they were made are stored in arrays. The array of stellar masses for each step is updated, at each step, for mass loss by SNIa and IMS from previous steps. These evolved masses and metallicities with time are what is required to determine appropriate SSPs and their weightings, which sum up to make the total galaxy light. We assume the galaxies are optically thin and use mass-to-light ratios of the SSPs to evaluate appropriate luminosity weightings for each step. The SSPs from W94 started with a mass of $10^6 M_{\odot}$ integrated over stars with initial masses in the range 0.1 to $2 M_{\odot}$. We normalise the W94 SSP luminosities by the ratio of initial mass in this range for our data to that in Worthey's SSPs. This takes account of the mass of the SSP made in each time step in our models. Since we are looking mostly at features around 5000 Å, we use the V-band luminosity (L_V) and mass-to-light ratio (M/L_V) to obtain our luminosity weightings.

3.3 Outputs

Fig. 1 shows the results of the two reference models 'primordial' and 'merger' (or starburst). The latter is a model with a starburst, starting 5 Gyr ago. This figure illustrates the time evolution of the models. The primordial model has a burst of star formation starting 17 Gyr ago at a rate consistent with the timescale given by FG94 for an elliptical galaxy (which is also similar to the timescale from Silk 1986). If star formation is stopped after < 2 Gyr, as depicted in Silk (1986), then very low metallicities and line-strengths result. Allowing for some inflow in the first few 100 million years (as might be expected during the initial galaxy formation) can increase the metallicity slightly. In the primordial model depicted in Fig. 1 star formation was allowed to continue up to recent times (still in proportion to the gas density) to allow the metallicity to increase. Even allowing for later, more




Figure 1. Time evolutions of (A) 'primordial' elliptical model (line of dots) and (B) Sbc-Sbc 'merger' model (line of crosses) showing from the top: a) ISM metallicity, b) gas mass fraction, c) mass fraction going into stars in that time step and d) ISM Mg/Fe mass ratio (for comparison $(\text{Mg}/\text{Fe})_{\odot} = 0.35$ from Anders & Grevesse 1989). Mass fractions are normalized by the total mass in the zone in each time step.

metal rich stars this initial burst (primordial) model *cannot* produce the strong absorption lines observed in early-type galaxies. This is shown in Fig. 2 where line-strengths from elliptical galaxies are compared with these two reference models. The data shown are from Fisher, Franx & Illingworth (1995 – hereafter FFI95) and DSP93.

The delayed starburst model (mimicking a later merger of two gas-rich galaxies) is able to produce stronger lines since the main light is from stars made from more metal rich material. The delayed burst model shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 starts 17 Gyr ago with two identical Sbc spirals (in terms of their pre-burst SFR). These spirals merge after 12 Gyr, with a SFR typical of ulir starburst galaxies, and a factor two increase in total mass from in-flowing gas, enriched to the same extent as the existing ISM.

Fig. 2 shows the time evolution of spectral features and other stellar parameters in these two reference models and illustrates the stronger lines generated in the delayed burst (or merger) model (B) compared to the primordial model (A). Figs 1 and 2 show examples of the stellar and gaseous output we can obtain from our models. The spectral features output ignore any stars formed more recently than 1.5 Gyr ago, since the youngest SSP in W94 is 1.5 Gyr old. Therefore we note that variations shown in Fig. 2 at the time of onset of a starburst are unreliable. In addition there are no young (< 8 Gyr), metal poor SSPs in W94, therefore the early evolution of feature strengths is not shown here. Later indices are unaffected by this limitation since we are then seeing old (> 8 Gyr), metal-poor stars and young (but > 1.5 Gyr), metal-rich stars for which SSPs are available.

Another version of our main program allows the parameter space of two variables to be stepped through: e.g. starburst time and SFR. By varying these parameters we can search for the best fit of our models to the data. This is done in Section 4 for five parameters, fitting observed line-strengths in galaxies.

3.4 Comparison with other models

Others have compared the SSPs from various authors (V96; W94), so we only compare chemical evolution histories here. We compared our enrichment model with that of V96. They have made a complete chemical evolution model, generating their own SSPs and following the chemical enrichment of their closed box model with time from primordial abundances. Time histories of gas metallicity and gas mass are compared in Fig. 3. To make this comparison we assumed no inflow, no SNIa, a Salpeter IMF and an upper mass limit of $60 M_{\odot}$ in order to correspond to the values used by V96. We assumed the same parameters as V96 for the IMS (case A, $\eta = 1/3$ and $\alpha = 0$ from Renzini & Voli 1981). Three different SF efficiencies were compared with those plotted by V96, their figure 11. These three SF efficiencies are characterised by $C=1.92$, 0.192 and 0.0192 per Gyr in the SFR equation, corresponding to $\nu = 19.2, 1.92$ and 0.192 per 10 Gyr in the terminology of V96). $C=0.192$ is representative of the solar neighbourhood (Arimoto & Yoshii 1986). These input criteria were employed for this comparison in order to correspond as closely as possible to those used by V96. Results from our models are shown at time steps of 0.1 Gyr in Fig. 3. Some results from the chemical evolution model of V96 are indicated by large open circles at a handful of times

through the evolution history (estimated from their figure 11). We show two cases from our models in order also to compare predictions using the M92 and WW massive star models:

- i) FLOSSLIM=0.0 This means we are using only M92 models for SNIIs.
- ii) FLOSSLIM=1.0 Means we are using WW data for massive stars up to $40 M_{\odot}$ and M92 for more massive stars.

From Fig. 3 the WW and M92 results from our models give similar results, with data from the WW models producing metals slightly more rapidly than those from M92. This is as expected since mass loss prior to explosion in the M92 models reduces the amount of metals which can be generated (particularly in metal-rich stars). Also, the conditions for black hole formation are not the same from the two sources of SNI models.

The values estimated from V96 are similar to our chemical evolution model predictions. The main differences appear to be at low gas fractions when their models predict less gas left than our models. This difference is partly due to different assumptions about the low mass cut-off (we assume $0.1 M_{\odot}$, whereas V96 assumed $0.05 M_{\odot}$) as well as possible differences in the black hole mass assumed (we assume case C from M92). However, since the gas content is low (< 1 per cent of the original gas mass) when the differences are large, it has little effect on the integrated light and so is unimportant for predicting accurate absorption line-strengths. We also note that the full predictions of V96 (their figure 11) show numerical oscillations at low gas fractions which we do not have in our models. This difference probably arises from the different ways we have evaluated the evolution with time: we used discrete, coarse time steps (0.1 Gyr) whereas they integrated equations. Our method appears more stable against numerical fluctuations but produces models which cannot consider systems with very young (< 0.1 Gyr) starbursts. This latter restriction does not prevent us from studying the SF histories of most early-type systems (including elliptical galaxies and spiral bulges) which is the purpose of our models. Our models agree well with the model predictions of metallicity and gas fractions by Arimoto & Yoshii (1986), including at low gas fractions ($C=1.92$ in Fig. 3b).

4 FITS TO DATA

In Section 3 we found that models of primordial ellipticals with a single IMF do not produce strong spectral lines. In this Section we make a more thorough search of parameter space to find out if our model with a delayed starburst can describe the observed line-strengths for any early-type galaxies. In fact our search will include parameter spaces which cover both merger and primordial models. We use data from FFI95 for ellipticals and brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs) on which to try our models. We use their data because they include the metallicity sensitive features (Fe4668 and Fe5015) as well as the age sensitive feature ($H\beta$), thus helping to break the age-metallicity degeneracy. Their features are corrected to the Lick/IDS standard sys-




Figure 2. Time evolutions of 'primordial' elliptical model (thin line of dots) and Sbc-Sbc merger model (line of crosses) showing changes in spectral feature strengths from the composite stellar population. Also shown are cumulative changes in B-V colour and in luminosity weighted metallicity (Z^*) of the composite population. No young, metal poor stars are included in the SSPs tabulated by W94, therefore no spectral feature strengths are shown for early time (< 8 Gyr). In addition no stars younger than 1.5 Gyr are included in these models since again these are not modelled in W94. Predicted line-strengths from the primordial model are typically much lower than for real ellipticals. Line-strengths for 6 bright ellipticals from FFI95 are also shown as different symbols for different galaxies to illustrate how the primordial model fails to produce strong enough lines. The merger model does better, however features sensitive to α processes (e.g. Mg and C for Fe4668, and Mg for the Mgb index) are still underestimated when Fe sensitive features can be reached. The range of typical values for the Mg_2 index observed in ellipticals is shown as a vertical bar, estimated from DSP93.




Figure 3. Comparison of models: - Time evolutions of: a) ISM metallicity (relative to solar $Z_{\odot} = 0.0189$ – Anders & Grevesse 1989) and b) gas fraction (relative to initial gas mass) for models with three different SF efficiencies ($C=1.92, 0.192$ and 0.0192 per Gyr). Large open circles are from the models of V96 (estimated from their figure 11). Two predictions from the current models are shown: i) allowing for mass loss (thick symbols, M92 SNII models) and ii) for little mass loss (thin symbols, WW SNII models with M92 extension for stars with initial masses $> 40 M_{\odot}$).

tem (Worthey et al. 1994) and corrected for velocity dispersion broadening. Therefore they should be directly comparable with predictions of line-strength in the Lick/IDS system (as used by W94 to generate SSPs). FFI95 give indices within half the effective radius for each galaxy (i.e. within $r_e/2$). For chi-squared (χ^2) fitting of models to observed line-strengths we also needed estimates of errors for each of the indices used in the fitting. We obtained estimates of errors using the tables and plots given in the appendix to FFI95. These errors were taken from the next to outermost point tabulated, to be conservative, and these errors, together with the indices used, are given in table 4. We did not include Fe5335 since the velocity dispersion corrections are large for this feature, it was not measured for the BCGs and it is not a particularly sensitive feature for discriminating between age and metallicity variations. Also shown in table 4 are the galaxy types and their environments (from Fisher, Illingworth & Franx 1995).

Five indices are fitted per galaxy. These include: two which are sensitive mainly to Fe-peak elements (Fe5015 and Fe5270); age sensitive H β ; and two features which are sensitive to lighter elements such as C and Mg produced by triple α and α capture processes (Fe4668 and Mgb). Fe4668 is a strong, metal sensitive feature which includes absorption due to Fe-peak elements, but also Mg (FFI95) and C; in fact Tripicco & Bell (1995) refer to this feature as C4668 because of its strong dependence on carbon for cool stars.

To find the best fitting values for model parameters, we stepped through about 10 to 20 values in each parameter, starting from zero in all parameters except the pre-burst and burst SFR constants. Zero for either of these SFR constants would give us no stars at the start, which is just a younger galaxy. Our reference models indicated that modelling younger galaxies only aggravates the problem of producing strong enough lines compared to the data. Therefore we have not fitted this possibility, although the fits do allow for the possibility of low SFR early on ($C = 0.1 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$). The parameters searched through and the steps used are:

- 1) Burst onset time (t_*) in Gyr from the start of formation. (0 to 15 in steps of 1 Gyr).
- 2) Burst SFR efficiency constant (C) in Gyr^{-1} . (0.2 to 4.0 in steps of 0.2 Gyr^{-1}).
- 3) Inflow rate of gas starting at t_* in $M_\odot \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$. (0 to 10^8 in steps of $5 \times 10^6 M_\odot \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$).
- 4) Inflow duration from t_* in Gyr. (0 to 0.9 in steps of 0.1 Gyr).
- 5) Pre-burst SFR efficiency constant (C) in Gyr^{-1} . (0.1 to 0.8 in steps of 0.1 Gyr^{-1}).

The star formation is allowed to continue after inflow has ceased in these models, but for large values of C most of the gas gets used up rapidly as shown in Fig. 1c and Fig. 3b.

4.1 Fits to ellipticals and BCGs

Fig. 4 shows the χ^2 contours for the parameter spaces searched for NGC 5846, one of the ellipticals in the sample of FFI95. Similar fits and parameter spaces were explored for five other bright ellipticals, one compact elliptical and three BCGs for which there were at least five line-strengths from FFI95. The best fit model parameters found are shown

in Fig. 5 (and table 5) for all these galaxies, in the parameter space of: pre-burst SFR constant, burst SFR constant, burst onset time, gas inflow rate and duration of inflow during the burst. This covers the major parameters found by FG94, plus inflow. We searched the parameter spaces in the order (a) to (d) as shown in Fig. 4, with starting values of ROOC0=0.2, FLOWRATE1= 10^7 , TCHANGE2-TCHANGE1=0.1 (duration of inflow). When going to the next parameter space, best fit values from the previous one were used. Only 2 or 3 iterations were needed for the fits to converge. Although not a full 5 parameter search, this proved to be a robust method for finding best fits. To test the uniqueness of the best fits we tried varying the starting values. The best fits were found to be very similar when different starting values were used, in most cases producing best fits to within a step of the parameter values given in table 5. In particular the burst onset times, the pre-burst and burst SFR constants, and the total mass of in-flowing gas seem fairly robust to changes in the starting values. However, as Fig. 4 indicates, the burst SFR constant C is not always well constrained and the inflow duration and rate are not individually well constrained, rather the duration multiplied by the rate (i.e. the total mass of inflow) is the more robust parameter. The apparently tight constraint on t_* shown in Fig. 4a results from the need to generate the right metallicity. From the fits to individual galaxies shown in Fig. 5 and table 5 we find the following results:

There appear to be two groups of best fits with the current models – one with high initial SF efficiency followed quickly by a burst and one with lower initial SF efficiency (like that in Sb spirals) and a more delayed burst. Three ellipticals require late starbursts (NGC 221, 5831 and 2329) It is interesting to note that NGC 5831 has a fine structure parameter of $\Sigma=3.6$ (Schweizer & Seitzer 1992) which also supports the idea that it is a merger remnant, whereas NGC 5846 has a fine structure parameter of only $\Sigma=0.3$, and shows no evidence for an intermediate age or recent starburst (see t_* values in table 5).

The model can fit the data in some cases (e.g. NGC 5831, NGC 5846, NGC 221) but reduced chi-squareds (χ^2_ν) are still large in most other cases. In Fig. 6 we show the offsets of different spectral features from the best fits to these ten galaxies. Fig. 6 shows that part of the residual mismatch results from non-solar abundance ratios. The comparison of data and model line-strengths shows that the observed iron sensitive features (Fe5015, Fe5270) are systematically low and observed features which are sensitive to lighter (α process) elements (Mgb, Fe4668 – FFI95, Tripicco & Bell 1995) are systematically high compared to values from the best fit models. Since α process elements and iron-peak elements are contributed in different proportions by SNIa and SNIi we know that this increased light metals to Fe-peak ratio (compared to solar) is telling us about the history of SN and SF in these galaxies.

Primordial formation ($t_* = 0$) cannot explain any of these observed line-strength data sets in E and BCG galaxies. In order to make stars with strong enough lines (particularly metal sensitive lines such as Fe4668 and Fe5015) some pre-enrichment is required before stars which dominate the light are formed. Vazdekis et al. (1997) achieved such enrichment using a variable IMF model to obtain strong lines in

Table 4. Line-strength within $r_e/2$ (from FFI95) and error estimates used for the current model fitting. The errors for Fe5270 were not given in FFI95 for the BCGs, so are estimated to be the same as those for Fe5015 below. (* indicates a feature is affected by emission, from FFI95.)

Galaxy	Type and Environment	Fe4668 \pm (\AA)	H β \pm (\AA)	Fe5015 \pm (\AA)	Mgb \pm (\AA)	Fe5270 \pm (\AA)
Compact Elliptical (M32)						
NGC 221	cE in local group	4.82 0.15	2.27 0.07	5.37 0.15	2.93 0.08	3.00 0.10
Ellipticals						
NGC 5831	E in NGC 5813 group	5.96 0.33	2.15* 0.14	5.86* 0.30	3.89 0.13	3.15 0.15
NGC 5846	E in Virgo Libra group	6.40 0.25	1.44* 0.14	5.60* 0.37	4.56 0.15	3.04 0.19
NGC 2778	E in Leo cloud group	7.20 0.14	1.37* 0.08	5.30* 0.21	4.34 0.10	2.91 0.10
NGC 7619	E in Pegasus group	7.40 0.16	1.69 0.08	5.53 0.23	4.87 0.11	3.10 0.13
NGC 4472	E in Virgo S' group	7.18 0.08	1.49 0.04	6.19 0.11	4.78 0.06	3.06 0.06
NGC 4649	E in Virgo S group	7.86 0.18	1.41 0.08	6.45 0.25	5.35 0.12	3.12 0.06
Brightest Cluster Galaxies						
NGC 2329	E in poor cluster A 569	6.57 0.32	1.92* 0.13	4.91* 0.29	3.90 0.12	2.75 0.29
NGC 2832	D in cluster A 779	8.10 0.36	1.41 0.13	5.43 0.32	4.78 0.15	2.92 0.32
NGC 4073	gE in poor cluster MKW 4	7.79 0.34	1.34 0.11	5.49 0.29	4.83 0.13	2.64 0.29




Figure 4. χ^2 contours for parameter spaces searched for NGC 5846. Contours are at 68 and 90 per cent confidence levels for two interesting parameters at a time. a) Burst SFR constant versus onset time of burst (t_*). b) Burst SFR constant versus inflow rate at burst. c) Inflow rate versus inflow duration at burst. d) Initial (pre-burst) SFR constant versus t_* .

old stars. In the current models strong lines can be achieved by one or both of the following processes occurring after a delay ($t_* > 0$):

- i) *inflow* of gas (enriched as in the existing gas),
- ii) *increase in SF efficiency* (caused by a merger or strong interaction).

In the models of star formation in merging galaxies by

FG94 solar metallicities were assumed for the stars throughout. This current work shows that the bulk of light in ellipticals is from stars with greater than solar metallicity. This is shown in Fig. 2 where the luminosity weighted metallicity (Z_*) of the stellar atmospheres is 1.75 times solar for a model which can produce line-strengths at the lower end of the range for real ellipticals. Table 5 shows that for the best




Figure 5. Best fit models found in 4 parameter spaces (as shown in Fig. 4). a) Indicates that earlier bursts generally require high efficiencies to produce the strong metal lines observed. b) Shows the two parameters in our current models which can be used to create high metallicities. Galaxies therefore cover a wide range in both these parameters, depending on how strong lined they are. c) For many galaxies the overall mass of inflow is more important in the models than the rate or duration of inflow, so best fits with low inflow rates tended to be of longer duration (as the χ^2 contours in Fig. 4c indicate). d) The parameter space of initial SF efficiencies covered those given for different Hubble types (E to Sc) from FG94. There appear to be two groups of best fits with the current models – one with high initial SF efficiency followed quickly by a burst and one with lower initial SF efficiency (like that in Sb spirals) and a more delayed burst. Typical SFR for Sc, Sb and E galaxies are indicated in 5d and the range of SFR for ul_ir galaxies is indicated with a vertical arrow in 5a (using information given in table 3).

Table 5. Best fit parameters from delayed burst model with inflow.

Galaxy	χ^2_ν	Fitted parameters				Other parameter	
		Pre-burst SFR eff. C (Gyr^{-1})	Burst onset t^* (Gyr)	Burst SFR eff. C (Gyr^{-1})	Inflow rate at burst ($M_\odot \text{Gyr}^{-1}$)	Inflow duration (Gyr)	Starlight metals Z^* (mass fraction)
Compact Elliptical (M32)							
NGC 221 (cE)	2.4	0.2	15	0.6	3.0E+7	0.1	0.027
Ellipticals							
NGC 5831 (E)	0.9	0.2	10	0.4	3.5E+7	0.4	0.031
NGC 5846 (E)	1.7	0.8	2	4.0	5.5E+7	0.1	0.030
NGC 2778 (E)	8.4	0.8	2	3.8	5.0E+6	0.7	0.036
NGC 7619 (E)	9.2	0.3	6	1.8	8.5E+7	0.6	0.039
NGC 4472 (E)	15.7	0.7	2	4.0	6.5E+7	0.5	0.034
NGC 4649 (E)	18.8	0.7	2	3.8	1.5E+7	0.9	0.041
Brightest Cluster Galaxies							
NGC 2329 (E)	3.1	0.2	12	4.0	1.5E+7	0.1	0.035
NGC 2832 (D)	3.7	0.7	2	4.0	2.0E+7	0.9	0.042
NGC 4073 (gE)	5.3	0.6	2	4.0	7.5E+7	0.9	0.040




Figure 6. Offsets between data and best fit models for 5 spectral features measured in 10 galaxies. Symbol shapes indicate different spectral features. Symbol sizes indicate different galaxies with the size increasing for larger reduced chi-squareds of the best fit model. Smaller symbols tend to lie closer to the model line. The iron sensitive lines (Fe5270 and Fe5015) are systematically overestimated by the models whereas the lines sensitive to lighter metals (Mgb, Fe4668) are systematically underestimated. This effect is interpreted as arising from non-solar ratios and the resultant scatter about models which assume solar ratios will account for some of the residual differences between data and models.

fitting models for individual galaxies Z^* lies in the range 0.027 to 0.042, which corresponds to 1.4 to 2.2 Z_{\odot} .

The SSPs used in this paper (W94) do not cover the full metallicity range of the models which best fit the data. The upper limit of their metallicity is too low for the metallicities required by our full chemical evolution models. The SSPs go up to about 3 Z_{\odot} whereas we really need up to about 4 Z_{\odot} to follow the metallicity of the ISM and stars in our models at later times (or following a strong burst – e.g. Fig. 1). Such SSP data are now becoming available (Leitherer et al. 1996) and we can incorporate higher metallicity SSPs into our models in future work. We note that shallower IMF slopes lead to even higher metallicity (Vazdekis et al. 1997). In principle X-ray observations of the hot gas ISM in ellipticals could tell us about the metallicity of the ISM. However the X-ray results imply very low metallicities ($\sim 0.4 Z_{\odot}$ – Awaki et al. 1994), in contradiction with the optical observations of strong lines in the starlight. Metallicity ratios from X-ray observations of the gas will become more constrained using future, high spectral resolution X-ray missions such as AXAF and XMM.

The nearby, compact elliptical M32 is a useful test of chemical evolution models. With infrared observations a population of luminous asymptotic giant branch stars has been resolved in this companion to Andromeda, with an apparent age of 4 ± 3 Gyr (Freedman 1992). Our delayed burst

model (with a burst ~ 2 Gyr ago) is consistent with this younger age population.

We further tested if a delayed burst was necessary in all cases by imagining a model with an initial period of formation with inflow. Therefore we tried a model with an extended duration of inflow from the start of formation and a single SFR constant throughout, but no delayed burst. For an inflow duration of 1 Gyr this model could not produce the required line-strengths. For an inflow duration of 2 Gyr the lines-strengths could be produced for the cases with old starbursts (like NGC 4472), but not for cases with younger starbursts (like NGC 5831) since the $H\beta$ lines in these galaxies are too strong for such old stars. The SSPs we have used in our models (from W94) do not include contributions from hot, old stars which may contribute to the strength of $H\beta$. Poggianti & Barbaro (1997) recently found that there is some sensitivity of Balmer indices to such an evolved star population, but that $H\beta$ above about 1.5 cannot result from old, metal rich populations and is dominated by age effects. $H\beta$ is affected by emission in some cases but this will only decrease the measured index. Therefore, for observed strong $H\beta$, contamination by emission does not remove the need for younger stars in some ellipticals.

What can we learn about metallicity ratios and SNIa-to-SNII rates from these fits? We found from Fig. 6 that light metals-to-iron peak ratios are generally super-solar confirm-

ing previous such results (DSP93). However calibrations of line-strengths for non-solar ratios are needed to quantify these ratios. We do see that those galaxies with weaker lines can be fit by close to solar ratios (NGC 221, NGC 5831, NGC 5846, NGC 2329 – tables 4 and 5). The discrepancy of the fits seems to increase with line-strength, as the ordering of the ellipticals in tables 4 and 5 shows. This is also shown in Fig. 6 where the larger symbols (larger χ^2_ν) are generally further from the line where the data agree with the model. With SSPs which cover different ratios of light-to-heavy metals as well as different ages and metallicities we will be able to constrain the relative proportions of SNIa and SNII which contribute to the overall enrichment. Fig. 1d shows for example the drastic change in Mg/Fe ratio in the ISM with time as different SN types contribute to the enrichment. Reference model A will be dominated by stars made in the first few Gyr, with very high Mg/Fe ratio, whereas reference model B will be made of stars with lower Mg/Fe ratio. Luminosity weighted averages will still exceed solar for model B whilst the late burst dominates the light. Fig. 1d indicates that the Mg/Fe ratio in the ISM might be a useful age indicator since outside of the bursts the two reference models show similar behaviour of Mg/Fe with time.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Varying other input parameters

5.1.1 Helium-to-metal ratio and black hole formation

The ratio of helium-to-metal enrichment in the ISM depends on the SNII explosions and how much mass collapses into black holes. M92 compares the observed rates of helium-to-metal increase ($\Delta Y/\Delta Z \sim 3 - 6$) with expected values from models with different metallicities and different star mass limits for black hole formation. In their models the crucial mass is that of the CO core left at the time of core collapse. In most of our models we have used their case C in which any star mass remaining at the time of core collapse becomes a black hole for CO cores of $> 6 M_\odot$. This produces black holes of $> 22.5 M_\odot$ from Maeder’s massive star models.

In our chemical evolution code we find that the helium-to-metal increase ($\Delta Y/\Delta Z$) is about 1 to 2. This is lower than the observed values in H II regions. A steeper IMF leads to higher $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ ratio and this ratio is also steeper at low metallicities (M92). M92 find $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = 3.56$ and 1.77 for 20th solar and solar metallicity respectively (for their case C with Salpeter IMF). In most of our model fits the metallicity is high (apparently higher than solar). Therefore it is not so surprising that this ratio is shallow in our models. The observed ratio ($\Delta Y/\Delta Z$) from H II regions may not be such a useful constraint to try to match in metal-rich, early-type galaxies which formed most of their metals early on. The observed ratio itself is not well constrained, with estimates ranging from about 3 to 6 (Pagel et al. 1992). SNIa contribute to the metallicity but not the helium, so they act to lower the helium-to-metal increase with time. IMS contribute helium and low mass metals. More metal rich, massive stars also contribute more helium and metals in winds than do metal poor, massive stars. On the other hand, metal rich, massive stars do not form black holes. The balance of these processes leads to a variable $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ with time and

metallicity. So accurate modelling of the amount and composition of stellar mass loss as a function of star mass and metallicity is an important requirement for predicting accurate helium-to-metal enrichment ratios from chemical evolution models. The strong dependence of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ on theoretical assumptions about SNII explosions limits the usefulness of $\Delta Y/\Delta Z$ as an observational constraint on chemical evolution models.

5.1.2 Pre-enriched gas

Can pre-enriched gas produce a good fit to the observations? We tried a model with *no* delayed increase in SF efficiency and *no* gas inflow, but which is made from pre-enriched gas 17 Gyr ago, such as might be expected from a very top heavy IMF from which there is a high level of feedback to enrich the ISM and very little material is left trapped in stars. We assumed $C = 0.7$ (thought to be typical for ellipticals – FG94, although models with early galactic winds require much higher values of C). For those galaxies with very old starbursts ($t_* = 2$ or less), a *pre-enriched*, single burst model can give comparable fits to the data (as those in table 5). The pre-enriched gas required for these fits starts off with metallicity $Z \sim 0.5$ to 0.75 solar. This confirms our earlier suggestion that the stars dominating the light at the current epoch have to be made from enriched material. However, for other galaxies the observed strength of H β rules out an entirely old stellar population. These are the galaxies which required an intermediate (NGC 5831, NGC 2329) or young (NGC 221) starburst in our delayed burst models (table 5).

5.1.3 Models with several starbursts

Worthey (1996) suggested that a model of elliptical formation with several episodes of star formation corresponding to mergers and/or interactions can fit some of the observational facts (e.g. presence of kinematic sub-structures, evidence for young stars). Therefore a future extension to the current models is to try including more starbursts. However this introduces many more free parameters and the latest burst will remain important in terms of its relative luminosity. Worthey (1996) suggested that more bursts at earlier times may explain the observations of early-type galaxies. Since we can already produce strong enough lines with a single delayed burst, more bursts will still not get round the problem of non-solar ratios, which contributes to the poor fits of current models to observations of some ellipticals.

5.1.4 Assumptions about the IMF

V96 showed that no single IMF, constant in time, can generate the observed line-strengths in a closed box model with a single SFR constant. The effects of a changing IMF with time are explored by V96. They find that a shallow IMF at early times (followed by a normal IMF like that inferred for local stars) can produce stellar populations with lines as strong as those seen in early-type galaxies. So ‘primordial’ ellipticals with a single IMF are still ruled out for different assumptions about the slope of the IMF, but changing the IMF with time, from shallow to steep, can produce strong lines. We showed above that an early IMF of massive stars




Figure 7. Data versus best fit model for NGC 4472. Correction to the Mg_2 index taking into account non-solar Mg/Fe ratios is indicated by a horizontal arrow. This correction uses the calibration of Barbuy (1994) to estimate Mg_2 indices for old stars with super-solar Mg/Fe, as occurs in the best fit model for galaxies such as NGC 4472 containing mostly old, metal-rich stars. Indices below the line are all Fe sensitive features. The Mg_2 band index is shown $\times 10$ for clarity.

(pre-enriching the ISM prior to normal SF) can fit the data for some galaxies as well as our merger model. However, we note that strong interactions and mergers, accompanied by highly increased SF and rapid gas inflow are *observed* to occur and that both observations and simulations tell us that such events can produce early-type galaxies. On the other hand evidence for a variable IMF is not so definite (Richer & Fahlman 1996). In fact Padoan, Nordlund & Jones (1997) recently argued for a universal IMF, arising from the statistics of random supersonic flows which simulate conditions during star formation. So there is no need for a variable IMF to produce the observed line-strengths in ellipticals.

5.1.5 Non-solar abundance ratios

Non-solar light-to-heavy metal ratios seem required by the data for most early-type galaxies (e.g. Fig. 6). Fits to the observed Mg_2 index are improved for models with *old* stars if the Mg_2 calibrations for different Mg/Fe ratios from Barbuy (1994) are used. Fig. 7 compares a fit to the data for NGC 4472, and shows how the Mg_2 index is better fitted when non-solar Mg/Fe is accounted for in these old stars.

We also tried modelling Mg_2 and $\langle Fe \rangle$ (mean of Fe5270 and Fe5335) features, using SSPs from Weiss, Peletier & Matteucci (1995) (from the top half of their table 4). Weiss et al. allowed for enhanced α -element compositions and published values for Mg_2 and $\langle Fe \rangle$ indices for old (12 to 18 Gyr), metal rich ($Z \geq Z_{\odot}$) SSPs with $Mg/Fe \geq$ solar. Fig. 8 shows the predictions of some of our models with delayed starbursts and gas inflow in the Mg_2 versus $\langle Fe \rangle$ plane. The rectangular area is the region covered by the radial profiles in 9 giant ellipticals (data area), from Weiss et al. (their figure 8). Models using only solar ratio compositions (W94

SSPs) lie outside the region covered by ellipticals in Fig. 8. When we include SSPs with enhanced α -element compositions we get sequences of models which lie *within* the data area. The models shown in Fig. 8 assume a pre-burst SFR with $C = 0.7$ and an inflow time of 1 Gyr. Straight lines join models with the same burst onset time ($t^* = 0$ or 2 Gyr) but different amounts of inflow (from zero to 10 times the original mass). Fig. 8 support the idea that α -element enhanced SSPs in our galaxy models can produce feature strengths seen in ellipticals. It will be interesting to test if this result remains true when other spectral features become available (from both observations of ellipticals and α -element enhanced SSP models), particularly when features over a broader wavelength range are included.

A few cases of the elliptical galaxies from FFI95 can be adequately fitted with solar ratios. These tend to be galaxies in which there has been substantial late star formation (NGC 221, NGC 5831, NGC 2329, see t^* values in table 5). NGC 5846 can also be fairly well fitted by the current models with solar ratios. These four cases have the lowest metallicity (e.g. weak Fe4668 lines) of all the galaxies fitted. From the absolute and relative strengths of the Fe4668 and Fe5015 lines in these galaxies, their metallicities (starlight weighted average), as well as their metallicity ratios, are closer to solar than for the other galaxies. Except for NGC 5846 we might say that, qualitatively, their SF histories are more like that in the disk of our Galaxy (in that the SF is not restricted to early times), than are the SF histories of the other (older) cases (see t^* values).

5.1.6 Other input parameters

Time step: The time step used in these models is assumed to be longer than the longest SNII evolution time ($>$ few $\times 10^7$ years for a $10 M_{\odot}$ star). In addition the time step (DT in table 1) must be short enough to sample rapid changes, from high SFR or short inflow timescales for example ($<$ few tenths of a Gyr). Therefore we tested how the predicted line-strengths change when we vary DT over this range for the primordial and merger models. Doubling or halving DT from the nominal value of 0.1 Gyr produced variations in line-strengths of $\sim 1/10$ th to $1/3$ rd of the error in the observed line-strengths, depending on the feature. An order of magnitude change in DT produced differences in line-strengths comparable to the observational errors. Therefore we are confident that the assumed time step is not strongly biasing the model results, provided we do not try to model extremely high star formation rates.

SN Ia rate: SNIa rates are not accurately known. We have linked the rate used in our models to the number of low mass stars present at any time and assume a constant fraction of those stars are in interacting binaries. If the fraction of interacting binary stars varies with metallicity or other parameters, then this assumption will be wrong. We tried changing the SNIa rate between zero and 10 times the nominal value and running models again for NGC 4472 and NGC 5831. Zero SNIa rates produced very little change in the minimum χ^2_{ν} and best fit parameters, and affected mainly the helium-to-metal ratio in the gas at late times when the gas mass fraction was small. Increasing the SNIa rate by a factor of 10 produced a model for NGC 5831 with a slightly earlier burst ($t^* = 8$ Gyr, $C = 0.2$) and longer inflow ($10^8 M_{\odot}$




Figure 8. Predictions from our galactic chemical evolution models for two burst onset times and four inflow rates, showing how inclusion of α -element enhanced SSPs (from Weiss et al. 1995) can produce Mg_2 and $\langle Fe \rangle$ feature strengths seen in ellipticals. The rectangular area indicates where observations of ellipticals lie (from Weiss et al.). Models to the upper left of the rectangular area assume solar ratios, whereas models which cover the rectangular area include α -element enhanced compositions.

Gyr^{-1} over 0.9 Gyr), whereas for NGC4472 there was little change in the best fit parameters except that the inflow was less ($5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot} Gyr^{-1}$ over 0.1 Gyr). Therefore the fits of the models to observed line-strengths do not seem to be strongly dependant on the SNIa rate. In particular, the need for delayed star formation remains. The Mg/Fe and helium-to-metal ratios are sensitive to the SNIa rate, and we will be able to exploit sensitivities to element ratios when SSP data are available for non-solar ratios of light and heavy elements.

5.1.7 Mass-metallicity relation

The observed Mg_2 versus central velocity dispersion (σ_o) relation for ellipticals (Burstein et al. 1988) suggests a close correlation between the chemical and dynamical evolution. If Mg_2 scales with metallicity and σ_o scales with galaxy mass this implies a mass-metallicity relation. A weaker correlation of Mg_2 with galaxy luminosity also re-enforce the idea that there is a mass-metallicity relation for early-type galaxies. For the current sample of ellipticals the observed Mgb correlates well with σ_o (from McElroy 1995). How do our model fits, with line-strengths driven by the SFH, fit this picture? We have not attempted dynamical models here, and the data used covers only part of each galaxy (along the slit out to $r_e/2$). However we do find a weak tendency for cases with high inflow masses to have strong lines (correlation coefficient $r \sim 0.5$) and a stronger tendency for systems with high σ_o (observed) to have high metallicity (Z^* from the model fits) ($r=0.77$). Therefore we see some evidence that these de-

layed starburst models with infall and a Salpeter IMF may be compatible with dynamical constraints. Dynamics potentially provide a wide range of additional constraints to the SFH models. A future aim of this work is to expand the models to include spatial extent and ensure consistent gas flows between volume elements. The work of Matteucci & Gibson (1995) suggests that outflows are important to explain abundances in the inter-galactic medium, and may be needed to explain the mass-metallicity relation. Dynamical constraints (e.g. the fundamental plane, gas flows), combined with the chemical constraints on models described in this paper, will help to clarify the possible SFHs in elliptical galaxies.

5.2 Future improvements and wish list

As better SSP data become available (with increased knowledge of stellar evolution and atmospheric opacities) they can be incorporated into our models. In particular, the following improvements are needed to improve any chemical evolution models of composite stellar populations:

- (i) Higher metallicity SSPs (recently some have become available on CD Rom: Leitherer et al. 1996, going up to $\sim 5 Z_{\odot}$).
- (ii) Improved treatment of later, or missing, stages of stellar evolution which influence the optical light from stellar populations (current limitations are discussed in W94, Timmes et al. 1995 and V96).

(iii) Calibrations for more indices, particularly age sensitive features (e.g. $H\gamma$, $H\delta$ lines, Jones & Worthey 1995).

(iv) Calibrations for line-strength indices with non-solar element ratios, particularly for variations in the ratio of light elements – produced mainly in SNIIs – to iron-peak elements – produced in both SNIIs and SNIas. Barbuy (1994) has estimated the effect of non-solar ratios on the Mg_2 index but only at two different Mg/Fe ratios and only for old populations. Fagotto (1995) and Weiss et al. (1995) evaluated some indices for SSPs with α -enhanced composition and they show these give better matches to Mg_2 and Fe features than SSPs with solar composition ratios (see also Section 5.1.5 of this paper). Such explorations of the effects of non-solar ratios on a range of spectral features will allow the ratios (Mg/Fe for example) to be used as an extra diagnostic for constraining possible SF histories.

(v) We can incorporate SSPs with different IMFs and metallicity ratios into our models in future to explore the effects of more parameters. Data with a broader range of spectral features will be needed to fit more parameters than we have done in this present work. With data covering more measured features it might be worth investigating other methods for searching parameter space, such as the Marquardt method used for example in X-ray spectral fitting packages.

(vi) Better theoretical understanding of yields from SN models are needed, including the amount and composition of mass loss prior to explosions and the amount of core mass trapped into black holes. Accurate modelling of these effects as a function of star mass and metallicity is an important requirement for predicting accurate helium-to-metal enrichment ratios from chemical evolution models.

(vii) There are currently many observations of line-strengths in ellipticals, however, we now have a better understanding of which spectral features tell us most about the SFH in old galaxies, and which features are degenerate to age and metallicity changes. Unfortunately many published results are for these latter types of features which do not distinguish well between old and metal rich stars. There are also very few observations of spiral bulges which might have a very different history. So more observations are needed on both these counts.

(viii) Spatially extended models are needed to fully exploit the gradients in line-strengths observed in early-type galaxies and spiral bulges. Eventually this will also aim to make the dynamics of model galaxies consistent with their line-strengths.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have written a code which can follow the chemical enrichment in a region as it evolves due to star formation, evolution and its feedback to the ISM. This code allows up to two changes in the SF efficiency and gas inflow and (using luminosity weighted single stellar populations) predicts spectral features on the Lick/IDS system (Worthey et al. 1994). These predictions are used in comparison with observations of composite stellar populations. Using this code we have attempted to fit observations of 10 early-type galaxies.

We find that ellipticals are not made from primordial gas in a closed zone. Some pre-enrichment mechanism is

required at early times to produce the strong lines seen in early-type galaxies. In the current models this enrichment is achieved by varying the SF efficiency through increased SF rate after a time delay, and by inflow of gas enriched to the level of the existing ISM.

A delayed starburst (from increased SF efficiency and/or enriched gas inflow) can produce the observed strong lines in early-type galaxies, without the need for a variation in the IMF with time. This simulates the effect of a major merger of two massive, gas-rich galaxies.

The strength of the $H\beta$ index constrains the age of the delayed burst in these models. We found that 7 of the 10 galaxies could be fitted with models in which metal rich stars, which dominate the light now, formed within the first few Gyr. Assuming a constant IMF with time, this could be achieved either through a slightly delayed burst ($t_* = 2$ or 3 Gyr) following appreciable star formation, or through an extended period of enriched inflow (over the first ~ 2 Gyr). The other 3 galaxies required delayed bursts to explain their line-strengths. These were the compact elliptical NGC 221 ($t_* = 15$ Gyr), an elliptical NGC 5831 ($t_* = 10$ Gyr) and a BCG elliptical NGC 2329 ($t_* = 12$ Gyr), assuming models which started forming 17 Gyr ago. Therefore there is evidence for later star formation in some ellipticals in groups and in clusters.

From our fits to line-strengths measured in ellipticals we find that in many cases the α -element sensitive spectral features are systematically underestimated by the models, whilst iron sensitive features are overestimated when SSPs with solar ratios are used to model real elliptical galaxies. It is interesting that there are a few exceptions to this general rule (e.g. NGC 221, 5831 and 5846) whose line-strengths appear to be consistent with solar abundance ratios. Allowing for non-solar ratios by using SSPs from Weiss et al. (1995), we find that Mg_2 and $\langle Fe \rangle$ features in ellipticals can be well fitted with our models.

Certain spectral features appear more useful than others for constraining SF histories in galaxies. These include age indicators such as $H\beta$ and higher Balmer series lines (Jones & Worthey 1995), plus metallicity sensitive features such as Fe5015 and Fe4668 whose ratio changes from < 1 to > 1 around solar metallicity (W94). Magnesium sensitive features (e.g. Mgb) should also be included for the purpose of exploring Mg/Fe dependencies. Such features should be put at a high priority in observing programmes when studying SF histories in galaxies.

In a future paper we will present spectral line-strengths in a sample of bulges in early-type spirals (Proctor et al. 1997 – in preparation) to find out if the current models can fit the spectra of spiral bulges and whether they also require delayed starbursts to explain their line-strengths as do some of the ellipticals we have modelled in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thanks David Fisher for helpful comments on this paper.

REFERENCES

- Anders E., Grevesse N., 1989, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 53, 197
- Arimoto N., Yoshii Y., 1986, *A&A*, 164, 260
- Awaki H., et al. 1994, *PASJ*, 46, L65
- Barbuy B., 1994, *ApJ*, 430, 218
- Barnes J.E., Hernquist L.E., 1991, *ApJ*, 370, L65
- Bruzual G., Charlot S., 1993, *ApJ*, 405, 538
- Burstein D., Bertola F., Buson L.M., Faber S.M., Lauer T.R., 1988, *ApJ*, 328, 440
- Cappellaro E., Turatto M., Benetti S., Tsvetkov D.Yu., Bartunov O.S., Makarova I.N., 1993, *A&A*, 268, 472
- Davies R.L., Sadler E.M., Peletier R.F., 1993, *MNRAS*, 262, 650 (DSP93)
- Elbaz D., Arnaud M., Vangioni-Flam E., 1995, *A&A*, 303, 345
- Fagotto F., 1995, in Buzzoni A., Renzini A., Serrano A., eds, *Fresh Views of Elliptical Galaxies*. ASP Vol 86, Mexico, p211
- Fisher D., Franx M., Illingworth G., 1995, *ApJ*, 448, 119 (FFI95)
- Fisher D., Illingworth G., Franx M., 1995, *ApJ*, 438, 539
- Freedman W.L., 1992, *AJ*, 104, 1349
- Fritze - v. Alvensleben U., Gerhard O.E., 1994, *A&A*, 285, 751 (FG94)
- Greggio L., 1997, *MNRAS*, 285, 151
- Hibbard J.E., Mihos J.C., 1995, *AJ*, 110, 140
- Jones L.A., Worthey G., 1995, *ApJ*, 446, L31
- Kennicutt R.C., 1989, *ApJ*, 344, 685
- Leitherer C., et al. 1996, *PASP*, 108, 996
- Maeder A., 1992, *A&A*, 264, 105 (M92)
- Matteucci F., Gibson, B.K., 1995, *A&A*, 304, 11
- McElroy D.B., 1995, *ApJS*, 100, 105
- Mihos J.C., Bothun G.D., Richstone D.O., 1993, *ApJ*, 418, 82
- Nomoto K., Thielemann F.-K., Yokoi K., 1984, *ApJ*, 286, 644
- Padoan P., Nordlund Å., Jones B.J.T., 1997, *MNRAS*, in press.
- Pagel B.E.J., Simonson E.A., Terlevich R.J., Edmunds M.G., 1992, *MNRAS*, 255, 325
- Poggianti B.M., Barbaro G., 1997, *A&A* accepted.
- Renzini A., Voli M., 1981, *A&A*, 94, 175
- Richer H.B., Fahlman G.G., 1996, preprint. To be published in 'Star Formation Near and Far.' Proceedings of the 7th Annual Astrophysics Conference in Maryland.
- Sanders D.B., Soifer B.T., Elias J.H., Madore B.F., Matthews K., Neugebauer G., Scoville N.Z., 1988, *ApJ*, 325, 74
- Schweizer F., Seitzer P., 1992, *AJ*, 104, 1039
- Silk J., 1986, *Sky & Telescope*, 72, 582
- Tantalo R., Chiosi C., Bressan A., Fagotto F., 1996, *A&A*, 311, 361
- Timmes F.X., Woosely S.E., Weaver T.A., 1995, *ApJS*, 98, 617
- Tripicco M.J., Bell R.A., 1995, *AJ*, 110, 3035
- Vazdekis A., Casuso E., Peletier R.F., Beckman J.E., 1996, *ApJS*, 106, 307 (V96)
- Vazdekis A., Peletier R.F., Beckman J.E., Casuso E., 1997, *ApJS*, 111, 203
- Weiss A., Peletier R.F., Matteucci F., 1995, *A&A*, 296, 73
- Wood M.A., 1992 *ApJ* 386, 539
- Woosley S.E., Weaver T.A., 1995, *ApJS*, 101, 181 (WW)
- Worthey G., 1994, *ApJS*, 95, 107 (W94)
- Worthey G., 1996 preprint. To be published in 'Star Formation Near and Far.' Proceedings of the 7th Annual Astrophysics Conference in Maryland.
- Worthey G., Faber S.M., González J.J., Burstein D., 1994, *ApJS*, 94, 687
- Wright G.S., Joseph R.D., Robertson N.A., James P.A., Meikle W.P.S., 1988, *MNRAS*, 233, 1